

Luis Bujanda, MD, PhD, Professor, Series Editor

## Adjuvant and neoadjuvant treatment in pancreatic cancer

Marta Herreros-Villanueva, Elizabeth Hijona, Angel Cosme, Luis Bujanda

Marta Herreros-Villanueva, Schulze Center for Novel Therapeutics, Division of Oncology Research, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, United States  
 Elizabeth Hijona, Angel Cosme, Luis Bujanda, Department of Gastroenterology, Centro de Investigacion Biomédica en Red en Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas, University of the Basque Country, Donostia Hospital, 20014 San Sebastian, Spain

Author contributions: All the authors contributed equally to this work.

Supported by Instituto Salud Carlos III

Correspondence to: Luis Bujanda, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, Centro de Investigacion Biomédica en Red en Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas, University of the Basque Country, Donostia Hospital, Paseo Dr. Beguiristain S/N, 20014 San Sebastian, Spain. [luis.bujanda@osakidetza.net](mailto:luis.bujanda@osakidetza.net)

Telephone: +34-94-3007173 Fax: +34-94-300706

Received: August 28, 2011 Revised: October 23, 2011

Accepted: January 22, 2012

Published online: April 14, 2012

### Abstract

Pancreatic adenocarcinoma is one of the most aggressive human malignancies, ranking 4th among causes for cancer-related death in the Western world including the United States. Surgical resection offers the only chance of cure, but only 15 to 20 percent of cases are potentially resectable at presentation. Different studies demonstrate and confirm that advanced pancreatic cancer is among the most complex cancers to treat and that these tumors are relatively resistant to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Currently there is no consensus around the world on what constitutes "standard" adjuvant therapy for pancreatic cancer. This controversy derives from several studies, each fraught with its own limitations. Standards of care also vary somewhat with regard to geography and economy, for instance chemo-radiotherapy followed by chemotherapy or *vice versa* is considered the optimal therapy in North America while chemotherapy alone is the current stan-

dard in Europe. Regardless of the efforts in adjuvant and neoadjuvant improved therapy, the major goal to combat pancreatic cancer is to find diagnostic markers, identifying the disease in a pre-metastatic stage and making a curative treatment accessible to more patients. In this review, authors examined the different therapy options for advanced pancreatic patients in recent years and the future directions in adjuvant and neoadjuvant treatments for these patients.

© 2012 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

**Key words:** Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; Adjuvant; Neoadjuvant; Fluorouracil; Gemcitabine

**Peer reviewer:** Tatjana Crnogorac-Jurcevic, MD, PhD, Cancer Research United Kingdom, Molecular Oncology Unit, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, John Vane Science Centre, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BQ, United Kingdom

Herreros-Villanueva M, Hijona E, Cosme A, Bujanda L. Adjuvant and neoadjuvant treatment in pancreatic cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012; 18(14): 1565-1572 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v18/i14/1565.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v18.i14.1565>

### INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most aggressive human malignancies, ranking 4th among causes of cancer-related death in the Western world<sup>[1]</sup>.

Unlike most of the more frequent causes of cancer mortality (lung, colon, prostate and breast cancers) whose death rates are declining, the death rate for pancreatic cancer is relatively stable.

The poor prognosis is reflected by a median survival of 5-8 mo and a 5-year survival of less than 5% when all stages are combined<sup>[1-3]</sup>.

PDAC is characterized by a rapid disease progression

and absence of specific symptoms, largely precluding an early diagnosis and curative treatment<sup>[3,4]</sup>.

In most cases, PDAC is already locally advanced at time of diagnosis and only approximately 10%-20%<sup>[1,5]</sup> of patients are considered candidates for curative resection. The majority of patients (50%-60%) present with metastatic disease, and thus palliative chemotherapy remains the only option for almost all of these patients<sup>[6]</sup>. Owing to the high recurrence rate, surgical PDAC patients require adjuvant chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy providing a 5-year survival rate of 15%-25%<sup>[7]</sup> (Table 1).

Due to the described overall prognosis for all pancreatic cancer patients, systemic chemotherapy, radiation therapy or a combination of both is used following surgical resection (adjuvant therapy) and also prior to the tumor resection (neoadjuvant therapy) to improve cure rates.

Although the benefit of adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy has been improved in recent years, the best choice of treatment modality still remains highly controversial.

The objective of this review is to examine therapies received by advanced pancreatic cancer patients in recent years and to examine the principal chemotherapeutic agents or molecular-targeted therapies useful for clinicians.

## ADJUVANT THERAPY

In an effort to improve the outcome in patients undergoing potentially curative resection, systemic chemotherapy (Table 2), radiotherapy or a combination of both have been applied following surgery.

## SYSTEMIC THERAPY

### Chemotherapy

The first randomized controlled trial of adjuvant therapy in pancreatic cancer was designed by the Gastrointestinal Tumor Study Group, which concluded that treatment with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) plus radiation followed by two years of weekly 5-FU maintenance provided better outcomes than surgery alone<sup>[8]</sup>. Although this trial was criticized for many reasons, it served to establish 5-FU as the only standard adjuvant therapy for many years in pancreatic cancer. Different drugs and combinations have emerged and been incorporated for the best treatment of these patients (Table 2).

**5-FU:** 5-FU is a thymidylate synthase inhibitor that blocks the synthesis of pyrimidine thymidine, a nucleotide required for DNA replication.

5-FU had been considered the only chemotherapeutic option for about 20 years until the registration of gemcitabine. Several trials conducted in the late 1970s and early 1980s demonstrated that adjuvant chemotherapy using bolus 5-FU therapy conferred a survival benefit in patients with resected pancreatic cancer<sup>[8]</sup>.

Different studies in the last years have demonstrated a survival benefit from six months of postoperative leucovorin-modulated 5-FU in patients with resected pan-

Table 1 Staging of pancreatic cancer

Stage	TNM classification	Clinical classification	5-year percent survival (mo)
Stage 0	TisN0M0	Resectable	
Stage I A	T1N0M0	Initial	31.4
Stage I B	T2N0M0		27.2
Stage II A	T3N0M0		15.7
Stage II B	TXN1M0	Locally advanced	7.7
Stage III	T4NXM0		6.8
Stage IV	TXNXM1	Metastatic	2.8

Tis: Cancer *in situ*; T: Size and/or extent of invasion; N: Extent of lymph node involvement; M: Whether distant metastases are present.

creatic cancer, compared to those receiving no adjuvant chemotherapy (median overall survival 19.7 mo *vs* 14 mo respectively, statistically significant)<sup>[9-11]</sup>.

Although for locally advanced and metastatic patients this drug leads to an improved survival compared to the best supportive care<sup>[12,13]</sup>, the combination of 5-FU with other drugs such as doxorubicin or mitomycin did not prove superior to the antimetabolite alone. Similar results were obtained comparing single agent 5-FU to 5-FU plus cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and vincristine<sup>[14]</sup> as the combination did not offer a survival advantage over 5-FU alone.

Only the combination of 5-FU/irinotecan/oxaliplatin (FOLFIRINOX) has been associated with a high objective response rate based on imaging study, and this finally is the preferred regimen for patients who have good performance status and a normal serum bilirubin level<sup>[15]</sup>.

In the last years, new fluoropyrimidines that mimic the effect of a continuous infusion of 5-FU have been approved. One of the most common but not available in all countries is S-1, an orally active fluoropyrimidine, with favorable antitumor activity in gemcitabine-refractory disease<sup>[16,17]</sup>.

**Capecitabine:** Capecitabine is an orally administered fluoropyrimidine that is absorbed intact through the intestinal wall and then converted to 5-FU in three sequential enzymatic reactions: carboxylesterases, cytidine deaminase and thymidine phosphorylase. The last enzyme is present at consistently higher levels in tumor rather than normal tissue, thereby providing the basis for enhanced selectivity and better tolerability<sup>[18]</sup>. The efficacy of capecitabine in monotherapy was shown with high clinical response rate (24%) but low objective response (7%)<sup>[19]</sup>; however, no advantage using capecitabine in monotherapy over gemcitabine alone has been demonstrated.

**Gemcitabine:** The development of gemcitabine may be considered a major advance in the treatment of pancreatic cancer. This drug is a difluorinated analog of deoxycytidine. As a prodrug, gemcitabine must be phosphorylated by cytoplasmic and mitochondrial enzymes to its active metabolites, gemcitabine diphosphate and gemcitabine triphosphate. The cytotoxic effect of this drug is attributed to a combination of two actions of the

Table 2 Mode of action of principal drugs used in pancreatic cancer

Agent	Mode of action
5-FU	5-FU is a folate antimetabolite that forms a ternary complex involving 5-fluoro-2-deoxyuridine-5-monophosphate, thymidylate synthase, and 5,10-methylene THF. The formation of this complex thereby inhibits thymidylate synthase activity, which subsequently depletes intracellular thymidylate levels and ultimately suppresses DNA synthesis
Gemcitabine (Gemzar®)	Also, two metabolites of 5-FU, 5-fluoro-2-deoxyuridine-5-triphosphate and 5-fluorouridine-5-triphosphate, can be incorporated into DNA and RNA, respectively, resulting in DNA instability and interfering with RNA processing and function Gemcitabine is an S-phase nucleoside analogue (difluorodeoxycytidine) that is phosphorylated to difluorodeoxycytidine triphosphate by deoxycytidine kinase. Gemcitabine also stimulates deoxycytidine kinase and inhibits both ribonucleotide reductase and deoxycytidine monophosphate deaminase. Gemcitabine triphosphate is incorporated into nascent DNA to inhibit DNA synthesis
Capecitabine (Xeloda®)	Capecitabine an oral, tumor-selective fluoropyrimidine carbamate that is sequentially converted to 5-FU by three enzymes located in the liver and in tumors. The final step is the conversion of 5'-deoxy-5-fluorouridine to 5-FU by thymidine phosphorylase in tumors
Platinum analogues	Platinum forms adducts with DNA inhibiting transcription and replication causing cell death. Oxaliplatin is a third-generation platinum analogue (a diaminocyclohexane platinum derivative) that may have activity in tumors resistant to cisplatin or carboplatin and may have an additive/synergistic activity in doublet or triplet therapy
Taxanes	The taxanes include paclitaxel and docetaxel (Taxotere®) and are semi-synthetic microtubule inhibitors with a different mechanism of action from the vinca alkaloids. Taxanes bind to $\beta$ -tubulin, promoting microtubule assembly and preventing depolymerisation thus forming stable non-functional complexes and inhibiting the function of the mitotic spindle; This results in cell cycle arrest and increased sensitivity to radiation
Irinotecan (CPT11, Camptosar®)	Irinotecan is a topoisomerase I inhibitor that impedes the DNA helix torsional stress-relieving activity of DNA topoisomerases and also prevents their release from the DNA thus prompting apoptosis

5-FU: 5-fluorouracil.

diphosphate and triphosphate nucleosides, which leads to inhibition of DNA synthesis<sup>[20,21]</sup>.

The first pivotal trial found that gemcitabine is more effective than 5-FU in alleviation of some disease-related symptoms in patients with advanced, symptomatic pancreatic cancer, conferring a modest survival advantage over treatment with 5-FU. As the treatment with gemcitabine was associated with significant clinical response and better survival, this drug was approved for first-line therapy of metastatic pancreatic cancer. This pivotal phase III trial demonstrated improvement in median overall and 1-year survival compared to 5-FU (5.7 mo *vs* 4.4 mo and 18% *vs* 2%, respectively)<sup>[22]</sup>.

Many phase II studies have demonstrated the efficacy of gemcitabine combination treatments, but not all of the phase III trials confirmed the improvement in overall survival (OS) of gemcitabine-based regimens compared to gemcitabine alone. However, an improvement in six-month survival was seen by combining gemcitabine-fluoropyrimidine analogues and gemcitabine-platinum analogues, as demonstrated in the meta-analysis of Heinemann and colleagues<sup>[2]</sup>.

Due to the results obtained in monotherapy, gemcitabine has been combined with many other active cytotoxic agents including 5-FU, cisplatin, docetaxel, oxaliplatin and irinotecan, in an attempt to improve the response in pancreatic cancer patients and each will be discussed here separately.

**Gemcitabine and 5-fluorouracil:** Based on the complementary pharmacology of their mechanisms of action, the combination of 5-FU and gemcitabine has been evaluated in phase I, II and III trials. Finally, phase III trials showed that there is no significant improvement in

median OS and median progression-free survival when evaluating the combined regimen compared to that of gemcitabine alone<sup>[23-26]</sup>.

**Gemcitabine and capecitabine:** Different phase III trials have shown that patients who received gemcitabine and capecitabine compared to gemcitabine alone have a significant improvement in survival<sup>[27,28]</sup>.

These data and the meta-analysis performed by these authors suggest that the combination of gemcitabine plus capecitabine should be considered a standard first-line option for locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer.

**Gemcitabine and platinum combinations:** Since gemcitabine enhances the formation of cisplatin-DNA adducts, an effect that may be due to suppression of nuclear excision repair by gemcitabine, and the platinum may augment the incorporation of gemcitabine triphosphate into DNA<sup>[29]</sup>, the gemcitabine and platinum combination has been assessed in different trials.

Although in preclinical studies the combination of gemcitabine and cisplatin is synergistic, at least three phase III trials comparing gemcitabine to the combination of gemcitabine plus cisplatin showed no significant survival advantage for this approach<sup>[30-32]</sup>. Furthermore, the combination of gemcitabine and platins has not shown improvement in terms of response and is not a considered option for pancreatic cancer patients.

**Gemcitabine and irinotecan:** As irinotecan (a topoisomerase inhibitor) had minimal clinical activity in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer, combined therapy with gemcitabine is not recommended<sup>[33,34]</sup> and in some

cases the combination could lead to major toxicity.

**Gemcitabine and taxanes:** Antitumoral action of taxanes is due to their mechanism of microtubule stabilization and consequently to cell cycle arrest. The association of gemcitabine with paclitaxel or docetaxel in advanced pancreatic patients was studied in different trials and has shown encouraging response rates<sup>[35,36]</sup>. A phase III trial has not yet been completed. Thus, whether this regimen represents an improvement over gemcitabine alone is unclear.

The available data suggest that if there is a benefit to gemcitabine combination therapy compared to gemcitabine alone, it is modest and best documented for capecitabine plus gemcitabine. Today, only gemcitabine alone and the combination of gemcitabine plus capecitabine represent good options for initial therapy.

In summary, adjuvant fluorouracil has been shown to be of benefit for patients with resected pancreatic cancer but gemcitabine is the most effective agent in advanced disease. Compared with the use of fluorouracil, gemcitabine does not result in improved overall survival in patients with completely resected pancreatic cancer<sup>[37]</sup>.

### Combined therapies

As compared with gemcitabine, FOLFIRINOX was associated with a survival advantage and had increased toxicity. FOLFIRINOX is an option for the treatment of patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer and good performance status<sup>[38]</sup>.

### Targeted molecular therapy

Based on the biological properties of pancreatic cancer, new systemic therapies have been tried. The most common molecular targets have been epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)/KRAS, human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 (HER2) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), as these genes are overexpressed or mutated in pancreatic tumors.

**Targeting EGFR:** Currently, there are two approaches targeting the EGFR system: monoclonal antibodies (i.e., cetuximab/Erbix<sup>®</sup>) and small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors. In spite of promising preclinical trials, cetuximab as monotherapy, or in combination with other cytotoxic agents such as gemcitabine or with radiotherapy, has failed to improve the outcome of PDAC patients<sup>[39,40]</sup>.

Up to now, the only EGFR targeting demonstrating a clinical benefit is erlotinib (Tarceva<sup>®</sup>, OSI 774), a tyrosine kinase inhibitor that inhibits ErbB-1 phosphorylation. One phase III trial of erlotinib with gemcitabine was able to show at least a small gain in the survival of patients with advanced PDAC<sup>[41]</sup>. Although erlotinib obtained Food and Drug Administration approval and access in clinical application in 2005, the therapeutic benefit for patients with advanced PDAC remains poor.

**Targeting HER2:** In several studies, HER-2 overexpression in pancreatic cancer has been reported to vary widely (10%-82%)<sup>[42,43]</sup> and it does not correlate with poor prognosis<sup>[44]</sup>. Although studies in a mouse model have shown that combination of anti-HER2 antibodies (i.e., trastuzumab) and other chemotherapy may be effective for HER2-overexpressing pancreatic cancer patients<sup>[45]</sup>, the clinical significance is uncertain. A phase II clinical trial of trastuzumab for pancreatic cancer has been conducted and showed only 6% response to combined therapy with trastuzumab and gemcitabine in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer, which is not superior to therapy with gemcitabine alone<sup>[46]</sup>.

Currently, anti-Her2 therapy is experimental and still under investigation for the treatment of pancreatic cancer.

**Targeting VEGF:** A phase III trial concluded that there is no benefit for the addition of bevacizumab to gemcitabine *vs* gemcitabine alone and *vs* gemcitabine and cetuximab<sup>[47]</sup>.

Also some studies have failed to demonstrate a benefit for adding axitinib (an oral inhibitor of VEGF receptors 1, 2 and 3) to gemcitabine<sup>[48,49]</sup>. Currently, the anti-VEGF approach is not recommended in pancreatic cancer.

### Hormonal therapy

Tamoxifen and octreotide are not indicated in metastatic pancreatic cancer because both of them have failed to demonstrate any survival advantage for treated patients<sup>[50,51]</sup>.

## RADIOTHERAPY

The use of adjuvant radiotherapy for pancreatic cancer is controversial and the role of radiation therapy continues to be investigated. Currently, the addition of radiotherapy depends on the country in which a patient is being treated<sup>[52]</sup>.

Chemo-radiotherapy followed by chemotherapy is considered the optimal therapy in North America (Gastrointestinal Tumor Study Group; Radiation Therapy Oncology Group) while chemotherapy alone is the current standard in Europe (European Study Group for Pancreatic Cancer; Charité Onkologie)<sup>[10,53,54]</sup>.

The rationale for adjuvant radiotherapy for pancreatic cancer is to improve loco-regional control. Modern radiation delivery techniques, such as intensity-modulated radiation therapy or image-guided and stereotactic body radiation therapy, permit dose escalation in order to reduce normal tissue toxic effects and simultaneously deliver increased doses of radiation to affected areas<sup>[55,56]</sup>. It is clear that breakthroughs in the treatment of this devastating disease will come mostly from advances in systemic therapy, so radiotherapy should not be abandoned, but rather, intensified.

Intraoperative radiotherapy has also been considered, since local recurrence rates are very high. In general, intraoperative radiotherapy can slightly increase survival rates among patients with pancreatic cancer in localized



stages. There is no clear evidence to indicate that intra-operative radiotherapy is more effective than other therapies in treating pancreatic cancer in locally advanced and metastatic stages<sup>[57]</sup>.

## CHEMORADIO THERAPY

Some studies demonstrated improved survival when radiotherapy was combined with 5-FU chemotherapy compared with radiotherapy alone, in patients with locally advanced unresectable pancreatic cancer<sup>[58]</sup>. This combined therapy has been applied to patients undergoing RO resection to improve surgical cure rate.

In locally advanced pancreatic cancer, recent evidence using modern radiotherapy techniques and dosing suggests a continued role for radiotherapy. In both resected and unresected disease, further studies are needed to define optimal radiation dose, field size, and technique, and to assess the effect of radiotherapy not only on survival, but also on local disease control and quality of life<sup>[59]</sup>.

## NEOADJUVANT THERAPY

The low rate of resectability and the poor outcomes following pancreaticoduodenectomy have led to the investigation of preoperative and postoperative therapies to identify those patients who are not candidates for surgery and who could benefit from neoadjuvant chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy.

The initial reports using radiation therapy with or without 5-FU did not demonstrate an obvious improvement in either resectability or overall survival<sup>[60,61]</sup>. Subsequent studies improved the treatment by increasing radiotherapy dose, adding intraoperative radiotherapy and using combined chemotherapy. The drugs tested were mitomycin, 5-FU, 5-FU and cisplatin, and paclitaxel, but their efficacy remains uncertain<sup>[62-64]</sup>.

Subsequent reports used gemcitabine-based chemotherapy which provided an enhanced local effect, although with potentially more toxicity than 5-FU-based regimens. Gemcitabine has also been combined with radiotherapy and cisplatin<sup>[65,66]</sup>.

Currently, neoadjuvant radiation is associated with improved survival in patients with resectable pancreatic cancer<sup>[67]</sup> but chemotherapy alone without radiotherapy is beginning to be studied and the experience is limited<sup>[68,69]</sup>.

## ADJUVANT VS NEOADJUVANT THERAPY

Although the median survival times reported from some uncontrolled trials of neoadjuvant therapy compare favorably to those reported with adjuvant therapy approaches<sup>[65,70,71]</sup>, the question as to whether preoperative therapy is better than postoperative therapy is uncertain as there are no randomized trials comparing the two approaches.

One advantage of neoadjuvant therapy is that it avoids the morbidity of pancreaticoduodenectomy in patients who have occult, micrometastatic disease that

becomes evident during therapy. A second advantage is that in patients undergoing surgery, prolonged recovery prevents the delivery of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy in about a quarter of them<sup>[72]</sup>.

Recent studies have shown that neoadjuvant therapy is associated with a lower rate of lymph node positivity and improved overall survival and should be considered an acceptable alternative to the surgery-first paradigm in operable pancreatic cancer<sup>[73]</sup>.

## SECOND-LINE THERAPY

There are few trials of second-line therapy in patients who have failed chemotherapy, and there is no widely accepted standard of care.

For patients who retain a good performance status after failing initial gemcitabine therapy, benefit has been suggested from a second-line therapy based on oxaliplatin/fluoropyrimidine combination such as 5-FU and oxaliplatin<sup>[65,70,71]</sup>. Other oxaliplatin combinations are also acceptable with the agents gemcitabine, irinotecan or capecitabine<sup>[74-76]</sup>.

There are no data for patients who fail initial 5-FU and oxaliplatin, but a reasonable option is gemcitabine as monotherapy.

## CONCLUSION

All the treatment options examined in this review demonstrate and confirm that advanced pancreatic cancer is among the most complex cancers to treat.

Currently there is no consensus regarding the optimal management of patients after resection of an exocrine pancreatic cancer, and the approach is different in Europe and in the United States. Most European clinicians use chemotherapy alone after resection of a pancreatic neoplasm. The American approach more often includes chemoradiotherapy as well as adjuvant chemotherapy.

Although it is mainly accepted that a 6-mo course of systemic chemotherapy with gemcitabine or 5-FU should be part of any adjuvant treatment, there is no single adjuvant regimen of chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy that can claim unequivocal superiority over others. Among these options there are no differences in outcome but fewer side effects occur with gemcitabine, and this is nowadays the preferred regimen.

Based on current data, it is clear that treatment with gemcitabine or 5-FU results in a median survival of just a few months<sup>[77,78]</sup>. The limitation of this treatment is mainly due to the profound resistance of PDAC cells towards anti-cancer drugs, emerging from the efficient protection against chemotherapeutic drugs by an altered balance of pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins which results in a markedly reduced apoptotic responsiveness<sup>[79,80]</sup>.

Currently there are around 1070 clinical trials focusing on studying new biomarkers, different drug combinations and vaccines designed for pancreatic cancer ([www.clinicaltrial.gov](http://www.clinicaltrial.gov)).

Regardless of these efforts in adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy, the major goal to combat PDAC is to find diagnostic markers, identifying the disease in a pre-metastatic stage and making a curative treatment accessible to more patients. Given an earlier diagnosis, surgical interventions together with adjuvant radio/chemotherapy are the most promising options. Considering such evidence, the urgent need for an individualized and more effective adjuvant therapy is evident.

## REFERENCES

- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Hao Y, Xu J, Thun MJ. Cancer statistics, 2009. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2009; **59**: 225-249
- Heinemann V, Boeck S, Hinke A, Labianca R, Louvet C. Meta-analysis of randomized trials: evaluation of benefit from gemcitabine-based combination chemotherapy applied in advanced pancreatic cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2008; **8**: 82
- Sultana A, Tudur Smith C, Cunningham D, Starling N, Neoptolemos JP, Ghaneh P. Meta-analyses of chemotherapy for locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer: results of secondary end points analyses. *Br J Cancer* 2008; **99**: 6-13
- Stathis A, Moore MJ. Advanced pancreatic carcinoma: current treatment and future challenges. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2010; **7**: 163-172
- Bilimoria KY, Bentrem DJ, Ko CY, Stewart AK, Winchester DP, Talamonti MS. National failure to operate on early stage pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg* 2007; **246**: 173-180
- Shrikhande SV, Kleeff J, Reiser C, Weitz J, Hinz U, Esposito I, Schmidt J, Friess H, Büchler MW. Pancreatic resection for M1 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2007; **14**: 118-127
- Loos M, Kleeff J, Friess H, Büchler MW. Surgical treatment of pancreatic cancer. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2008; **1138**: 169-180
- Kalser MH, Ellenberg SS. Pancreatic cancer. Adjuvant combined radiation and chemotherapy following curative resection. *Arch Surg* 1985; **120**: 899-903
- Neoptolemos JP, Dunn JA, Stocken DD, Almond J, Link K, Beger H, Bassi C, Falconi M, Pederzoli P, Dervenis C, Fernandez-Cruz L, Lacaine F, Pap A, Spooner D, Kerr DJ, Friess H, Büchler MW. Adjuvant chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy in resectable pancreatic cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2001; **358**: 1576-1585
- Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Friess H, Bassi C, Dunn JA, Hickey H, Beger H, Fernandez-Cruz L, Dervenis C, Lacaine F, Falconi M, Pederzoli P, Pap A, Spooner D, Kerr DJ, Büchler MW. A randomized trial of chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy after resection of pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **350**: 1200-1210
- Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Tudur Smith C, Bassi C, Ghaneh P, Owen E, Moore M, Padbury R, Doi R, Smith D, Büchler MW. Adjuvant 5-fluorouracil and folinic acid vs observation for pancreatic cancer: composite data from the ESPAC-1 and -3(v1) trials. *Br J Cancer* 2009; **100**: 246-250
- Sultana A, Smith CT, Cunningham D, Starling N, Neoptolemos JP, Ghaneh P. Meta-analyses of chemotherapy for locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; **25**: 2607-2615
- Cullinan SA, Moertel CG, Fleming TR, Rubin JR, Krook JE, Everson LK, Windschitl HE, Twito DI, Marschke RF, Foley JF. A comparison of three chemotherapeutic regimens in the treatment of advanced pancreatic and gastric carcinoma. Fluorouracil vs fluorouracil and doxorubicin vs fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and mitomycin. *JAMA* 1985; **253**: 2061-2067
- Cullinan S, Moertel CG, Wieand HS, Schutt AJ, Krook JE, Foley JF, Norris BD, Kardinal CG, Tschetter LK, Barlow JF. A phase III trial on the therapy of advanced pancreatic carcinoma. Evaluations of the Mallinson regimen and combined 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and cisplatin. *Cancer* 1990; **65**: 2207-2212
- Ghose M, Farhat F, Kattan J, Younes F, Moukadem W, Nasr F, Chahine G. FOLFOL-6 combination as the first-line treatment of locally advanced and/or metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2007; **30**: 15-20
- Sudo K, Yamaguchi T, Nakamura K, Denda T, Hara T, Ishihara T, Yokosuka O. Phase II study of S-1 in patients with gemcitabine-resistant advanced pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2011; **67**: 249-254
- Kimura Y, Tsukada J, Tomoda T, Takahashi H, Imai K, Shimamura K, Sunamura M, Yonemitsu Y, Shimodaira S, Koido S, Homma S, Okamoto M. Clinical and immunologic evaluation of dendritic cell-based immunotherapy in combination with gemcitabine and/or S-1 in patients with advanced pancreatic carcinoma. *Pancreas* 2012; **41**: 195-205
- Schüller J, Cassidy J, Dumont E, Roos B, Durston S, Banken L, Utoh M, Mori K, Weidekamm E, Reigner B. Preferential activation of capecitabine in tumor following oral administration to colorectal cancer patients. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2000; **45**: 291-297
- Cartwright TH, Cohn A, Varkey JA, Chen YM, Szatrowski TP, Cox JV, Schulz JJ. Phase II study of oral capecitabine in patients with advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2002; **20**: 160-164
- Hertel LW, Boder GB, Kroin JS, Rinzel SM, Poore GA, Todd GC, Grindey GB. Evaluation of the antitumor activity of gemcitabine (2',2'-difluoro-2'-deoxycytidine). *Cancer Res* 1990; **50**: 4417-4422
- Huang P, Chubb S, Hertel LW, Grindey GB, Plunkett W. Action of 2',2'-difluorodeoxycytidine on DNA synthesis. *Cancer Res* 1991; **51**: 6110-6117
- Burris HA, Moore MJ, Andersen J, Green MR, Rothenberg ML, Modiano MR, Cripps MC, Portenoy RK, Storniolo AM, Tarassoff P, Nelson R, Dorr FA, Stephens CD, Von Hoff DD. Improvements in survival and clinical benefit with gemcitabine as first-line therapy for patients with advanced pancreas cancer: a randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 1997; **15**: 2403-2413
- Carmichael J, Fink U, Russell RC, Spittle MF, Harris AL, Spiess G, Blatter J. Phase II study of gemcitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1996; **73**: 101-105
- Casper ES, Green MR, Kelsen DP, Heelan RT, Brown TD, Flombaum CD, Trochanowski B, Tarassoff PG. Phase II trial of gemcitabine (2,2'-difluorodeoxycytidine) in patients with adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. *Invest New Drugs* 1994; **12**: 29-34
- Berlin JD, Catalano P, Thomas JP, Kugler JW, Haller DG, Benson AB. Phase III study of gemcitabine in combination with fluorouracil versus gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic carcinoma: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Trial E2297. *J Clin Oncol* 2002; **20**: 3270-3275
- Di Costanzo F, Carlini P, Doni L, Massidda B, Mattioli R, Iop A, Barletta E, Moscetti L, Recchia F, Tralongo P, Gasperoni S. Gemcitabine with or without continuous infusion 5-FU in advanced pancreatic cancer: a randomised phase II trial of the Italian oncology group for clinical research (GOIRC). *Br J Cancer* 2005; **93**: 185-189
- Herrmann R, Bodoky G, Ruhstaller T, Glimelius B, Bajetta E, Schüller J, Saletti P, Bauer J, Figer A, Pestalozzi B, Köhne CH, Mingrone W, Stemmer SM, Tâmas K, Kornek GV, Koeberle D, Cina S, Bernhard J, Dietrich D, Scheithauer W. Gemcitabine plus capecitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in advanced pancreatic cancer: a randomized, multicenter, phase III trial of the Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research and the Central European Cooperative Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; **25**: 2212-2217
- Cunningham D, Chau I, Stocken DD, Valle JW, Smith D,

- Steward W, Harper PG, Dunn J, Tudur-Smith C, West J, Falk S, Crellin A, Adab F, Thompson J, Leonard P, Ostrowski J, Eatock M, Scheithauer W, Herrmann R, Neoptolemos JP. Phase III randomized comparison of gemcitabine versus gemcitabine plus capecitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; **27**: 5513-5518
- 29 **van Moorsel CJ**, Pinedo HM, Veerman G, Bergman AM, Kuiper CM, Vermorken JB, van der Vijgh WJ, Peters GJ. Mechanisms of synergism between cisplatin and gemcitabine in ovarian and non-small-cell lung cancer cell lines. *Br J Cancer* 1999; **80**: 981-990
- 30 **Heinemann V**, Quietzsch D, Gieseler F, Gonnermann M, Schönekeas H, Rost A, Neuhaus H, Haag C, Clemens M, Heinrich B, Vehlning-Kaiser U, Fuchs M, Fleckenstein D, Gesierich W, Uthgenannt D, Einsele H, Holstege A, Hinke A, Schalhorn A, Wilkowski R. Randomized phase III trial of gemcitabine plus cisplatin compared with gemcitabine alone in advanced pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; **24**: 3946-3952
- 31 **Colucci G**, Labianca R, Di Costanzo F, Gebbia V, Carteni G, Massidda B, Dapretto E, Manzione L, Piazza E, Sannicolò M, Ciaparrone M, Cavanna L, Giuliani F, Maiello E, Testa A, Pederzoli P, Falconi M, Gallo C, Di Maio M, Perrone F. Randomized phase III trial of gemcitabine plus cisplatin compared with single-agent gemcitabine as first-line treatment of patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: the GIP-1 study. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; **28**: 1645-1651
- 32 **Wang X**, Ni Q, Jin M, Li Z, Wu Y, Zhao Y, Feng F. Gemcitabine or gemcitabine plus cisplatin for in 42 patients with locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Zhonghua Zhongliu Xue* 2002; **24**: 404-407
- 33 **Wagener DJ**, Verdonk HE, Dirix LY, Catimel G, Siegenthaler P, Buitenhuis M, Mathieu-Boué A, Verweij J. Phase II trial of CPT-11 in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer, an EORTC early clinical trials group study. *Ann Oncol* 1995; **6**: 129-132
- 34 **Stathopoulos GP**, Syrigos K, Aravantinos G, Polyzos A, Papakotoulas P, Fountzilas G, Potamianou A, Ziras N, Boukovinas J, Varthalitis J, Androulakis N, Kotsakis A, Samonis G, Georgoulas V. A multicenter phase III trial comparing irinotecan-gemcitabine (IG) with gemcitabine (G) monotherapy as first-line treatment in patients with locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2006; **95**: 587-592
- 35 **Jacobs AD**, Otero H, Picozzi VJ, Aboulafia DM. Gemcitabine combined with docetaxel for the treatment of unresectable pancreatic carcinoma. *Cancer Invest* 2004; **22**: 505-514
- 36 **Lutz MP**, Van Cutsem E, Wagener T, Van Laethem JL, Vanhoef U, Wils JA, Gamelin E, Koehne CH, Arnaud JP, Mitry E, Hussein F, Reichardt P, El-Serafi M, Etienne PL, Lingensfelder T, Praet M, Genicot B, Debois M, Nordlinger B, Ducreux MP. Docetaxel plus gemcitabine or docetaxel plus cisplatin in advanced pancreatic carcinoma: randomized phase II study 40984 of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Gastrointestinal Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; **23**: 9250-9256
- 37 **Neoptolemos JP**, Stocken DD, Bassi C, Ghaneh P, Cunningham D, Goldstein D, Padbury R, Moore MJ, Gallinger S, Mariette C, Wente MN, Izbicki JR, Friess H, Lerch MM, Dervenis C, Oláh A, Butturini G, Doi R, Lind PA, Smith D, Valle JW, Palmer DH, Buckels JA, Thompson J, McKay CJ, Rawcliffe CL, Büchler MW. Adjuvant chemotherapy with fluorouracil plus folinic acid vs gemcitabine following pancreatic cancer resection: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2010; **304**: 1073-1081
- 38 **Conroy T**, Desseigne F, Ychou M, Bouché O, Guimbaud R, Bécouarn Y, Adenis A, Raoul JL, Gourgou-Bourgade S, de la Fouchardière C, Bannouna J, Bachet JB, Khemissa-Akouz F, Péré-Vergé D, Delbaldo C, Assenat E, Chauffert B, Michel P, Montoto-Grillot C, Ducreux M. FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2011; **364**: 1817-1825
- 39 **Kullmann F**, Hollerbach S, Dollinger MM, Harder J, Fuchs M, Messmann H, Trojan J, Gäbele E, Hinke A, Hollerbach C, Endlicher E. Cetuximab plus gemcitabine/oxaliplatin (GEMOX CET) in first-line metastatic pancreatic cancer: a multicentre phase II study. *Br J Cancer* 2009; **100**: 1032-1036
- 40 **Philip PA**. Improving treatment of pancreatic cancer. *Lancet Oncol* 2008; **9**: 7-8
- 41 **Moore MJ**, Goldstein D, Hamm J, Figer A, Hecht JR, Gallinger S, Au HJ, Murawa P, Walde D, Wolff RA, Campos D, Lim R, Ding K, Clark G, Voskoglou-Nomikos T, Ptasynski M, Parulekar W. Erlotinib plus gemcitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase III trial of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; **25**: 1960-1966
- 42 **Novotný J**, Petruzella L, Vedralová J, Kleibl Z, Matous B, Juda L. Prognostic significance of c-erbB-2 gene expression in pancreatic cancer patients. *Neoplasma* 2001; **48**: 188-191
- 43 **Safran H**, Steinhoff M, Mangray S, Rathore R, King TC, Chai L, Berzein K, Moore T, Iannitti D, Reiss P, Pasquariello T, Akerman P, Quirk D, Mass R, Goldstein L, Tantravahi U. Overexpression of the HER-2/neu oncogene in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2001; **24**: 496-499
- 44 **Stoecklein NH**, Luebke AM, Erbersdobler A, Knoefel WT, Schraut W, Verde PE, Stern F, Scheunemann P, Peiper M, Eisenberger CF, Izbicki JR, Klein CA, Hosch SB. Copy number of chromosome 17 but not HER2 amplification predicts clinical outcome of patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2004; **22**: 4737-4745
- 45 **Saeki H**, Yanoma S, Takemiya S, Sugimasa Y, Akaike M, Yukawa N, Rino Y, Imada T. Antitumor activity of a combination of trastuzumab (Herceptin) and oral fluoropyrimidine S-1 on human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-overexpressing pancreatic cancer. *Oncol Rep* 2007; **18**: 433-439
- 46 **Safran H**, Iannitti D, Ramanathan R, Schwartz JD, Steinhoff M, Nauman C, Hesketh P, Rathore R, Wolff R, Tantravahi U, Hughes TM, Maia C, Pasquariello T, Goldstein L, King T, Tsai JY, Kennedy T. Herceptin and gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancers that overexpress HER-2/neu. *Cancer Invest* 2004; **22**: 706-712
- 47 **Ko AH**, Youssefian H, Gurtler J, Dicke K, Kayaleh O, Lenz HJ, Keaton M, Katz T, Ballal S, Rowinsky EK. A phase II randomized study of cetuximab and bevacizumab alone or in combination with gemcitabine as first-line therapy for metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Invest New Drugs* 2011 Jun 1; Epub ahead of print
- 48 **Kindler HL**, Ioka T, Richel DJ, Bennouna J, Létourneau R, Okusaka T, Funakoshi A, Furuse J, Park YS, Ohkawa S, Springett GM, Wasan HS, Trask PC, Bycott P, Ricart AD, Kim S, Van Cutsem E. Axitinib plus gemcitabine versus placebo plus gemcitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma: a double-blind randomised phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2011; **12**: 256-262
- 49 **Spano JP**, Chodkiewicz C, Maurel J, Wong R, Wasan H, Barone C, Létourneau R, Bajetta E, Pithavala Y, Bycott P, Trask P, Liao K, Ricart AD, Kim S, Rixe O. Efficacy of gemcitabine plus axitinib compared with gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: an open-label randomised phase II study. *Lancet* 2008; **371**: 2101-2108
- 50 **Keating JJ**, Johnson PJ, Cochrane AM, Gazzard BG, Krasner N, Smith PM, Trewby PN, Wheeler P, Wilkinson SP, Williams R. A prospective randomised controlled trial of tamoxifen and cyproterone acetate in pancreatic carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 1989; **60**: 789-792
- 51 **Fazeny B**, Baur M, Prohaska M, Hudec M, Kremnitzer M, Meryn S, Huber H, Grunt T, Tuchmann A, Dittrich C. Octreotide combined with goserelin in the therapy of advanced pancreatic cancer--results of a pilot study and review of the literature. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 1997; **123**: 45-52
- 52 **Gutt R**, Liauw SL, Weichselbaum RR. Adjuvant radiothera-



- py for resected pancreatic cancer: a lack of benefit or a lack of adequate trials? *Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009; **6**: 38-46
- 53 **Herman JM**, Swartz MJ, Hsu CC, Winter J, Pawlik TM, Sugar E, Robinson R, Laheru DA, Jaffee E, Hruban RH, Campbell KA, Wolfgang CL, Asrari F, Donehower R, Hidalgo M, Diaz LA, Yeo C, Cameron JL, Schulick RD, Abrams R. Analysis of fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation after pancreaticoduodenectomy for ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: results of a large, prospectively collected database at the Johns Hopkins Hospital. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; **26**: 3503-3510
  - 54 **Allen AM**, Zalupski MM, Robertson JM, Eckhauser FE, Simone D, Brown D, Hejna G, Normolle D, Lawrence TS, McGinn CJ. Adjuvant therapy in pancreatic cancer: Phase I trial of radiation dose escalation with concurrent full-dose gemcitabine. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2004; **59**: 1461-1467
  - 55 **Ben-Josef E**, Shields AF, Vaishampayan U, Vaitkevicius V, El-Rayes BF, McDermott P, Burmeister J, Bossenberger T, Philip PA. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) and concurrent capecitabine for pancreatic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2004; **59**: 454-459
  - 56 **Laheru D**, Yeo CJ. Role of adjuvant therapy in the management of pancreatic cancer. *Adv Surg* 2005; **39**: 223-244
  - 57 **Varadhachary GR**, Tamm EP, Abbruzzese JL, Xiong HQ, Crane CH, Wang H, Lee JE, Pisters PW, Evans DB, Wolff RA. Borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: definitions, management, and role of preoperative therapy. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2006; **13**: 1035-1046
  - 58 **Moertel CG**, Frytak S, Hahn RG, O'Connell MJ, Reitemeier RJ, Rubin J, Schutt AJ, Weiland LH, Childs DS, Holbrook MA, Lavin PT, Livstone E, Spiro H, Knowlton A, Kalser M, Barkin J, Lessner H, Mann-Kaplan R, Ramming K, Douglas HO, Thomas P, Nave H, Bateman J, Lokich J, Brooks J, Chaffey J, Corson JM, Zameck N, Novak JW. Therapy of locally unresectable pancreatic carcinoma: a randomized comparison of high dose (6000 rads) radiation alone, moderate dose radiation (4000 rads + 5-fluorouracil), and high dose radiation + 5-fluorouracil: The Gastrointestinal Tumor Study Group. *Cancer* 1981; **48**: 1705-1710
  - 59 **Hazard L**. The role of radiation therapy in pancreas cancer. *Gastrointest Cancer Res* 2009; **3**: 20-28
  - 60 **Evans DB**, Rich TA, Byrd DR, Cleary KR, Connelly JH, Levin B, Charnsangavej C, Fenoglio CJ, Ames FC. Preoperative chemoradiation and pancreaticoduodenectomy for adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. *Arch Surg* 1992; **127**: 1335-1339
  - 61 **Jessup JM**, Steele G, Mayer RJ, Posner M, Busse P, Cady B, Stone M, Jenkins R, Osteen R. Neoadjuvant therapy for unresectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Arch Surg* 1993; **128**: 559-564
  - 62 **Hoffman JP**, Lipsitz S, Pisansky T, Weese JL, Solin L, Benson AB. Phase II trial of preoperative radiation therapy and chemotherapy for patients with localized, resectable adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 1998; **16**: 317-323
  - 63 **Moutardier V**, Magnin V, Turrini O, Viret F, Hennekinne-Mucci S, Gonçalves A, Pesenti C, Guiramand J, Lelong B, Giovannini M, Monges G, Houvenaeghel G, Delperio JR. Assessment of pathologic response after preoperative chemoradiotherapy and surgery in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2004; **60**: 437-443
  - 64 **Pisters PW**, Hudec WA, Lee JE, Raijman I, Lahoti S, Janjan NA, Rich TA, Crane CH, Lenzi R, Wolff RA, Abbruzzese JL, Evans DB. Preoperative chemoradiation for patients with pancreatic cancer: toxicity of endobiliary stents. *J Clin Oncol* 2000; **18**: 860-867
  - 65 **Evans DB**, Varadhachary GR, Crane CH, Sun CC, Lee JE, Pisters PW, Vauthey JN, Wang H, Cleary KR, Staerckel GA, Charnsangavej C, Lano EA, Ho L, Lenzi R, Abbruzzese JL, Wolff RA. Preoperative gemcitabine-based chemoradiation for patients with resectable adenocarcinoma of the pancreatic head. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; **26**: 3496-3502
  - 66 **Varadhachary GR**, Wolff RA, Crane CH, Sun CC, Lee JE, Pisters PW, Vauthey JN, Abdalla E, Wang H, Staerckel GA, Lee JH, Ross WA, Tamm EP, Bhosale PR, Krishnan S, Das P, Ho L, Xiong H, Abbruzzese JL, Evans DB. Preoperative gemcitabine and cisplatin followed by gemcitabine-based chemoradiation for resectable adenocarcinoma of the pancreatic head. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; **26**: 3487-3495
  - 67 **Stessin AM**, Meyer JE, Sherr DL. Neoadjuvant radiation is associated with improved survival in patients with resectable pancreatic cancer: an analysis of data from the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results (SEER) registry. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008; **72**: 1128-1133
  - 68 **Heinrich S**, Pestalozzi BC, Schäfer M, Weber A, Bauerfeind P, Knuth A, Clavien PA. Prospective phase II trial of neoadjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine and cisplatin for resectable adenocarcinoma of the pancreatic head. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; **26**: 2526-2531
  - 69 **Palmer DH**, Stocken DD, Hewitt H, Markham CE, Hassan AB, Johnson PJ, Buckels JA, Bramhall SR. A randomized phase 2 trial of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in resectable pancreatic cancer: gemcitabine alone versus gemcitabine combined with cisplatin. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2007; **14**: 2088-2096
  - 70 **Meszoely IM**, Wang H, Hoffman JP. Preoperative chemoradiation therapy for adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: The Fox Chase Cancer Center experience, 1986-2003. *Surg Oncol Clin N Am* 2004; **13**: 685-696, x
  - 71 **Talamonti MS**, Small W, Mulcahy MF, Wayne JD, Attaluri V, Colletti LM, Zalupski MM, Hoffman JP, Freedman GM, Kinsella TJ, Philip PA, McGinn CJ. A multi-institutional phase II trial of preoperative full-dose gemcitabine and concurrent radiation for patients with potentially resectable pancreatic carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2006; **13**: 150-158
  - 72 **Spitz FR**, Abbruzzese JL, Lee JE, Pisters PW, Lowy AM, Fenoglio CJ, Cleary KR, Janjan NA, Goswitz MS, Rich TA, Evans DB. Preoperative and postoperative chemoradiation strategies in patients treated with pancreaticoduodenectomy for adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. *J Clin Oncol* 1997; **15**: 928-937
  - 73 **Artinyan A**, Anaya DA, McKenzie S, Ellenhorn JD, Kim J. Neoadjuvant therapy is associated with improved survival in resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Cancer* 2011; **117**: 2044-2049
  - 74 **Cantore M**, Rabbi C, Fiorentini G, Oliani C, Zamagni D, Iacono C, Mambrini A, Del Frio A, Manni A. Combined irinotecan and oxaliplatin in patients with advanced pretreated pancreatic cancer. *Oncology* 2004; **67**: 93-97
  - 75 **Demols A**, Peeters M, Polus M, Marechal R, Gay F, Monsaert E, Hendlisz A, Van Laethem JL. Gemcitabine and oxaliplatin (GEMOX) in gemcitabine refractory advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma: a phase II study. *Br J Cancer* 2006; **94**: 481-485
  - 76 **Xiong HQ**, Varadhachary GR, Blais JC, Hess KR, Abbruzzese JL, Wolff RA. Phase 2 trial of oxaliplatin plus capecitabine (XELOX) as second-line therapy for patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 2008; **113**: 2046-2052
  - 77 **Rivera F**, López-Tarruella S, Vega-Villegas ME, Salcedo M. Treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer: from gemcitabine single agent to combinations and targeted therapy. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2009; **35**: 335-339
  - 78 **Saif MW**. Adjuvant treatment of pancreatic cancer in 2009: where are we? Highlights from the 45th ASCO annual meeting. Orlando, FL, USA. May 29-June 2, 2009. *JOP* 2009; **10**: 373-377
  - 79 **Fulda S**. Apoptosis pathways and their therapeutic exploitation in pancreatic cancer. *J Cell Mol Med* 2009; **13**: 1221-1227
  - 80 **Wong HH**, Lemoine NR. Pancreatic cancer: molecular pathogenesis and new therapeutic targets. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009; **6**: 412-422