

World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2024 March 26; 12(9): 1549-1713



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 12 Number 9 March 26, 2024

EDITORIAL

- 1549 Multidisciplinary approach toward enhanced recovery after surgery for total knee arthroplasty improves outcomes

Nag DS, Swain A, Sahu S, Sahoo A, Wadhwa G

- 1555 Using clinical cases to guide healthcare

Colwill M, Baillie S, Pollok R, Poullis A

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

- 1560 Analysis of the causes of primary revision after unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: A case series

Zhao JL, Jin X, Huang HT, Yang WY, Li JH, Luo MH, Liu J, Pan JK

- 1569 Efficacy and safety of minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia for ovarian cancer

Qin X, Chen C, Liu Y, Hua XH, Li JY, Liang MJ, Wu F

- 1578 Factors influencing Frey syndrome after parotidectomy with acellular dermal matrix

Chai XD, Jiang H, Tang LL, Zhang J, Yue LF

Clinical Trials Study

- 1585 Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells may be a viable treatment modality in cerebral palsy

Boyalı O, Kabatas S, Civelek E, Özdemir O, Bahar-Ozdemir Y, Kaplan N, Savrunlu EC, Karaöz E

Observational Study

- 1597 Clinical characteristics of acute non-varicose upper gastrointestinal bleeding and the effect of endoscopic hemostasis

Wang XJ, Shi YP, Wang L, Li YN, Xu LJ, Zhang Y, Han S

Clinical and Translational Research

- 1606 Construction of the underlying circRNA-miRNA-mRNA regulatory network and a new diagnostic model in ulcerative colitis by bioinformatics analysis

Yuan YY, Wu H, Chen QY, Fan H, Shuai B

- 1622 Exploring the autophagy-related pathogenesis of active ulcerative colitis

Gong ZZ, Li T, Yan H, Xu MH, Lian Y, Yang YX, Wei W, Liu T

CASE REPORT

- 1634 Low-molecular-weight heparin and preeclampsia — does the sword cut both ways? Three case reports and review of literature

Shan D, Li T, Tan X, Hu YY

- 1644** Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis induced by X-linked agammaglobulinemia: A case report
Zhang T, Li M, Tan L, Li X
- 1649** Gradient inflammation in the pancreatic stump after pancreaticoduodenectomy: Two case reports and review of literature
Wang TG, Tian L, Zhang XL, Zhang L, Zhao XL, Kong DS
- 1660** Low interleukin-10 level indicates a good prognosis in *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium-induced pediatric hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis: A case report
Chen YY, Xu XZ, Xu XJ
- 1669** Multi-systemic melioidosis in a patient with type 2 diabetes in non-endemic areas: A case report and review of literature
Ni HY, Zhang Y, Huang DH, Zhou F
- 1677** Endoscopic ultrasound-guided tissue sampling induced pancreatic duct leak resolved by the placement of a pancreatic stent: A case report
Kim KH, Park CH, Cho E, Lee Y
- 1685** Upadacitinib for refractory ulcerative colitis with primary nonresponse to infliximab and vedolizumab: A case report
Xu X, Jiang JW, Lu BY, Li XX
- 1691** Exogenous insulin autoimmune syndrome: A case report and review of literature
Xu LL, Chen JX, Cheng JP, Luo N
- 1698** Unexplained fetal tachycardia: A case report
Wang H, Duan RZ, Bai XJ, Zhang BT, Wang J, Song WX
- 1704** Challenging anticoagulation therapy for multiple primary malignant tumors combined with thrombosis: A case report and review of literature
Chen JX, Xu LL, Cheng JP, Xu XH

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 1712** Epinephrine also acts on beta cells and insulin secretion
Zabulienė L, Ilias I

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Luca Mezzetto, MD, Surgeon, Department of Vascular Surgery, University Hospital of Verona, Verona 37126, Italy. luca.mezzetto@aovr.veneto.it

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (WJCC, *World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.1; IF without journal self cites: 1.1; 5-year IF: 1.3; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.26; Ranking: 133 among 167 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Zi-Hang Xu, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Cover Editor: Jin-Lai Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Bao-Gan Peng, Salim Surani, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

March 26, 2024

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Retrospective Study

Efficacy and safety of minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia for ovarian cancer

Xian Qin, Chen Chen, Yang Liu, Xian-Hong Hua, Jia-Yi Li, Meng-Jie Liang, Fang Wu

Specialty type: Obstetrics & gynecology**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.**Peer-review model:** Single blind**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): 0
Grade C (Good): 0
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0**P-Reviewer:** Dellino M, Italy**Received:** November 30, 2023**Peer-review started:** November 30, 2023**First decision:** December 18, 2023**Revised:** January 4, 2024**Accepted:** February 28, 2024**Article in press:** February 28, 2024**Published online:** March 26, 2024**Xian Qin, Chen Chen, Yang Liu, Xian-Hong Hua,** Department of Anesthesiology, The First People's Hospital of Jiangxia District, Wuhan 430200, Hubei Province, China**Jia-Yi Li, Meng-Jie Liang, Fang Wu,** Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The First People's Hospital of Jiangxia District, Wuhan 430200, Hubei Province, China**Corresponding author:** Fang Wu, MBChB, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The First People's Hospital of Jiangxia District, No. 1 Zhifang Cultural Avenue, Jiangxia District, Wuhan 430200, Hubei Province, China. wufang771025@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Ovarian cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors in female reproductive system in the world, and the choice of its treatment is very important for the survival rate and prognosis of patients. Traditional open surgery is the main treatment for ovarian cancer, but it has the disadvantages of big trauma and slow recovery. With the continuous development of minimally invasive technology, minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia has been gradually applied to the treatment of ovarian cancer because of its advantages of less trauma and quick recovery. However, the efficacy and safety of minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia in the treatment of ovarian cancer are still controversial.

AIM

To explore the efficacy and safety of general anesthesia minimally invasive surgery in the treatment of ovarian cancer.

METHODS

The clinical data of 90 patients with early ovarian cancer in our hospital were analyzed retrospectively. According to the different surgical treatment methods, patients were divided into study group and control group (45 cases in each group). The study group received minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia for ovarian cancer, while the control group received traditional open surgery for ovarian cancer. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30), clinical efficacy and safety of the two groups were compared.

RESULTS

The intraoperative blood loss, length of hospital stay, postoperative gas evacuation time, and postoperative EORTC QLQ-C30 score of the study group were significantly better than those of the control group ($P < 0.05$). The incidence of postoperative complications in the study group was significantly lower than in the control group ($P < 0.05$). The two groups had no significant differences in the preoperative adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), androstenedione (AD), cortisol (Cor), cluster of differentiation 3 positive (CD3+), and cluster of differentiation 4 positive (CD4+) indexes ($P > 0.05$). In contrast, postoperatively, the study group's ACTH, AD, and Cor indexes were lower, and the CD3+ and CD4+ indexes were higher than those in the control group ($P < 0.05$).

CONCLUSION

Minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia in patients with early ovarian cancer can significantly improve the efficacy and safety, improve the short-term prognosis and quality of life of patients, and is worth popularizing.

Key Words: Early-stage ovarian cancer; Efficacy; Minimally invasive; Laparoscopy; Safety; Surgery

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This study found that compared with traditional open surgery, minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia has better curative effect, faster recovery speed, lower risk of complications and less impact on immune function in the treatment of patients with early ovarian cancer. Therefore, minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia can be the first choice for patients with early ovarian cancer.

Citation: Qin X, Chen C, Liu Y, Hua XH, Li JY, Liang MJ, Wu F. Efficacy and safety of minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia for ovarian cancer. *World J Clin Cases* 2024; 12(9): 1569-1577

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v12/i9/1569.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v12.i9.1569>

INTRODUCTION

Ovarian cancer is one of the malignant tumors of the female reproductive system. It is mainly characterized by lower abdominal masses and abdominal effusion as clinical manifestations. According to reports, the mortality rate of ovarian cancer ranks first among gynecological malignancies. Most cases originate from the ovarian epithelium and during the course of the disease, local infiltration and distant metastasis are common[1]. Ovarian cancer accounts for 2.5% of female malignancies, and the 5-year survival rate for early-stage ovarian cancer is as high as 93%. However, early-stage ovarian cancer usually presents no characteristic symptoms, making diagnosis relatively difficult. Approximately 70% of ovarian cancer patients are diagnosed in the late stage, resulting in a poor prognosis with a 5-year survival rate of less than 30%[2-4]. Therefore, early and accurate diagnosis as well as standardized treatment can better improve the prognosis of ovarian cancer patients[5]. Currently, surgery remains the main treatment for early-stage ovarian cancer. However, traditional surgical procedures, primarily open surgeries, have many drawbacks including large trauma and slow patient recovery. With the continuous development of laparoscopic techniques, their application in the treatment of early-stage ovarian cancer has become more widespread[6,7].

Compared to the issues of large incision and slow recovery associated with open surgery, laparoscopic techniques have the following advantages: smaller trauma[8], simultaneous diagnosis and treatment, faster recovery[9], shorter hospitalization time[10], better abdominal cosmetic effect, and easy preservation of imaging data. In the diagnosis and treatment of ovarian cancer, laparoscopic techniques can complement open surgeries. In clinical practice, laparoscopic exploration is performed for suspected pelvic masses, and if intraoperative frozen pathology confirms ovarian cancer, the procedure can be converted to open surgery for comprehensive staging, thus avoiding the need for a second surgery[11,12]. For patients with advanced ovarian cancer, laparoscopic exploration can be performed. If evaluated as suitable for primary tumor debulking, the procedure can be directly converted to open surgery for tumor debulking[13,14].

Therefore, this study focuses on early-stage ovarian cancer patients and evaluates the application value of open surgery and minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia in this population, aiming to provide clinical reference for the optimal selection of surgical approaches in the treatment of early-stage ovarian cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General information

A retrospective analysis was conducted on the clinical data of 90 early-stage ovarian cancer patients admitted to our department from January 2022 to January 2023. According to different surgical treatment methods, the patients were

divided into a study group and a control group, with 45 cases in each group. The study group underwent laparoscopic ovarian cancer surgery, while the control group underwent open abdominal ovarian cancer surgery. Pathological examination was performed on all tumors, including all histological types of ovarian cancer. After comprehensive staging, epithelial ovarian cancer was defined as stage I or II disease according to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) classification, excluding patients with stage III or IV disease.

Inclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: (1) Confirmed diagnosis of ovarian cancer through imaging and cytology examination; (2) Diagnosed with early-stage ovarian cancer according to the FIGO criteria; (3) Suitable for surgical intervention; and (4) No tumor metastasis.

Exclusion criteria: (1) Presence of other tumors; (2) Organ failure; (3) Inability to tolerate surgery; or (4) Allergy to anesthesia drugs.

Data collection

Retrospective review of electronic medical records of all included patients was conducted to collect demographic and clinical characteristics, preoperative assessment, surgical description (duration, amount of bleeding, tumor rupture, and intraoperative complications), postoperative complications and their occurrence time, tolerance to oral intake and activity, and length of hospital stay.

Study methods

Study group: Study group (Laparoscopy group) performed laparoscopic lymph node dissection for treatment. The patient received general anesthesia and was placed in the lithotomy position with bladder lithotomy. After disinfection, aseptic drapes were placed and a uterine elevator was inserted through the vagina. A puncture needle was inserted about 3 cm above the umbilicus, and pneumoperitoneum was established with an insufflation pressure of 12-14 mmHg. After the procedure was completed, a laparoscope was inserted, and the patient's position was adjusted to a high hip and low head position under the monitoring of the laparoscope. Cannulation was performed under laparoscopic guidance at the lower abdomen on both sides, with 2 or 3 5-mm Trocar ports. The abdominal and pelvic cavities were thoroughly explored, and approximately 200 mL of 0.9% saline solution was used for irrigation of the abdominal and pelvic cavities. The irrigation fluid was then collected and sent for examination. Based on intraoperative exploration, ovarian tumors and adnexa were removed, and rapid frozen sections were performed to examine the tumor lesions. Bilateral adnexectomy, hysterectomy, pelvic lymph node dissection, and abdominal aorta lymph node dissection were performed according to the pathological results. The excised tissues were placed in specimen bags.

Control group: In the control group (open surgery group), under general anesthesia, the patient was placed in a supine position. After routine disinfection and draping, the midline of the abdomen was used as the surgical incision. The abdomen was opened layer by layer to expose the lesion site, and the same tumor cell reduction technique as the laparoscopy group was performed. Postoperatively, all patients received chemotherapy when conditions allowed.

Observation indicators

Perioperative indicators: Operation time, intraoperative bleeding volume, number of lymph nodes cleaned, postoperative anal exhaust time, time to get out of bed, and length of hospital stay.

Occurrence of complications: Incision infection, pulmonary infection, urinary retention, venous thrombosis, and intestinal obstruction, *etc.*

Quality of life: The quality of life of patients was evaluated before surgery, 1 month after surgery, and 3 months after surgery using the Chinese version of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire. It includes 30 items with a total score of 126 points. A higher score indicates a better quality of life[15].

Stress response: 5 mL of fasting morning venous blood was taken before surgery and 1 d after surgery, centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min to separate serum, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was used to detect adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), androstenedione (AD), and cortisol (Cor).

Immune function: 5 mL of fasting morning venous blood was collected before surgery and 1 day after surgery, and the supernatant was taken after centrifugation for flow cytometry to detect cluster of differentiation cluster of differentiation 3 positive (CD3+) and CD4+ levels.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 statistical software. Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm SD and compared using *t*-test or *F*-test. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages [*n* (%)] and compared using χ^2 test. A *P*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Comparison of baseline characteristics between the two patient groups

Study group (using laparoscopic ovarian cancer surgery) patients aged 35 to 66 years, with an average age of 55.0 ± 17.1 years; Body mass index (BMI) score of 24.1 ± 3.9 kg/m²; tumor average diameter 6.84 ± 2.15 cm; FIGO clinical stage: stage I in 21 cases, accounting for 46.67%, stage II in 24 cases, accounting for 53.33%; pathological classification: mucinous carcinoma in 20 cases, accounting for 44.44%, serous adenocarcinoma in 16 cases, accounting for 35.56%, endometrioid carcinoma in 6 cases, accounting for 13.33%, clear cell carcinoma in 3 cases, accounting for 6.67%; control group (using open abdominal ovarian cancer surgery) patients aged 34 to 65 years, with an average age of 55.8 ± 18.8 years; BMI score of 24.7 ± 4.2 kg/m²; tumor average diameter 6.92 ± 2.21 cm; FIGO clinical stage: stage I in 23 cases, accounting for 51.11%, stage II in 22 cases, accounting for 48.89%; pathological classification: mucinous carcinoma in 21 cases, accounting for 46.67%, serous adenocarcinoma in 15 cases, accounting for 33.33%, endometrioid carcinoma in 5 cases, accounting for 11.11%, clear cell carcinoma in 4 cases, accounting for 8.89%. The general clinical data of the research group and the control group were compared, and there was no statistically significant difference ($P > 0.05$), indicating comparability, as shown in [Table 1](#).

Comparison of perioperative conditions between two groups of patients

The research group had significantly less intraoperative bleeding compared to the control group. The postoperative anal exhaust time, time to get out of bed, and length of hospital stay were significantly shorter in the research group compared to the control group. The differences between the two groups were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). There was no statistically significant difference in surgical time and number of lymph node dissections compared to the control group ($P > 0.05$), as shown in [Table 2](#).

Comparison of incidence of complications between the two groups

The incidence of complications in the study group was significantly lower than that in the control group, with a statistically significant difference ($\chi^2 = 7.688$, $P < 0.05$), as shown in [Table 3](#).

Comparison of quality of life between the two groups of patients

There was no statistically significant difference in preoperative quality of life scores between the study group and control group ($P > 0.05$); however, the postoperative 1-month and 3-month quality of life scores in the study group were significantly higher than those in the control group ($P < 0.05$), as shown in [Table 4](#).

Comparison of stress response between the two groups

There was no difference in the preoperative levels of ACTH, AD, and Cor between the two groups ($P > 0.05$). However, after the surgery, all these indicators in the study group were significantly lower than those in the control group ($P < 0.05$), as shown in [Table 5](#).

Comparison of immune function between the two groups

There was no difference in preoperative CD3+ and CD4+ levels between the two groups ($P > 0.05$). However, after the surgery, these indicators in the study group were higher than those in the control group ($P < 0.05$), as shown in [Table 6](#).

DISCUSSION

Ovarian cancer is a common clinical condition. Early ovarian cancer refers to stage I and II Ovarian cancer. Due to its deep anatomical location, early ovarian cancer may have no typical clinical manifestations. It is often diagnosed when patients present with menstrual disorders, lower abdominal pain or discomfort, or palpable masses. Most patients are already in the advanced stage when diagnosed, and the treatment results are poor. Moreover, this disease has a high incidence and mortality rate. Surgery is one of the main treatment methods for early ovarian cancer. Open surgery is the traditional treatment method for early ovarian cancer, aiming to remove tumor tissue as much as possible to achieve a macroscopically tumor-free effect. However, this surgical approach has limitations such as large incisions and slow postoperative recovery[16]. In recent years, minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia has been applied in the treatment of various diseases due to its minimally invasive advantages. Under laparoscopic visualization, it can fully utilize its advantages of minimally invasiveness and magnified vision, making the surgical procedure smoother[17]. With the further development of minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia, adopting minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia to treat early ovarian cancer will become a new standard procedure.

This study shows that the research group has lower blood loss and higher number of lymph node clearances compared to the control group. The postoperative exhaust time and length of stay in the hospital are both shorter, indicating that minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia can significantly reduce intraoperative blood loss, improve the number of lymph node clearances, accelerate postoperative exhaust time, and shorten hospital stay. The analysis suggests that laparoscopy can enlarge the surgical field of view, better distinguish anatomical levels, and provide a more comprehensive clearance of pelvic lymph nodes and para-aortic lymph nodes[18]. In addition, laparoscopic instruments are more delicate and can cut tissues closely, reducing damage to surrounding organs and minimizing

Table 1 Basal characteristics of patients included in the study, according to surgical approach, *n* (%)

Index	Study group (<i>n</i> = 45)	Control group (<i>n</i> = 45)	<i>t</i> / χ^2 value	<i>P</i> value
Age (yr)	55.0 ± 17.1	55.8 ± 18.8	0.211	> 0.05
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.1 ± 3.9	24.7 ± 4.2	0.702	> 0.05
Tumor diameter (cm)	6.84 ± 2.15	6.92 ± 2.21	0.174	> 0.05
FIGO			0.178	> 0.05
I	21 (46.67)	23 (51.11)		
II	24 (53.33)	22 (48.89)		
Type of pathology			0.645	> 0.05
Mucinous cancer	20 (44.44)	21 (46.67)		
Serous carcinoma	16 (35.56)	15 (33.33)		
Endometrioid cancer	6 (13.33)	5 (11.11)		
Clear cell carcinoma	3 (6.67)	4 (8.89)		

BMI: Body mass index; FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

Table 2 Perioperative comparison between study and control groups (mean ± SD)

Index	Study group (<i>n</i> = 45)	Control group (<i>n</i> = 45)	<i>t</i> value	<i>P</i> value
Duration of surgery (min)	257.41 ± 28.16	255.23 ± 28.37	0.366	> 0.05
Intraoperative bleeding quantity (min)	323.76 ± 40.25	387.44 ± 43.23	7.232	< 0.05
Lymph node clearance number of sweeps (pcs)	25.78 ± 3.35	26.04 ± 3.17	0.378	> 0.05
Postoperative anus exhaust time (d)	1.96 ± 0.42	2.61 ± 0.54	6.374	< 0.05
Get out of bed after surgery time (d)	2.85 ± 0.53	3.92 ± 0.64	8.638	< 0.05
Postoperative hospitalization time (d)	13.29 ± 2.11	16.66 ± 2.24	7.346	< 0.05

Table 3 Comparison of complications between study and control groups, *n* (%)

Index	Study group (<i>n</i> = 45)	Control group (<i>n</i> = 45)	χ^2 value	<i>P</i> value
Incision infection	1 (2.22)	2 (4.44)		
Lung infections	1 (2.22)	3 (6.67)		
Urinary retention	1 (2.22)	2 (4.44)		
Venous thrombosis	0 (0.00)	1 (2.22)		
Ileus	0 (0.00)	1 (2.22)		
Total	5 (6.67)	9 (20.00)	7.688	< 0.05

intraoperative blood loss. On the other hand, open surgery has limited visual range and more restrictions on surgical instruments, resulting in fewer lymph node clearances and more blood loss during the procedure. Therefore, open surgery requires a longer recovery time and extended length of hospital stay compared to minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia[19].

Surgical safety has always been an important concern in clinical practice. Studies have shown that the incidence and recurrence rates of complications were significantly lower in the study group compared to the control group, indicating that minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia can significantly reduce postoperative complications and have a high level of safety. The reasons for this analysis are that minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia causes less tissue damage, reduces the risk of postoperative infections and other complications, and promotes milder reflex spasms of the anal sphincter due to smaller incisions and less postoperative pain. As a result, the risks of postoperative urinary retention and urinary incontinence are lower[20,21]. At the same time, performing surgical treatment under laparoscopy allows physicians to clearly explore the diseased tissue and its surrounding tissues. With the magnification function of laparoscopy, physicians can more thoroughly remove tumor tissues, thereby reducing

Table 4 Quality of life scores comparison between study and control groups (mean \pm SD, points)

Index	Study group (n = 45)	Control group (n = 45)	t value	P value
Preoperatively	65.62 \pm 9.58	66.12 \pm 10.26	0.239	> 0.05
One month after surgery	66.25 \pm 8.95	60.42 \pm 8.53	3.163	< 0.05
Three months after surgery	78.95 \pm 12.39	66.84 \pm 11.34	4.837	< 0.05
F value	23.467	5.447		
P value	< 0.05	< 0.05		

Table 5 Comparison of the two sets of stress responses (mean \pm SD)

Index	Group	Study group (n = 45)	Control group (n = 45)	t value	P value
ATCH (pmol/L)	Before surgery	11.22 \pm 5.35	11.64 \pm 5.51	0.367	> 0.05
	After surgery	14.21 \pm 12.03	20.35 \pm 12.37	2.387	< 0.05
AD (pmol/L)	Before surgery	30.35 \pm 7.49	31.22 \pm 7.48	0.551	> 0.05
	After surgery	39.69 \pm 8.71	46.86 \pm 7.36	4.218	< 0.05
Cor (nmol/L)	Before surgery	230.51 \pm 8.92	231.64 \pm 8.76	0.606	> 0.05
	After surgery	299.13 \pm 9.42	312.02 \pm 9.64	6.4150	< 0.05

ATCH: Adrenocorticotrophic hormone; AD: Androstenedione; Cor: Cortisol.

Table 6 Comparison of the two groups of immune function (mean \pm SD)

Index	Group	Study group (n = 45)	Control group (n = 45)	t value	P value
CD3+	Before surgery	53.54 \pm 5.07	55.56 \pm 5.12	1.881	> 0.05
	After surgery	50.85 \pm 5.16	43.12 \pm 5.82	6.667	< 0.05
CD4+	Before surgery	35.32 \pm 4.95	33.91 \pm 5.53	1.274	> 0.05
	After surgery	32.64 \pm 3.06	27.61 \pm 3.24	7.571	< 0.05

CD3+: Cluster of differentiation 3 positive; CD4+: Cluster of differentiation 4 positive.

the postoperative recurrence rate and improving the prognosis of ovarian cancer patients[22].

Stress response mainly refers to the changes in various neuroendocrine systems in the body after trauma caused by surgery or anesthesia, which is closely related to the trauma of surgery[3]. ACTH is secreted by the pituitary gland and has the function of promoting the secretion of corticosteroids by the adrenal cortex. When the body is stimulated by trauma such as surgery, it can cause pituitary-adrenal axis excitation, which in turn triggers a series of neuroendocrine responses, belonging to the body's adaptive stress response[23]. Some studies have pointed out that the higher the level of Cor, the more severe the trauma in patients. Sustained high levels of serum Cor can lead to patient death. Therefore, dynamic monitoring of serum Cor levels can serve as a sensitive indicator to evaluate the body's stress response, which helps in assessing the patient's stress status[24]. AD belongs to adrenal medullary hormones, which are rapidly metabolized in the body. Testing AD can help assess medullary function, maintain sympathetic nervous system activity, and promote normal heart rhythm. It is reported that the postoperative research group had lower levels of ACTH, AD, and Cor compared to the reference group, indicating that minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia treatment for early-stage ovarian cancer patients had a smaller impact on the body's stress response. This may be related to the minimally invasive nature of minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia, smaller surgical incisions, less damage to the abdominal-pelvic tissues, timely and reasonable hemostasis, and less trauma to the body, which can help reduce the body's stress response and promote postoperative recovery.

CD3+ is an antigen found on the surface of T lymphocytes, mainly mature T cells, and it represents the immune function of the body. CD4+ cells play an important role in the immune system, mainly expressed by helper T cells, and they are receptors for TCR recognition of antigens. Abnormal levels of T lymphocytes can lead to a decrease in physiological functions in the body[25,26]. The study concluded that the CD3+ and CD4+ markers in the postoperative research group were higher compared to the reference group, indicating that minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia for early ovarian cancer has minimal impact on the immune function of patients. This may be

due to the advantages of minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia, such as minimally invasive and high safety, which can avoid damage to normal organ tissues of patients during surgery, thereby reducing damage to the body and minimizing the impact on immune function. At the same time, early ovarian cancer itself has a reduced immune function due to the influence of malignant tumors. Compared to open surgery, minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia can accurately and effectively remove tumors, contributing to the recovery of immune function. This further confirms the effectiveness and feasibility of minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia for early ovarian cancer.

Limitations

As a retrospective study, this study also has limitations, such as the relatively small number of patients in the study, which may affect the universality of the results. Because the study is a retrospective collection of patients' clinical data, the potential confounding factors cannot be completely ruled out, which may have an impact on the rigor of the results. In the future, a large sample prospective study will be further carried out to further verify the accuracy of the results.

CONCLUSION

In summary, minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia for early-stage ovarian cancer patients can further improve treatment efficacy, promote quick postoperative recovery, and have minimal impact on the body's stress response and immune function. The risk of postoperative complications is low.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

The background of this study mainly focuses on patients with early ovarian cancer, and evaluates the application value of open surgery and minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia in this population, aiming at providing clinical reference for the choice of the best surgical method for early ovarian cancer.

Research motivation

The research motivation of this study is to evaluate the application value of open surgery and endoscopic surgery for patients with early ovarian cancer, aiming at providing clinical reference for the best choice of surgical methods in the treatment of early ovarian cancer.

Research objectives

The objectives of this study is to evaluate the application value of open surgery and minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia in patients with early ovarian cancer, so as to improve the therapeutic effect, promote postoperative recovery, and reduce the risk of postoperative complications, and provide reference for clinical treatment.

Research methods

According to the different surgical methods of patients, this study was randomly divided into study group (laparoscopic group) and control group (open surgery group). The study group received minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia, while the control group received traditional open surgery. All patients received chemotherapy after operation.

Research results

This study evaluates the application value of open surgery and minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia in the treatment of early ovarian cancer. The results show that minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia can further improve the therapeutic effect of early ovarian cancer patients, promote rapid postoperative recovery, reduce the stress response and immune function of patients, and the incidence of postoperative complications is low.

Research conclusions

Minimally invasive minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia is safe and effective in the treatment of early ovarian cancer, which can significantly reduce the stress response and immune function of patients, promote patients' rapid recovery after surgery and reduce the risk of postoperative complications.

Research perspectives

This study focuses on patients with early ovarian cancer and evaluates the application value of open surgery and minimally invasive minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia in this population, aiming at providing clinical reference for the choice of surgical methods for early ovarian cancer. The results show that minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia can further improve the therapeutic effect, promote patients' rapid recovery after operation, and have minimal impact on patients' stress response and immune function.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to all those helped me during the writing of this thesis. I acknowledge the help of my colleagues. They have offered me suggestion in academic studies.

FOOTNOTES

Co-first authors: Xian Qin and Chen Chen.

Author contributions: Qin X and Chen C designed the research; Liu Y, Hua XH, Li JY and Liang MJ contributed new reagents/analytic tools; Qin X and Chen C analyzed the data; Wu F, Qin X and Chen C wrote the paper; all authors were involved in the critical review of the results and have contributed to, read, and approved the final manuscript; Qin X and Chen C contributed equally to this work as co-first authors equally to this work. The reasons for designating Qin X and Chen C as co-first authors are threefold. First, Data analysis: All two authors analyzed or explained the data of the article; second, Design and implementation of the experiment: All two authors participated in the design and implementation of the experiment; third, Data interpretation: All two authors have made important contributions to data interpretation.

Institutional review board statement: This study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of The First People's Hospital of Jiangxia District.

Informed consent statement: All the families have voluntarily participated in the study and have signed informed consent forms.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declared no conflict of interest existing in this paper.

Data sharing statement: Data generated from this investigation are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Fang Wu 0009-0008-6384-6245.

S-Editor: Wang JL

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Chen YX

REFERENCES

- 1 Yin S, Gao W, Shi P, Xi M, Tang W, Zhang J. Primary Laparoscopic Surgery Does Not Affect the Prognosis of Early-Stage Ovarian Clear Cell Cancer. *Cancer Manag Res* 2021; **13**: 6403-6409 [PMID: 34421313 DOI: 10.2147/CMAR.S321173]
- 2 Najjar S, Allison KH. Updates on breast biomarkers. *Virchows Arch* 2022; **480**: 163-176 [PMID: 35029776 DOI: 10.1007/s00428-022-03267-x]
- 3 Kong Q, Wei H, Zhang J, Li Y, Wang Y. Comparison of the survival outcomes of laparoscopy versus laparotomy in treatment of early-stage ovarian cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Ovarian Res* 2021; **14**: 45 [PMID: 33726795 DOI: 10.1186/s13048-021-00793-1]
- 4 Garcia NG, Moreno CS, Teixeira N, Lloret PE, Guibourg RL, Negre RR. Comparison of Laparoscopy and Laparotomy in the Management of Early-stage Ovarian Cancer. *Gynecol Minim Invasive Ther* 2023; **12**: 83-89 [PMID: 37416098 DOI: 10.4103/gmit.gmit_99_22]
- 5 Park JY, Heo EJ, Lee JW, Lee YY, Kim TJ, Kim BG, Bae DS. Outcomes of laparoscopic fertility-sparing surgery in clinically early-stage epithelial ovarian cancer. *J Gynecol Oncol* 2016; **27**: e20 [PMID: 26768783 DOI: 10.3802/jgo.2016.27.e20]
- 6 Hou Y, Peng Y, Li Z. Update on prognostic and predictive biomarkers of breast cancer. *Semin Diagn Pathol* 2022; **39**: 322-332 [PMID: 35752515 DOI: 10.1053/j.semdp.2022.06.015]
- 7 Cianci S, Capozzi VA, Rosati A, Rumolo V, Corrado G, Uccella S, Gueli Alletti S, Riccò M, Fagotti A, Scambia G, Cosentino F. Different Surgical Approaches for Early-Stage Ovarian Cancer Staging. A Large Monocentric Experience. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2022; **9**: 880681 [PMID: 35547212 DOI: 10.3389/fmed.2022.880681]
- 8 Wang Y, Yin J, Li Y, Shan Y, Gu Y, Jin Y. Laparoscopic and Laparotomic Restaging in Patients With Apparent Stage I Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: A Comparison of Surgical and Oncological Outcomes. *Front Oncol* 2022; **12**: 913034 [PMID: 35795058 DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2022.913034]
- 9 Timmerman D, Planchamp F, Bourne T, Landolfo C, du Bois A, Chiva L, Cibula D, Concin N, Fischerova D, Froyman W, Gallardo Madueño G, Lemley B, Loft A, Mereu L, Morice P, Querleu D, Testa AC, Vergote I, Vandecaveye V, Scambia G, Fotopoulou C. ESGO/ISUOG/IOTA/ESGE Consensus Statement on pre-operative diagnosis of ovarian tumors. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2021; **31**: 961-982 [PMID: 34112736 DOI: 10.1136/ijgc-2021-002565]
- 10 van de Vorst REWM, Hoogendam JP, van der Aa MA, Witteveen PO, Zweemer RP, Gerstein CG. The attributive value of comprehensive surgical staging in clinically early-stage epithelial ovarian carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gynecol Oncol* 2021; **161**: 876-

- 883 [PMID: 33849726 DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.04.007]
- 11 Lee S, Ozkavukcu S, Ku SY. Current and Future Perspectives for Improving Ovarian Tissue Cryopreservation and Transplantation Outcomes for Cancer Patients. *Reprod Sci* 2021; **28**: 1746-1758 [PMID: 33791995 DOI: 10.1007/s43032-021-00517-2]
 - 12 Kim MK, Hwang JH, Kim JH, Kim SR, Lee SB, Kim BW. Gasless Total Laparoscopic Hysterectomy with New Abdominal-Wall Retraction System. *JSLs* 2020; **24** [PMID: 32161436 DOI: 10.4293/JSLs.2019.00061]
 - 13 Wang T, Xu Y, Chen Q, Zheng W, Wang J, Zeng H, Wu Y, Cao L, Chen Z. Metabolomics Analysis of Laparoscopic Surgery Combined with Wuda Granule to Promote Rapid Recovery of Patients with Colorectal Cancer Using UPLC/Q-TOF-MS/MS. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2020; **2020**: 5068268 [PMID: 32104193 DOI: 10.1155/2020/5068268]
 - 14 Aruparayil N, Bolton W, Mishra A, Bains L, Gnanaraj J, King R, Ensor T, King N, Jayne D, Shinkins B. Clinical effectiveness of gasless laparoscopic surgery for abdominal conditions: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc* 2021; **35**: 6427-6437 [PMID: 34398284 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-021-08677-7]
 - 15 Jansen MR, Vrielink OM, Faut M, Deckers EA, Been LB, van Leeuwen BL. One-Year Morbidity Following Videoscopic Inguinal Lymphadenectomy for Stage III Melanoma. *Cancers (Basel)* 2021; **13** [PMID: 33810068 DOI: 10.3390/cancers13061450]
 - 16 Ind T. The current status of laparoscopic and robotic para-aortic lymphadenectomy in gynecologic cancer surgery. *J Gynecol Oncol* 2021; **32**: e28 [PMID: 33327049 DOI: 10.3802/jgo.2021.32.e28]
 - 17 Yoshikawa N, Yoshida K, Tamauchi S, Ikeda Y, Nishino K, Niimi K, Suzuki S, Kikkawa F, Kajiyama H. The Preoperative Prognostic Nutritional Index for the Prediction of Outcomes in Patients with Early-Stage Ovarian Clear Cell Carcinoma. *Sci Rep* 2020; **10**: 7135 [PMID: 32346076 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-64171-5]
 - 18 Paterniti TA, Ahmad S, Holloway RW. Robotic-assisted laparoscopic splenectomy for recurrent ovarian cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2020; **30**: 1189-1194 [PMID: 32624496 DOI: 10.1136/ijgc-2020-001384]
 - 19 Xiong W, Cao LL, Jiang LP, Xia H, Liang ZQ. [Clinical comparative analysis of comprehensive laparoscopic and laparotomic staging of early-stage epithelial ovarian cancer]. *Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi* 2017; **52**: 103-109 [PMID: 28253573 DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0529-567X.2017.02.007]
 - 20 Bouter ELM, Lok C, Trum H. Robot-assisted laparoscopic staging compared to conventional laparoscopic staging and laparotomic staging in clinical early stage ovarian carcinoma. *Curr Opin Oncol* 2022; **34**: 490-496 [PMID: 35943438 DOI: 10.1097/CCO.0000000000000879]
 - 21 Søreide K, Skjold-Ødegaard B, Thorsen K, Kørner H. Adhesions after open and laparoscopic abdominal surgery. *Lancet* 2021; **397**: 95-96 [PMID: 33422257 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32409-0]
 - 22 Jochum F, Aubry G, Pellerin M, Billard C, Faller E, Boisrame T, Lecointre L, Akladios C. Relevance of Laparoscopic Surgery for Ovarian Cancer in Well-selected Patients: A Propensity-matched Comparison With Laparotomy. *Anticancer Res* 2021; **41**: 955-965 [PMID: 33517302 DOI: 10.21873/anticancerres.14849]
 - 23 Chen X, Li H, Wang S, Wang Y, Zhang L, Yao D, Li L, Gao G. Effects of Quantitative Nursing Combined with Psychological Intervention in Operating Room on Stress Response, Psychological State, and Prognosis of Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Endometrial Cancer Surgery. *Comput Math Methods Med* 2022; **2022**: 6735100 [PMID: 36081429 DOI: 10.1155/2022/6735100]
 - 24 Lee CL, Kusunoki S, Huang CY, Wu KY, Lee PS, Huang KG. Surgical and survival outcomes of laparoscopic staging surgery for patients with stage I ovarian cancer. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2018; **57**: 7-12 [PMID: 29458907 DOI: 10.1016/j.tjog.2017.12.002]
 - 25 Ronsini C, Pasanisi F, Moliterno R, Iavarone I, Vastarella MG, De Franciscis P, Conte C. Minimally Invasive Staging of Early-Stage Epithelial Ovarian Cancer versus Open Surgery in Terms of Feasibility and Safety: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Clin Med* 2023; **12** [PMID: 37298026 DOI: 10.3390/jcm12113831]
 - 26 Gallotta V, Jeong SY, Conte C, Trozzi R, Cappuccio S, Moroni R, Ferrandina G, Scambia G, Kim TJ, Fagotti A. Minimally invasive surgical staging for early stage ovarian cancer: A long-term follow up. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2021; **47**: 1698-1704 [PMID: 33573854 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2021.01.033]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

