World J Clin Cases 2021 December 16; 9(35): 10746-11121





Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 9 Number 35 December 16, 2021

REVIEW

10746 Management of acute kidney injury in gastrointestinal tumor: An overview

Su YO, Yu YY, Shen B, Yang F, Nie YX

10765 Application of vascular endothelial cells in stem cell medicine

Liang QQ, Liu L

MINIREVIEWS

10781 Application of traditional Chinese medicine in treatment of Helicobacter pylori infection

Li RJ, Dai YY, Qin C, Huang GR, Qin YC, Huang YY, Huang ZS, Luo XK, Huang YQ

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

10792 Impact of cytomegalovirus infection on biliary disease after liver transplantation - maybe an essential factor

Liu JY, Zhang JR, Sun LY, Zhu ZJ, Wei L, Qu W, Zeng ZG, Liu Y, Zhao XY

10805 Blood tests for prediction of deep endometriosis: A case-control study

Chen ZY, Zhang LF, Zhang YQ, Zhou Y, Li XY, Huang XF

Retrospective Cohort Study

10816 Association between neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and major postoperative complications after carotid endarterectomy: A retrospective cohort study

Yu Y, Cui WH, Cheng C, Lu Y, Zhang Q, Han RQ

10828 Application of MAGnetic resonance imaging compilation in acute ischemic stroke

Wang Q, Wang G, Sun Q, Sun DH

Retrospective Study

10838 Ninety-four thousand-case retrospective study on antibacterial drug resistance of Helicobacter pylori

Zhang Y, Meng F, Jin J, Wang J, Gu BB, Peng JB, Ye LP

10850 Adjacent segment disease following Dynesys stabilization for lumbar disorders: A case series of mid- and

long-term follow-ups

Chen KJ, Lai CY, Chiu LT, Huang WS, Hsiao PH, Chang CC, Lin CJ, Lo YS, Chen YJ, Chen HT

10861 Identification of independent risk factors for intraoperative gastroesophageal reflux in adult patients

undergoing general anesthesia

Zhao X, Li ST, Chen LH, Liu K, Lian M, Wang HJ, Fang YJ

Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 9 Number 35 December 16, 2021

10871	Value of the controlling nutritional status score and psoas muscle thickness per height in predicting
	prognosis in liver transplantation

Dai X, Gao B, Zhang XX, Li J, Jiang WT

10884 Development of a lipid metabolism-related gene model to predict prognosis in patients with pancreatic cancer

Xu H, Sun J, Zhou L, Du QC, Zhu HY, Chen Y, Wang XY

10899 Serum magnesium level as a predictor of acute kidney injury in patients with acute pancreatitis

Yu XQ, Deng HB, Liu Y, Qu C, Duan ZH, Tong ZH, Liu YX, Li WQ

Pedicle complex tissue flap transfer for reconstruction of duplicated thumbs with unequal size 10909

Wang DH, Zhang GP, Wang ZT, Wang M, Han QY, Liu FX

10919 Minimally invasive surgery vs laparotomy in patients with colon cancer residing in high-altitude areas

Suo Lang DJ, Ci Ren YZ, Bian Ba ZX

Observational Study

Surgery for chronic pancreatitis in Finland is rare but seems to produce good long-term results 10927

Parhiala M, Sand J, Laukkarinen J

10937 Association of overtime work and obesity with needle stick and sharp injuries in medical practice

Chen YH, Yeh CJ, Jong GP

10948 Serum gastrin-17 concentration for prediction of upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding risk among peptic

ulcer patients

Wang JX, Cao YP, Su P, He W, Li XP, Zhu YM

10956 Predictive risk scales for development of pressure ulcers in pediatric patients admitted to general ward

and intensive care unit

Luo WJ, Zhou XZ, Lei JY, Xu Y, Huang RH

META-ANALYSIS

10969 Clinical significance of signet ring cells in surgical esophageal and esophagogastric junction adenocarcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Wang YF, Xu SY, Wang Y, Che GW, Ma HT

10979 Percutaneous biliary stent combined with brachytherapy using 125I seeds for treatment of unresectable

malignant obstructive jaundice: A meta-analysis

Chen WY, Kong CL, Meng MM, Chen WQ, Zheng LY, Mao JT, Fang SJ, Chen L, Shu GF, Yang Y, Weng QY, Chen MJ, Xu M, Ji JS

CASE REPORT

10994 Prenatal ultrasonographic findings in Klippel-Trenaunay syndrome: A case report

Pang HQ, Gao QQ

Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 9 Number 35 December 16, 2021

10999 Immunoglobulin G4-related lymph node disease with an orbital mass mimicking Castleman disease: A case report

Hao FY, Yang FX, Bian HY, Zhao X

11007 Treatment for subtrochanteric fracture and subsequent nonunion in an adult patient with osteopetrosis: A case report and review of the literature

Yang H, Shao GX, Du ZW, Li ZW

11016 Early surgical intervention in culture-negative endocarditis of the aortic valve complicated by abscess in an infant: A case report

Yang YF, Si FF, Chen TT, Fan LX, Lu YH, Jin M

11024 Severe absence of intra-orbital fat in a patient with orbital venous malformation: A case report

Yang LD, Xu SQ, Wang YF, Jia RB

11029 Pulmonary Langerhans cell histiocytosis and multiple system involvement: A case report

Luo L, Li YX

11036 Complete androgen insensitivity syndrome caused by the c.2678C>T mutation in the androgen receptor gene: A case report

Wang KN, Chen QQ, Zhu YL, Wang CL

Ultrasound guiding the rapid diagnosis and treatment of perioperative pneumothorax: A case report 11043

Zhang G, Huang XY, Zhang L

11050 Chronic colchicine poisoning with neuromyopathy, gastric ulcers and myelosuppression in a gout patient: A case report

Li MM, Teng J, Wang Y

11056 Treatment of a giant low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm: A case report

Xu R, Yang ZL

Thoracoscopic resection of a large lower esophageal schwannoma: A case report and review of the 11061 literature

Wang TY, Wang BL, Wang FR, Jing MY, Zhang LD, Zhang DK

11071 Signet ring cell carcinoma hidden beneath large pedunculated colorectal polyp: A case report

Yan JN, Shao YF, Ye GL, Ding Y

11078 Double-mutant invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma of the lung in a 32-year-old male patient: A case report

Wang T

11085 Acute myocarditis presenting as accelerated junctional rhythm in Graves' disease: A case report

Li MM, Liu WS, Shan RC, Teng J, Wang Y

11095 Lingual nerve injury caused by laryngeal mask airway during percutaneous nephrolithotomy: A case

Ш

Wang ZY, Liu WZ, Wang FQ, Chen YZ, Huang T, Yuan HS, Cheng Y

Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 9 Number 35 December 16, 2021

11102 Ventricular fibrillation and sudden cardiac arrest in apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: Two case

Park YM, Jang AY, Chung WJ, Han SH, Semsarian C, Choi IS

Rhizopus microsporus lung infection in an immunocompetent patient successfully treated with amphotericin 11108 B: A case report

Chen L, Su Y, Xiong XZ

Spermatocytic tumor: A rare case report 11115

Hao ML, Li CH

ΙX

Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 9 Number 35 December 16, 2021

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Luca Morelli, FACS, FASCRS, MD, Associate Professor, Division of General Surgery, Department of Traslational Research and of New Surgical and Medical Technologies, University of Pisa, Pisa 56124, Italy. luca.morelli@unipi.it

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2021 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.337; IF without journal self cites: 1.301; 5-year IF: 1.742; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.33; Ranking: 119 among 169 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q3. The WJCC's CiteScore for 2020 is 0.8 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: General Medicine is 493/793.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Jia-Hui Li; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREOUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Dennis A Bloomfield, Sandro Vento, Bao-Gan Peng

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

https://www.wignet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm

PUBLICATION DATE

December 16, 2021

COPYRIGHT

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

PUBLICATION ETHICS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239

ONLINE SUBMISSION

https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2021 December 16; 9(35): 10861-10870

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v9.i35.10861

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

Identification of independent risk factors for intraoperative gastroesophageal reflux in adult patients undergoing general anesthesia

Xiao Zhao, Shi-Tong Li, Lian-Hua Chen, Kun Liu, Ming Lian, Hui-Juan Wang, Yi-Jiao Fang

ORCID number: Xiao Zhao 0000-0002-6375-7081; Shi-Tong Li 0000-0003-0349-1506; Lian-Hua Chen 0000-0002-6883-3338; Kun Liu 0000-0001-8115-7154; Ming Lian 0000-0001-7798-4130; Hui-Juan Wang 0000-0003-1703-8622; Yi-Jiao Fang 0000-0001-6272-8126.

Author contributions: Zhao X and Wang HJ conceived and coordinated the study, designed, performed, and analyzed the experiments, and wrote the paper; Liu K, Wang HJ, Liu K, Li ST, Chen LH, and Fang YJ carried out the data collection and data analysis and revised the paper. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Institutional review board

statement: This study was approved by the ethics committee of Shanghai General Hospital (2019KY037).

Informed consent statement:

Informed consent was waived by the committee because of the retrospective nature of the study.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data sharing statement: The data

Xiao Zhao, Shi-Tong Li, Lian-Hua Chen, Kun Liu, Ming Lian, Hui-Juan Wang, Anesthesiology Department, Shanghai General Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Shanghai 200000,

Yi-Jiao Fang, Department of Anesthesiology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai 200032, China

Yi-Jiao Fang, Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China

Corresponding author: Shi-Tong Li, MD, Academic Fellow, Anesthesiology Department, Shanghai General Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, No. 100 Haining Road, Hongkou District, Shanghai 200000, China. lishitongs021@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) affects up to 20% of the adult population and is defined as troublesome and frequent symptoms of heartburn or regurgitation. GER produces significantly harmful impacts on quality of life and precipitates poor mental well-being. However, the potential risk factors for the incidence and extent of GER in adults undergoing general anesthesia remain unclear.

AIM

To explore independent risk factors for the incidence and extent of GER during general anesthesia induction.

METHODS

A retrospective study was conducted, and 601 adult patients received general anesthesia intubation or laryngeal mask surgery between July 2016 and January 2019 in Shanghai General Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. This study recruited a total of 601 adult patients undergoing general anesthesia, and the characteristics of patients and the incidence or extent of GER were recorded. The potential risk factors for the incidence of GER were explored using multivariate logistic regression, and the risk factors for the extent of GER were evaluated using multivariate linear regression.

set supporting the results of this article are included within the article

Country/Territory of origin: China

Specialty type: Anesthesiology

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Received: May 22, 2021 Peer-review started: May 22, 2021 First decision: June 28, 2021 **Revised:** July 25, 2021 **Accepted:** September 16, 2021 Article in press: September 16, 2021 Published online: December 16, 2021

P-Reviewer: Gasparoni LM

S-Editor: Liu M L-Editor: Filipodia P-Editor: Liu JH



RESULTS

The current study included 601 adult patients, 82 patients with GER and 519 patients without GER. Overall, we noted significant differences between GER and non-GER for pharyngitis, history of GER, other digestive tract diseases, history of asthma, and the use of sufentanil (P < 0.05), while no significant differences between groups were observed for sex, age, type of surgery, operative time, body mass index, intraoperative blood loss, smoking status, alcohol intake, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, psychiatric history, history of respiratory infection, history of surgery, the use of lidocaine, palliative strategies, propofol, or rocuronium bromide, state anxiety inventory, trait anxiety inventory, and selfrating depression scale (P > 0.05). The results of multivariate logistic regression indicated that female sex [odds ratio (OR): 2.702; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.144-6.378; P = 0.023], increased age (OR: 1.031; 95%CI: 1.008-1.056; P = 0.009), pharyngitis (OR: 31.388; 95%CI: 15.709-62.715; *P* < 0.001), and history of GER (OR: 11.925; 95%CI: 4.184-33.989; P < 0.001) were associated with an increased risk of GER, whereas the use of propofol could protect against the risk of GER (OR: 0.942; 95%CI: 0.892-0.994; P = 0.031). Finally, age (P = 0.004), operative time (P < 0.001), pharyngitis (P < 0.001), history of GER (P = 0.024), and hypertension (P = 0.017) were significantly associated with GER time.

CONCLUSION

This study identified the risk factors for GER in patients undergoing general anesthesia including female sex, increased age, pharyngitis, and history of GER.

Key Words: Gastroesophageal reflux; Intraoperative period; Risk factors; Anesthesia, General; Surgery; Retrospective studies

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: The study included 82 patients who reported gastroesophageal reflux (GER) and 519 patients without GER. The results of multivariate logistic regression indicated sex, increased age, pharyngitis, and history of GER were associated with increased risk of GER, whereas the use of propofol could protect against the risk of GER. Finally, age, operative time, pharyngitis, history of GER, and hypertension were significantly associated with GER time.

Citation: Zhao X, Li ST, Chen LH, Liu K, Lian M, Wang HJ, Fang YJ. Identification of independent risk factors for intraoperative gastroesophageal reflux in adult patients undergoing general anesthesia. World J Clin Cases 2021; 9(35): 10861-10870

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v9/i35/10861.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v9.i35.10861

INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) affects up to 20% of the adult population and is defined as troublesome and frequent symptoms of heartburn or regurgitation[1-3]. GER produces significantly harmful impacts on health-related quality and increases the risk for esophageal adenocarcinoma[4-6]. Currently, the identified risk factors for GER include overweight, tobacco smoking, low socioeconomic status, and heredity[7-9]. Moreover, GER is the most likely complication in perioperative patients, and early detection, diagnosis, and treatment can prevent serious adverse consequences. Acidic gastric juice reflux is associated with chemical damage to the airway mucosa and lung tissue, damages the normal respiratory membrane structure, and causes different degrees of bronchospasm, atelectasis, aspiration pneumonia, and even respiratory failure. Therefore, early identification of potential risk factors for the progression of GER in patients undergoing general anesthesia should be explored to improve the quality of anesthesia.

Several studies have explored the potential risk factors for GER. Taraszewska[10] indicated that intermediate physical activity might be associated with a reduced risk of GER in obese individuals, while this significant association was not observed in nonobese people. Maret-Ouda et al[11] suggested that older age, female sex, and comorbidity were associated with an increased risk of recurrent GER in patients who underwent antireflux surgery. Wang et al[12] recruited 56 patients who underwent peroral endoscopic myotomy and found that full-thickness myotomy and low postoperative 4-s integrated relaxation pressure induced more GER. Lindam et al[13] investigated 25844 participants and found that the relationship between sleep disturbances and GER seems to be bidirectional, and sleep disturbances seem to be a stronger risk factor for GER than the reverse. However, no study has focused on patients undergoing general anesthesia to identify the independent risk factors for the risk of GER and total GER time. Therefore, the current study was conducted to explore the potential risk factors for the progression of GER during general anesthesia induction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients inclusion and exclusion criteria

A retrospective study was conducted in 601 adult patients who underwent general anesthesia intubation or laryngeal mask surgery between July 2016 and January 2019 at the Shanghai General Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. The exclusion criteria of this study included patients diagnosed with nasal or upper esophageal obstruction, severe and uncontrolled clotting disease, bullae disease of the esophageal mucosa, unstable heart disease, or other poor tolerance to vagal stimulation. The general characteristics of the enrolled patients were collected using a pre-defined questionnaire, and the detailed medical history was collected through an anesthesiologist who made preoperative visits. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Nanjing Medical University. The purpose and procedures of the study were carefully explained, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

GER and variables

The definition of GER was based on assessment by Orion II-ohmega portable pH dynamic monitoring recorder (MMS, Enschede, The Netherlands), which was used to monitor the pH of the middle and lower esophagus, to observe whether reflux occurred, and to measure the occurrence frequency and duration[14]. The general characteristics of the patients included sex, age, body mass index, smoking status, and alcohol intake. The detailed medical history included pharyngitis, history of GER, other digestive tract diseases, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, history of asthma, psychiatric history, history of respiratory infection, history of surgery, state anxiety inventory (SAI), trait anxiety inventory (TAI), and self-rating depression scale (SDS). Moreover, the intraoperative parameters included type of surgery, operative time, intraoperative blood loss, and the use of lidocaine, palliative strategies, sufentanil, propofol, and rocuronium bromide.

Statistical analysis

The continuous data of patients' characteristics are presented as medians and quartiles because these data did not meet the normal distribution. Moreover, the category data are presented as event rates. Comparisons of continuous variables between non-GER and GER patients were calculated using Kruskal-Wallis tests due to the non-normal distributions, while the frequencies of data between groups were calculated using chisquared tests. Multivariate logistic regression was applied to explore the risk factors for GER incidence after continued adjustment for potential confounders, and odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. Moreover, the impact factors of GER time were explored using multivariate linear analyses. All reported P values were two-sided, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 19.0 (SPSS 19.0, Armonk, NY, United States).

RESULTS

The characteristics of the enrolled patients are presented in Table 1. In total, 601 adult patients were enrolled, 82 patients with GER and 519 patients without GER. Overall, we noted significant differences between GER and non-GER for pharyngitis, history of GER, other digestive tract diseases, history of asthma, and the use of sufentanil (*P* < 0.05), while no significant differences were observed between groups for sex, age, type of surgery, operative time, body mass index, intraoperative blood loss, smoking status, alcohol intake, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, psychiatric history, history of respiratory infection, history of surgery, the use of lidocaine, palliative strategies, propofol, rocuronium bromide, SAI, TAI, and SDS (P > 0.05).

The results of logistic regression with multivariate adjustment for potential confounders indicated that female sex (OR: 2.702; 95%CI: 1.144-6.378; *P* = 0.023), older age (OR: 1.031; 95%CI: 1.008-1.056; *P* = 0.009), pharyngitis (OR: 31.388; 95%CI: 15.709-62.715; P < 0.001), and history of GER (OR: 11.925; 95%CI: 4.184-33.989; P < 0.001) were associated with an increased risk of GER, whereas increased propofol use was associated with a reduced risk of GER (OR: 0.942; 95%CI: 0.892-0.994; P = 0.031) (Table 2).

The results of the impact factors on GER time were evaluated using multivariate linear analyses and are shown in Table 3. Overall, we noted that older age (P = 0.004), longer operative time (P < 0.001), pharyngitis (P < 0.001), and history of GER (P = 0.001), and history of GER (P = 0.001). 0.024) were associated with longer GER time. Moreover, patients with hypertension were associated with a shorter GER time (P = 0.017).

DISCUSSION

This study reported that 13.6% of patients had GER. Risk factors for the incidence of GER include female sex, older age, pharyngitis, and history of GER, whereas the use of propofol was a protective factor. Moreover, older age, longer operative time, pharyngitis, and a history of GER produced longer GER time, whereas patients with hypertension were associated with shorter GER time.

The current study suggested that female sex was a potential risk factor for the incidence of GER; this result was consistent with a previous study [15] that recruited 23557 World Trade Center responders and found that women were associated with a greater risk of GER than men (hazard ratio: 1.25; 95%CI: 1.13-1.38). The potential reason for this could be that women present with more severe symptoms, leading to an easier diagnosis, whereas GER in men is mild compared to women, which may lead to a missed diagnosis [16,17]. Moreover, older age was associated with an increased risk of GER, which is consistent with a previous study [11]. The potential reason for this is that comorbidities of patients could affect the risk of GER. Furthermore, older people have poor esophageal acid clearance and decreased defense mechanisms against reflux of acid gastric contents on the esophageal mucosa[18,19].

Moreover, we noted that pharyngitis and a history of GER were associated with a greater risk of GER in patients undergoing general anesthesia. The 24-h pH monitoring for these patients should be employed to detect pathological reflux, and medical antireflux treatment should be used to prevent the progression of GER[20]. Moreover, the bidirectional associations of GER and pharyngitis, erosive esophagitis, esophageal strictures, Barrett's esophagus, and esophageal adenocarcinoma could be used to interpret these risk factors.

We noted that the use of propofol was associated with a lower risk of GER, whereas this result was variable compared with previous studies. Chawla *et al*[21] conducted 48-h pH tracings in 88 children and found that an increase in GER risk during the postanesthesia period correlated with a direct effect of propofol or other related factors. However, the study conducted by Turan et al[22] found similar effects of dexmedetomidine and propofol on lower esophageal sphincter pressure and gastroesophageal pressure gradient. However, although a decrease in lower esophageal sphincter pressure at high concentrations was detected, there was no evidence that this effect could promote GER during sedation. Therefore, these effects should be verified in future prospective studies.

Numerous factors were not associated with the risk of GER, including type of surgery, operative time, body mass index, intraoperative blood loss, smoking status, alcohol intake, other digestive tract diseases, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, history of asthma, psychiatric history, history of respiratory infection (within 2 mo), history of surgery, lidocaine, the use of palliative strategies (dexmedetomidine vs midazolam),

10864

/ariable	Non-GER	GER	P value
1	519	82	
ex			
Male	260 (50.10)	32 (39.02)	0.085
² emale	259 (49.90)	50 (60.98)	
Age (yr)	49.00 (35.00, 61.00)	60.00 (42.00, 68.00)	
Type of surgery			
Orthopedics	117 (22.54) 24 (29.27)		0.169
Abdominal	402 (77.46)	58 (70.73)	
Operative time (min)	85.00 (50.00, 140.00)	120.00 (75.00, 190.00)	
MI (kg/m²)	23.63 (20.96, 26.30)	24.77 (20.28, 26.22)	
ntraoperative blood loss (mL)	200.00 (100.00, 300.00)	250.00 (50.00, 350.00)	
Smoking status			
Never	446 (85.93)	64 (78.05)	0.116
Current or former	73 (14.07)	18 (21.95)	
Alcohol intake			
Never	477 (91.91)	73 (89.02)	0.436
v'es	42 (8.09)	9 (10.98)	
haryngitis			
lever	472 (90.94)	23 (28.05)	< 0.001
es	47 (9.06)	59 (71.95)	
listory of GER			
Jever	506 (97.50)	66 (80.49)	< 0.001
'es	13 (2.50)	16 (19.51)	
other digestive tract diseases			
Never	497 (95.76)	71 (86.59)	0.023
'es	22 (4.24)	11 (13.41)	
lypertension			
Never	413 (79.58)	66 (80.49)	0.846
es	106 (20.42)	16 (19.51)	
Diabetes mellitus			
Never	457 (88.05)	70 (85.37)	0.523
es	62 (11.95)	12 (14.63)	
listory of asthma			
Jever	501 (96.53)	73 (89.02)	0.041
es	18 (3.47)	9 (10.98)	
sychiatric history			
Never	510 (98.27)	79 (96.34)	0.375
'es	9 (1.73)	3 (3.66)	

510 (98.27)

10865

9 (1.73)



Never

Yes

80 (97.56)

2 (2.44)

0.696

History of surgery			
Never	500 (96.34)	76 (92.68)	0.229
Yes	19 (3.66)	6 (7.32)	
Lidocaine (2% mL)	3.00 (2.20, 3.50)	3.00 (2.30, 3.55)	
Palliative			
Midazolam	360 (69.36)	64 (78.05)	0.071
Dexmedetomidine	159 (30.64)	18 (21.95)	
Sufentanil (g)			
10	10 (1.93)	0 (0.00)	0.032
15	169 (32.56)	36 (43.90)	
20	340 (65.51)	46 (56.10)	
Propofol (mg)	100.00 (100.00, 100.00)	100.00 (90.00, 100.00)	
Rocuronium bromide	50.00 (40.00, 50.00)	50.00 (40.00, 50.00)	
Sufentanil	10.00 (10.00, 30.00)	30.00 (10.00, 30.00)	
SAI	46.01	46.10	
TAI	42.90	42.90	
SDS	42.59	42.50	

BMI: Body mass index; GER: Gastroesophageal reflux; SAI: State anxiety inventory; SDS: Self-rating depression scale; TAI: Trait anxiety inventory.

arden, rocuronium bromide, sufentanil, SAI, TAI, and SDS. A previous study indicated that anxiety and depression levels were significantly higher in subjects with GER[23] and pointed out that the potential reasons for this could be that psychological factors always precede the clinical manifestations of GER. Moreover, anxiety can induce acid reflux by lowering the pressure of the lower esophageal sphincter, changing esophageal motility or increasing gastric acid secretion[24,25].

The results of this study indicated that older age, longer operative time, pharyngitis, and history of GER produce longer GER time. The greater incidence of GER in patients during general anesthesia induction, which is associated with longer GER time, potentially leads to the longer operative time. Moreover, older age, pharyngitis, and history of GER are associated with a higher risk of GER, which correlates with long GER time. Interestingly, the results of this study indicated that hypertensive patients were associated with shorter GER time, which might be due to a potential beneficial effect of GER on hypertension in terms of inducing changes in the dietary habits of

A strength of this study is that we systematically explored the risk factors for the incidence of GER in patients undergoing general anesthesia. Furthermore, this study is the first to explore factors affecting GER time, and the cohort data used in this study were of high completeness, accuracy, and quality. However, several limitations of this study should be mentioned: (1) The study design was retrospective, which might introduce uncontrolled biases that might lead to overestimated associations; (2) The severity of GER during general anesthesia induction was not explored in this study; and (3) Stratified analyses based on patients' characteristics were not conducted because all factors entered the regression models. Therefore, the specific factors affecting the risk of GER in patients with specific characteristics during general anesthesia should be explored in future prospective studies.

CONCLUSION

Among patients who underwent general anesthesia, 12.8% had one GER event, and 0.8% had two GER events. We noted that female sex, older age, pharyngitis, and history of GER were associated with an increased risk of GER, whereas the use of propofol could protect against the risk of GER. In addition, older age, longer operative time, pharyngitis, and history of GER produced longer GER time, whereas patients

Table 2 The risk factors for the incidence of gastroesophageal reflux by multivariate logistic regression analysis

Variables	β value	SD	Wald chi-square	OR (95%CI)	P value
Intercept 1	-10.518	182.127	0.003		0.954
Intercept 2	-14.558	182.128	0.006		0.936
Gender (female vs male)	0.994	0.438	5.144	2.702 (1.144-6.378)	0.023
Age (yr) (continuous)	0.031	0.012	6.824	1.031 (1.008-1.056)	0.009
Type of surgery	-0.018	0.382	0.002	0.982 (0.464-2.077)	0.963
Operative time (min) (continuous)	0.003	0.004	0.904	1.003 (0.996-1.010)	0.342
BMI (kg/m²) (continuous)	-0.049	0.069	0.516	0.952 (0.832-1.089)	0.472
Intraoperative blood loss (mL) (continuous)	-0.000	0.001	0.081	1.000 (0.998-1.002)	0.776
Smoking status	0.802	0.474	2.859	2.230 (0.880-5.650)	0.091
Alcohol intake	0.602	0.565	1.135	1.826 (0.603-5.524)	0.287
Pharyngitis	3.446	0.353	95.234	31.388 (15.709-62.715)	< 0.001
History of GER	2.479	0.534	21.513	11.925 (4.184-33.989)	< 0.001
Other digestive tract diseases	0.028	0.570	0.002	1.028 (0.336-3.145)	0.961
Hypertension	-0.661	0.437	2.294	0.516 (0.219-1.215)	0.130
Diabetes mellitus	-0.854	0.533	2.568	0.426 (0.150-1.210)	0.109
History of asthma	0.313	0.594	0.278	1.368 (0.427-4.383)	0.598
Psychiatric history	0.467	0.827	0.319	1.596 (0.315-8.072)	0.572
History of respiratory infection (within 2 mo)	-0.560	1.155	0.235	0.571 (0.059-5.492)	0.628
History of surgery	1.181	0.692	2.915	3.258 (0.840-12.642)	0.088
Lidocaine (2% mL) (continuous)	0.016	0.121	0.018	1.017 (0.802-1.289)	0.892
Palliative (d vs midazolam)	0.005	0.416	0.000	1.005 (0.445-2.272)	0.990
Sufentanil (g)					
10	-	-	-	Ref.	
15	10.378	182.118	0.003	32155.18 (0.000-3.36E159)	0.955
20	10.653	182.121	0.003	42315.00 (0.000-4.44E159)	0.953
Propofol (mg) (continuous)	-0.060	0.028	4.680	0.942 (0.892-0.994)	0.031
Arden (mg) (continuous)	-0.185	0.236	0.619	0.831 (0.523-1.318)	0.431
Rocuronium bromide (continuous)	-0.005	0.050	0.009	0.995 (0.902-1.098)	0.926
Sufentanil (continuous)	0.016	0.025	0.383	1.016 (0.967-1.067)	0.536
SAI (continuous)	0.134	0.031	0.497	1.011 (0.976-1.044)	0.647
TAI (continuous)	0.006	0.029	0.516	1.004 (0.962-1.051)	0.712
SDS (continuous)	-0.072	0.013	0.311	0.982 (0.948-1.035)	0.562

BMI: Body mass index; CI: Confidence interval; GER: Gastroesophageal reflux; OR: Odds ratio; SAI: State anxiety inventory; SD: Standard deviation; SDS: Self-rating depression scale; TAI: Trait anxiety inventory.

> with hypertension were associated with shorter GER time. These results require further prospective studies of patients undergoing general anesthesia.

Table 3 The factors associated with gastroesophageal reflux time by multivariate linear regression analyses

Variables	β value	SE	t value	P value
Intercept	12.061	17.616	0.685	0.494
Gender	1.732	3.079	0.563	0.574
Age (yr) (continuous)	0.277	0.095	2.903	0.004
Type of surgery	-0.898	3.178	-0.283	0.778
Operative time (min) (continuous)	0.103	0.031	3.378	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m²) (continuous)	-0.667	0.517	-1.290	0.197
Intraoperative blood loss (mL) (continuous)	-0.007	0.007	-1.057	0.291
Smoking status	6.843	3.821	1.791	0.074
Alcohol intake	3.309	4.692	0.705	0.481
Pharyngitis	33.566	3.418	9.820	< 0.001
History of gastroesophageal reflux	13.809	6.111	2.260	0.024
Other digestive tract diseases	1.165	5.896	0.198	0.844
Hypertension	-8.575	3.593	-2.386	0.017
Diabetes mellitus	-2.448	4.280	-0.572	0.568
History of asthma	-2.465	6.177	-0.399	0.690
Psychiatric history	-5.423	9.060	-0.599	0.550
History of respiratory infection (within 2 mo)	-7.538	9.566	-0.788	0.431
History of surgery	4.426	6.443	0.687	0.492
Lidocaine (2% mL) (continuous)	-1.224	0.927	-1.320	0.187
Palliative (d vs midazolam)	4.683	3.009	1.556	0.120
Sufentanil (g)				
10	ref	-	-	-
15	1.823	11.849	0.154	0.878
20	2.301	13.692	0.168	0.867
Propofol (mg) (continuous)	-0.174	0.160	-1.093	0.275
Arden (mg) (continuous)	1.408	1.857	0.758	0.449
Rocuronium bromide (continuous)	-0.061	0.337	-0.182	0.856
Sufentanil (continuous)	-0.086	0.214	-0.401	0.689
SAI (continuous)	-0.053	0.031	-0.253	0.546
TAI (continuous)	-0.027	0.087	-0.436	0.658
SDS (continuous)	0.011	0.053	0.211	0.432

BMI: Body mass index; GER: Gastroesophageal reflux; SAI: State anxiety inventory; SE: Standard error; SDS: Self-rating depression scale; TAI: Trait anxiety inventory.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) is the most likely complication in perioperative patients, and early detection, diagnosis, and treatment can prevent serious adverse consequences.

Research motivation

No previous study had investigated the independent risk factors for the risk of GER and total GER time for patients undergoing general anesthesia.



Research objectives

To explore independent risk factors for the incidence and extent of GER during general anesthesia induction.

Research methods

This is a retrospective study, and 601 adult patients who received general anesthesia intubation or laryngeal mask surgery were involved. The definition of GER was based on assessment by Orion II-ohmega portable pH dynamic monitoring recorder, which was used to monitor the pH of the middle and lower esophagus to observe whether reflux occurred and to measure the occurrence frequency and duration. The potential risk factors for the incidence of GER were explored using multivariate logistic regression, and the risk factors for the extent of GER were evaluated using multivariate linear regression.

Research results

This study found female sex, increased age, pharyngitis, and history of GER were associated with an increased risk of GER, whereas the use of propofol could protect against the risk of GER. Moreover, age, operative time, pharyngitis, history of GER, and hypertension were significantly associated with GER time.

Research conclusions

This study identified the risk factors for the incidence of GER in patients undergoing general anesthesia, including female sex, increased age, pharyngitis, and history of

Research perspectives

Further prospective studies should be performed to verify these findings owing to the retrospective design of this study.

REFERENCES

- Maret-Ouda J, Markar SR, Lagergren J. Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: A Review. JAMA 2020; **324**: 2536-2547 [PMID: 33351048 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.21360]
- Vakil N, Malfertheiner P, Salis G, Flook N, Hongo M. An international primary care survey of GERD terminology and guidelines. Dig Dis 2008; 26: 231-236 [PMID: 18463441 DOI: 10.1159/000121352]
- Dent J, El-Serag HB, Wallander MA, Johansson S. Epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. Gut 2005; 54: 710-717 [PMID: 15831922 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2004.0518211
- 4 El-Serag HB. Time trends of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007; 5: 17-26 [PMID: 17142109 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2006.09.016]
- Wang SM, Freedman ND, Katki HA, Matthews C, Graubard BI, Kahle LL, Abnet CC. Gastroesophageal reflux disease: A risk factor for laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study cohort. Cancer 2021; 127: 1871-1879 [PMID: 33615447 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.33427]
- 6 Pandeya N, Webb PM, Sadeghi S, Green AC, Whiteman DC; Australian Cancer Study. Gastrooesophageal reflux symptoms and the risks of oesophageal cancer: are the effects modified by smoking, NSAIDs or acid suppressants? Gut 2010; 59: 31-38 [PMID: 19875392 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2009.190827]
- El-Serag H. Role of obesity in GORD-related disorders. Gut 2008; 57: 281-284 [PMID: 18268049] DOI: 10.1136/gut.2007.127878]
- Jansson C, Nordenstedt H, Johansson S, Wallander MA, Johnsen R, Hveem K, Lagergren J. Relation between gastroesophageal reflux symptoms and socioeconomic factors: a population-based study (the HUNT Study). Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007; 5: 1029-1034 [PMID: 17686659 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2007.04.009]
- Nordenstedt H, Lagergren J. Environmental factors in the etiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008; 2: 93-103 [PMID: 19072373 DOI: 10.1586/17474124.2.1.93]
- 10 Taraszewska A. Risk factors for gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms related to lifestyle and diet. Rocz Panstw Zakl Hig 2021; 72: 21-28 [PMID: 33882662 DOI: 10.32394/rpzh.2021.0145]
- Maret-Ouda J, Wahlin K, El-Serag HB, Lagergren J. Association Between Laparoscopic Antireflux Surgery and Recurrence of Gastroesophageal Reflux. JAMA 2017; 318: 939-946 [PMID: 28898377 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2017.10981]
- 12 Wang XH, Tan YY, Zhu HY, Li CJ, Liu DL. Full-thickness myotomy is associated with higher rate of postoperative gastroesophageal reflux disease. World J Gastroenterol 2016; 22: 9419-9426 [PMID: 27895430 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i42.9419]

- Lindam A, Ness-Jensen E, Jansson C, Nordenstedt H, Åkerstedt T, Hveem K, Lagergren J. Gastroesophageal Reflux and Sleep Disturbances: A Bidirectional Association in a Population-Based Cohort Study, The HUNT Study. Sleep 2016; 39: 1421-1427 [PMID: 27166240 DOI: 10.5665/sleep.5976]
- Flook N, Jones R, Vakil N. Approach to gastroesophageal reflux disease in primary care: Putting the Montreal definition into practice. Can Fam Physician 2008; 54: 701-705 [PMID: 18474703]
- 15 Jiang J, Icitovic N, Crane MA, Dasaro CR, Kaplan JR, Lucchini RG, Luft BJ, Moline JM, Pendem L, Shapiro M, Udasin IG, Todd AC, Teitelbaum SL. Sex differences in asthma and gastroesophageal reflux disease incidence among the World Trade Center Health Program General Responder Cohort. Am J Ind Med 2016; **59**: 815-822 [PMID: 27424876 DOI: 10.1002/ajim.22634]
- Lin M, Gerson LB, Lascar R, Davila M, Triadafilopoulos G. Features of gastroesophageal reflux disease in women. Am J Gastroenterol 2004; 99: 1442-1447 [PMID: 15307857 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2004.04147.x]
- 17 Nilsson M, Johnsen R, Ye W, Hveem K, Lagergren J. Prevalence of gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms and the influence of age and sex. Scand J Gastroenterol 2004; 39: 1040-1045 [PMID: 15545159 DOI: 10.1080/00365520410003498]
- Huang X, Zhu HM, Deng CZ, Porro GB, Sangaletti O, Pace F. Gastroesophageal reflux: the features in elderly patients. World J Gastroenterol 1999; 5: 421-423 [PMID: 11819480 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v5.i5.421]
- 19 Ter RB, Johnston BT, Castell DO. Influence of age and gender on gastroesophageal reflux in symptomatic patients. Dis Esophagus 1998; 11: 106-108 [PMID: 9779366 DOI: 10.1093/dote/11.2.106]
- Tauber S, Gross M, Issing WJ. Association of laryngopharyngeal symptoms with gastroesophageal reflux disease. Laryngoscope 2002; 112: 879-886 [PMID: 12150622 DOI: 10.1097/00005537-200205000-00019]
- Chawla A, Girda E, Walker G, Turcotte Benedict F, Tempel M, Morganstern J. Effect of Propofol on Acid Reflux Measured with the Bravo pH Monitoring System. ISRN Gastroenterol 2013; 2013: 605931 [PMID: 23691337 DOI: 10.1155/2013/605931]
- 22 Turan A, Wo J, Kasuya Y, Govinda R, Akça O, Dalton JE, Sessler DI, Rauch S. Effects of dexmedetomidine and propofol on lower esophageal sphincter and gastroesophageal pressure gradient in healthy volunteers. Anesthesiology 2010; 112: 19-24 [PMID: 20032699 DOI: 10.1097/01.anes.0000365963.97138.54]
- Choi JM, Yang JI, Kang SJ, Han YM, Lee J, Lee C, Chung SJ, Yoon DH, Park B, Kim YS. Association Between Anxiety and Depression and Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: Results From a Large Cross-sectional Study. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2018; 24: 593-602 [PMID: 30347938 DOI: 10.5056/jnm18069]
- Avidan B, Sonnenberg A, Giblovich H, Sontag SJ. Reflux symptoms are associated with psychiatric disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2001; 15: 1907-1912 [PMID: 11736721 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2001.01131.x]
- 25 Johnston BT. Stress and heartburn. J Psychosom Res 2005; 59: 425-426 [PMID: 16310025 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2005.05.011]
- Hu Z, Chen M, Wu J, Song Q, Yan C, Du X, Wang Z. Improved control of hypertension following laparoscopic fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease. Front Med 2017; 11: 68-73 [PMID: 28213877 DOI: 10.1007/s11684-016-0490-7]

10870



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

