

# World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

*World J Clin Cases* 2022 November 6; 10(31): 11214-11664



**REVIEW**

- 11214** Diabetes and skin cancers: Risk factors, molecular mechanisms and impact on prognosis  
*Dobrică EC, Banciu ML, Kipkorir V, Khazeei Tabari MA, Cox MJ, Simhachalam Kutikuppala LV, Găman MA*
- 11226** Endocrine disruptor chemicals as obesogen and diabetogen: Clinical and mechanistic evidence  
*Kurşunoğlu NE, Sarer Yurekli BP*
- 11240** Intestinal microbiota in the treatment of metabolically associated fatty liver disease  
*Wang JS, Liu JC*

**MINIREVIEWS**

- 11252** Lactation mastitis: Promising alternative indicators for early diagnosis  
*Huang Q, Zheng XM, Zhang ML, Ning P, Wu MJ*
- 11260** Clinical challenges of glycemic control in the intensive care unit: A narrative review  
*Sreedharan R, Martini A, Das G, Aftab N, Khanna S, Ruetzler K*
- 11273** Concise review on short bowel syndrome: Etiology, pathophysiology, and management  
*Lakkasani S, Seth D, Khokhar I, Touza M, Dacosta TJ*
- 11283** Role of nickel-regulated small RNA in modulation of *Helicobacter pylori* virulence factors  
*Freire de Melo F, Marques HS, Fellipe Bueno Lemos F, Silva Luz M, Rocha Pinheiro SL, de Carvalho LS, Souza CL, Oliveira MV*
- 11292** Surgical intervention for acute pancreatitis in the COVID-19 era  
*Su YJ, Chen TH*

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE****Clinical and Translational Research**

- 11299** Screening of traditional Chinese medicine monomers as ribonucleotide reductase M2 inhibitors for tumor treatment  
*Qin YY, Feng S, Zhang XD, Peng B*

**Case Control Study**

- 11313** Covered transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent-shunt *vs* large volume paracentesis in patients with cirrhosis: A real-world propensity score-matched study  
*Dhaliwal A, Merhzad H, Karkhanis S, Tripathi D*

**Retrospective Cohort Study**

- 11325** Endoscopic submucosal tunnel dissection for early esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: A propensity score analysis  
*Zhu LL, Liu LX, Wu JC, Gan T, Yang JL*

**Retrospective Study**

- 11338** Nomogram for predicting overall survival in Chinese triple-negative breast cancer patients after surgery  
*Lin WX, Xie YN, Chen YK, Cai JH, Zou J, Zheng JH, Liu YY, Li ZY, Chen YX*
- 11349** Early patellar tendon rupture after total knee arthroplasty: A direct repair method  
*Li TJ, Sun JY, Du YQ, Shen JM, Zhang BH, Zhou YG*
- 11358** Coxsackievirus A6 was the most common enterovirus serotype causing hand, foot, and mouth disease in Shiyan City, central China  
*Li JF, Zhang CJ, Li YW, Li C, Zhang SC, Wang SS, Jiang Y, Luo XB, Liao XJ, Wu SX, Lin L*
- 11371** Dynamic changes of estimated glomerular filtration rate are conversely related to triglyceride in non-overweight patients  
*Liu SQ, Zhang XJ, Xue Y, Huang R, Wang J, Wu C, He YS, Pan YR, Liu LG*
- 11381** C-reactive protein as a non-linear predictor of prolonged length of intensive care unit stay after gastrointestinal cancer surgery  
*Yan YM, Gao J, Jin PL, Lu JJ, Yu ZH, Hu Y*

**Clinical Trials Study**

- 11391** Dan Bai Xiao Formula combined with glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide for pediatric lupus nephritis: A pilot prospective study  
*Cao TT, Chen L, Zhen XF, Zhao GJ, Zhang HF, Hu Y*

**Observational Study**

- 11403** Relationship between lipids and sleep apnea: Mendelian randomization analysis  
*Zhang LP, Zhang XX*
- 11411** Efficacy and safety profile of two-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in cancer patients: An observational study in China  
*Cai SW, Chen JY, Wan R, Pan DJ, Yang WL, Zhou RG*

**Prospective Study**

- 11419** Pressure changes in tapered and cylindrical shaped cuff after extension of head and neck: A randomized controlled trial  
*Seol G, Jin J, Oh J, Byun SH, Jeon Y*

**Randomized Controlled Trial**

- 11427** Effect of intradermal needle therapy at combined acupoints on patients' gastrointestinal function following surgery for gastrointestinal tumors  
*Guo M, Wang M, Chen LL, Wei FJ, Li JE, Lu QX, Zhang L, Yang HX*

**SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS**

- 11442** Video-assisted bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation improves the quality of chest compressions during simulated cardiac arrests: A systemic review and meta-analysis  
*Pan DF, Li ZJ, Ji XZ, Yang LT, Liang PF*

**META-ANALYSIS**

- 11454** Efficacy of the femoral neck system in femoral neck fracture treatment in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis  
*Wu ZF, Luo ZH, Hu LC, Luo YW*
- 11466** Prevalence of polymyxin-induced nephrotoxicity and its predictors in critically ill adult patients: A meta-analysis  
*Wang JL, Xiang BX, Song XL, Que RM, Zuo XC, Xie YL*

**CASE REPORT**

- 11486** Novel compound heterozygous variants in the LHX3 gene caused combined pituitary hormone deficiency: A case report  
*Lin SZ, Ma QJ, Pang QM, Chen QD, Wang WQ, Li JY, Zhang SL*
- 11493** Fatal bleeding due to an aorto-esophageal fistula: A case report and literature review  
*Ćeranić D, Nikolić S, Lučev J, Slanić A, Bujas T, Ocepek A, Skok P*
- 11500** Tolvaptan ameliorated kidney function for one elderly autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease patient: A case report  
*Zhou L, Tian Y, Ma L, Li WG*
- 11508** Extensive right coronary artery thrombosis in a patient with COVID-19: A case report  
*Dall'Orto CC, Lopes RPF, Cancela MT, de Sales Padilha C, Pinto Filho GV, da Silva MR*
- 11517** Yokoyama procedure for a woman with heavy eye syndrome who underwent multiple recession-resection operations: A case report  
*Yao Z, Jiang WL, Yang X*
- 11523** Rectal cancer combined with abdominal tuberculosis: A case report  
*Liu PG, Chen XF, Feng PF*
- 11529** Malignant obstruction in the ileocecal region treated by self-expandable stent placement under the fluoroscopic guidance: A case report  
*Wu Y, Li X, Xiong F, Bao WD, Dai YZ, Yue LJ, Liu Y*
- 11536** Granulocytic sarcoma with long spinal cord compression: A case report  
*Shao YD, Wang XH, Sun L, Cui XG*
- 11542** Aortic dissection with epileptic seizure: A case report  
*Zheng B, Huang XQ, Chen Z, Wang J, Gu GF, Luo XJ*

- 11549** Multiple bilateral and symmetric C1-2 ganglioneuromas: A case report  
*Wang S, Ma JX, Zheng L, Sun ST, Xiang LB, Chen Y*
- 11555** Acute myocardial infarction due to Kounis syndrome: A case report  
*Xu GZ, Wang G*
- 11561** Surgical excision of a large retroperitoneal lymphangioma: A case report  
*Park JH, Lee D, Maeng YH, Chang WB*
- 11567** Mass-like extragonadal endometriosis associated malignant transformation in the pelvis: A rare case report  
*Chen P, Deng Y, Wang QQ, Xu HW*
- 11574** Gastric ulcer treated using an elastic traction ring combined with clip: A case report  
*Pang F, Song YJ, Sikong YH, Zhang AJ, Zuo XL, Li RY*
- 11579** Novel liver vein deprivation technique that promotes increased residual liver volume (with video): A case report  
*Wu G, Jiang JP, Cheng DH, Yang C, Liao DX, Liao YB, Lau WY, Zhang Y*
- 11585** Linear porokeratosis of the foot with dermoscopic manifestations: A case report  
*Yang J, Du YQ, Fang XY, Li B, Xi ZQ, Feng WL*
- 11590** Primary hepatic angiosarcoma: A case report  
*Wang J, Sun LT*
- 11597** Hemorrhagic shock due to ruptured lower limb vascular malformation in a neurofibromatosis type 1 patient: A case report  
*Shen LP, Jin G, Zhu RT, Jiang HT*
- 11607** Gastric linitis plastica with autoimmune pancreatitis diagnosed by an endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine-needle biopsy: A case report  
*Sato R, Matsumoto K, Kanzaki H, Matsumi A, Miyamoto K, Morimoto K, Terasawa H, Fujii Y, Yamazaki T, Uchida D, Tsutsumi K, Horiguchi S, Kato H*
- 11617** Favorable response of primary pulmonary lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma to sintilimab combined with chemotherapy: A case report  
*Zeng SY, Yuan J, Lv M*
- 11625** Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo with congenital nystagmus: A case report  
*Li GF, Wang YT, Lu XG, Liu M, Liu CB, Wang CH*
- 11630** Secondary craniofacial necrotizing fasciitis from a distant septic emboli: A case report  
*Lee DW, Kwak SH, Choi HJ*
- 11638** Pancreatic paraganglioma with multiple lymph node metastases found by spectral computed tomography: A case report and review of the literature  
*Li T, Yi RQ, Xie G, Wang DN, Ren YT, Li K*

- 11646** Apnea caused by retrobulbar anesthesia: A case report  
*Wang YL, Lan GR, Zou X, Wang EQ, Dai RP, Chen YX*
- 11652** Unexplained septic shock after colonoscopy with polyethylene glycol preparation in a young adult: A case report  
*Song JJ, Wu CJ, Dong YY, Ma C, Gu Q*
- 11658** Metachronous isolated penile metastasis from sigmoid colon adenocarcinoma: A case report  
*Yin GL, Zhu JB, Fu CL, Ding RL, Zhang JM, Lin Q*

**ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Muhammad Hamdan Gul, MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Kentucky, Chicago, IL 60657, United States.  
hamdan3802@hotmail.com

**AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (*WJCC*, *World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

*WJCC* mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

**INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The *WJCC* is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for *WJCC* as 1.534; IF without journal self cites: 1.491; 5-year IF: 1.599; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.28; Ranking: 135 among 172 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4. The *WJCC*'s CiteScore for 2021 is 1.2 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: General Medicine is 443/826.

**RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: *Xu Guo*; Production Department Director: *Xiang Li*; Editorial Office Director: *Jin-Lei Wang*.

**NAME OF JOURNAL**

*World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**ISSN**

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

**LAUNCH DATE**

April 16, 2013

**FREQUENCY**

Thrice Monthly

**EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja Hyeon Ku

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

**PUBLICATION DATE**

November 6, 2022

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

**INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

**GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

**GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

**PUBLICATION ETHICS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

**PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

**ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

**STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

**ONLINE SUBMISSION**

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

## Concise review on short bowel syndrome: Etiology, pathophysiology, and management

Saraswathi Lakkasani, Deeksha Seth, Imran Khokhar, Masara Touza, Theodore Jr Dacosta

**Specialty type:** Gastroenterology and hepatology

**Provenance and peer review:**

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0  
Grade B (Very good): 0  
Grade C (Good): C  
Grade D (Fair): D  
Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Iovino P, Italy;  
Kopczynska M, United Kingdom

**Received:** July 5, 2022

**Peer-review started:** July 5, 2022

**First decision:** July 31, 2022

**Revised:** August 12, 2022

**Accepted:** September 20, 2022

**Article in press:** September 20, 2022

**Published online:** November 6, 2022



**Saraswathi Lakkasani, Theodore Jr Dacosta**, Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Saint Michael's Medical Center in Affiliation with New York Medical College, Newark, NJ 07102, United States

**Deeksha Seth**, Gastroenterology, Chandan Institute of Liver and Biliary Sciences, Lucknow 226001, India

**Imran Khokhar**, Medicine, Suburban Medical Center, Norristown, PA 19401, United States

**Masara Touza**, Medicine, Saint Michael's Medical Center in Affiliation with New York Medical College, Newark, NJ 07102, United States

**Corresponding author:** Saraswathi Lakkasani, MD, Academic Fellow, Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Saint Michael's Medical Center in Affiliation with New York Medical College, 111 Central Ave, Newark, NJ 07102, United States. [dr.saraswathi.1@gmail.com](mailto:dr.saraswathi.1@gmail.com)

### Abstract

Adults have approximately 20 feet of small intestine, which is the primary site for absorbing essential nutrients and water. Resection of the intestine for any medical reason may result in short bowel syndrome (SBS), leading to loss of major absorptive surface area and resulting in various malabsorption and motility disorders. The mainstay of treatment is personalized close dietary management. Here we present SBS with its pathophysiology and different nutritional management options available. The central perspective of this paper is to provide a concise review of SBS and the treatment options available, along with how proper nutrition can solve major dietary issues in SBS and help patients recover faster.

**Key Words:** Short bowel; Small bowel resection; Malabsorption; Nutrition; Intestinal transplantation

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** This is a very informative review about the etiology, pathophysiology, and management of short bowel syndrome (SBS) with newer treatment options based on extensive literature review and expert opinion. The review details significant stages in short bowel syndrome, especially the process of intestinal adaptation. This paper provides detailed information regarding the preferred nutritional management of patients with different types of SBS during different stages of the disease.

**Citation:** Lakkasani S, Seth D, Khokhar I, Touza M, Dacosta TJ. Concise review on short bowel syndrome: Etiology, pathophysiology, and management. *World J Clin Cases* 2022; 10(31): 11273-11282

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i31/11273.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i31.11273>

## INTRODUCTION

Malabsorptive disorders may result from the loss of bowel mass secondary to surgical resection of the small intestine. One of the rare disorders called short bowel syndrome (SBS) (< 180-200 cm of remaining small bowel) can occur[1,2]. SBS can also be caused by inflammatory bowel syndrome, vascular diseases, neoplasms, *etc.* The condition may present clinically depending mainly upon the remaining anatomical portion of the intestine and its related function, which makes the clinical representation of the disease variable[3].

Since the length and function of the intestine are compromised, it leads to loss of nutrients, fluid, and weight loss due to malabsorption. Symptoms of electrolyte disturbances and deficiencies of micronutrients and vitamins occur clinically. Patients with SBS may experience abdominal pain, diarrhea, dehydration, and malnutrition[2]. Patients' lifestyles may be impaired due to secondary lactose intolerance, gastric acid hypersecretion, metabolic acidosis, biliary and renal oxalate calculi, and dehydration[1,2].

SBS occurs in about 15% of patients with intestinal resection. About three-quarters of these patients have a massive intestinal resection, and one-quarter have multiple sequential resections. Overall, the prevalence of SBS is 3-4 per million[4].

Nutritional management becomes crucial, and total parenteral nutrition (TPN) plays a vital role in SBS. TPN usually contains the missing nutrients and some micronutrients to make up for the loss of the bowel[2,5]. The treatment is planned individually depending upon the length of the small bowel, clinical symptoms, number of resections, *etc.* Anti-secretory and anti-diarrheal, digestive enzymes, *etc.* are prescribed to slow the transit time and maximize nutrient absorption[6,7]. A team of dietitians and physicians educate the patient regarding the revised nutrition plan to restore the nutrients as soon as possible and avoid long-term complications such as metabolic diseases, bone disorders, and vitamin deficiencies[7]. The goal of treatment is to achieve tolerance for oral feeds[5]. Rich nutrient supply, continuous diet monitoring, and regular follow-up are essential for a favorable outcome in patients with SBS.

The central perspective here is to present a brief review on SBS and to highlight how the right nutrition can solve major dietary issues in patients with SBS and help them recover faster by reducing complications and improving the quality of life and if the conservative management fails, how can intestinal transplantation benefit.

## DATA COLLECTION

The data included in this review have been gathered from extensive PubMed, *Reference Citation Analysis* (<https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>), and Medline searches using keywords such as SBS, parenteral nutrition (PN), nutrient deficiencies, electrolyte imbalance in SBS, and intestinal transplantation until 2020. The data have been inspired by various online case reports, review articles, and clinical trials. The search also included multiple articles and abstracts outlining the pathophysiology and treatment of SBS. This article highlights the SBS pathophysiology, and the importance of PN, treatment modalities, nutritional deficiencies, and adult prognosis.

## ETIOLOGY AND PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

There are various etiologies of SBS. The acquired form of SBS resulting from surgical resections can occur in patients with Crohn's disease requiring recurrent resections. A catastrophic vascular mesenteric ischemic event may require a massive enterectomy as well. Another etiology for SBS appears with

malabsorptive conditions where the bowel length is unaffected, but the bowel function is reduced. Chronic intestinal pseudo-obstruction syndrome, refractory sprue, radiation enteritis, or congenital villous atrophy are causes of malabsorption[5]. Intra-abdominal trauma, neoplasm, radiation injury, and small bowel obstruction may also result in SBS[8].

In an adult, the average length of the small bowel measures between 275 and 850 cm, where the majority of nutrients are absorbed in the first 100 cm of the jejunum. About 7 L of fluid gets absorbed in the small bowel and 2 L in the large bowel. In SBS, adults have less than 180-200 cm of small bowel length remaining[9]. These patients are at risk of intestinal failure and nutrient deficiencies and thus require nutrition support. What is meant by intestinal failure (IF) is the inability to absorb sufficient energy, despite the increase in intake or the failure to increase oral intake appropriately due to a reduction in the functionality of the gut, such that intravenous (IV) supplementation is needed to provide the patient with the required nutrients to maintain growth and proper health[10]. It has been defined as fecal energy loss that does not correlate with the remaining intestinal length[11]. IF has been classified into three types: Type 1 is short-term and self-limiting (acute). Type 2 is an acute condition that has been prolonged. The patients often require complex care and IV supplementation that may last for weeks or months to support the metabolically unstable patient. Type 3 refers to a reversible or irreversible IF that has become a chronic condition, where the patient is maintained metabolically stable through months or years of IV supplementation[10]. When intestinal failure occurs after bowel resection, trauma, infarction, congenital defects, or diffuse loss of absorptive surface due to a gastrointestinal (GI) disease, the condition is then termed SBS. This emphasizes that the pathophysiology of SBS involves functional impairment more than anatomical impairment.

SBS results following intestinal resection in adults, causing an inadequate intestinal length resulting in insufficient digestion and malabsorption of macronutrients and micronutrients, water, and electrolytes. Several aspects determine the severity of this case and its manifestation. These include the loss of absorptive surface area, loss of site-specific transport processes, loss of endocrine cells and GI hormones, rapid intestinal transit time, colon removal, small intestinal dysbiosis because of altered motility, and the loss of ileocecal valve[12]. An individual becomes malnourished and requires supplemental dietary intervention to support his health[12]. The nutritional deficits depend on the anatomically resected portions of the intestine[13]. Furthermore, the most common types of SBS are type 3, which include jejunoileal anastomosis (where parts of the jejunum or ileum are resected with an intact colon), jejunal-colic anastomosis (where the ileum is resected), and terminal jejunostomy (where a stoma in the abdomen is formed following the complete resection of the ileum and colon while preserving part of the jejunum[14]. These surgeries will create changes in the normal anatomy of the gastrointestinal tract and will further affect its absorptive function. For that reason, further information regarding detailed nutritious support for the different types of SBS will be discussed in other sections.

## INTESTINAL ADAPTATION

The process of intestinal adaptation begins after surgical resection to increase the absorptive function and continues for about 2 years. However, two schools of thought explain this process of adaptation. Some evidence indicates that the adaptation occurs with hypertrophy and the lengthening of the remaining intestine with increased diameter and height of the villus[15]. This happens in the presence of nutrients in the lumen, which stimulates the adaptation mechanism[15]. This indicates the importance of supplementing the patient with a complex diet as soon as possible to aid this process. Yet, another mechanism explains this adaptation *via* the upregulation of the peptide transporter (PepT1) in the colon of patients with SBS. Ziegler *et al*[16] compared to controls, expressed the increased presence of PepT1 in the colon of patients with SBS, 1.5-2.5 years following resection, but that was not evident in their small intestine. Yet another study later showed that about 9.8 years following resection, the patients showed no difference in their expression of PepT1[17]. This suggests that support of intestinal adaptation through the expression of this peptide may only occur in the early period following resection, rather than being a long-term process.

A study in adult patients showed that when enteral nutrition (EN) was given early and continuously, it was possible to achieve enteral autonomy about 36 d post-surger[18]. EN maximizes the saturation of carrier proteins, enhancing enteral absorption, and is thus beneficial at stimulating intestinal adaptation through three modes of action: mucosal hyperplasia, trophic GI hormone secretion, and production of the trophic pancreaticobiliary secretion[4,18]. The use of whole protein enhances intestinal adaptation and is preferred over hydrolysates[18]. It is proposed that to promote intestinal adaptation, a diet of complex carbohydrates, whole proteins, and long chain triglycerides is recommended[18]. Yet, the loss of certain bowel sections would incur further re-adjustments to EN composition. Lactose intolerance may occur following resection of proximal jejunum. However, there is no strong evidence regarding this condition[18,19]. Fiber supplementation is recommended only in the presence of an intact colon[20].

Intestinal adaptation is a process broken down into 3 phases[21]. Phase 1 is the hypersecretory phase (acute phase), which occurs after resection and may last for the first 1-2 mo (up to 6 mo)[21,22]. The adaptive response occurs gradually in phase 2, characterized by reduced fluid losses and improved

absorption of micronutrients and macronutrients. Phase 2 is achieved through intestinal hormones and growth factors that promote functional and structural changes, and the remaining bowel sections adapt to increase their functional capacity[21]. Phase 3 is the maintenance phase, which is reached about 2 years post-resection, when maximum adaptation is attained[23].

Nutritional management during different SBS phases requires considering the physiological changes during adaptation. In phase 1, directly following resection, while in the hospital setting, the patient requires exclusive PN administration, where the patient is likely to experience type 2 or 3 intestinal failure[24-26]. Depending on the remaining bowel sections, individualized TPN composition helps avoid negative nitrogen balance and significant weight loss and maintain adequate fluid and electrolyte balance[25,26]. Following resection, enteral feeding shall be started as soon as the patient can tolerate it. Reducing the intake of simple sugars is recommended, avoiding hypotonic solutions, and having small meals frequently during the day[7]. As the oral intake is increased and the intestinal adaptation of the residual bowel is progressing, the TPN amount is decreased, and the frequency is reduced to every other day on week 1, then to 3 times in week 2, followed by two times in week 3[7,25]. The patient shall be placed back on TPN if there are lab abnormalities, weight loss reaches 1 kg/wk, or diarrhea exceeds 600 g/d[25]. Usually, patients are supported with TPN until maximal adaptation is achieved, which may take up to 1 year or longer[25]. If the residual bowel health is not optimal, the patient may require lifelong dependency on TPN. During phase 2 of adaptation, the goal is to wean the patient off PN and increase EN. PN is associated with complications like liver disease, bloodstream infections, or thrombosis due to the central line[26]. Phase 3 is characterized by stabilization, where the patient either does not require PN in the hospital or is clinically stable but requires home PN due to irreversible intestinal failure[26]. The probability of achieving enteral autonomy is linked to having longer bowel remnant, ileocecal valve preservation, resection at a younger age, absence of liver disease, and maintaining normal GI motility[26] (Table 1).

---

## CONSEQUENCES OF SBS

---

The early phase of SBS is characterized by gastric hypersecretion due to loss of inhibitory hormonal feedback signals due to resection; this is attributed to a deficiency in hormones usually produced by the endocrine cells of the proximal GI tract, such as glucagon-like peptide (GLP) -1 and GLP-2, neurotensin, and peptide YY[27]. Malabsorption is the physiologic consequence of SBS, resulting in nutrient deficiencies (Table 2). Usually, the small bowel has a large functional reserve capacity, making resections of < 50% well tolerable; however, once they exceed 50%-70%, the patient experiences malabsorption requiring supplementation to enhance the absorption[11]. Patients who undergo terminal ileal resections suffer from a deficiency in the absorption of vitamin B12-intrinsic factor and thus would require supplementation if they have > 60 cm resection[15]. Steatorrhea is a consequence of terminal ileal resection due to unabsorbed and intestinal loss of bile salts (choleraic diarrhea). When reaching the colon, bile salts would stimulate secretory or choleric diarrhea if > 100 cm of the terminal ileum is removed. Unabsorbed long-chain fatty acids in the colon cause severe secretory diarrhea[28]. The ileocecal valve prevents the reflux of colonic material into the small bowel, slows the transit time, and controls the contents that pass from the ileum into the cecum. This allows more time for absorption of the nutrients by the mucosa. Preventing content reflux into the small bowel also reduces the risk of bacterial overgrowth in the small bowel[29]. The necessity of home parenteral nutrition home PN or IV fluids depends on the enterocyte function and colon preservation, which role lies in the absorption of water, electrolytes, and fatty acids. Patients with < 100-140 cm small bowel and no colon or < 40-60 cm jejunum-ileum anastomosed to a portion of the colon will probably require permanent long-term PN[30-32].

---

## INSIGHT INTO NUTRITIONAL MANAGEMENT

---

Nutritional management of patients with SBS requires an individualized treatment approach. The primary purpose of intestinal rehabilitation is to improve the quality of life by enhancing the absorptive potential of the remaining intestine and reducing the long-term dependency on PN. Post-resection, all SBS patients will require PN. Some may need it for a short period until the post-adaptive phase, while other patients may need it long-term. Oral feeding (EN) enhances the process of intestinal adaptation and is favored over PN, especially when the bowel activity is resumed, and diarrhea is limited to < 2 L/d in a patient with stable electrolytes and hydration[33,34]. A paper by Matarese presents an adequate approach to nutrition optimization for patients with SBS[33]. American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition guidelines are not specific to patients with SBS who would require greater nutritional requirements when compared to other patients on PN. The total caloric delivery recommended for patients with SBS is approximately 32 kcal/(kg·d). The amount of lipids provided should not exceed 1 g/(kg·d) but should make up 20%-40% of the total calories provided. 100-120 g/d is the minimum recommended amount of carbohydrates provided in the form of dextrose, but patients

**Table 1 Three phases for the process of intestinal adaptation**

Phase	Time duration	Symptom
Phase 1	1-3 mo	Severe diarrhea, limited absorption. Complete nutrient and fluid support with parenteral nutrition is needed
Phase 2	Few months to 1 yr	Improvement of absorption. Start reducing PN
Phase 3	Second-year	Maximal adaptation. PN is eliminated or reduced to several nights per week

PN: Parenteral nutrition.

**Table 2 List of deficiency disorders encountered in patients with short bowel syndrome**

Deficiency	Disease
Vitamin C	Scurvy
Calcium	Osteoporosis
Vitamin A	Night blindness, corneal ulcerations
Vitamin E	Paresthesia, ataxia
Vitamin K	Prolonged bleeding
Iron	Anemia, glossitis
Zinc	Stomatitis, alopecia

may need 2.5-6 g/(kg · d). The amount of proteins recommended is 1.5 g/(kg · d)[34,35]. End-jejunostomy may result in high stomal outputs, increasing the need for fluids to > 3 L/d, additionally the PN they receive[36]. As mentioned earlier, the dietary approach should be patient-oriented depending on the remaining portions of the intestine. However, patients may benefit from having several small meals daily to increase the net absorption - what is referred to as adaptive hyperphagia. A way to compensate for the malabsorption is consuming a caloric amount that is more than 50% of a regular diet. Complex carbohydrates are preferred to avoid osmotic diarrhea[11].

After resection, patients may be transitioned from complete PN/IV to oral diet or tube feeding. A study showed that when continuous tube feeding is present alone or in combination with oral nutrition, more absorption of nutrients is observed than when oral nutrition is carried out alone. The study demonstrated increased total lipids, calories, and proteins in these patients[37]. Polymeric diets are more commonly used than elemental diets due to preferred aspects of polymeric diets that include less cost, less hyperosmotic, and better at enhancing intestinal adaptation[34] (Table 3).

End-jejunostomy puts patients at risk of dehydration and diarrhea. Since this is type 1 of SBS, characterized by the loss of the most significant portions from the GI tract (ileum and colon), it sets more serious malabsorptive issues than other SBS[14]. As these patients seem to be net-secretors, it is recommended to provide them with fluid amounts greater than their ostomy outputs (1.5-2 L/d)[11,38, 14]. Patients may benefit from the sodium-glucose co-transport with oral rehydration solutions to maintain hydration. Yet, if half of the colon is maintained, then an oral rehydration solution is unnecessary[11,38]. This type of SBS may lead to losing the ability to produce hormones such as PYY, as these are made by L cells in the distal ileum and colon, and reduced increases in the GLP-2 levels postprandially (which function in inhibiting gastric emptying). This further contributes to accelerating gastric emptying and intestinal transit time[39,40]. Patients with type 1 SBS may benefit from the following management recommendations. The dehydration a patient experiences may be corrected with IV saline while the patient is on nil oral intake for 24-48 h; this helps relieve the thirst the patient experiences. The IV saline is then weaned off over 2-3 d while the reintroduction of oral fluids gradually [13]. Reducing the hypotonic fluids taken orally to less than 500 mL/d is also essential. Since most stomal outputs have a sodium concentration of around 100 mmol/L, the patient may benefit from having glucose/saline solution sips with a sodium concentration of at least 90 mmol/L[13].

For patients with type 2 SBS (jejunal-colon), long-term PN may be needed if: increased oral/enteral intake causes high volumes of diarrhea/stomal output that are socially unacceptable to the patient or if the patient is unable to absorb more than one-third of the oral energy intake, or if the absorption is 30%-60% with high energy requirements[13,41]. Patients with preserved colon would rarely be in negative water or sodium balance and thus rarely require supplementation[42]. If the patient becomes sodium depleted, they may sip a glucose-saline drink[13].

Different patients with SBS require PN for varying periods, depending on the remaining bowel length and the type of SBS resulting after resection. It has also been shown that the length of the remaining small bowel sections is predictive of the ability to wean PN later. Nutritional autonomy (achieving

**Table 3 Dietary management in patients with colon vs without colon**

Surgical operation	Dietary management
End-jejunostomy without colon	(1) Complex carbohydrates are preferred over simple carbohydrates to reduce stoma output; (2) Can tolerate a higher fat diet (30%-40%) than patients with colon continuity; (3) Long-chain triglycerides are favored over MCT because with such anatomy, MCT decreases protein and carbohydrate absorption; (4) Soluble fibers may be administered; and (5) Magnesium (as it is normally absorbed in the distal small intestine or colon), Vitamin B12 and bile salts[14]
Jejunocolonic anastomosis with some colon in continuity	(1) Due to colon continuity, colonic bacterial fermentation allows salvage of 1,000 additional calories per day. They can benefit from a diet high in complex carbohydrates[9]; (2) Superior outcomes with diets of lower fat compared to higher fat content[52]; (3) Medium-chain triglycerides improve overall fat absorption compared with a similar diet that has only long-chain triglycerides[53]; (4) Prefer diets with low oxalate and high calcium content to avoid calcium oxalate nephrolithiasis[11]; and (5) Soluble fibers are preferred compared to insoluble fibers. Fibers should be avoided in patients with diarrhea of > 3 L/d[11]
Jejunoleocolic anastomosis with full colon	

MCT: Medium-chain triglycerides.

home PN-free status for 12 mo) and home PN cessation could be possible if the final small bowel length achieved following surgery is greater than or equal to 115 cm (for type 1 SBS), greater than or equal to 60 cm (type 2 SBS), and greater than or equal to 35 cm (type 3 SBS)[10,43].

Before weaning patients off PN or IV fluids, patients must maintain stable body weight and electrolyte levels as they can obtain around 80% of their daily energy requirements through oral feeding. Urine output should be > 1L/d on PN/IV-free nights and an enteral balance of 500-1000 mL/d. Weaning may be accomplished in one of two ways: reducing the number of PN/IV fluid days or reducing the volume of PN/IV fluid delivered during sessions. Dehydration is less likely to occur with the latter option[44].

Messing *et al*[23] carried out a study with 124 adult patients. They showed that the likelihood of PN weaning is related to factors such as the SBS types with colonic remnant (even if partial) as well as having a post-duodenal remnant of small bowel < 100 cm. At 5 years, the survival probability reported was 75%, and the PN-dependence was 45%. Survival was negatively related to type 1 SBS, small bowel length < 50 cm, and vascular etiology of SBS, but not negatively related to PN dependence[23]. Another study found that the median duration of home PN was 2.6 years, where nutritional autonomy was achieved by 13.8% in year 1, 24.5% in year 2, 34.1% in year 5, and 38.3% in year 10[43]. As per this study, achieving PN autonomy was associated with younger age, greater length of the small bowel, and having a colon remnant[43]. The most recently published data showed that patients with home PN had a 5-year survival of 76%. The home PN-related deaths accounted for 11% of fatalities during home PN, with an incidence of 10 home PN-related deaths per 1000 home PN treatment years[45].

## COMPLICATIONS

Malabsorption is caused by intestinal failure, which may result in malnutrition, diarrhea, weight loss, steatorrhea, electrolyte imbalance, and vitamin deficiencies. The patient requires long-term treatment and supplementation with minerals and electrolytes to prevent various complications of SBS. Among these complications, intestinal failure-associated liver disease and liver cholestasis are significant. To avoid this complication, oral feeding is essential. Also, it is an option to decrease dependence on soy-based lipid emulsions or switch them to fish oil-based emulsions[27].

Gallstone is a possible complication in patients with < 180 cm small bowel or absent ileocecal valve. The most frequent type of gallstone is calcium carbonate. Enteral feeding, limiting oral fasting, and reducing the use of narcotics and anticholinergics are methods used to limit the occurrence of cholelithiasis[27].

IV fluids reduce complications such as hyponatremia, dehydration, nephrolithiasis (from hyperoxaluria), and chronic renal failure. Regular hydration and maintaining a urine output of 800 mL/d and urine sodium > 20 mmol/L are suitable actions for these patients. Magnesium malabsorption should be treated with > 1.5 mg/dL supplementation[7,27].

A patient presenting with ataxia, slurred speech, psychosis, and altered mental status may be a sign of developing D-lactate encephalopathy. The colon microbiota's fermentation of unabsorbed carbohydrates causes metabolic acidosis with a high anion gap due to D-lactic acidosis. Management of these patients includes stopping carbohydrate-based enteral feeds and administering antibiotics like metronidazole, vancomycin, and clindamycin as they are active against D-lactate forming bacteria. Hydration and thiamine supplementation should also be considered[27].

The metabolic bone disease may result in osteoporosis or osteomalacia. Patients receiving PN in a long-term manner are at risk of developing abnormal bone metabolism. Patients may complain of back pain, joint pain, atraumatic fractures, and loss of height. Examining the mineral bone density, checking

**Table 4 Contraindications to intestinal transplant**

Contraindication
Non-resectable malignancy
Severe immunological deficiencies
Advanced cardiopulmonary disease
Advanced neurologic dysfunction
Sepsis with multisystem organ failure
Major psychiatric illness
Demonstrated patient non-compliance
Insufficient vascular patency for central venous access for < 6 mo after intestinal transplant

mineral levels, vitamin D and PTH, and markers of bone turnover are helpful steps in evaluating this type of patient. Dietary and lifestyle changes can make a significant impact on these patients. Also, maintaining adequate levels of vitamin D and nutrition is essential. Finally, bisphosphonates may also help manage these patients[27].

## INTESTINAL TRANSPLANTATION

Intestinal transplantation has long been an exciting aspect of gastroenterology that has faced several challenges until professionals could finally carry it out successfully. In 1959, Lillihei and coworkers reported the first canine model of intestinal transplantation at the University of Minnesota[46]. Later, in 1967, they reported the first formally published human intestinal transplantation. Until 1970, seven intestinal transplants were carried out, yet the most prolonged graft survival was 76 d. The first long-term survivors were cases carried out in Kiel in 1988 and Paris in 1989. There were cases carried out in 1989 in Innsbruck and 1990 and 1991 in London, Ontario, that resulted in death due to tumor recurrence or lymphoma, even though the grafts were functional[46].

SBS has been the most common reason for the need for a transplant, accounting for 60%-65% of all transplant cases[47]. Home PN is considered the primary treatment for patients with SBS. However, when it fails by contributing to liver disease, central venous catheter thrombosis of at least two central veins, frequent central line sepsis, or severe dehydration despite receiving fluids, the choice of undergoing intestinal transplantation is supported[9,46]. Three intestinal transplants are described: liver-intestine, isolated intestine, and multi-visceral transplant. The last two are the most used in adult patients. Irreversible IF with PN-associated life-threatening conditions and preserved liver function is a significant indication for undergoing an isolated intestinal transplant. The isolated intestine includes the jejunoileal portion and could be in the presence or absence of a graft colon[47].

In the adult population, prolonged PN exposure accounts for the need for liver-containing intestinal grafts. For that reason, early recognition of intestinal failure and proper management and referring the patient for transplant option, with the withdrawal of PN before suffering from irreversible PN-associated liver disease, could serve to provide the patient the opportunity of isolated intestine transplant and avoid the necessity of liver-inclusive graft[47]. The 1-year graft survival of intestinal transplants performed in 6 United States centers between January 2014 and June 2016 was in the range of 65.5%-83%. The average 3-year adult graft survival in these centers was 56.3%, ranging between 28.6% and 72.7%[48] (Table 4).

## PHARMACOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENT IN THE TREATMENT OF SBS

Clinical trials are still on for administering GLP-2 to patients following small bowel surgery. Teduglutide, an enzyme-resistant GLP-2 analog, has been studied in clinical trials and used in patients with SBS. Teduglutide increases absorptive capacity and inhibits gut motility, stimulating crypt cell proliferation and inhibiting enterocyte apoptosis. Teduglutide has shown promising results, but in some patients, it has taken longer than usual[49]. In one of the 24-wk studies, Teduglutide was well tolerated among patients with SBS, and it was seen to reduce the number of days of parenteral support in these patients[50]. One of the meta-analyses on teduglutide response showed that the response rate to teduglutide treatment was estimated to be 64% at 6 mo, 77% at 1 year, and 82% at ≥ 2 years; while the weaning rate was estimated as 11% at 6 mo, 17% at 1 year, and 21% at ≥ 2 years. Overall, the response rate was observed to be significantly increased between 6 mo and 1 year and then was found to be

maintained afterwards[51]. Clinical trials are still needed to assess the effective and practical dose calculations and length of the treatment required for SBS.

---

## CONCLUSION

Expert recommendations are required while preparing the nutrition chart as the plan depends upon the existing length of the intestine, patient factors, and the nutrition available. Regular follow-up is essential to proper nutrition to look out for complications and patient compliance. The right nutrition plan is crucial in patients with SBS to accelerate the recovery time, which should be tailored to the patient's needs to lead a healthy lifestyle. A thorough understanding of gastrointestinal anatomy and physiology is crucial to planning out the nutrition and treatment in SBS patients. Intestinal transplantation could be considered for those in which conservative management fails.

---

## FOOTNOTES

**Author contributions:** Lakkasani S contributed to the literature search, manuscript writing, editing, and review; Seth D contributed to the manuscript writing and editing; Khokhar I contributed to the manuscript writing; Touza M contributed to the manuscript writing and editing; Dacosta T contributed to reviewing the article.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Country/Territory of origin:** United States

**ORCID number:** Saraswathi Lakkasani 0000-0001-7595-8174.

**S-Editor:** Wang DM

**L-Editor:** Filipodia

**P-Editor:** Wang DM

---

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Massironi S**, Cavalcoli F, Rausa E, Invernizzi P, Braga M, Vecchi M. Understanding short bowel syndrome: Current status and future perspectives. *Dig Liver Dis* 2020; **52**: 253-261 [PMID: 31892505 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2019.11.013]
- 2 **Pironi L**. Definitions of intestinal failure and the short bowel syndrome. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2016; **30**: 173-185 [PMID: 27086884 DOI: 10.1016/j.bpg.2016.02.011]
- 3 **Billiauws L**, Joly F. Emerging treatments for short bowel syndrome in adult patients. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; **13**: 241-246 [PMID: 30791759 DOI: 10.1080/17474124.2019.1569514]
- 4 **DiBaise JK**, Young RJ, Vanderhoof JA. Intestinal rehabilitation and the short bowel syndrome: part 1. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004; **99**: 1386-1395 [PMID: 15233682 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2004.30345.x]
- 5 **Billiauws L**, Thomas M, Le Beyec-Le Bihan J, Joly F. Intestinal adaptation in short bowel syndrome. What is new? *Nutr Hosp* 2018; **35**: 731-737 [PMID: 29974785 DOI: 10.20960/nh.1952]
- 6 **Parekh NR**, Steiger E. Short bowel syndrome. *Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol* 2007; **10**: 10-23 [PMID: 17298760 DOI: 10.1007/s11938-007-0052-9]
- 7 **Wall EA**. An overview of short bowel syndrome management: adherence, adaptation, and practical recommendations. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2013; **113**: 1200-1208 [PMID: 23830324 DOI: 10.1016/j.jand.2013.05.001]
- 8 **Siddiqui MT**, Al-Yaman W, Singh A, Kirby DF. Short-Bowel Syndrome: Epidemiology, Hospitalization Trends, In-Hospital Mortality, and Healthcare Utilization. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2021; **45**: 1441-1455 [PMID: 33233017 DOI: 10.1002/jpen.2051]
- 9 **Nordgaard I**, Hansen BS, Mortensen PB. Importance of colonic support for energy absorption as small-bowel failure proceeds. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1996; **64**: 222-231 [PMID: 8694024 DOI: 10.1093/ajcn/64.2.222]
- 10 **Pironi L**, Arends J, Baxter J, Bozzetti F, Peláez RB, Cuerda C, Forbes A, Gabe S, Gillanders L, Holst M, Jeppesen PB, Joly F, Kelly D, Klek S, Irtun Ø, Olde Damink SW, Panisic M, Rasmussen HH, Staun M, Szczepanek K, Van Gossum A, Wanten G, Schneider SM, Shaffer J; Home Artificial Nutrition & Chronic Intestinal Failure; Acute Intestinal Failure Special Interest Groups of ESPEN. ESPEN endorsed recommendations. Definition and classification of intestinal failure in adults. *Clin Nutr* 2015; **34**: 171-180 [PMID: 25311444 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2014.08.017]
- 11 **Matarese LE**, O'Keefe SJ, Kandil HM, Bond G, Costa G, Abu-Elmagd K. Short bowel syndrome: clinical guidelines for nutrition management. *Nutr Clin Pract* 2005; **20**: 493-502 [PMID: 16207689 DOI: 10.1177/0115426505020005493]

- 12 **Thompson JS**, DiBaise JK, Iyer KR, Yeats M, Sudan DL. Postoperative short bowel syndrome. *J Am Coll Surg* 2005; **201**: 85-89 [PMID: 15978448 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2005.02.034]
- 13 **Nightingale J**, Woodward JM; Small Bowel and Nutrition Committee of the British Society of Gastroenterology. Guidelines for management of patients with a short bowel. *Gut* 2006; **55** Suppl 4: iv1-i12 [PMID: 16837533 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2006.091108]
- 14 **Kelly DG**, Tappenden KA, Winkler MF. Short bowel syndrome: highlights of patient management, quality of life, and survival. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2014; **38**: 427-437 [PMID: 24247092 DOI: 10.1177/0148607113512678]
- 15 **Doldi SB**. Intestinal adaptation following jejuno-ileal bypass. *Clin Nutr* 1991; **10**: 138-145 [PMID: 16839909 DOI: 10.1016/0261-5614(91)90049-i]
- 16 **Ziegler TR**, Fernández-Estívariz C, Gu LH, Bazargan N, Umeakunne K, Wallace TM, Diaz EE, Rosado KE, Pascal RR, Galloway JR, Wilcox JN, Leader LM. Distribution of the H<sup>+</sup>/peptide transporter PepT1 in human intestine: up-regulated expression in the colonic mucosa of patients with short-bowel syndrome. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002; **75**: 922-930 [PMID: 11976168 DOI: 10.1093/ajcn/75.5.922]
- 17 **Joly F**, Mayeur C, Messing B, Lavergne-Slove A, Cazals-Hatem D, Noordine ML, Cherbuy C, Duée PH, Thomas M. Morphological adaptation with preserved proliferation/transporter content in the colon of patients with short bowel syndrome. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2009; **297**: G116-G123 [PMID: 19389806 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.90657.2008]
- 18 **Levy E**, Frileux P, Sandrucci S, Ollivier JM, Masini JP, Cosnes J, Hannoun L, Parc R. Continuous enteral nutrition during the early adaptive stage of the short bowel syndrome. *Br J Surg* 1988; **75**: 549-553 [PMID: 3134973 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800750615]
- 19 **Marteau P**, Messing B, Arrigoni E, Briet F, Flourié B, Morin MC, Rambaud JC. Do patients with short-bowel syndrome need a lactose-free diet? *Nutrition* 1997; **13**: 13-16 [PMID: 9058441 DOI: 10.1016/s0899-9007(97)90872-8]
- 20 **Olieman JF**, Penning C, Ijsselstijn H, Escher JC, Joosten KF, Hulst JM, Tibboel D. Enteral nutrition in children with short-bowel syndrome: current evidence and recommendations for the clinician. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2010; **110**: 420-426 [PMID: 20184992 DOI: 10.1016/j.jada.2009.12.001]
- 21 **Pape UF**, Maasberg S, Pascher A. Pharmacological strategies to enhance adaptation in intestinal failure. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 2016; **21**: 147-152 [PMID: 26881493 DOI: 10.1097/MOT.0000000000000296]
- 22 **O'Keefe SJ**, Buchman AL, Fishbein TM, Jeejeebhoy KN, Jeppesen PB, Shaffer J. Short bowel syndrome and intestinal failure: consensus definitions and overview. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006; **4**: 6-10 [PMID: 16431298 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2005.10.002]
- 23 **Messing B**, Crenn P, Beau P, Boutron-Ruault MC, Rambaud JC, Matuchansky C. Long-term survival and parenteral nutrition dependence in adult patients with the short bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 1999; **117**: 1043-1050 [PMID: 10535866 DOI: 10.1016/s0016-5085(99)70388-4]
- 24 **Bielawska B**, Allard JP. Parenteral Nutrition and Intestinal Failure. *Nutrients* 2017; **9** [PMID: 28481229 DOI: 10.3390/nu9050466]
- 25 **Wu GH**, Wu ZH, Wu ZG. Effects of bowel rehabilitation and combined trophic therapy on intestinal adaptation in short bowel patients. *World J Gastroenterol* 2003; **9**: 2601-2604 [PMID: 14606106 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v9.i11.2601]
- 26 **Vlug LE**, Nagelkerke SCJ, Jonkers-Schuitema CF, Rings EHHM, Tabbers MM. The Role of a Nutrition Support Team in the Management of Intestinal Failure Patients. *Nutrients* 2020; **12** [PMID: 31936271 DOI: 10.3390/nu12010172]
- 27 **Guillen B**, Atherton NS. Short Bowel Syndrome. 2022 Jul 18. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022 Jan- [PMID: 30725620]
- 28 **Hofmann AF**, Poley JR. Role of bile acid malabsorption in pathogenesis of diarrhea and steatorrhea in patients with ileal resection. I. Response to cholestyramine or replacement of dietary long chain triglyceride by medium chain triglyceride. *Gastroenterology* 1972; **62**: 918-934 [PMID: 5029077]
- 29 **Ricotta J**, Zuidema GD, Gadacz TR, Sadri D. Construction of an ileocecal valve and its role in massive resection of the small intestine. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1981; **152**: 310-314 [PMID: 7466579]
- 30 **Weser E**. Nutritional aspects of malabsorption: short gut adaptation. *Clin Gastroenterol* 1983; **12**: 443-461 [PMID: 6347466]
- 31 **Dudrick SJ**, Latifi R, Fosnocht DE. Management of the short-bowel syndrome. *Surg Clin North Am* 1991; **71**: 625-643 [PMID: 1904648 DOI: 10.1016/s0039-6109(16)45438-1]
- 32 **Rombeau JL**, Rolandelli RH. Enteral and parenteral nutrition in patients with enteric fistulas and short bowel syndrome. *Surg Clin North Am* 1987; **67**: 551-571 [PMID: 3109044 DOI: 10.1016/s0039-6109(16)44232-5]
- 33 **Matarese LE**. Nutrition and fluid optimization for patients with short bowel syndrome. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2013; **37**: 161-170 [PMID: 23264168 DOI: 10.1177/0148607112469818]
- 34 **Sundaram A**, Koutkia P, Apovian CM. Nutritional management of short bowel syndrome in adults. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2002; **34**: 207-220 [PMID: 11873098 DOI: 10.1097/00004836-200203000-00003]
- 35 **Vanderhoof JA**, Young RJ. Enteral and parenteral nutrition in the care of patients with short-bowel syndrome. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2003; **17**: 997-1015 [PMID: 14642862 DOI: 10.1016/s1521-6918(03)00082-9]
- 36 **O'Keefe SJ**, Peterson ME, Fleming CR. Octreotide as an adjunct to home parenteral nutrition in the management of permanent end-jejunostomy syndrome. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1994; **18**: 26-34 [PMID: 8164299 DOI: 10.1177/014860719401800102]
- 37 **Joly F**, Dray X, Corcos O, Barbot L, Kapel N, Messing B. Tube feeding improves intestinal absorption in short bowel syndrome patients. *Gastroenterology* 2009; **136**: 824-831 [PMID: 19046971 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2008.10.084]
- 38 **Matarese LE**, Steiger E. Dietary and medical management of short bowel syndrome in adult patients. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006; **40** Suppl 2: S85-S93 [PMID: 16770167 DOI: 10.1097/01.mcg.0000212678.14172.7a]
- 39 **Nightingale JM**, Kamm MA, van der Sijp JR, Ghatei MA, Bloom SR, Lennard-Jones JE. Gastrointestinal hormones in short bowel syndrome. Peptide YY may be the 'colonic brake' to gastric emptying. *Gut* 1996; **39**: 267-272 [PMID: 8977342 DOI: 10.1136/gut.39.2.267]
- 40 **Jeppesen PB**, Hartmann B, Hansen BS, Thulesen J, Holst JJ, Mortensen PB. Impaired meal stimulated glucagon-like

- peptide 2 response in ileal resected short bowel patients with intestinal failure. *Gut* 1999; **45**: 559-563 [PMID: 10486365 DOI: 10.1136/gut.45.4.559]
- 41 **Nightingale JM**, Lennard-Jones JE, Walker ER, Farthing MJ. Jejunal efflux in short bowel syndrome. *Lancet* 1990; **336**: 765-768 [PMID: 1976145 DOI: 10.1016/0140-6736(90)93238-k]
- 42 **Nightingale JM**, Lennard-Jones JE, Gertner DJ, Wood SR, Bartram CI. Colonic preservation reduces need for parenteral therapy, increases incidence of renal stones, but does not change high prevalence of gall stones in patients with a short bowel. *Gut* 1992; **33**: 1493-1497 [PMID: 1452074 DOI: 10.1136/gut.33.11.1493]
- 43 **Kopczynska M**, Carlson G, Teubner A, Abraham A, Taylor M, Burden ST, Hvas CL, Jepsen P, Lal S. Long-Term Outcomes in Patients with Intestinal Failure Due to Short Bowel Syndrome and Intestinal Fistula. *Nutrients* 2022; **14** [PMID: 35406061 DOI: 10.3390/nu14071449]
- 44 **DiBaise JK**, Matarese LE, Messing B, Steiger E. Strategies for parenteral nutrition weaning in adult patients with short bowel syndrome. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006; **40** Suppl 2: S94-S98 [PMID: 16770168 DOI: 10.1097/01.mcg.0000212679.14172.33]
- 45 **Fuglsang KA**, Brandt CF, Jeppesen PB. Survival in patients initiating home parenteral support due to nonmalignant short bowel syndrome compared with background population. *Clin Nutr ESPEN* 2022; **50**: 170-177 [PMID: 35871920 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2022.05.023]
- 46 **R Margreiter**. The history of intestinal transplantation. *Transplant Rev* 1997; **11**: 9-21 [DOI: 10.1016/S0955-470X(97)80033-X]
- 47 **Matsumoto CS**, Subramanian S, Fishbein TM. Adult Intestinal Transplantation. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2018; **47**: 341-354 [PMID: 29735028 DOI: 10.1016/j.gtc.2018.01.011]
- 48 Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) Program Specific Reports. [cited 22 August 2022]. Available from: <https://www.srtr.org/reports>
- 49 **Schoeler M**, Klag T, Wendler J, Bernhard S, Adolph M, Kirschniak A, Goetz M, Malek N, Wehkamp J. GLP-2 analog teduglutide significantly reduces need for parenteral nutrition and stool frequency in a real-life setting. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2018; **11**: 1756284818793343 [PMID: 30364471 DOI: 10.1177/1756284818793343]
- 50 **Jeppesen PB**, Pertkiewicz M, Messing B, Iyer K, Seidner DL, O'keefe SJ, Forbes A, Heinze H, Joelsson B. Teduglutide reduces need for parenteral support among patients with short bowel syndrome with intestinal failure. *Gastroenterology* 2012; **143**: 1473-1481.e3 [PMID: 22982184 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.09.007]
- 51 **Bioletto F**, D'Eusebio C, Merlo FD, Aimasso U, Ossola M, Pellegrini M, Ponzo V, Chiarotto A, De Francesco A, Ghigo E, Bo S. Efficacy of Teduglutide for Parenteral Support Reduction in Patients with Short Bowel Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* 2022; **14** [PMID: 35215445 DOI: 10.3390/nu14040796]
- 52 **Nordgaard I**, Hansen BS, Mortensen PB. Colon as a digestive organ in patients with short bowel. *Lancet* 1994; **343**: 373-376 [PMID: 7905549 DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(94)91220-3]
- 53 **Jeppesen PB**, Mortensen PB. The influence of a preserved colon on the absorption of medium chain fat in patients with small bowel resection. *Gut* 1998; **43**: 478-483 [PMID: 9824573 DOI: 10.1136/gut.43.4.478]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**Help Desk:** <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

