# World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2023 February 26; 11(6): 1224-1433





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

# Contents

# Thrice Monthly Volume 11 Number 6 February 26, 2023

# **OPINION REVIEW**

1224 Collagen matrix scaffolds: Future perspectives for the management of chronic liver diseases Martinez-Castillo M, Altamirano-Mendoza I, Zielinski R, Priebe W, Piña-Barba C, Gutierrez-Reves G

# **MINIREVIEWS**

- 1236 Sex dimorphism and metabolic profiles in management of metabolic-associated fatty liver disease Martin-Grau M, Monleon D
- 1245 Epidemiology and etiology of chemical ocular injury: A brief review Akgun Z, Selver OB
- 1252 Review of the prevalence, diagnostics, and containment measures of the current mpox outbreak Sanyaolu A, Marinkovic A, Okorie C, Prakash S, Haider N, Dixon Y, Izurieta R, Badaru O, Smith S
- Clinical and pathophysiological understanding of the hepatorenal syndrome: Still wrong or still not 1261 exactly right?

Wilde B, Canbay A, Katsounas A

- 1267 Flare of the silent pandemic in the era of the COVID-19 pandemic: Obstacles and opportunities Rayan RA
- 1275 Implications of metabolic dysfunction associated fatty liver disease in COVID-19 Chakraborty R, Sharma D, Kapoor DU, Dwivedi A, Khabiya R, Sen S

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# **Retrospective Study**

1287 Hyperglycemia in COVID-19 infection without diabetes mellitus: Association with inflammatory markers Geetha HS, Singh G, Sekar A, Gogtay M, Singh Y, Abraham GM, Trivedi N

# **Clinical Trials Study**

1299 Efficacy of invisible advancement correction for mandibular retraction in adolescents based on Pancherz analysis

Kong L, Liu XQ

# **Observational Study**

1310 Survey study of the etiology of non-traumatic altered consciousness in the Emergency Department at Suez Canal University Hospital in Egypt

Moussa BS, Abd Elatiff ZM, Kamal Eldin Elhadary GM



Conton	<i>World Journal of Clinical Cases</i> ntents Thrice Monthly Volume 11 Number 6 February 26, 2023	
Conten		
1318	Metformin effect on internal carotid artery blood flow assessed by area under the curve of carotid artery Doppler in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome	
	Akram W, Nori W, Abdul Ghani Zghair M	
1330	Effect of continuous nursing combined with respiratory exercise nursing on pulmonary function of postoperative patients with lung cancer	
	Qiu QX, Li WJ, Ma XM, Feng XH	
	CASE REPORT	
1341	Functioning gonadotroph adenoma with hyperestrogenemia and ovarian hyperstimulation in a reproductive-aged woman: A case report and review of literature	
	He Y, Gao YT, Sun L	
1349	Clinical manifestations of adult hereditary spherocytosis with novel <i>SPTB</i> gene mutations and hyperjaundice: A case report	
	Jiang N, Mao WY, Peng BX, Yang TY, Mao XR	
1356	Post-traumatic cauda equina nerve calcification: A case report	
	Liu YD, Deng Q, Li JJ, Yang HY, Han XF, Zhang KD, Peng RD, Xiang QQ	
1365	Endometriosis-associated endometrioid adenocarcinoma of the fallopian tube synchronized with endometrial adenocarcinoma: A case report	
	Feng JY, Jiang QP, He H	
1372	Gemcitabine-induced peripheral vascular disease and prolonged response in a patient with metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma: A case report	
	Fabien MB, Elodie P, Anna S, Addeo P, Meher B	
1379	Epidemic Japanese B encephalitis combined with contactin-associated protein-like 2 antibody-positive autoimmune encephalitis: A case report	
	Huang P	
1385	Acute pancreatitis as initial presentation of acute myeloid leukemia-M2 subtype: A case report	
	Yang WX, An K, Liu GF, Zhou HY, Gao JC	
1393	Postoperative jaundice related to UGT1A1 and ABCB11 gene mutations: A case report and literature review	
	Jiang JL, Liu X, Pan ZQ, Jiang XL, Shi JH, Chen Y, Yi Y, Zhong WW, Liu KY, He YH	
1403	Hidrotic ectodermal dysplasia in a Chinese pedigree: A case report	
	Liao MY, Peng H, Li LN, Yang T, Xiong SY, Ye XY	
1410	Hepatitis A virus-associated acute acalculous cholecystitis in an adult-onset Still's disease patient: A case report and review of the literature	
	Chang CH, Wang YY, Jiao Y	
1419	Transverse myelitis caused by herpes zoster following COVID-19 vaccination: A case report	
	Cho SY, Jang BH, Seo JW, Kim SW, Lim KJ, Lee HY, Kim DJ	



	World Journal of Clinical Co	
Conten	ts Thrice Monthly Volume 11 Number 6 February 26, 2023	
1426	Primary malignant melanoma of the esophagus: A case report	
	Wang QQ, Li YM, Qin G, Liu F, Xu YY	

# Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 11 Number 6 February 26, 2023

# **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Goran Augustin, MD, MSc, PhD, Assistant Professor, Senior Scientist, Surgeon, Department of Surgery, University Hospital Centre Zagreb, Zagreb 10000, Croatia. augustin.goran@gmail.com

# **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

# **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJCC is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.534; IF without journal self cites: 1.491; 5-year IF: 1.599; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.28; Ranking: 135 among 172 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4. The WJCC's CiteScore for 2021 is 1.2 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: General Medicine is 443/826.

# **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Ying-Yi Yuan; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Clinical Cases	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
<b>ISSN</b>	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2307-8960 (online)	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
April 16, 2013	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Thrice Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
<b>EDITORS-IN-CHIEF</b>	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
Hyeon Ku EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
February 26, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



World Journal of Clinical Cases

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2023 February 26; 11(6): 1245-1251

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v11.i6.1245

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

MINIREVIEWS

# Epidemiology and etiology of chemical ocular injury: A brief review

Zeynep Akgun, Ozlem Barut Selver

Specialty type: Ophthalmology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

# Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: M'Koma AE, United States; Samadder S, India

Received: September 24, 2022 Peer-review started: September 24, 2022 First decision: November 16, 2022 Revised: November 19, 2022 Accepted: January 31, 2023

Article in press: January 31, 2023 Published online: February 26, 2023



Zeynep Akgun, Ozlem Barut Selver, Department of Ophthalmology, Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Izmir 35100, Turkey

Corresponding author: Ozlem Barut Selver, MD, Associate Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Izmir 35100, Turkey. ozlembarutselver@yahoo.com

# Abstract

Chemical ocular injury is one of the common ophthalmologic emergencies that can cause vision loss and serious complications. Despite all protective measures, it continues to be a serious public health problem, especially in young male patients. Although it is known that injuries occur most frequently in the workplace and in young male patients, there is a variable frequency and distribution in different regions around the world. In addition, with the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, there are changing trends in ocular chemical injuries. This review aims to specify an update on the epidemiological and etiological features of ocular chemical injuries.

Key Words: Chemical ocular injury; Alkaline; Acid COVID-19; Epidemiology; Etiology

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** Epidemiological and demographic characteristics are important to prevent ocular chemical injuries, one of the most important ocular emergencies.

Citation: Akgun Z, Selver OB. Epidemiology and etiology of chemical ocular injury: A brief review. World J Clin Cases 2023; 11(6): 1245-1251 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v11/i6/1245.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v11.i6.1245

# INTRODUCTION

Ocular surface chemical injuries are ophthalmological emergencies that can cause prompt destruction, serious anterior segment complications, and permanent visual loss[1] (Figure 1). Chemical eye injuries have accounted for a significant proportion of ocular traumas over the years. Studies in the 80s-90s report that chemical injuries





DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v11.i6.1245 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

## Figure 1 Various grade chemical ocular injury cases. A-F: Grade 1 to 6.

accounted for 7.7%-18.0% of all ocular injuries. In 2018, Sharma et al[2] reported that chemical injuries are responsible for approximately 11.5%-22.1% of ocular injuries[3]. Effective and rapid intervention, clinical evaluation of the injury severity, and prompt treatment are essential. Injury grade depends on the causative agent type, pH, and exposure time. Appropriate and sufficient management of the chronic process of the disease results in better visual outcomes and lower complication rates. The visual prognosis of a severe ocular chemical injury is generally poor[4]. However, current developments in treatment strategies are encouraging for both visual and clinical outcomes. Evaluation of the epidemiological and etiological factors of ocular chemical injuries benefits to prevent injuries. Ocular chemical injuries can be classified in accordance with various factors such as agent type, type of injury, gender, and age. It is known that most injuries occur at work in the young male population. However, it varies according to the socioeconomic and educational status of the countries, and the rate of use of protective equipment[5]. Ocular chemical injuries may occur due to assault and assault injuries are frequently associated with more serious injuries[6,7]. Consequently, ocular chemical injuries and their sequelae create a serious social, economic, and psychological burden. This brief review aims to present a current approach to the epidemiology, etiology, and predisposition of ocular chemical injuries.

# SEVERITY AND PREVALENCE

Ocular chemical injuries account for 10.7%-34.7% of all chemical burn injuries. Also, 0.1%-15.5% of all ocular traumas among hospitalized adults are secondary to ocular chemical injury, and this rate has increased over the years [8,9]. For example, a study from Serbia reported that ocular surface chemical injuries accounted for 2.7% of hospitalized ocular injuries in 1999 and 15.5% in 2008[10]. In 2021, in a meta-analysis of 88 studies, Ahmed *et al*[5] reported that ocular chemical injuries have an incidence ranging from 5.1 to 50 per 100000 population per year in different countries. The incidence of chemical injury was reported as 5.11/100000/year in the United States in 2015 and 5.6/100000/year in the United Kingdom in 2019. Likewise, in 2015, it was reported as 50/100000/year for the working population in Switzerland. In economically underdeveloped countries, the rate of chemical ocular injuries was lower (2.2%-8%) among emergency ocular traumas, and it was 11-13% in developing countries such as Turkey [11,12]. Considering the seasonal distribution of chemical injuries, it has been reported that they occur more frequently in Serbia and the United States in summer and in Turkey in winter[13].

The severity of injury varies considerably between studies, with the frequency of mild injuries ranging from 57% to 70%. The prevalence of severe injury in the United Kingdom was reported as 0.02/100000/year in 2009 and 0.29/100000/year in 2019. Similarly, it was reported as 1.58/100000/year in China in 2010[14,15]. The distribution of chemical injury severity may vary in accordance with

WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

socioeconomic conditions. In the literature, in developed countries, low-grade chemical injuries (grades 1-3) account for approximately 83%-90% of all chemical injuries. In contrast, severe injuries occur more frequently in developing and underdeveloped countries[15,16]. Bizrah et al[17] reported that of all ocular chemical injuries, 83% were low-grade injuries and 17% were serious injuries in the United Kingdom. In contrast, a similar study from India reported that 51% of eyes had low-grade injuries, but 35.9% had serious injuries[18]. While only alkaline agents were evaluated, Merle et al[19] reported that 50% of injuries were grade I, 31% were grade II, and 19% were grades III-IV according to the Roper-hall classification, in Martinique. Similarly, Moon et al [20] found that 75% of all alkali ocular surface injuries were grade I injuries in Australia. Nevertheless, the hospitalization rate was not related to economic development. Assault-related ocular chemical injuries are not unique to developing countries with similar frequency worldwide. Moreover, there has been a global increasing trend recently<sup>[21]</sup>. Assaultrelated cases were noted to result in more serious injuries globally. Studies from India and Martinique reported that 50% and 32.7% of assault-related eye injuries are high-grade injuries, respectively [22].

# **CAUSATIVE AGENTS**

Alkaline injuries are more common than acidic injuries due to their extensive industrial and domestic use[23]. Alkaline agents pose a therapeutic challenge in the management of chemical injuries. Alkaline agents have a higher penetration rate than acids<sup>[24]</sup>. The most important factor determining the potency of an alkaline agent is pH, and severe corneal damage occurs if the pH is 11.5 or more[25]. Alkaline agents cause saponification of cell membranes due to their lipophilic nature. The hydroxyl ions in alkali cause saponification of the cell membrane, leading to cell membrane lysis. They can promptly penetrate the anterior segment of the eye, such as the iris, ciliary body, trabecular meshwork, and crystalline lens. The inflammatory response progresses quickly due to the release of proteolytic enzymes from the injured tissue. In addition, associated vascular damage leads to ischemia. In contrast, acids fix and coagulate the superficial tissues which prevent deep penetration of the agent[26]. Alkaline injuries account for 19-73% of all cases of ocular chemical injury. The rate of ocular chemical injuries caused by alkaline agents was reported to be 66.7%-67.9% [27,28]. Acids account for approximately 5%-47.6% of cases, with sulfuric, hydrochloric, and nitric acids being common[13,29]. High-grade injuries mostly occur with alkaline agents. Kılıç Müftüoğlu et al[30] reported that 80.9% of chemical injuries were due to alkaline agents, and 48.1% of patients with alkali damage were severe. Sodium hydroxide and lime were the most common causative agents, causing 26% and 65% of alkaline ocular surface injuries, respectively [31]. Among alkaline substances, ammonia has the highest destructive potential, and lime is relatively less toxic[32]. The most common cause of ocular surface injuries by acidic agents is sulfuric acid, which rarely causes high-grade ocular injuries. Injuries with these agents have often occurred in the industry (construction, manufacturing, chemical, petroleum, etc.) and at home (household cleaning and personal care products)[33]. However, distinct from other acids, hydrofluoric acid has a strong liquifying effect on cell membranes and has an analogous effect to alkaline agents[34]. In spite of being a weak acid, hydrofluoric acid easily penetrates the corneal epithelium. In deeper tissues, hydrofluoric acid dissolves, and the free fluoride ions released cause irreversible damage[35].

The workplace was a common site of ocular surface injury, where 43%-86% of all cases in adults occurred. Ocular chemical injuries were responsible for 6%-45% of occupational ocular injuries and 2.8% of occupational burns. Characteristically, young males working in the industry are the most common patient group[36]. In the literature, it is reported that almost two-thirds of ocular injuries occur in the workplace among people of working age and male gender (ratio 3-8:1). In a study conducted in Germany, a total of 131 severe ocular injuries were recorded, with 84% being chemical injuries and 72% being work-related[16]. In a study from Turkey, Akgun et al[37] reported that the most common cause of injury (45.1%) was occupational accidents and it was more common in men (male/female: 86/18) in the last 10 years. There is a variability of protective equipment used in the workplace, depending on the development status of the country. From Nigeria, Adepoju et al[38] reported that protective equipment was not used in any of the work-related chemical ocular injuries. Moreover, even in developed countries, the use of protective equipment may still be inadequate. Domestic and hobby injuries accounted for 7%-33% of ocular chemical injuries. In the United States, domestic injuries were more common in children (13.8%) and patients over 65 (16.4%) compared to the 18-64 age group (8.72%)[39].

# AGE AND GENDER

While ocular chemical injuries can occur in all age groups, it is known that the group most at risk is young adult men. It has been reported in studies that 54.4%-97.5% of the cases were men. However, the rate of injuries caused by domestic products is higher in women. It is known that patients between the ages of 15-35 account for more than half of all cases [18,40,41]. However, several studies in the United States, Serbia, and China noted that 41-50 years old had the highest injury frequency of all age groups [13,42]. Furthermore, children are at risk for ocular chemical injuries. The rate of ocular trauma



secondary to chemical injury in children was similar to that in adults. Haring *et al*[39] reported that 19.9% of patients with ocular chemical injuries admitted to the emergency department in the United States were children. A study from India reported that chemical injuries were responsible for 3.9% of ocular traumas in children under 14 years of age. Some studies have suggested a higher incidence of serious injury in children[43]. Vajpayee *et al*[40] reported that 85% of ocular chemical injuries in children required surgical intervention and 70.1% of patients had severe sequelae such as limbal stem cell deficiency. In contrast to adults, chemical injuries in the pediatric population are generally associated with domestic accidents, and the most common source of injury varies between diverse studies[44]. The most reported causative agents were domestic chemicals such as detergent capsules, lye, sodium hydroxide, household cleaning products, deodorants, and perfumes in many studies[45]. Haring *et al* [39] reported that the rate of acid-related chemical burns in children was higher than that with alkaline agents. In a study from Turkey, Korkmaz *et al*[46] reported that the causes of the majority (51.6%) of ocular surface injuries in children are unknown or neutral substances.

The incidence of such accidents differs in special age groups depending on the developmental stages of children. Haring *et al*[39] reported that the risk of chemical ocular injury was highest between 1 and 2 years of age and that the rate of alkaline burns was higher in children 3 years of age and younger, in their study involving 143985 patients. A study from the United Kingdom reported that 92.5% of ocular face injuries with detergent capsules occurred in children under 5 years of age[47]. As in adults, the incidence of ocular chemical injuries tends to be higher in boys. Korkmaz *et al*[46] evaluated pediatric chemical eye injuries in the last 10 years and reported that the mean age was  $10.4 \pm 5.5$  years, 27.2% were younger than 5 years old, and 63.6% were boys. Pollard *et al*[48] reported that children aged 4 years and younger experienced eye injuries at a rate of 32% more than other age groups. The profile of causative agents for the geriatric population is similar to that for children, probably due to retirement and spending most of the day at home. Similar to the pediatric population, common agents of ocular surface injuries in elderly patients are bleach, chlorine, detergent, gasoline, glue, lens cleaner, oil, and paint[49].

Due to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, a changing trend is detected in the etiology of ocular chemical injury, as in many situations. Contrary to the pre-pandemic data, neutral causes have become more common due to the increased use of alcohol-based hand sanitizers, which is a neutral chemical agent, during the pandemic period[50]. The yearly average of patients with ocular surface burns decreased to 316 patients during the COVID-19 phase as compared to 445 patients during the pre-COVID-19 phase[51]. In a recent meta-analysis, 3 of 5 studies that compared the incidence of chemical eye injuries during the pandemic period. However, the rate of chemical eye injuries increased among all ocular traumas[52]. Martin *et al*[53] reported that although there was no significant difference in the rate of chemical injuries in children during the pandemic compared to the control period (4% and 5% in 2019 and 2020, respectively), chemical eye injuries due to alcohol-based disinfectants increased from 1 case to 16 cases. Wasser *et al*[54] reported that the incidence of pediatric chemical injury was 36 in 2019, increasing to 72 cases during the pandemic. Furthermore, a significant increase in chemical injuries due to alcohol-based disinfectants was observed. Finally, compared to the pre-pandemic period, ocular trauma remained stable but the proportion of chemical injuries increased by 13.7%[55].

# ETHNICITY

Although it has been reported in various studies that ocular chemical injuries are more common in Afro-Caribbean, Caucasian, and non-Hispanic ethnicities, a clear relationship between ethnicity and the prevalence of ocular chemical injury has not been identified [56].

# CONCLUSION

Ocular chemical injuries are one of the most important ocular emergencies, constituting a significant proportion of all traumas. To minimize sequelae, prompt and accurate treatment in the early period and successful management of complications in the long term are essential. Chemical ocular injuries have significant psychological, physical, and economic effects, especially since serious injuries can cause permanent blindness. The distribution and severity of ocular chemical injuries worldwide vary according to socio-economic conditions, as in all other traumas. In order to prevent further damage due to ocular chemical injury, it is important to understand the epidemiological and demographic characteristics of the injury and take precautions accordingly.

Zaishidena® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

# FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Selver OB conceptualized and designed the manuscript; Akgun Z drafted the manuscript; Selver OB revised the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Both authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

# Country/Territory of origin: Turkey

ORCID number: Zeynep Akgun 0000-0002-7779-4270; Ozlem Barut Selver 0000-0003-3333-3349.

S-Editor: Xing YX L-Editor: Wang TQ P-Editor: Xing YX

# REFERENCES

- 1 Eslani M, Baradaran-Rafii A, Movahedan A, Djalilian AR. The ocular surface chemical burns. J Ophthalmol 2014; 2014: 196827 [PMID: 25105018 DOI: 10.1155/2014/196827]
- Sharma N, Kaur M, Agarwal T, Sangwan VS, Vajpayee RB. Treatment of acute ocular chemical burns. Surv Ophthalmol 2 2018; 63: 214-235 [PMID: 28935121 DOI: 10.1016/j.survophthal.2017.09.005]
- Merle H, Gérard M, Schrage N. [Ocular burns]. J Fr Ophtalmol 2008; 31: 723-734 [PMID: 18971859 DOI: 10.1016/S0181-5512(08)74391-2]
- Singh P, Tyagi M, Kumar Y, Gupta KK, Sharma PD. Ocular chemical injuries and their management. Oman J Ophthalmol 4 2013; 6: 83-86 [PMID: 24082664 DOI: 10.4103/0974-620X.116624]
- Ahmmed AA, Ting DSJ, Figueiredo FC. Epidemiology, economic and humanistic burdens of Ocular Surface Chemical Injury: A narrative review. Ocul Surf 2021; 20: 199-211 [PMID: 33647471 DOI: 10.1016/j.jtos.2021.02.006]
- Lewis CJ, Al-Mousawi A, Jha A, Allison KP. Is it time for a change in the approach to chemical burns? J Plast Reconstr 6 Aesthet Surg 2017; 70: 563-567 [PMID: 28330646 DOI: 10.1016/j.bjps.2017.02.013]
- Hossain RR, Papamichael E, Coombes A. East London deliberate corrosive fluid injuries. Eye (Lond) 2020; 34: 733-739 7 [PMID: 31554950 DOI: 10.1038/s41433-019-0593-x]
- Koh DH, Lee SG, Kim HC. Incidence and characteristics of chemical burns. Burns 2017; 43: 654-664 [PMID: 27692779 8 DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2016.08.037]
- 9 Li T, Jiang B, Zhou X. Clinical characteristics of patients hospitalized for ocular chemical injuries in Shanghai from 2012 to 2017. Int Ophthalmol 2020; 40: 909-916 [PMID: 31919774 DOI: 10.1007/s10792-019-01263-w]
- 10 Radosavljević A, Kalezić T, Golubović S. The frequency of chemical injuries of the eye in a tertiary referral centre. Srp Arh Celok Lek 2013; 141: 592-596 [PMID: 24364219 DOI: 10.2298/SARH1310592R]
- Oner A, Kekec Z, Karakucuk S, Ikizceli I, Sözüer EM. Ocular trauma in Turkey: a 2-year prospective study. Adv Ther 11 2006; 23: 274-283 [PMID: 16751160 DOI: 10.1007/BF02850133]
- Milton R, Mathieu L, Hall AH, Maibach HI. Chemical assault and skin/eye burns: two representative cases, report from the 12 Acid Survivors Foundation, and literature review. Burns 2010; 36: 924-932 [PMID: 20080356 DOI: 10.1016/j.burns.2009.10.020]
- 13 Blackburn J, Levitan EB, MacLennan PA, Owsley C, McGwin G Jr. The epidemiology of chemical eye injuries. Curr Eye Res 2012; 37: 787-793 [PMID: 22667345 DOI: 10.3109/02713683.2012.681747]
- Ghosh S, Salvador-Culla B, Kotagiri A, Pushpoth S, Tey A, Johnson ZK, Figueiredo FC. Acute Chemical Eye Injury and 14 Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency-A Prospective Study in the United Kingdom. Cornea 2019; 38: 8-12 [PMID: 30199398 DOI: 10.1097/ICO.000000000001739]
- 15 Yu TS, Liu H, Hui K. A case-control study of eye injuries in the workplace in Hong Kong. Ophthalmology 2004; 111: 70-74 [PMID: 14711716 DOI: 10.1016/J.OPHTHA.2003.05.018]
- 16 Kuckelkorn R, Kottek A, Reim M. [Intraocular complications after severe chemical burns--incidence and surgical treatment]. Klin Monbl Augenheilkd 1994; 205: 86-92 [PMID: 7967411 DOI: 10.1055/s-2008-1045497]
- Bizrah M, Yusuf A, Ahmad S. An update on chemical eye burns. Eye (Lond) 2019; 33: 1362-1377 [PMID: 31086244 DOI: 17 10.1038/s41433-019-0456-5
- Saini JS, Sharma A. Ocular chemical burns--clinical and demographic profile. Burns 1993; 19: 67-69 [PMID: 8435120 18 DOI: 10.1016/0305-4179(93)90104-G]
- 19 Merle H, Donnio A, Ayeboua L, Michel F, Thomas F, Ketterle J, Leonard C, Josset P, Gerard M. Alkali ocular burns in Martinique (French West Indies) Evaluation of the use of an amphoteric solution as the rinsing product. Burns 2005; 31: 205-211 [PMID: 15683694 DOI: 10.1016/J.BURNS.2004.09.001]
- Moon ME, Robertson IF. Retrospective study of alkali burns of the eye. Aust J Ophthalmol 1983; 11: 281-286 [PMID: 20 6421269 DOI: 10.1111/J.1442-9071.1983.TB01094.X]



- 21 Bodh SA, Kumar V, Raina UK, Ghosh B, Thakar M. Inflammatory glaucoma. Oman J Ophthalmol 2011; 4: 3-9 [PMID: 21713239 DOI: 10.4103/0974-620X.77655]
- 22 Lynn DD, Zukin LM, Dellavalle R. The safety and efficacy of Diphoterine for ocular and cutaneous burns in humans. Cutan Ocul Toxicol 2017; 36: 185-192 [PMID: 27486965 DOI: 10.1080/15569527.2016.1217423]
- 23 Welling JD, Pike EC, Mauger TF. Alkali Burn of the Ocular Surface Associated With a Commonly Used Antifog Agent for Eyewear: Two Cases and a Review of Previous Reports. Cornea 2016; 35: 289-291 [PMID: 26655480 DOI: 10.1097/ICO.0000000000000706]
- 24 HUGHES WF Jr. Alkali burns of the eye; clinical and pathologic course. Arch Ophthal 1946; 36: 189-214 [PMID: 20997671 DOI: 10.1001/ARCHOPHT.1946.00890210194005]
- 25 Wagoner MD. Chemical injuries of the eye: current concepts in pathophysiology and therapy. Surv Ophthalmol 1997; 41: 275-313 [PMID: 9104767 DOI: 10.1016/S0039-6257(96)00007-0]
- 26 Morgan SJ. Chemical burns of the eye: causes and management. Br J Ophthalmol 1987; 71: 854-857 [PMID: 3689738 DOI: 10.1136/bjo.71.11.854]
- Chen SY, Fong PC, Lin SF, Chang CH, Chan CC. A case-crossover study on transient risk factors of work-related eye 27 injuries. Occup Environ Med 2009; 66: 517-522 [PMID: 19286683 DOI: 10.1136/oem.2008.042325]
- 28 Macdonald EC, Cauchi PA, Azuara-Blanco A, Foot B. Surveillance of severe chemical corneal injuries in the UK. Br J Ophthalmol 2009; 93: 1177-1180 [PMID: 19416936 DOI: 10.1136/bjo.2008.154831]
- 29 Tschopp M, Krähenbühl P, Tappeiner C, Kupferschmidt H, Quarroz S, Goldblum D, Frueh BE. Incidence and causative agents of chemical eye injuries in Switzerland. Clin Toxicol (Phila) 2015; 53: 957-961 [PMID: 26479216 DOI: 10.3109/15563650.2015.1094702
- 30 Kılıç Müftüoğlu İ, Aydın Akova Y, Çetinkaya A. Clinical Spectrum and Treatment Approaches in Corneal Burns. Turk J Ophthalmol 2015; 45: 182-187 [PMID: 27800229 DOI: 10.4274/tjo.99267]
- Bunker DJ, George RJ, Kleinschmidt A, Kumar RJ, Maitz P. Alkali-related ocular burns: a case series and review. J Burn 31 Care Res 2014; 35: 261-268 [PMID: 23877138 DOI: 10.1097/BCR.0b013e31829b0037]
- Bhalekar S, Basu S, Lal I, Sangwan VS. Successful autologous simple limbal epithelial transplantation (SLET) in 32 previously failed paediatric limbal transplantation for ocular surface burns. BMJ Case Rep 2013; 2013 [PMID: 23667247 DOI: 10.1136/bcr-2013-009888]
- 33 Wang W, Zhou Y, Zeng J, Shi M, Chen B. Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of patients hospitalized for ocular trauma in South-Central China. Acta Ophthalmol 2017; 95: e503-e510 [PMID: 28371405 DOI: 10.1111/aos.13438]
- 34 Beiran I, Miller B, Bentur Y. The efficacy of calcium gluconate in ocular hydrofluoric acid burns. Hum Exp Toxicol 1997; 16: 223-228 [PMID: 9154448 DOI: 10.1177/096032719701600412]
- 35 Atley K, Ridyard E. Treatment of hydrofluoric acid exposure to the eye. Int J Ophthalmol 2015; 8: 157-161 [PMID: 25709926 DOI: 10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959.2015.01.28]
- Islam SS, Nambiar AM, Doyle EJ, Velilla AM, Biswas RS, Ducatman AM. Epidemiology of work-related burn injuries: 36 experience of a state-managed workers' compensation system. J Trauma 2000; 49: 1045-1051 [PMID: 11130487 DOI: 10.1097/00005373-200012000-00012
- 37 Akgun Z, Palamar M, Egrilmez S, Yagci A, Selver OB. Clinical Characteristics and Severity Distribution of Tertiary Eye Center Attendance by Ocular Chemical Injury Patients. Eye Contact Lens 2022; 48: 295-299 [PMID: 35580512 DOI: 10.1097/ICL.0000000000000908
- Adepoju FG, Adeboye A, Adigun IA. Chemical eye injuries: presentation and management difficulties. Ann Afr Med 2007; 38 6: 7-11 [PMID: 18240484 DOI: 10.4103/1596-3519.55738]
- 39 Haring RS, Sheffield ID, Channa R, Canner JK, Schneider EB. Epidemiologic Trends of Chemical Ocular Burns in the United States. JAMA Ophthalmol 2016; 134: 1119-1124 [PMID: 27490908 DOI: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2016.2645]
- Vajpayee RB, Shekhar H, Sharma N, Jhanji V. Demographic and clinical profile of ocular chemical injuries in the pediatric 40 age group. Ophthalmology 2014; 121: 377-380 [PMID: 23948464 DOI: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.06.044]
- Jafari AK, Anvari F, Ameri A, Bozorgui S, Shahverdi N. Epidemiology and sociodemographic aspects of ocular traumatic injuries in Iran. Int Ophthalmol 2010; 30: 691-696 [PMID: 20924645 DOI: 10.1007/s10792-010-9401-0]
- Al-Ghadeer H, Al Amry M, Aldihan KA, Alobaidan OS, AlQahtani GMS, Khandekar R. Demographic, Clinical Profile 42 and Management Outcomes of Ocular Chemical Injuries in Saudi Children. Clin Ophthalmol 2022; 16: 3247-3255 [PMID: 36211717 DOI: 10.2147/OPTH.S3790811
- 43 Jolly R, Arjunan M, Theodorou M, Dahlmann-Noor AH. Eye injuries in children incidence and outcomes: An observational study at a dedicated children's eye casualty. Eur J Ophthalmol 2019; 29: 499-503 [PMID: 30270661 DOI: 10.1177/1120672118803512]
- Cao H, Li L, Zhang M, Li H. Epidemiology of pediatric ocular trauma in the Chaoshan Region, China, 2001-2010. PLoS 44 One 2013; 8: e60844 [PMID: 23593323 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060844]
- Gray ME, West CE. Corneal injuries from liquid detergent pods. J AAPOS 2014; 18: 494-495 [PMID: 25280925 DOI: 45 10.1016/j.jaapos.2014.05.006
- Korkmaz I, Palamar M, Egrilmez S, Yagci A, Barut Selver O. Ten Years of Pediatric Ocular Chemical Burn Experience in 46 a Tertiary Eye Care Center in Turkey. Eye Contact Lens 2022; 48: 175-179 [PMID: 35296629 DOI: 10.1097/ICL.00000000000858]
- Williams H, Bateman DN, Thomas SH, Thompson JP, Scott RA, Vale JA. Exposure to liquid detergent capsules: a study undertaken by the UK National Poisons Information Service. Clin Toxicol (Phila) 2012; 50: 776-780 [PMID: 22835052 DOI: 10.3109/15563650.2012.709937]
- Pollard KA, Xiang H, Smith GA. Pediatric eye injuries treated in US emergency departments, 1990-2009. Clin Pediatr 48 (Phila) 2012; 51: 374-381 [PMID: 22199176 DOI: 10.1177/0009922811427583]
- Chen AJ, Kim JG, Linakis JG, Mello MJ, Greenberg PB. Eye injuries in the elderly from consumer products in the United States: 2001-2007. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2013; 251: 645-651 [PMID: 22527310 DOI: 10.1007/s00417-012-2004-x
- 50 Akbas E, Korkmaz I, Palamar M, Barut Selver O. Shifting trends in demographic features of chemical eye injuries during



COVID-19 pandemic. Int Ophthalmol 2022; 42: 2127-2132 [PMID: 35013832 DOI: 10.1007/s10792-022-02211-x]

- 51 Das AV, Rao P, Shanbhag S, Singh S, Basu S. Waves of COVID-19 Pandemic: Effect on Ocular Surface Services at a Tertiary Eye Center in India. Cureus 2021; 13: e20719 [PMID: 35111418 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.20719]
- 52 Liang H, Zhang M, Chen M, Lin TPH, Lai M, Chen H. Ocular Trauma During COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila) 2022; 11: 481-487 [PMID: 36094376 DOI: 10.1097/APO.000000000000539]
- Martin GC, Le Roux G, Guindolet D, Boulanger E, Hasle D, Morin E, Vodovar D, Vignal C, Gabison E, Descatha A; 53 French PCC Research Group. Pediatric Eye Injuries by Hydroalcoholic Gel in the Context of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. JAMA Ophthalmol 2021; 139: 348-351 [PMID: 33475712 DOI: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2020.6346]
- 54 Wasser LM, Koppel JH, Zadok D, Berkowitz L, Abulafia A, Heiman E, Aryan A, Roditi E, Weill Y. Pediatric Ocular Injury Due to Hand Sanitizer Exposure: An Emerging Hazard. Pediatr Emerg Care 2021; 37: 462-465 [PMID: 34116551 DOI: 10.1097/PEC.00000000002468]
- 55 Al Busaidi A, Mal W, Rafei MA, Al-Yaqoobi A, Panchatcharam S, Al-Mujaini AS. The Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Ophthalmic Referrals within a Tertiary Academic Center in Oman. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol 2021; 28: 239-244 [PMID: 35719285 DOI: 10.4103/meajo.meajo 169 21]
- 56 Haring RS, Sheffield ID, Frattaroli S. Detergent Pod-Related Eye Injuries Among Preschool-Aged Children. JAMA Ophthalmol 2017; 135: 283-284 [PMID: 28152145 DOI: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2016.5694]





# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

