

World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2022 January 14; 10(2): 397-752



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 2 January 14, 2022

EDITORIAL

- 397 New trends in treatment of muscle fatigue throughout rehabilitation of elderlies with motor neuron diseases
Mohamed A

MINIREVIEWS

- 401 What emotion dimensions can affect working memory performance in healthy adults? A review
Hou TY, Cai WP
- 412 Quadrilateral plate fractures of the acetabulum: Classification, approach, implant therapy and related research progress
Zhou XF, Gu SC, Zhu WB, Yang JZ, Xu L, Fang SY

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

- 426 Methylprednisolone accelerate chest computed tomography absorption in COVID-19: A three-centered retrospective case control study from China
Lin L, Xue D, Chen JH, Wei QY, Huang ZH

Retrospective Study

- 437 Analysis of photostimulable phosphor image plate artifacts and their prevalence
Elkhateeb SM, Aloyouny AY, Omer MMS, Mansour SM
- 448 N6-methyladenine-modified DNA was decreased in Alzheimer's disease patients
Lv S, Zhou X, Li YM, Yang T, Zhang SJ, Wang Y, Jia SH, Peng DT
- 458 Inflammation-related indicators to distinguish between gastric stromal tumors and leiomyomas: A retrospective study
Zhai YH, Zheng Z, Deng W, Yin J, Bai ZG, Liu XY, Zhang J, Zhang ZT
- 469 Relationship between Ki-67 and CD44 expression and microvascular formation in gastric stromal tumor tissues
Ma B, Huang XT, Zou GJ, Hou WY, Du XH
- 477 Modified surgical method of supra- and infratentorial epidural hematoma and the related anatomical study of the squamous part of the occipital bone
Li RC, Guo SW, Liang C
- 485 Combined molybdenum target X-ray and magnetic resonance imaging examinations improve breast cancer diagnostic efficacy
Gu WQ, Cai SM, Liu WD, Zhang Q, Shi Y, Du LJ

- 492 Value of thyroglobulin combined with ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration cytology for diagnosis of lymph node metastasis of thyroid carcinoma

Zhang LY, Chen Y, Ao YZ

- 502 Locking compression plate + T-type steel plate for postoperative weight bearing and functional recovery in complex tibial plateau fractures

Li HF, Yu T, Zhu XF, Wang H, Zhang YQ

- 511 Effect of Mirena placement on reproductive hormone levels at different time intervals after artificial abortion

Jin XX, Sun L, Lai XL, Li J, Liang ML, Ma X

- 518 Diagnostic value of artificial intelligence automatic detection systems for breast BI-RADS 4 nodules

Lyu SY, Zhang Y, Zhang MW, Zhang BS, Gao LB, Bai LT, Wang J

Clinical Trials Study

- 528 Analysis of 20 patients with laparoscopic extended right colectomy

Zheng HD, Xu JH, Liu YR, Sun YF

Observational Study

- 538 Knowledge, attitude, practice and factors that influence the awareness of college students with regards to breast cancer

Zhang QN, Lu HX

- 547 Diagnosing early scar pregnancy in the lower uterine segment after cesarean section by intracavitary ultrasound

Cheng XL, Cao XY, Wang XQ, Lin HL, Fang JC, Wang L

- 554 Impact of failure mode and effects analysis-based emergency management on the effectiveness of craniocerebral injury treatment

Shao XL, Wang YZ, Chen XH, Ding WJ

- 563 Predictive value of alarm symptoms in Rome IV irritable bowel syndrome: A multicenter cross-sectional study

Yang Q, Wei ZC, Liu N, Pan YL, Jiang XS, Tantai XX, Yang Q, Yang J, Wang JJ, Shang L, Lin Q, Xiao CL, Wang JH

Prospective Study

- 576 5-min mindfulness audio induction alleviates psychological distress and sleep disorders in patients with COVID-19

Li J, Zhang YY, Cong XY, Ren SR, Tu XM, Wu JF

META-ANALYSIS

- 585 Efficacy and safety of argatroban in treatment of acute ischemic stroke: A meta-analysis

Ly B, Guo FF, Lin JC, Jing F

SCIENTOMETRICS

- 594 Biologic therapy for Crohn's disease over the last 3 decades
Shen JL, Zhou Z, Cao JS, Zhang B, Hu JH, Li JY, Liu XM, Juengpanich S, Li MS, Feng X

CASE REPORT

- 607 Novel compound heterozygous GPR56 gene mutation in a twin with lissencephaly: A case report
Lin WX, Chai YY, Huang TT, Zhang X, Zheng G, Zhang G, Peng F, Huang YJ
- 618 Patients with SERPINC1 rs2227589 polymorphism found to have multiple cerebral venous sinus thromboses despite a normal antithrombin level: A case report
Liao F, Zeng JL, Pan JG, Ma J, Zhang ZJ, Lin ZJ, Lin LF, Chen YS, Ma XT
- 625 Successful management of delirium with dexmedetomidine in a patient with haloperidol-induced neuroleptic malignant syndrome: A case report
Yang CJ, Chiu CT, Yeh YC, Chao A
- 631 Malignant solitary fibrous tumor in the central nervous system treated with surgery, radiotherapy and anlotinib: A case report
Zhang DY, Su L, Wang YW
- 643 Anesthesia and perioperative management for giant adrenal Ewing's sarcoma with inferior vena cava and right atrium tumor thrombus: A case report
Wang JL, Xu CY, Geng CJ, Liu L, Zhang MZ, Wang H, Xiao RT, Liu L, Zhang G, Ni C, Guo XY
- 656 Full-endoscopic spine surgery treatment of lumbar foraminal stenosis after osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: A case report
Zhao QL, Hou KP, Wu ZX, Xiao L, Xu HG
- 663 Ethambutol-induced optic neuropathy with rare bilateral asymmetry onset: A case report
Sheng WY, Wu SQ, Su LY, Zhu LW
- 671 Vitrectomy with residual internal limiting membrane covering and autologous blood for a secondary macular hole: A case report
Ying HF, Wu SQ, Hu WP, Ni LY, Zhang ZL, Xu YG
- 677 Intervertebral bridging ossification after kyphoplasty in a Parkinson's patient with Kummell's disease: A case report
Li J, Liu Y, Peng L, Liu J, Cao ZD, He M
- 685 Synovial chondromatosis of the hip joint in a 6 year-old child: A case report
Yi RB, Gong HL, Arthur DT, Wen J, Xiao S, Tang ZW, Xiang F, Wang KJ, Song ZQ
- 691 Orthodontic retreatment of an adult woman with mandibular backward positioning and temporomandibular joint disorder: A case report
Yu LY, Xia K, Sun WT, Huang XQ, Chi JY, Wang LJ, Zhao ZH, Liu J

- 703** Autosomal recessive spinocerebellar ataxia type 4 with a *VPS13D* mutation: A case report
Huang X, Fan DS
- 709** Primary adrenal diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with normal adrenal cortex function: A case report
Fan ZN, Shi HJ, Xiong BB, Zhang JS, Wang HF, Wang JS
- 717** Varicella-zoster virus-associated meningitis, encephalitis, and myelitis with sporadic skin blisters: A case report
Takami K, Kenzaka T, Kumabe A, Fukuzawa M, Eto Y, Nakata S, Shinohara K, Endo K
- 725** Tension pneumocephalus following endoscopic resection of a mediastinal thoracic spinal tumor: A case report
Chang CY, Hung CC, Liu JM, Chiu CD
- 733** Accelerated Infliximab Induction for Severe Lower Gastrointestinal Bleeding in a Young Patient with Crohn's Disease: A Case Report
Zeng J, Shen F, Fan JG, Ge WS
- 741** Occupational fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonia in a halogen dishes manufacturer: A case report
Wang M, Fang HH, Jiang ZF, Ye W, Liu RY
- 747** Using a fretsaw in treating chronic penial incarceration: A case report
Zhao Y, Xue XQ, Huang HF, Xie Y, Ji ZG, Fan XR

ABOUT COVER

Associate Editor of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Bruno Ramos Chrcanovic, DDS, MSc, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Malmö University, Malmö 241 21, Sweden. bruno.chrcanovic@mau.se

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (WJCC, *World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2021 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.337; IF without journal self cites: 1.301; 5-year IF: 1.742; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.33; Ranking: 119 among 169 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q3. The WJCC's CiteScore for 2020 is 0.8 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: General Medicine is 493/793.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: *Jia-Hui Li*; Production Department Director: *Xu Guo*; Editorial Office Director: *Jim-Lai Wang*.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja Hyeon Ku

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

January 14, 2022

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Observational Study

Diagnosing early scar pregnancy in the lower uterine segment after cesarean section by intracavitary ultrasound

Xiao-Ling Cheng, Xiao-Yan Cao, Xiao-Qian Wang, Heng-Li Lin, Jin-Chuan Fang, Lin Wang

ORCID number: Xiao-Ling Cheng 0000-0002-4654-7728; Xiao-Yan Cao 0000-0002-7443-3357; Xiao-Qian Wang 0000-0001-9173-7910; Heng-Li Lin 0000-0002-7230-5052; Jin-Chuan Fang 0000-0002-7533-4465; Lin Wang 0000-0001-8850-9386.

Author contributions: Cheng XL and Cao XY design the experiment; Wang XQ drafted the work, Lin HL and Fang JC collected the data; Wang L and Cheng XL analysed and interpreted data, Cao XY and Wang XQ wrote the manuscript; all authors read and proofed the revised manuscript.

Institutional review board

statement: This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Women and Children Health Institute Futian Shenzhen.

Informed consent statement:

Informed consents were obtained from all patients and their families.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest among them.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement - checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and

Xiao-Ling Cheng, Xiao-Yan Cao, Xiao-Qian Wang, Heng-Li Lin, Jin-Chuan Fang, Lin Wang, Department of Ultrasonography, Women and Children Health Institute Futian Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518026, Guangdong Province, China

Corresponding author: Lin Wang, BM BCh, Chief Doctor, Department of Ultrasonography, Women and Children Health Institute Futian Shenzhen, No. 1019 Jintian Road, Futian District, Shenzhen 518026, Guangdong Province, China. wlszftfy@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Early scar pregnancy (CSP) in the lower uterine segment after cesarean section is a type of ectopic pregnancy that can cause major complications if left untreated. Transabdominal ultrasound is a common procedure but is influenced by external factors. Thus, intracavitary ultrasound may have better diagnostic efficiency for CSP.

AIM

To assess the value of intracavitary ultrasound for diagnosing CSP in the lower uterine segment after cesarean section.

METHODS

Patients diagnosed with CSP in our hospital from October 2019 to April 2021 were recruited. Transabdominal and intracavitary ultrasound examinations were performed to compare the diagnostic differences for CSP and its types.

RESULTS

Sixty-three patients were diagnosed during the study period. The diagnostic accuracy for CSP was higher in intracavitary ultrasound (96.83%) than in transabdominal ultrasound (84.13%) ($P < 0.05$). The missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis rates did not differ among the ultrasound types (intra: 0.00% and 3.17%; trans: 4.76% and 11.11%, respectively; $P > 0.05$). For the diagnostic rates for the CSP types, the rates for gestational sac (100.00% vs 90.48%), heterogeneous mass (93.75% vs 75.00%), and part of the uterine cavity (80.00% vs 60.00%) were higher in intracavitary ultrasound than in transabdominal ultrasound, but the difference was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). For gestational sac CSP patients, intracavitary ultrasound showed that the gestational sac was located in the lower uterine segment scar with abundant peripheral blood flow; the distance between the gestational sac and the serosal layer was 2.42 ± 0.50 cm. Intracavitary ultra-

revised according to the STROBE Statement- checklist of items.

Country/Territory of origin: China

Specialty type: Radiology, Nuclear Medicine and Medical Imaging

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B

Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Received: August 26, 2021

Peer-review started: August 26, 2021

First decision: September 29, 2021

Revised: October 14, 2021

Accepted: November 28, 2021

Article in press: November 28, 2021

Published online: January 14, 2022

P-Reviewer: Nishida K, Xodo S

S-Editor: Wang JL

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Wang JL



sound for heterogeneous mass CSP patients indicated that the mass mainly occurred in the lower anterior uterine wall, protruding into the bladder, and was surrounded by abundant internal and peripheral blood flow; the distance between the mass and serosal layer was 1.79 ± 0.30 cm. For CSP type partly located in the uterine cavity, the gestational sac was partly located in the lower uterine cavity and partly in the scar with abundant internal and peripheral blood flow; the distance between the gestational sac and the serosal layer was 2.29 ± 0.28 cm.

CONCLUSION

Intracavitary ultrasound had a higher diagnostic accuracy and application value for diagnosing CSP than transabdominal ultrasound, with reduced risk of missed diagnoses and misdiagnosis, thereby preventing delayed treatment.

Key Words: Ultrasonography; Cesarean section; Uterus; Pregnancy; Cesarean section; Repeat; Ultrasonography; Doppler

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This study assessed the value of using intracavitary ultrasound for diagnosing early scar pregnancy after cesarean section and found that it had higher diagnostic accuracy than traditional transabdominal ultrasound, reducing the risk of missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis, likely resulting in prompt treatment and improved patient prognosis.

Citation: Cheng XL, Cao XY, Wang XQ, Lin HL, Fang JC, Wang L. Diagnosing early scar pregnancy in the lower uterine segment after cesarean section by intracavitary ultrasound. *World J Clin Cases* 2022; 10(2): 547-553

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i2/547.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i2.547>

INTRODUCTION

Early scar pregnancy (CSP) in the lower uterine segment after cesarean section is primarily an embryonic pregnancy at the lower uterine segment incision scar, also known as an incision pregnancy, which is a type of ectopic pregnancy[1,2]. In recent years, the CSP incidence has risen, threatening patients' physical and mental health and quality of life with increasing cesarean section rates[3,4]. If an embryo implants into the site of the lower uterine scar from a previous cesarean, and timely and accurate diagnosis and treatment is not provided, then the implantation and adhesion of villi and myometrium can cause uncontrollable massive bleeding, and, in severe cases, uterine rupture as the pregnancy progresses[5,6]. Consequently, early CSP diagnosis remains a critical research topic.

Ultrasound is a commonly used diagnostic modality. Traditional abdominal ultrasound is easily affected by external factors, such as abdominal fat thickness and poor bladder filling, leading to an increased risk of misdiagnosis. However, an intracavitary ultrasound resists the influences of external factors on the diagnostic results[7,8].

This study compared the diagnostic value of intracavitary and transabdominal ultrasound for diagnosing CSP and its types.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient selection

This study was approved by the ethics committee of our hospital, and informed consent was obtained from all patients and their families. Patients diagnosed with CSP in our hospital from October 2019 to April 2021 were recruited. The inclusion criteria

were as follows: (1) A history of cesarean section; (2) The interval between current and previous cesarean sections was more than one year; (3) The previous cesarean section incision was transverse and healed well; (4) The patient was mentally fit and could cooperate with the researchers to complete the investigation; (5) An abnormally increased human chorionic gonadotropin level; and (6) Variable degrees of abdominal pain and irregular vaginal bleeding.

The exclusion criteria were as follows, patients with: (1) Organic diseases, such as kidney, liver, and heart disease; (2) Malignant tumors; (3) Speech communication disorders, hearing impairment, or mental system disease; and (4) Poor compliance and who were unable to cooperate to complete the survey.

Transabdominal ultrasonography

All patients were examined by transabdominal and intracavitary ultrasonography. The Toshiba LOGIQ S7 type four-dimensional color Doppler ultrasound diagnostic instrument and matching ultrasound probe were used for the transabdominal ultrasound. When the patient's bladder was full, an assistant helped the patient into a supine position. An ultrasound probe with 5-9 MHz was smeared on the coupling agent and placed on the lower abdomen for examination to determine the position, size, and appendages of the uterine pregnancy and investigate the myometrium thickness, abnormal mass around the uterus, myometrial defects, and incision scar.

Intracavitary ultrasonography

The equipment and probe frequency of intracavitary ultrasonography were the same as those of transabdominal ultrasonography. The probe smeared on the coupling agent was placed on the condom. Iodophor (2%) was evenly applied on the outside of the condom, then slowly inserted into the vagina to perform longitudinal and transverse scanning at the uterine incision, pregnancy site, cervix, uterine cavity, bilateral accessories, and pelvic cavity, and investigate the myometrium thickness, the gestational sac implantation position, scar blood flow, and incision echo.

Observation index

CSP diagnosis by transabdominal and intracavitary ultrasonography were analyzed, as were the CSP types, including gestational sac, heterogeneous mass, and part of the uterine cavity.

Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Measurement data were expressed as means \pm SD, and the data were expressed as *n* (%). A *P* value of < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

RESULTS

Patient demographics

In total, 63 patients with CSP were included, with an average age of 29.56 ± 6.64 (range, 23 to 36) years. The average gravidity was 2.48 ± 1.10 (range, 1 to 4) times. The average interval between the current and previous cesarean sections was 4.63 ± 2.91 (range, 1 to 8) years. The average menopause duration was 51.41 ± 13.91 (range, 36 to 67) d.

Examination methods and CSP diagnoses

The diagnostic accuracy was significantly higher in intracavitary ultrasonography (96.83%) than in transabdominal ultrasonography (84.13%; $P < 0.05$). The missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis rates did not differ between the two methods (intra: 0.00% and 3.17%; trans: 4.76% and 11.11%, respectively; $P > 0.05$; Table 1).

Examination methods and diagnosing CSP types

The intracavitary ultrasound diagnostic rates were higher than the transabdominal ultrasound diagnostic rates, but the difference was not statistically significant for the gestational sac (100.00% *vs* 90.48%), heterogeneous mass (93.75% *vs* 75.00%), and part-of-the-uterine-cavity (80.00% *vs* 60.00%) types ($P > 0.05$; Table 2).

Table 1 Early scar pregnancy diagnoses by examination method, *n* (%)

Method	Cases	Accuracy	Missed diagnosis	Misdiagnosis
Intracavitary	63	61 (96.83)	0 (0.00)	2 (3.17)
Transabdominal	63	53 (84.13)	3 (4.76)	7 (11.11)
χ^2 value		4.513	1.366	1.915
<i>P</i> value		0.034	0.242	0.166

Table 2 Examination methods and the diagnostic accuracy for early scar pregnancy types, *n* (%)

Methods	Cases	Gestational sac	Heterogeneous mass	Uterine cavity	Accuracy
Intracavitary	63	42/42 (100.00)	15/16 (93.75)	4/5 (80.00)	61/63 (96.83)
Transabdominal	63	38/42 (90.48)	12/16 (75.00)	3/5 (60.00)	53/63 (84.13)
χ^2 value		2.363	0.948	0.000	4.513
<i>P</i> value		0.124	0.330	1.000	0.034

Intracavitary ultrasonography and CSP types

Intracavitary ultrasonography for the gestational sac CSP type showed that the gestational sac was located on the scar of the lower uterine segment with abundant peripheral blood flow, and the distance between the gestational sac and the serosal layer was 2.42 ± 0.50 cm. For the heterogeneous mass type, the heterogeneous mass was located on the lower anterior uterine wall, protruding into the bladder, and was surrounded by abundant internal and peripheral blood flow; the distance between the mass and serosal layer was 1.79 ± 0.30 cm. For the part-of-the-uterine-cavity CSP type, the gestational sac was located partly in the lower uterine cavity and partly in the scar and surrounded by abundant internal and peripheral blood flow; the distance between the gestational sac and the serosal layer was 2.29 ± 0.28 cm (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

CSP, a multiple ectopic pregnancy type, has a complex pathogenic mechanism that has yet to be clarified[9-11]. However, some studies have suggested that CSP is closely related to abnormal changes in local biochemical factors and the anatomical status of the uterine incision scar[12]. Others suggested that CSP is associated with decidual vascular defects, poor wound healing, and endometrial injury[13,14]. Further, typical clinical CSP manifestations are lacking. Thus, there is a high risk of missed diagnosis or misdiagnosis. Correctly diagnosing CSP soon after a cesarean section is difficult, and the optimal time to diagnose CSP is unclear. Consequently, the initial treatment plan may be ineffective, increasing the risk of uncontrollable massive hemorrhage, uterine rupture, and other adverse events. Severe cases require a hysterectomy, seriously affecting the psychological and physical health of these patients.

In recent years, the social economy and medical technology have continuously developed. For CSP, color Doppler ultrasound can determine the scar blood flow, muscle layer thickness, and gestational sac implantation, which is advantageous for diagnosing and evaluating the treatment[15]. However, the traditional transabdominal ultrasound is limited by abdominal fat and intestinal gas and requires a full bladder, resulting in a low accuracy. Conversely, in intracavitary ultrasound, these adverse diagnostic effects are avoided and the probe is as close to the abdominal cavity as possible, which is beneficial for obtaining the information needed for a diagnosis, improving the diagnostic accuracy[16].

CSP grows in two ways. In one, growth starts from the scar and orients toward the uterine cavity, making continuous growth and survival possible. In the second, growth starts from the scar but orients toward the uterine wall, resulting in an intramuscular pregnancy and possibly uterine rupture, perforation, or abortion. With the continuous development of eggs, the gestational sac and viable germ may occur in the uterine cavity based on the intrauterine ultrasound examination and some pregnant tissues and placenta accreta at the incision[17,18]. The lack of specific clinical CSP manifest-

Table 3 Intracavitary ultrasound for diagnosing early scar pregnancy types

Types	Cases	Performance	Blood flow	Interval (cm)
Gestational sac	42	The gestational sac was located in the scar of the lower uterine segment	Abundant peripheral blood flow	2.42 ± 0.50
Heterogeneous mass	16	The heterogeneous mass was located in the lower part of the anterior wall of uterus, protruding into the bladder	Abundant internal and peripheral blood flow	1.79 ± 0.30
Part of the uterine cavity	5	The gestational sac was located in the lower part of the uterine cavity and part in the scar	Abundant internal and peripheral blood flow	2.29 ± 0.28

ations easily leads to misdiagnoses of cervical pregnancy, trophoblastic tumor, intrauterine pregnancy, and threatened abortion. However, it was observed that most of the cervix of CSP patients was enlarged without considerable enlargement of the uterine body and isthmus, the isthmus did not have abundant blood flow signal, and the embryo did not exceed the uterine orifice. The gestational sac and uneven echo could be detected in the uterine tube with a closed cervical orifice.

Transabdominal ultrasound has disadvantages, such as being easily affected by scar tissue, bladder capacity, and transabdominal fat. Intracavitary ultrasound does not have those disadvantages and has high definition, resolution, and performance and a wide scanning range. Further, our results indicated that the diagnostic accuracy of intracavitary ultrasound was higher than that of transabdominal ultrasound, suggesting a higher application value for disease diagnosis. Yule *et al*[19] reported that an intracavitary ultrasound can diagnose CSP by checking the implantation position of the gestational sac, the internal and accessory conditions of the uterus, and the changes in the cervix and isthmus of the uterus with high sensitivity and specificity. Huang Li also showed that although both transabdominal and intracavitary ultrasound examinations can show the specific condition of the uterus, the intracavitary ultrasound more accurately displays the myometrial and blood flow states, providing an objective reference for doctors, reducing the risk of misdiagnosis, and providing baseline data for follow-up evaluations. Furthermore, intracavitary color Doppler ultrasound accurately shows the blood flow status of the scar, gestational sac implantation position, and scar muscle layer thickness, which, as reported by Jabeen *et al*[20], are useful for the diagnosis and evaluation of CSP. However, attention should be given to identifying various situations, such as CSP and cervical pregnancies, local adenomyosis, abortion, and uterine incision hematoma. These conclusions are consistent with our results, confirming that intracavitary ultrasound has a higher diagnostic value for CSP than transabdominal ultrasound, by maximizing the diagnostic accuracy through reduction of the incidence of missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis. Finally, regarding diagnoses, the probe position is closer to the lesion in an intracavitary ultrasound, which is more convenient for doctors, as it allows the observation of the implantation position of the gestational sac, and a full bladder is not required.

CONCLUSION

Intracavitary ultrasound has a higher diagnostic accuracy and, therefore, higher application value for diagnosing CSP than traditional transabdominal ultrasound. Intracavitary ultrasound reduces the risk of missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis, likely resulting in prompt treatment and improved patient prognosis. However, the sample size of this study is small; thus, to determine whether our conclusions are broadly valid, the scope of selected cases and the number of study cases should be expanded for in-depth exploration.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Early scarring pregnancy (CSP) in the lower part of the uterus after cesarean section is an ectopic pregnancy. Intracavitary ultrasound may have a better diagnostic efficiency for CSP.

Research motivation

This study evaluated the value of intracavitary ultrasound in the diagnosis of CSP in the lower uterus after cesarean section.

Research objectives

In this manuscript, the authors aimed to study the value of intracavitary ultrasound in the diagnosis of CSP in the lower segment of the uterus after cesarean section, and to provide a better basis and method for the diagnosis of CSP.

Research methods

An observational study was conducted on patients diagnosed with CSP in our hospital from October 2019 to April 2021.

Research results

The diagnostic accuracy of intracavitary ultrasound for CSP is higher than that of transabdominal ultrasound. There was no difference between the missed diagnosis rate and the misdiagnosis rate between ultrasound types. For the diagnosis rate of CSP type, the diagnosis rate of pregnancy sac, heterogeneous mass and part of the uterine cavity by intracavitary ultrasound is higher than that of transabdominal ultrasound, and the difference is not statistically significant.

Research conclusions

Intracavitary ultrasound had a higher diagnostic accuracy and application value for diagnosing CSP than transabdominal ultrasound, with reduced risk of missed diagnoses and misdiagnosis, thereby preventing delayed treatment.

Research perspectives

Intracavitary ultrasound may have a better diagnostic efficiency for CSP and has a wider clinical application value.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Ravi Selvaraj L**, Rose N, Ramachandran M. Pitfalls in Ultrasound Diagnosis of Cesarean Scar Pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol India* 2018; **68**: 164-172 [PMID: 29895994 DOI: 10.1007/s13224-016-0956-1]
- 2 **Marasinghe JP**, Senanayake H, Randeniya C, Seneviratne HR, Arambepola C, Devlieger R. Comparison of transabdominal versus transvaginal ultrasound to measure thickness of the lower uterine segment at term. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2009; **107**: 140-142 [PMID: 19682683 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2009.05.022]
- 3 **Liu D**, Yang M, Wu Q. Application of ultrasonography in the diagnosis and treatment of cesarean scar pregnancy. *Clin Chim Acta* 2018; **486**: 291-297 [PMID: 30102898 DOI: 10.1016/j.cca.2018.08.012]
- 4 **Maged AM**, Abdelaal H, Salah E, Saad H, Meshaal H, Eldaly A, Katta MA, Deeb WS. Prevalence and diagnostic accuracy of Doppler ultrasound of placenta accreta in Egypt. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2018; **31**: 933-939 [PMID: 28264611 DOI: 10.1080/14767058.2017.1303667]
- 5 **Wang XW**, Tian JW, Wang HK. Diagnostic value of transvaginal color Doppler ultrasound on endometrial lesions. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol* 2016; **37**: 842-845 [PMID: 29943933]
- 6 **Fu LP**. Therapeutic approach for the cesarean scar pregnancy. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018; **97**: e0476 [PMID: 29718837 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000010476]
- 7 **Tazion S**, Hafeez M, Manzoor R, Rana T. Ultrasound Predictability of Lower Uterine Segment Cesarean Section Scar Thickness. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2018; **28**: 361-364 [PMID: 29690964 DOI: 10.29271/jcpsp.2018.05.361]
- 8 **Pędraszewski P**, Wlazlak E, Panek W, Surkont G. Cesarean scar pregnancy - a new challenge for obstetricians. *J Ultrason* 2018; **18**: 56-62 [PMID: 29844942 DOI: 10.15557/JoU.2018.0009]
- 9 **Timor-Tritsch IE**, Horwitz G, D'Antonio F, Monteagudo A, Bornstein E, Chervenak J, Messina L, Morlando M, Cali G. Recurrent Cesarean scar pregnancy: case series and literature review. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2021; **58**: 121-126 [PMID: 33411387 DOI: 10.1002/uog.23577]
- 10 **Zhou X**, Li H, Fu X. Identifying possible risk factors for cesarean scar pregnancy based on a retrospective study of 291 cases. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 2020; **46**: 272-278 [PMID: 31943529 DOI: 10.1111/jog.14163]
- 11 **Maslowski K**, Scheck S, Lieu H, Sircar S. Cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy: a case series and case report to highlight the experience in regional New Zealand. *N Z Med J* 2021; **134**: 61-70 [PMID: 33927424]
- 12 **Shi M**, Zhang H, Qi SS, Liu WH, Liu M, Zhao XB, Mu YL. Identifying risk factors for cesarean scar pregnancy: a retrospective study of 79 cases. *Ginekol Pol* 2018; **89**: 195-199 [PMID: 29781074 DOI: 10.1007/s13224-016-0956-1]

- 10.5603/GP.a2018.0033]
- 13 **Doulaveris G**, Ryken K, Papathomas D, Estrada Trejo F, Fazzari MJ, Rotenberg O, Stone J, Roman AS, Dar P. Early prediction of placenta accreta spectrum in women with prior cesarean delivery using transvaginal ultrasound at 11 to 14 weeks. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM* 2020; **2**: 100183 [PMID: 33345909 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100183]
 - 14 **Zhang Y**, Chen L, Zhou M, Li Y, Luo J, Chen Z. Risk factors of persistent cesarean scar pregnancy after dilation and curettage: a matched case-control study. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2020; **59**: 237-242 [PMID: 32127144 DOI: 10.1016/j.tjog.2020.01.011]
 - 15 **Swift BE**, Shah PS, Farine D. Sonographic lower uterine segment thickness after prior cesarean section to predict uterine rupture: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2019; **98**: 830-841 [PMID: 30779345 DOI: 10.1111/aogs.13585]
 - 16 **Liu J**, Chai Y, Yu Y, Liu L. The value of 3-dimensional color Doppler in predicting intraoperative hemorrhage for cesarean scar pregnancy. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018; **97**: e11969 [PMID: 30113503 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000011969]
 - 17 **Calì G**, Timor-Tritsch IE, Palacios-Jaraquemada J, Monteagudo A, Buca D, Forlani F, Familiari A, Scambia G, Acharya G, D'Antonio F. Outcome of Cesarean scar pregnancy managed expectantly: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2018; **51**: 169-175 [PMID: 28661021 DOI: 10.1002/uog.17568]
 - 18 **Wei LK**, Yu LM, Mu RM, Xue FX. [Reproductive outcomes following women with previous cesarean scar pregnancy]. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi* 2018; **98**: 2194-2197 [PMID: 30032525 DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0376-2491.2018.27.014]
 - 19 **Yule CS**, Lewis MA, Do QN, Xi Y, Happe SK, Spong CY, Twickler DM. Transvaginal Color Mapping Ultrasound in the First Trimester Predicts Placenta Accreta Spectrum: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *J Ultrasound Med* 2021; **40**: 2735-2743 [PMID: 33724510 DOI: 10.1002/jum.15674]
 - 20 **Jabeen K**, Karuppaswamy J. Non-surgical management of caesarean scar ectopic pregnancy - a five-year experience. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 2018; **38**: 1121-1127 [PMID: 29884080 DOI: 10.1080/01443615.2018.1451986]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

