

# Effect of acupuncture at different meridian acupoints on changes of related factors for rabbit gastric mucosal injury

Jie Yan, Ren-Da Yang, Jun-Feng He, Shou-Xiang Yi, Xiao-Rong Chang, Ya-Ping Lin

Jie Yan, Ren-Da Yang, Jun-Feng He, Shou-Xiang Yi, Xiao-Rong Chang, Ya-Ping Lin, Department of Acupuncture and Moxibustion, Hunan College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Changsha 410007, Hunan Province, China

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, NO. 90209023

Correspondence to: Professor Jie Yan, Department of Acupuncture and Moxibustion, Hunan College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Changsha 410007, Hunan Province, China. yj5381159@yahoo.com.cn

Telephone: +86-731-5381159 Fax: +86-731-5381159

Received: 2005-03-01 Accepted: 2005-04-02

Foot Jueyin Meridian. Foot Taiyang Meridian has no correlation with the stomach.

© 2005 The WJG Press and Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**Key words:** Relationship between Meridian and Viscus; Gastric mucosal injury/acupuncture effects; MMCSV

Yan J, Yang RD, He JF, Yi SX, Chang XR, Lin YP. Effect of acupuncture at different meridian acupoints on changes of related factors for rabbit gastric mucosal injury. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005;11(41):6472-6476  
<http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/11/6472.asp>

## Abstract

**AIM:** To explore the regularity of multi-meridians controlling a same viscus (MMCSV).

**METHODS:** The rabbit gastric ulcer model was established by ethanol intragastric instillation. Fifty-six rabbits were randomly divided into normal group, model group (MG), model plus acupuncture at Foot Yangming Meridian group (YMG), model plus acupuncture at Foot Taiyin Meridian group (TYG), model plus acupuncture at Foot Shaoyang Meridian group (SYG), model plus acupuncture at Foot Jueyin Meridian group (JYG), model plus acupuncture at Foot Taiyang Meridian group (TYMG), with eight rabbits in each group. Gastric mucosal nitric oxide (NO) and nitric oxide synthase (NOS) were assayed by the nitric acid reductase method, and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) and epidermal growth factor (EGF) were measured by radioimmunoassay. The comprehensive effects were analyzed by weighing method.

**RESULTS:** Compared to MG, SYG, JYG and TYMG, the rabbits gastric mucosal injury index (GMII) reduced very significantly in YMG ( $P < 0.01$ ). Compared to MG, the GMII also reduced significantly in TYG ( $P < 0.05$ ). NO, NOS, PGE2 and EGF increased very significantly in YMG ( $P < 0.01$ ). The EGF in YMG also increased significantly than that in TYG compared to those in MG, SYG, JYG and TYMG ( $P < 0.05$ ). The PGE2 and EGF also increased very significantly in TYG than those in MG, JYG and TYMG ( $P < 0.01$ ). While compared to SYG, the NOS increased significantly in TYG ( $P < 0.05$ ). NOS was the highest in YMG ( $P < 0.01$ ), and was higher in TYG than in MG ( $P < 0.01$ ).

**CONCLUSION:** MMCSV is common. The Foot Yangming Meridian is most closely related to the stomach, followed by Foot Taiyin Meridian, Foot Shaoyang Meridian and

## INTRODUCTION

According to the meridian theory, the meridians in the body connections are sealed. Qi and blood flow recurrently, the meridians connect with each other directly or indirectly. Therefore, it is the underlying theory of multi-meridians controlling a same viscus (MMCSV)<sup>[1]</sup>. Previous studies are focused on one meridian controlling one viscus<sup>[2-4]</sup>, while MMCSV is seldom referred to. In general, NO, NOS, PGE2 and EGF are regarded as protective factors involved in repairing gastric mucosal injury. Based on our previous research on MMCSV<sup>[5,6]</sup>, in this study, we focused on the Foot Yangming Meridian (FYM) controlling the stomach. The Foot Shaoyang Meridian (FSY), Foot Jueyin Meridian (FJY), Foot Taiyin Meridian (FTY), as well as Foot Taiyang Meridian (FTYM) were selected to explore the regularity of MMCSV and meridian speciality for the Stomach.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Animals

Fifty-six New Zealand 3-4 mo old pure rabbits, weighing 1.5-2.5 kg, were supplied by the Experimental Animal Center of Hunan College of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Permission number: 20030316).

### Animal model and groups

The rabbit gastric ulcer model was established by ethanol intragastric instillation<sup>[7]</sup>. Fifty-six rabbits were divided into normal group (NG), model group (MG), model plus acupuncture at Foot Yangming Meridian group (YMG), model plus acupuncture at Foot Taiyin Meridian group (TYG), model plus acupuncture at Foot Shaoyang Meridian group (SYG), model plus acupuncture at Foot

Jueyin Meridian group (JYG), and model plus acupuncture at Foot Taiyang Meridian group (TYMG), with eight rabbits in each group. All the animals were fasted for 48 h before ulcer model-making. Rabbits in NG were given normal saline by intragastric instillation (2.35 mL/kg). Rabbits in other groups were given ethanol intragastrically (2.35 mL/kg). Twenty-four hours later, all animals were allowed free access to normal food. Except for rabbits in NG and MG groups, the animals received acupuncture therapy.

### Acupuncture method

Acupoints were selected based on the (Modern Acupuncturology)<sup>[8]</sup> and anthropomorphic method<sup>[6]</sup> as well as on our previous research results<sup>[5,6]</sup>. In the FYM, Neiting (ST 44), Jiexi (ST 41), Zusanli (ST36), Liangqiu (ST 34), Tianshu (ST 25) and Liangmen (ST 21) were selected for gastric ulcer therapy. The other acupoints of different meridians were almost in the same horizontal level to the FYM. In the FSY, Jiayi (GB 43), Qiuxu (GB 40), Yanglingquan (GB 34), Xiyangguan (GB 33), Daimai (GB 26) and Jingmen (GB 25) were selected. In the FJY, the selected acupoints were Xingjian (LR 2), Zhongfeng (LR 4), Xiguan (LR 7), Yinbao (LR 9), Zhangmen (LR 13) and Qimen (LR 14). In the FTY, Dadu (SP 2), Shangqiu (SP 5), Yinlingquan (SP 9), Xuehai (SP 10), Dahan (SP 15) and Fu'ai (SP 16) were selected. In the FTYM, Tonggu (BL 66), Shenmai (BL 62), Chengjin (BL 56), Fuxi (BL 38), Beixiadian and Beishangdian were selected.

Consecutive acupoint-stimulation in one meridian was applied by Xuanshou Meridian Dredging Apparatus (China Peace Economy Technology Consultation Company)<sup>[6]</sup>. Parameters for manipulation were as follows: single way running, six steps, at 0.5 s intervals; double direction narrow impulse and consecutive wave, 50 Hz, 0.5 ms wave width. The apparatus output was connected to the needle (diameter 0.25 mm, length 25 mm) which was inserted into the acupoints. Just mimicking human's obeying meridian to propaganda sense, the excited order was from low leg to trunk, and the output strength was controlled within 2-3 intensity. Acupuncture stimulation was manipulated once a day, for seven days, 30 s each time. All the selected acupoints were in the left lateral body throughout the experiment.

### Determination of gastric mucosal injury index (GMII)

After seven days, the animals were killed with their stomachs removed and opened along the greater curvature. The content in the stomach was washed with running water, mucus attached to gastric mucosa was removed by the brusher. Then the mucosa was extended in a flat plate. GMII was calculated by the Guth method<sup>[9]</sup> with a general magnifier.

### Determination of NO, NOS, PGE2 and EGF in gastric mucosa

NO and NOS kit were supplied by Nanjing Jincheng Bioengineering Institute. EGF radioimmunoassay kit was

provided by Beijing Huaying Radioimmunoassay Institute. [3H]PGE2 radioimmunoassay kit was obtained from Beijing Furui Bioengineer Co. Gastric mucosal NO and NOS were assayed by the nitric acid reductase method, and PGE2 and EGF were measured by radioimmunoassay. All assays were carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### Statistical analysis

All the results were expressed as mean±SD. Comparison between groups was made using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences were considered statistically significant, if the *P* value was less than 0.05. Software SPSS 10.0 was used in all statistical tests.

## RESULTS

### Gastric mucosal injury condition and index (Table1)

There were some dots and strips of injury under the magnifier. The highest GMII was observed in MG and the lowest GMII in NG. There was a very significant difference between them ( $P<0.01$ ), demonstrating that the ulcer model was successful. Compared to MG, GMII in YMG and TYG reduced significantly ( $P<0.05$  or  $P<0.01$ ), and the GMII in YMG was better than that in TYG. While the GMII in SYG, JYG and TYMG had no significant difference compared to that in MG ( $P>0.05$ ). The GMII in YMG had a significant difference compared to that in SYG, JYG and TYMG ( $P<0.01$ ). The GMII in TYG also had a significant difference compared to that in TYGM ( $P<0.05$ ).

**Table 1** Gastric mucosal injury index comparison (mean±SD)

Groups	<i>n</i>	GMII
NG	8	8.50±2.98 <sup>b</sup>
MG	8	24.88±6.29 <sup>df</sup>
YMG	8	10.88±3.23 <sup>b</sup>
SYG	8	19.38±3.66 <sup>d</sup>
JYG	8	20.38±4.03 <sup>d</sup>
TYG	8	14.62±3.20 <sup>a</sup>
TYMG	8	24.13±1.64 <sup>dc</sup>

<sup>a</sup> $P<0.05$ , <sup>b</sup> $P<0.01$  vs MG; <sup>d</sup> $P<0.01$  vs YMG; <sup>c</sup> $P<0.05$ , <sup>f</sup> $P<0.01$  vs TYG.

### NO and NOS changes in gastric mucosa (Table 2)

Gastric mucosal NO and NOS in NG were both higher than those in MG ( $P<0.01$ ). The highest NO content was found in YMG, than TYG, JYG and TYMG, while the lowest NO content was observed in SYG. The NO was higher in YMG than in MG, SYG, JYG and TYMG, and there was a very significant difference between YMG and MG, SYG, JYG, TYMG, respectively ( $P<0.01$ ). However, no significant difference was found between YMG and TYG ( $P>0.05$ ). The highest NOS content was observed in YMG, the lowest NOS content was found in TYMG. The NOS was higher in YMG and TYG than in

**Table 2** NO and NOS changes in gastric mucosa (mean ± SD)

Groups	n	NO (μ mol/gprot)	NOS (u/mgprot)
NG	8	1.48±0.54 <sup>b</sup>	2081.4±482.6 <sup>b</sup>
MG	8	0.45±0.20 <sup>d</sup>	762.1±156.3 <sup>d,f</sup>
YMG	8	1.38±0.27 <sup>b</sup>	1931.5±612.6 <sup>b</sup>
SYG	8	0.45±0.19 <sup>d</sup>	1327.0±319.4 <sup>bdc</sup>
JYG	8	0.76±0.25 <sup>d</sup>	987.2±365.1 <sup>d,f</sup>
TYG	8	1.25±0.68	1728.2±213.2 <sup>b</sup>
TYMG	8	0.57±0.24 <sup>d</sup>	750.9±254.3 <sup>d,f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.05, <sup>b</sup>P < 0.01 vs MG; <sup>d</sup>P < 0.01 vs YMG; <sup>c</sup>P < 0.05, <sup>f</sup>P < 0.01 vs TYG.

MG, SYG, JYG and TYMG ( $P < 0.05$  or  $< 0.01$ ). The NOS was also higher in SYG than in MG, and there was a very significant difference between them ( $P < 0.01$ ).

### PGE2 and EGF changes in gastric mucosa (Table 3)

Gastric mucosal PGE2 and EGF were both higher in NG than in MG ( $P < 0.01$ ). The PGE2 was higher in YMG and TYG than that in NG and other acupuncture therapy groups ( $P < 0.01$ ). The highest EGF was found in YMG, compared to MG and other acupuncture therapy groups, and there were very significant differences between YMG and other groups respectively ( $P < 0.01$ ), demonstrating that acupuncture can raise gastric mucosal PGE2 and EGF content in YMG, while acupuncture could only raise gastric mucosal PGE2 content in TYG ulcer rabbits.

**Table 3** PGE2 and EGF changes in gastric mucosa (mean ± SD, ng/L)

Groups	n	PGE2	EGF
NG	8	2 624.8±336.2 <sup>b</sup>	91.3±14.9 <sup>b</sup>
MG	8	1 629.2±406.7 <sup>d,f</sup>	73.6±14.8 <sup>d</sup>
YMG	8	2 708.8±526.2 <sup>b</sup>	92.2±6.7 <sup>b</sup>
SYG	8	1 763.8±371.5 <sup>d,f</sup>	74.9±9.0 <sup>d</sup>
JYG	8	1 663.4±258.0 <sup>d,f</sup>	72.7±12.4 <sup>d</sup>
TYG	8	2 383.6±547.5 <sup>b</sup>	68.5±14.1 <sup>d</sup>
TYMG	8	1 748.6±594.9 <sup>d,f</sup>	65.4±12.8 <sup>d</sup>

<sup>b</sup>P < 0.01 vs MG; <sup>d</sup>P < 0.01 vs YMG; <sup>f</sup>P < 0.01 vs TYG.

### Summarization of effects of acupuncture at different meridian acupoints (Table 4)

To compare the protective effects of acupuncture at different meridian acupoints on the gastric mucosal injury, we ranked the intensity of effects. From the summarization in Table 4, we could see the comprehensive protection of acupuncture therapy against gastric mucosal injury of experimental rabbits was the best in YMG, followed by TYG, JYG or SYG, and TYMG.

### Comprehensive protective effects of acupuncture at different meridian acupoints in gastric mucosa (Table 5)

In order to compare the comprehensive effects of acupuncture at different meridian acupoints on gastric mucosa, weight

**Table 4** Summarization of effects on items after acupuncture therapy

Items	Order sequence				
	1	2	3	4	5
GMII	YMG	TYG	SYG	JYG	TYMG
NO	YMG	TYG	JYG	TYMG	SYG
NOS	YMG	TYG	SYG	JYG	TYMG
EGF	YMG	SYG	JYG	TYG	TYMG
PGE2	YMG	TYG	SYG	TYMG	JYG

Order sequence refers to the effect intensity from strong to weak.

**Table 5** Comprehensive protective effects of different meridian acupuncture on gastric mucosa (mean ± SD)

Groups	n	WEV
NG	8	9 542.1±851.4 <sup>b</sup>
MG	8	5 298.5±963.8 <sup>d,f</sup>
YMG	8	9 653.5±1 064.7 <sup>b</sup>
SYG	8	6 344.6±1 013.8 <sup>d,f</sup>
JYG	8	5 660.7±919.4 <sup>d,f</sup>
TYG	8	8 391.1±1 466.1 <sup>b,d</sup>
TYMG	8	5 550.4±1 501.4 <sup>d,f</sup>

<sup>b</sup>P < 0.01 vs MG; <sup>d</sup>P < 0.01 vs YMG; <sup>f</sup>P < 0.01 vs TYG.

method was used to analyze the differences.

According to the importance of gastric mucosal protective factors including NO, NOS, PGE2 and EGF, the whole weight was set at 10, NO at 2.5, NOS at 1.25, EGF at 3.75 and PGE2 at 2.5. The comprehensive effect value (CEV) was made in the following process: NO, NOS, EGF and PGE2 content were multiplied with their own weight respectively, then added. ANOVA was used to compare the difference in the acupuncture therapy groups. The highest CEV was found in YMG followed by TYG, SYG, JYG, TYMG, and MG. The statistics showed that there was a very significant difference in CEV between YMG and other groups ( $P < 0.01$ ). In addition, CEV was also higher in TYG than in SYG, JYG, TYMG, and MG, respectively ( $P < 0.01$ ).

## DISCUSSION

Excessive ethanol ingestion can result in gastritis characterized by mucosal edema, subepithelial hemorrhages, cellular exfoliation and inflammatory cell infiltration<sup>[6]</sup>. Ethanol intragastric instillation breaks the gastric mucosal barrier and increases histamine release and pepsinogen output, thus leading to the damage in the gastric mucosa at least in part via hyperosmolality<sup>[7]</sup>. In the present study, after ethanol intragastric instillation, the highest GMII was found in MG, demonstrating that the model of gastric mucosal injury is reliable. It is known that neuronal modulating processes such as release of vasoactive mediators are crucial for the gastric mucosa to resist the continual onslaught of aggressive agents<sup>[8,9]</sup>. Endothelial cells also release a highly labile humoral vasodilator



substance, now known as NO that mediates the vascular relaxation induced by vagal stimulation<sup>[10]</sup>. NO could protect the gastric mucosa by increasing gastric mucosal blood flow<sup>[11,12]</sup>, suggesting that gastroprotection can be induced by low level of central vagal stimulation and the consequent release of NO, and that NO plays a role also in ulcer healing by stimulating the formation of growth factors, such as epithelial proliferation and angiogenesis<sup>[13]</sup>. NOS is a limited enzyme for NO synthesis. Studies have shown that chronic nitric oxide synthase inhibitor L-NAME enhances ulcerogenesis by decreasing mucosal resistance due to reduced mucosal blood perfusion<sup>[14-16]</sup>. When the specific iNOS inhibitor L-N6-(1-iminoethyl) lysine (L-NIL) is given intravenously to rats, the blood flow increase in response to luminal acid is attenuated<sup>[17]</sup>. Therefore, NOS is important for maintaining mucosal resistance through increasing the gastric mucosal blood flow. PGE2 is also involved in protecting gastric mucosa. PGE2 inhibits ethanol-induced apoptosis and increases cell viability in a dose-dependent manner in primary cultures of guinea pig gastric mucosal cells. PGE2 also inhibits hydrogen peroxide-induced apoptosis<sup>[18]</sup>. EGF is also a key to the protection against mucosal injury. Since acute gastric lesions are induced by cold-restraint stress, the ulcer score is significantly reduced after intraperitoneal and intragastric administration of EGF solution<sup>[19]</sup>. When EGF is added to primary monolayer cultures of guinea pig gastric mucous cells, the cytoprotection induced by EGF can be demonstrated. Mucin biosynthesis and PGE2 release are both significantly increased by EGF<sup>[20]</sup>. EGF promotes gastric mucosal restitution by activating  $\text{Na}^+/\text{H}^+$  exchange of epithelial cells<sup>[21]</sup>. In the present study, the comprehensive effects of different meridian acupuncture on gastric mucosal protective factors including NO, NOS, PGE2 and EGF, the relationship between meridian and viscus were revealed.

MMCSV is common in the theory of meridian and viscus correlation, manifested in two aspects. On the one hand, multiple meridians have different connections with the same viscus, and the function of meridians is complex. For example, there are five meridians connecting the stomach, namely Hand Taiyin Meridian (HTY), FTY, FJY, Hand Taiyang Meridian (HTY), FYM. On the other hand, stimulating different meridian acupoints could regulate the same viscus physical function, which is the underlying theory for treating diseases. For example, moxibustion at Neiguan (PC 6) of Hand Jueyin Meridian, Yangfu (GB 38) of FSY, as well as Shangqiu (SP 5) of FTY, can be selected for stomachache therapy.

Though MMCSV is common, the meridians do have some speciality. It could be explained by the following two reasons. One is that since different meridian acupoints have a relative speciality, and meridian is composed of acupoints, it is no doubt that the meridian has some speciality. In addition, the meridian and viscus match theory also can explain the meridian's speciality. Since FYM is the responsible meridian to stomach, acupuncture at FYM could regulate the stomach physical function and improve pathological conditions. According to viscus-

viscus match theory, the stomach is matched with the spleen; since the FTY is responsible for the spleen, acupuncture at FTY also has good effects on the stomach function regulation. Gallbladder's bile secretion from gallbladder could help the stomach to digest, while the secretion depends on the liver dredging function. Since the FSY is responsible for gallbladder, and FJY for the liver, acupuncture at FSY and FJY also has some effects on the stomach function regulation. In the present study, FYM had the best effect on stomach function regulation, followed by FTY, FSY and FJY, while the FTYM had no effect.

MMCSV is the unification for universality and speciality of meridian and viscus theory. In the present study, the protective effects of acupuncture at different meridian acupoints on gastric mucosa were various. For example, except for acupuncture at FTY, GMII decreased in all acupuncture therapy groups, while acupuncture at FYM, FTY and FSY, increased the NOS, suggesting that MMCSV is common. Acupuncture at FYM achieved the best intensity and width of protective effects on gastric mucosa. FJY had almost no effects on NO, NOS, PGE2, and EGF. FTYM has no significant effects on NO, NOS, PGE2, and EGF.

In summary, the FYM is most closely related to the stomach, followed by FTY, FSY, FJY, while FTYM has no correlation with the stomach.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Bing Z. Zhenjiu De Kexue Jichu. 1th edition. Qingdao: Qingdao Press, 1998:323
- 2 Sun DY, Huan YX, Gao W, Chu ZH, Wang QL. Mechanism of electroacupuncture of Zusanli in protecting gastric mucosa of dogs. *Guangzhou Zhongyiyao Daxue Xuebao* 2002; **19**: 192-194
- 3 Sun DY, Huan YX, Gao W, Chu ZH, Wang QL. Effects of electroacupuncture on plasma and gastric mucosal levels of ET in dogs. *Zhenci Yanjiu* 2002; **27**: 197-200
- 4 Yan J, Li JS, Chang XR, Yi SX, Lin YP. Effect of electroacupuncture at acupoints of the Foot-Yangming meridian on gastric motion function in normal rabbits. *Chinese J Cur Tra West Med* 2003; **1**: 193-194
- 5 Zhang H, Yi SX, Yan J, Chang XR, Liu YQ, Lin YP, Deng YJ. A comparative study on the effect of electroacupuncture to the points of three yang meridians of foot on brain-gut peptide of rabbits. *Zhongguo Linchuang Kangfu* 2004; **8**: 2290-2291
- 6 Yi SX, Lin YP, Yan J, Chang XR, Yang Y. Effect of electroacupuncture on gastric motility, substance P (SP) and motilin (MTL) in rats. *Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi* 2001; **9**: 284-287
- 7 Miao M. Shiyan Dongwu He Dongwu Shiyan Jishu. Beijing: Zhongguo Zhongyiyao Chubanshe, 1997: 219
- 8 Lin WZ, Wang P. Modern Acupunctureology. 1th edition. Beijing: Shanghai Kexue Jishu Chubanshe 1999: 278-281
- 9 Guth PH, Aures D, Paulsen G. Topical aspirin plus HCl gastric lesions in the rat. Cytoprotective effect of prostaglandin, cimetidine, and probanthine. *Gastroenterology* 1979; **76**: 88-93
- 10 Ko JK, Cho CH, Lam SK. Adaptive cytoprotection through modulation of nitric oxide in ethanol-evoked gastritis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2004; **10**: 2503-2508
- 11 Puurunen J, Huttunen P, Hirvonen J. Is ethanol-induced damage of the gastric mucosa a hyperosmotic effect? Comparative studies on the effects of ethanol, some other hyperosmotic solutions and acetylsalicylic acid on rat gastric mucosa. *Acta Pharmacol Toxicol (Copenh)* 1980; **47**: 321-327
- 12 Yonei Y, Holzer P, Guth PH. Laparotomy-induced gastric

- protection against ethanol injury is mediated by capsaicin-sensitive sensory neurons. *Gastroenterology* 1990; **99**: 3-9
- 13 **Whittle BJ**, Lopez-Belmonte J, Moncada S. Regulation of gastric mucosal integrity by endogenous nitric oxide: interactions with prostanoids and sensory neuropeptides in the rat. *Br J Pharmacol* 1990; **99**: 607-611
- 14 **Palmer RM**, Ferrige AG, Moncada S. Nitric oxide release accounts for the biological activity of endothelium-derived relaxing factor. *Nature* 1987; **327**: 524-526
- 15 **Holzer P**. Neural emergency system in the stomach. *Gastroenterology* 1998; **114**: 823-839
- 16 **Wallace JL**, Miller MJ. Nitric oxide in mucosal defense: a little goes a long way. *Gastroenterology* 2000; **119**: 512-520
- 17 **Gyires K**. Gastric mucosal protection: from prostaglandins to gene-therapy. *Curr Med Chem* 2005; **12**: 203-215
- 18 **Qiu BS**, Pfeiffer CJ, Cho CH. Effects of chronic nitric oxide synthase inhibition in cold-restraint and ethanol-induced gastric mucosal damage in rats. *Digestion* 1996; **57**: 60-66
- 19 **Kim H**, Hwan Kim K. Role of nitric oxide and mucus in ischemia/reperfusion-induced gastric mucosal injury in rats. *Pharmacology* 2001; **62**: 200-207
- 20 **Lippe IT**, Holzer P. Participation of endothelium-derived nitric oxide but not prostacyclin in the gastric mucosal hyperaemia due to acid back-diffusion. *Br J Pharmacol* 1992; **105**: 708-714
- 21 **Phillipson M**, Henriksnäs J, Holstad M, Sandler S, Holm L. Inducible nitric oxide synthase is involved in acid-induced gastric hyperemia in rats and mice. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2003; **285**: G154-G162

Science Editor Wang XL and Guo SY Language Editor Elsevier HK