World Journal of Clinical Cases

World J Clin Cases 2023 December 6; 11(34): 8094-8241



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 11 Number 34 December 6, 2023

EDITORIAL

8094 Advances and future directions in keloid research: Pathogenesis, diagnosis and personalized treatment

Zhao SY, Wu D, Cheng C, Xie JH

OPINION REVIEW

8099 Mental health implications of suicide rates in South Africa

Edeh NC, Eseadi C

8106 Artificial intelligence in sleep medicine: Present and future

Verma RK, Dhillon G, Grewal H, Prasad V, Munjal RS, Sharma P, Buddhavarapu V, Devadoss R, Kashyap R, Surani S

REVIEW

8111 Research progress on the relationship between Paneth cells-susceptibility genes, intestinal microecology and inflammatory bowel disease

Zhou QM, Zheng L

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

8126 Case-control analysis of venous thromboembolism risk in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis diagnosed by transient elastography

Suresh MG, Gogtay M, Singh Y, Yadukumar L, Mishra AK, Abraham GM

Retrospective Study

8139 Efficacy and safety of tenofovir alafenamide in patients with chronic hepatitis B exhibiting suboptimal response to entecavir

Yuan GC, Chen AZ, Wang WX, Yi XL, Tu L, Peng F, Qiu ZH

Prospective Study

8147 Arthroscopic findings after manipulation under anesthesia in idiopathic capsulitis of the shoulder: A prospective study

Mlv SK, Mittal R, Chauhan N

CASE REPORT

8153 Intra-arterial lipo-prostaglandin E1 infusion for arterial spasm in liver transplantation: A case report

Kim M, Lee HW, Yoon CJ, Lee B, Jo Y, Cho JY, Yoon YS, Lee JS, Han HS

8158 Pulmonary fungal infection in a neonate with methylmalonic acidemia: A case report

Gao CF, Wang D, Zeng LK, Tao XW



World Journal of Clinical Cases

Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 11 Number 34 December 6, 2023

8164 Adult localized Langerhans cell histiocytosis: A case report Yang PP, Hu SY, Chai XY, Shi XM, Liu LX, Li LE 8170 Venous adventitial cystic disease is a very rare disease that can cause deep vein thrombosis: A case report Bae M, Huh U, Lee CW, Kim JW 8176 Rare case of lupus enteritis presenting as colorectum involvement: A case report and review of literature Gan H, Wang F, Gan Y, Wen L 8184 Repeated atrial arrhythmia induced by cochineal red poisoning: A case report Yang H, Wang YJ, Xu BP, Peng HW, Xu Q, Yu HB 8192 Anti-glial fibrillary acidic protein antibody and anti-aquaporin-4 antibody double-positive neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder: A case report Jin TY, Lin BT, Dai LJ, Lu X, Gao H, Hu J 8200 Thoracic duct cannulation during left internal jugular vein cannulation: A case report Hwang GH, Eom W 8205 Long-term survival of the Sister Mary Joseph nodule originating from breast cancer: A case report Kanayama K, Tanioka M, Hattori Y, Iida T, Okazaki M 8212 Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis with jaundice as first manifestation: A case report Wang DD, Wu S, Kong BB, Song LL 8219 Comprehensive treatment of deep frostbite of multiple fingers after trauma: A case report Wang XH, Li M, Cheng Y, Wang GJ, Lin GL, Liu WN 8228 Bilateral snapping triceps syndrome: A case report Cho CH, Lim KH, Kim DH 8235 Management of post-liver transplantation biliary stricture inaccessible by endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: A case report Lee Y, Park CH, Cho E, Kim KH

II

Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 11 Number 34 December 6, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Dong-Ling Dai, MBBS, PhD, Chief Doctor, Professor, Department of Endoscopy Center and Gastroenterology, Shenzhen Children's Hospital, Shenzhen 518036, Guangdong Province, China. daidong3529@sina.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WICC is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.1; IF without journal self cites: 1.1; 5-year IF: 1.3; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.26; Ranking: 133 among 167 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Si Zhao; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Bao-Gan Peng, Salim Surani, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos,

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm

PUBLICATION DATE

December 6, 2023

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

PUBLICATION ETHICS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239

ONLINE SUBMISSION

https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2023 December 6; 11(34): 8147-8152

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v11.i34.8147 ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Prospective Study

Arthroscopic findings after manipulation under anesthesia in idiopathic capsulitis of the shoulder: A prospective study

Sai Krishna Mlv, Ravi Mittal, Nitin Chauhan

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental

Provenance and peer review:

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Luo ZW, China

Received: September 1, 2023 Peer-review started: September 1,

First decision: September 20, 2023 Revised: September 30, 2023 Accepted: November 21, 2023 Article in press: November 21, 2023 Published online: December 6, 2023



Sai Krishna Mlv, Department of Orthopaedics, Aayush Hospital, Eluru 534002, Andhra Pradesh,

Ravi Mittal, Nitin Chauhan, Department of Orthopaedics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110029, India

Corresponding author: Ravi Mittal, FRCS, MBBS, MS, Doctor, Professor, Senior Lecturer, Surgeon, Department of Orthopaedics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Sri Aurobindo Marg, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi 110029, India. ravimittal66@hotmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Manipulation under anesthesia (MUA) of the shoulder joint is a commonly used method for the treatment of adhesive capsulitis. Though it has been known to be associated with a variety of complications, there is a paucity of studies describing the arthroscopic findings after MUA.

To describe the arthroscopic findings in patients with idiopathic adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder after MUA.

METHODS

We recruited 28 patients with idiopathic adhesive capsulitis who underwent arthroscopic capsular release. Manipulation of the shoulder was performed under anesthesia in all of these patients before capsular release. Intra-articular findings were recorded during arthroscopic capsular release in these patients.

All patients showed the presence of synovitis. Twenty-seven patients showed tears in the capsule on the anterior aspect. One patient had an avulsion of the anterior rim of the glenoid and labrum following the manipulation. Four patients had partial rotator cuff tears, and one patient showed a superior labrum anterior posterior lesion, which was not diagnosed preoperatively on magnetic resonance imaging.

CONCLUSION

MUA leads to rupture of the capsule, which is the desired outcome. However, the site of rupture of the capsule is dependent on the maneuvers of MUA. In addition,

8147

partial tears of the rotator cuff and osteochondral fractures of the glenoid can also occur.

Key Words: Frozen shoulder; Arthroscopy; Manipulation under anesthesia; Prospective study

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Manipulation under anesthesia for a frozen shoulder can lead to damage to other intra-articular structures besides the rupture of the capsule, which is the main aim of this procedure. In most cases, it leads to rupture of the anterior capsule. This is the same area where arthroscopic releases are most often performed. Rupture of the posterior and inferior capsule can also occur depending on the rotational and adduction manipulation of the shoulder.

Citation: Mlv SK, Mittal R, Chauhan N. Arthroscopic findings after manipulation under anesthesia in idiopathic capsulitis of the shoulder: A prospective study. World J Clin Cases 2023; 11(34): 8147-8152

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v11/i34/8147.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v11.i34.8147

INTRODUCTION

Adhesive capsulitis, popularly known as 'frozen shoulder', is a common cause of shoulder pain and stiffness of the glenohumeral joint. It is thought to afflict between 2% and 5% of the population[1]. The condition has been described by some as self-limiting, lasting on average for a period of 2-3 years [2-4]. However, some studies have shown that between 20% and 50% of the patients still experience discomfort and stiffness beyond 3 years [5,6]. Although it is a self-limiting condition, patients find it impractical and challenging to wait for such a long period as it interferes with everyday life tasks. Most of the studies have reported manipulation under anesthesia (MUA) to be efficacious [7,8] and at least equivocal compared to other methods such as steroid injection and hydrodilatation[9,10].

Iatrogenic injuries to the upper limb including humeral fractures, glenohumeral dislocations, rotator cuff tears, glenoid fractures, brachial plexus injuries, labral tears, and hematomas pose the biggest risk and challenge during MUA[11]. Even though MUA is a very commonly practiced modality of treatment of adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder, there is not enough literature that documents the intra-articular changes after MUA. We could find only three published reports that described the arthroscopic findings post MUA[12-14], and only two publications have described the imaging of the shoulder after MUA [15,16]. Our study documented the arthroscopic findings after MUA of the shoulder in patients with idiopathic adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was performed after obtaining institutional ethical committee clearance. Twenty-eight patients were included in this study for arthroscopic capsular release for idiopathic adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder. All patients included in the study had idiopathic stiffness of the shoulder with global restriction of shoulder movements for the prior 6 mo. Patients with a prior history of trauma or surgery to the shoulder were excluded. Any patient who received any form of treatment other than physiotherapy was also excluded. There were 20 females and 8 males. The ages ranged from 42 years to 62 years with an average age of 49.7 years. All patients had complaints of spontaneous onset of pain and stiffness of the shoulder. The opposite shoulder was normal.

All the patients had preoperative X-rays and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans completed to rule out any secondary cause of adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder. The MRI was performed with the patient in a supine position and arms by the side. The images were obtained in axial, coronal oblique, and sagittal oblique planes. The protocols used were T1-weighted and T2-weighted with fat saturation and inversion recovery sequences.

The patients did not show improvement in their symptoms with physiotherapy and analgesics for at least 6 mo. After the administration of general anesthesia, manipulation of the shoulder was performed in a supine position. Manipulation was first done in flexion. Following this, the limb was manipulated in abduction. During the MUA, the proximal humerus was held with one hand close to the axilla and the other hand stabilized the scapula. Gentle pressure was used to manipulate. An increase in flexion and abduction was associated with a crackling sound, palpable crepitus, and a feeling of sudden giving way. Manipulation was not performed in external rotation, adduction, or internal rotation to avoid any iatrogenic fracture of the humerus.

The patient was then placed in a semi-lateral position and the arm was abducted using a traction tower for arthroscopic global capsular release. Intra-articular findings were recorded before proceeding with capsular release. Entering the shoulder joint with sheath and trocar through the posterior portal was difficult compared to a non-stiff shoulder. The presence of blood and blood clots in the joint was the first arthroscopic finding. This required repeated joint lavage to clear all the blood and blood clots. When all the clots and blood were removed from the joint, the intra-articular structures could be visualized.

To make the anterior portal, the rotator interval was identified. The rotator interval had shrunken in size and had the presence of synovitis. A needle was passed from the outside to locate the site of the anterior portal within the rotator interval. The two portals were used alternately to pass the instruments and arthroscope to evaluate all the corners of the shoulder joint and perform a global capsular release. After surgery, patients were provided adequate analgesia and began active and passive range of motion exercises of the shoulder. The patients were followed at monthly intervals up to 5 mo, and all patients had significant relief in pain and improvement in range of motion.

RESULTS

Out of the twenty-eight patients, 27 had global synovitis, and 1 patient had synovitis localized to the rotator interval. Twenty-seven patients had thickening of the middle glenohumeral ligament, while one patient had normal ligaments. There were tears in the anterior capsule in 27 patients, whereas 1 patient had an anterior glenoid rim avulsion. The avulsed fragment was not repaired, and the patient had no complaints during follow-up. There were no instances of humeral head fracture or posterior capsular tear. Four patients experienced partial rotator cuff tears, which were not evident in the preoperative MRI. Since the tear involved less than 50% of cuff thickness, it was only debrided. There was also an instance of superior labrum anterior posterior tear that was not evident in preoperative MRI in 1 patient. It was not repaired, and the patient had an uneventful follow-up (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Manipulation under general anesthesia is a widely practiced method of treatment of a frozen shoulder. In this technique, the shoulder joint capsule is gently stretched by moving the humerus after stabilizing the scapula into flexion and abduction, and finally (optionally) moving the adducted humerus into external rotation. It is an effective method for the treatment of adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder but with a potential for significant intra-articular and rotator cuff injuries.

Atoun et al[16] evaluated the rotator cuff by ultrasound before and after MUA. They did not find any rotator cuff lesions on ultrasound examination after shoulder manipulation. We found four partial articular-sided rotator cuff tears in our study during arthroscopy after manipulation. None of these tears were evident on preoperative MRI. Loew et al[14] also found 4 cases with partial subscapularis tears. This difference could be due to the difficulty in the detection of partial thickness cuff tears on ultrasound.

Sasanuma et al[15] performed MRI examination before and after MUA. They found tears in the inferior capsule either in the mid-substance or near the humeral insertion of the inferior capsule. They reported anterior and posterior tears of the inferior capsule but did not report any tears in the anterior capsule. This was quite different from the results of our study where we found only anterior tears. This could be due to the difference in the method of manipulation of the shoulder. Unlike these authors, we did not aim to achieve a range of motion equal to the opposite side. We applied gentle force and stopped short of forceful manipulations. In addition, we did not perform any rotational movements of the shoulder during MUA.

Gerber et al[17] described a correlation between the limb position and tension in the articular capsule. They reported tension in the posterior capsule in the position of internal rotation and adduction. These movements were not performed in the manipulation in our study, and this may explain the lack of posterior capsular tears in our patients. A combination of abduction/external rotation and forward flexion tightened the anterior inferior capsule. The presence of anterior injuries in our study can be explained by the flexion and abduction manipulation of the joint. Sasanuma et al[15] also reported four labrum tears occurring in patients with mid-substance tears of the inferior capsule and the absence of any new rotator cuff tears. However, Loew et al[14] described MUA quite similar to that performed by Sasanuma et al[15], and they found capsular ruptures all around the shoulder.

Wiley et al[12] in their landmark paper presented the arthroscopy findings of frozen shoulder. They described the arthroscopy findings in 37 patients, and most of the patients had patchy synovitis, which was in contrast to the findings of our paper. They also noticed a subset of patients having tears in the labrum and partial tears in the cuff. The paper also discussed tears in the subscapularis and attributed them to the manipulation maneuver for regaining external rotation as observed by Depalma. In our technique, we have not used manipulation in rotations.

Uitvlugt et al[13] presented arthroscopy findings of frozen shoulder patients. They described 21 patients before manipulation and post-manipulation in 10 patients. In all the patients the entry into the shoulder was difficult due to the reduced volume before manipulation, and there was synovitis in all of the patients. The synovitis was anterior in most patients followed by in the axillary pouch and near the rotator cuff. Post manipulation the entry into the shoulder was easier, and there were capsular tears in the anterior and inferior walls in most of the patients [13].

Loew et al[14] documented arthroscopic findings after manipulation in 30 patients. They found anterior capsule ruptures in 24 patients, posterior ruptures in 16 patients, and superior capsule ruptures in 11 patients. They showed anterior labral detachment in 4 patients, superior labrum anterior posterior lesions in 3 patients, partial ruptures of the subscapularis in 2 patients, an osteochondral defect in 1 patient, and a tear of the medial glenohumeral ligament in 2 patients. They performed MUA to gain internal and external rotations, flexion, and abduction. However, they did not aim to achieve range of motion equal to the normal side.

Table 1 Arthroscopic findings after manipulation under anesthesia, n = 26			
	Sample number	Arthroscopic finding	Patients, n
	1	Global synovitis	27
	2	Synovitis limited to rotator interval	1

Anterior capsular tears

Rotator cuff tears

Anterior glenoid rim avulsion

Thickened middle glenohumeral ligament

Normal middle glenohumeral ligament Superior labrum anterior posterior tears

We have noticed anterior capsular tears post-manipulation in our patients. As the MUA for a frozen shoulder has a risk of iatrogenic fractures, we have not attempted rotational manipulation. Hence, we performed arthroscopic global capsular release in all of our patients post-manipulation.

Our strict inclusion and exclusion criteria during the patient recruitment is a strength of our study, and a low sample size is our limitation. Arthroscopic findings of the shoulder both before and after the MUA can provide better insight and should be considered as a potential area for future research.

CONCLUSION

3

4

5

6

8

MUA leads to rupture of the capsule, which is the desired outcome. The site of rupture of the capsule depends on the maneuver of manipulation. In most cases, it leads to rupture of the anterior capsule. This is the same area where arthroscopic releases are most often performed. Rupture of the posterior and inferior capsule can also occur depending on the rotational and adduction manipulation of the shoulder. In addition, partial tears of the rotator cuff and osteochondral frac -tures of the glenoid can occur.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Manipulation under anesthesia (MUA) of the shoulder joint is a commonly used method for the treatment of adhesive capsulitis. Though it has been known to be associated with a variety of complications, there is a paucity of studies describing arthroscopic findings after MUA.

Research motivation

Even though MUA is a very commonly practiced modality of treatment of adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder, there is not enough literature that documents the intraarticular changes after MUA.

Research objectives

The object of this study was to document the arthroscopic findings after MUA of the shoulder in patients with idiopathic adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder.

Research methods

This was a prospective study to describe the arthroscopic findings in patients with idiopathic adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder after MUA.

Research results

All patients showed the presence of synovitis. Most patients had global synovitis, while 1 patient had synovitis limited to the rotator interval. A majority of patients post manipulation showed tears in anterior capsule, whereas only 1 patient had avulsion of the anterior labrum post manipulation.

Research conclusions

MUA leads to the rupture of the capsule, which is the desired outcome. However, the site of rupture of the capsule is dependent on the maneuvers of MUA. In addition, partial tears of the rotator cuff and osteochondral fractures of the glenoid can occur.

27

1

27

Research perspectives

MUA for a frozen shoulder can lead to damage to other intra-articular structures besides the rupture of the capsule, which is the main aim of this procedure. In most cases, it leads to rupture of the anterior capsule.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: MIv SK and Chauhan N contributed to the data acquisition, initial manuscript drafting, and incorporating revisions of this manuscript; Mittal R participated in the conception, supervision, and critical analysis and was the primary surgeon of this study.

Institutional review board statement: The study was approved by the institutional ethical committee (IESC/T-300/02.08.2013).

Clinical trial registration statement: We have not done any intervention or any randomization as a part of our study. We have only documented the findings observed during the procedure. The same was documented in the consort statement.

Informed consent statement: Informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data.

CONSORT 2010 statement: The authors have read the CONSORT 2010 Statement, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CONSORT 2010 Statement.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: India

ORCID number: Sai Krishna Mlv 0000-0001-7993-9069; Ravi Mittal 0000-0002-3721-2715.

S-Editor: Wang JJ L-Editor: Filipodia P-Editor: Wang JJ

REFERENCES

- Cleland J, Durall CJ. Physical Therapy for Adhesive Capsulitis: Systematic Review. Physiotherapy 2002; 88: 450-457 [DOI: 10.1016/S0031-9406(05)60847-41
- Vermeulen HM, Obermann WR, Burger BJ, Kok GJ, Rozing PM, van Den Ende CH. End-range mobilization techniques in adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder joint: A multiple-subject case report. Phys Ther 2000; 80: 1204-1213 [PMID: 11087307]
- Jayson MI. Frozen shoulder: adhesive capsulitis. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1981; 283: 1005-1006 [PMID: 6794738 DOI: 3 10.1136/bmj.283.6298.1005]
- Baslund B, Thomsen BS, Jensen EM. Frozen shoulder: current concepts. Scand J Rheumatol 1990; 19: 321-325 [PMID: 2218428 DOI: 4 10.3109/03009749009096786]
- Binder AI, Bulgen DY, Hazleman BL, Roberts S. Frozen shoulder: a long-term prospective study. Ann Rheum Dis 1984; 43: 361-364 [PMID: 5 6742896 DOI: 10.1136/ard.43.3.361]
- Shaffer B, Tibone JE, Kerlan RK. Frozen Shoulder. A Long-Term Follow-Up. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1992; 74: 738-746 [DOI: 6 10.2106/00004623-199274050-00013]
- 7 Dodenhoff RM, Levy O, Wilson A, Copeland SA. Manipulation under anesthesia for primary frozen shoulder: effect on early recovery and return to activity. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2000; 9: 23-26 [PMID: 10717858 DOI: 10.1016/S1058-2746(00)90005-3]
- Ahmad D, Hashim JA, Asim HM. Outcome of manipulation under anaesthesia in adhesive capsulitis patients. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 8 2014; 24: 293-294 [PMID: 24709249]
- 9 Quraishi NA, Johnston P, Bayer J, Crowe M, Chakrabarti AJ. Thawing the frozen shoulder. A randomised trial comparing manipulation under anaesthesia with hydrodilatation. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2007; 89: 1197-1200 [PMID: 17905957 DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.89B9.18863]
- 10 Kivimäki J, Pohjolainen T. Manipulation under anesthesia for frozen shoulder with and without steroid injection. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2001; **82**: 1188-1190 [PMID: 11552189 DOI: 10.1053/apmr.2001.24169]
- Hsu JE, Anakwenze OA, Warrender WJ, Abboud JA. Current review of adhesive capsulitis. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2011; 20: 502-514 11 [PMID: 21167743 DOI: 10.1016/j.jse.2010.08.023]
- Wiley AM. Arthroscopic appearance of frozen shoulder. Arthroscopy 1991; 7: 138-143 [PMID: 2069623 DOI: 12 10.1016/0749-8063(91)90098-I]
- Uitvlugt G, Detrisac DA, Johnson LL, Austin MD, Johnson C. Arthroscopic observations before and after manipulation of frozen shoulder. Arthroscopy 1993; 9: 181-185 [PMID: 8461078 DOI: 10.1016/S0749-8063(05)80371-8]



- Loew M, Heichel TO, Lehner B. Intraarticular lesions in primary frozen shoulder after manipulation under general anesthesia. J Shoulder 14 Elbow Surg 2005; 14: 16-21 [PMID: 15723009 DOI: 10.1016/j.jse.2004.04.004]
- Sasanuma H, Sugimoto H, Kanaya Y, Iijima Y, Saito T, Takeshita K. Magnetic resonance imaging and short-term clinical results of severe 15 frozen shoulder treated with manipulation under ultrasound-guided cervical nerve root block. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2016; 25: e13-e20 [PMID: 26256012 DOI: 10.1016/j.jse.2015.06.019]
- Atoun E, Funk L, Copland SA, Even T, Levy O, Rath E. The effect of shoulder manipulation on rotator cuff integrity. Acta Orthop Belg 2013; **79**: 255-259 [PMID: 23926725]
- Gerber C, Werner CM, Macy JC, Jacob HA, Nyffeler RW. Effect of selective capsulorrhaphy on the passive range of motion of the 17 glenohumeral joint. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2003; 85: 48-55 [PMID: 12533571 DOI: 10.2106/00004623-200301000-00008]

8152



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

