

Role of molecular analysis in the adjuvant treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumours: It is time to define it

Margherita Nannini, Maria A Pantaleo, Guido Biasco

Margherita Nannini, Maria A Pantaleo, Guido Biasco, Department of Hematology and Oncological Sciences "LA Seragnoli", Sant'Orsola-Malpighi Hospital, University of Bologna, 40138 Bologna, Italy

Maria A Pantaleo, Guido Biasco, "Giorgio Prodi" Cancer Research Center, University of Bologna, 40138 Bologna, Italy

Author contributions: All the authors contributed to this letter. Correspondence to: Dr. Margherita Nannini, Department of Hematology and Oncological Sciences "LA Seragnoli", Sant'Orsola-Malpighi Hospital, University of Bologna, Via Massarenti 9, 40138 Bologna, Italy. maggie.nannini@gmail.com

Telephone: +39-51-6364078 Fax: +39-51-6364037

Received: February 6, 2013 Revised: March 25, 2013

Accepted: April 3, 2013

Published online: April 28, 2013

Abstract

Sendur *et al* pointed out the attention on the importance of mutational analysis for adjuvant treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) in an article published in *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. In particular, they suggested that the optimal dose and duration of adjuvant therapy could be defined by the mutational status of the primary disease. This comment would underline the importance of centralised laboratories, given the increasingly important role of molecular analysis in the work-flow of all GIST, and the need of retrospective analyses for subgroups population stratified for the mutational status from the available studies in the adjuvant setting, in order to define the role of mutational analysis in choosing the optimal dose and duration of adjuvant therapy.

© 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Gastrointestinal stromal tumours; Platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha; KIT; Wild-type; Molecular analysis; Imatinib; Adjuvant treatment

Core tip: Sendur *et al* pointed out the attention on the

importance of mutational analysis for adjuvant treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). In particular, they suggested that the optimal dose and duration of adjuvant therapy could be defined by the mutational status of the primary disease. This topic represents a big challenge in GIST's management by now, because even if the molecular analysis is strictly recommended in the work-flow of all GIST, its role in the adjuvant setting remains still unsettled due to the lack of prospective large clinical trials. In particular we pointed out the attention on the KIT/platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha wild type GIST, that are extremely heterogeneous both in clinical and molecular background, making difficult their management also in the adjuvant setting. Our comment would underline the importance of centralised laboratories, given the increasingly important role of molecular analysis in the work-flow of all GIST, and the need of retrospective analyses for subgroups population stratified for the mutational status from the available studies in the adjuvant setting, in order to define the role of mutational analysis in choosing the optimal dose and duration of adjuvant therapy.

Nannini M, Pantaleo MA, Biasco G. Role of molecular analysis in the adjuvant treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumours: It is time to define it. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013; 19(16): 2583-2586 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v19/i16/2583.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v19.i16.2583>

TO THE EDITOR

We read with great interest the article by Sendur *et al*^[1] entitled "Is exon mutation analysis needed for adjuvant treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumor?", that has been recently published in the January issue (2013) of *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. The authors pointed out the likely importance of mutational analysis for guiding

the clinicians in the treatment's choice of gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) patients also in the adjuvant setting^[1]. In particular they suggest that the optimal dose and duration of adjuvant treatment could be defined by the mutational status of the primary disease. Their account comes from the consolidated evidence that the mutation status is predictive of response to imatinib treatment in the advanced disease^[2-5]. In fact it is well known that *KIT* exon 11 mutations are associated to the highest response rate to imatinib in comparison to patients exon 9 mutations^[2,3]. On the contrary exon 18 *PDGFRA* D842V point mutation confers primary resistance to imatinib^[4,5]. Furthermore it has been widely shown that the subgroup of patients with *KIT* exon 9 mutation treated with imatinib 800 mg had a higher progression-free survival in comparison with those treated with the standard dose of 400 mg^[6]. Translating these evidences from the advanced disease to the limited disease the authors suggested that it may be reasonable to assume that patients with a substantial risk of GIST relapse harbouring *KIT* exon 9 mutation should be considered for higher dose of adjuvant imatinib.

Moreover, in the last years the potential role of mutational status as a prognostic factor has been progressively appeared^[7]. In particular, it has been shown that deletions of *KIT* exon 11, especially those involving codon 557 and/or codon 558 are associated with a shorter progression-free and overall survival whereas most platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (*PDGFRA*)-mutant GISTs generally have a lower potential for malignancy^[8-15].

By now even if the optimal duration of adjuvant imatinib therapy is still unclear, adjuvant imatinib for three years of duration as a standard of care in high-risk operable GISTs is recommended^[16,17].

Whether the role of mutational status as a prognostic factor should be confirmed in large series, the authors suggest that the optimal duration of adjuvant treatment could be different in relation to the kind of mutation found and not only to the standard prognostic factors.

Based on the above considerations, the mutational analysis is strictly recommended in the work-flow of all cases of primary GIST, because it provides information about tumour sensitivity to imatinib and, although not yet included in any risk stratification system, it may provide prognostic information^[17-19]. However, the decision-making on adjuvant therapy treatment based on mutational analysis alone is not still supported by consistent data, with the exception of *PDGFRA* D842V mutation^[17].

In particular, the adjuvant therapy in the *KIT/PDGFRA* wild type (WT) GIST, which notably may be less sensitive to imatinib than most mutated GIST, remains controversial. In fact, in recent years is emerging more and more the idea that *KIT/PDGFRA* WT GIST should be considered as a heterogeneous group of disorders rather than a single molecular subtype of GIST, both in clinical behaviour and molecular background^[20-27]. For example, it has been recently identified a subgroup of *KIT/PDG-*

FRA WT GIST, characterized by germline and somatic mutations in succinate dehydrogenase (*SDH*) subunits B, C and A and defined in different ways as *SDH*-deficient GIST, or type-2 or pediatric-type GIST^[24-27]. These patients have in common several pathological and clinical features, such as the epithelioid pattern, the multifocal presentation, the female prevalence, the gastric primary tumor localization, and the indolent course of disease despite the presence of lymph nodes and liver metastases up-front and independently to standard prognostic parameters. Moreover it seems that they have also a questionable sensitivity to imatinib. Given their indolent behaviour when metastatic, *KIT/PDGFRA* WT GIST *SDH*-deficient may not benefit from adjuvant treatment irrespective to the standard risk stratification, whereas more aggressive *KIT/PDGFRA* WT GIST without *SDH*-impairment, may be probably considered as all mutated GIST.

Therefore also the effect of adjuvant imatinib on *KIT/PDGFRA* WT GIST may be variable and clinical decision-making should be individualised case by case taking into account various molecular data and shared with the patient^[17].

In conclusion, given the increasingly important role of molecular analysis in the work-flow of all GIST, centralised laboratories should be widely warranted. Furthermore, the special attention pointed up by the authors on the "optimal dose" and the "duration" of adjuvant treatment defined by the mutational status of the primary disease should be used at first for the decision to suggest or not the imatinib treatment in this setting. Finally, since prospective clinical trials with large series for definitely defining the role of mutational analysis for patients stratification, dose selection and treatment duration in the adjuvant setting, are difficult because the rarity of disease, retrospective analyses for subgroups population stratified for the mutational status from the available studies in the adjuvant setting are necessary.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Members of GIST Study Group, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy: Annalisa Altimari, Annalisa Astolfi, Paolo Castellucci, Rita Casadio, Fausto Catena, Claudio Ceccarelli, Valerio Di Scioscio, Giorgio Ercolani, Stefano Fanti, Michelangelo Fiorentino, Serena Formica, Pietro Fusaroli, Valentina Indio, Lidia Gatto, Walter Franco Grigioni, Elisa Gruppioni, Cristian Lolli, Alessandra Maleddu, Anna Mandrioli, Pier-Luigi Martelli, Maria Caterina Pallotti, Paola Paterini, Maria Giulia Pirini, Antonio Daniele Pinna, Donatella Santini, Maristella Saponara, Milena Urbini, Maurizio Zompatori.

REFERENCES

- 1 Sendur MA, Ozdemir NY, Akinci MB, Uncu D, Zengin N, Aksoy S. Is exon mutation analysis needed for adjuvant treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumor? *World J Gastroenterol* 2013; **19**: 144-146 [PMID: 23326179 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.

- v19.i1.144]
- 2 **Heinrich MC**, Corless CL, Demetri GD, Blanke CD, von Mehren M, Joensuu H, McGreevey LS, Chen CJ, Van den Abbeele AD, Druker BJ, Kiese B, Eisenberg B, Roberts PJ, Singer S, Fletcher CD, Silberman S, Dimitrijevic S, Fletcher JA. Kinase mutations and imatinib response in patients with metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor. *J Clin Oncol* 2003; **21**: 4342-4349 [PMID: 14645423]
 - 3 **Debiec-Rychter M**, Dumez H, Judson I, Wasag B, Verweij J, Brown M, Dimitrijevic S, Sciot R, Stul M, Vranck H, Scurr M, Hagemeyer A, van Glabbeke M, van Oosterom AT. Use of c-KIT/PDGFRα mutational analysis to predict the clinical response to imatinib in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours entered on phase I and II studies of the EORTC Soft Tissue and Bone Sarcoma Group. *Eur J Cancer* 2004; **40**: 689-695 [PMID: 15010069]
 - 4 **Heinrich MC**, Corless CL, Duensing A, McGreevey L, Chen CJ, Joseph N, Singer S, Griffith DJ, Haley A, Town A, Demetri GD, Fletcher CD, Fletcher JA. PDGFRα activating mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Science* 2003; **299**: 708-710 [PMID: 12522257]
 - 5 **Corless CL**, Schroeder A, Griffith D, Town A, McGreevey L, Harrell P, Shiraga S, Bainbridge T, Morich J, Heinrich MC. PDGFRα mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors: frequency, spectrum and in vitro sensitivity to imatinib. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; **23**: 5357-5364 [PMID: 15928335]
 - 6 **Debiec-Rychter M**, Sciot R, Le Cesne A, Schlemmer M, Hohenberger P, van Oosterom AT, Blay JY, Leyvraz S, Stul M, Casali PG, Zalcberg J, Verweij J, Van Glabbeke M, Hagemeyer A, Judson I. KIT mutations and dose selection for imatinib in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours. *Eur J Cancer* 2006; **42**: 1093-1103 [PMID: 16624552]
 - 7 **Maleddu A**, Pantaleo MA, Nannini M, Biasco G. The role of mutational analysis of KIT and PDGFRα in gastrointestinal stromal tumors in a clinical setting. *J Transl Med* 2011; **9**: 75 [PMID: 21605429 DOI: 10.1186/1479-5876-9-75]
 - 8 **Heinrich MC**, Owzar K, Corless CL, Hollis D, Borden EC, Fletcher CD, Ryan CW, von Mehren M, Blanke CD, Rankin C, Benjamin RS, Bramwell VH, Demetri GD, Bertagnoli MM, Fletcher JA. Correlation of kinase genotype and clinical outcome in the North American Intergroup Phase III Trial of imatinib mesylate for treatment of advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumor: CALGB 150105 Study by Cancer and Leukemia Group B and Southwest Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; **26**: 5360-5367 [PMID: 18955451 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2008.17.4284]
 - 9 **Andersson J**, Bümbling P, Meis-Kindblom JM, Sihto H, Nupponen N, Joensuu H, Odén A, Gustavsson B, Kindblom LG, Nilsson B. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors with KIT exon 11 deletions are associated with poor prognosis. *Gastroenterology* 2006; **130**: 1573-1581 [PMID: 16697720]
 - 10 **Cho S**, Kitadai Y, Yoshida S, Tanaka S, Yoshihara M, Yoshida K, Chayama K. Deletion of the KIT gene is associated with liver metastasis and poor prognosis in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumor in the stomach. *Int J Oncol* 2006; **28**: 1361-1367 [PMID: 16685437]
 - 11 **Liu XH**, Bai CG, Xie Q, Feng F, Xu ZY, Ma DL. Prognostic value of KIT mutation in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005; **11**: 3948-3952 [PMID: 15991300]
 - 12 **Martín J**, Poveda A, Llombart-Bosch A, Ramos R, López-Guerrero JA, García del Muro J, Maurel J, Calabuig S, Gutierrez A, González de Sande JL, Martínez J, De Juan A, Láinez N, Losa F, Alija V, Escudero P, Casado A, García P, Blanco R, Buesa JM. Deletions affecting codons 557-558 of the c-KIT gene indicate a poor prognosis in patients with completely resected gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a study by the Spanish Group for Sarcoma Research (GEIS). *J Clin Oncol* 2005; **23**: 6190-6198 [PMID: 16135486]
 - 13 **Wardelmann E**, Losen I, Hans V, Neidt I, Speidel N, Bierhoff E, Heinicke T, Pietsch T, Büttner R, Merkelbach-Bruse S. Deletion of Trp-557 and Lys-558 in the juxtamembrane domain of the c-kit protooncogene is associated with metastatic behavior of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Int J Cancer* 2003; **106**: 887-895 [PMID: 12918066]
 - 14 **Lasota J**, Dansonka-Mieszkowska A, Sobin LH, Miettinen M. A great majority of GISTs with PDGFRα mutations represent gastric tumors of low or no malignant potential. *Lab Invest* 2004; **84**: 874-883 [PMID: 15146165]
 - 15 **Lasota J**, Stachura J, Miettinen M. GISTs with PDGFRα exon 14 mutations represent subset of clinically favorable gastric tumors with epithelioid morphology. *Lab Invest* 2006; **86**: 94-100 [PMID: 16258521]
 - 16 **Joensuu H**, Eriksson M, Sundby Hall K, Hartmann JT, Pink D, Schütte J, Ramadori G, Hohenberger P, Duyster J, Al-Batran SE, Schlemmer M, Bauer S, Wardelmann E, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Nilsson B, Sihto H, Monge OR, Bono P, Kallio R, Vehtari A, Leinonen M, Alvegård T, Reichardt P. One vs three years of adjuvant imatinib for operable gastrointestinal stromal tumor: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2012; **307**: 1265-1272 [PMID: 22453568 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2012.347]
 - 17 **Reichardt P**, Blay JY, Boukovinas I, Brodowicz T, Broto JM, Casali PG, Decatris M, Eriksson M, Gelderblom H, Kosmidis P, Le Cesne A, Pousa AL, Schlemmer M, Verweij J, Joensuu H. Adjuvant therapy in primary GIST: state-of-the-art. *Ann Oncol* 2012; **23**: 2776-2781 [PMID: 22831984 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mds198]
 - 18 **ESMO/European Sarcoma Network Working Group**. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2012; **23** Suppl 7: vii49-vii55 [PMID: 22997454]
 - 19 **Gronchi A**. Risk stratification models and mutational analysis: keys to optimising adjuvant therapy in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumour. *Eur J Cancer* 2013; **49**: 884-892 [PMID: 23206668]
 - 20 **Astolfi A**, Nannini M, Pantaleo MA, Di Battista M, Heinrich MC, Santini D, Catena F, Corless CL, Maleddu A, Saponara M, Lolli C, Di Scioscio V, Formica S, Biasco G. A molecular portrait of gastrointestinal stromal tumors: an integrative analysis of gene expression profiling and high-resolution genomic copy number. *Lab Invest* 2010; **90**: 1285-1294 [PMID: 20548289 DOI: 10.1038/labinvest.2010.110]
 - 21 **Pantaleo MA**, Astolfi A, Nannini M, Ceccarelli C, Formica S, Santini D, Heinrich MC, Corless C, Dei Tos AP, Paterini P, Catena F, Maleddu A, Saponara M, Di Battista M, Biasco G. Differential expression of neural markers in KIT and PDGFRα wild-type gastrointestinal stromal tumours. *Histopathology* 2011; **59**: 1071-1080 [PMID: 22175887 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2559.2011.04071.x]
 - 22 **Pantaleo MA**, Astolfi A, Di Battista M, Heinrich MC, Paterini P, Scotlandi K, Santini D, Catena F, Manara MC, Nannini M, Maleddu A, Saponara M, Lolli C, Formica S, Biasco G. Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor expression in wild-type GISTs: a potential novel therapeutic target. *Int J Cancer* 2009; **125**: 2991-2994 [PMID: 19672856 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.24595]
 - 23 **Pantaleo MA**, Astolfi A, Indio V, Moore R, Thiessen N, Heinrich MC, Gnocchi C, Santini D, Catena F, Formica S, Martelli PL, Casadio R, Pession A, Biasco G. SDHA loss-of-function mutations in KIT-PDGFRα wild-type gastrointestinal stromal tumors identified by massively parallel sequencing. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011; **103**: 983-987 [PMID: 21505157 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djr130]
 - 24 **Pantaleo MA**, Nannini M, Astolfi A, Biasco G. A distinct pediatric-type gastrointestinal stromal tumor in adults: potential role of succinate dehydrogenase subunit A mutations. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2011; **35**: 1750-1752 [PMID: 21997697 DOI: 10.1097/PAS.0b013e318230a523]
 - 25 **Miettinen M**, Wang ZF, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Osuch C, Rutkowski P, Lasota J. Succinate dehydrogenase-deficient GISTs:

a clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical, and molecular genetic study of 66 gastric GISTs with predilection to young age. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2011; **35**: 1712-1721 [PMID: 21997692 DOI: 10.1097/PAS.0b013e3182260752]

- 26 **Gill AJ**, Chou A, Vilain R, Clarkson A, Lui M, Jin R, Tobias V, Samra J, Goldstein D, Smith C, Sioson L, Parker N, Smith RC, Sywak M, Sidhu SB, Wyatt JM, Robinson BG, Eckstein RP, Benn DE, Clifton-Bligh RJ. Immunohistochemistry for

SDHB divides gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) into 2 distinct types. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2010; **34**: 636-644 [PMID: 20305538 DOI: 10.1097/PAS.0b013e3181d6150d27]

- 27 **Rege TA**, Wagner AJ, Corless CL, Heinrich MC, Hornick JL. "Pediatric-type" gastrointestinal stromal tumors in adults: distinctive histology predicts genotype and clinical behavior. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2011; **35**: 495-504 [PMID: 21358303 DOI: 10.1097/PAS.0b013e31820e5f7d]

P-Reviewer Hu AR **S-Editor** Wang JL
L-Editor A **E-Editor** Xiong L

