

World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2024 April 6; 12(10): 1714-1856



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 12 Number 10 April 6, 2024

EDITORIAL

- 1714 Pitfalls in internal jugular vein cannulation
Nag DS, Swain A, Sahu S, Swain BP, Sam M

MINIREVIEWS

- 1718 Discontinuation of therapy in inflammatory bowel disease: Current views
Meštrović A, Kumric M, Bozic J

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

- 1728 Two-stage extraction by partial grinding of impacted mandibular third molar in close proximity to the inferior alveolar nerve
Luo GM, Yao ZS, Huang WX, Zou LY, Yang Y
- 1733 Clinical efficacy of femtosecond laser-assisted phacoemulsification in diabetic cataract patients
Tang YF, Duan ZH
- 1742 Impact of transcranial electrical stimulation on serum neurotrophic factors and language function in patients with speech disorders
Sun L, Xiao K, Shen XY, Wang S

Clinical and Translational Research

- 1750 Identification of marker genes associated with N6-methyladenosine and autophagy in ulcerative colitis
Liu XY, Qiao D, Zhang YL, Liu ZX, Chen YL, Que RY, Cao HY, Dai YC

CASE REPORT

- 1766 Demyelinating neuropathy in patients with hepatitis B virus: A case report
Yan XX, Huang J, Lin J
- 1772 Successful treatment of *Purpureocillium lilacinum* pulmonary infection with isavuconazole: A case report
Yang XL, Zhang JY, Ren JM
- 1778 Cellular angiofibroma arising from the rectocutaneous fistula in an adult: A case report
Chen HE, Lu YY, Su RY, Wang HH, Chen CY, Hu JM, Kang JC, Lin KH, Pu TW
- 1785 Jaffe-Campanacci syndrome resulted in amputation: A case report
Jiang J, Liu M

- 1793** Paradoxical herniation associated with hyperbaric oxygen therapy after decompressive craniectomy: A case report
Ye ZX, Fu XX, Wu YZ, Lin L, Xie LQ, Hu YL, Zhou Y, You ZG, Lin H
- 1799** Subdural effusion associated with COVID-19 encephalopathy: A case report
Xue ZY, Xiao ZL, Cheng M, Xiang T, Wu XL, Ai QL, Wu YL, Yang T
- 1804** Cemented vertebra and adjacent vertebra refractured in a chronic kidney disease-mineral and bone disorder patient: A case report
Zhang TD, Cao S, Ren HY, Li YM, Yuan YM
- 1810** Idiopathic mesenteric phlebosclerosis missed by a radiologist at initial diagnosis: A case report
Wang M, Wan YX, Liao JW, Xiong F
- 1817** Gallbladder carcinosarcoma with a poor prognosis: A case report
Dai Y, Meng M, Luo QZ, Liu YJ, Xiao F, Wang CH
- 1824** Unique method for removal of knotted lumbar epidural catheter: A case report
Deng NH, Chen XC, Quan SB
- 1830** Moyamoya syndrome may result from psoriasis: Four case reports
Chen ZY, Yu XQ, Xiang YY, Liu LH, Yin XP
- 1837** Thoracic spinal cord injury and paraplegia caused by intradural cement leakage after percutaneous kyphoplasty: A case report
Mao Z, Xiong ZH, Li JF
- 1844** Panhypopituitarism caused by a suprasellar germinoma: A case report
Roganovic J, Saric L, Segulja S, Dordevic A, Radosevic M
- 1851** Can we triumph over locally advanced cervical cancer with colossal para-aortic lymph nodes? A case report
Alzibdeh A, Mohamad I, Wahbeh L, Abuhijlih R, Abuhijla F

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Sanjeet Singh Avtaar Singh, MBChB, MSc, PhD, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH16 4SA, United Kingdom.
sanjeetsinghtoor@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (*WJCC*, *World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The *WJCC* is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for *WJCC* as 1.1; IF without journal self cites: 1.1; 5-year IF: 1.3; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.26; Ranking: 133 among 167 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: *Si Zhao*; Production Department Director: *Xu Guo*; Cover Editor: *Jin-Lei Wang*.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Bao-Gan Peng, Salim Surani, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

April 6, 2024

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Unique method for removal of knotted lumbar epidural catheter: A case report

Nian-Hua Deng, Xiao-Cong Chen, Shou-Bo Quan

Specialty type: Anesthesiology

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): 0

Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: DeSousa K, India

Received: November 30, 2023

Peer-review started: November 30, 2023

First decision: January 29, 2024

Revised: February 3, 2024

Accepted: March 4, 2024

Article in press: March 4, 2024

Published online: April 6, 2024



Nian-Hua Deng, Xiao-Cong Chen, Shou-Bo Quan, Anesthesia and Surgery Center, Dongguan Songshan Lake Central Hospital, Dongguan 523326, Guangdong Province, China

Corresponding author: Shou-Bo Quan, MD, Doctor, Professor, Teacher, Anesthesia and Surgery Center, Dongguan Songshan Lake Central Hospital, No. 1 Xianglong Road, Dongguan 523326, Guangdong Province, China. nhxys413@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Combined spinal-epidural (CSE) anesthesia is the preferred anesthesia method for cesarean delivery. The use of an epidural catheter is essential for administering additional drugs intraoperatively and managing postoperative pain. However, the insertion of epidural catheters is associated with various complications, such as total spinal anesthesia, symptoms indicative of spinal nerve root irritation, and challenges in epidural catheter removal.

CASE SUMMARY

We present a case report of a challenging epidural catheter removal due to knotting. The lumbar computed tomography scan results revealed that the catheter formed a tight knot in the epidural space. We used a novel extubation method and successfully removed the catheter.

CONCLUSION

The operator can use opposite forces to "spiral" apart the spinal joints by positioning the patient's body in a specific position. The findings indicate that, when combined with imaging examination results, this method is effective for the removal of epidural catheters.

Key Words: Epidural catheter; Knotting; Challenging extubation; Case report

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Lumbar computed tomography imaging showed that the reinforced catheter formed a tight knot in the epidural space. The patient's body was placed in a specific position, and the doctor's hands were respectively at the right scapula and the right hip joint of the patient, and the force in the opposite direction was used to "spiral" the spinal joint, and the catheter was successfully removed.

Citation: Deng NH, Chen XC, Quan SB. Unique method for removal of knotted lumbar epidural catheter: A case report. *World J Clin Cases* 2024; 12(10): 1824-1829

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v12/i10/1824.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v12.i10.1824>

INTRODUCTION

Challenging removal of the epidural catheter is among the complications associated with continuous epidural anesthesia. This challenge is attributed to the compression of the catheter in the narrow intervertebral space or the formation of loops, tangles, or knots within the epidural space. The occurrence of catheter knotting, which leads to challenging removal, is extremely rare[1].

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

A 30-year-old female patient (38 wk pregnant, single pregnancy) underwent cesarean section. After the surgery, the anesthesiologist found it difficult to remove the epidural catheter.

History of present illness

The pregnant patient was placed in a right lateral tilt position. The midline puncture through the L2-3 intervertebral space was executed, but the medical staff encountered resistance after several needle direction adjustments. An alternate paramedian puncture technique was used, resulting in reduced resistance. A sensation of ligamentum flavum penetration was experienced at a depth of approximately 7 cm. A negative pressure test confirmed the entry of the epidural puncture needle into the epidural space. Subsequently, a spinal needle was inserted through the epidural needle, resulting in a sensation of dura mater puncture without evidence of nerve stimulation. Clear cerebrospinal fluid flow was observed and 1.8 mL of 0.5% ropivacaine was administered slowly. After removing the spinal needle, the left hand of the anesthesiologist held in place the epidural puncture needle, and an enhanced epidural catheter (MaiChuang Medical, Jiangsu Province, China) was advanced with the right hand until the 15 cm scale. The epidural puncture needle was retracted using the left hand, ensuring that the catheter was retreated outward of the skin to the 12 cm scale, leaving the catheter positioned at a length of 5 cm in the epidural cavity. The catheter was smoothly inserted, and no blood or cerebrospinal fluid was observed upon syringe withdrawal. The patency of the catheter was good, as demonstrated by the physiological saline test. The exposed end of the catheter was fixed to the patient's back using adhesive tape. The anesthesia administered during the surgery was effective, and the procedure was conducted smoothly.

Ten minutes before the conclusion of the operation, the injection of the initial dose of analgesia through the epidural catheter failed due to significant resistance during administration. Preliminary speculation suggested that a section of the catheter might have formed a knot under pressure on the patient's back. However, no knots were observed in the catheter after the surgery. The patient's position was adjusted to a right lateral tilt, but an attempt to remove the catheter was unsuccessful. The patient did not experience any pain or abnormal sensations during the catheter traction process. Consequently, a decision was reached to postpone the catheter removal. The exposed portion of the catheter was disinfected, dressed, and secured. Intravenous analgesia was administered as an alternative. With the consent of the patient and her family, an emergency computed tomography (CT) examination was performed, revealing a tight knot in the catheter at the right subvertebral notch of the L2 vertebra (Figure 1). Evaluation using the spinal model showed that placing the patient in a left lateral position with the left lower limb extended and the right lower limb flexed at a 90-degree angle allowed the anesthesiologist to apply pressure on the patient's right scapula, pushing it backward and downward with the left hand. Simultaneously, the anesthesiologist applied pressure to the patient's right hip joint, pushing it forward with the right hand. This maneuver effectively "spiraled" and separated the small joints of the spine (Figure 2). A careful attempt was made at the bedside to remove the catheter by using this method with the consent of the patient and her family. The catheter was gently pulled with a constant force. Despite encountering resistance, the catheter was successfully removed. The patient did not experience pain or abnormal sensations during the removal process. Examination of the catheter showed that a knot had formed approximately 3.2 cm from the catheter tip. Additionally, the inner wire coil of the catheter had significantly elongated under continual tension, and the outer part of the catheter coil, located 8 cm from the tip, had fractured, leaving an intact end (Figure 3). The patient was monitored for 1 wk following catheter removal, and no adverse complaints or complications were reported.




Figure 1 Computed tomography images of the patient. A-C: Computed tomography images of the lumbar region show a knot in the catheter at the right subvertebral notch of the L2 vertebra (indicated by orange arrows).




Figure 2 A unique method was adopted in order to pull out the knotted catheter in the patient's epidural space. A: The patient was placed in a left lateral position with the left lower limb extended and the right lower limb flexed at a 90-degree angle. The operator applied pressure on the patient's right scapula, pushing it backward and downward with the left hand while pushing the patient's right hip joint forward with the right hand; B: Demonstration of a spinal model showing the steps presented in A, which effectively opened the right-sided facet joint of the lumbar vertebrae.

History of past illness

The patient had a history of ectopic pregnancy three years ago, and the ectopic pregnancy lesions were removed under laparoscopy.

Personal and family history

The patient had good living habits and denied any family history of disease or other genetic diseases.

Physical examination

The vital signs of the patient were as follows: Body temperature, 36.8 °C; heart rate, 89/min; respiratory rate, 18/min; blood pressure, 138/86 mmHg; weight, 80 kg; and height, 154 cm.

Laboratory examinations

The patient's platelet count was 132×10^9 , thrombin time was 16 s, prothrombin time was 10.4 s, fibrinogen was 3.85 g/L, and activated partial thromboplastin time ratio was 0.98.




Figure 3 The shape of the knotted catheter in the epidural space after it was successfully pulled out. A knot located approximately 3.2 cm from the catheter tip (indicated by the orange arrow). The soft portion of the catheter coil fractured at a distance of 8 cm from the catheter tip (indicated by the yellow arrow).

Imaging examinations

The preoperative electrocardiogram was normal. Emergency CT examination after the operation showed that the catheter had a tight knot at the right subvertebral notch of the L2 vertebra (Figure 1A-C).

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

The reinforced catheter formed a knot in the epidural space.

TREATMENT

The doctor placed the patient's body in a specific position, with both hands at the right shoulder blade and the right hip joint of the patient, used the "spiral" force in the opposite direction to separate the spinal joint, and successfully removed the catheter.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

We used a novel extubation method and successfully removed the catheter.

DISCUSSION

The occurrence of epidural catheter knotting is rare, with an incidence of 1 in 65140 catheters and an average of 0.0015% [2]. The length of the part of the catheter retained in the epidural space is a highly debated issue as it is associated with the incidence of catheter knotting. Some researchers believe that retaining a catheter length of 5 cm in the epidural space is optimal, balancing effective analgesia with the reduction of risks such as catheter looping, extrusion, or inadvertent arterial placement [3,4]. Researchers also propose that retaining the catheter length within 3-4 cm from the catheter tip could prevent a 180° rotation and subsequent knot formation [1]. However, some studies report knot formation even when the catheter length is maintained within 3 cm from the tip [2]. In our study, the knot was located approximately 3.2 cm from the catheter tip. Brichant *et al* [5] reported the formation of a tight single knot at a distance of 4 mm from the catheter tip. Mizota *et al* [6] reported a firm single knot formed approximately 3 mm from the catheter tip. These findings indicate that there is no gold standard for the optimal length of catheter retained within the epidural space to prevent knot formation. Catheter knots are more prevalent in the lumbar region than in the thoracic region [6], with 64.4% of catheter knots occurring in the lumbar region [7]. This difference can be attributed to the perpendicular angle of needle insertion in the lumbar region compared to the obtuse angle in the thoracic region [8]. The obtuse angle allows more effective catheter insertion and advancement within the epidural space.

Researchers are exploring alternative methods for reducing the occurrence of catheter knotting. Enhancing the puncture success rate and catheter placement is important to reduce complications. Obesity is associated with a higher failure rate of epidural puncture and catheter placement [9]. Ultrasound-guided intrathecal puncture and needle guidance techniques are used to improve the puncture success rate and catheter placement [10] and to accurately identify the position of the puncture needle tip [11]. Oscar *et al* [12] observed that visualizing the blood flow in the epidural space and tracking the path of the catheter in the epidural space could be indirectly achieved by injecting 1 mL of normal saline into the catheter and performing color Doppler ultrasound. This technique aids in determining whether the catheter is

forming loops or knots in the epidural space.

A flexed lateral position during removal should be considered if challenges are encountered during the removal of the epidural catheter[13-16]. Although in most cases of catheter knotting, a continuous, gentle pull successfully facilitates catheter removal, approximately 30% of these cases ultimately require surgical intervention due to catheter breakage[14, 17-19]. Previous studies reported successful catheter removal under general anesthesia with muscle relaxation[20,21]. However, this approach should only be considered if the patient remains awake and has not experienced neurological pains or sensory abnormalities during previous catheter removal. If any abnormal neurologic symptoms are observed during catheter removal, the procedure must be stopped because there is a potential risk of the catheter entangling with nerve roots, blood vessels, or other structures[22]. In the present case, it is postulated that during the paramedian puncture, the epidural needle did not penetrate the epidural space in the correct sequence of the supraspinous ligament, interspinous ligament, and ligamentum flavum. The epidural needle traversed through the adjacent paraspinal tissue and entered the epidural space through the vertebral lamina fissure near the right upper and lower facets of the L2-3 vertebrae, ultimately resulting in knot formation in the epidural space.

Previous results indicated that reinforced catheters have higher tensile strength than traditional polyethylene or polyurethane catheters[23]. Asai *et al* reported a case in which a reinforced catheter broke approximately 7-8 cm from the catheter tip during removal, leaving the broken distal end inside the patient's body, while the steel wire from the distal end remained attached to the removed segment of the catheter[19]. Therefore, continuous strong pulling should be minimized even when using reinforced catheters. In this study, we observed that the fracture of the catheter sheath occurred at a distance of 8 cm from the distal end, which could be attributed to the low-density distal end of wire coils in the 7-8 cm segment[19]. In our case, the complete removal of the entire catheter could have been due to the catheter knotting, which prevented the fractured distal end of the catheter from detaching from the inner wire coils. Although reinforced catheters have higher tensile strength, they are more flexible than traditional polyethylene or polyurethane catheters. This prompts the question of whether reinforced catheters may have a higher risk of tangling and knotting when they encounter resistance during insertion into the epidural space.

In summary, knotting of the epidural catheter is a rare complication of spinal anesthesia, with limited clinical reports available. The approach for catheter removal must be tailored to the individual circumstances. In our case, a new and previously unreported method of removing the interdural catheter was reported, and it was done without the assistance of an orthopaedic surgeon, and we recommend using the method presented in Figure 2 of this case report, which involves the use of opposite forces to separate the spinal facet joints in a "spiral" manner based on the imaging examination findings. The results indicate that this technique is more effective for catheter removal and can serve as a reference method in challenging catheter removal situations.

CONCLUSION

The operator can use opposite forces to "spiral" apart the spinal joints by positioning the patient's body in a specific position. The findings indicate that, when combined with imaging examination results, this method is effective for the removal of epidural catheters.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the patient for her collaboration and all the medical staff who contributed to this study.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Deng NH and Chen XC contributed equally to this work; Deng NH and Chen XC wrote the manuscript; Quan SB was responsible for revision and quality supervision of the manuscript; all the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Informed consent statement: Informed written consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this report and any accompanying images.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no competing interests to disclose.

CARE Checklist (2016) statement: The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Xiao-Cong Chen [0009-0005-9373-1455](https://orcid.org/0009-0005-9373-1455); Shou-Bo Quan [0009-0002-0426-6159](https://orcid.org/0009-0002-0426-6159).

S-Editor: Gong ZM

L-Editor: Wang TQ

P-Editor: Zhao S

REFERENCES

- 1 **Arnaoutoglou HM**, Tzimas PG, Papadopoulos GS. Knotting of an epidural catheter: a rare complication. *Acta Anaesthesiol Belg* 2007; **58**: 55-57 [PMID: [17486925](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17486925/) DOI: [10.1016/s1875-4597\(10\)60010-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1875-4597(10)60010-0)]
- 2 **Fibuch EE**, McNitt JD, Cussen T. Knotting of the Theracath after an uneventful epidural insertion for cesarean delivery. *Anesthesiology* 1990; **73**: 1293 [PMID: [2248413](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2248413/) DOI: [10.1097/00005542-199012000-00040](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005542-199012000-00040)]
- 3 **Cartagena R**, Gaiser RR. Advancing an epidural catheter 10 cm then retracting it 5 cm is no more effective than advancing it 5 cm. *J Clin Anesth* 2005; **17**: 528-530 [PMID: [16297752](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16297752/) DOI: [10.1016/j.jclinane.2005.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2005.08.002)]
- 4 **Beilin Y**, Bernstein HH, Zucker-Pinchoff B. The optimal distance that a multiorifice epidural catheter should be threaded into the epidural space. *Anesth Analg* 1995; **81**: 301-304 [PMID: [7618719](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7618719/) DOI: [10.1097/00005539-199508000-00016](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005539-199508000-00016)]
- 5 **Brichant JF**, Bonhomme V, Hans P. On knots in epidural catheters: a case report and a review of the literature. *Int J Obstet Anesth* 2006; **15**: 159-162 [PMID: [16431103](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16431103/) DOI: [10.1016/j.ijoa.2005.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijoa.2005.07.003)]
- 6 **Mizota T**, Kimura K, Takeda C. Knot formation in a thoracic epidural catheter: a case report. *JA Clin Rep* 2021; **7**: 45 [PMID: [34100173](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34100173/) DOI: [10.1186/s40981-021-00448-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40981-021-00448-6)]
- 7 **Browne RA**, Politi VL. Knotting of an epidural catheter: a case report. *Can Anaesth Soc J* 1979; **26**: 142-144 [PMID: [466553](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/466553/) DOI: [10.1007/bf03013787](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03013787)]
- 8 **Muneyuki M**, Shirai K, Inamoto A. Roentgenographic analysis of the positions of catheters in the epidural space. *Anesthesiology* 1970; **33**: 19-24 [PMID: [5430289](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/5430289/) DOI: [10.1097/00005542-197007000-00007](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005542-197007000-00007)]
- 9 **Kula AO**, Riess ML, Ellinas EH. Increasing body mass index predicts increasing difficulty, failure rate, and time to discovery of failure of epidural anesthesia in laboring patients. *J Clin Anesth* 2017; **37**: 154-158 [PMID: [28235511](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28235511/) DOI: [10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.11.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.11.010)]
- 10 **Vernon TJ**, Vogel TM, Dalby PL, Mandell G, Lim G. Ultrasound-assisted epidural labor analgesia for landmark identification in morbidly obese pregnant women: A preliminary investigation. *J Clin Anesth* 2020; **59**: 53-54 [PMID: [31226533](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31226533/) DOI: [10.1016/j.jclinane.2019.05.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2019.05.023)]
- 11 **Riveros-Perez E**, Albo C, Jimenez E, Cheriyan T, Rocuts A. Color your epidural: color flow Doppler to confirm labor epidural needle position. *Minerva Anestesiol* 2019; **85**: 376-383 [PMID: [30482003](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30482003/) DOI: [10.23736/S0375-9393.18.13175-0](https://doi.org/10.23736/S0375-9393.18.13175-0)]
- 12 **van den Bosch OFC**, Gleicher Y, Arzola C, Siddiqui N, Downey K, Carvalho JCA. Color flow Doppler in spinal ultrasound: a novel technique for assessment of catheter position in labor epidurals. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2022; **47**: 775-779 [PMID: [36215115](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36215115/) DOI: [10.1136/rapm-2022-103948](https://doi.org/10.1136/rapm-2022-103948)]
- 13 **Boey SK**, Carrie LE. Withdrawal forces during removal of lumbar extradural catheters. *Br J Anaesth* 1994; **73**: 833-835 [PMID: [7880675](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7880675/) DOI: [10.1093/bja/73.6.833](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/73.6.833)]
- 14 **Hajmour MS**, Khokhar RS, Ejaz AA, Al Zahrani T, Kanchi NU. Difficulty in the removal of epidural catheter for labor analgesia. *Saudi J Anaesth* 2017; **11**: 117-119 [PMID: [28217071](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28217071/) DOI: [10.4103/1658-354X.197353](https://doi.org/10.4103/1658-354X.197353)]
- 15 **Sia-Kho E**, Kudlak TT. How to dislodge a severely trapped epidural catheter. *Anesth Analg* 1992; **74**: 933 [PMID: [1595929](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1595929/) DOI: [10.1213/00005539-199206000-00031](https://doi.org/10.1213/00005539-199206000-00031)]
- 16 **Morris GN**, Warren BB, Hanson EW, Mazzeo FJ, DiBenedetto DJ. Influence of patient position on withdrawal forces during removal of lumbar extradural catheters. *Br J Anaesth* 1996; **77**: 419-420 [PMID: [8949823](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8949823/) DOI: [10.1093/bja/77.3.419](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/77.3.419)]
- 17 **Asai T**, Sakai T, Murao K, Kojima K, Shingu K. More difficulty in removing an arrow epidural catheter. *Anesth Analg* 2006; **102**: 1595-1596 [PMID: [16632862](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16632862/) DOI: [10.1213/01.ane.0000215172.96873.43](https://doi.org/10.1213/01.ane.0000215172.96873.43)]
- 18 **Bréget JM**, Fischer C, Ben Meftah R, Tabary N. [Impossible withdrawal of an epidural catheter]. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 2008; **27**: 1016-1018 [PMID: [19027262](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19027262/) DOI: [10.1016/j.annfar.2008.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annfar.2008.10.009)]
- 19 **Asai T**, Yamamoto K, Hirose T, Taguchi H, Shingu K. Breakage of epidural catheters: a comparison of an arrow reinforced catheter and other nonreinforced catheters. *Anesth Analg* 2001; **92**: 246-248 [PMID: [11133637](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11133637/) DOI: [10.1097/00005539-200101000-00048](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005539-200101000-00048)]
- 20 **Jongleux EF**, Miller R, Freeman A. An entrapped epidural catheter in a postpartum patient. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 1998; **23**: 615-617 [PMID: [9840860](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9840860/) DOI: [10.1097/00115550-199823060-00018](https://doi.org/10.1097/00115550-199823060-00018)]
- 21 **Singh V**, Bhakta P, Ahuja A. Epidural catheter knot immediately after catheter insertion. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2015; **43**: 280-281 [PMID: [25735704](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25735704/) DOI: [10.1177/0310057x0203000223](https://doi.org/10.1177/0310057x0203000223)]
- 22 **Sidhu MS**, Asrani RV, Bassell GM. An unusual complication of extradural catheterization in obstetric anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 1983; **55**: 473-475 [PMID: [6849730](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6849730/) DOI: [10.1093/bja/55.5.473](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/55.5.473)]
- 23 **Gonzalez Fiol A**, Horvath R, Schoenberg C, Ahmed N, Dhar SK, Le V. Comparison of Changes in Tensile Strength in Three Different Flexible Epidural Catheters Under Various Conditions. *Anesth Analg* 2016; **123**: 233-237 [PMID: [27111646](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27111646/) DOI: [10.1213/ANE.0000000000001305](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000001305)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

