World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2020 October 6; 8(19): 4280-4687





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Contents

Semimonthly Volume 8 Number 19 October 6, 2020

OPINION REVIEW

4280 Role of monoclonal antibody drugs in the treatment of COVID-19 Ucciferri C, Vecchiet J, Falasca K

MINIREVIEWS

- 4286 Review of simulation model for education of point-of-care ultrasound using easy-to-make tools Shin KC, Ha YR, Lee SJ, Ahn JH
- 4303 Liver injury in COVID-19: A minireview Zhao JN. Fan Y. Wu SD

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

4311 Transanal minimally invasive surgery vs endoscopic mucosal resection for rectal benign tumors and rectal carcinoids: A retrospective analysis

Shen JM, Zhao JY, Ye T, Gong LF, Wang HP, Chen WJ, Cai YK

4320 Impact of *mTOR* gene polymorphisms and gene-tea interaction on susceptibility to tuberculosis Wang M, Ma SJ, Wu XY, Zhang X, Abesig J, Xiao ZH, Huang X, Yan HP, Wang J, Chen MS, Tan HZ

Retrospective Cohort Study

4331 Establishment and validation of a nomogram to predict the risk of ovarian metastasis in gastric cancer: Based on a large cohort

Li SQ, Zhang KC, Li JY, Liang WQ, Gao YH, Qiao Z, Xi HQ, Chen L

Retrospective Study

4342 Predictive factors for early clinical response in community-onset Escherichia coli urinary tract infection and effects of initial antibiotic treatment on early clinical response

Kim YJ, Lee JM, Lee JH

- 4349 Managing acute appendicitis during the COVID-19 pandemic in Jiaxing, China Zhou Y, Cen LS
- 4360 Clinical application of combined detection of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody and nucleic acid Meng QB, Peng JJ, Wei X, Yang JY, Li PC, Qu ZW, Xiong YF, Wu GJ, Hu ZM, Yu JC, Su W
- Prolonged prothrombin time at admission predicts poor clinical outcome in COVID-19 patients 4370 Wang L, He WB, Yu XM, Hu DL, Jiang H



World Journal of Clinical Cases		
Conter	nts Semimonthly Volume 8 Number 19 October 6, 2020	
4380	Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation is superior to hepatic resection in patients with small hepatocellular	
	carcinoma	
	Zhang YH, Su B, Sun P, Li RM, Peng XC, Cai J	
4388	Clinical study on the surgical treatment of atypical Lisfranc joint complex injury	
	Li X, Jia LS, Li A, Xie X, Cui J, Li GL	
4400	Application of medial column classification in treatment of intra-articular calcaneal fractures	
	Zheng G, Xia F, Yang S, Cui J	
4410	Clinical Irlais Study	
4410	Lakananurak N. Nalinthassanai N. Suansawang W. Panarat P.	
	META-ANALYSIS	
4416	Meta-analysis reveals an association between acute pancreatitis and the risk of pancreatic cancer	
	Liu J, Wang Y, Yu Y	
	SCIENTOMETRICS	
4431	Global analysis of daily new COVID-19 cases reveals many static-phase countries including the United	
4401	States potentially with unstoppable epidemic	
	Long C, Fu XM, Fu ZF	
	CASE REPORT	
4443	Left atrial appendage aneurysm: A case report	
	Belov DV, Moskalev VI, Garbuzenko DV, Arefyev NO	
4450	Twenty-year survival after iterative surgery for metastatic renal cell carcinoma: A case report and review	
	of literature	
	De Raffele E, Mirarchi M, Casadei R, Ricci C, Brunocilla E, Minni F	
4466	Primary rhabdomyosarcoma: An extremely rare and aggressive variant of male breast cancer	
	Satală CB, Jung I, Bara TJ, Simu P, Simu I, Vlad M, Szodorai R, Gurzu S	
4475	Bladder stones in a closed diverticulum caused by Schistosoma mansoni: A case report	
	Alkhamees MA	
4401		
4481	Cutaneous ciliated cyst on the anterior neck in young women: A case report	
4488	Extremely rare case of successful treatment of metastatic ovarian undifferentiated carcinoma with high- dose combination cytotoxic chemotherapy: A case report	

Kim HB, Lee HJ, Hong R, Park SG



. .	World Journal of Clinical Cases		
Conten	ts Semimonthly Volume 8 Number 19 October 6, 2020		
4494	Acute amnesia during pregnancy due to bilateral fornix infarction: A case report		
	Cho MJ, Shin DI, Han MK, Yum KS		
4499	Ascaris-mimicking common bile duct stone: A case report		
	Choi SY, Jo HE, Lee YN, Lee JE, Lee MH, Lim S, Yi BH		
4505	Eight-year follow-up of locally advanced lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma at upper urinary tract: A case report		
	Yang CH, Weng WC, Lin YS, Huang LH, Lu CH, Hsu CY, Ou YC, Tung MC		
4512	Spontaneous resolution of idiopathic intestinal obstruction after pneumonia: A case report		
	Zhang BQ, Dai XY, Ye QY, Chang L, Wang ZW, Li XQ, Li YN		
4521	Successful pregnancy after protective hemodialysis for chronic kidney disease: A case report		
	Wang ML, He YD, Yang HX, Chen Q		
4527	Rapid remission of refractory synovitis, acne, pustulosis, hyperostosis, and osteitis syndrome in response to the Janus kinase inhibitor tofacitinib: A case report		
	Li B, Li GW, Xue L, Chen YY		
4535	Percutaneous fixation of neonatal humeral physeal fracture: A case report and review of the literature		
	Tan W, Wang FH, Yao JH, Wu WP, Li YB, Ji YL, Qian YP		
4544	Severe fundus lesions induced by ocular jellyfish stings: A case report		
	Zheng XY, Cheng DJ, Lian LH, Zhang RT, Yu XY		
4550	Application of ozonated water for treatment of gastro-thoracic fistula after comprehensive esophageal squamous cell carcinoma therapy: A case report		
	Wu DD, Hao KN, Chen XJ, Li XM, He XF		
4558	Germinomas of the basal ganglia and thalamus: Four case reports		
	Huang ZC, Dong Q, Song EP, Chen ZJ, Zhang JH, Hou B, Lu ZQ, Qin F		
4565	Gastrointestinal bleeding caused by jejunal angiosarcoma: A case report		
	Hui YY, Zhu LP, Yang B, Zhang ZY, Zhang YJ, Chen X, Wang BM		
4572	High expression of squamous cell carcinoma antigen in poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma of the stomach: A case report		
	Wang L, Huang L, Xi L, Zhang SC, Zhang JX		
4579	Therapy-related acute promyelocytic leukemia with FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3-internal tandem duplication mutation in solitary bone plasmacytoma: A case report		
	Hong LL, Sheng XF, Zhuang HF		
4588	Metastasis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma to the thyroid gland with widespread nodal involvement: A case report		
	Zhang X, Gu X, Li JG, Hu XJ		

Conton	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Semimonthly Volume 8 Number 19 October 6, 2020
4595	Severe hyperlipemia-induced pseudoerythrocytosis - Implication for misdiagnosis and blood transfusion: A case report and literature review
	Zhao XC, Ju B, Wei N, Ding J, Meng FJ, Zhao HG
4603	Novel brachytherapy drainage tube loaded with double 125I strands for hilar cholangiocarcinoma: A case report
	Lei QY, Jiao DC, Han XW
4609	Resorption of upwardly displaced lumbar disk herniation after nonsurgical treatment: A case report
	Wang Y, Liao SC, Dai GG, Jiang L
4615	Primary hepatic myelolipoma: A case report and review of the literature
	Li KY, Wei AL, Li A
4624	Endoscopic palliative resection of a giant 26-cm esophageal tumor: A case report
	Li Y, Guo LJ, Ma YC, Ye LS, Hu B
4633	Solitary hepatic lymphangioma mimicking liver malignancy: A case report and literature review
	Long X, Zhang L, Cheng Q, Chen Q, Chen XP
4644	Intraosseous venous malformation of the maxilla after enucleation of a hemophilic pseudotumor: A case report
	Cai X, Yu JJ, Tian H, Shan ZF, Liu XY, Jia J
4652	Intravesically instilled gemcitabine-induced lung injury in a patient with invasive urothelial carcinoma: A case report
	Zhou XM, Wu C, Gu X
4660	Bochdalek hernia masquerading as severe acute pancreatitis during the third trimester of pregnancy: A case report
	Zou YZ, Yang JP, Zhou XJ, Li K, Li XM, Song CH
4667	Localized primary gastric amyloidosis: Three case reports
	Liu XM, Di LJ, Zhu JX, Wu XL, Li HP, Wu HC, Tuo BG
4676	Displacement of peritoneal end of a shunt tube to pleural cavity: A case report
	Liu J, Guo M
4681	Parathyroid adenoma combined with a rib tumor as the primary disease: A case report
	Han L, Zhu XF

Contents

Semimonthly Volume 8 Number 19 October 6, 2020

ABOUT COVER

Peer-reviewer of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Prof. Adrián Ángel Inchauspe, obtained his MD in 1986 from La Plata National University (Argentina), where he remained as Professor of Surgery. Study abroad, at the Aachen and Tubingen Universities in Germany in 1991, led to his certification in laparoscopic surgery, and at the Louis Pasteur University in Strasbourg France, led to his being awarded the Argentine National Invention Award in 1998 for his graduate work in tele-surgery. He currently serves as teacher in the Argentine Acupuncture Society, as Invited Foreigner Professor at the China National Academy of Sciences and Hainan Medical University, and as editorial member and reviewer for many internationally renowned journals. (L-Editor: Filipodia)

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2020 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.013; IF without journal self cites: 0.991; Ranking: 120 among 165 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q3.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yan-Xia Xing; Production Department Director: Yun-Xiaojian Wu; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
w orta fournal of Cunical Cases	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinto/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2307-8960 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
April 16, 2013	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Semimonthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Dennis A Bloomfield, Sandro Vento, Bao-Gan Peng	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
October 6, 2020	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2020 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2020 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2020 October 6; 8(19): 4311-4319

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v8.i19.4311

Case Control Study

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Transanal minimally invasive surgery vs endoscopic mucosal resection for rectal benign tumors and rectal carcinoids: A retrospective analysis

Jia-Men Shen, Jia-Ying Zhao, Tao Ye, Li-Feng Gong, Hui-Peng Wang, Wen-Jie Chen, Yuan-Kun Cai

ORCID number: Jia-Men Shen 0000-0002-1148-1685; Jia-Ying Zhao 0000-0002-8722-8803; Tao Ye 0000-0002-4732-9837; Li-Feng Gong 0000-0001-6631-1715; Hui-Peng Wang 0000-0001-6575-2340; Wen-Jie Chen 0000-0002-5821-3776; Yuan-Kun Cai 0000-0001-9735-200X.

Author contributions: Shen JM designed and performed the research and wrote the manuscript; Zhao JY and Ye T designed the research and contributed to the analysis; Gong LF, Wang HP and Chen WJ provided clinical advice; Cai YK designed the research and supervised the report.

Supported by the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipally, No. 17411967600.

Institutional review board

statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the 5th People's Hospital of Shanghai, Fudan University.

Informed consent statement:

Patients were not required to give informed consent to the study because the analysis used anonymous clinical data that were obtained after each patient agreed

Jia-Men Shen, Jia-Ying Zhao, Tao Ye, Li-Feng Gong, Hui-Peng Wang, Wen-Jie Chen, Yuan-Kun Cai, Department of General Surgery, Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200240, China

Corresponding author: Yuan-Kun Cai, MD, Associate Professor, Chief Doctor, Department of General Surgery, Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital, Fudan University, No. 801 Heqing Road, Shanghai 200240, China. 18918168583@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) is a good choice for resection of rectal neoplasms. Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) is also widely used in the treatment of benign rectal tumors such as rectal polyps and rectal adenomas. However, no studies have compared the outcome of TAMIS and EMR.

AIM

To compare the short-term outcomes after TAMIS and EMR for rectal carcinoid and benign tumors (including rectal polyps and adenomas).

METHODS

From January 2014 to January 2019, 44 patients who received TAMIS and 53 patients who received EMR at The Fifth People's Hospital of Shanghai were selected. Primary outcomes (surgical-related) were operating time, blood loss, length of postoperative hospital stay, rate of resection margin involvement and lesion fragmentation rate. The secondary outcomes were complications such as hemorrhage, urinary retention, postoperative infection and reoperation.

RESULTS

No significant differences were observed in terms of blood loss ($12.48 \pm 8.00 \text{ mL}$ for TAMIS vs 11.45 ± 7.82 mL for EMR, P = 0.527) and length of postoperative hospital stay (3.50 ± 1.87 d for TAMIS vs 2.72 ± 1.98 d for EMR, P = 0.065) between the two groups. Operating time was significantly shorter for EMR compared with TAMIS ($21.19 \pm 9.49 \text{ min } vs 49.95 \pm 15.28 \text{ min, } P = 0.001$). The lesion fragmentation rate in the EMR group was 22.6% (12/53) and was significantly higher than that (0%, 0/44) in the TAMIS group (P = 0.001). TAMIS was associated with a higher urinary retention rate (13.6%, 6/44 vs 1.9%, 1/53 P = 0.026) and lower hemorrhage



to treatment by written consent.

Conflict-of-interest statement: We have no financial relationships to disclose.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/licenses /by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Received: April 4, 2020 Peer-review started: April 4, 2020 First decision: June 18, 2020 Revised: July 1, 2020 Accepted: August 29, 2020 Article in press: August 29, 2020 Published online: October 6, 2020

P-Reviewer: Abd El-Razek A, Khayyat YM, Koch T S-Editor: Zhang L L-Editor: Filipodia P-Editor: Wang LL



rate (0%, 0/44 vs 18.9%, 10/53 P = 0.002). A significantly higher reoperation rate was observed in the EMR group (9.4%, 5/53 vs 0%, 0/44 P = 0.036).

CONCLUSION

Compared with EMR, TAMIS can remove lesions more completely with effective hemostasis and lower postoperative hemorrhage and reoperation rates. TAMIS is a better choice for the treatment of rectal carcinoids.

Key Words: Rectal neoplasms; Retrospective study; Anal canal surgery; Transanal endoscopic microsurgery/methods; Treatment outcome

©The Author(s) 2020. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This is a retrospective study aiming to compare the short-term outcomes of transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) and endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) for rectal neoplasms. The surgical-related outcomes and postoperative complication rates in 44 patients who received TAMIS and 53 patients who received EMR were compared. The results showed that the EMR group was associated with longer operating time and higher lesion fragmentation rate, while the TAMIS group had lower postoperative hemorrhage and reoperation rates.

Citation: Shen JM, Zhao JY, Ye T, Gong LF, Wang HP, Chen WJ, Cai YK. Transanal minimally invasive surgery vs endoscopic mucosal resection for rectal benign tumors and rectal carcinoids: A retrospective analysis. World J Clin Cases 2020; 8(19): 4311-4319 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v8/i19/4311.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v8.i19.4311

INTRODUCTION

According to the 2018 global cancer statistics report, the incidence of colorectal cancer was ranked fourth after lung cancer, breast cancer and prostate cancer. In China, the morbidity and mortality of colorectal cancer rank second and fifth, respectively^[1]. Rectal adenoma is the main precancerous lesion of rectal cancer. Early and timely treatment of rectal adenoma and early rectal cancer can effectively reduce the morbidity and mortality of rectal cancer^[2]. Therefore, importance should be attached to the treatment of rectal adenoma and early rectal cancer.

Transanal excision can only treat lesions within 6 cm of the anal verge^[3]. However, transanal endoscopic microsurgery can treat early rectal cancer and benign rectal tumors ranging from 6 cm to 18 cm from the anal verge. Only a few surgery centers in China have transanal endoscopic microsurgery devices due to the cost and long learning curve involved^[4-6]. First proposed by Atallah et al^[7] in 2009, transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) can solve the above problems. TAMIS combines single-incision laparoscopic surgery with more flexible transanal platforms, the learning curve is shorter and the purchase of expensive equipment can be avoided. In addition, TAMIS guarantees a good therapeutic effect in rectal polyps, rectal adenomas, early rectal cancer and other rectal mucosal lesions in the upper and middle rectum^[8,9].

Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) is commonly used in the treatment of benign rectal tumors such as rectal polyps and rectal adenomas^[10]. However, no studies have compared the outcome of TAMIS and EMR. In this study, we retrospectively analyzed the data on TAMIS and EMR performed at The Fifth People's Hospital of Shanghai, Fudan University. This study compared the short-term outcomes after TAMIS and EMR for rectal neoplasms. We hope that this study will help surgeons in the choice of treatment for benign rectal tumors and rectal carcinoids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient population

A total of 97 patients with rectal carcinoid and benign rectal diseases who received TAMIS and EMR in our hospital from January 2014 to January 2019 were selected. The patients were divided into the TAMIS group and EMR group according to the different surgical methods.

Inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: Age between 30 and 80 years; single rectal carcinoid and benign rectal diseases including polyps and adenomas at a distance of 5-15 cm from the anal verge; diameter of the lesion \leq 3 cm; asymptomatic or only hematochezia present; no previous anorectal surgery; and no severe circulatory or respiratory diseases.

Exclusion criteria

The exclusion criteria were: The presence of other benign anorectal diseases such as anal fistula, hemorrhoids, perianal abscess, etc.; the presence of hemorrhagic disease, diabetes or other diseases that may affect the outcome; women who were pregnant or lactating.

Patient characteristics

There were no significant differences in age, gender, body mass index and pathological type between the two groups (P < 0.05). Pathology was based on the pathological results after surgery, benign lesions included rectal polyps and rectal adenoma (Table 1).

Surgical procedures

Preoperative management: All patients were given a low-slag, liquid diet (prepared by Department of Nutrition, The Fifth People's Hospital of Shanghai, Fudan University) and polyethylene glycol electrolyte powder enema 1 d before surgery. The patients were fasted for 8 h and no liquids were permitted 6 h prior to treatment.

TAMIS: The patients were given general anesthesia and placed in the lithotomy position (Figure 1). GelPOINT (Applied Medical, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, United States) was fixed to the anus, and CO₂ pressure was maintained at 15 mmHg and 12 L/min (Figure 2). An airbag catheter was placed in the distal part of the surgical field, 10-15 mL of air was injected into the airbag and the airbag was expanded to seal the proximal rectum (in some cases, this step was replaced by placing gauze 10 cm from the proximal end of the lesion). An electric hook was used to cut a distance of 0.5 cm from the tumor (Figure 3), the mucosa was incised and the submucosa or muscle layer was gradually incised, depending on the depth of the lesion, until the resection was complete. Closure of the rectal defect was performed with a free barbed suture.

EMR: Preoperative preparation was the same as for the TAMIS group. First, a normal saline solution containing epinephrine (0.01 mg/mL) was injected into the submucosa around the lesion to lift it away from the muscularis propria and thereby reduce the potential risk of perforation. A snare was then passed through the channel and opened around the lesion. The adequately lifted tumor was then snared and resected. Only when en-bloc resection was not feasible, fragmentary resection was allowed. Titanium clips were used for hemostasis.

Outcome evaluation

The primary outcomes in this study were surgical-related and included operating time, blood loss, length of postoperative hospital stay, rate of resection margin involvement and lesion fragmentation rate. Secondary outcomes were complications such as hemorrhage (hemorrhage was defined as self-limited hematochezia and melena that did not require endoscopic hemostasis after surgery), urinary retention, postoperative infection and reoperation. Reoperation included intestinal perforation repair, endoscopic clip hemostasis and radical resection of rectal carcinoid.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data are expressed as number and percentage. Continuous data are described as mean ± standard deviation. Differences between the groups were analyzed using the Chi-square test for categorical data and the one sample t-test for



Table 1 Characteristics of the patients and tumors			
Characteristics	TAMIS, <i>n</i> = 44	EMR, <i>n</i> = 53	<i>P</i> value
Age, yr	64.25 ± 10.85	60.70 ± 10.38	0.104
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)			0.383
Male	21 (47.7)	30 (56.6)	
Female	23 (52.3)	23 (44.4)	
BMI, kg/m ²	22.88 ± 2.46	22.43 ± 1.98	0.322
Tumor size, cm	1.47 ± 0.96	1.14 ± 0.53	0.033
Benign	1.46 ± 1.02	1.06 ± 0.50	0.024
Carcinoid	1.52 ± 0.72	1.64 ± 0.45	0.702
Distance from the anal verge, cm	7.39 ± 1.86	8.83 ± 2.95	0.006
Final pathology, $n (\%)^1$			0.220
Benign	34 (77.3)	46 (86.8)	
Carcinoid	10 (22.7)	7 (13.2)	

¹Benign tumors refer to rectal adenomas and rectal polyps. BMI: Body mass index; EMR: Endoscopic mucosal resection; TAMIS: Transanal minimally invasive surgery.



Figure 1 Patient is positioned in the lithotomy position.

continuous data. The level of statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. All data analyses were conducted using SPSS version 21.0 statistical software.

RESULTS

General findings

There were no significant differences in age, gender, body mass index and final pathology between the two groups. The mean distance from the anal verge in the TAMIS group was 7.39 ± 1.86 cm and was significantly shorter than that (8.83 ± 2.95) cm) in the EMR group (P = 0.006). The mean tumor size in the TAMIS group was 1.47 \pm 0.96 cm, which was larger than that in the EMR group at 1.14 \pm 0.53 cm (*P* = 0.033). The carcinoid size in the two groups was similar (1.52 \pm 0.72, range 0.60-3.00 cm for TAMIS vs 1.64 \pm 0.45, range 1.00-2.20 cm for EMR, P = 0.702). Only one carcinoid patient in the TAMIS group had a lesion smaller than 1 cm.

Surgical-related outcomes

The mean operating time was significantly shorter for TAMIS than for EMR: 21.19 \pm





Figure 2 Placement of the transanal minimally invasive surgery device.



Figure 3 Lesion excision by electric hook.

9.49 min vs 49.95 \pm 15.28 min, respectively (P < 0.001). No significant differences in blood loss (P = 0.527), length of stay (P = 0.065) and resection margin involvement (P =0.109) were observed. The lesion fragmentation rate in the EMR group was 22.6% (12/53) and was significantly higher than 0% (0/44) in the TAMIS group (P = 0.001) (Table 2).

Postoperative complications

The rate of hemorrhage in the EMR group was 18.9% (10/53) and was significantly higher than 0% (0/44) in the TAMIS group (P = 0.026). The urinary retention rate was 13.6% (6/44) and 1.9% (1/53) in the TAMIS and EMR groups, respectively, and was significantly higher in the TAMIS group compared with the EMR group (P = 0.026). No significant difference was observed in the postoperative infection rate between the two groups. Five patients (9.4%) in the EMR group required reoperations, and none of the patients in the TAMIS group required reoperations (P = 0.036) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

TAMIS is a type of minimally invasive surgery in which the single-hole laparoscopic channel is inserted into the anal canal and laparoscopic instruments are used to perform local resection of rectal lesions^[11]. Since Atallah et al^[7] proposed TAMIS in 2009[7], TAMIS was quickly promoted worldwide with an excellent curative effect, reduced surgical trauma, fast postoperative recovery and low cost. TAMIS is mainly used in the treatment of middle and upper rectal polyps, adenomas and early rectal cancer 6-18 cm away from the anal verge^[12,13]. Currently, researchers have applied



Table 2 Operative characteristics and outcome of transanal minimally invasive surgery and transanal minimally invasive surgery			
Characteristics	TAMIS, <i>n</i> = 44	EMR, <i>n</i> = 53	<i>P</i> value
Operative time, min	49.95 ± 15.28	21.19 ± 9.49	< 0.001
Blood loss, mL	12.48 ± 8.00	11.45 ± 7.82	0.527
Length of stay, d	3.50 ± 1.87	2.72 ± 1.98	0.065
Resection margins, <i>n</i> (%)			0.109
Negative	44 (100)	50 (94.3)	
Positive	0 (0)	3 (5.7)	
Fragmentation, n (%)	0 (0)	12 (22.6)	0.001

EMR: Endoscopic mucosal resection; TAMIS: Transanal minimally invasive surgery.

Table 3 Complication rate of transanal minimally invasive surgery and transanal minimally invasive surgery			
Complication	TAMIS, <i>n</i> = 44	EMR, <i>n</i> = 53	<i>P</i> value
Any, n (%)	7 (15.9)	17 (32.1)	
Hemorrhage, n (%)	0 (0)	10 (18.9)	0.002
Urinary retention, n (%)	6 (13.6)	1 (1.9)	0.026
Postoperative infection, n (%)	1 (2.3)	1 (1.9)	0.894
Reoperation, $n (\%)^1$	0 (0)	5 (9.4)	0.036
Resection margin involvement, n	0	3	
Postoperative Bleeding, n^2	0	1	
Perforation, <i>n</i>	0	1	

¹Radical resection of rectal cancer for resection margin involvement, endoscopic clip hemostasis for postendoscopic mucosal resection bleeding, intestinal perforation repair for perforation.

²Post-operative bleeding was defined when the following parameters were satisfied: (1) Occurred up to 30 d after transanal minimally invasive surgery/ endoscopic mucosal resection; (2) Hematochezia, melena or dizziness; (3) Required endoscopic hemostasis; and (4) Hemoglobin loss > 2 g/dL. EMR: Endoscopic mucosal resection; TAMIS: Transanal minimally invasive surgery.

> TAMIS in the treatment of neuroendocrine tumors, low rectal anastomotic fistulas, rectal urethral fistulas and the removal of high rectal foreign bodies^[14-16]. In addition, robot-assisted TAMIS^[17,18], transanal minimally invasive surgery for total mesorectal excision technology^[19-21], TAMIS-laparoscopy combined technology^[22] and endoscopicassisted TAMIS have also been rapidly developed^[23]. However, there are no available data comparing the outcomes of local excision of early rectal cancers and benign tumors using TAMIS and EMR. This study aimed to compare the short-term efficacy of TAMIS and EMR.

> The mean distance from the anal verge in the TAMIS group was 7.39 ± 1.86 cm and was significantly shorter than 8.83 ± 2.95 cm in the EMR group (P = 0.006). The mean tumor size in the TAMIS group was 1.47 ± 0.96 cm, which was larger than that in the EMR group at 1.14 ± 0.53 cm (P = 0.033). These differences may have been caused by the tendency of doctors to choose certain surgical methods. For tumors with a larger diameter, surgeons are more likely to perform TAMIS, and for tumors further from the anus, they are more likely to perform EMR. In the EMR group, the mean tumor size of carcinoids was larger than that of rectal benign tumors $(1.64 \pm 0.45 \text{ cm } vs 1.06 \pm 0.50)$ cm, P = 0.005), and three of seven patients with carcinoid underwent radical resection due to resection margin involvement. This result suggests that the lesion size should be taken into consideration in the selection of surgical methods.

> No significant differences were observed in blood loss (P = 0.527) and length of postoperative stay (P = 0.065). The mean operating time in the TAMIS group was significantly longer than that in the EMR group (49.95 ± 15.28 min vs 21.19 ± 9.49 min, P < 0.001). In TAMIS, the establishment of pnuemorectum and placement of a singlehole laparoscope were required, which prolonged the operation time.



The rate of tumor-positive margin in the EMR group was 3.7% (3/53) and was slightly higher than 0% (0/44) in the TAMIS group (P = 0.109). The lesion fragmentation rate in the EMR group was 22.6% (12/53) and was significantly higher than 0% (0/44) in the TAMIS group (P = 0.001). These differences suggested that compared with EMR, TAMIS can completely resect rectal tumors without cutting the lesion itself, which is more in line with the principles of noncutting and monolithic resection in tumor surgery. It is also more suitable for the treatment of benign rectal diseases and rectal carcinoids.

The urinary retention rate in the TAMIS group was 13.6% (6/44) and was significantly higher than 1.9% (1/53) in the EMR group (P = 0.026). This may have been related to the difference in anesthesia between the two groups or due to stimulation of pelvic floor nerves during surgery. A significantly higher rate of hemorrhage was observed in the EMR group (0%, 0/44 vs 18.9%, 10/53 P = 0.002). In the EMR group, high-frequency electrocoagulation and titanium clip clamping were used for hemostasis and wound closure. When the surgical incision is large, the effect of electrocoagulation hemostasis cannot be guaranteed, and the titanium clip has the risk of detachment. It has been reported that a lesion diameter greater than 2 cm increases the risk of hemorrhage after EMR^[24]. In the TAMIS group, the wound was closed with barbed absorbable sutures on the basis of ultrasonic coagulation, which effectively reduced the risk of postoperative bleeding.

In the EMR group, reoperations were performed in five cases, including one case of perforation, one case of postoperative bleeding and three cases of rectal carcinoid that underwent radical resection due to resection margin involvement. The reoperation rate in the EMR group was 9.4% (5/53) and was significantly higher than 0% (0/44) in the TAMIS group (P = 0.036). This result suggested that for patients with rectal carcinoids, TAMIS is a better choice because it can remove the lesions more completely. An airbag catheter was placed in the distal part of the surgical field and was inflated (in some cases, gauze was placed into the rectum about 10 cm from the proximal end of the lesion instead) to seal the proximal rectum and avoid interference due to intestinal secretions and fecal water in the surgical field of view. Pnuemorectum can also help to obtain a clearer surgical field of view and better expose the lesions to ensure complete and full-thickness resection of rectal tumors.

The database used in this study is based on real world data. As a retrospective study, avoiding major bias was a focus of the study. The surgeon's choice of surgical method is affected by the severity of the disease, the size of the lesion and the distance of the lesion from the anus, which will directly affect the reliability of the results in this study. We eliminated this bias by matching and ensuring that the baseline patient characteristics in the two groups were similar. We selected patients who were asymptomatic or only had hematochezia, the diameter of the lesion was ≤ 3 cm and the distance of the lesion from the anal margin was 5-15 cm.

CONCLUSION

In summary, EMR is simpler and can be performed by a single person with a shorter operating time. In addition, EMR is less invasive and more suitable for the treatment of rectal polyps and adenomas with a longer distance from the anus. TAMIS surgery requires more surgical instruments, a larger surgical field, deeper and more thorough tumor resection, more effective hemostasis and results in lower hemorrhage and reoperation rates. It is a better choice for the treatment of rectal carcinoids.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) is a good choice for resection of benign lesions and carcinoids in the rectum. Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) is also widely used in the treatment of benign rectal tumors such as rectal polyps and rectal adenomas. However, no studies have compared the outcome of TAMIS and EMR.

Research motivation

We hope that this study will help surgeons in the choice of treatment for benign rectal lesions and rectal carcinoids.



Research objectives

We compared the short-term outcomes after TAMIS and EMR for rectal carcinoid and benign tumors (including rectal polyps and adenomas).

Research methods

The short-term outcomes after TAMIS and EMR for rectal carcinoids and benign tumors (including rectal polyps and adenomas) was compared.

Research results

TAMIS was associated with a higher urinary retention rate (13.6%, 6/44 vs 1.9%, 1/53 P = 0.026) and lower hemorrhage rate (0%, 0/44 vs 18.9%, 10/53 P = 0.002). A significantly higher reoperation rate was observed in the EMR group (9.4%, 5/53 vs 0%, 0/44 P = 0.036).

Research conclusions

Compared with EMR, TAMIS can remove rectal tumors more completely with effective hemostasis and lower postoperative hemorrhage and reoperation rates. TAMIS is a better choice for the treatment of rectal carcinoids and benign rectal tumors with a large diameter.

Research perspectives

TAMIS surgery requires more surgical instruments, a larger surgical field, deeper and more thorough tumor resection, more effective hemostasis and results in lower hemorrhage and reoperation rates. It is a better choice for the treatment of rectal carcinoids.

REFERENCES

- Bray F. Ferlay J. Soeriomataram I. Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: 1 GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 394-424 [PMID: 30207593 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21492]
- Wolf AMD, Fontham ETH, Church TR, Flowers CR, Guerra CE, LaMonte SJ, Etzioni R, McKenna MT, Oeffinger KC, Shih YT, Walter LC, Andrews KS, Brawley OW, Brooks D, Fedewa SA, Manassaram-Baptiste D, Siegel RL, Wender RC, Smith RA. Colorectal cancer screening for average-risk adults: 2018 guideline update from the American Cancer Society. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 250-281 [PMID: 29846947 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21457]
- 3 García-Flórez LJ, Otero-Díez JL, Encinas-Muñiz AI, Sánchez-Domínguez L. Indications and Outcomes from 32 Consecutive Patients for the Treatment of Rectal Lesions by Transanal Minimally Invasive Surgery. Surg Innov 2017; 24: 336-342 [PMID: 28355962 DOI: 10.1177/1553350617700803]
- Maslekar S, Pillinger SH, Sharma A, Taylor A, Monson JR. Cost analysis of transanal endoscopic microsurgery for rectal tumours. Colorectal Dis 2007; 9: 229-234 [PMID: 17298620 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2006.01132.x]
- 5 Barendse RM, Dijkgraaf MG, Rolf UR, Bijnen AB, Consten EC, Hoff C, Dekker E, Fockens P, Bemelman WA, de Graaf EJ. Colorectal surgeons' learning curve of transanal endoscopic microsurgery. Surg Endosc 2013; 27: 3591-3602 [PMID: 23572216 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-013-2931-6]
- Maya A, Vorenberg A, Oviedo M, da Silva G, Wexner SD, Sands D. Learning curve for transanal 6 endoscopic microsurgery: a single-center experience. Surg Endosc 2014; 28: 1407-1412 [PMID: 24366188 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-013-3341-5]
- Atallah S, Albert M, Larach S. Transanal minimally invasive surgery: a giant leap forward. Surg Endosc 2010; 24: 2200-2205 [PMID: 20174935 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-010-0927-z]
- Haugvik SP, Groven S, Bondi J, Vågan T, Brynhildsvoll SO, Olsen OC. A critical appraisal of transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) in the treatment of rectal adenoma: a 4-year experience with 51 cases. Scand J Gastroenterol 2016; 51: 855-859 [PMID: 27000415 DOI: 10.3109/00365521.2016.1157891]
- Clermonts SHEM, van Loon YT, Schiphorst AHW, Wasowicz DK, Zimmerman DDE. Transanal minimally 9 invasive surgery for rectal polyps and selected malignant tumors: caution concerning intermediate-term functional results. Int J Colorectal Dis 2017; 32: 1677-1685 [PMID: 28905101 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-017-2893-6
- 10 Kim J, Kim JH, Lee JY, Chun J, Im JP, Kim JS. Clinical outcomes of endoscopic mucosal resection for rectal neuroendocrine tumor. BMC Gastroenterol 2018; 18: 77 [PMID: 29866049 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-018-0806-y
- 11 Atallah S, Albert M, Monson JR. Critical concepts and important anatomic landmarks encountered during transanal total mesorectal excision (taTME): toward the mastery of a new operation for rectal cancer surgery. Tech Coloproctol 2016; 20: 483-494 [PMID: 27189442 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-016-1475-x]
- 12 Maglio R, Muzi GM, Massimo MM, Masoni L. Transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS): new treatment for early rectal cancer and large rectal polyps-experience of an Italian center. Am Surg 2015; 81: 273-277 [PMID: 25760203 DOI: 10.1177/000313481508100329]
- 13 Albert MR, Atallah SB, deBeche-Adams TC, Izfar S, Larach SW. Transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) for local excision of benign neoplasms and early-stage rectal cancer: efficacy and outcomes in the



first 50 patients. Dis Colon Rectum 2013; 56: 301-307 [PMID: 23392143 DOI: 10.1097/DCR.0b013e31827ca313]

- 14 Cawich SO, Mohammed F, Spence R, Albert M, Naraynsingh V. Colonic Foreign Body Retrieval Using a Modified TAMIS Technique with Standard Instruments and Trocars. Case Rep Emerg Med 2015; 2015: 815616 [PMID: 25945267 DOI: 10.1155/2015/815616]
- Atallah S, Albert M, Debeche-Adams T, Larach S. Transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS): 15 applications beyond local excision. Tech Coloproctol 2013; 17: 239-243 [PMID: 23207713 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-012-0945-z
- 16 Wolthuis AM, Cini C, Penninckx F, D'Hoore A. Transanal single port access to facilitate distal rectal mobilization in laparoscopic rectal sleeve resection with hand-sewn coloanal anastomosis. Tech Coloproctol 2012; 16: 161-165 [PMID: 22170250 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-011-0795-0]
- 17 Hompes R, Rauh SM, Ris F, Tuynman JB, Mortensen NJ. Robotic transanal minimally invasive surgery for local excision of rectal neoplasms. Br J Surg 2014; 101: 578-581 [PMID: 24633833 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.9454]
- Liu S, Suzuki T, Murray BW, Parry L, Johnson CS, Horgan S, Ramamoorthy S, Eisenstein S. Robotic 18 transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) with the newest robotic surgical platform: a multiinstitutional North American experience. Surg Endosc 2019; 33: 543-548 [PMID: 30006844 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-018-6329-31
- 19 Atallah S, Albert M, DeBeche-Adams T, Nassif G, Polavarapu H, Larach S. Transanal minimally invasive surgery for total mesorectal excision (TAMIS-TME): a stepwise description of the surgical technique with video demonstration. Tech Coloproctol 2013; 17: 321-325 [PMID: 23377536 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-012-0971-x
- 20 Atallah S, Martin-Perez B, Albert M, deBeche-Adams T, Nassif G, Hunter L, Larach S. Transanal minimally invasive surgery for total mesorectal excision (TAMIS-TME): results and experience with the first 20 patients undergoing curative-intent rectal cancer surgery at a single institution. Tech Coloproctol 2014; 18: 473-480 [PMID: 24272607 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-013-1095-7]
- Wexner SD, Berho M. Transanal TAMIS total mesorectal excision (TME)--a work in progress. Tech 21 Coloproctol 2014; 18: 423-425 [PMID: 24682802 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-014-1141-0]
- 22 Lee SG, Russ AJ, Casillas MA Jr. Laparoscopic transanal minimally invasive surgery (L-TAMIS) vs robotic TAMIS (R-TAMIS): short-term outcomes and costs of a comparative study. Surg Endosc 2019; 33: 1981-1987 [PMID: 30547391 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-018-6502-8]
- 23 McLemore EC, Coker A, Jacobsen G, Talamini MA, Horgan S. eTAMIS: endoscopic visualization for transanal minimally invasive surgery. Surg Endosc 2013; 27: 1842-1845 [PMID: 23179071 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-012-2652-21
- van Hattem WA, Bourke MJ. Prevention is better than cure: the challenges of prophylactic therapy for post-24 EMR bleeding. Gastrointest Endosc 2019; 90: 823-825 [PMID: 31635717 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2019.07.026]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

