World Journal of Clinical Cases

World J Clin Cases 2024 April 26; 12(12): 2000-2137





Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 12 Number 12 April 26, 2024

EDITORIAL

2000 Protein C deficiency with venous and arterial thromboembolic events

Zhang N, Sun DK, Tian X, Zheng XY, Liu T

2004 Indication and surgical approach for reconstruction with endoprosthesis in bone-associated soft tissue sarcomas: Appropriate case management is vital

Öztürk R

Comprehensive and personalized approach is a critical area for developing remote cardiac rehabilitation 2009

Pepera G, Antoniou V, Su JJ, Lin R, Batalik L

2016 Pain management in chronic pancreatitis

Nag DS, Swain BP, Anand R, Barman TK, Vatsala

2023 Predicting intensive care unit-acquired weakness: A multilayer perceptron neural network approach

Ardila CM, González-Arroyave D, Zuluaga-Gómez M

MINIREVIEWS

2031 Autoantibodies related to ataxia and other central nervous system manifestations of gluten enteropathy

Velikova T, Vasilev G, Shumnalieva R, Chervenkov L, Miteva DG, Gulinac M, Priftis S, Lazova S

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

2040 Enhanced recovery after surgery in elderly patients with non-small cell lung cancer who underwent videoassisted thoracic surgery

Sun MH, Wu LS, Qiu YY, Yan J, Li XQ

Clinical Trials Study

2050 Transient elastography with controlled attenuation parameter for the diagnosis of colorectal polyps in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease

Wang L, Li YF, Dong LF

META-ANALYSIS

2056 Systematic review and network meta-analysis of different non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for juvenile idiopathic arthritis

Zeng T, Ye JZ, Qin H, Xu QQ

Thrice Monthly Volume 12 Number 12 April 26, 2024

CASE REPORT

2065 Human immunodeficiency virus-associated dementia complex with positive 14-3-3 protein in cerebrospinal fluid: A case report

He YS, Qin XH, Feng M, Huang QJ, Zhang MJ, Guo LL, Bao MB, Tao Y, Dai HY, Wu B

2074 Multiorgan dysfunction syndrome due to high-dose cantharidin poisoning: A case report

Xu WL, Tang WJ, Yang WY, Sun LC, Zhang ZQ, Li W, Zang XX

2079 Overlapping infections of *Mycobacterium canariasense* and *Nocardia farcinica* in an immunocompetent patient: A case report

Huang HY, Bu KP, Liu JW, Wei J

2086 Basilic vein variation encountered during surgery for arm vein port: A case report

Hu CD, Lv R, Zhao YX, Zhang MH, Zeng HD, Mao YW

2092 Early embryonic failure caused by a novel mutation in the TUBB8 gene: A case report

Zhang XY, Zhang XX, Wang L

2099 Thoracic spine infection caused by Pseudomonas fluorescens: A case report and review of literature

Li L, Zhang BH, Cao JF, Zhang LJ, Guo LL

Bone block from lateral window - correcting vertical and horizontal bone deficiency in maxilla posterior

site: A case report

Wang YL, Shao WJ, Wang M

2116 Small intestine angioleiomyoma as a rare cause of perforation: A case report

Hou TY, Tzeng WJ, Lee PH

2122 Crossed renal ectopia with rectal cancer: A case report

Tang ZW, Yang HF, Wu ZY, Wang CY

2128 Systemic lupus erythematosus in a 15-year-old female with multiple splenic nodules: A case report

Kang MI, Kwon HC

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

2134 Machine learning in liver surgery: Benefits and pitfalls

Calleja R, Durán M, Ayllón MD, Ciria R, Briceño J

II

Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 12 Number 12 April 26, 2024

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Eugen Javor, PharmD, Chief Pharmacist, Lecturer, Pharmacy Department, General Hospital Bjelovar, Bjelovar 43000, Croatia. eugen.javor@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.1; IF without journal self cites: 1.1; 5-year IF: 1.3; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.26; Ranking: 133 among 167 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Hua-Ge Yu, Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Cover Editor: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

TSSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREOUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Bao-Gan Peng, Salim Surani, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

https://www.wignet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm

PUBLICATION DATE

April 26, 2024

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

PUBLICATION ETHICS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239

ONLINE SUBMISSION

https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wignet.com



Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2024 April 26; 12(12): 2004-2008

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v12.i12.2004

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

EDITORIAL

Indication and surgical approach for reconstruction with endoprosthesis in bone-associated soft tissue sarcomas: Appropriate case management is vital

Recep Öztürk

Specialty type: Orthopedics

Provenance and peer review:

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Elshazly NEAB, Egypt; Lomeli SM, Mexico

Received: November 15, 2023 Peer-review started: November 15,

First decision: January 9, 2024 Revised: February 9, 2024 Accepted: March 26, 2024 Article in press: March 26, 2024 Published online: April 26, 2024

Recep Öztürk, Tumororthopädie und Sarkomchirurgie, Universitätsklinikum Essen, Essen 45143, Germany

Corresponding author: Recep Öztürk, MD, Associate Professor, Researcher, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist, Tumororthopädie und Sarkomchirurgie, Universitätsklinikum Essen, Hufelandstraße 55, Essen 45143, Germany. ozturk_recep@windowslive.com

Abstract

It is important for surgeons performing sarcoma surgery to know that bone resection and tumor prosthesis applications in soft tissue sarcomas (STS) have unique features in terms of indication, surgical approach and follow-up, in terms of the management of these cases. Some STS are associated with bone and major neurovascular structures. Bone-associated STS are generally relatively large and relatively deep-seated. Additionally, the tendency for metastasis is high. In some cases, the decision about which structures to resect is difficult. These cases are often accompanied by poor oncological and surgical outcomes. Management of cases should be done by a multidisciplinary team in advanced centers specialized in this field. The surgical team must have sufficient knowledge and experience in the field of limb-sparing surgery. Preoperative evaluation and especially good planning of bone and soft tissue reconstruction are vital.

Key Words: Soft tissue sarcoma; Bone invasion; Bone resection; Endoprosthesis replacement; Prosthesis; Limb salvage; Indication; Approach

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: In soft tissue sarcomas with bone invasion, resection of the tumor with wide margins including the relevant bone segment and endoprosthesis applications continue to be the recommended treatment method with satisfactory results. Preoperative evaluation and planning before surgery is crucial. In cases where there is a dilemma in surgical procedures, the decision of whether to perform bone resection affects the fate of the case. Bone and soft tissue reconstruction, especially after resection, should be planned meticulously to ensure adequate soft tissue coverage.

Citation: Öztürk R. Indication and surgical approach for reconstruction with endoprosthesis in bone-associated soft tissue sarcomas: Appropriate case management is vital. *World J Clin Cases* 2024; 12(12): 2004-2008

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v12/i12/2004.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v12.i12.2004

INTRODUCTION

In the management of soft tissue sarcomas (STS), wide excision is essential to achieve tumor-free surgical margins to preserve the limb. Radical resection of huge extracompartmental soft tissue sarcoma often requires simultaneous bone resection and reconstruction[1]. In these cases, endoprosthetic reconstruction may provide satisfactory results as a limb salvage strategy.

It is well known that the treatment and follow-up of bone, and STS should be managed with a multidisciplinary approach in advanced centers specialized in this field. This strong recommendation is especially valid in a special field such as bone resection, and tumor prosthesis applications in STS. Not adhering to diagnostic and treatment algorithms in the treatment management of sarcomas, or making interventions without having the knowledge and experience to interpret the patient's history, clinical examination, and radiological data, may lead to irreversible limb loss or fatal complications[2-4].

UNIQUE FEATURES OF BONE-RELATED SOFT TISSUE SARCOMAS

It is known that approximately 5% of all STS have bone invasion[5]. Bone resection, and tumor prosthesis applications in bone-related STS have their own unique nature/characteristics, different from classical prosthesis applications due to bone sarcomas. It has its own indications, differences in surgical practices and its own complications. Before making an indication for surgery in a case of soft tissue sarcoma that is relatively large in size and close to bone and major neurovascular structures, it is very important to review some important points.

Compared to prosthetic applications related to bone sarcomas, applications related to STS have their own difficulties [6]. One of these is the increased patient age[2,7]. Another is the frequent need for neoadjuvant or adjuvant radiotherapy. Especially the presence of bone invasion increases the patient's likelihood of receiving radiotherapy. Some other challenges are the presence of decreased chemosensitivity of the lesions and the potentially larger defects resulting from muscle compartment resections. Especially patients with bone invasion are likely to be metastatic, and therefore chemotherapy is usually administered to these patients. Despite additional chemotherapy, these cases still have worse survival than cases without bone invasion[5]. Another difficulty is the existence of sarcoma cases that have undergone unplanned R1 resection. These accidental surgeries are generally performed without preoperative imaging, staging and biopsy, and are generally performed in centers that do not specialize in musculoskeletal system tumors. Although extensive resection is performed along with bone resection and adjuvant treatments are given, these interventions can make management difficult and worsen the results[8,9]. In conclusion, current data show that the probability of a case of soft tissue sarcoma with bone invasion resulting in amputation is higher than the possibility of continuing with preservation of the limb[5].

PREOPERATIVE APPROACH

In the preoperative evaluation of STS, relatively less attention is paid to the presence or absence of bone invasion[10]. In fact, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the gold standard in the preoperative evaluation of these sarcomas[2-4]. It provides vital information about the location of the tumor, its size, extensions, and its relationship with important structures such as bones, vessels and nerves. Plain radiographs and computed tomography are valuable in evaluating the presence of bone erosion[2,3]. The tumor may abutting to the bone, and this can involve less than one-third of the bone, or two-thirds of the bone. Sometimes, it not only abutt, but also erodes the adjacent bone, in which case medullary signal changes may also be observed. In tumors that abutting is less than one-third of the bone, subperiosteal resection is sufficient and there is no need for bone resection and reconstruction. Conversely, abutting to the bone is usually present in tumors that involve at least two-thirds or more of the bone. And in the presence of evidence of bone invasion, resection of the involved segment is essential for adequate surgical margins[1]. The decision on whether to perform resection for tumors that abutt to approximately two-thirds of the bone should be made carefully. In case of dilemma, an another method that can help in making a decision is whether the sarcoma is mobile or not on the adjacent bone[3,5]. This evaluation should be made preoperatively and intraoperatively. In intraoperative evaluation, it should be evaluated whether the tumor is mobile or not, especially after opening the fascia around the tumor. If the tumor is mobile, subperiosteal resection is usually sufficient. Because the periosteum, which is a strong barrier, protected the bone from tumor. Cases with "planned positive" surgical margins performed in cases close to structures with natural barrier properties such as bones, vessels or nerves, have similar recurrence rates to series with negative surgical margins, especially when they supported by adjuvant treatments [3,5,10]. In cases like this, each cases necessary to be evaluated by a multidisciplinary team in a specialized center in the field of tumors. This team should include at least a medical oncologist, radiation oncologist, orthopedist, radiologist and pathologist. The patient should be evaluated in terms of neoadjuvant treatments, surgery and adjuvant treatments, taking into account the tumor subtype, location, size, age, and general condition of the patient. It is vital that the surgery is performed by a surgical team with knowledge, and experience in this field[2,4].

Another difficulty in the treatment management of STS is experienced in some cases in interpreting bone changes in preoperative examinations. It should be carefully examined whether there is a signal change in the bone marrow space adjacent to soft tissue sarcoma and whether the signal change is bone edema, invasion or metastasis. Ferguson $et\ al[5]$ reported that in cases where there was a signal change in the bone marrow space, they performed resection, taking into account the risk of tumor presence. In the study of Lin $et\ al[11]$, there was suspicion of bone invasion in 9 patients in preoperative images. They performed bone resection in all cases, and histological evaluation revealed no bone invasion in 8 cases.

In some cases, especially juxta-articular STS, the tumor is near critical structures such as vessels, nerves and bone, and removal of the tumor alone is very difficult or not possible with wide margins. In such cases, resection of the tumor-related bone segment may be planned. Additionally, if there is evidence of invasion of the vessels and nerves, their resection is required[1]. One of the nightmare complications after endoprosthesis reconstruction is infection[2,12,13]. Bone-associated STS are usually larger than 10 cm and sometimes larger than 15 cm. Just as a bone defect may occur after resection, a serious soft tissue coverage defect may also occur. Providing adequate soft tissue coverage after reconstruction of the bone defect with a prosthesis is a very critical factor in reducing infections. Therefore, before surgery, planning regarding soft tissue coverage should be planned meticulously and the operation should be completed after the necessary preparations[2,7,13].

The presence of a mass abutting to the bone, involving approximately two-thirds of the bone on MRI, poses a dilemma for treatment. Especially when the mass is located in an area close to the joint, such as the distal femur, it becomes more difficult to decide because the shape of the bone is relatively more irregular and the beginnings and endings of the muscles are more common here. The thin cortex of the metaphysis may make it difficult to determine whether a cortical erosion is present. Other areas noted to be difficult to interpret include tendinous insertions in bone and the linea aspera of the femur [14].

BIOLOGICAL BEHAVIOR OF SUBGROUPS

When evaluated in terms of subgroups of STS, subperiosteal resection can usually be sufficient in well-differentiated liposarcoma, while high-grade tumors such as synovial sarcoma, malignant fibrous histiocytoma, and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor generally tend to erode the bone and may require resection of the affected segment[1,2,4]. It is clear that these tumors require more careful evaluation and a more cautious surgical approach in terms of bone erosion.

INDICATIONS FOR BONE RESECTION

To summarize the indications for bone resection and potential endoprosthesis in sarcomas; the presence of bone invasion clearly detected in preoperative radiological examinations is an indication for resection. Even if there is no bone invasion in radiological examinations, in the presence of a mass that covers almost the entire bone or surrounds it 360 degrees, bone resection should be performed since wide surgical margins will not be possible without resection of the relevant bone segment. When it is determined that there is no bone invasion and no signal change in the bone marrow space in preoperative radiological examinations, tumor mobility should be examined manually preoperatively and intraoperatively. Bone resection is indicated for tumors that are not mobile on the bone. In mobile tumors, resection with periosteum seems sufficient[1,5,6,11,13].

CLINICAL CASE SERIES

When studies analyzing bone-related STS are examined; Rowell *et al*[6] compared 29 cases of lower extremity STS with bone invasion on preoperative radiological examination with cases without bone involvement. They found that survival was lower in cases with bone invasion. Yan *et al*[1] reported 30 cases of juxta-articular bone invasion located in the distal thigh. The complication rate was 17% and they reported that these results were acceptable. However, Nakamura *et al*[13], in their multicenter study, examined 27 high-grade cases located in the lower extremities with bone invasion. They observed a total of 22 surgical complications (infection, relapse, aseptic loosening) and noted the high complication rates. LeVay *et al*[15] reported that in STS, the direct spread pattern of the tumor invading the neurovascular structures and bone reduces the survival rate. Ferguson *et al*[5] reported that survival was significantly worse in the presence of histologically confirmed bone invasion. However, they found that the presence of bone invasion did not increase local recurrence but significantly increased the amputation rate. In another study, Panicek *et al*[16] reported that the presence of bone invasion on preoperative MRI did not increase the risk of recurrence or metastasis, but negatively affected survival.

FOLLOW UP

Follow-up functional scores of endoprostheses applied for STS can be consistent with the scores of endoprostheses after bone resection, although they are generally performed after soft tissue resections larger than 10 cm[1,2]. However, the majority of reports show that these cases are accompanied by high surgical complication rates and poor oncological outcomes. One of the most important reasons for poor functional outcomes is massive muscle resection with tumor. During follow-up, 5-year limb preservation rates are approximately 75%. The most common major complications are infection and recurrence[13].

STS with bone invasion is usually deep-seated, usually metastatic at diagnosis, and relatively larger. These features negatively affect the prognosis[5]. In addition, features such as their relatively large size and deep location have resulted in the metastasis-free survival of cases with bone invasion being worse than those without bone invasion. There are reports stating that the presence of bone invasion in STS alone is a risk factor in terms of metastasis development and surveillance, regardless of the treatments applied[1,2,10,13].

OTHER APPROACHES

However, it should be clearly noted that despite numerous clinical studies investigating the role of preoperative radiotherapy and computed tomography in STS, there is still no consensus[1-4]. This further increases the importance of the surgical management. However, the results of limb-sparing surgery supported by radiotherapy are similar to amputation in terms of recurrence[5]. In the treatment management of soft tissue sarcoma, each case should be evaluated individually, taking into account all conditions, and the option of amputation should also be considered in selected cases. If poor limb function is anticipated after tumor resection and possible reconstruction, or if it is not possible to preserve or reconstruct motor nerves or main arteries and veins, or if reconstruction of the bone and/or soft tissue defect does not seem possible, the case should be evaluated for amputation[17].

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE STUDIES

Although there are some studies on the indications for bone resection and the results of endoprosthesis applications in STS, the available information is still limited. There are no standardized guidelines. One of the main reasons for this is the rarity of STS and the very rare indication for bone resection and endoprosthesis application in these tumors. The literature is mostly in the form of case series containing data from a single center, with no comparison. Another reason is that it is not possible to do some studies due to their nature. If bone resection is not performed in cases where the bone relationship cannot be understood in preoperative evaluation and relapse occurs, there is a possibility that the relapse may be caused by microscopic residual disease in the cortical bone. It is impossible to understand whether this recurrence is due to tumor cells remaining on the bone. And this does not justify routine bone resection in these cases[11]. More studies are needed on the interpretation of radiological changes detected in the bone adjacent to soft tissue and the treatment decision. The frequency of indications for bone resection may also be a subject of further research. Is the most common indication the presence of a tumor that has a close relationship with the bone and requires bone resection, even though there is no bone invasion in radiological evaluation? Or is it bone invasion? Additionally, in different studies, it is stated that surrounding the bone at least 180 degrees or two-thirds of it is an indication [1,2,5]. However, in clinical practice, there are cases where the bone is surrounded at the specified rates, but on intraoperative examination, it is completely mobile and subperiosteal resection can be performed, and there are also cases where the bone is surrounded at less than 180 degrees, but the bone needs to be resected [6,10-17]. This seems to be another issue that needs to be focused on in the field of indications. The majority of available literature data report that recurrence does not increase in cases where bone invasion is proven by preoperative MRI or histologically. However, data show that limb and patient survival decreases in these cases. This stands out as another point that requires further examination. As a result, it is a fact that there is a need for multicenter comparative studies with a sufficient number of cases that examine in depth the different aspects of bone-associated STS in the future.

CONCLUSION

Wide resections of high-grade STS with involved bone and reconstruction with endoprostheses are often associated with high surgical complication rates and poor oncological outcomes. Despite everything, in cases with bone invasion, resection of the tumor with wide margins, including the relevant bone segment, and endoprosthesis applications continue to be the recommended treatment method with satisfactory results. Preoperative evaluation and planning before surgery is crucial. "Planned positive" surgical resection, when supported by adjuvant treatments, has similar recurrence rates to amputation. In cases where there is a dilemma in surgical procedures, the decision of whether to perform bone resection affects the fate of the case. Especially bone and soft tissue reconstruction, after resection, should be planned meticulously to ensure adequate soft tissue coverage. Careful periodic postoperative follow-up is required for surgical complications and oncological events.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Öztürk R contributed to the literature review, wrote the manuscript, read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Germany

ORCID number: Recep Öztürk 0000-0002-6753-9321.

S-Editor: Zhang H L-Editor: A P-Editor: Yu HG

REFERENCES

- Yan TQ, Zhou WH, Guo W, Yang RL, Dong S, Liang WM, Sun YF. Endoprosthetic reconstruction for large extremity soft-tissue sarcoma with juxta-articular bone involvement: functional and survival outcome. J Surg Res 2014; 187: 142-149 [PMID: 24405612 DOI: 10.1016/j.jss.2013.09.004]
- Ozturk R. Bone and soft tissue tumors. In: Atay T. Orthopedics and Sport Injuries. Derman Medical Publishing 2015; 635-704 [DOI: 10.4328/DERMAN.37741
- 3 Kekeç AF, Günaydın İ, Öztürk R, Güngör BŞ. Outcomes of Planned Marginal and Wide Resection of Sarcomas Associated with Major Vascular Structures in Extremities. Indian J Surg Oncol 2022; 13: 395-402 [PMID: 35782812 DOI: 10.1007/s13193-021-01476-5]
- Öztürk R. Soft Tissue Tumors. In: Longo UG, Denaro V. Textbook of Musculoskeletal Disorders. Springer, Cham 2023; 213-216 [DOI: 4 10.1007/978-3-031-20987-1 25]
- Ferguson PC, Griffin AM, O'Sullivan B, Catton CN, Davis AM, Murji A, Bell RS, Wunder JS. Bone invasion in extremity soft-tissue 5 sarcoma: impact on disease outcomes. Cancer 2006; 106: 2692-2700 [PMID: 16700041 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.21949]
- 6 Rowell PD, Ferguson PC, Tsoi KM, Nevin JL, Novak R, Griffin AM, Wunder JS. Endoprosthetic reconstruction for lower extremity soft tissue sarcomas with bone involvement. J Surg Oncol 2023; 128: 660-666 [PMID: 37144623 DOI: 10.1002/jso.27300]
- Öztürk R, Arıkan ŞM, Bulut EK, Kekeç AF, Çelebi F, Güngör BŞ. Distribution and evaluation of bone and soft tissue tumors operated in a tertiary care center. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2019; 53: 189-194 [PMID: 30982757 DOI: 10.1016/j.aott.2019.03.008]
- Randall RL, Bruckner JD, Papenhausen MD, Thurman T, Conrad EU 3rd. Errors in diagnosis and margin determination of soft-tissue 8 sarcomas initially treated at non-tertiary centers. Orthopedics 2004; 27: 209-212 [PMID: 14992389 DOI: 10.3928/0147-7447-20040201-14]
- Traub F, Griffin AM, Wunder JS, Ferguson PC. Influence of unplanned excisions on the outcomes of patients with stage III extremity soft-9 tissue sarcoma. Cancer 2018; 124: 3868-3875 [PMID: 30321451 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.31648]
- 10 Stojadinovic A, Leung DH, Allen P, Lewis JJ, Jaques DP, Brennan MF. Primary adult soft tissue sarcoma: time-dependent influence of prognostic variables. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20: 4344-4352 [PMID: 12409334 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2002.07.154]
- Lin PP, Pino ED, Normand AN, Deavers MT, Cannon CP, Ballo MT, Pisters PW, Pollock RE, Lewis VO, Zagars GK, Yasko AW. Periosteal 11 margin in soft-tissue sarcoma. Cancer 2007; 109: 598-602 [PMID: 17183556 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.22429]
- 12 Öztürk R, Aydın M, Arıkan M, Toğral G, Aydın G, Güngör BŞ. The report of tumor resection prosthesis infection due to Sphingomonas paucimobilis: A case report. Acta Oncol Tur 2016; 49: 57-60 [DOI: 10.5505/aot.2016.5707]
- Nakamura T, Sakai T, Tsukushi S, Kimura H, Wasa J, Hosono K, Izubuchi Y, Kozawa E, Nagano A, Asanuma K, Sudo A, Nishida Y. 13 Clinical Outcome in Patients With High-grade Soft-tissue Sarcoma Receiving Prosthetic Replacement After Tumor Resection of the Lower Extremities: Tokai Musculoskeletal Oncology Consortium Study. In Vivo 2023; 37: 2642-2647 [PMID: 37905645 DOI: 10.21873/invivo.13372]
- Elias DA, White LM, Simpson DJ, Kandel RA, Tomlinson G, Bell RS, Wunder JS. Osseous invasion by soft-tissue sarcoma: assessment with 14 MR imaging. Radiology 2003; 229: 145-152 [PMID: 14519874 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2291020377]
- LeVay J, O'Sullivan B, Catton C, Bell R, Fornasier V, Cummings B, Hao Y, Warr D, Quirt I. Outcome and prognostic factors in soft tissue 15 sarcoma in the adult. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1993; 27: 1091-1099 [PMID: 8262833 DOI: 10.1016/0360-3016(93)90529-5]
- Panicek DM, Go SD, Healey JH, Leung DH, Brennan MF, Lewis JJ. Soft-tissue sarcoma involving bone or neurovascular structures: MR imaging prognostic factors. Radiology 1997; 205: 871-875 [PMID: 9393550 DOI: 10.1148/radiology.205.3.9393550]
- Endo M, Lin PP. Surgical margins in the management of extremity soft tissue sarcoma. Chin Clin Oncol 2018; 7: 37 [PMID: 30173528 DOI: 17 10.21037/cco.2018.08.10]

2008



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

