

World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2021 July 26; 9(21): 5754-6177



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 9 Number 21 July 26, 2021

REVIEW

- 5754 Treatment strategies for hepatocellular carcinoma with extrahepatic metastasis
Long HY, Huang TY, Xie XY, Long JT, Liu BX

MINIREVIEWS

- 5769 Prevention of hepatitis B reactivation in patients requiring chemotherapy and immunosuppressive therapy
Shih CA, Chen WC
- 5782 Research status on immunotherapy trials of gastric cancer
Liang C, Wu HM, Yu WM, Chen W
- 5794 Therapeutic plasma exchange for hyperlipidemic pancreatitis: Current evidence and unmet needs
Zheng CB, Zheng ZH, Zheng YP
- 5804 Essentials of thoracic outlet syndrome: A narrative review
Chang MC, Kim DH

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

- 5812 Soluble programmed death-1 is predictive of hepatitis B surface antigen loss in chronic hepatitis B patients after antiviral treatment
Tan N, Luo H, Kang Q, Pan JL, Cheng R, Xi HL, Chen HY, Han YF, Yang YP, Xu XY

Retrospective Cohort Study

- 5822 Tunneled biopsy is an underutilised, simple, safe and efficient method for tissue acquisition from subepithelial tumours
Koutsoumpas A, Perera R, Melton A, Kuker J, Ghosh T, Braden B

Retrospective Study

- 5830 Macular ganglion cell complex injury in different stages of anterior ischemic optic neuropathy
Zhang W, Sun XQ, Peng XY
- 5840 Value of refined care in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
Na N, Guo SL, Zhang YY, Ye M, Zhang N, Wu GX, Ma LW
- 5850 Facilitators and barriers to colorectal cancer screening in an outpatient setting
Samuel G, Kratzer M, Asagbra O, Kinderwater J, Poola S, Udom J, Lambert K, Mian M, Ali E
- 5860 Development and validation of a prognostic nomogram for colorectal cancer after surgery
Li BW, Ma XY, Lai S, Sun X, Sun MJ, Chang B

Observational Study

- 5873** Potential protein-phenotype correlation in three lipopolysaccharide-responsive beige-like anchor protein-deficient patients

Tang WJ, Hu WH, Huang Y, Wu BB, Peng XM, Zhai XW, Qian XW, Ye ZQ, Xia HJ, Wu J, Shi JR

- 5889** Quantification analysis of pleural line movement for the diagnosis of pneumothorax

Xiao R, Shao Q, Zhao N, Liu F, Qian KJ

Prospective Study

- 5900** Preprocedure ultrasound imaging combined with palpation technique in epidural labor analgesia

Wu JP, Tang YZ, He LL, Zhao WX, An JX, Ni JX

Randomized Controlled Trial

- 5909** Effects of perioperative rosuvastatin on postoperative delirium in elderly patients: A randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled trial

Xu XQ, Luo JZ, Li XY, Tang HQ, Lu WH

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 5921** Pain assessment and management in the newborn: A systematized review

Garcia-Rodriguez MT, Bujan-Bravo S, Seijo-Bestilleiro R, Gonzalez-Martin C

META-ANALYSIS

- 5932** Fatigue prevalence in men treated for prostate cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Luo YH, Yang YW, Wu CF, Wang C, Li WJ, Zhang HC

CASE REPORT

- 5943** Diagnostic discrepancy between colposcopy and vaginoscopy: A case report

Li Q, Zhang HW, Sui L, Hua KQ

- 5948** Contrast enhanced ultrasound in diagnosing liver lesion that spontaneously disappeared: A case report

Wang ZD, Haitham S, Gong JP, Pen ZL

- 5955** COVID-19 patient with an incubation period of 27 d: A case report

Du X, Gao Y, Kang K, Chong Y, Zhang ML, Yang W, Wang CS, Meng XL, Fei DS, Dai QQ, Zhao MY

- 5963** Awake extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support for a critically ill COVID-19 patient: A case report

Zhang JC, Li T

- 5972** Meigs syndrome with pleural effusion as initial manifestation: A case report

Hou YY, Peng L, Zhou M

- 5980** Giant hemangioma of the caudate lobe of the liver with surgical treatment: A case report

Wang XX, Dong BL, Wu B, Chen SY, He Y, Yang XJ

- 5988** Anti-programmed cell death ligand 1-based immunotherapy in recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma with inferior vena cava tumor thrombus and metastasis: Three case reports
Liu SR, Yan Q, Lin HM, Shi GZ, Cao Y, Zeng H, Liu C, Zhang R
- 5999** Minimal deviation adenocarcinoma with elevated CA19-9: A case report
Dong Y, Lv Y, Guo J, Sun L
- 6005** Isolated fungus ball in a single cell of the left ethmoid roof: A case report
Zhou LQ, Li M, Li YQ, Wang YJ
- 6009** Rare case of brucellosis misdiagnosed as prostate carcinoma with lumbar vertebra metastasis: A case report
Yan JF, Zhou HY, Luo SF, Wang X, Yu JD
- 6017** Myeloid sarcoma of the colon as initial presentation in acute promyelocytic leukemia: A case report and review of the literature
Wang L, Cai DL, Lin N
- 6026** Primary follicular lymphoma in the renal pelvis: A rare case report
Shen XZ, Lin C, Liu F
- 6032** Rosai-Dorfman disease in the spleen of a pediatric patient: A case report
Ryu H, Hwang JY, Kim YW, Kim TU, Jang JY, Park SE, Yang EJ, Shin DH
- 6041** Relapsed/refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma effectively treated with low-dose decitabine plus tislelizumab: A case report
Ding XS, Mi L, Song YQ, Liu WP, Yu H, Lin NJ, Zhu J
- 6049** Disseminated *Fusarium* bloodstream infection in a child with acute myeloid leukemia: A case report
Ning JJ, Li XM, Li SQ
- 6056** Familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis type 2 in a female Chinese neonate: A case report and review of the literature
Bi SH, Jiang LL, Dai LY, Wang LL, Liu GH, Teng RJ
- 6067** Usefulness of metagenomic next-generation sequencing in adenovirus 7-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome: A case report
Zhang XJ, Zheng JY, Li X, Liang YJ, Zhang ZD
- 6073** Neurogenic orthostatic hypotension with Parkinson's disease as a cause of syncope: A case report
Li Y, Wang M, Liu XL, Ren YF, Zhang WB
- 6081** SATB2-associated syndrome caused by a novel SATB2 mutation in a Chinese boy: A case report and literature review
Zhu YY, Sun GL, Yang ZL
- 6091** Diagnosis and treatment discussion of congenital factor VII deficiency in pregnancy: A case report
Yang Y, Zeng YC, Rumende P, Wang CG, Chen Y

- 6102** Unusual immunohistochemical “null” pattern of four mismatch repair proteins in gastric cancer: A case report
Yue M, Liu JY, Liu YP
- 6110** Generalized periodontitis treated with periodontal, orthodontic, and prosthodontic therapy: A case report
Kaku M, Matsuda S, Kubo T, Shimoe S, Tsuga K, Kurihara H, Tanimoto K
- 6125** Ligamentum flavum hematoma following a traffic accident: A case report
Yu D, Lee W, Chang MC
- 6130** Oral cyclophosphamide-induced posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome in a patient with ANCA-associated vasculitis: A case report
Kim Y, Kwak J, Jung S, Lee S, Jang HN, Cho HS, Chang SH, Kim HJ
- 6138** Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in an AMA-M2 positive patient: A case report
Yin MY, Qian LJ, Xi LT, Yu YX, Shi YQ, Liu L, Xu CF
- 6145** Multidisciplinary diagnostic dilemma in differentiating Madelung’s disease – the value of superb microvascular imaging technique: A case report
Seskute G, Dapkute A, Kausaite D, Strainiene S, Talijunas A, Butrimiene I
- 6155** Complicated course of biliary inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor mimicking hilar cholangiocarcinoma: A case report and literature review
Strainiene S, Sedleckaite K, Jarasunas J, Savlan I, Stanaitis J, Stundiene I, Strainys T, Liakina V, Valantinas J
- 6170** Fruquintinib beneficial in elderly patient with neoplastic pericardial effusion from rectal cancer: A case report
Zhang Y, Zou JY, Xu YY, He JN

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Jae Gil Lee, MD, PhD, Professor, Surgeon, Department of Surgery, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul 03722, South Korea. jakii@yuhs.ac

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (WJCC, *World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2021 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.337; IF without journal self cites: 1.301; 5-year IF: 1.742; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.33; Ranking: 119 among 169 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q3. The WJCC's CiteScore for 2020 is 0.8 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: General Medicine is 493/793.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Ji-Hong Lin; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Li Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Dennis A Bloomfield, Sandro Vento, Bao-Gan Peng

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

July 26, 2021

COPYRIGHT

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Pain assessment and management in the newborn: A systematized review

Maria Teresa Garcia-Rodriguez, Sergio Bujan-Bravo, Rocio Seijo-Bestilleiro, Cristina Gonzalez-Martin

ORCID number: Maria Teresa Garcia-Rodriguez 0000-0001-6887-8109; Sergio Bujan-Bravo 0000-0002-5318-3336; Rocio Seijo-Bestilleiro 0000-0003-4025-7751; Cristina Gonzalez-Martin 0000-0002-4140-6264.

Author contributions: Garcia-Rodriguez MT and Martin CG contributed equally to this work; Bravo SB performed the research; Garcia-Rodriguez MT, Martin CG, and Bestilleiro RS wrote the manuscript; All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

PRISMA 2009 Checklist statement: The authors have read the PRISMA 2009 Checklist, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the PRISMA 2009 Checklist.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the

Maria Teresa Garcia-Rodriguez, Rocio Seijo-Bestilleiro, Cristina Gonzalez-Martin, Research in Nursing and Health Care, Institute of Biomedical Research of A Coruña (INIBIC), Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de A Coruña (CHUAC), SERGAS, As Xubias 84, 15006 A Coruña Universidade da Coruña (UDC), A Coruña 15006, Spain

Sergio Bujan-Bravo, Health Sciences, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de A Coruña, A Coruña 15006, Spain

Corresponding author: Cristina Gonzalez-Martin, PhD, Full Professor, Research in Nursing and Health Care, Institute of Biomedical Research of A Coruña (INIBIC), Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de A Coruña (CHUAC), SERGAS, As Xubias 84, 15006 A Coruña Universidade da Coruña (UDC), A Coruña 15006, Spain. cristina.gmartin@udc.es

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Neonatal pain has been underdiagnosed due to several false beliefs.

AIM

To determine the status of neonatal pain in newborns who are admitted to intensive care units.

METHODS

Different databases were searched. Literature reviews and research reports conducted in newborns that were written in English, Spanish, or Portuguese, published between 2010 and 2020, and having free access to the full text were selected. A total of 135 articles were found, and 18 articles were finally reviewed.

RESULTS

Newborns are exposed to numerous painful procedures. In order to assess their pain levels, several scales have been used, although they are sometimes not correctly interpreted. In terms of pain management, the nursing team plays a very important role based mainly on both pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches.

CONCLUSION

Nursing staff members must be well trained in order to identify pain and to interpret the scales correctly. Besides, they have an important role in performing non-pharmacological procedures for pain management.

original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Specialty type: Nursing

Country/Territory of origin: Spain

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): 0

Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: February 15, 2021

Peer-review started: February 15, 2021

First decision: April 25, 2021

Revised: May 5, 2021

Accepted: June 1, 2021

Article in press: June 1, 2021

Published online: July 26, 2021

P-Reviewer: Trkulja V

S-Editor: Ma YJ

L-Editor: Filipodia

P-Editor: Ma YJ



Key Words: Neonatal pain; Intensive care unit; Nursing; Management; Treatment; Systematized review

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: The painful experiences in newborns can cause side effects both in the short and long term. Nowadays, most newborns admitted to intensive care units do not receive adequate pain assessment using validated clinic scales. Training healthcare professionals is important, so that they can correctly assess and manage pain in newborns, based on evidence and not only on clinical practice or personal experience. There is a lack of protocols about neonatal pain management in intensive care units as well as little presence of pain management teams and/or leaders to tackle it correctly.

Citation: Garcia-Rodriguez MT, Bujan-Bravo S, Seijo-Bestilleiro R, Gonzalez-Martin C. Pain assessment and management in the newborn: A systematized review. *World J Clin Cases* 2021; 9(21): 5921-5931

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v9/i21/5921.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v9.i21.5921>

INTRODUCTION

The latest definition of pain, according to the International Association for the Study of Pain (2016), is: An unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with, or resembling, actual or potential tissue damage[1]. However, neonatal pain was not taken into account for a long time, since it was believed that newborns barely felt pain and, therefore, tolerated it well. Neonatal pain was completely underestimated and insufficiently treated[2]. Currently, we know that babies are able to feel painful stimuli already in the uterus. Researchers have proposed that some newborns may retain some memories of these painful experiences and may develop greater sensitivity to pain and less tolerance for pain as they grow older[3]. Newborns experience painful stimuli from the moment they are born, often related to procedures carried out in the hospital[4]. These painful experiences can cause both short-term and long-term side effects[3], and, in many cases, adequate measures are not taken to relieve or prevent pain[5]. Nowadays, most newborns admitted to intensive care units (ICUs) do not receive adequate pain assessment using validated clinic scales. Avila-Alvarez *et al*[5] indicate in their study carried out in different Spanish neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) that, despite the existence of recent studies on this topic, there are very few papers that prospectively assess newborns' pain to understand its impact, assessment, and treatment. These authors show that pain was only assessed with a clinical scale in 16.7% ($n = 78$) of the newborns included in the study ($n = 468$). Even so, 42.3% of the patients received a sedative or analgesic treatment, and 33.1% needed stronger analgesics. Out of the 30 units that participated in the study, 20 units did not assess pain using clinical scales. Sixty-six point 6 percent of the units used local guidelines for pain management, whilst 43.3% had guidelines for pain assessment. Only 13 units had a pain management team.

Therefore, the objective of this review is to define and assess the status of neonatal pain and pain management in newborns admitted to ICUs. In addition, given that the nursing team is responsible for carrying out a correct ongoing assessment of the patient's situation, this paper addresses the knowledge of these healthcare professionals regarding neonatal pain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protocol and identification of research question

This review was written following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses protocol for systematic reviews[6,7]. The research questions were "How is pain in newborns admitted to the NICU assessed and

managed” and “what is the nursing staff’s knowledge of neonatal pain?”.

Eligibility criteria, information sources and search

Our literature search was guided by the PICOT framework, and the components include: (P) population of interest, (I) issue of interest, (C) comparison of interest, (O) outcome of interest, and (T) timeframe[8]. The search was focused on newborn patients admitted to ICU (P) and on the pain assessment and management (I). Comparison of interest (C) was not relevant, because the review purpose was to know the pain assessment and management in newborns and the nursing staff knowledge about the newborn pain. The outcomes (O) were categorized as “Neonatal pain assessment and management” and “Nursing staff knowledge about neonatal pain”. We set a timeframe (T) of research published from 2010 to 2020. The inclusion criteria were: (1) Literature reviews; (2) Research reports conducted in newborns; (3) Written in English, Spanish or Portuguese; (4) Published between 2010 and 2020; (5) Articles with free access to full text; and (6) Considered of interest for the systematized review. Two nurse researchers identified the Mesh terms and used the keywords to develop a rigorous search strategy in different databases (PubMed, Dialnet Plus, Cochrane Library Plus, Cuiden, and IBECS). The key words used separately and in combination were: “pain”, “acute pain”, “pain management”, “infant, newborn”, “intensive care units, neonatal”, and “nursing care”. And the used limits were: ‘humans’, ‘English’, ‘Spanish’, ‘Portuguese’, and articles with free access to full text considered relevant for the work (Table 1). The search of literature was from January 2010 to April 2020.

Selection of sources of evidence

The articles initial selection was carried out according to the exclusion and inclusion criteria, followed by reading the title and/or abstract. If the title responded to the subject of the review, the abstract was retrieved for reading, and if it was considered relevant, the full text was accessed. Three researchers independently screened the titles and abstracts of the studies found to identify those that met the inclusion criteria. Then, the articles that were not discarded were read in full text and assessed for their election. Disagreement over eligibility of studies was solved through discussion and by a fourth reviewer. To assess the quality of the articles, the scientific level of evidence designed by United States Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality[9] was used due to its simplicity and clarity. According to United States Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, there are five levels of scientific evidence depending on the type of study, establishing that the levels with a highest degree of scientific evidence are those that are in the highest part of the scale (such as meta analyses and systematic reviews), and the lower levels are those that have less evidence and therefore less reliability.

Data charting process, data items and synthesis of results

A data extraction sheet was developed, and the data were extracted by the authors. Differences were face to face discussed and when there was consensus the data were included. The variables were taken into account were: Title and author, journal, country, year of publication, level of evidence, research design, pain assessment, pain management, painful procedures, and aim of the study. Data were synthesized and analyzed by the review authors, and the discrepancies were solved by consensus. The results were written as a descriptive narrative synthesis, and tables were made to collect the variables taken into account.

RESULTS

Selection and characteristics of sources of evidence

After the bibliographic search, 135 articles were found. Sixty-six were removed for being duplicates or not having access to the full text. The remaining 69 articles were assessed by the authors in a primary review based on the reading of the titles and abstracts, discarding those articles ($n = 38$) in which certain criteria were not fulfilled: The title did not respond to the subject of the review and/or the inclusion criteria were not met. Thirty-one articles were read full text by authors, and 13 were excluded because they did not focus on the objective of the work because it was nonspecific or minimally relevant. A total of 18 articles were included in the scoping review for this study (Figure 1).

Table 1 Search strategy for PubMed

Search	Terms	Results
#1	"Pain" [Mesh]	390.237
#2	"Acute Pain" [Mesh]	2.063
#3	"Pain Management" [Mesh]	33.044
#4	#1 OR #2 OR #3	402.906
#5	"Infant, Newborn" [Mesh]	600.745
#6	"Intensive Care Units, Neonatal" [Mesh]	14.373
#7	"Nursing Care" [Mesh]	134.280
#8	#4 AND #5 AND #6 AND #7	48
#9	#8 Filters: Full text; published in the last 10 yr	19




Figure 1 PRISMA flow diagram.

Most of the selected articles were of South American origin (61.0%)[10-20], and the rest of them were of European (27.8%)[3,5,21-23] and north American[24,25] origin. The main publication country was Brazil ($n = 8$)[12-20], followed by Spain ($n = 4$)[3,5,21,22]. The types of studies included in the review were: Bibliographic reviews ($n = 5$)[3,13,19,22,24], qualitative studies ($n = 4$)[12,15,18,20], and quantitative studies ($n = 9$). The level of evidence of the articles, according to the United States Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, was Ia for five articles[3,13,19,22,24] and III for the rest of them. Regarding the assessment of neonatal pain, seven articles only used scales[3,5,11,14,22,23,25] and three only used physiological and/or behavioral indicators[10,12,20]. The remaining articles use both methods (scales and physiological or behavioral indicators)[13,15-19,24]. There was only one article that did not describe how pain was assessed, since it focused on analgesia management in the neonatal ICU [21]. In general, the procedures that cause pain were therapeutic procedures (venipuncture, aspiration, intubation), environmental stimuli (light, noise), and surgical procedures. Both non-pharmacological and pharmacological methods were

used for pain management in most studies[3,12,13,15-17,19,21]. Only one article used pharmacological intervention for pain relief[5], while only five articles used non-pharmacological measures[10,18,20,22,24]. The remaining articles did not describe pain relief measures in these patients[11,14,23,25]

Results of individual sources of evidence

The main outcomes were categorized in two parts: (1) Neonatal pain assessment and management; and (2) Nursing staff knowledge about neonatal pain (Table 2).

Neonatal pain assessment and management: Twelve papers about neonatal pain assessment and management were found[3,5,10,11,13,14,19,21-25](Table 2). Among the most painful and stressful procedures for ICU newborns were punctures (venepuncture, heel lance, capillary blood glucose, *etc.*)[3,11,13,14,19,22-25], followed by insertion of nasogastric or orogastric tubes and aspirations[11,13,14,23-25]. Other procedures that have been shown to be painful are mechanical ventilations[5,19,21], circumcisions[24], intubations[13,14,25], and eye examinations[24]. Out of the 12 studies, only one used the observational/physical alteration technique (crying, heart rate, *etc.*)[10] as a method assessment. Three papers used both scales and observation of physical and behavioral disturbances[13,19,24]. Thus, in the review carried out by Do Prado *et al*[13], they observed that the most widely used scale for pain assessment was the Neonatal Infant Pain Scale (NIPS), finding that crying was not considered by all studies as an adequate parameter for pain assessment, as it could also indicate hunger or discomfort. Whereas, for Assunção *et al*[19], the most appropriate scales were those that take into account both behavioral and physiological responses, with the Neonatal Facial Coding System, NIPS, and Premature Infant Pain Profile (PIPP) being the most representative. Regarding the assessment of pain due to behavioral changes, they say that the clinical condition, sedation, and immaturity of the newborn must be taken into account, since they may hinder the response to the painful stimulus and, therefore, not be well assessed by the professional. According to this author, another factor that should be taken into account in the assessment of pain in the newborn is the professional's interpretation of behavioral changes, since it is sometimes difficult to differentiate between pain and unpleasant stimuli that are not painful. In the review carried out by Stevens *et al*[24], they assess sugar as analgesia in different painful procedures in newborns admitted to the ICU. The authors report that physiological indicators (heart rate, respiratory rate, *etc.*), behavioral indicators (duration of crying, proportion of time spent crying, *etc.*), and pain rating scales were used to assess pain. Of the articles reviewed, heel lance and venepuncture were the most common painful procedures. Guzmán *et al*[10] propose in their study the psychotherapeutic management of pain through music and touch. They consider it to be an effective and economical method, since the results showed reductions in physiological, postural, and facial expression parameters.

The remaining studies used different pain assessment scales. Among the most commonly used scales are NIPS[3,5,13,14,19,22-25], PIPP[3,5,13,19,22-24], Neonatal Facial Coding System[3,13,19,23,24], and N-PASS[5,13,23-25]. The CRIES, Susan Givens, or COMFORT scales have also been used[3,5,11,13,23]. Regarding pain management, four studies did not specify the measures taken for pain management[11,14,23,25]; in one of them only pharmacological measures were taken[5], in three only non-pharmacological measures were taken[10,22,24], and in the rest of the studies both measures were used[3,13,19,21]. In the study carried out by Avila-Alvarez *et al*[5], 42.3% of newborns admitted to the ICU received some type of sedative or analgesic medication, and 33.1% received sedatives or major analgesics such as opiates, benzodiazepines, ketamine, or propofol. Of the articles that used non-pharmacological measures to relieve pain, the systematic review by Aguilar *et al*[22] showed the use of different non-pharmacological methods, and concluded that the most used and effective methods were breastfeeding, sweet oral solutions, and the kangaroo method. However, the review by Stevens *et al*[24], determining the efficacy of the use of sucrose as a method of analgesia, found that it was not effective in certain procedures such as circumcision, and there were no conclusive results on its efficacy in procedures such as subcutaneous injections, insertion of nasogastric tubes, *etc.*

According to Do Prado *et al*[13] non-pharmacological pain relief measures should be used for procedures causing mild pain, with non-nutritive suctioning and oral glucose being the most commonly used. While for procedures causing moderate or severe pain, pharmacological measures such as analgesics should be used. In contrast, Assunção *et al*[19] believed that the aim of pharmacological measures is pain relief, while non-pharmacological measures are to reduce environmental stimuli and stress and to prevent physiological and behavioral alterations. In the study by Avila-Alvarez

Table 2 Studies characteristics included in the review

Ref.	Level of Evidence	Research Design	Pain Assessment	Pain Management	Painful Procedures	Aim
Gonzalez <i>et al</i> [3]	Ia	Systematic review	Scales: NIPS, PIPP, CRIES, NFCS, COMFORT	NPI: Environmental, behavioral and nutritional intervention: sucrose, dummy (non-nutritive suction), kangaroo method or skin to skin.PI: Paracetamol, morphine, ketamine, metamizole, thiopental, chloral hydrate...	Venipuncture, lumbar/heel puncture, peripheral/central venous catheter insertion, umbilical catheterization, injections, aspiration, orogastric catheterization	To know the neonatal pain impact and its treatment in painful procedures. Purpose: To sensitize health professionals about pain management in NBs
Avila-Alvarez <i>et al</i> [21]	III	Multicenter, observational, longitudinal and prospective study	NA	NPI: Sweet oral solution: Sucrose (most common) and glucose. PI: Fentanyl (most used), midazolam, morphine, paracetamol	Invasive and non-invasive mechanical ventilation	To determine the clinical practice in relation to sedation and analgesia in Spanish ICUs and to identify factors associated with the use of drugs
Guzmán <i>et al</i> [10]	III	Prospective-descriptive pilot study	Observational: Signs of pain (crying), contraction of facial muscles, heart rate and respiratory rate	Sentire method: Psychotherapeutic pain management through music and touch	Clinical procedures, separation from mother, ambient noise > 45 dB	Analyze the effectiveness of the Sentire method
San Martín <i>et al</i> [11]	III	Descriptive correlational cross-sectional study	Susan Givens-Bell Scale	NA	Venous and arterial puncture, orogastric catheterization, aspiration of secretions	Assess the pain intensity in NB against nursing procedures
Aguilar <i>et al</i> [22]	Ia	Systematic review	Most employed: PIPP and NIPS	NPI: Oral administration of sweet solutions, breastfeeding and kangaroo care	Heel puncture, injections and vaccines, venous lines cannulation	To analyze studies that assess the effectiveness of NPIs during painful procedures
Avila-Alvarez <i>et al</i> [5]	III	Observational longitudinal prospective study	Most used scales: NIPS, CRIES and Susan-Givens. Others: PIPP, NPASS, COMFORT	PI: Sedative or analgesic medication	Invasive mechanical ventilation	To determine the clinical practice in relation to the assessment of neonatal pain in Spain and the factors associated with the use of scales
Veronez <i>et al</i> [12]	III	Qualitative descriptive study	Observation: Crying, facial expression, irritability and agitation. Physiological alterations: Heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory parameters, <i>etc</i>	NPI(mild pain): Oral glucose administration, non-nutritive suction, group procedures, environmental measuresPI (severe pain): Non-opioid and opioid analgesics	Venous puncture, capillary glycemia, adhesive removal, tracheal aspiration, dressings...	Describe the perception of neonatal pain by ICU nursing professionals
Do Prado <i>et al</i> [13]	Ia	Systematic review	Physiological and behavioral alterations. Scales: NIPS, NFCS, PIPP, CRIES, N-PAS	NPI: Oral glucose and non-nutritive suction (most used), environmental and postural care, breastfeeding... PI: NSAIDs, opioids, sedatives, local anesthetics	Venipuncture, blood collection, gastric catheterization, intubation...	To analyze the Brazilian scientific production on the evaluation and therapeutic approach of pain in newborns admitted to NICU
Thoméet <i>al</i> [14]	III	Cross-sectional study	NIPS scale	NA	Orotracheal tube and airway aspiration, venipuncture, intubation and insertion of PICC	Assess pain in NBs admitted to the NICU during invasive procedures
Do Nascimento <i>et al</i> [15]	III	Descriptive exploratory study with a qualitative approach	Physiological and behavioral responses. Scales: NFCS, NIPS and PIPP	NPI: Non-nutritive suction, positioning and containment. PI: Opioids, topical analgesics and sedatives	Diagnostic, surgical and therapeutic procedures: Venipuncture, capillary blood glucose, improper management, oro-tracheal aspiration...	Identify how the nursing staff assesses and manages NB pain in the NICU
Costa <i>et al</i> [16]	III	Descriptive cross-	Scales: NIPS, CRIES. Physiological	NPI: Oral glucose, non-nutritive sucking and laying.	Venous punctures, tracheal aspirations,	Verify the nurses knowledge and

		sectional study	and behavioral alterations	PI: Paracetamol, fentanyl and morphine	surgeries	practices about NBs pain management admitted to the NICU
Bonolo <i>et al</i> [17]	III	Descriptive exploratory study	Physiological and behavioral indicators: Heart rate, facial expression, crying Scales: CRIES, PIPP, NIPS, NFCS, N-PASS	NPI: Positioning, non-nutritive suction, environmental measures. PI: Opioids, NSAIDs, local anesthetics	Venipuncture, overhandling, heel stick, blood draw, aspiration	To characterize the nursing staff and identify how it assesses and manages pain for preterm newborns
Alves <i>et al</i> [18]	III	Convergent care study	Escala: PASVital signs daily assessment	NPI: Reducing environmental stimulus, grouping procedures and promoting sleep, kangaroo mother care, containment during procedures, administration of oral glucose, non-nutritive sucking	Environmental stimulus and invasive procedures: Venous/arterial puncture, oro/nasogastric catheterization, endotracheal aspiration, lumbar puncture	Develop a nursing care plan based on non-pharmacological methods for neonatal pain treatment in ICUs.
Assunção <i>et al</i> [19]	Ia	Exploratory qualitative bibliographic review	Physiological indicators (heart rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation) and behavioral (crying, facial expression and motor activity). Scales: NFCS, NIPS, PIPP	NPI: Non-nutritive suction, oral glucose/sucrose, positioning, skin-to-skin contact, environmental measures... PI: Opioids (morphine, fentanyl) non-opioids (acetaminophen, dipyrone) and sedatives (propofol, midazolam, diazepam)	Mechanical ventilation, postoperative period, insertion of central catheter and thoracic drains	Analyze the evaluation and intervention methods of neonatal pain and reflect on the nurse competence in the control and management of pain
Stevens <i>et al</i> [24]	Ia	Literature review of randomized control trials	Scales: PIPP, DAN, NIPS, NFCS, NAPI, N-PASS, BPSN. Physiological and behavioral indicators	NPI: Sucrose administration (most effective in combination with other interventions, such as non-nutritive sucking)	Heel puncture, venous/arterial puncture, injections, bladder and nasogastric catheterization, circumcision, retinopathy of prematurity eye exam	To determine the efficacy, dose and method of sucrose administration for pain relief during procedures in the NB
Desai <i>et al</i> [25]	III		Scales: N-PASS and NIPS	NA	Laboratory tests, venous/arterial puncture, intubation, aspirations...	To improve newborns acute and chronic pain measurements in a NICU by implementing the N-PASS scale
Santos <i>et al</i> [20]	III	Qualitative descriptive study	Physiological and behavioral indicators (heart rate, breath rate, crying, facial expression..)	NPI: Containment, environmental measures (light and noise), touch, skin-to-skin contact, non-nutritive suction and glucose	Venous punctures, orogastric and bladder catheterization, capillary blood glucose, dressings, airway aspiration, orotracheal intubation	Analyze the parameters used by the nursing team to assess pain and intervention in the premature newborn
Dionysakopoulou <i>et al</i> [23]	III	Cross-sectional study	Most used scales: NIPS, PIPP Others: CRIES, NFCS, OPS, PAT, N-PASS	NA	Venipuncture, airway aspiration, tube placement and removal...	Evaluation and validation of scales (NIPS-PIPP) in hospitalized newborns in two Greek ICUs

NA: Not appear; NIPS: Neonatal infant pain scales; PIPP: Premature infant pain profile; CRIES: Crying, requires oxygen saturation, increased vital signs, expression and sleeplessness; NFCS: Neonatal facing coding system; N-PASS: Pain, agitation and sedation scale; DAN: Douleur Aiguë du Nouveau-né Scale; NAPI: Neurobehavioural assessment of preterm infants; BPSN: Bernese pain scale for neonates; OPS: Objective pain scale; PAT: Patient assessment pain; NPI: Non-pharmacological interventions; PI: Pharmacological interventions; NICU: Neonatal intensive care unit; NB: Newborn.

et al[21] they observed that among the pharmacological measures used, fentanyl was the most commonly used drug and receiving sedation or analgesia was associated with having invasive ventilation and scores > 3 on the Clinical Risk Index for Babies scale, the existence of pain assessment guidelines and a pain leader. As for the use of non-pharmacological measures, only 38.4% of patients received some type of oral sweet solution. This low percentage was related to the fact that no exhaustive records were kept of their administration, as is the case with pharmaceuticals, and to the reluctance of professionals to use them due to a lack of knowledge about their mechanism of action. Finally, the review carried out by Gonzalez *et al*[3] recommends

that, if the procedure to be performed is very aggressive, non-pharmacological measures should be accompanied by drugs to be chosen according to the World Health Organization analgesic scale.

Nursing staff knowledge about neonatal pain: Six papers regarding nursing staff knowledge about neonatal pain were found [12,15-18,20] (Table 2). Healthcare professionals consider both punctures and aspirations to be the most common painful procedures for newborns [12,15-18,20]. Other painful procedures are catheterizations [18,20] or dressings [12,20]. Regarding pain assessment, only two articles do not use any scale, with physical and behavioral responses being the way to assess newborn pain [12,20]. In the remaining studies, healthcare professionals combined some type of scale with physical/behavioral responses in order to assess pain. The most commonly used scale was the NIPS [15-17]. Non-pharmacological measures were used to control pain in only two studies [18,20]. The remaining studies used both pharmacological and non-pharmacological techniques [12,15-17]. Among the most commonly used non-pharmacological measures were non-nutritive suckling [12,15-18,20] and glucose intake [12,16,18,20]. The most commonly used pharmacological measures were non-opioid [12,15,17] and topical analgesics [15,17].

In general, the authors of these studies concluded that the professionals lacked training on this subject, and therefore, on occasions, the assessment of pain and its management in the newborn was not carried out adequately, as indicated by Veronez *et al* [12] in the study carried out on 25 professionals in a neonatal ICU. Santos *et al* [20] pointed out that the identification of pain was carried out in a fragmented and non-systematized way, not using scales for a more exhaustive assessment. However, they consider that the professionals were aware of and knew how to use adequately non-pharmacological measures to alleviate the newborn's pain. In the study by Bonolo *et al* [17], they noted that some of the scales used to assess pain in newborns were not suitable for this age group. In addition, they considered that both work experience and professional qualifications influenced pain assessment and management. Do Nascimento *et al* [15] indicated that pain assessment should be carried out with multidimensional measures, taking into account the environmental context and subjective and objective variables. Furthermore, in the study they conducted, there were respondents who were not aware of the pain scales in use. Costa *et al* [16] concluded that strategies to transfer knowledge to professionals were needed to improve pain assessment and management. They found that, although nursing staff considered the systematic use of pain assessment scales important, they did not always use them, and considered that pain management should be carried out by a multidisciplinary team. Finally, the study by Alves *et al* [18] was based on the development of an action protocol to standardize the actions of the nursing professional in the management of discomfort and pain in the newborn. This contributes to providing knowledge for acquiring a routine and having a guide to improve care in the neonatal ICU.

DISCUSSION

After review was carried out, it is clear that newborns are exposed to several diagnostic, therapeutic, and surgical procedures that cause pain. In the research conducted by San Martín *et al* [11], 65.4 % of the newborns studied had moderate to severe pain. Neonates with a gestational age between 33-37 wk showed more pain. These results are consistent with other studies such as that of Thomé *et al* [14] where the level of pain suffered by patients was moderate to severe, with men experiencing more pain. Avila-Alvarez *et al* [21] also showed that the age range receiving the most analgesics was that of newborns between 37-42 wk. The most common causes of pain are invasive procedures, such as venepunctures, aspiration of secretions, heel lances, *etc.* [11,13,15,16,18,20,22-25]. Among punctures, several papers agree that venipunctures are the most frequent procedure [3,12,14,17]. However, in the paper published by San Martín *et al* [11], the most repeated techniques in newborns were arterial punctures (32.7%) followed by orogastric catheters (26.9%). This may be because the larger group had a gestational age of less than 32 wk and, due to their frailty would need more catheterization and arterial punctures. Regarding pain assessment, nurses are able to identify it by paying attention to several physical and behavioral alterations. Some studies mention that nurses used these techniques for assessing pain [10,12,13,15-20,24]. Assessments using behavioral disturbances were the most frequently mentioned, especially crying and facial expressions [10,12,13,15-17,19,20,24]. On the other hand, the most commonly used physical alterations were increased heart and respiratory rate [10,

12,13,17,19,20]. However, there are authors who state that in order to achieve a correct assessment of neonatal pain, the use of specific scales is an adequate tool, since it can complement observational and physical methods, thus helping to achieve better patient care[3,11,14,23]. A large number of scales have been validated. Fourteen out of the 18 papers reviewed mention the use of some of these scales as a tool for the assessment of neonatal pain[3,5,11,13-20,22,24,25], with the NIPS being the most widely used scale[3,5,13-17,19,22-25]. Avila-Alvarez *et al*[5] showed an example of the use of scales in Spanish ICUs. This study showed that the most used scales in Spain are NIPS, CRIES, Susan-Givens, PIPP, COMFORT, and N-PASS (especially the first three).

Regarding neonatal pain management and treatment, the nursing team has a very important role, based mainly on a pharmacological and non-pharmacological approach. Out of the 18 papers reviewed, 12 of them mentioned non-pharmacological measures used by the nursing staff to relieve neonatal pain[3,12,13,15-22,24], whilst 8 of them mention pharmacological measures[3,5,12,13,15,16,19,21]. The most common non-pharmacological measure was the oral administration of glucose/sucrose[3,12,13,16,18-20,22,24]. In accordance with the paper published by Stevens *et al*[24], the use of oral sucrose is effective in reducing pain caused by invasive procedures such as venepunctures, heel lancets, or intramuscular injections in both premature and full-term newborns. No side effects were observed. However, an optimal dose could not be identified. In this work, we have also taken into account a less frequent and innovative non-pharmacological method of pain management: The Sentire Method[10]. This method is based on pain control through music and touch. The authors observed that both heart and respiratory rate decreased with this method. Therefore, they concluded that music and touch helped relaxation, decreasing pain. Pain management by pharmacological interventions is normally used in moderate to severe cases. The most commonly used drugs for neonatal pain relief are opioids, non-opioids, and sedatives. According to Avila-Alvarez *et al*[21], in Spanish ICUs the most common pharmacological procedures were fentanyl infusions and the combination of fentanyl and midazolam.

With regard to the studies that mention nursing staff's knowledge[12,15-18,20], in most cases we observed that nurses show some working experience in ICUs[12,15,16,20], although none of the papers mention specific training on pain management in newborns. The study carried out by Veronez *et al*[12] showed an average of 4.39 years of nursing team experience, while the study by Costa *et al*[16] showed a higher mean of 6.02 years. Regarding the perception of pain in newborns, healthcare professionals are aware that they feel pain and know its consequences in patients[12,15,17], although some of them consider that pain in newborns and adults is similar. This consideration is wrong, since newborns have a better perception of pain due to their limited ability to inhibit it[12]. In order to assess pain, in the study carried out by Costa *et al*[16], healthcare professionals were asked if they knew of any guidelines, protocols, or routines, and most of them (66.7%) did not know of any or did not know what to answer. In general, nurses use structured scales in order to assess newborns' pain[15-17], although sometimes these scales are not suitable for neonates[17]. In other cases, due to lack of knowledge or training, nurses only identify pain through the patient's physical or behavioral alterations[12,15,20].

Limitations of this study

Despite the methodology used, the review may have some limitations regarding the following biases: Language bias, papers written in languages other than Spanish, English, or Portuguese have been excluded. This is a limitation, since there may be papers in other languages with relevant information on the topic. Selection bias: Determined by the criteria used to include and exclude papers in the review. In order to avoid this bias, we tried to define clearly these criteria and to be as objective as possible. Accessibility bias: Papers that we could not access with available resources were not included in this review. This could have caused lower quality results. Despite these biases, we consider that the subject of this review should be taken into account given the negative consequences of pain in newborns.

CONCLUSION

This article reviewed the literature on the assessment and management of pain in newborn admitted to the NICU. It has become evident that the neonates admitted to the NICUs are undergoing several painful procedures. The first step in addressing pain in newborns is a correct pain assessment, and nurses have a key role in this.

Although several pain assessment scales have been validated, in clinical practice, most nurses do not use them or do not use them properly, therefore, the staff should be trained to make good use of them. Pain management is the second step in the approach to pain and, in this sense; the nursing team has an important role in carrying out non-pharmacological procedures.

Implications for nursing practice

There is a lack of protocols about management of neonatal pain in the ICUs as well as a scarce presence of pain management teams and/or leaders to address it correctly. If nursing wants to offer a more humane treatment to these patients, they must develop protocols and be part of the pain management teams. This way, there will be a standard procedure for pain assessment and management in NICUs.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Painful experiences in newborns can cause both short- and long-term side effects. Newborns admitted to the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) are frequently subjected to procedures that will cause pain, which must be assessed and treated appropriately for its relief and well-being of the newborn.

Research motivation

To contribute to the knowledge of newborn pain and its assessment and management.

Research objectives

To define and assess the status of neonatal pain and pain management in newborns admitted to the NICU and to determine the knowledge of healthcare professionals about neonatal pain.

Research methods

A search was carried out in different databases such as PubMed, Dialnet Plus, Cochrane Library Plus, Cuiden, and IBECS.

Research results

A total of 135 articles were found, and 18 articles were finally reviewed. Among the most painful and stressful procedures for NICU newborns were punctures. The methods used to assess pain were the observation of physical and behavioral alterations and validated scales, the most widely used being the Neonatal Infant Pain Scale. With regard to the knowledge of professionals, it has been observed that there is a lack of training on this subject, which is the making and carrying out of the correct assessment and management of pain.

Research conclusions

Neonates admitted to the NICU undergo a number of painful procedures. It is essential that professionals have the appropriate knowledge to perform correct assessment and management of pain. This would require the development of protocols and multidisciplinary pain teams.

Research perspectives

This document not only establishes the need for training of healthcare professionals to assess correctly and manage pain in newborns, based on evidence and not only on clinical practice or personal experience, but also the need for pain guidelines and pain teams to carry out quality care.

REFERENCES

- 1 **López SJ**, Rivera LS. Historia del concepto de dolor total y reflexiones sobre la humanización de la atención a pacientes terminales. *Revista Ciencias de la Salud* 2018; **16**: 340-356 [DOI: [10.12804/revistas.urosario.edu.co/revsalud/a.6773](https://doi.org/10.12804/revistas.urosario.edu.co/revsalud/a.6773)]
- 2 **Perez LE**, Genovés CA, Muñoz IM. Valoración y manejo del dolor en neonatos. *Enfermería Integral: Revista científica del Colegio Oficial de Enfermería de Valencia* 2011; 9-12

- 3 **Gonzalez FC**, Fernández MI. Revisión bibliográfica en el manejo del dolor neonatal. *ENE Revista de Enfermería* 2012; 6
- 4 **Perez VR**, Villalobos AE, Aguayo GK, Guerrero FM. Valoración y estrategias no farmacológicas en el tratamiento del dolor neonatal. *Rev Cubana Pediatr* 2006; 78
- 5 **Avila-Alvarez A**, Carbajal R, Courtois E, Pertega-Diaz S, Anand KJ, Muñoz-García J; Grupo español del proyecto Europain. [Clinical assessment of pain in Spanish Neonatal Intensive Care Units]. *An Pediatr (Barc)* 2016; **85**: 181-188 [PMID: [26553356](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.anpedi.2015.09.019](#)]
- 6 **Liberati A**, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2009; **62**: e1-34 [PMID: [19631507](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.006](#)]
- 7 **Tricco AC**, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, Moher D, Peters MDJ, Horsley T, Weeks L, Hempel S, Akl EA, Chang C, McGowan J, Stewart L, Hartling L, Aldcroft A, Wilson MG, Garrity C, Lewin S, Godfrey CM, Macdonald MT, Langlois EV, Soares-Weiser K, Moriarty J, Clifford T, Tunçalp Ö, Straus SE. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med* 2018; **169**: 467-473 [PMID: [30178033](#) DOI: [10.7326/M18-0850](#)]
- 8 **Riva JJ**, Malik KM, Burnie SJ, Endicott AR, Busse JW. What is your research question? *J Can Chiropr Assoc* 2012; **56**: 167-171 [PMID: [22997465](#)]
- 9 **Martínez NA**, Gijón NG. La evidencia científica: método de la evaluación de resultados clínicos, el camino para la podología. *Revista Española de Podología* 2017; **28**: 58-60 [DOI: [10.1016/j.repod.2017.03.001](#)]
- 10 **Guzmán SV**, Nicolini PD, Domínguez TB, Guzmán MJ. Manejo psicoterapéutico del dolor a través de la música y el tacto: el Método Sentire. *Estudios sobre las culturas contemporáneas* 2018; **24**: 9-40
- 11 **San Martín GD**, Valenzuela SS, Huaiquian SJ, Luengo ML. Dolor del recién nacido expuesto a procedimientos de enfermería en la unidad de neonatología de un hospital clínico chileno. *Enfermería Global* 2017; **16**: 1-23 [DOI: [10.6018/eglobal.16.4.263211](#)]
- 12 **Veronez M**, Martins CD. A dor e o recém-nascido de risco: percepção dos profissionais de enfermagem. *Cogitare Enfermagem* 2010; **15**: 263-270 [DOI: [10.5380/ce.v15i2.17859](#)]
- 13 **Do Prado FA**, Da Silva SA, Morato SM, Ramos Lima L. Abordagem terapêutica da dor em neonatos sob cuidados intensivos: uma breve revisão. *Revista de Enfermagem do Centro Oeste Mineiro* 2012; **2**: 108-123 [DOI: [10.19175/recom.v0i0.130](#)]
- 14 **Thomé CC**, Sonogo GJ, Kirchenr R, Fernandes E. Evaluation of pain of neonates during invasive procedures in intensive care. *Rev dor* 2016; **17**: 197-200 [DOI: [10.5935/1806-0013.20160070](#)]
- 15 **Do Nascimento R**, Rangel SL, Domingues Bernardes SM, Moreira CM. Avaliação da dor do recém-nascido na unidade terapia intensiva neonatal sob o olhar dos profissionais de enfermagem de um hospital universitário. *Revista de Pesquisa Cuidado é Fundamental Online* 2010; **2**: 1410-1417
- 16 **Costa T**, Rossato LM, Bueno M, Secco IL, Sposito NP, Harrison D, Freitas JS. Nurses' knowledge and practices regarding pain management in newborns. *Rev Esc Enferm USP* 2017; **51**: e03210 [PMID: [28403366](#) DOI: [10.1590/S1980-220X2016034403210](#)]
- 17 **Bonolo AJ**, Alves RT, Contim D, Barichello E. Equipe de enfermagem diante da dor do recém-nascido pré-termo. *Esc Anna Nery* 2014; **18**: 241-246 [DOI: [10.5935/1414-8145.20140035](#)]
- 18 **Alves CR**, Costa R. Métodos não farmacológicos para alívio do desconforto e da dor no recém-nascido: uma construção coletiva da enfermagem. *Texto contexto - enferm* 2014; **23**: 185-192 [DOI: [10.1590/S0104-07072014000100022](#)]
- 19 **Assunção SA**, Vargas DI, Ribeiro SL. O enfermeiro no manejo da dor neonatal. *Revista Baiana de Enfermagem* 2011; **25**: 301-309
- 20 **Santos LM**, Ribeiro IS, Santana RC. [Identification and treatment of pain in the premature newborn in the intensive care unit]. *Rev Bras Enferm* 2012; **65**: 269-275 [PMID: [22911409](#) DOI: [10.1590/s0034-71672012000200011](#)]
- 21 **Avila-Alvarez A**, Carbajal R, Courtois E, Pertega-Diaz S, Muñoz-García J, Anand KJ; Grupo Español del proyecto Europain. [Sedation and analgesia practices among Spanish neonatal intensive care units]. *An Pediatr (Barc)* 2015; **83**: 75-84 [PMID: [25979386](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.anpedi.2015.03.017](#)]
- 22 **Aguilar Cordero MJ**, Baena García L, Sánchez López AM, Mur Villar N, Fernández Castillo R, García García I. [Non pharmacological methods to reduce pain in newborns; systematic review]. *Nutr Hosp* 2015; **32**: 2496-2507 [PMID: [26667696](#) DOI: [10.3305/nh.2015.32.6.10070](#)]
- 23 **Dionysakopoulou C**, Giannakopoulou M, Lianou L, Bozas E, Zannikos K, Matziou V. Validation of Greek Versions of the Neonatal Infant Pain Scale and Premature Infant Pain Profile in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2018; **19**: 313-319 [PMID: [28958642](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.pmn.2017.05.008](#)]
- 24 **Stevens B**, Yamada J, Ohlsson A, Haliburton S, Shorkey A. Sucrose for analgesia in newborn infants undergoing painful procedures. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; **7**: CD001069 [PMID: [27420164](#) DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD001069.pub5](#)]
- 25 **Desai A**, Aucott S, Frank K, Silbert-Flagg J. Comparing N-PASS and NIPS: Improving Pain Measurement in the Neonate. *Adv Neonatal Care* 2018; **18**: 260-266 [PMID: [29889729](#) DOI: [10.1097/ANC.0000000000000521](#)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

