

World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2023 April 26; 11(12): 2582-2854



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 11 Number 12 April 26, 2023

REVIEW

- 2582** Controversies in the management of acute pancreatitis: An update
Manrai M, Dawra S, Singh AK, Jha DK, Kochhar R
- 2604** Classification of osteogenesis imperfecta: Importance for prophylaxis and genetic counseling
Panzaru MC, Florea A, Caba L, Gorduza EV

MINIREVIEWS

- 2621** Emerging role of dual biologic therapy for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease
McCormack MD, Wahedna NA, Aldulaimi D, Hawker P
- 2631** Pancreatic cancer and depression
Michoglou K, Ravinthiranathan A, San Ti S, Dolly S, Thillai K
- 2637** Mediastinal lesions in children
Çinar HG, Gulmez AO, Üner Ç, Aydin S
- 2657** Exit strategies in inflammatory bowel disease: Looking beyond anti-tumor necrosis factors
Crispino F, Michielan A, Grova M, Tieppo C, Mazza M, Rogger TM, Armelao F
- 2670** Medicinal cannabis products for the treatment of acute pain
Fiore M, Alfieri A, Di Franco S, Petrou S, Damiani G, Pace MC
- 2677** Role of in vitamin D in irritable bowel syndrome
Yu XL, Wu QQ, He LP, Zheng YF

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

- 2684** Analysis of oxidative stress and antioxidative potential in premature ovarian insufficiency
Kakinuma K, Kakinuma T

Retrospective Study

- 2694** Surgical management of pituitary adenoma during pregnancy
Jia XY, Guo XP, Yao Y, Deng K, Lian W, Xing B
- 2708** Role of pre-existing incomplete intestinal metaplasia in gastric adenocarcinoma: A retrospective case series analysis
Bogdanova I, Polaka I, Aleksandraviča I, Dzērve Z, Anarkulova L, Novika V, Tolmanis I, Leja M

Observational Study

- 2716** Severe/critical COVID-19 early warning system based on machine learning algorithms using novel imaging scores
Li QY, An ZY, Pan ZH, Wang ZZ, Wang YR, Zhang XG, Shen N
- 2729** Mediating effect of mindfulness level on the relationship between marital quality and postpartum depression among primiparas
Yang J, Lin XZ, Guo QW, Wang CL, Yang RY, Zhang JW, Zeng Y
- 2740** Ferric carboxymaltose for anemia in Crohn's disease patients at a tertiary center: A retrospective observational cohort study
Siqueira NSN, Pascoal LB, Rodrigues BL, de Castro MM, Martins ASC, Araiho DOS, Gomes LEM, Camargo MG, Ayrizono MLS, Leal RF

META-ANALYSIS

- 2753** Is metaphyseal ulnar shortening osteotomy superior to diaphyseal ulnar shortening osteotomy in the treatment of ulnar impaction syndrome? A meta-analysis
Deng HL, Lu ML, Tang ZM, Mao QL, Zhao JM
- 2766** Relationship between body mass index and short-term postoperative prognosis in patients undergoing colorectal cancer surgery
Li Y, Deng JJ, Jiang J

CASE REPORT

- 2780** Cardiac amyloidosis presenting as pulmonary arterial hypertension: A case report
Gao M, Zhang WH, Zhang ZG, Yang N, Tong Q, Chen LP
- 2788** Short-term outcome of total knee replacement in a patient with hemophilia: A case report and review of literature
Yin DL, Lin JM, Li YH, Chen P, Zeng MD
- 2796** Modified inferior oblique anterior transposition for dissociated vertical deviation combined with superior oblique palsy: A case report
Zong Y, Wang Z, Jiang WL, Yang X
- 2803** Treatment of talipes equinovarus after triceps surae intramuscular hemangioma surgery by Ilizarov technology in adults: A case report
Chen ZX, Wang MY, Zhang C, Ding ZQ, Chen W
- 2811** Open surgery: Still a great option to treat patients with post-traumatic arteriovenous fistulas: A case report
Kalinin R, Suchkov I, Mzhavanadze N, Borisova Y, Panin I
- 2817** Recovery from Bell's palsy after treatment using uncultured umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells: A case report
Ahn H, Jung WJ, Lee SY, Lee KH

- 2825** Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor detected by technetium-99m methoxy-2-isobutylisonitrile single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography: A case report
Liu CJ, Yang HJ, Peng YC, Huang DY
- 2832** Furazolidone-induced pulmonary toxicity in *Helicobacter pylori* infection: Two case reports
Ye Y, Shi ZL, Ren ZC, Sun YL
- 2839** Efficacy of anlotinib combined with radioiodine to treat scalp metastasis of papillary thyroid cancer: A case report and review of literature
Zhang LY, Cai SJ, Liang BY, Yan SY, Wang B, Li MY, Zhao WX
- 2848** Endoscopic ultrasound-guided transrectal drainage of a pelvic abscess after Hinchey II sigmoid colon diverticulitis: A case report
Drnovšek J, Čebren Ž, Grosek J, Janež J

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Mohamed Eltayeb Abdelrahman Naiem, MBBS, MD, Assistant Professor, Surgeon, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Khartoum, Khartoum 102, Sudan. m-altayeb@live.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (WJCC, *World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.534; IF without journal self cites: 1.491; 5-year IF: 1.599; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.28; Ranking: 135 among 172 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4. The WJCC's CiteScore for 2021 is 1.2 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: General Medicine is 443/826.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: *Ying-Yi Yuan*; Production Department Director: *Xu Guo*; Editorial Office Director: *Jin-Lei Wang*.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja Hyeon Ku

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

April 26, 2023

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Emerging role of dual biologic therapy for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease

Matthew D McCormack, Natasha A Wahedna, David Aldulaimi, Peter Hawker

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): 0
Grade C (Good): C
Grade D (Fair): D
Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Dai C, China; Sassaki LY, Brazil

Received: September 19, 2022

Peer-review started: September 19, 2022

First decision: October 19, 2022

Revised: February 7, 2023

Accepted: March 24, 2023

Article in press: March 24, 2023

Published online: April 26, 2023



Matthew D McCormack, Natasha A Wahedna, David Aldulaimi, Peter Hawker, Department of Gastroenterology, South Warwickshire NHS Foundation Trust, Warwick Hospital, Warwick CV34 5BW, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: Matthew D McCormack, MBChB, Doctor, Department of Gastroenterology, South Warwickshire NHS Foundation Trust, Warwick Hospital, Lakin Road, Warwick CV34 5BW, United Kingdom. m.mccormack3@nhs.net

Abstract

Biologic agents have now been used in the management of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) for many years where experience, expertise and confidence in their use has developed over time. In the United Kingdom, there are well established guidelines and recommendations for both single agent biologic treatments, and with combination therapy of a biologic agent with a small molecule agent in maintenance therapy. In recent times, there has been increasing interest and experience using dual biologic therapy (DBT) in IBD, primarily in difficult to treat and refractory cases with high disease burden. However, published data on use, experience and safety profiles is limited and large-scale studies remain low in number in this developing area. We therefore aim to present a summary and review of the available published data in this area to help us better understand the emerging role of DBT in IBD.

Key Words: Dual biologic therapy; Inflammatory bowel disease; Crohn's disease; Ulcerative colitis; Combination therapy; Biologic safety

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: For patients with difficult to treat or refractory inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), biologic therapy and combination therapy with small molecule agents has helped improve patient outcomes and maintenance success. There is growing interest in the use of dual biologic therapy in IBD but published data remains limited. Whilst initial data suggests positive effects on reduction in morbidity, more studies are still required in this emerging area with further comparison of different biologic combinations and their safety profiles.

Citation: McCormack MD, Wahedna NA, Aldulaimi D, Hawker P. Emerging role of dual biologic therapy for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. *World J Clin Cases* 2023; 11(12): 2621-2630

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v11/i12/2621.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v11.i12.2621>

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) comprises Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) and is typically characterised by chronic inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract with a relapsing and remitting disease course that can lead to significant complications and morbidity[1]. Disease burden for many patients remains onerous and although some patients can achieve remission through corticosteroids or aminosalicylates, effective maintenance therapy is key to successful outcomes and reduction in life-long complications such as strictures, fistulas, abscess or surgical resection[2]. Whilst there is well established experience and national guidance for varying maintenance therapies, the use of dual biological maintenance therapy in difficult to treat and refractory disease is still a novel area with little research or published data.

The British Society of Gastroenterology consensus guidelines on the management of IBD in adults (2019)[3] provides guidance on single-agent biologic therapy in CD and UC, sequential therapy in UC following treatment failure, and combined biologic and small molecule therapies in CD. However, combinations of biologics have yet to be included in this emerging area.

In recent years, advancements in the medical management of IBD have been seen and aided by novel small molecule and biologic drugs, but observed clinical response still remains limited and sub-optimal. Treatment strategies for IBD are therefore rapidly changing to help combat ongoing disease burden and morbidity. At present, clinician experience has guided potential novel therapy combinations with most data outlined in case reports and case series in the absence of large-scale studies[4]. Dual biologic therapy (DBT) presents an attractive and potentially safe option for those who have failed previous biologic therapies and have refractory disease. We therefore aim to outline, summarise and review the current available literature on the use of dual biological therapy for IBD, and establish whether such treatment could be beneficial in severe and/or refractory cases.

COMBINATION THERAPY

Biologic agents are commonly used as monotherapy for IBD, however only 40% of patients achieve remission within one year of therapy[5]. Consequently, the use of monoclonal antibodies which target tumour necrosis factor, TNF (infliximab, adalimumab, etanercept, and golimumab) in combination with newer agents which target interleukin (IL)-12 and IL-23 (ustekinumab, UST), a4b7-integrin (vedolizumab, VDZ) or a4-integrin (natalizumab), has become an increasing area of interest in patients with high disease burden. Patients often selected for DBT either present with refractory IBD and/or poorly controlled extra-intestinal symptoms[6].

VDZ, an anti-integrin, is a popular choice of biologic used in combination, often with anti-TNF treatment. It binds to a4b7, where T lymphocytes require a4b7 bound to mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule 1 (MAdCAM-1) in order to migrate within the gastrointestinal tract. Inhibition therefore reduces inflammation by preventing T cells migrating to the gut mucosa[7,8]. IL-12/23 stimulates production of interferon- γ and TNF. UST has also been used in a number of cases[9-11], which inhibits IL-12 and IL-23 by binding to the p40 subunit (high levels of which are expressed in IBD), therefore preventing them from binding to receptors on T cells and natural killer (NK) cells[12].

Whilst combination therapy with a biologic and immunomodulator has previously been well studied; such as in the 2010 Study of Biologic and Immunomodulator Naive Patients in Crohn's Disease (SONIC) trial[13]; there has been relatively little research in this area for the use of DBT in IBD. It should be noted however, that the first reports indicating safety of DBT emerged as early as 2007[14].

There have been several reports, including the aforementioned 2007 randomised controlled trial by Sands *et al*[14], retrospective studies, case studies (which make up the majority of the reports available), and systematic reviews, which concur that dual therapy may be a safe option for treating severe IBD and extra-intestinal symptoms[4]. These are summarised in Table 1.

RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL

In 2007, Sands *et al*[14] studied the use of infliximab (IFX) in combination with natalizumab for treatment of CD in a randomised control trial, where efficacy and safety of this combination had not been previously reported. Seventy-nine patients were identified with a CD activity index (CAI) score

Table 1 Summary of trials, case reports and retrospective studies on dual biological therapy for inflammatory bowel disease

| Ref. | Study type | No. of patients | Disease | Treatment used |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Sands <i>et al</i> [14], 2007 | RCT | 79 | CD | IFX + natalizumab |
| Anita and Michael [16], 2016 | CR | 1 | CD | VDZ + ADA |
| Bethge <i>et al</i> [17], 2017 | CR | 1 | UC | VDZ + ETN |
| Liu and Loomes[9], 2017 | CR | 1 | CD | UST + VDZ |
| Huff-Hardy <i>et al</i> [10], 2017 | CR | 1 | CD | UST + VDZ |
| Roblin <i>et al</i> [19], 2018 | CR | 1 | UC | GOL + VDZ |
| Buer <i>et al</i> [20], 2018 | CS | 10 | 4 × CD, 6 × UC | Anti-TNF + VDZ |
| Mao <i>et al</i> [21], 2018 | CS | 4 | CD | VDZ + UST/GOL |
| Olbjørn <i>et al</i> [11], 2020 | CS | 13 | 9 × CD, 4 × UC | IFX + UST/VDZ |
| Glassner <i>et al</i> [22], 2020 | Retrospective | 50 | CD + UC | UST + ANTI-TNF/VDZ, tofacitinib + VDZ/UST/anti-TNF, Cyclosporin, rituximab, SEC, leflunomide, tacrolimus |
| Yang <i>et al</i> [23], 2020 | Retrospective | 22 | CD | VDZ + UST/anti-TNF, UST + anti-TNF |
| Privitera <i>et al</i> [24], 2020 | Retrospective | 16 | 11 × CD, 5 × UC | UST + CZP/IFX/ADA/VDZ, VDZ + ADA/SEC/IFX/CZP/aprelimast |
| Kwapisz <i>et al</i> [26], 2021 | Retrospective | 15 | 14 × CD, 1 × UC | VDZ + anti-TNF/UST, UST + anti-TNF/VDZ |
| Goessens <i>et al</i> [27], 2021 | Retrospective | 98 | 58 × CD, 40 × UC | ADA + VDZ/UST, VDZ + INF + azathioprine, VDZ + UST + azathioprine, UST + ETN, IFX + VDZ + methotrexate, CZP + VDZ + methotrexate |
| No author listed [15], 2022 | RCT | 214 | UC | GOL + guselkumab |

ADA: Adalimumab; CD: Crohn's disease; CR: Case report; CS: Case series; CZP: Certolizumab; ETN: Etanercept; GOL: Golimumab; IBD: Inflammatory bowel disease; IFX: Infliximab; RCT: Randomised controlled trial; SEC: Secukinumab; TNF: Tumour necrosis factor; UC: Ulcerative colitis; UST: Ustekinumab; VDZ: Vedolizumab.

of 150 or more whilst on IFX; fifty-two of these were treated with both IFX and natalizumab, whilst twenty-seven remained in the control group and received IFX plus a placebo. Those who received combination treatment showed an improvement in disease compared to the control; mean CDAI score was noted to be lower in those who received both IFX and natalizumab, compared to IFX alone.

Overall, combination therapy was deemed to be well tolerated and whilst both groups experienced adverse effects including infection, rates were similar in both groups. Other effects reported were headache, nausea and exacerbation of CD. The data suggested that treating patients with combination therapy had a greater efficacy than IFX monotherapy. Additionally, more patients developed antibodies to IFX compared to natalizumab (4% and 14%, respectively), and 5% developed hypersensitivity reactions to IFX but this was not seen in any patients secondary to natalizumab.

More recently, the VEGA study[15] assessed the use of guselkumab (an IL-23 subunit antagonist) in combination with golimumab for the treatment of moderate to severe UC. Of the 214 patients in the trial, those treated with combination therapy achieved a greater response (36.6% remission) compared to patients on monotherapy of guselkumab or golimumab (21.1% and 22.2% remission, respectively). Adverse events were deemed comparable between the groups, with one case developing severe influenza and sepsis.

CASE REPORTS

In 2016, Anita and Michael[16] reported a case of CD in a 23-year-old woman with enterocolitis, who had disease recurrence following multiple immunomodulators in combination with IFX, the latter of which led to an infusion reaction. The patient was initially switched to adalimumab (ADA) with 6-mercaptopurine (6MP) in 2006 with an initial response, however had relapsed within six years. Certolizumab pegol was ineffective, and moderate colitis was noted on colonoscopy. Subsequently, she was

commenced on VDZ, 6MP and prednisolone. The patient continued to be symptomatic and was unable to reduce the steroid dose, and was therefore started on ADA in combination with VDZ. The patient showed improvement symptomatically, biochemically and endoscopically after 3 mo. Steroids and 6MP were discontinued. VDZ was stopped after 6 mo, and she continued ADA alone, with no further symptoms and normal inflammatory markers noted.

Much like in 2016, anti-TNF has been used in combination with VDZ in additional case reports. A study in Germany reported successful therapy of severe chronic refractory pouchitis, and spondyloarthritis (SpA)[17]. Interestingly, VDZ monotherapy led to intestinal symptoms improving, however SpA worsened. The addition of etanercept (ETN) led to resolution of the SpA symptoms. Whilst arthralgia has been reported as a common side effect of VDZ[18], Bethge *et al*[17] concluded that as there was no statistical significance in the test group *vs* the placebo group and suggest that VDZ may not provide benefits to IBD related arthralgia, rather than causing the symptoms.

Additional reports from France[19], Norway[11,20] and the United States[21] have reported that dual therapy was safe and generally well tolerated, with clinical and endoscopic remission in both CD and UC.

Roblin and colleagues reported a case of severe UC with ankylosing spondylitis (AS) who initially received IFX and methotrexate, then ADA and methotrexate, followed by VDZ for treatment of recurrence. Despite this, the patient developed recurrence of AS symptoms and raised inflammatory markers but after one year of combined treatment with golimumab (GOL) and VDZ, the patient was in remission both clinically and endoscopically[19].

In Norway, ten IBD patients were given DBT; nine received IFX and VDZ and one received ADA and VDZ. Eight patients were able to stop IFX; after follow-up, all were in clinical remission, whilst six were in biochemical remission and five were in endoscopic remission[20]. A later study in Norway, which looked at IBD in children found that combination therapy was well tolerated. Of the eight patients who received IFX and VDZ, four achieved clinical remission, whilst the remaining four required surgery. They noted that VDZ therapy alone led to a flare up of IBD in two of their patients. Additionally, UST alone was useful in treating skin lesions, such as psoriasis, but similarly to VDZ, monotherapy did not alleviate IBD symptoms, highlighting that combination therapy was more beneficial[11].

Mao *et al*[21] reported four cases of CD treated with DBT; one patient remained symptomatic due to CD; he was initially treated with ADA, but later developed antibodies, and was subsequently switched to VDZ with azathioprine. Endoscopically, the patient demonstrated ongoing terminal ileitis, and later developed worsening AS symptoms. He was subsequently commenced on ETN, as his AS had previously responded to anti-TNF. VDZ was switched to UST and joint pain remained controlled. The remaining three cases achieved remission of CD following treatment with VDZ and UST, VDZ, and GOL and VDZ, GOL and 6MP, respectively. All four patients tolerated dual therapy, further supporting the use of VDZ in DBT[21].

UST has also been reported in studies from 2017; Liu and Loomes[9] reported a case of severe CD and iron deficiency anaemia on UST alongside azathioprine, allopurinol, mesalazine (oral and per rectal suppository) and budesonide. Symptoms continued, faecal calprotectin (FCP) remained elevated, and magnetic resonance imaging demonstrated ongoing inflammation. Consequently, VDZ was added, with subsequent mucosal healing, reduced FCP and symptom control[9].

UST was used by a team in the United States, also for refractory CD, associated with strictures (requiring end ileostomy) and vulvo-perianal disease where UST alone was not effective. The addition of VDZ and methotrexate starting post-proctectomy and perianal reconstruction, achieved remission endoscopically, radiologically and biochemically[10].

RETROSPECTIVE STUDIES

In 2020, Glassner *et al*[22] published a retrospective study looking at combination biologic or small molecule therapy in IBD. The study included fifty patients who had failed to respond to biologic monotherapy. Fifty percent were found to be in clinical remission, and 36% in endoscopic remission, and inflammatory markers were also found to have improved[22].

A later retrospective study including twenty-four trials of DBT in twenty-two patients, found endoscopic improvement on 43% of trials and 26% endoscopic remission (assessed *via* Simplified Endoscopic Score). Clinically; 50% had clinical response and 41% were in clinical remission (CD patient-reported outcome 2 score; PRO-2). Additionally, perianal fistulas reduced from 50% to 33%. Various biologics were used, however VDZ plus UST was found to have a higher rate of endoscopic improvement; endoscopic remission and adverse event rates were similar to other combinations of DBT[23].

An Italian study, also from 2020[24], included sixteen patients with IBD, of which, fourteen had IBD with concurrent extra-intestinal manifestations. Seven of these started dual therapy due to intestinal symptoms, whilst nine received treatment for extra-intestinal symptoms, such as psoriasis or arthritis. The patients were treated with VDZ plus anti-TNF or UST. Eight patients were receiving corticosteroids and six of these were able to withdraw them following DBT. One patient had reactivation of pouchitis,

which improved with VDZ. Reactivation was thought to be due to secukinumab induction, which inhibits IL-17; as this has been reported to be associated with developing IBD or relapse and is generally advised to be used with caution in those with IBD[25].

Further data from the United States similarly demonstrates improvement in IBD with DBT; fifteen patients were treated with a combination of biologics (anti-TNF and VDZ, $n = 8$; anti-TNF and UST, $n = 2$; UST and VDZ, $n = 5$). Eleven patients reported improvement in symptoms, ten were able to reduce their use of corticosteroids and four demonstrated endoscopic or radiological improvement. However, three of the fifteen patients needed further surgery[26].

A 2021 European retrospective study identified 104 combinations in 98 patients; combination therapy was used for active IBD in 67% of cases, and 27% of cases were for extra-intestinal manifestations (EIM) or active immune mediated inflammatory disease (IMIM) (AS, psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis). In 70% of patients, disease activity clinically improved, whilst EIM/IMIM activity improved in 81%. Worsening of symptoms was seen in 16 combinations (13 for IMID, 3 for EIM)[27].

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

A 2019 systematic review with pool analysis aimed to assess the effectiveness and safety of DBT with anti-TNF, VDZ or UST. Seven studies were included, comprising of a total of eighteen patients (fifteen were treated with anti-TNF plus VDZ, whilst the remaining three were treated with VDZ and UST). Overall, all patients were found to have clinical improvement, whilst 93% of patients showed endoscopic improvement, and no serious adverse events were noted[28].

Ahmed *et al*[29] published a later systematic review with meta-analysis which identified 30 studies with 288 trials of dual biologic or small molecule therapy in 279 patients; 48% were treated with anti-TNF plus VDZ, 19% were treated with UST and VDZ and 7% were treated with anti-TNF plus UST. Pooled rate of clinical remission was 59%, whilst endoscopic remission was 34%, with 12% needing surgical intervention. Overall, combination therapy showed better response in patients with extra-intestinal manifestations compared to those with refractory IBD alone.

The most recent available systematic review with meta-analysis (2022) also suggested that DBT and small molecule combined with biologic therapy (SBT) was a safe and effective therapeutic option with the caveat that evidence is still limited[30]. 13 studies were included, comprising a total of 273 patients undergoing 279 trials; seven patients (eight trials) were excluded due to being on biologics/small molecule therapy that was not approved by the FDA. Patients on VDZ and anti-TNF achieved 77.9% clinical response and 55.1% clinical remission. Those on VDZ plus tofacitinib (a Janus kinase, JAK inhibitor) showed clinical response of 59.9% and clinical remission of 47.8%. Patients administered VDZ plus UST had a pooled clinical response of 83.9% and remission 47.0%.

ADVERSE EFFECTS

Wheat *et al*[31] concluded in their meta-analysis that therapies used in the treatment for IBD were not linked to increased risk of serious infection. Furthermore, no specific treatment combination demonstrated a higher risk of serious infection compared to others. However, due to the small number of studies included for specific therapies, confidence intervals were subsequently wide, and therefore a significant increase cannot be excluded. Patients on a combination of biologics and immunomodulators did not show an increased risk compared to those on biologic monotherapy.

A systematic review by Bonovas *et al*[32] found that the use of biologics increased the risk of infection (odds ratio, OR 1.19), and these drugs also posed a significant increase in the risk of opportunistic infections (OR 1.90). Similar to Wheat *et al*[31], risk of serious infection was not found to be higher in patients on biologics (OR 0.89), and in fact showed to reduce this risk. Furthermore, they found that biologics did not increase the risk of malignancy, however data on this area remains insufficient.

In 2019, Borren *et al*[33] also carried out a systematic review with meta-analysis to establish the safety of biologic therapy in older patients. Fourteen studies identified that older patients were at higher risk of malignancy (OR 3.07) compared to younger patients, and infection (OR 3.60) compared to those who did not use biologics. Interestingly, comparison of older patients who used biologics to older patients not on biologics did not show higher odds of malignancy (OR 0.54). It concluded that older age is a recognised risk factor for malignancy, however biologics themselves do not appear to be linked to a higher risk of cancer.

Nevertheless, it is important to note that these analyses were based on studies on patients on either biologic monotherapy, or a combination of biologics with immunomodulators. Data on infection risk and long-term effects in DBT remains limited and therefore it is difficult to ascertain whether these risks apply to patients on DBT.

From review of the literature, whilst adverse events include arthralgia, IBD flare up and skin lesions (including eczema and psoriasis), infections appear to be the most common issue reported, which are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2 Summary of infections reported in randomised controlled trial and case studies of patients on dual biologic therapy for inflammatory bowel disease

| Ref. | Infections documented |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Sands <i>et al</i> [14], 2007 | Nasopharyngitis |
| Buer <i>et al</i> [20], 2018 | Tonsillitis × 2 Sinusitis × 1 |
| Olbjørn <i>et al</i> [11], 2020 | Skin infection |
| Mao <i>et al</i> [21], 2018 | Clostridium difficile × 2 Hand, foot and mouth disease Influenza |
| Yang <i>et al</i> [23], 2020 | Pneumonia Clostridium difficile Actinobacter bacteraemia |
| Privitera <i>et al</i> [24], 2020 | Perianal abscess |
| Kwapisz <i>et al</i> [26], 2021 | Salmonella Clostridium difficile 4 × patients needing antibiotics |
| Goessens <i>et al</i> [27], 2021 | Osteomyelitis Enterocutaneous fistula infection Perianal abscess Viral URTI Campylobacter Pneumonia Herpetic meningoencephalitis Oesophageal candidiasis Influenza |

URTI: Upper respiratory tract infections.

DISCUSSION

Although Sands *et al*[14] established that natalizumab was able to lead to improvement in disease burden in patients with CD, clinicians have subsequently opted for alternative biologics with a safer profile. Natalizumab binds to the α4 subunit of integrin to prevent interaction with MAdCAM-1. Similar to the more specific VDZ, natalizumab prevents migration of lymphocytes into the mucosa, thus reducing T cell mediated inflammation[34]. Whilst this has been approved in the United States for CD, its associated risk with developing progressive leukoencephalopathy due to the John Cunningham virus has led to a lack of widespread use[35].

VDZ was a prevalent choice in many of the cases examined, however it was noted that in some patients, it did not control extra-intestinal manifestations when used alone. For example, patients reported arthralgia[17,20], and in some cases they developed severe and disabling symptoms of concurrent arthropathy, which subsequently improved on administering an anti-TNF agent[19,21]. In a paediatric study, lack of IFX led to a flare of IBD in one patient, another also experienced an IBD flare and rheumatoid arthritis, whilst one developed a perianal fistula[11]. As a result, it has been suggested that the use of DBT may be helpful as a form of bridging therapy until a patient is in remission[24]. Furthermore, the use of VDZ or UST is an attractive possibility due to their favourable safety profile[23] combining biologics with different mechanisms of action may be safer and more effective. Although current data is encouraging in terms of the use of DBT, it is still unclear which combination works best; many favour the use of anti-TNF plus VDZ or UST but anti-TNF is not always an option, especially for patients in which this is contra-indicated or not tolerated[36].

In addition to DBT, other agents such as JAK inhibitors are also increasing in use. JAK inhibitors are a class of small molecule drugs which have proven useful in the treatment of a range of inflammatory conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, dermatitis, and IBD. Le Berre *et al*[36] published the first case report involving a JAK inhibitor; a 67-year-old woman with UC, associated with HLA B27 positive SpA. The patient developed multiple mononeuropathies secondary to IFX, which then resolved on discontinuation. As a result, further anti-TNF therapy was deemed a contraindication in this patient. She was subsequently treated with VDZ and methotrexate but went on to develop arthralgia and flare up of her IBD. Methotrexate was replaced with tofacitinib, with clinical remission of symptoms within three months.

Tofacitinib has been approved for use in moderate to severe UC, where treatment with anti-TNF has failed. Unlike biologics, which are given intravenously and can have a slow onset of action, JAK inhibitors can be administered orally, with a rapid onset of action, short half-life and does not trigger an immune response[37]. Whilst other studies have found that the use of anti-TNF may help with extra-intestinal manifestations, this study also highlights an alternative option for managing these symptoms.

JAK inhibitors should, however, be used with caution, as they have been associated with an increased risk of developing Herpes zoster infection, thus highlighting the need to consider vaccination in high-risk patients[38]. Additionally, JAK inhibitors are thought to also increase the risk of cardiac event, malignancy, venous thromboembolism and gastrointestinal perforation[37,39]. Although, these events occur in higher numbers in patients who are at higher risk (for example, active UC is deemed a hypercoagulable state), suggesting that there is no significant difference in the risks due to JAK inhibitors compared to biologics[40].

From the data that is currently available, DBT in IBD may be a suitable option for managing severe refractory cases with or without extra-intestinal symptoms. Whilst rates of remission may vary, it is important to note that the population included in these studies are already high risk and difficult to treat. As a result, worsening of symptoms and/or requiring surgical intervention should come as no surprise, particularly in those with strictures or perianal disease. These cases could instead, be deemed as a failure to respond to medical therapy, rather than a true complication of combined biologic therapy [27].

In terms of adverse events, Goessens *et al*[27] reported that 42% of patients experienced 42 significant adverse events in total, particularly infections. Furthermore, Alayo *et al*[30] reported that the most common adverse event from their systematic review and meta-analysis was increased infection, making up approximately 75% of all events. This risk may be reduced by considering discontinuation of immunomodulators prior to starting DBT[22]. Interestingly, the use of biologics has been shown to increase the risk of opportunistic infections but reduce the risk of serious infections in some meta-analyses[31,32]. Although increased infections have been noted in many of the cases reported so far, it is important to consider whether this was somewhat inevitable due to being on a biological agent, or, if these are a direct consequence of being on dual therapy. The former hypothesis is supported by the VEGA study, which found comparable rates on infection in patients on dual therapy and monotherapy with biologics. More importantly, when considering long term effects of these agents, they did not report any cases of malignancy, tuberculosis or deaths[15].

Additionally, a prospective observational study by CLARITY IBD found that patients on infliximab treatment were more prone to breakthrough infection due to SARS-CoV-2 (despite three doses of appropriate vaccination) compared to those on vedolizumab, highlighting the importance of booster doses[41].

At present, one of the main limitations when evaluating the safety and efficacy of the use of DBT is the lack of further randomised controlled trials. Thus, short- and long-term safety profiles of biologic combinations in IBD is yet to be investigated in detail. Randomised controlled trials in other inflammatory conditions highlight some serious adverse effects, particularly in patients being treated with anti-TNF[5]. To combat this, IBD has gut specific options available in the form of VDZ and UST, and the combination of these two agents has shown some encouraging results[9,10].

As DBT does not demonstrate 100% efficacy and potentially increases the risk of some adverse events, it is important to explain both risks and intended benefits of treatment to patients using joint decision-making processes and discuss cases using a multi-disciplinary team approach[42].

CONCLUSION

The increasing use of dual biologics, alongside small molecule therapies, particularly in earlier disease, may help to improve cost effectiveness and reduce morbidity experienced by patients with IBD. However, further dedicated research is still needed in this area particularly looking into efficacy and short- and long-term safety profiles of DBT, but reported data, albeit small in number, remains promising at this stage.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: McCormack MD and Wahedna NA carried out literature search, data summary, review and draft manuscript preparation; McCormack MD and Wahedna NA wrote the manuscript in consultation with Aldulaimi D and Hawker who supervised; all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: United Kingdom

ORCID number: Matthew D McCormack 0000-0002-5280-5965; David Aldulaimi 0000-0003-4799-4543.

S-Editor: Chen YL

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Yuan YY

REFERENCES

- Xia B, Crusius J, Meuwissen S, Pena A. Inflammatory bowel disease: definition, epidemiology, etiologic aspects, and immunogenetic studies. *World J Gastroenterol* 1998; **4**: 446-458 [PMID: 11819343 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v4.i5.446]
- Cai Z, Wang S, Li J. Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Comprehensive Review. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2021; **8**: 765474 [PMID: 34988090 DOI: 10.3389/fmed.2021.765474]
- Lamb CA, Kennedy NA, Raine T, Hendy PA, Smith PJ, Limdi JK, Hayee B, Lomer MCE, Parkes GC, Selinger C, Barrett KJ, Davies RJ, Bennett C, Gittens S, Dunlop MG, Faiz O, Fraser A, Garrick V, Johnston PD, Parkes M, Sanderson J, Terry H; IBD guidelines eDelphi consensus group, Gaya DR, Iqbal TH, Taylor SA, Smith M, Brookes M, Hansen R, Hawthorne AB. British Society of Gastroenterology consensus guidelines on the management of inflammatory bowel disease in adults. *Gut* 2019; **68**: s1-s106 [PMID: 31562236 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-318484]
- Haider M, Lashner B. Dual Targeted Therapy for the Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2021; **55**: 661-666 [PMID: 34238847 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.0000000000001583]
- Hirten RP, Iacucci M, Shah S, Ghosh S, Colombel JF. Combining Biologics in Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Other Immune Mediated Inflammatory Disorders. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; **16**: 1374-1384 [PMID: 29481970 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2018.02.024]
- Gold SL, Steinlauf AF. Efficacy and Safety of Dual Biologic Therapy in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Review of the Literature. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y)* 2021; **17**: 406-414 [PMID: 34602905]
- Wyant T, Fedyk E, Abhyankar B. An Overview of the Mechanism of Action of the Monoclonal Antibody Vedolizumab. *J Crohns Colitis* 2016; **10**: 1437-1444 [PMID: 27252400 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjw092]
- Rogler G. Mechanism of action of vedolizumab: do we really understand it? *Gut* 2019; **68**: 4-5 [PMID: 30077996 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316777]
- Liu EY, Loomes DE. Ustekinumab and Vedolizumab Dual Biologic Therapy in the Treatment of Crohn's Disease. *Case Rep Med* 2017; **2017**: 5264216 [PMID: 29250117 DOI: 10.1155/2017/5264216]
- Huff-Hardy K, Bedair M, Vazquez R, Burstein E. Efficacy of Combination Vedolizumab and Ustekinumab for Refractory Crohn's Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2017; **23**: E49 [PMID: 28858074 DOI: 10.1097/MIB.0000000000001232]
- Olbjørn C, Rove JB, Jahnsen J. Combination of Biological Agents in Moderate to Severe Pediatric Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Case Series and Review of the Literature. *Paediatr Drugs* 2020; **22**: 409-416 [PMID: 32378002 DOI: 10.1007/s40272-020-00396-1]
- Benson JM, Peritt D, Scallon BJ, Heavner GA, Shealy DJ, Giles-Komar JM, Mascelli MA. Discovery and mechanism of ustekinumab: a human monoclonal antibody targeting interleukin-12 and interleukin-23 for treatment of immune-mediated disorders. *MAbs* 2011; **3**: 535-545 [PMID: 22123062 DOI: 10.4161/mabs.3.6.17815]
- Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Reinisch W, Mantzaris GJ, Kornbluth A, Rachmilewitz D, Lichtiger S, D'Haens G, Diamond RH, Broussard DL, Tang KL, van der Woude CJ, Rutgeerts P; SONIC Study Group. Infliximab, azathioprine, or combination therapy for Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2010; **362**: 1383-1395 [PMID: 20393175 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0904492]
- Sands BE, Kozarek R, Spainhour J, Barish CF, Becker S, Goldberg L, Katz S, Goldblum R, Harrigan R, Hilton D, Hanauer SB. Safety and tolerability of concurrent natalizumab treatment for patients with Crohn's disease not in remission while receiving infliximab. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2007; **13**: 2-11 [PMID: 17206633 DOI: 10.1002/ibd.20014]
- Efficacy and Safety of Combination Induction Therapy With Guselkumab and Golimumab in Participants With Moderately to Severely Active Ulcerative Colitis: Results Through Week 12 of a Phase 2a Randomized, Double-Blind, Active-Controlled, Parallel-Group, Multicenter, Proof-of-Concept Study. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y)* 2022; **18**: 9-10 [PMID: 35610992]
- Anita A, Michael C. Combination of Biologic Agents in the Management of Severe Refractory Crohn's Disease: A Case Report of Concomitant Treatment with Vedolizumab and Adalimumab. *American J Gastroenterol* 2016; **111**: ; S823-S824

- [DOI: [10.14309/00000434-201610001-01725](https://doi.org/10.14309/00000434-201610001-01725)]
- 17 **Bethge J**, Meffert S, Ellrichmann M, Conrad C, Nikolaus S, Schreiber S. Combination therapy with vedolizumab and etanercept in a patient with pouchitis and spondylarthritis. *BMJ Open Gastroenterol* 2017; **4**: e000127 [PMID: [28243458](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28243458/) DOI: [10.1136/bmjgast-2016-000127](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgast-2016-000127)]
 - 18 **Rubin D**, Feagan B, Dryden G, Melmed G, Lasch K, Abhyankar B, Xu J, James A, Thiny M. The Effect of Vedolizumab on Extraintestinal Manifestations in Patients with Crohn's Disease in GEMINI 2. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2016; **22**: S42-S43 [DOI: [10.1097/01.MIB.0000480260.28955.65](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.MIB.0000480260.28955.65)]
 - 19 **Roblin X**, Paul S, Ben-Horin S. Co-treatment With Golimumab and Vedolizumab to Treat Severe UC and Associated Spondyloarthritis. *J Crohns Colitis* 2018; **12**: 379-380 [PMID: [29088342](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29088342/) DOI: [10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjx142](https://doi.org/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjx142)]
 - 20 **Buer LCT**, Hoivik ML, Warren DJ, Medhus AW, Moum BA. Combining Anti-TNF- α and Vedolizumab in the Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Case Series. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2018; **24**: 997-1004 [PMID: [29668901](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29668901/) DOI: [10.1093/ibd/izx110](https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izx110)]
 - 21 **Mao EJ**, Lewin S, Terdiman JP, Beck K. Safety of dual biological therapy in Crohn's disease: a case series of vedolizumab in combination with other biologics. *BMJ Open Gastroenterol* 2018; **5**: e000243 [PMID: [30538822](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30538822/) DOI: [10.1136/bmjgast-2018-000243](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgast-2018-000243)]
 - 22 **Glassner K**, Oglat A, Duran A, Koduru P, Perry C, Wilhite A, Abraham BP. The use of combination biological or small molecule therapy in inflammatory bowel disease: A retrospective cohort study. *J Dig Dis* 2020; **21**: 264-271 [PMID: [32324969](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32324969/) DOI: [10.1111/1751-2980.12867](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-2980.12867)]
 - 23 **Yang E**, Panaccione N, Whitmire N, Dulai PS, Vande Casteele N, Singh S, Boland BS, Collins A, Sandborn WJ, Panaccione R, Battat R. Efficacy and safety of simultaneous treatment with two biologic medications in refractory Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2020; **51**: 1031-1038 [PMID: [32329532](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32329532/) DOI: [10.1111/apt.15719](https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.15719)]
 - 24 **Privitera G**, Onali S, Pugliese D, Renna S, Savarino E, Viola A, Ribaldone DG, Buda A, Bezzio C, Fiorino G, Fantini MC, Scaldaferrì F, Guidi L, Danese S, Gasbarrini A, Orlando A, Armuzzi A. Dual Targeted Therapy: a possible option for the management of refractory Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2020 [PMID: [32674156](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32674156/) DOI: [10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa149](https://doi.org/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa149)]
 - 25 **Fobelo Lozano MJ**, Serrano Giménez R, Castro Fernández M. Emergence of Inflammatory Bowel Disease During Treatment With Secukinumab. *J Crohns Colitis* 2018; **12**: 1131-1133 [PMID: [29746636](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29746636/) DOI: [10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjy063](https://doi.org/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjy063)]
 - 26 **Kwapisz L**, Raffals LE, Bruining DH, Pardi DS, Tremaine WJ, Kane SV, Papadakis KA, Coelho-Prabhu N, Kiesel JB, Heron V, Faubion WA, Loftus EV Jr. Combination Biologic Therapy in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Experience From a Tertiary Care Center. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2021; **19**: 616-617 [PMID: [32068149](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32068149/) DOI: [10.1016/j.cgh.2020.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2020.02.017)]
 - 27 **Goessens L**, Colombel JF, Outtier A, Ferrante M, Sabino J, Judge C, Saeidi R, Rabbitt L, Armuzzi A, Domenech E, Michalopoulos G, Cremer A, García-Alonso FJ, Molnar T, Karmiris K, Gece K, Van Oostrom J, Löwenberg M, Farkas K, Atreya R, Ribaldone DG, Selinger C, Hoentjen F, Bihin B, Sebastian S; European COMBIO study group, Rahier JF. Safety and efficacy of combining biologics or small molecules for inflammatory bowel disease or immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: A European retrospective observational study. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2021; **9**: 1136-1147 [PMID: [34694746](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34694746/) DOI: [10.1002/ueg2.12170](https://doi.org/10.1002/ueg2.12170)]
 - 28 **Ribaldone DG**, Pellicano R, Vernero M, Caviglia GP, Saracco GM, Morino M, Astegiano M. Dual biological therapy with anti-TNF, vedolizumab or ustekinumab in inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review with pool analysis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2019; **54**: 407-413 [PMID: [30945576](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30945576/) DOI: [10.1080/00365521.2019.1597159](https://doi.org/10.1080/00365521.2019.1597159)]
 - 29 **Ahmed W**, Galati J, Kumar A, Christos PJ, Longman R, Lukin DJ, Scherl E, Battat R. Dual Biologic or Small Molecule Therapy for Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2022; **20**: e361-e379 [PMID: [33798711](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33798711/) DOI: [10.1016/j.cgh.2021.03.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2021.03.034)]
 - 30 **Alayo QA**, Fenster M, Altayar O, Glassner KL, Llano E, Clark-Snustad K, Patel A, Kwapisz L, Yarur AJ, Cohen BL, Ciorba MA, Thomas D, Lee SD, Loftus EV Jr, Fudman DI, Abraham BP, Colombel JF, Deepak P. Systematic Review With Meta-analysis: Safety and Effectiveness of Combining Biologics and Small Molecules in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Crohns Colitis* 2022; **4**: otac002 [PMID: [35310082](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35310082/) DOI: [10.1093/crocol/otac002](https://doi.org/10.1093/crocol/otac002)]
 - 31 **Wheat CL**, Ko CW, Clark-Snustad K, Grembowski D, Thornton TA, Devine B. Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) pharmacotherapy and the risk of serious infection: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2017; **17**: 52 [PMID: [28407755](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28407755/) DOI: [10.1186/s12876-017-0602-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-017-0602-0)]
 - 32 **Bonovas S**, Fiorino G, Allocca M, Lytras T, Nikolopoulos GK, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Danese S. Biologic Therapies and Risk of Infection and Malignancy in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016; **14**: 1385-1397.e10 [PMID: [27189910](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27189910/) DOI: [10.1016/j.cgh.2016.04.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2016.04.039)]
 - 33 **Borren NZ**, Ananthakrishnan AN. Safety of Biologic Therapy in Older Patients With Immune-Mediated Diseases: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; **17**: 1736-1743.e4 [PMID: [30616024](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30616024/) DOI: [10.1016/j.cgh.2018.12.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2018.12.032)]
 - 34 **Dryden GW**. Natalizumab for Moderate-to-Severe Crohn's Disease. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y)* 2008; **4**: 296 [PMID: [21960916](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21960916/)]
 - 35 **Pagnini C**, Arseneau KO, Cominelli F. Natalizumab in the treatment of Crohn's disease patients. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2017; **17**: 1433-1438 [PMID: [28832222](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28832222/) DOI: [10.1080/14712598.2017.1366444](https://doi.org/10.1080/14712598.2017.1366444)]
 - 36 **Le Berre C**, Loeuille D, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Combination Therapy With Vedolizumab and Tofacitinib in a Patient With Ulcerative Colitis and Spondyloarthritis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; **17**: 794-796 [PMID: [30114486](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30114486/) DOI: [10.1016/j.cgh.2018.08.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2018.08.017)]
 - 37 **Agrawal M**, Kim ES, Colombel JF. JAK Inhibitors Safety in Ulcerative Colitis: Practical Implications. *J Crohns Colitis* 2020; **14**: S755-S760 [PMID: [32006031](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32006031/) DOI: [10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa017](https://doi.org/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa017)]
 - 38 **Sunzini F**, McInnes I, Siebert S. JAK inhibitors and infections risk: focus on herpes zoster. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis* 2020; **12**: 1759720X20936059 [PMID: [32655703](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32655703/) DOI: [10.1177/1759720X20936059](https://doi.org/10.1177/1759720X20936059)]
 - 39 **Sandborn WJ**, Panés J, D'Haens GR, Sands BE, Su C, Mosciariello M, Jones T, Pedersen R, Friedman GS, Lawendy N, Chan G. Safety of Tofacitinib for Treatment of Ulcerative Colitis, Based on 4.4 Years of Data From Global Clinical Trials. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; **17**: 1541-1550 [PMID: [30476584](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30476584/) DOI: [10.1016/j.cgh.2018.11.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2018.11.035)]

- 40 **Fleischmann R.** Recent issues in JAK inhibitor safety: perspective for the clinician. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 2022; **18**: 295-307 [PMID: [35129025](#) DOI: [10.1080/17446666X.2022.2039122](#)]
- 41 **Liu Z,** Le K, Zhou X, Alexander JL, Lin S, Bewshea C, Chanchlani N, Nice R, McDonald TJ, Lamb CA, Sebastian S, Kok K, Lees CW, Hart AL, Pollok RC, Boyton RJ, Altmann DM, Pollock KM, Goodhand JR, Kennedy NA, Ahmad T, Powell N; CLARITY study investigators. Neutralising antibody potency against SARS-CoV-2 wild-type and omicron BA.1 and BA.4/5 variants in patients with inflammatory bowel disease treated with infliximab and vedolizumab after three doses of COVID-19 vaccine (CLARITY IBD): an analysis of a prospective multicentre cohort study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2023; **8**: 145-156 [PMID: [36481043](#) DOI: [10.1016/S2468-1253\(22\)00389-2](#)]
- 42 **Mas EB,** Calvo XC. Selecting the Best Combined Biological Therapy for Refractory Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients. *J Clin Med* 2022; **11** [PMID: [35207347](#) DOI: [10.3390/jcm11041076](#)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

