Online Submissions: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/bpgoffice@wjgnet.com doi:10.3748/wjg.v20.i14.3880 World J Gastroenterol 2014 April 14; 20(14): 3880-3888 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited. All rights reserved.

TOPIC HIGHLIGHT

WJG 20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Special Issues (8): Gastric cancer

## Current role of minimally invasive approaches in the treatment of early gastric cancer

Abraham El-Sedfy, Savtaj S Brar, Natalie G Coburn

Abraham El-Sedfy, Department of Surgery, Saint Barnabas Medical Center, Livingston, NJ 07039, United States

Savtaj S Brar, Natalie G Coburn, Division of Surgical Oncology, Odette Cancer Centre, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, ON M4N 3M5, Canada

Author contributions: All authors were involved in writing, editing and revising the manuscript.

Correspondence to: Natalie G Coburn, MD, MPH, FRCSC, FACS, Division of Surgical Oncology, Odette Cancer Centre, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Suite T2-60, 2075 Bayview Ave., Toronto, ON M4N 3M5,

Canada. natalie.coburn@sunnybrook.ca

Telephone: +1-416-4806100 Fax: +1-416-4806002 Received: October 8, 2013 Revised: December 29, 2013

Accepted: February 26, 2014 Published online: April 14, 2014

#### Abstract

Despite declining incidence, gastric cancer remains one of the most common cancers worldwide. Early detection in population-based screening programs has increased the number of cases of early gastric cancer, representing approximately 50% of newly detected gastric cancer cases in Asian countries. Endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection have become the preferred therapeutic techniques in Japan and Korea for the treatment of early gastric cancer patients with a very low risk of lymph node metastasis. Laparoscopic and robotic resections for early gastric cancer, including function-preserving resections, have propagated through advances in technology and surgeon experience. The aim of this paper is to discuss the recent advances in minimally invasive approaches in the treatment of early gastric cancer.

 $\ \odot$  2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited. All rights reserved.

Key words: Endoscopy; Endoscopic resection; Endo-

scopic mucosal resection; Endoscopic submucosal dissection; Laparoscopic resection; Early gastric cancer; Pylorus preserving gastrectomy; Sentinel lymph node; Robotic gastrectomy

Core tip: Early gastric cancer (EGC) is associated with favorable prognosis and there have been many efforts made to minimize the invasiveness of resection. Curative minimally invasive approaches utilized for EGC include endoscopic, laparoscopic and robotic approaches, and sentinel lymph node biopsy. Endoscopic resections have been shown to be safe and effective treatments for carefully selected patients with EGC. In patients with EGC that are not candidates for endoscopic resection, laparoscopic and robotic resections allow for the appropriate curative resection and lymphadenectomy with the benefits of minimally invasive surgery, including improved pain, reduced blood loss, and shorter hospital length of stay.

El-Sedfy A, Brar SS, Coburn NG. Current role of minimally invasive approaches in the treatment of early gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; 20(14): 3880-3888 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v20/i14/3880.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i14.3880

## INTRODUCTION

Although the incidence of gastric cancer has declined, it remains one of the most common causes of cancer-related mortality worldwide<sup>[1,2]</sup>. There are noted regional differences in gastric cancer epidemiology between East Asian and Western nations. In Japan and Korea, where the incidence of gastric cancer remains high, population-based screening with double-contrast barium radiography and/or endoscopy has allowed for earlier detection and presumably better survival<sup>[3,4]</sup>. Analysis of a Japanese nationwide



registry of gastric cancer revealed that 48.8% of cases currently treated are early stage disease<sup>[5]</sup>. However, in the West, late presentation of the disease still predominates<sup>[6]</sup>.

Surgical resection remains the cornerstone of treatment in gastric cancer and prognosis is dependent on the stage at time of detection. Early gastric cancer (EGC) is defined as cancer in which tumor invasion is confined to the mucosa or submucosa (T1 cancer), regardless of lymph node involvement<sup>[7]</sup>. Long term survival data from Japan revealed that the 5-year cancer specific survival rates of EGC are 99% when limited to the mucosa and 96% when the submucosa is invaded<sup>[8,9]</sup>. Furthermore, depth of cancer invasion plays a role in the risk of lymph node (LN) metastasis. When gastric cancer is limited to the mucosa, the incidence of LN metastasis is less than 3% and rises to approximately 20% with submucosal involvement<sup>[8,9]</sup>.

As EGC is associated with favorable prognosis, there have been many efforts made to minimize the invasiveness of resection. Minimally invasive approaches utilized for curative treatment of EGC include endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) or endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD), laparoscopic and robotic approaches, and sentinel lymph node biopsy<sup>[10]</sup>. The aim of this paper is to describe and discuss the recent advances in minimally invasive approaches in the treatment of EGC.

## ROLE OF THERAPEUTIC ENDOSCOPY IN THE TREATMENT OF EARLY GASTRIC CANCER

## Endoscopic resection techniques in the treatment of EGC

Endoscopic approaches in the treatment of EGC were first performed in Japan in 1974<sup>[8]</sup>, but it was not until 1984 that EMR was first described<sup>[11]</sup>. Initially, EMR technique involved injecting saline under the lesion thus raising the tissue and allowing it to be grasped for snaring<sup>[11]</sup>. Over time, EMR has evolved through the use of different injection solutions, such as hypertonic saline with dilute epinephrine, addition of cap-fitted panendoscopes, and variceal ligation devices to capture the lesions<sup>[12-15]</sup>. The main disadvantage of EMR is that for lesions larger than 15mm, a piecemeal pathological specimen is inevitable, greatly impacting pathologists' ability to adequately stage patients<sup>[8,16]</sup>. ESD was developed at the National Cancer Center Hospital in Japan to overcome the limitations of EMR. In comparison with EMR, ESD allows for the resection of larger EGC lesions en bloc by dissection along the submucosal plane, thus preserving the specimen for more accurate pathologic assessment [17-20]. Resection with ESD, however, requires more advanced endoscopic skills and instrumentation to perform.

## Pathological specimen processing

Endoscopic resection provides a specimen that will allow for assessment of the depth of tumor invasion, degree of differentiation and presence of lymphovascular invasion<sup>[21,22]</sup>. Assessment of the horizontal and vertical margins of the specimen are completed to confirm adequate resection<sup>[23]</sup>. Although, no lymph nodes are assessed pathologically, this information allows for prediction of the risk of LN metastasis based on published data of patients with similar pathological staging<sup>[24]</sup>. Importantly, both EMR and ESD allow for pathological staging without undermining any future surgical intervention.

### Indications for endoscopic resection

EGC carries a favorable prognosis when treated with standard surgical resection and lymphadenectomy. Since EMR and ESD are not accompanied by lymphadenectomy, it is imperative to carefully determine the indications for endoscopic resection<sup>[25]</sup>. Ideally, endoscopic resection would be reserved for small, intramucosal EGC of intestinal histology type, in which LN involvement is very unlikely [8,25]. Large lesions, or those with diffuse histology type, are more likely to invade into the submucosa and exhibit metastasis to the LNs, making them poor candidates for endoscopic resection [26]. In Japan, indications for EMR and ESD are for well-differentiated EGC confined to the mucosa (depth T1a), measuring less than 2 cm in diameter, and without ulceration<sup>[23]</sup>. In the Unites States, National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines for tumors confined to the mucosa state that EMR is considered appropriate for lesions less than 1.5 cm, and ESD for lesions less than 3 cm<sup>[27]</sup>. Lesions selected for endoscopic resection should be devoid of lymphovascular invasion<sup>[28]</sup>. Importantly, these guidelines recommend that endoscopic resection for EGC be performed at high-volume centers.

The application of ESD has been explored beyond the standard indications for cancers with a very low probability of LN metastasis. Extended indications were proposed following the study of 5265 patients with EGC who underwent a gastrectomy and D2 lymphadenectomy by Gotoda et al<sup>[29]</sup>, which revealed that these patients had no risk or a lower risk of lymph node metastasis than risks of mortality from a gastrectomy. Proposed extended indications for ESD include T1a tumors that are (1) differentiated without ulceration beyond 2 cm in size; (2) differentiated with ulceration up to 3 cm; and (3) undifferentiated without ulceration up to 2 cm. Large scale feasibility studies showed no differences in the 5-year overall (97.1%) and disease-specific (100%) survival rate of curative resection between the primary and expanded indications for endoscopic resections<sup>[30]</sup>. However, these extended indications remain investigational. Long-term ESD results from prospective clinical trials by the Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG 0607 study) are pending, which may validate the expanded ESD indications<sup>[31]</sup>. JCOG 0607 study, a phase II trial with 330 patients enrolled from 26 institutions, aims to evaluate the efficacy, safety and 5-year overall survival (OS) of patients undergoing ESD resection of T1a EGC under the expanded endoscopic treatment guidelines<sup>[31]</sup>.



#### Outcomes for endoscopic resection

Although no randomized controlled studies (RCTs) exist comparing endoscopic resections with formal surgical resections<sup>[32]</sup>, cohort studies have revealed that EMR treated patients had 5 and 10 year disease-specific survival of greater than 95% and the incidence of recurrence is approximately 6% and 10 year disease.

Complications from endoscopic resections include pain, bleeding and perforation. To prevent delayed bleeding following therapeutic endoscopy, patients are kept fasting the day of the surgery and are asked to begin fluid intake the day following resection and to resume a regular diet the second day after resection. Resected gastric submucosal beds close within 6-8 wk, and patients are discharged on proton pump inhibitors for that duration. Perforations are commonly closed with the aid of endoclips and often do not require additional surgical intervention. Although Oda *et al*<sup>34</sup>, in their retrospective multicenter study, revealed that the 3-year recurrence-free rate was higher with ESD than EMR (97.6% *vs* 92.5% respectively), ESD also proved to be associated with higher perforation rates (3.6% *vs* 1.2% respectively).

#### Follow-up after endoscopic resection

Endoscopic surveillance following definitive treatment of gastric cancer is required to monitor for evidence of recurrence. Abnormalities including mucosal surface changes, wall thickening or stricture, should be investigated with multiple biopsies (4-6) and alongside endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)<sup>[27]</sup>. Treatment of recurrence with further endoscopic resections is controversial.

# ROLE OF LAPAROSCOPY IN THE TREATMENT OF EARLY GASTRIC CANCER

## Laparoscopic resection techniques in the treatment of EGC

Although therapeutic endoscopy has become a standard treatment modality for selected EGC lesions, formal gastrectomy with lymphadenectomy remains the gold standard for most gastric cancers. Increasingly, laparoscopic resection has been used in the minimally invasive treatment for EGC<sup>[10,35]</sup>. Laparoscopic approaches that have been described for the treatment of EGC cancer include (1) Laparoscopic intragastric mucosal resections (LIGMR); (2) Laparoscopic wedge resection (LWR); and (3) Laparoscopic gastrectomy (LG).

Initially, laparoscopic resection techniques were used in the treatment of EGC that was strictly limited to the mucosa with no risk of lymph node involvement [36]. LIGMR, which was first described by Ohashi *et al*[37],

involves the placement of 3 balloon trocars into the abdomen and into the lumen of the stomach through the anterior wall. The balloon equipped ports, one for the laparoscope and two for laparoscopic instruments, prevent air leak and fix the ports to the gastric wall<sup>[37]</sup>. LIGMR enabled mucosal resection of any part of the stomach except for the anterior wall while preserving the muscularis propria<sup>[37]</sup>. LWR, which allows for a fullthickness resection of lesions from the anterior stomach wall, was performed after endoscopic confirmation of an accessible lesion. Two approaches have been described. LWR can be performed using the "lesion lifting method", which entails introduction of a hollow needle at the point of the lesion for the application of a T-tack in the lumen of the stomach. The T-tack serves as an anchor lifting the lesion allowing it to be resected with a laparoscopic stapler[38]. The second method for LWR, first described by Kitano et al<sup>[39]</sup>, involves making an incision in the seromuscular layer of the anterior stomach wall over the lesion, causing the mucosal lesion to bulge through and allowing for resection. The seromuscular layer is then sutured to close the defect<sup>[39]</sup>. As endoscopic techniques of EMR and ESD have become established as safe and effective treatment strategies for EGC confined to the mucosa, the use of LIGMR and LWR have largely decreased<sup>[36]</sup>.

LG is increasingly used for the treatment of EGC with potential lymph node involvement[36]. In Japan and Korea, EGC is considered the only indication for laparoscopic gastrectomy. Several RCTs have been published comparing laparoscopic to open gastric resection conducted mainly in patients with EGC[40-43]. These mostly single-center studies have favorably supported laparoscopic resection for EGC, with benefits including reduced operative blood loss, less post-operative pain and earlier discharge from hospital [44-46]. A recent metaanalysis has found that patients undergoing LG were associated with faster return of bowel function but longer operative times and less harvested lymph nodes<sup>[47]</sup>. Ongoing RCTs are being performed to determine whether there is a significant difference in oncologic outcomes between the two groups. The Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG 0912 study) and the Korean Laparoscopic Gastrointestinal Surgery Study Group (KLASS 01 Study) have initiated large multi-center RCTs comparing longterm survival for EGC following laparoscopic gastrectomy and open gastrectomy [48,49].

In addition, in Korea, a separate phase III study (KLASS 02) has been initiated to evaluate the feasibility of laparoscopic resection in advanced gastric cancer (AGC) patients<sup>[48]</sup>. As we await those results, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis comparing LG with OG for AGC, performed by Chen *et al*<sup>[50]</sup>, revealed similar safety and oncologic outcomes to those seen in the treatment of EGC. In the treatment of AGC, studies consistently revealed a reduction in intra-operative blood loss during LG in comparison to OG<sup>[50]</sup>. Although delicate dissection along with the complexity of performing

an adequate lymphadenectomy during LG was shown to be more time consuming and requiring extensive technical expertise<sup>[50]</sup>, studies have shown a learning curve of approximately 50 LG cases before operative times can be reduced [51-53] and that times were not longer for LGs performed in large high-volume specialized centers [54,55]. As shown in studies evaluating LG for EGC, Chen et al<sup>50]</sup> also revealed a reduced number of post-operative complications (i.e., wound infections, respiratory complications), reduced use of analgesic use, and earlier return of bowel function in the LG group for AGC. Furthermore, their systematic review revealed that LG for AGC had similar cancer recurrence and long-term survival rate to patients treated by OG<sup>[50]</sup>. Therefore existing studies show that LG for the treatment of AGC is both safe and feasible, and results from large multi-center RCTs with extended follow up will shed more light on its oncologic applicability<sup>[50]</sup>.

## Combination of endoscopic and laparoscopic approaches

Laparoscopic and endoscopic cooperative surgery (LECS) was developed by Hiki *et al*<sup>56]</sup> and Nunobe *et al*<sup>57]</sup> for the dissection of submucosal tumors of the stomach. The LECS technique involves initial endoscopic identification and confirmation of tumor location followed by ESD<sup>[56,57]</sup>. Laparoscopic serosal dissection is performed and a stapling device is applied to close the incision line<sup>[56,57]</sup>. LECS is indicated in the treatment of EGCs larger than 3 cm in diameter located at the greater curvature of the stomach or for lesions with extensive ulcerations that may not be amenable to ESD<sup>[57]</sup>. Importantly, LECS does not involve lymphadenectomy.

Combining endoscopic resection with laparoscopic lymphadenectomy has also been investigated in cases where lymph node involvement cannot be disregarded<sup>[58,59]</sup>. Abe *et al*<sup>59]</sup> noted early and delayed gastric ischemia of the preserved stomach secondary to division of major feeding arteries during lymphadenectomy, which resulted in gastric perforation in 1 of 21 patients. In addition, 2 out of 21 patients exhibited gastric emptying problems, although preoperative quality of life was maintained with no dietary restrictions<sup>[59]</sup>. Further studies are necessary before this becomes an acceptable alternative to gastrectomy without compromising oncologic principles<sup>[59]</sup>.

## ROLE OF FUNCTION-PRESERVING RESECTIONS AND LAPAROSCOPY

Resection techniques have been developed with the aim of reducing the functional sequelae of radical gastric resections including dumping syndrome, reflux gastroesophagitis and weight loss<sup>[60]</sup>. Minimally-invasive procedures combining laparoscopic resections with function-preserving gastric surgery include (1) pylorus-preserving gastrectomy (PPG) for distal lesions; (2) proximal gastrectomy (PG) for proximal lesions; and (3) laparoscopic subtotal with small remnant gastric pouch for proximal

lesions.

## Laparoscopic pylorus-preserving gastrectomy

Pylorus-preserving gastrectomy (PPG), which was originally limited to the treatment of benign gastric diseases such as gastric ulcers<sup>[61]</sup>, has become an increasingly accepted treatment modality for EGC patients. The preservation of pyloric function in gastric resections has shown improvements over conventional distal gastrectomy in the prevention of dumping syndrome [62], the prevention of bile reflux[63] and reduced post-operative weight loss<sup>[64]</sup>. Laparoscopic-assisted PPG (LAPPG), which introduces the benefits of laparoscopic surgery, including lower post-operative pain, shorter hospitalization, early return of bowel function, and better cosmesis, is a modality for the treatment of EGC in many institutions in Japan and South Korea<sup>[65]</sup>. LAPPG involves preservation of the right gastric artery and the pyloric branch of the vagus nerve required to maintain pyloric circulation and motility<sup>[64,66]</sup>. However, there are concerns that LAPPG does not allow for adequate suprapyloric lymph node dissection<sup>[67]</sup>. Studies that have evaluated the incidence of lymph node metastasis following distal gastrectomies for EGC have found a 4% rate of metastasis to the suprapyloric lymph nodes<sup>[68,69]</sup>, although 29%-34% of those patients were found to be T2-T3 gastric cancer after final pathological evaluation<sup>[67]</sup>. A retrospective survey of the Gastric Cancer Data Base in Japan by Akiyama et al<sup>[12]</sup> revealed a 0.2% metastasis rate of the suprapyloric lymph nodes after evaluation of 3646 cases of T1 tumors located in the body of the stomach.

Indications for performing LAPPG include (1) intramucosal or submucosal gastric adenocarcinoma without lymph node involvement (cT1, cN0); and (2) tumor lesion located in the distal stomach (4.5 cm to 5 cm proximal to the pyloric ring)<sup>[65]</sup>. A study performed by Morita *et al*<sup>[70]</sup>, evaluating 611 patients who underwent a PPG for T1 gastric cancer had a 5-year OS rate of 96.3%. Hiki *et al*<sup>[71]</sup> evaluated 305 patients treated by PPG and revealed a 5-year OS rate of 98%. While Jiang *et al*<sup>[72]</sup> evaluated 188 patients who underwent a LAPPG and revealed a 3-year OS rate and 3-year disease-specific survival rate of 97.8% and 99.3%.

## Laparoscopic proximal gastrectomy and laparoscopic subtotal gastrectomy with small remnant pouch

Proximal tumors are commonly treated with a total gastrectomy <sup>[73]</sup>. Laparoscopy-assisted total gastrectomy (LATG) is a technically difficult procedure relative to a laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy (LADG) and is associated with higher rates of post-operative complications of increased operative blood loss and increased length of hospitalization <sup>[74,75]</sup>. In addition, Lee *et al* <sup>[76]</sup> showed that LATG was associated with an increased rate of anastomotic stricture in comparison to LADG (26.9% *vs* 8.0%, respectively).

PG has been proposed as a function-preserving approach for EGC<sup>[67]</sup>. Due to the association with mark-



edly higher rates of complications including anastomotic stenosis, reflux esophagitis and no change in nutritional status in comparison to total gastrectomies, An *et al*<sup>[77]</sup> concluded that PG are not a better option than total gastrectomy for proximal third EGC. There has been no apparent advantage with laparoscopic-assisted PG (LAPG)<sup>[78]</sup>.

To improve post-operative quality of life, Jiang *et al*<sup>79</sup> have developed a novel approach for selected patient with proximal EGC, laparoscopy-assisted subtotal gastrectomy (LAsTG), which involves preserving a small proximal gastric pouch. LAsTG carries some concerns pertaining to oncological and reconstruction safety with the preservation of a limited remnant stomach<sup>[67]</sup>. The indications for LAsTG include (1) a pre-operative diagnosis of T1N0 EGC; (2) tumor location is in the proximal third of the stomach; (3) distance between tumor and gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) of 5 cm; and (4) remnant gastric stump measuring 2-3 cm from GEJ<sup>[67]</sup>.

## ROLE OF ROBOTIC ASSISTED GASTRECTOMY IN THE TREATMENT OF EARLY GASTRIC CANCER

Robot-assisted gastrectomy (RAG) may allow surgeons to overcome some of the technical limitations of laparoscopic resections for EGC<sup>[80]</sup>. Robotics improves visualization by providing a magnified, high-definition, three-dimensional image that allows the surgeon to identify smaller anatomical structures<sup>[81]</sup>. In addition, manipulation of tissue is improved with the elimination of physiologic tremor and articulating tools providing seven degrees of freedom and reproducing the movement of the human hand<sup>[81]</sup>. Accordingly, RAG may be advantageous to performing the more technically challenging D2 lymphadenectomy<sup>[81]</sup>. Articulating robotic instruments may allow for the dissection of LNs from difficult lymphatic stations around major vessels and organs<sup>[81]</sup>.

Long-term survival results following RAG are required to assess oncological outcomes, however studies have shown this approach to be adequate in terms of resection margins, lymphadenectomy and staging [82,83]. No differences were noted in terms of the number of lymph nodes harvested when comparing open, laparoscopic and robotic gastrectomy, however the estimated blood loss was significantly less in the robotic group in comparison with the other two approaches<sup>[84]</sup>. A recent meta-analysis of three non-randomized controlled trials was performed by Xiong et al<sup>[85]</sup>. Operative time was significantly longer in the RAG group in comparison to the LG group but was associated with significantly less intra-operative blood loss<sup>[85]</sup>. Furthermore, the comparison of RAG with LG revealed no differences in the number of lymph nodes harvested, length of hospitalization, and morbidity and mortality rates [85]. In addition, several studies have reported shorter learning curves for RAG compared to LG (20 cases vs 50 cases, respectively). Further studies are required to assess oncological outcomes following RAG, as well as addressing important concerns regarding cost-effectiveness<sup>[81]</sup>.

## ROLE OF SENTINEL LYMPH NODE BIOPSY IN THE TREATMENT OF EARLY GASTRIC CANCER

Accurate assessment of lymph node status is an integral part to determination of clinical outcomes and for therapeutic planning in gastric cancer. EGC is associated with 5-year OS rates of greater than 90% and pathological data have suggested that the majority of lymph nodes resected do not contain metastases<sup>[29,86-88]</sup>. Further, extensive lymphadenectomies are associated with increased risk of complications<sup>[89]</sup>. Sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsy is well-established in the treatment of breast cancer and melanoma, and allows for lymph node assessment with limited dissection and reduced complications [90]. SLN biopsy has been investigated as an alternative to extensive lymphadenectomy in the treatment of EGC. Mapping for SLN biopsy has been completed with dye, radiocolloid, as well as combinations of dye and radio-colloid. Potential anatomical limitations to SLN mapping exist in gastric cancer, due to the complex and unpredictable lymphatic drainage of the stomach, increasing the likelihood of skip metastases.

A systematic review on the accuracy of SLN biopsy in gastric cancer was performed by Cardoso et al<sup>91</sup>. This study revealed an overall calculated false negative rate (FNR) of 34.7% with dye alone, 18.5% with radio-colloid alone, and 13.1% for the combination of dye and radiocolloid<sup>[91]</sup>. A recent systematic review performed by Can et al<sup>92</sup>, reveals accuracy rates ranged from 78% to 100%. In addition, there has been publication of the results of a multicenter trial (JCOG study 0302), which evaluated the feasibility and accuracy of diagnosis using SLN biopsy in T1 gastric cancer<sup>[93]</sup>. Final results revealed a high FNR and accrual was suspended early. Primary analysis revealed a FNR of 46% (13/28) and 7 of 13 patients had nodal metastases outside the lymphatic basin<sup>[93]</sup>. However, a recent prospective multicenter trial in Japan performed by Kitagawa et al<sup>94</sup>, revealed a higher accuracy of nodal evaluation for metastasis (93%) and lower FNR (7%) compared to JCOG 0302 results. This drastic difference in results may be explained by the difference in the procedural learning phase in both studies [94]. In JCOG 0302, only five cases were required as the minimum for the initial leaning phase, while a minimum of 30 cases were required for the learning phase in the multicenter trial performed by Kitagawa et al<sup>[94]</sup>. Thus at present, SLN biopsy remains an experimental treatment modality in gastric cancer<sup>[93]</sup>.

### **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Novel surgical approaches, including natural orifice



transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) and singleincision laparoscopic surgery (SILS), are currently being investigated as minimally-invasive treatment options for EGC<sup>[95]</sup>. NOTES entails incision-less surgery to access the peritoneal cavity through natural orifices [96]. Although it has been applied sporadically in bariatric surgery, Nakajima et al<sup>97]</sup> have shown that transvaginal NOTES may represent an option for performing partial gastrectomy for patients with gastric submucosal tumors. Hybrid procedures are being developed including NOTES with SLN biopsy and NOTES with laparoscopy with the goal of expanding indications for its application [96,98]. In comparison to NOTES, which is still in early stages of development, SILS shows earlier promise in the treatment of gastric cancer. SILS is frequently applied in appendix, gallbladder, colon and bariatric surgery [95]. With favorable cosmetic results, Omori et al<sup>[99]</sup> demonstrated SILS distal gastrectomy as a feasible and safe approach for EGC, while Ahn et al<sup>95</sup> performed the first SILS total gastrectomy with D1 lymphadenectomy for proximal EGC. As instrumentation improves and surgeon experience increases, these novel approaches show potential in improving cosmesis and reducing post-operative pain in comparison to the current laparoscopic approaches.

#### CONCLUSION

The prognosis of gastric cancer patients can be improved by early detection and treatment. Minimally-invasive approaches to patients with early gastric cancer have been developed to improve quality of life without compromising oncologic outcomes. EMR and ESD have been shown to be safe and effective treatments for carefully selected patients with EGC. Long term clinical trial results are still pending from Japan for extended criteria, and it is likely that endoscopic approaches have an increasing role in the treatment of EGC. In patients with EGC that are not candidates for endoscopic resection, laparoscopic and robotic resections allow for the appropriate curative resection and lymphadenectomy with the benefits of minimally invasive surgery, including improved pain, reduced blood loss, and shorter hospital length of stay. Growing interest in minimally invasive function-preserving resections will need to be supported with further study to assess oncologic safety. The roles of laparoscopy combined with endoscopic resections as well as SLN biopsy remains to be determined. Important to all these advancements in the treatment of EGC is the continued efforts to assess safety and function without compromising curability.

## **REFERENCES**

- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. Int J Cancer 2010; 127: 2893-2917 [PMID: 21351269 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.25516]
- Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J Clin 2013; 63: 11-30 [PMID: 23335087 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21166]
- 3 Jung KW, Park S, Kong HJ, Won YJ, Lee JY, Park EC, Lee

- JS. Cancer statistics in Korea: incidence, mortality, survival, and prevalence in 2008. *Cancer Res Treat* 2011; **43**: 1-11 [PMID: 21509157 DOI: 10.4143/crt.2011.43.1.1]
- Whiting JL, Sigurdsson A, Rowlands DC, Hallissey MT, Fielding JW. The long term results of endoscopic surveillance of premalignant gastric lesions. *Gut* 2002; 50: 378-381 [PMID: 11839718]
- Maruyama K, Kaminishi M, Hayashi K, Isobe Y, Honda I, Katai H, Arai K, Kodera Y, Nashimoto A. Gastric cancer treated in 1991 in Japan: data analysis of nationwide registry. *Gastric Cancer* 2006; 9: 51-66 [PMID: 16767357 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-006-0370-v]
- 6 Strong VE, Song KY, Park CH, Jacks LM, Gonen M, Shah M, Coit DG, Brennan MF. Comparison of gastric cancer survival following R0 resection in the United States and Korea using an internationally validated nomogram. *Ann Surg* 2010; 251: 640-646 [PMID: 20224369 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181d3d29b]
- 7 Japanese Gastric Cancer Association. Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma - 2nd English Edition - Gastric Cancer 1998; 1: 10-24 [PMID: 11957040]
- 8 Gotoda T. Endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer 2007; 10: 1-11 [PMID: 17334711 DOI: 10.1007/ s10120-006-0408-1]
- 9 Sano T, Kobori O, Muto T. Lymph node metastasis from early gastric cancer: endoscopic resection of tumour. Br J Surg 1992; 79: 241-244 [PMID: 1313325]
- Dikken JL, van de Velde CJ, Coit DG, Shah MA, Verheij M, Cats A. Treatment of resectable gastric cancer. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2012; 5: 49-69 [PMID: 22282708 DOI: 10.1177/1756283X11410771]
- 11 Tada M, Shimada H, Murakami F, Mizumachi M, Arima K, Yanai H. Development of stip-off biopsy. Gastroenterol Endosc 1984; 26: 833-839
- 12 Akiyama M, Ota M, Nakajima H, Yamagata K, Munakata A. Endoscopic mucosal resection of gastric neoplasms using a ligating device. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1997; 45: 182-186 [PMID: 9041007]
- Hirao M, Masuda K, Asanuma T, Naka H, Noda K, Matsuura K, Yamaguchi O, Ueda N. Endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer and other tumors with local injection of hypertonic saline-epinephrine. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1988; 34: 264-269 [PMID: 3391382]
- Inoue H, Takeshita K, Hori H, Muraoka Y, Yoneshima H, Endo M. Endoscopic mucosal resection with a cap-fitted panendoscope for esophagus, stomach, and colon mucosal lesions. Gastrointest Endosc 1993; 39: 58-62 [PMID: 8454147]
- Matsuzaki K, Nagao S, Kawaguchi A, Miyazaki J, Yoshida Y, Kitagawa Y, Nakajima H, Kato S, Hokari R, Tsuzuki Y, Itoh K, Niwa H, Miura S. Newly designed soft prelooped cap for endoscopic mucosal resection of gastric lesions. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2003; 57: 242-246 [PMID: 12556795 DOI: 10.1067/mge.2003.80]
- 16 Korenaga D, Haraguchi M, Tsujitani S, Okamura T, Tamada R, Sugimachi K. Clinicopathological features of mucosal carcinoma of the stomach with lymph node metastasis in eleven patients. *Br J Surg* 1986; 73: 431-433 [PMID: 3719265]
- 17 Kakushima N, Fujishiro M, Kodashima S, Muraki Y, Tateishi A, Yahagi N, Omata M. Technical feasibility of endoscopic submucosal dissection for gastric neoplasms in the elderly Japanese population. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; 22: 311-314 [PMID: 17295759]
- Oyama T, Tomori A, Hotta K, Morita S, Kominato K, Tanaka M, Miyata Y. Endoscopic submucosal dissection of early esophageal cancer. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005; 3: S67-S70 [PMID: 16013002]
- 19 Yamamoto H, Kawata H, Sunada K, Sasaki A, Nakazawa K, Miyata T, Sekine Y, Yano T, Satoh K, Ido K, Sugano K. Successful en-bloc resection of large superficial tumors in the stomach and colon using sodium hyaluronate and small-



- caliber-tip transparent hood. *Endoscopy* 2003; **35**: 690-694 [PMID: 12929067 DOI: 10.1055/s-2003-41516]
- Yokoi C, Gotoda T, Hamanaka H, Oda I. Endoscopic submucosal dissection allows curative resection of locally recurrent early gastric cancer after prior endoscopic mucosal resection. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2006; 64: 212-218 [PMID: 16860071]
- 21 Farrell JJ, Lauwers GY, Brugge WR. Endoscopic mucosal resection using a cap-fitted endoscope improves tissue resection and pathology interpretation: an animal study. *Gastric Cancer* 2006; 9: 3-8 [PMID: 16557429 DOI: 10.1007/ s10120-005-0349-0]
- Yanai H, Matsubara Y, Kawano T, Okamoto T, Hirano A, Nakamura Y, Nakamura H, Nishikawa J, Okita K. Clinical impact of strip biopsy for early gastric cancer. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004; 60: 771-777 [PMID: 15557953]
- 23 Japanese Gastric Cancer Association. Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2010 (ver. 3). Gastric Cancer 2011; 14: 113-123 [PMID: 21573742 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-011-0042-4]
- 24 Etoh T, Katai H, Fukagawa T, Sano T, Oda I, Gotoda T, Yoshimura K, Sasako M. Treatment of early gastric cancer in the elderly patient: results of EMR and gastrectomy at a national referral center in Japan. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2005; 62: 868-871 [PMID: 16301028]
- 25 Yada T, Yokoi C, Uemura N. The current state of diagnosis and treatment for early gastric cancer. *Diagn Ther Endosc* 2013; 2013: 241320 [PMID: 23533320 DOI: 10.1155/2013/241320]
- Yamao T, Shirao K, Ono H, Kondo H, Saito D, Yamaguchi H, Sasako M, Sano T, Ochiai A, Yoshida S. Risk factors for lymph node metastasis from intramucosal gastric carcinoma. *Cancer* 1996; 77: 602-606 [PMID: 8616749]
- 27 Ajani JA, Bentrem DJ, Besh S, D'Amico TA, Das P, Denlinger C, Fakih MG, Fuchs CS, Gerdes H, Glasgow RE, Hayman JA, Hofstetter WL, Ilson DH, Keswani RN, Kleinberg LR, Korn WM, Lockhart AC, Meredith K, Mulcahy MF, Orringer MB, Posey JA, Sasson AR, Scott WJ, Strong VE, Varghese TK, Warren G, Washington MK, Willett C, Wright CD, McMillian NR, Sundar H. Gastric cancer, version 2.2013: featured updates to the NCCN Guidelines. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2013; 11: 531-546 [PMID: 23667204]
- 28 Tsujitani S, Oka S, Saito H, Kondo A, Ikeguchi M, Maeta M, Kaibara N. Less invasive surgery for early gastric cancer based on the low probability of lymph node metastasis. Surgery 1999; 125: 148-154 [PMID: 10026747]
- 29 Gotoda T, Yanagisawa A, Sasako M, Ono H, Nakanishi Y, Shimoda T, Kato Y. Incidence of lymph node metastasis from early gastric cancer: estimation with a large number of cases at two large centers. *Gastric Cancer* 2000; 3: 219-225 [PMID: 11984739]
- 30 Isomoto H, Shikuwa S, Yamaguchi N, Fukuda E, Ikeda K, Nishiyama H, Ohnita K, Mizuta Y, Shiozawa J, Kohno S. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer: a large-scale feasibility study. *Gut* 2009; 58: 331-336 [PMID: 19001058]
- 31 Kurokawa Y, Hasuike N, Ono H, Boku N, Fukuda H. A phase II trial of endoscopic submucosal dissection for mucosal gastric cancer: Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study JCOG0607. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2009; 39: 464-466 [PMID: 19493869]
- Wang YP, Bennett C, Pan T. Endoscopic mucosal resection for early gastric cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; (1): CD004276 [PMID: 16437481 DOI: 10.1002/14651858. CD004276.pub2]
- 33 Kim SG. Endoscopic treatment for early gastric cancer. J Gastric Cancer 2011; 11: 146-154 [PMID: 22076219 DOI: 10.5230/jgc.2011.11.3.146]
- 34 Oda I, Saito D, Tada M, Iishi H, Tanabe S, Oyama T, Doi T, Otani Y, Fujisaki J, Ajioka Y, Hamada T, Inoue H, Gotoda T, Yoshida S. A multicenter retrospective study of endoscopic resection for early gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer 2006; 9:

- 262-270 [PMID: 17235627 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-006-0389-0]
- 35 Hartgrink HH, Jansen EP, van Grieken NC, van de Velde CJ. Gastric cancer. *Lancet* 2009; 374: 477-490 [PMID: 19625077]
- 36 Koeda K, Nishizuka S, Wakabayashi G. Minimally invasive surgery for gastric cancer: the future standard of care. World J Surg 2011; 35: 1469-1477 [PMID: 21476116 DOI: 10.1007/ s00268-011-1051-5]
- 37 Ohashi S. Laparoscopic intraluminal (intragastric) surgery for early gastric cancer. A new concept in laparoscopic surgery. Surg Endosc 1995; 9: 169-171 [PMID: 7597587]
- 38 Ohgami M, Otani Y, Kumai K, Kubota T, Kitajima M. [Laparoscopic surgery for early gastric cancer]. Nihon Geka Gakkai Zasshi 1996; 97: 279-285 [PMID: 8692144]
- 39 Kitano S, Shimoda K, Miyahara M, Shiraishi N, Bandoh T, Yoshida T, Shuto K, Kobayashi M. Laparoscopic approaches in the management of patients with early gastric carcinomas. Surg Laparosc Endosc 1995; 5: 359-362 [PMID: 8845979]
- 40 Hayashi H, Ochiai T, Shimada H, Gunji Y. Prospective randomized study of open versus laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy with extraperigastric lymph node dissection for early gastric cancer. Surg Endosc 2005; 19: 1172-1176 [PMID: 16132323 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-004-8207-4]
- 41 **Huscher CG**, Mingoli A, Sgarzini G, Sansonetti A, Di Paola M, Recher A, Ponzano C. Laparoscopic versus open subtotal gastrectomy for distal gastric cancer: five-year results of a randomized prospective trial. *Ann Surg* 2005; **241**: 232-237 [PMID: 15650632]
- 42 **Kitano S**, Shiraishi N, Fujii K, Yasuda K, Inomata M, Adachi Y. A randomized controlled trial comparing open vs laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy for the treatment of early gastric cancer: an interim report. *Surgery* 2002; **131**: S306-S311 [PMID: 11821829]
- 43 Lee JH, Han HS, Lee JH. A prospective randomized study comparing open vs laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy in early gastric cancer: early results. Surg Endosc 2005; 19: 168-173 [PMID: 15580441 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-004-8808-y]
- 44 Cai J, Wei D, Gao CF, Zhang CS, Zhang H, Zhao T. A prospective randomized study comparing open versus laparoscopy-assisted D2 radical gastrectomy in advanced gastric cancer. *Dig Surg* 2011; 28: 331-337 [PMID: 21934308]
- 45 Kim HH, Hyung WJ, Cho GS, Kim MC, Han SU, Kim W, Ryu SW, Lee HJ, Song KY. Morbidity and mortality of laparoscopic gastrectomy versus open gastrectomy for gastric cancer: an interim report--a phase III multicenter, prospective, randomized Trial (KLASS Trial). *Ann Surg* 2010; 251: 417-420 [PMID: 20160637 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181cc8f6b]
- 46 Ohtani H, Tamamori Y, Noguchi K, Azuma T, Fujimoto S, Oba H, Aoki T, Minami M, Hirakawa K. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials that compared laparoscopy-assisted and open distal gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2010; 14: 958-964 [PMID: 20354807 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-010-1195-x]
- 47 **Sun J**, Li J, Wang J, Pan T, Zhou J, Fu X, Zhang S. Metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials on laparoscopic gastrectomy vs. open gastrectomy for distal gastric cancer. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2012; **59**: 1699-1705 [PMID: 22626787 DOI: 10.5754/hge12259]
- Kim HH, Han SU, Kim MC, Hyung WJ, Kim W, Lee HJ, Ryu SW, Cho GS, Kim CY, Yang HK, Park do J, Song KY, Lee SI, Ryu SY, Lee JH. Prospective randomized controlled trial (phase III) to comparing laparoscopic distal gastrectomy with open distal gastrectomy for gastric adenocarcinoma (KLASS 01). J Korean Surg Soc 2013; 84: 123-130 [PMID: 23396494 DOI: 10.4174/jkss.2013.84.2.123]
- 49 Nakamura K, Katai H, Mizusawa J, Yoshikawa T, Ando M, Terashima M, Ito S, Takagi M, Takagane A, Ninomiya M, Fukushima N, Sasako M. A phase III study of laparoscopyassisted versus open distal gastrectomy with nodal dissection for clinical stage IA/IB gastric Cancer (JCOG0912). Jpn J Clin Oncol 2013; 43: 324-327 [PMID: 23275644]



- 50 Chen K, Xu XW, Mou YP, Pan Y, Zhou YC, Zhang RC, Wu D. Systematic review and meta-analysis of laparoscopic and open gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer. World J Surg Oncol 2013; 11: 182 [PMID: 23927773]
- 51 **Jin SH**, Kim DY, Kim H, Jeong IH, Kim MW, Cho YK, Han SU. Multidimensional learning curve in laparoscopy-assisted gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *Surg Endosc* 2007; **21**: 28-33 [PMID: 16960676 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-005-0634-3]
- 52 Kunisaki C, Makino H, Yamamoto N, Sato T, Oshima T, Nagano Y, Fujii S, Akiyama H, Otsuka Y, Ono HA, Kosaka T, Takagawa R, Shimada H. Learning curve for laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy with regional lymph node dissection for early gastric cancer. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2008; 18: 236-241 [PMID: 18574408 DOI: 10.1097/SLE.0b013e31816aa13f]
- Yoo CH, Kim HO, Hwang SI, Son BH, Shin JH, Kim H. Short-term outcomes of laparoscopic-assisted distal gastrectomy for gastric cancer during a surgeon's learning curve period. Surg Endosc 2009; 23: 2250-2257 [PMID: 19172352 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-008-0315-0]
- 54 Chen QY, Huang CM, Lin JX, Zheng CH, Li P, Xie JW, Wang JB, Lu J. Laparoscopy-assisted versus open D2 radical gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer without serosal invasion: a case control study. World J Surg Oncol 2012; 10: 248 [PMID: 23158876]
- 55 Kim HS, Kim BS, Lee IS, Lee S, Yook JH, Kim BS. Comparison of totally laparoscopic total gastrectomy and open total gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2013; 23: 323-331 [PMID: 23379920 DOI: 10.1089/lap.2012.0389]
- 56 Hiki N, Yamamoto Y, Fukunaga T, Yamaguchi T, Nunobe S, Tokunaga M, Miki A, Ohyama S, Seto Y. Laparoscopic and endoscopic cooperative surgery for gastrointestinal stromal tumor dissection. Surg Endosc 2008; 22: 1729-1735 [PMID: 18074180 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-007-9696-8]
- 57 **Nunobe S**, Hiki N, Gotoda T, Murao T, Haruma K, Matsumoto H, Hirai T, Tanimura S, Sano T, Yamaguchi T. Successful application of laparoscopic and endoscopic cooperative surgery (LECS) for a lateral-spreading mucosal gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer* 2012; **15**: 338-342 [PMID: 22350555 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-012-0146-5]
- 58 **Abe N**, Mori T, Takeuchi H, Yoshida T, Ohki A, Ueki H, Yanagida O, Masaki T, Sugiyama M, Atomi Y. Laparoscopic lymph node dissection after endoscopic submucosal dissection: a novel and minimally invasive approach to treating early-stage gastric cancer. *Am J Surg* 2005; **190**: 496-503 [PMID: 16105543]
- Abe N, Takeuchi H, Ohki A, Yanagida O, Masaki T, Mori T, Sugiyama M. Long-term outcomes of combination of endoscopic submucosal dissection and laparoscopic lymph node dissection without gastrectomy for early gastric cancer patients who have a potential risk of lymph node metastasis. Gastrointest Endosc 2011; 74: 792-797 [PMID: 21951475]
- 60 Park do J, Lee HJ, Jung HC, Kim WH, Lee KU, Yang HK. Clinical outcome of pylorus-preserving gastrectomy in gastric cancer in comparison with conventional distal gastrectomy with Billroth I anastomosis. World J Surg 2008; 32: 1029-1036 [PMID: 18256877 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-007-9441-4]
- 61 Maki T, Shiratori T, Hatafuku T, Sugawara K. Pylorus-preserving gastrectomy as an improved operation for gastric ulcer. *Surgery* 1967; 61: 838-845 [PMID: 5338114]
- 62 **Sasaki I**, Fukushima K, Naito H, Matsuno S, Shiratori T, Maki T. Long-term results of pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for gastric ulcer. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 1992; **168**: 539-548 [PMID: 1306602]
- 63 Tomita R, Fujisaki S, Tanjoh K. Pathophysiological studies on the relationship between postgastrectomy syndrome and gastric emptying function at 5 years after pylorus-preserving distal gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. World J Surg 2003; 27: 725-733 [PMID: 12734683 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-003-

- 6906-v1
- 64 Isozaki H, Okajima K, Momura E, Ichinona T, Fujii K, Izumi N, Takeda Y. Postoperative evaluation of pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 1996; 83: 266-269 [PMID: 8689185]
- 65 Hiki N, Kaminishi M. Pylorus-preserving gastrectomy in gastric cancer surgery--open and laparoscopic approaches. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2005; 390: 442-447 [PMID: 16096761 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-005-0573-4]
- 66 Nunobe S, Hiki N, Fukunaga T, Tokunaga M, Ohyama S, Seto Y, Yamaguchi T. Laparoscopy-assisted pylorus-preserving gastrectomy: preservation of vagus nerve and infrapyloric blood flow induces less stasis. World J Surg 2007; 31: 2335-2340 [PMID: 17952497 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-007-9262-5]
- 67 Hiki N, Nunobe S, Kubota T, Jiang X. Function-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2013; 20: 2683-2692 [PMID: 23504120 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-013-2931-8]
- Kodera Y, Yamamura Y, Kanemitsu Y, Shimizu Y, Hirai T, Yasui K, Morimoto T, Kato T. Lymph node metastasis in cancer of the middle-third stomach: criteria for treatment with a pylorus-preserving gastrectomy. Surg Today 2001; 31: 196-203 [PMID: 11318120]
- 69 Morii Y, Arita T, Shimoda K, Hagino Y, Yoshida T, Kitano S. Indications for pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for gastric cancer based on lymph node metastasis. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2002; 49: 1477-1480 [PMID: 12239971]
- 70 Morita S, Katai H, Saka M, Fukagawa T, Sano T, Sasako M. Outcome of pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 2008; 95: 1131-1135 [PMID: 18690631 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.6295]
- 71 Hiki N, Sano T, Fukunaga T, Ohyama S, Tokunaga M, Yamaguchi T. Survival benefit of pylorus-preserving gastrectomy in early gastric cancer. J Am Coll Surg 2009; 209: 297-301 [PMID: 19717032]
- 72 Jiang X, Hiki N, Nunobe S, Fukunaga T, Kumagai K, Nohara K, Katayama H, Ohyama S, Sano T, Yamaguchi T. Long-term outcome and survival with laparoscopy-assisted pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. Surg Endosc 2011; 25: 1182-1186 [PMID: 20844895 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-010-1336-z]
- 73 Maruyama K, Sasako M, Kinoshita T, Sano T, Katai H. Surgical treatment for gastric cancer: the Japanese approach. Semin Oncol 1996; 23: 360-368 [PMID: 8658220]
- 74 Jeong GA, Cho GS, Kim HH, Lee HJ, Ryu SW, Song KY. Laparoscopy-assisted total gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a multicenter retrospective analysis. *Surgery* 2009; 146: 469-474 [PMID: 19715803]
- 75 Mochiki E, Toyomasu Y, Ogata K, Andoh H, Ohno T, Aihara R, Asao T, Kuwano H. Laparoscopically assisted total gastrectomy with lymph node dissection for upper and middle gastric cancer. Surg Endosc 2008; 22: 1997-2002 [PMID: 18594925 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-008-0015-9]
- 76 Lee SE, Ryu KW, Nam BH, Lee JH, Kim YW, Yu JS, Cho SJ, Lee JY, Kim CG, Choi IJ, Kook MC, Park SR, Kim MJ, Lee JS. Technical feasibility and safety of laparoscopy-assisted total gastrectomy in gastric cancer: a comparative study with laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy. *J Surg Oncol* 2009; 100: 392-395 [PMID: 19598150 DOI: 10.1002/jso.21345]
- 77 **An JY**, Youn HG, Choi MG, Noh JH, Sohn TS, Kim S. The difficult choice between total and proximal gastrectomy in proximal early gastric cancer. *Am J Surg* 2008; **196**: 587-591 [PMID: 18519129]
- 78 Bo T, Zhihong P, Peiwu Y, Feng Q, Ziqiang W, Yan S, Yongliang Z, Huaxin L. General complications following laparoscopic-assisted gastrectomy and analysis of techniques to manage them. Surg Endosc 2009; 23: 1860-1865 [PMID: 19184208 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-008-0312-3]
- 79 Jiang X, Hiki N, Nunobe S, Nohara K, Kumagai K, Sano T, Yamaguchi T. Laparoscopy-assisted subtotal gastrectomy with very small remnant stomach: a novel surgical proce-



- dure for selected early gastric cancer in the upper stomach. *Gastric Cancer* 2011; **14**: 194-199 [PMID: 21347820 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-011-0023-7]
- 80 **Hyun MH**, Lee CH, Kwon YJ, Cho SI, Jang YJ, Kim DH, Kim JH, Park SH, Mok YJ, Park SS. Robot versus laparoscopic gastrectomy for cancer by an experienced surgeon: comparisons of surgery, complications, and surgical stress. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2013; **20**: 1258-1265 [PMID: 23080320 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-012-2679-6]
- 81 Coratti A, Annecchiarico M, Di Marino M, Gentile E, Coratti F, Giulianotti PC. Robot-assisted gastrectomy for gastric cancer: current status and technical considerations. World J Surg 2013; 37: 2771-2781 [PMID: 23674257 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-013-2100-z]
- 82 Patriti A, Ceccarelli G, Bellochi R, Bartoli A, Spaziani A, Di Zitti L, Casciola L. Robot-assisted laparoscopic total and partial gastric resection with D2 lymph node dissection for adenocarcinoma. Surg Endosc 2008; 22: 2753-2760 [PMID: 18813994 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-008-0129-0]
- 83 **Song J**, Oh SJ, Kang WH, Hyung WJ, Choi SH, Noh SH. Robot-assisted gastrectomy with lymph node dissection for gastric cancer: lessons learned from an initial 100 consecutive procedures. *Ann Surg* 2009; **249**: 927-932 [PMID: 19474671 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000351688.64999.73]
- 84 Kim MC, Heo GU, Jung GJ. Robotic gastrectomy for gastric cancer: surgical techniques and clinical merits. Surg Endosc 2010; 24: 610-615 [PMID: 19688399 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-009-0618-9]
- 85 Xiong B, Ma L, Zhang C. Robotic versus laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of short outcomes. Surg Oncol 2012; 21: 274-280 [PMID: 22789391]
- 86 Goto O, Fujishiro M, Kodashima S, Ono S, Omata M. Outcomes of endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer with special reference to validation for curability criteria. *Endoscopy* 2009; 41: 118-122 [PMID: 19214889 DOI: 10.1055/s-0028-1119452]
- 87 Hayashi H, Ochiai T, Mori M, Karube T, Suzuki T, Gunji Y, Hori S, Akutsu N, Matsubara H, Shimada H. Sentinel lymph node mapping for gastric cancer using a dual procedure with dye- and gamma probe-guided techniques. *J Am Coll Surg* 2003; 196: 68-74 [PMID: 12517553]
- 88 Miwa K, Kinami S, Taniguchi K, Fushida S, Fujimura T, Nonomura A. Mapping sentinel nodes in patients with early-stage gastric carcinoma. *Br J Surg* 2003; 90: 178-182 [PMID: 12555293 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.4031]
- 89 Kim MC, Kim HH, Jung GJ, Lee JH, Choi SR, Kang DY, Roh MS, Jeong JS. Lymphatic mapping and sentinel node biopsy using 99mTc tin colloid in gastric cancer. *Ann Surg* 2004; 239: 383-387 [PMID: 15075656]
- 90 Becher RD, Shen P, Stewart JH, Geisinger KR, McCarthy LP, Levine EA. Sentinel lymph node mapping for gastric adenocarcinoma. Am Surg 2009; 75: 710-714 [PMID: 19725295]

- 91 **Cardoso R**, Bocicariu A, Dixon M, Yohanathan L, Seevaratnam R, Helyer L, Law C, Coburn NG. What is the accuracy of sentinel lymph node biopsy for gastric cancer? A systematic review. *Gastric Cancer* 2012; **15** Suppl 1: S48-S59 [PMID: 22262403 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-011-0103-8]
- 92 Can MF, Yagci G, Cetiner S. Systematic review of studies investigating sentinel node navigation surgery and lymphatic mapping for gastric cancer. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2013; 23: 651-662 [PMID: 23755853 DOI: 10.1089/ lap.2012.0311]
- Miyashiro I, Hiratsuka M, Sasako M, Sano T, Mizusawa J, Nakamura K, Nashimoto A, Tsuburaya A, Fukushima N, The Gastric Cancer Surgical Study Group (GCSSG) in the Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG). High false-negative proportion of intraoperative histological examination as a serious problem for clinical application of sentinel node biopsy for early gastric cancer: final results of the Japan Clinical Oncology Group multicenter trial JCOG0302. Gastric Cancer 2013 Aug 10; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 23933782 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-013-0285-3]
- 94 Kitagawa Y, Takeuchi H, Takagi Y, Natsugoe S, Terashima M, Murakami N, Fujimura T, Tsujimoto H, Hayashi H, Yoshimizu N, Takagane A, Mohri Y, Nabeshima K, Uenosono Y, Kinami S, Sakamoto J, Morita S, Aikou T, Miwa K, Kitajima M. Sentinel node mapping for gastric cancer: a prospective multicenter trial in Japan. J Clin Oncol 2013; 31: 3704-3710 [PMID: 24019550]
- 95 Ahn SH, Park DJ, Son SY, Lee CM, Kim HH. Single-incision laparoscopic total gastrectomy with D1+beta lymph node dissection for proximal early gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer* 2013 May 17; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 23681260 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-013-0268-4]
- 96 Asakuma M, Cahill RA, Lee SW, Nomura E, Tanigawa N. NOTES: The question for minimal resection and sentinel node in early gastric cancer. World J Gastrointest Surg 2010; 2: 203-206 [PMID: 21160875 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v2.i6.203]
- 97 Nakajima K, Nishida T, Takahashi T, Souma Y, Hara J, Yamada T, Yoshio T, Tsutsui T, Yokoi T, Mori M, Doki Y. Partial gastrectomy using natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) for gastric submucosal tumors: early experience in humans. Surg Endosc 2009; 23: 2650-2655 [PMID: 19357916 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-009-0474-7]
- Cho WY, Kim YJ, Cho JY, Bok GH, Jin SY, Lee TH, Kim HG, Kim JO, Lee JS. Hybrid natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery: endoscopic full-thickness resection of early gastric cancer and laparoscopic regional lymph node dissection--14 human cases. *Endoscopy* 2011; 43: 134-139 [PMID: 21108175 DOI: 10.1055/s-0030-1255955]
- 99 Omori T, Oyama T, Akamatsu H, Tori M, Ueshima S, Nishida T. Transumbilical single-incision laparoscopic distal gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *Surg Endosc* 2011; 25: 2400-2404 [PMID: 21298524 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-010-1563-3]

P- Reviewers: Arkenau T, Flessas II, Wang Z S- Editor: Wen LL L- Editor: A E- Editor: Ma S







## Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China Fax: +852-65557188

Telephone: +852-31779906 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com



ISSN 1007-9327

