

## Serum level of TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 in pancreatic cancer

Jing-Ting Jiang, Chang-Ping Wu, Hai-Feng Deng, Ming-Yang Lu, Jun Wu, Hong-Yu Zhang, Wen-Hui Sun, Mei Ji

**Jing-Ting Jiang, Chang-Ping Wu, Hai-Feng Deng, Ming-Yang Lu, Jun Wu, Hong-Yu Zhang, Wen-Hui Sun, Mei Ji**, Department of Tumor Biological Treatment, the Third Affiliated Hospital of Suzhou University, Changzhou 213003, Jiangsu Province, China

**Correspondence to:** Dr. Jing-Ting Jiang, Department of Tumor Biological Treatment, the Third Affiliated Hospital of Suzhou University, Changzhou 213003, Jiangsu Province, China. jitnew@163.com

**Telephone:** +86-519-6180978 **Fax:** +86-519-6621235

**Received:** 2003-12-19 **Accepted:** 2004-02-01

### Abstract

**AIM:** To establish a method to detect the expression of the tumor specific growth factor TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 in serum and evaluate their value in diagnosis of pancreatic cancer.

**METHODS:** ELISA and Biochemical colorimetric assay were used to detect the serum content of TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 in 200 normal cases, 52 pancreatitis patients and 96 pancreatic cancer patients.

**RESULTS:** The positive likelihood ratios of TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 were 5.4, 12.6 and 6.3, respectively, and their negative likelihood ratios were 0.10, 0.19 and 0.17, respectively. With single tumor marker diagnosed pancreatic cancer, the highest sensitivity and specificity of TSGF were 91.6% and 93.5%. In combined test with 3 markers, when all of them were positive, the sensitivity changed to 77.0% and the specificity and the positive predictive value were 100%. The levels of TSGF and CA242 were significantly higher in the patients with pancreatic cancer of head than those in the patients with pancreatic cancer of body, tail and whole pancreas, but the expression of CA19-9 had no correlation with the positions of the pancreatic cancer. The sensitivity of TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 was increased with the progress in stages of pancreatic cancer. In stage I, the sensitivity of TSGF was markedly higher than CA242 and CA19-9.

**CONCLUSION:** The combined use of TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 expressions can elevate the specificity for pancreatic cancer diagnosis. And it shows that it plays an important role to differentiate positions and tissue typing. It is a forepart diagnosis for the pancreatic cancer by combination checking. There is very important correlation between the three markers and the pancreatic cancer.

Jiang JT, Wu CP, Deng HF, Lu MY, Wu J, Zhang HY, Sun WH, Ji M. Serum level of TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 in pancreatic cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2004; 10(11): 1675-1677

<http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/10/1675.asp>

### INTRODUCTION

Early period of pancreatic cancer lacked the typical clinic performances<sup>[1,2]</sup>, was high malignant and had a low survival time in five years<sup>[3-6]</sup>. And it was difficult to be diagnosed and made the patients lose the chances of radical cures. So it was very important to diagnose pancreatic cancer early<sup>[7-9]</sup>. But the sensitivity and specificity were not ideal in examining pancreatic

cancer with a single method. We assayed the content of TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 in serum of pancreatic cancer suffers and analyzed their expression in different positions and tissue typing in order to improve the level of early period of the pancreatic cancer diagnosis.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Materials

To collect 200 normal people who had medical check-up in the hospital as normal group, including 112 males and 88 females with a mean age of  $55.0 \pm 1.2$  (range, 22-68 years). To collect 52 pancreatitis suffers as pancreatitis group, including 29 males and 23 females with an average age of  $66.0 \pm 8.0$  (range, 60-74 years). To collect 96 pancreatic cancer suffers as pancreatic cancer group, including 61 males and 35 females with an average age of  $67.6 \pm 6.7$  (range, 60-88 years). There were 64 heads of pancreatic cancer, 18 body of pancreatic cancer and 10 tail of pancreatic cancer, which were all proved by pathology.

#### Methods

TSGF was assayed by colorimetric of biochemistry from Fujian New Continent Biochemical Technology Limited Company. CA242 and CA19-9 were assayed by ELISA from Sweden CanAg Company. All operations were followed by manuals. All data were showed as mean  $\pm$  SD and calculated by *t* test, and positive ratios were calculated by  $\chi^2$  test.

### RESULTS

#### TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 assay of three groups

Statistical significance of the contents of the three markers was found when pancreatic cancer group was compared with normal group and pancreatitis group ( $P < 0.01$ ). No statistical significance was found between normal group and pancreatitis group ( $P > 0.05$ , Table 1).

**Table 1** Laboratory parameters of the 3 tumor markers in pancreatic cancer group, pancreatitis group and normal group (mean  $\pm$  SD,  $\times 10^3$  U/L)

| Group             | No. of cases | TSGF             | CA242             | CA19-9              |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Critical value    |              | $>71$            | $>20$             | $>37$               |
| Pancreatic cancer | 96           | $80.7 \pm 7.6^b$ | $90.2 \pm 10.9^b$ | $643.5 \pm 203.6^b$ |
| Pancreatitis      | 52           | $61.4 \pm 6.7$   | $21.1 \pm 10.5$   | $30.9 \pm 5.9$      |
| Normal            |              |                  |                   |                     |
| 22-59 yr          | 113          | $54.3 \pm 5.1$   | $17.5 \pm 8.3$    | $14.5 \pm 5.0$      |
| 60-68 yr          | 87           | $56.6 \pm 5.8$   | $19.2 \pm 9.6$    | $17.2 \pm 5.9$      |

<sup>b</sup> $P < 0.01$  vs normal group.

#### Evaluate the value of diagnosis in pancreatic cancer by a single tumormarker

When diagnosing pancreatic cancer by a single tumor marker, TSGF had the highest sensitivity of 91.6%, CA242 had the highest specificity of 93.5%; TSGF and CA19-9 had the exactly validity. The positive likelihood ratio of TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 were 5.4, 12.6 and 6.3, and the negative likelihood ratio were 0.10, 0.19 and 0.17 (Table 2).

**Table 2** Evaluation of the value of diagnosis in pancreatic cancer by a single tumor marker of 96 cases

| Value of diagnosis | Sensitivity (%)        | Specificity (%)   | Positive likelihood ratio | Negative likelihood ratio |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| TSGF               | 91.6 (88) <sup>a</sup> | 83.0              | 5.4                       | 0.10                      |
| CA242              | 82.3 (79)              | 93.5 <sup>b</sup> | 12.6                      | 0.19                      |
| CA19-9             | 85.4 (82)              | 86.5              | 6.3                       | 0.17                      |

( ), No. of cases; <sup>a</sup> $P < 0.05$ , <sup>b</sup> $P < 0.01$  vs the other two indexes. sensitivity=true positive/patients $\times 100\%$ =TP/(TP+FN) $\times 100\%$ , specificity=true negative/normal $\times 100\%$ =TN/(TN+FP) $\times 100\%$  positive likelihood ratio=true positive/false positive=sensitivity/(1-specificity) negative likelihood ratio=(1-true positive)/(1-false positive)=(1-sensitivity)/specificity.

### The different combinations of the 3 markers to the diagnosis in pancreatic cancer

When diagnosing pancreatic cancer by any of the 3 markers was over the critical value, the sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value were 93.8%, 79.0% and 68.2%. When two of the 3 markers were over the critical value, the sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value were 89.5%, 95.5% and 90.5%. When the 3 markers were all over the critical value, the sensitivity was 77.0% and the specificity and positive predictive value were both 100%. Therefore, the combination diagnosis in pancreatic cancer could increase the specificity of the diagnosis (Table 3).

**Table 3** Analyses of The different combinations of the 3 markers to the diagnosis in pancreatic cancer (No. of cases)

| Group                        | No. of cases | 1 Item (+) | 2 Item (+) | 3 Item (+) |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Pancreatic cancer            | 96           | 90 (93.8)  | 86 (89.5)  | 74 (77.0)  |
| Normal                       | 200          | 42 (21.0)  | 9 (4.5)    | 0 (100)    |
| Positive likelihood rate (%) |              | 68.2       | 90.5       | 100        |

( ), sensitivity (%).

### The correlation between the different positions of pancreatic cancer and the levels of the 3 markers

International Union Against Cancer (UICC) divided pancreatic cancer into head, body, tail and whole of pancreatic cancer. Statistical significance was found that the levels of TSGF and CA242 in head of pancreatic cancer were extra better than those in the other three kinds of pancreatic cancer ( $P < 0.01$ ). But no statistical significance was found in the levels of the 3 markers in the other three kinds of pancreatic cancer ( $P > 0.05$ ). The levels of CA19-9 had no correlation with the positions of the pancreatic cancer ( $P > 0.05$ ). (Table 4).

**Table 4** The content of the 3 markers in the different positions of pancreatic cancer (mean $\pm$ SD,  $\times 10^3$ U/L)

| Position of pancreatic cancer | No. of cases | TSGF                        | CA242                         | CA19-9            |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Head                          | 64           | 88.5 $\pm$ 9.0 <sup>b</sup> | 106.4 $\pm$ 12.6 <sup>b</sup> | 653.7 $\pm$ 217.8 |
| Body                          | 18           | 78.2 $\pm$ 6.7              | 82.5 $\pm$ 10.4               | 633.9 $\pm$ 192.4 |
| Tail                          | 10           | 77.1 $\pm$ 5.7              | 81.6 $\pm$ 8.2                | 659.4 $\pm$ 211.0 |
| Whole                         | 4            | 74.5 $\pm$ 3.1              | 83.0 $\pm$ 9.5                | 615.3 $\pm$ 187.1 |

<sup>b</sup> $P < 0.001$  vs body, tail and whole of pancreatic cancer.

### To compare the sensitivity of the 3 tumor markers in different stages of pancreatic cancer

We analyzed the sensitivity of the 3 tumor markers in serum in different stages of pancreatic cancer (Table 5). The results showed that the sensitivity gradually strengthened by the progress of clinical stages. Statistical significance was found

between stage II, III, IV, and stage I ( $P < 0.01$ ). The sensitivity of CA19-9 was higher than that of CA242, but there was no statistical significance ( $P > 0.05$ ). The sensitivity of TSGF in stage I was significant better than that of CA242 and CA19-9 ( $P < 0.01$ ). So TSGF could be regarded as a tumor marker to filtrate pancreatic cancer in early stage.

**Table 5** Analyses of the sensitivity of the 3 tumor markers in different stages of pancreatic cancer (No. of cases)

| Clinical stages | No. of cases | TSGF                   | CA242                 | CA19-9                |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| I               | 10           | 6 (60.0) <sup>bd</sup> | 3 (30.0) <sup>d</sup> | 4 (40.0) <sup>d</sup> |
| II              | 12           | 9 (75.0)               | 6 (50.0)              | 7 (58.3)              |
| III             | 25           | 22 (88.0)              | 20 (80.0)             | 21 (84.0)             |
| IV              | 49           | 46 (93.8)              | 40 (81.6)             | 42 (85.7)             |

Note: ( ), sensitivity (%); <sup>d</sup> $P < 0.01$  vs the sensitivity of stage II, III, IV; <sup>b</sup> $P < 0.01$  vs the sensitivity of CA242, CA19-9.

## DISCUSSION

The incidence of pancreatic cancer is rising<sup>[10,11]</sup>. We want to diagnose pancreatic cancer in early stage by tumor markers<sup>[12]</sup>. First, we should find one tumor marker of good specificity<sup>[13,14]</sup>. TSGF was a gene that could promote the growth of tumor blood vessels. It could greatly hyperplasy in the tumor tissues and capillary vessels around. No correlation was found in the hyperplasia of non-tumor blood vessels. TSGF had good sensitivity to malignant tumors. CA19-9 belonged to the ramification of lactotetraose and was a kind of the ganglioside lipoprotein protein<sup>[15-17]</sup>. It was mucoprotein when in serum and its epipositions was pentaglucose determinant. Despite advances in preoperative radiologic imaging, a significant fraction of potentially resectable pancreatic cancers are found to be unresectable at laparotomy<sup>[18]</sup>. CA242 was a kind of sialic acid mucoprotein tumor associated antigen linked Mucin pyrenoid by -O-. It existed in the same molecule with CA19-9. But it belonged to the different antigen determinant with CA19-9. Therefore, there was no correlation between CA19-9 and CA242<sup>[19]</sup>. But they were complementary. They had good sensitivity in pancreatic cancer diagnosis. This result was exactly similar with the report of Ichihara *et al*<sup>[20]</sup>. This research also showed that 3 tumor markers in pancreatic cancer group were remarkably higher than that of normal group. And the levels of the 3 tumor markers in pancreatitis group were not high.

The research showed that the positive likelihood ratio of TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 were 5.4, 12.6 and 6.3, and the negative likelihood ratio were 0.1, 0.19 and 0.17. So the three indexes were very important in clinical pancreatic cancer diagnosis. TSGF had good sensitivity in pancreatic cancer diagnosis as 91.6%. CA19-9 was very important to evaluate the curative effect of chemotherapy and to judge the survival time<sup>[21-26]</sup>. CA242 had good specificity as 93.5%. When diagnosing with the combination assay of the 3 indexes, the sensitivity was 77.0% and the specificity and positive predictive value were both 100%. Therefore, combination diagnosis should be used in pancreatic cancer diagnosis in order to improve the specificity<sup>[27-29]</sup>.

The research of Metsuyama *et al*. proved that there was significant correlation in malignant tumors between the creation of blood vessels and blood transfer<sup>[30]</sup>. TSGF and CA242 had high levels. It was related to the rich blood supply of the head of pancreas. Pancreas had the priority and step artery pancreaticoduodenalis superior and the forward and back branches down pancereaticoduodenales inferiors. The arteries were connected by anastomosis at the head of pancreas to be arcuate arterial. The arcuate arterial gave out branches to supply the forward and back parts of the head of pancreas and duodenum. It accelerated the head of pancreas circulation. So

it made the carbohydrate antigen excreted by tumors to be a high level in serum. But there was no correlation between the expression of CA19-9 and the position of tumor. This needs further researches. TSGF was a new tumor marker related to the blood vessel hyperplasia of malignant tumors. It was also a result of the hyperplasia of the malignant tumors and the capillary vessels around. It was released to blood with the acceleration of blood circulation. In the different stage of pancreatic cancer, the sensitivity of the tumor markers TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 increased with the progress in different stages. Statistical significance was found in the sensitivity of stage II, III, IV and stage I. This result disagreed that Frebourg *et al* reported that there was no correlation between the level of CA19-9 in serum and the stage of pancreatic cancer<sup>[31]</sup>. The sensitivity of CA19-9 was a little higher than that of CA242, but there was no statistical significance. In the stage I of pancreatic cancer, the sensitivity of TSGF was remarkably higher than that of CA242 and CA19-9. Therefore, TSGF can be regarded as a tumor marker to filtrate pancreatic cancer in early stage.

The research shows that there is very important correlation between the levels of TSGF, CA242 and CA19-9 and pancreatic cancer. The combined assay of the 3 indexes does help to diagnose pancreatic cancer in early stage. At the same time they are very important in analyzing the position of pancreatic cancer and the pathology typings. Therefore, the 3 indexes can be regarded as the tumor markers of pancreatic cancer diagnosis in early stage.

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Barbe L**, Ponsot P, Vilgrain V, Terris B, Flejou JF, Sauvanet A, Belghiti J, Hammel P, Ruzsniowski P, Bernades P. Intraductal papillary mucinous tumors of the pancreas. Clinical and morphological aspects in 30 patients. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 1997; **21**: 278-286
- 2 **Love L**, Fizzotti G, Damascelli B, Ceglia E, Garbagnati F, Milella M. Pancreatic tumor imaging by III generation CT, gray-scale ultrasound and improved angiography. *Tumori* 1980; **66**: 357-372
- 3 **Sahmoun AE**, D'Agostino RA Jr, Bell RA, Schwenke DC. International variation in pancreatic cancer mortality for the period 1955-1998. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2003; **18**: 801-816
- 4 **Burcharth F**, Trillingsgaard J, Olsen SD, Moesgaard F, Federspiel B, Struckmann JR. Resection of cancer of the body and tail of the pancreas. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2003; **50**: 563-566
- 5 **Soga J**. Primary endocrinomas (carcinoids and variant neoplasms) of the gallbladder. A statistical evaluation of 138 reported cases. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2003; **22**: 5-15
- 6 **Pingpank JF Jr**, Hoffman JP, Sigurdson ER, Ross E, Sasson AR, Eisenberg BL. Pancreatic resection for locally advanced primary and metastatic nonpancreatic neoplasms. *Am Surg* 2002; **68**: 337-340
- 7 **Birk D**, Schoenberg MH, Gansauge F, Formentini A, Fortnagel G, Beger HG. Carcinoma of the head of the pancreas arising from the uncinate process. *Br J Surg* 1998; **85**: 498-501
- 8 **Standop J**, Schneider MB, Ulrich A, Pour PM. Experimental animal models in pancreatic carcinogenesis: lessons for human pancreatic cancer. *Dig Dis* 2001; **19**: 24-31
- 9 **Berberat P**, Friess H, Kashiwagi M, Beger HG, Buchler MW. Diagnosis and staging of pancreatic cancer by positron emission tomography. *World J Surg* 1999; **23**: 882-887
- 10 **Zalatnai A**. Pancreatic cancer - a continuing challenge in oncology. *Pathol Oncol Res* 2003; **9**: 252-263
- 11 **Shore S**, Raraty MG, Ghaneh P, Neoptolemos JP. Review article: chemotherapy for pancreatic cancer. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003; **18**: 1049-1069
- 12 **Otsuki M**. Chronic pancreatitis in Japan: epidemiology, prognosis, diagnostic criteria, and future problems. *J Gastroenterol* 2003; **38**: 315-326
- 13 **Laurent-Puig P**, Lubin R, Semhoun-Ducloux S, Pelletier G, Fourre C, Ducreux M, Briantais MJ, Buffet C, Soussi T. Antibodies against p53 protein in serum of patients with benign or malignant pancreatic and biliary diseases. *Gut* 1995; **36**: 455-458
- 14 **Abrams RA**, Grochow LB, Chakravarthy A, Sohn TA, Zahurak ML, Haulk TL, Ord S, Hruban RH, Lillemoe KD, Pitt HA, Cameron JL, Yeo CJ. Intensified adjuvant therapy for pancreatic and periampullary adenocarcinoma: survival results and observations regarding patterns of failure, radiotherapy dose and CA19-9 levels. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1999; **44**: 1039-1046
- 15 **Vestergaard EM**, Wolf H, Orntoft TF. Increased concentrations of genotype-interpreted Ca 19-9 in urine of bladder cancer patients mark diffuse atypia of the urothelium. *Clin Chem* 1998; **44**: 197-204
- 16 **Ugorski M**, Laskowska A. Sialyl Lewis<sup>x</sup>: a tumor-associated carbohydrate antigen involved in adhesion and metastatic potential of cancer cells. *Acta Biochim Pol* 2002; **49**: 303-311
- 17 **Magnani JL**, Steplewski Z, Koprowski H, Ginsburg V. Identification of the gastrointestinal and pancreatic cancer-associated antigen detected by monoclonal antibody 19-9 in the sera of patients as a mucin. *Cancer Res* 1983; **43**: 5489-5492
- 18 **Schlieman MG**, Ho HS, Bold RJ. Utility of tumor markers in determining resectability of pancreatic cancer. *Arch Surg* 2003; **138**: 951-956
- 19 **Banfi G**, Zerbi A, Pastori S, Parolini D, Di Carlo V, Bonini P. Behavior of tumor markers CA19.9, CA195, CAM43, CA242 and TPS in the diagnosis and follow-up of pancreatic cancer. *Clin Chem* 1993; **39**: 420-423
- 20 **Ichihara T**, Nomoto S, Takeda S, Nagura H, Sakamoto J, Kondo K, Horisawa M, Nakao A. Clinical usefulness of the immunostaining of the tumor markers in pancreatic cancer. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2001; **48**: 939-943
- 21 **Frebourg T**, Bercoff E, Manchon N, Senant J, Basuyau JP, Breton P, Janvresse A, Brunelle P, Bourreille J. The evaluation of CA19-9 antigen level in the early detection of pancreatic cancer. A prospective study of 866 patients. *Cancer* 1988; **62**: 2287-2290
- 22 **Ziske C**, Schlie C, Gorschluter M, Glasmacher A, Mey U, Strehl J, Sauerbruch T, Schmidt-Wolf IG. Prognostic value of CA 19-9 levels in patients with inoperable adenocarcinoma of the pancreas treated with gemcitabine. *Br J Cancer* 2003; **89**: 1413-1417
- 23 **Halm U**, Schumann T, Schiefke I, Witzigmann H, Mossner J, Keim V. Decrease of CA 19-9 during chemotherapy with gemcitabine predicts survival time in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2000; **82**: 1013-1016
- 24 **Masaki T**, Ohkawa S, Hirokawa S, Miyakawa K, Tamai S, Tarao K. A case of advanced pancreatic cancer showing remarkable response to gemcitabine treatment. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 2003; **30**: 1333-1336
- 25 **Kamisawa T**, Tu Y, Egawa N, Ishiwata J, Tsuruta K, Okamoto A, Hayashi Y, Koike M, Yamaguchi T. Ductal and acinar differentiation in pancreatic endocrine tumors. *Dig Dis Sci* 2002; **47**: 2254-2261
- 26 **Koopmann J**, Zhang Z, White N, Rosenzweig J, Fedarko N, Jagannath S, Canto MI, Yeo CJ, Chan DW, Goggins M. Serum diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma using surface-enhanced laser desorption and ionization mass spectrometry. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004; **10**: 860-868
- 27 **Schlieman MG**, Ho HS, Bold RJ. Utility of tumor markers in determining resectability of pancreatic cancer. *Arch Surg* 2003; **138**: 951-956
- 28 **Dianxu F**, Shengdao Z, Tianquan H, Yu J, Ruoqing L, Zurong Y, Xuezhi W. A prospective study of detection of pancreatic carcinoma by combined plasma K-ras mutations and serum CA19-9 analysis. *Pancreas* 2002; **25**: 336-341
- 29 **Mu DQ**, Wang GF, Peng SY. p53 protein expression and CA19.9 values in differential cytological diagnosis of pancreatic cancer complicated with chronic pancreatitis and chronic pancreatitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2003; **9**: 1815-1818
- 30 **Metsuyama K**, Chiba Y, Sasaki M, Tanaka H, Muraoka R, Tanigawa N. Tumor angiogenesis as a prognostic marker in operable non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1998; **65**: 1405-1409
- 31 **Frebourg T**, Bercoff E, Mouchon N, Senant J, Basuyau JP, Breton P, Janvresse A, Brunelle P, Bourreille J. The evaluation of CA19-9 antigen level in the early detection of pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 1988; **62**: 2287-2290