

World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2021 December 6; 9(34): 10392-10745



OPINION REVIEW

- 10392** Regulating monocyte infiltration and differentiation: Providing new therapies for colorectal cancer patients with COVID-19
Bai L, Yang W, Qian L, Cui JW

REVIEW

- 10400** Role of circular RNAs in gastrointestinal tumors and drug resistance
Xi SJ, Cai WQ, Wang QQ, Peng XC

MINIREVIEWS

- 10418** Liver injury associated with acute pancreatitis: The current status of clinical evaluation and involved mechanisms
Liu W, Du JJ, Li ZH, Zhang XY, Zuo HD
- 10430** Association between celiac disease and vitiligo: A review of the literature
Zhang JZ, Abudoureyimu D, Wang M, Yu SR, Kang XJ
- 10438** Role of immune escape in different digestive tumours
Du XZ, Wen B, Liu L, Wei YT, Zhao K

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**Basic Study**

- 10451** Magnolol protects against acute gastrointestinal injury in sepsis by down-regulating regulated on activation, normal T-cell expressed and secreted
Mao SH, Feng DD, Wang X, Zhi YH, Lei S, Xing X, Jiang RL, Wu JN

Case Control Study

- 10464** Effect of Nephritis Rehabilitation Tablets combined with tacrolimus in treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy
Lv W, Wang MR, Zhang CZ, Sun XX, Yan ZZ, Hu XM, Wang TT

Retrospective Cohort Study

- 10472** Lamb's tripe extract and vitamin B₁₂ capsule plus celecoxib reverses intestinal metaplasia and atrophy: A retrospective cohort study
Wu SR, Liu J, Zhang LF, Wang N, Zhang LY, Wu Q, Liu JY, Shi YQ
- 10484** Clinical features and survival of patients with multiple primary malignancies
Wang XK, Zhou MH

Retrospective Study

- 10494** Thoracoscopic segmentectomy and lobectomy assisted by three-dimensional computed-tomography bronchography and angiography for the treatment of primary lung cancer
Wu YJ, Shi QT, Zhang Y, Wang YL
- 10507** Endoscopic ultrasound fine needle aspiration *vs* fine needle biopsy in solid lesions: A multi-center analysis
Moura DTH, McCarty TR, Jirapinyo P, Ribeiro IB, Farias GFA, Madruga-Neto AC, Ryou M, Thompson CC
- 10518** Resection of bilateral occipital lobe lesions during a single operation as a treatment for bilateral occipital lobe epilepsy
Lyu YE, Xu XF, Dai S, Feng M, Shen SP, Zhang GZ, Ju HY, Wang Y, Dong XB, Xu B
- 10530** Improving rehabilitation and quality of life after percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography drainage with a rapid rehabilitation model
Xia LL, Su T, Li Y, Mao JF, Zhang QH, Liu YY
- 10540** Combined lumbar muscle block and perioperative comprehensive patient-controlled intravenous analgesia with butorphanol in gynecological endoscopic surgery
Zhu RY, Xiang SQ, Chen DR
- 10549** Teicoplanin combined with conventional vancomycin therapy for the treatment of pulmonary methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* infections
Wu W, Liu M, Geng JJ, Wang M
- 10557** Application of narrative nursing in the families of children with biliary atresia: A retrospective study
Zhang LH, Meng HY, Wang R, Zhang YC, Sun J

Observational Study

- 10566** Comparative study for predictability of type 1 gastric variceal rebleeding after endoscopic variceal ligation: High-frequency intraluminal ultrasound study
Kim JH, Choe WH, Lee SY, Kwon SY, Sung IK, Park HS
- 10576** Effects of WeChat platform-based health management on health and self-management effectiveness of patients with severe chronic heart failure
Wang ZR, Zhou JW, Liu XP, Cai GJ, Zhang QH, Mao JF
- 10585** Early cardiopulmonary resuscitation on serum levels of myeloperoxidase, soluble ST2, and hypersensitive C-reactive protein in acute myocardial infarction patients
Hou M, Ren YP, Wang R, Lu LX

Prospective Study

- 10595** Remimazolam benzenesulfonate anesthesia effectiveness in cardiac surgery patients under general anesthesia
Tang F, Yi JM, Gong HY, Lu ZY, Chen J, Fang B, Chen C, Liu ZY

Randomized Clinical Trial

- 10604** Effects of lower body positive pressure treadmill on functional improvement in knee osteoarthritis: A randomized clinical trial study
Chen HX, Zhan YX, Ou HN, You YY, Li WY, Jiang SS, Zheng MF, Zhang LZ, Chen K, Chen QX

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 10616** Effects of hypoxia on bone metabolism and anemia in patients with chronic kidney disease
Kan C, Lu X, Zhang R

META-ANALYSIS

- 10626** Intracuff alkalinized lidocaine to prevent postoperative airway complications: A meta-analysis
Chen ZX, Shi Z, Wang B, Zhang Y

CASE REPORT

- 10638** Rarely fast progressive memory loss diagnosed as Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease: A case report
Xu YW, Wang JQ, Zhang W, Xu SC, Li YX
- 10645** Diagnosis, fetal risk and treatment of pemphigoid gestationis in pregnancy: A case report
Jiao HN, Ruan YP, Liu Y, Pan M, Zhong HP
- 10652** Histology transformation-mediated pathological atypism in small-cell lung cancer within the presence of chemotherapy: A case report
Ju Q, Wu YT, Zhang Y, Yang WH, Zhao CL, Zhang J
- 10659** Reversible congestive heart failure associated with hypocalcemia: A case report
Wang C, Dou LW, Wang TB, Guo Y
- 10666** Excimer laser coronary atherectomy for a severe calcified coronary ostium lesion: A case report
Hou FJ, Ma XT, Zhou YJ, Guan J
- 10671** Comprehensive management of malocclusion in maxillary fibrous dysplasia: A case report
Kaur H, Mohanty S, Kochhar GK, Iqbal S, Verma A, Bhasin R, Kochhar AS
- 10681** Intravascular papillary endothelial hyperplasia as a rare cause of cervicothoracic spinal cord compression: A case report
Gu HL, Zheng XQ, Zhan SQ, Chang YB
- 10689** Proximal true lumen collapse in a chronic type B aortic dissection patient: A case report
Zhang L, Guan WK, Wu HP, Li X, Lv KP, Zeng CL, Song HH, Ye QL
- 10696** Tigecycline sclerotherapy for recurrent pseudotumor in aseptic lymphocyte-dominant vasculitis-associated lesion after metal-on-metal total hip arthroplasty: A case report
Lin IH, Tsai CH

- 10702** Acute myocardial infarction induced by eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis: A case report
Jiang XD, Guo S, Zhang WM
- 10708** Aggressive natural killer cell leukemia with skin manifestation associated with hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis: A case report
Peng XH, Zhang LS, Li LJ, Guo XJ, Liu Y
- 10715** Chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma complicated with skin Langerhans cell sarcoma: A case report
Li SY, Wang Y, Wang LH
- 10723** Severe mediastinitis and pericarditis after endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration: A case report
Koh JS, Kim YJ, Kang DH, Lee JE, Lee SI
- 10728** Obturator hernia - a rare etiology of lateral thigh pain: A case report
Kim JY, Chang MC
- 10733** Tracheal tube misplacement in the thoracic cavity: A case report
Li KX, Luo YT, Zhou L, Huang JP, Liang P
- 10738** Peri-implant keratinized gingiva augmentation using xenogeneic collagen matrix and platelet-rich fibrin: A case report
Han CY, Wang DZ, Bai JF, Zhao LL, Song WZ

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Gagan Mathur, MBBS, MD, Associate Professor, Director, Staff Physician, Department of Pathology, Saint Luke's Health System, Kansas City, MO 64112, United States. gmathur@saint-lukes.org

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases)* is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The *WJCC* is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2021 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for *WJCC* as 1.337; IF without journal self cites: 1.301; 5-year IF: 1.742; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.33; Ranking: 119 among 169 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q3. The *WJCC*'s CiteScore for 2020 is 0.8 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: General Medicine is 493/793.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yan-Xia Xing, Production Department Director: Yun-Jie Ma, Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Dennis A Bloomfield, Sandro Vento, Bao-Gan Peng

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

December 6, 2021

COPYRIGHT

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Retrospective Study

Teicoplanin combined with conventional vancomycin therapy for the treatment of pulmonary methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* infections

Wei Wu, Min Liu, Jia-Jing Geng, Mei Wang

ORCID number: Wei Wu 0000-0002-9277-9124; Min Liu 0000-0002-3574-8487; Jiajing Geng 0000-0002-1082-5159; Mei Wang 0000-0003-4082-3506.

Author contributions: Wu W and Wang M designed the research study; Liu M performed the research; Geng JJ analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Institutional review board

statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University Institutional Review Board (Approval No. TRECKY2020-100).

Informed consent statement: All study participants provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: No conflict of interest.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Country/Territory of origin: China

Specialty type: Respiratory System

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Wei Wu, Jia-Jing Geng, Mei Wang, Laboratory Medicine, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100176, China

Min Liu, Department of General Practice, The Community Health Services Center in Lumen, Beijing 100080, China

Corresponding author: Mei Wang, MHS, Attending Doctor, Laboratory Medicine, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, No. 2 West Ring South Road, Beijing 100176, China. wmeimeiw@163.com

Abstract**BACKGROUND**

Vancomycin and teicoplanin are both antibiotics that have significant antimicrobial effects on Gram-positive cocci.

AIM

To explore the value of teicoplanin combined with conventional (vancomycin only) anti-infective therapy for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* pulmonary infections.

METHODS

A total of 86 patients with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* or methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* pulmonary infections, treated in our hospital between January 2018 and February 2020, were assigned to the study and control groups using a random number table method, with 43 patients in each group. The control group received conventional treatment (vancomycin), and the study group received both teicoplanin and conventional treatment. The following indicators were assessed in both groups: the time required for symptom relief, treatment effectiveness, serum levels of inflammatory factors (procalcitonin, interleukin-1 β , tumor necrosis factor- α , C-reactive protein), clinical pulmonary infection scores before and after treatment, and the incidence of adverse reactions.

RESULTS

Patients in the study group were observed to have faster cough and expectoration resolution, white blood cell count normalization, body temperature normalization, and rales disappearance than patients in the control group (all $P < 0.05$);

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0
 Grade B (Very good): 0
 Grade C (Good): C
 Grade D (Fair): 0
 Grade E (Poor): 0

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Received: July 28, 2021

Peer-review started: July 28, 2021

First decision: August 19, 2021

Revised: August 24, 2021

Accepted: October 14, 2021

Article in press: October 14, 2021

Published online: December 6, 2021

P-Reviewer: Patel J

S-Editor: Wang JL

L-Editor: Filipodia

P-Editor: Wang JL



the total rate of effectiveness was 93.02% in the study group, higher than the 76.74% in the control group ($P < 0.05$). The pre-treatment serum levels of procalcitonin, interleukin-1 β , tumor necrosis factor- α , and C-reactive protein as well as the clinical pulmonary infection scores were similar among the patients in both groups. However, the post-treatment serum levels of procalcitonin, interleukin-1 β , tumor necrosis factor- α , and C-reactive protein as well as the clinical pulmonary infection scores were significantly lower in the study group than in the control group ($P < 0.05$). There was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse reactions between the groups.

CONCLUSION

Compared with conventional (vancomycin only) therapy, teicoplanin and vancomycin combination therapy for patients with pulmonary methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* infections can improve patient clinical symptoms, modulate serum inflammatory factor levels, and improve treatment efficacy, without increasing the risk of adverse reactions.

Key Words: Vancomycin; Teicoplanin; Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis*; Lung infection

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Vancomycin and teicoplanin are both essential drugs in the clinical treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* lung infections and have significant antimicrobial effects on Gram-positive cocci. Here, we discuss the efficacy and safety of these two key antibiotics.

Citation: Wu W, Liu M, Geng JJ, Wang M. Teicoplanin combined with conventional vancomycin therapy for the treatment of pulmonary methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* infections. *World J Clin Cases* 2021; 9(34): 10549-10556

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v9/i34/10549.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v9.i34.10549>

INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary infections caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (MRSE) are common in our hospital. These infections are typically resistant to treatment with cefradine, oxacillin, or methicillin. In recent years, the incidence of these infections has been rising continuously, and they have become challenges that seriously threaten patients' lives, health, and prognoses[1-3].

Vancomycin and teicoplanin are important drugs in the clinical treatment of MRSA and MRSE lung infections and have significant antimicrobial effects on Gram-positive cocci. However, the overall efficacy of treatment with vancomycin alone is not good; increasing the dosage to ensure a therapeutic effect also increases the risk of adverse reactions, resulting in a significant limitation to its use as a single-drug treatment[4-6]. Teicoplanin is a novel glycopeptide antibacterial preparation for use in place of vancomycin. This novel drug has enhanced antibacterial activity against MRSA and MRSE due to the addition of fatty acid side chains to its chemical structure, which also increases its molecular mass and half-life, relative to vancomycin[7,8]. Additionally, teicoplanin has a longer dosing interval than vancomycin, which has increased its safety and reduced its risk of adverse events (*e.g.*, renal toxicity and Redman syndrome) compared with vancomycin[9,10].

Thus, we selected 86 patients with pulmonary MRSA or MRSE infections treated in our hospital and compared the treatment outcomes in patients receiving conventional antimicrobial treatment (vancomycin only) with those receiving treatment with vancomycin and teicoplanin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient population

Patients were eligible to participate in the study if they had pulmonary MRSA or MRSE infections confirmed by lung computed tomography, X-ray examination, and blood cultures, were less than 80 years of age, and agreed to demonstrate good compliance and cooperate throughout the study. Patients were excluded if they had mixed pulmonary infections caused by multiple drug-resistant bacteria species, evidence of immune system dysfunction, an expected survival time of less than 2 wk, kidney or other organ lesions, malignancies, allergies to the study medications, cardiovascular or cerebrovascular diseases, or if they failed to demonstrate compliance throughout the investigation. This study was approved by the ethics committee of our hospital.

Treatment

Patients in both groups received routine interventions after hospitalization, including treatments to reduce expectoration and suppress coughing; supplemental oxygen was also provided. The control group received intravenous vancomycin (0.5 g in 250 mL of normal saline, every 8 h). Peak drug concentrations were measured after 3 d of treatment, and the dosage was adjusted to maintain 5–10 mg/L of vancomycin. Patients in the study group were similarly dosed with vancomycin and also received intravenous teicoplanin (0.4 g in 250 mL of normal saline, every 12 h for 3 d, then once per day for the duration of treatment). Both groups were treated for 7 d.

Indicators

Both groups were monitored to determine the period of time from the beginning of treatment to symptom relief. The indicators of symptom relief were normalization of white blood count and body temperature and the disappearance of cough, expectoration, and rales. We also monitored the patients for lung lesion resolution (resolution of 90% of the lesions was scored as marked effectiveness; resolution of 50%–89% of the lesions was considered effective) using radiography. Thus, the total effectiveness rate was determined as the percentage of patients in each group demonstrating effective and markedly effective outcomes[11]. We also compared the baseline and post-treatment levels of serum inflammatory factors between the groups, including procalcitonin, interleukin-1 β , tumor necrosis factor- α , and C-reactive protein; we also assessed the pre- and post-treatment clinical pulmonary infection scores (CPISSs). Serum levels of inflammatory markers were determined using appropriate enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. Finally, the experience of adverse events during treatment was compared between the groups.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 22.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL, United States). A *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Means were compared using *t*-tests, and qualitative data (percent values) were compared using the χ^2 test.

RESULTS

A total of 86 patients with pulmonary MRSA or MRSE infections, treated in our hospital between January 2018 and February 2020, were randomly assigned (using a random number table) to the study and control groups; 43 patients were assigned to each group. The study group comprised 24 men and 19 women. At baseline, the average age of the participants in the study group was 58.59 ± 10.77 (range: 46–71) years, and the average body mass index was 22.19 ± 3.07 (range: 18.2–26.4) kg/m². The average duration of their disease was 6.05 ± 2.13 (range: 2–10) d. The comorbidities among this group included chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (*n* = 11), coronary heart disease (*n* = 2), cerebrovascular disease (*n* = 4), chronic bronchitis (*n* = 11), and other diseases (*n* = 2).

The control group included 26 men and 17 women, with an average age of 60.07 ± 11.35 (range: 43–76) years and an average body mass index of 21.95 ± 3.23 (range: 17.8–27.1) kg/m². The average duration of disease in this group was 5.89 ± 2.32 (range: 1–10 d). The comorbidities in this group included chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (*n* = 10), coronary heart disease (*n* = 4), cerebrovascular disease (*n* = 5), chronic

bronchitis ($n = 9$), and other diseases ($n = 4$). Based on these baseline data, there were no significant differences between the two groups.

Time to symptom relief

In the study group, the routine blood test results returned to normal after treatment, with complete resolution of clinical symptoms. Post-treatment X-ray examinations also showed that > 90% of the lung lesions resolved, indicating marked effectiveness. In the control group, the routine blood test results also returned to normal, the clinical symptoms improved significantly, and the post-treatment X-rays showed an effective rate of 50%–89% for lung lesion resolution.

The study group demonstrated significantly faster cough and expectoration disappearance, white blood count normalization, body temperature normalization, and rales disappearance than the control group (all $P < 0.05$; [Table 1](#)).

Treatment effect

The total effective rate of the study group (93.02%) was higher than that of the control group (76.74%; $P < 0.05$) ([Table 2](#)).

Serum inflammatory factors and CPISs

In the study group, the baseline serum levels of the inflammatory factors and the CPISs were similar to those in the control group ([Table 3](#)). After treatment, the serum levels of the inflammatory factors and the CPIS scores were significantly lower than those in the control group ($P < 0.05$; [Table 3](#)).

Adverse events

There was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse events between the study (11.63%) and control (6.98%) groups ($P > 0.05$), as shown in [Table 4](#).

DISCUSSION

Pulmonary MRSA and MRSE infections are types of antimicrobial-resistant infections that are common in our hospital and are associated with shock, ventilator use, invasive surgeries, and anesthesia. Most patients with these infections experience some degree of dyspnea, fever, expectoration, and other manifestations[12,13]. Moreover, the incidence of pulmonary infections caused by MRSA and MRSE has continued to increase over recent years due to the increasing frequency of antibiotic misuse. The most effective way of treating these types of infections remains a research hotspot.

The drugs currently used to treat pulmonary infections are glycopeptide antibacterial agents, including the wide use of vancomycin, a drug that inhibits bacterial cell wall synthesis by stopping the synthesis of the cell wall glycopeptide polymerase[14]. Vancomycin has a significant antibacterial effect on Gram-positive bacteria, especially *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. However, it also has a nephrotoxic effect on the patient. The administration frequency of this drug should be kept as low as possible, particularly in elderly patients and those with other severe illnesses, to reduce the drug's kidney toxicity[15].

Teicoplanin is another important drug used in the clinical treatment of pulmonary infections and is also a novel glycopeptide antibacterial agent. Compared with vancomycin, the peptide skeleton of teicoplanin contains additional fatty acid side chains, which have a 90% binding rate to serum albumin and high lipophilicity. This characteristic of this drug promotes the absorption of the drug by tissues and cells[16]. Sezai *et al*[17] used vancomycin and teicoplanin to treat patients with MRSA pulmonary infections and demonstrated complete bacterial clearance in 87.80% (a total effective rate of up to 90.24%) of the patients in the test group, which was significantly higher than the 68.29% with complete clearance in the control group. The patients in the test group also demonstrated significantly lower post-treatment serum procalcitonin and C-reactive protein levels than before treatment. Ogawa *et al*[18] also confirmed that the application of high-dose teicoplanin can effectively downregulate the levels of inflammatory factors and improve bacterial clearance in patients with pulmonary MRSA infections.

Compared with our conventional (vancomycin only) treatment, treating pulmonary MRSA and MRSE infections with vancomycin and teicoplanin resulted in a higher total effective rate than for the conventional treatment. These results are consistent with the results of the above-mentioned studies. In addition, the time to symptom relief was shorter than in the control group, and the post-treatment CPISs were lower

Table 1 Average symptom relief time for patients treated with either vancomycin only or vancomycin and teicoplanin (mean \pm SD)

	Vancomycin only	Vancomycin + teicoplanin	P value
Patients (n)	43	43	
Cough and expectoration resolution (d)	8.29 \pm 2.15	6.12 \pm 1.56	0.000
WBC normalization (d)	8.68 \pm 2.44	6.77 \pm 2.13	0.000
Body temperature normalization (d)	5.68 \pm 1.18	4.07 \pm 1.09	0.000
Rales resolution (d)	8.89 \pm 2.02	6.64 \pm 1.43	0.000

WBC: White blood cell count.

Table 2 Treatment effects for patients treated with vancomycin (only) or vancomycin and teicoplanin, n (%)

Group	Number of cases	Markedly effective	Effective	Ineffective	Total efficiency
Study group	43	26 (60.47)	14 (32.56)	3 (6.98)	40 (93.02)
Control group	43	18 (41.86)	15 (34.88)	10 (23.26)	33 (76.74)
χ^2 value					4.441
P value					0.035

Table 3 Inflammation marker levels in patients treated with vancomycin (only) or vancomycin and teicoplanin

Group	Number of cases	PCT (ng/mL)	IL-1 β (pg/mL)	TNF- α (pg/mL)	CRP (mg/L)	CPIS (point)
Before treatment						
Study group	43	0.86 \pm 0.23	223.37 \pm 36.25	139.74 \pm 23.65	91.39 \pm 10.68	7.69 \pm 2.88
Control group	43	0.91 \pm 0.20	219.29 \pm 35.56	142.91 \pm 20.88	89.24 \pm 12.29	8.01 \pm 3.04
t value		1.076	0.527	0.659	0.866	0.501
P value		0.285	0.600	0.512	0.389	0.618
After treatment						
Study group	43	0.28 \pm 0.03	141.18 \pm 18.62	41.46 \pm 9.08	11.76 \pm 4.43	2.19 \pm 0.79
Control group	43	0.34 \pm 0.05	163.53 \pm 23.84	50.96 \pm 10.35	18.25 \pm 5.39	3.87 \pm 1.01
t value		6.748	4.845	4.525	6.100	8.591
P value		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

CPIS: Clinical pulmonary infection score; CRP: C-reactive protein; IL-1 β : Interleukin-1 β ; PCT: Procalcitonin; TNF- α : Tumor necrosis factor- α .

Table 4 Adverse events experienced by patients treated with vancomycin (only) or with vancomycin and teicoplanin, n (%)

Group	Number of cases	Gastrointestinal reaction	Dizziness and headache	Vomiting and nausea	Total incidence
Study group	43	2 (4.65)	1 (2.33)	2 (4.65)	5 (11.63)
Control group	43	0 (0.00)	2 (4.65)	1 (2.33)	3 (6.98)
χ^2 value					0.551
P value					0.458

than those in the control group. However, there was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse reactions between the two groups. This indicates that combining teicoplanin and vancomycin treatments in patients with pulmonary MRSA and MRSE infections can effectively improve the treatment effect, relative to the conventional treatment, while ensuring patient safety.

We believe that the additional benefit provided by teicoplanin can be explained as follows. The main antibacterial mechanism of teicoplanin is its ability to inhibit transglycosylation during bacterial cell wall synthesis, thereby damaging the integrity and strength of the cell wall. This results in bacterial growth inhibition and the ultimate killing of the bacteria. Teicoplanin demonstrates strong tissue penetration, high protein binding, and a long half-life. Therefore, even once-daily administration can maintain an ideal blood concentration and bioavailability[19]. Some studies also indicate that good lipophilic properties of teicoplanin facilitate drug penetration into tissues and cells. Thus, the drug effectively regulates the transfer of disaccharides and peptides required for cell wall mucins and stops cell wall biosynthesis, thereby promoting bacterial death[20]. The mechanism of action of teicoplanin is similar to that of other glycopeptide antibacterial agents, including its non-specific binding to the outer structure of peptide glycolipids and binding with the amino terminal of the aminoacyl D-alanyl-D-alanine in the bacterial cell wall. This inhibits the formation of the peptide glycolipid, glycogen transfer, and bacterial cell wall biosynthesis, inhibiting bacterial growth[21].

Furthermore, procalcitonin, interleukin-1 β , tumor necrosis factor- α , and C-reactive protein are indicators of the degree of inflammatory response in the body. Inflammation can increase the permeability of vascular endothelial cells, promote the exudation of numerous inflammatory substances from tissues, and aggravate the disease. In this study, the levels of these inflammatory indicators in the study group were significantly lower than in the control group after treatment. These results indicate that teicoplanin has high value in the treatment of pulmonary MRSA and MRSE infections in part because it downregulates the inflammatory response.

CONCLUSION

Our study demonstrated that, compared to conventional therapy, the combined teicoplanin/vancomycin treatment of patients with pulmonary MRSA and MRSE infections results in improved clinical responses, regulates the levels of serum inflammatory factors, and improves the disease treatment effect, without increasing the risk of adverse events.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Vancomycin and teicoplanin are important drugs in the clinical treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* lung infections.

Research motivation

Single-drug treatment of lung infections is not effective.

Research objectives

We want to compare the therapeutic effects of conventional antibacterial therapy (vancomycin only) and vancomycin plus teicoplanin.

Research methods

We selected 86 patients with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) or *Staphylococcus epidermidis* lung infections and divided them into a study group and a control group, with 43 cases in each group.

Research results

The study group was more effective than the control group.

Research conclusions

The combined teicoplanin/vancomycin treatment of patients with pulmonary methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* infections resulted in improved clinical responses.

Research perspectives

The combined application of antibacterial drugs increases the cure rate of the disease.

REFERENCES

- Xiao G**, Chen Z, Lv X. Chlorhexidine-based body washing for colonization and infection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*: an updated meta-analysis. *Infect Drug Resist* 2018; **11**: 1473-1481 [PMID: 30254478 DOI: 10.2147/IDR.S170497]
- Cancilleri F**, Ciccozzi M, Fogolari M, Cella E, De Florio L, Berton A, Salvatore G, Dicuonzo G, Spoto S, Denaro V, Angeletti S. A case of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* wound infection: phylogenetic analysis to establish if nosocomial or community acquired. *Clin Case Rep* 2018; **6**: 871-874 [PMID: 29744076 DOI: 10.1002/ccr3.1442]
- Dias T**, Gaudêncio SP, Pereira F. A Computer-Driven Approach to Discover Natural Product Leads for Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Infection Therapy. *Mar Drugs* 2018; **17** [PMID: 30597893 DOI: 10.3390/md17010016]
- Thititanapakorn K**, Aiba Y, Tan XE, Watanabe S, Kiga K, Sato'o Y, Boonsiri T, Li FY, Sasahara T, Taki Y, Azam AH, Zhang Y, Cui L. Association of *mprF* mutations with cross-resistance to daptomycin and vancomycin in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *Sci Rep* 2020; **10**: 16107 [PMID: 32999359 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-73108-x]
- Sakurada M**, Sumi H, Kaji K, Kobayashi N, Sakai Y, Aung MS, Urushibara N. Pacemaker-associated infection caused by ST81/SCC*mec* IV methicillin-resistant, vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* in Japan. *New Microbes New Infect* 2020; **35**: 100656 [PMID: 32215211 DOI: 10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100656]
- Wang Y**, Oppong TB, Liang X, Duan G, Yang H. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci* co-colonization in patients: A meta-analysis. *Am J Infect Control* 2020; **48**: 925-932 [PMID: 31864808 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajic.2019.11.010]
- Ramos-Martín V**, Johnson A, McEntee L, Farrington N, Padmore K, Cojutti P, Pea F, Neely MN, Hope WW. Pharmacodynamics of teicoplanin against MRSA. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017; **72**: 3382-3389 [PMID: 28962026 DOI: 10.1093/jac/dkx289]
- Lee CH**, Tsai CY, Li CC, Chien CC, Liu JW. Teicoplanin therapy for MRSA bacteraemia: a retrospective study emphasizing the importance of maintenance dosing in improving clinical outcomes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2015; **70**: 257-263 [PMID: 25190719 DOI: 10.1093/jac/dku335]
- Bakthavatchalam YD**, Ramaswamy B, Janakiraman R, Steve RJ, Veeraraghavan B. Genomic insights of community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) with reduced teicoplanin susceptibility: A case of fatal necrotizing fasciitis. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* 2018; **14**: 242-245 [PMID: 29775787 DOI: 10.1016/j.jgar.2018.05.006]
- Popovic N**, Korac M, Nesic Z, Milosevic B, Urosevic A, Jevtovic D, Mitrovic N, Markovic A, Jordovic J, Katanic N, Barac A, Milosevic I. Oral teicoplanin versus oral vancomycin for the treatment of severe *Clostridium difficile* infection: a prospective observational study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2018; **37**: 745-754 [PMID: 29299697 DOI: 10.1007/s10096-017-3169-3]
- Oi I**, Ito I, Tanabe N, Konishi S, Hamao N, Yasutomo Y, Kadowaki S, Hirai T. Cefepime vs. meropenem for moderate-to-severe pneumonia in patients at risk for aspiration: An open-label, randomized study. *J Infect Chemother* 2020; **26**: 181-187 [PMID: 31473111 DOI: 10.1016/j.jiac.2019.08.005]
- Chatterjee A**, Rai S, Guddattu V, Mukhopadhyay C, Saravu K. Is methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus Aureus* infection associated with higher mortality and morbidity in hospitalized patients? *Risk Manag Healthc Policy* 2018; **11**: 243-250 [PMID: 30584380 DOI: 10.2147/RMHP.S176517]
- Jilani TN**, Masood SO. Ceftaroline Fosamil as an Alternative for a Severe Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Infection: A Case Report. *Cureus* 2018; **10**: e3776 [PMID: 30820395 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.3776]
- Yoon YK**, Lee MJ, Ju Y, Lee SE, Yang KS, Sohn JW, Kim MJ. Determining the clinical significance of co-colonization of vancomycin-resistant enterococci and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in the intestinal tracts of patients in intensive care units: a case-control study. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob* 2019; **18**: 28 [PMID: 31601221 DOI: 10.1186/s12941-019-0327-8]
- Sharma R**, Hammerschlag MR. Treatment of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) Infections in Children: a Reappraisal of Vancomycin. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 2019; **21**: 37 [PMID: 31486979 DOI: 10.1007/s11908-019-0695-4]
- Matsumoto K**, Kanazawa N, Ikawa K, Fukamizu T, Shigemi A, Yaji K, Shimodozono Y, Morikawa N, Takeda Y, Yamada K. Determination of teicoplanin trough concentration target and appropriate total dose during the first 3 days: a retrospective study in patients with MRSA infections. *J Infect Chemother* 2010; **16**: 193-199 [PMID: 20195882 DOI: 10.1007/s10156-010-0038-8]
- Sezai A**, Shiono M, Inoue T, Hata M, Iida M, Niino T, Saito A, Hattori T, Wakui S, Soeda M, Negishi N, Sezai Y. Efficacy of continuous cleansing with teicoplanin on post-CABG methicillin-resistant *staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) mediastinitis: report of a case. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2004; **10**: 191-194 [PMID: 15312017]
- Ogawa R**, Kobayashi S, Sasaki Y, Makimura M, Echizen H. Population pharmacokinetic and

- pharmacodynamic analyses of teicoplanin in Japanese patients with systemic MRSA infection. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2013; **51**: 357-366 [PMID: 23458228 DOI: 10.5414/CP201739]
- 19 **Chen H**, Li L, Wu M, Xu S, Wang M, Li J, Huang X. Efficacy and safety of linezolid versus teicoplanin for the treatment of MRSA infections: a meta-analysis. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2018; **11**: 926-934 [PMID: 31626598 DOI: 10.3855/jidc.9447]
 - 20 **El Karoui K**, Guillet C, Sekkal N, Lantermier F, Méchaï F, Hue K, Hiesse C, Mamzer Bruneel MF, Catherinot E, Viard JP, Mainardi JL, Lecuit M, Ferroni A, Lortholary O. Synergistic effect of carbapenem-teicoplanin combination during severe *Rhodococcus equi* pneumonia in a kidney transplant recipient. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2009; **11**: 359-362 [PMID: 19497046 DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-3062.2009.00405.x]
 - 21 **Wood MJ**. The comparative efficacy and safety of teicoplanin and vancomycin. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1996; **37**: 209-222 [PMID: 8707731 DOI: 10.1093/jac/37.2.209]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

