World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2021 January 7; 27(1): 1-142





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJG

World Journal of VV01111 Juni Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 27 Number 1 January 7, 2021

REVIEW

- 1 Experimental models of metabolic and alcoholic fatty liver disease Buyco DG, Martin J, Jeon S, Hooks R, Lin C, Carr R
- 19 Human hepatitis viruses-associated cutaneous and systemic vasculitis Wang CR, Tsai HW

MINIREVIEWS

37 Lipidome is lipids regulator in gastrointestinal tract and it is a life collar in COVID-19: A review Koriem KMM

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

Long non-coding ribonucleic acid W5 inhibits progression and predicts favorable prognosis in 55 hepatocellular carcinoma

Lei GL, Fan HX, Wang C, Niu Y, Li TL, Yu LX, Hong ZX, Yan J, Wang XL, Zhang SG, Ren MJ, Yang PH

Retrospective Study

69 Predictors of pain response after endoscopic ultrasound-guided celiac plexus neurolysis for abdominal pain caused by pancreatic malignancy

Han CQ, Tang XL, Zhang Q, Nie C, Liu J, Ding Z

80 Evaluation of controlled attenuation parameter in assessing hepatic steatosis in patients with autoimmune liver diseases

Ni XX, Lian M, Wu HM, Li XY, Sheng L, Bao H, Miao Q, Xiao X, Guo CJ, Li H, Ma X, Hua J

92 Valuable clinical indicators for identifying infantile-onset inflammatory bowel disease patients with monogenic diseases

Su W, Yu Y, Xu X, Wang XQ, Huang JB, Xu CD, Xiao Y

Randomized Controlled Trial

107 Effect of probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum Dad-13 powder consumption on the gut microbiota and intestinal health of overweight adults

Rahayu ES, Mariyatun M, Putri Manurung NE, Hasan PN, Therdtatha P, Mishima R, Komalasari H, Mahfuzah NA, Pamungkaningtyas FH, Yoga WK, Nurfiana DA, Liwan SY, Juffrie M, Nugroho AE, Utami T

CASE REPORT

129 Spontaneous regression of gastric gastrinoma after resection of metastases to the lesser omentum: A case report and review of literature

Okamoto T, Yoshimoto T, Ohike N, Fujikawa A, Kanie T, Fukuda K



Contents

Weekly Volume 27 Number 1 January 7, 2021

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, King-Wah Chiu is a Distinguished Professor at the Cheng Shui University in Kaohsiung, Taiwan, Republic of China. Having received his Bachelor's degree from China Medical University College of Medicine in 1985, he rose to Chief in the Gastroenterology Division of the Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Affiliated to Chang Gung University of College of Medicine in 2002. Dr. Chiu is a recognized expert in hepato-gastroenterology, having practiced for 30 years, and the pioneer of transplant hepatology in the field of liver transplantation, practicing in Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital since 1998. His ongoing research interests involve the application of molecular biology in transplant hepatology, particularly to study the effects of integrative basic medicine on and management of living-donor liver transplantation establishment. (L-Editor: Filipodia)

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now indexed in Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports®, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2020 edition of Journal Citation Report® cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 3.665; IF without journal self cites: 3.534; 5-year IF: 4.048; Ranking: 35 among 88 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yu-Jie Ma; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Ze-Mao Gong,

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 1, 1995	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Weekly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Andrzej S Tarnawski, Subrata Ghosh	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wignet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
January 7, 2021	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WÛ

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2021 January 7; 27(1): 1-18

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i1.1

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

REVIEW

Experimental models of metabolic and alcoholic fatty liver disease

Delfin Gerard Buyco, Jasmin Martin, Sookyoung Jeon, Royce Hooks, Chelsea Lin, Rotonya Carr

ORCID number: Delfin Gerard Buyco 0000-0001-5477-0316; Jasmin Martin 0000-0002-6581-2005; Sookyoung Jeon 0000-0002-3620-0851; Royce Hooks 0000-0003-0899-9907; Chelsea Lin 0000-0003-3696-121X; Rotonya Carr 0000-0003-0235-6994.

Author contributions: Buyco DG wrote the first draft of the paper; Buyco DG, Martin J, Jeon S, Hooks R, Lin C and Carr RM performed the research for the manuscript and edited all drafts of the paper.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Unsolicited Manuscript

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Delfin Gerard Buyco, Jasmin Martin, Sookyoung Jeon, Royce Hooks, Chelsea Lin, Rotonya Carr, Division of Gastroenterology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, United States

Corresponding author: Rotonya Carr, MD, Assistant Professor, Division of Gastroenterology, University of Pennsylvania, 421 Curie Boulevard 907 Biomedical Research Center, Philadelphia, PA 19104, United States. rotonya.carr@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

Abstract

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a multi-systemic disease that is considered the hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome (MetS). Because alcohol consumption in NAFLD patients is common, there is a significant overlap in the pathogenesis of NAFLD and alcoholic liver disease (ALD). Indeed, MetS also significantly contributes to liver injury in ALD patients. This "syndrome of metabolic and alcoholic steatohepatitis" (SMASH) is thus expected to be a more prevalent presentation in liver patients, as the obesity epidemic continues. Several pre-clinical experimental models that couple alcohol consumption with NAFLDinducing diet or genetic obesity have been developed to better understand the pathogenic mechanisms of SMASH. These models indicate that concomitant MetS and alcohol contribute to lipid dysregulation, oxidative stress, and the induction of innate immune response. There are significant limitations in the applicability of these models to human disease, such as the ability to induce advanced liver injury or replicate patterns in human food/alcohol consumption. Thus, there remains a need to develop models that accurately replicate patterns of obesogenic diet and alcohol consumption in SMASH patients.

Key Words: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; Alcoholic liver disease; Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; Animal models; Insulin resistance; Oxidative stress

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Experimental animal and cell culture models have been developed to study the "syndrome of metabolic and alcoholic steatohepatitis" (SMASH), in which concomitant non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and alcoholic liver disease risk factors play a role in liver injury. These models demonstrate that obesity, metabolic syndrome, and alcohol consumption synergistically contribute to lipid dysregulation, oxidative stress, inflammation, and fibrogenesis. The pathogenesis of SMASH in these



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Country/Territory of origin: United States

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: September 3, 2020 Peer-review started: September 3, 2020

First decision: October 17, 2020 Revised: November 1, 2020 Accepted: December 6, 2020 Article in press: December 6, 2020 Published online: January 7, 2021

P-Reviewer: Jin H S-Editor: Zhang H L-Editor: A P-Editor: Liu JH



experimental models is dependent on obesogenic diet composition, alcohol consumption patterns, alcohol dosage, and genetic background.

Citation: Buyco DG, Martin J, Jeon S, Hooks R, Lin C, Carr R. Experimental models of metabolic and alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol 2021; 27(1): 1-18 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v27/i1/1.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v27.i1.1

INTRODUCTION

The two most common causes of liver disease worldwide are non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and alcoholic liver disease (ALD)^[1]. NAFLD is a multi-system disease whose major risk factors are genetic susceptibility, obesity, insulin resistance, and metabolic syndrome (MetS). MetS is the constellation of obesity, insulin resistance, hypercholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, and hypertension; and NAFLD is considered the hepatic manifestation of MetS^[24]. ALD also has a multi-factorial etiology, including alcohol consumption quantity and pattern, environmental factors, and genetics. Alcohol consumption patterns can be described in terms of long-term (chronic) or acute (binge) drinking episodes.

Clinicians recognize the existence of an overlap condition between NAFLD and ALD, despite the absence of significant alcohol intake in NAFLD diagnostic criteria^[5]. For example, among ALD patients, obesity increases the likelihood for the development of alcoholic cirrhosis^[6], while both long-term alcohol consumption and obesity have been found to independently promote advanced fibrosis^[7]. Obesity and MetS also increase mortality in ALD^[8]. Obesity, insulin resistance, and MetS are the most significant contributors to ALD severity, with as many as 50% of ALD patients estimated to have liver disease as a consequence of both alcohol overconsumption and obesity^[9,10]. Obese individuals who overconsume alcohol are more likely to develop hepatic steatosis and cirrhosis compared to normal weight individuals^[7]. As the obesity epidemic persists, this "syndrome of metabolic and alcoholic steatohepatitis" or SMASH is expected to become a more common presentation in liver patients^[11].

Several experimental models have been developed to understand the metabolic factors that exacerbate liver disease in patients with coexistent NAFLD and ALD risk factors. Studies that incorporated both obesogenic diet (e.g., high-fat, high-fructose) or genetic obesity and some form of alcohol consumption in a rodent model were included in this critical review, while human retrospective studies, human clinical trials, and in vitro models were excluded. While these models couple NAFLD risk factors with alcohol exposure, they differ in the animal genetic background, obesogenic diet composition, type and duration of alcohol consumption, and ability to induce significant liver injury. Here, we review the pre-clinical experimental models developed to study the interactive effects of NAFLD and ALD risk factors on hepatic injury, as well as limitations of the various models with regards to human disease.

PATHOLOGICAL OVERLAP BETWEEN NAFLD AND ALD

Lipid dysregulation

Lipid metabolism dysregulation is a key factor in the pathogenesis of NAFLD and ALD. De novo lipogenesis and fatty acid oxidation (FAO) are implicated in NAFLD pathogenesis, although lipid uptake, storage, and export also play a role^[12]. Meanwhile, experimental models indicate that alcohol (EtOH) consumption further exacerbates lipid dysregulation in MetS. AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a key regulator of lipid metabolism, and its inhibition has been implicated in both NAFLD and ALD^[13-15]. AMPK has reciprocal effects on *de novo* lipogenesis and fatty acid (FA) uptake through the regulation of the transcription factor sterol response element binding protein 1 (SREBP-1)^[16]. SREBP-1 is upregulated in NAFLD patients and in high-fat diet (HFD)-induced NAFLD rodent models^[17]. Pharmacological inhibition of SREBP-1 increases insulin sensitivity and suppresses FA synthesis^[18]. AMPK also modulates FAO by regulating peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor



(PPAR) a and sirtuin 1 (SIRT-1), the latter of which is inhibited by EtOH consumption^[19-22]. FAO is induced in NAFLD as a compensatory effect of increased lipid uptake and *de novo* lipogenesis^[23]. In obese patients, EtOH is also known to increase adiponectin levels, which induces AMPK activation^[24].

Oxidative stress

NAFLD risk factors and EtOH consumption also contribute to oxidative stress in fatty liver disease by dysregulating oxidative biochemical processes and producing reactive oxygen species (ROS). Obesogenic diet contributes to the formation of ROS such as hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), superoxide (O2-), 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE), malondialdehyde (MDA), and oxysterols as byproducts of FAO^[25]. EtOH metabolism by alcohol and acetaldehyde dehydrogenases, and cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1) also produces ROS^[26]. CYP2E1, in particular, is implicated in the pathogenesis of both NAFLD and ALD in humans^[5,27]. CYP2E1 metabolizes EtOH and other xenobiotics in a nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP+/NADPH)-mediated process and produces H₂O₂ and O₂-^[28]. Mouse models also indicate that CYP2E1 plays a role in lipid dysregulation^[29] and diabetes^[30]. Inhibition of CYP2E1 has been found to be protective against lipid metabolism dysregulation and oxidative stress induced by combined FA and EtOH treatment in vitro^[31].

Concomitant NAFLD risk factors and EtOH consumption also dysregulate the unfolded protein response (UPR), resulting in the production of ROS in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)^[32]. In the UPR, misfolded proteins sequester binding immunoglobulin protein (BIP), inducing the protein kinase R-like ER kinase (PERK), activating transcription factor 6 (ATF-6), and inositol-requiring kinase (IRE-1) transduction pathways. The UPR involves the formation of disulfide bonds, an oxidative process that produces H_2O_2 and other ROS^[32]. Protein folding dysregulation is associated with oxidative stress in fatty liver disease^[33]; saturated FA, oleic acid, and cholesterol interact with the UPR and induce ER stress^[34]. Lipids and EtOH have a reciprocal relationship with the ER: PERK induces protein and lipid metabolism, and apoptosis, while ATF-6 and IRE-1 mediate protein trafficking and inflammation^[35,36].

Three enzymes are key to the elimination of ROS: Superoxide dismutase (SOD) metabolizes O_2 - to O_2 and H_2O_2 ; while catalase and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) metabolize H₂O₂to O₂and H₂O^[37,38]. H₂O₂oxidation by GPx is coupled to the metabolism of reduced glutathione (GSH) to oxidized glutathione disulfide (GSSG). GSSG is reduced back to GSH by glutathione reductase (GR), which requires NADPH^[39,40]. Clinical observations indicate that patients with NAFLD have increased SOD, GPx, and GR activities, as well as elevated levels of GSH^[41,42]. Similarly, patients with ALD have upregulated SOD activity^[43], although GSH/GSSG ratio is decreased^[44].

Immune response

Macrophage and neutrophil activation play important roles in liver injury in the context of MetS and EtOH consumption^[45,46]. Macrophages adopt a number of functional states that are traditionally categorized into two groups. Pro-inflammatory M1 polarization is distinguished by the presence of cell surface marker CD68, and secretion of interleukin (IL) 6, tumor necrosis factor a (TNFa), and other cytokines. Conversely, anti-inflammatory M2 polarization is distinguished by CD163, transforming growth factor β (TGF β), IL-10, and arginase 1 (ARG-1)^[47]. Saturated FAs and cholesterol are known to induce a predominantly M1-like phenotype in Kupffer cells, liver-resident macrophages^[48]. M1 polarization is associated with severity of disease, CD68+ Kupffer cells, and TNFa expression were both found to be significantly higher in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) patients compared to patients with simple steatosis^[49]. However, CD45+ leukocytes and CD163+ Kupffer cells are also increased in pediatric NAFLD patients^[50]. Meanwhile, hepatocyte damage in ALD increases lipopolysaccharide (LPS) leakage into the liver by enhancing intestinal permeability, resulting in TNFa and IL-10 secretion by Kupffer cells^[51,52]. The two-state model of macrophage polarization may thus be insufficient to describe immune response under concomitant NAFLD and ALD risk factors. Kupffer cells also release IL-1 β in response to hepatic tissue damage and necrosis, which results in neutrophil recruitment from bone marrow to the liver^[53]. Neutrophil infiltration, distinguished by upregulation in TNFa, IL-1, and osteopontin (OPN), contributes to hepatocyte death and correlates with ALD severity^[54].

Apoptosis and fibrosis

NAFLD pathogenesis involves hepatocellular apoptosis, which occurs via the extrinsic



and intrinsic pathways. In the intrinsic pathway, apoptosis occurs as a result of cellular, ER, or mitochondrial stress^[55]. In the extrinsic pathway, apoptosis arises as a response to extracellular signaling^[56]. One particularly important extrinsic mechanism in NAFLD is the tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α) pathway. TNF α activates antiapoptotic NF-KB and apoptotic caspase-3[57,58].

Oxidative stress, inflammation, and hepatocyte apoptosis contribute to hepatic fibrosis in NAFLD and ALD, and these pathways are mediated by hepatic stellate cells $(HSC)^{[59]}$. One important fibrogenic mechanism in NAFLD and ALD is the TGF β pathway, which induces the expression of collagens, a component of extracellular matrix (ECM), via the signal transducer SMAD-3. Another important signaling pathway is that of toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4), which responds to LPS, and produces pro-inflammatory cytokines^[60,61]. TLR-4 is upregulated in obese patients with NAFLD^[62]; and in patients with ALD, alcohol increases gut permeability and promotes LPS-producing Gram-negative bacteria^[63]. However, in one study, mRNA expression of TLR-4 tended to decrease in biopsy-diagnosed NAFLD patients who drank at most 20 g/kg/d EtOH compared to those who did not drink^[64]. Activated HSCs also produce tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMP), which inhibits ECM-degrading matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs)^[65].

EXPERIMENTAL MODELS OF NAFLD AND ALD

Despite the pathological overlap between NAFLD and ALD, most studies model NAFLD risk factors and EtOH consumption independent of each other. Although individually these models are limited in their capacity to recapitulate SMASH pathogenesis, they form the foundation for many SMASH models.

NAFLD models

A variety of animal models have been proposed for studying NAFLD, which can be largely divided into three categories: dietary, genetic, and combined models. Dietary manipulations can induce NAFLD development by using obesogenic or nutrientdeficient diets^[66]. Obesogenic diets are typically rich in fat with additional sugar and/or cholesterol, representing metabolic and histological features of human NAFLD. There are a number of obesogenic diets, varying in the macronutrient composition, sources of fat, types of sugar, and cholesterol content. Nutrient-deficient diets, such as methionine- and choline-deficient, and choline-deficient L-amino aciddefined (CDAA) diets, are also capable of inducing NAFLD^[66-68]. Choline-deficient and CDAA diets are used because methionine and choline are required for phosphatidylcholine synthesis, and are thus intimately involved in lipoprotein synthesis, lipid storage, and lipid regulation^[69]. Nutrient-deficient diets can rapidly induce NAFLD within a few weeks of feeding, but some metabolic features including body weight, hyperglycemia, and insulin sensitivity do not manifest as they do in human NAFLD.

Various genetic animal models have also been created to study NAFLD, and are especially valuable for studying the mechanisms of NAFLD pathogenesis^[67,70]. Genetic mouse models of NAFLD include leptin-deficient (ob/ob), leptin receptor-deficient (db/db), insulin resistant (KK-A^y), SREBP-1c transgenic, PPARa null, phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) null, and acyl-coenzyme A oxidase (ACOX) null mice. For rats, genetic models include leptin receptor-deficient (fa/fa) and cholecystokinin knockout (OLETF). Dietary and genetic models are also combined to replicate the multifactorial nature of human NAFLD. A detailed discussion of NAFLD animal models is beyond the scope of this review and described in other reviews^[66-68,70].

ALD models

Pre-clinical models have also been developed to understand the pathogenesis of ALD. EtOH can be administered to animals either orally or intravenously. Four models have been developed for studying ALD: (1) Binge feeding, in which animals are acutely administered EtOH by gavage; (2) Chronic feeding, in which animals are given access to EtOH through diet or drinking water for a prolonged period of time (e.g., Lieber-DeCarli diet model^[71]); (3) Intragastric (iG) infusion feeding (e.g., Tsukamoto-French model^[72-74]); and (4) Chronic-binge feeding, in which animals are given chronic EtOH for a certain period of time, then administered EtOH acutely (e.g., Gao model^[75,76]). Variations in these models exist, such as multiple binge feeding and combined models. In addition, experimental models vary in EtOH concentration and feeding period. Animal models should thus be selected depending on the purpose of the study, as



there have been no animal models that fully recapitulate the full spectrum of ALD.

EXPERIMENTAL MODELS OF SMASH

Experimental rodent models (Table 1) of SMASH couple one of the dietary or genetic models of NAFLD described above with EtOH consumption models of ALD. While obesity and EtOH consumption models vary considerably, studies that combine the two generally indicate a synergistic increase in steatosis, inflammation, and fibrosis by obesity and EtOH.

Dietary models of SMASH

The most common type of dietary SMASH model couples HFD with either chronic or binge (acute) EtOH consumption. For example, Gäbele et al^[77] gave Balb/c mice ad *libitum* access to either chow diet or HFD, along with water or 5% EtOH in drinking water. HFD-EtOH diet upregulated TNF α , TGF β , collagen I, α smooth muscle actin (aSMA) expression, synergistically contributing to inflammation and fibrosis. HFD upregulated TLR-4 expression, but EtOH did not have an effect on TLR-4. However, combined HFD-EtOH increased serum LPS levels and had increased fibrosis compared to the other groups, suggesting that obesity increases LPS sensitivity by upregulating TLR-4, while EtOH promotes fibrosis by increasing intestinal permeability and LPS leakage.

Wang et al^[78] used high-fat (HF) Lieber-DeCarli (LD) diet and observed increased inflammation and apoptosis in HF-EtOH treated rats. Sprague-Dawley rats were given ad libitum access to control LD or HF LD diet for 6 wk. The HF LD-fed rats were then given either HF LD and HF-EtOH LD for another 4 wk. HF-EtOH LD increased hepatic steatosis and number of inflammatory foci compared to controls. HF-EtOH LD also increased TUNEL+ apoptotic hepatocytes compared to control diet, but EtOH did not significantly affect TUNEL+ hepatocyte count, suggesting that obesogenic diet contributes more to apoptosis than EtOH in this model. There was no difference in Bcell lymphoma (BCL) 2 or BCL-2-associated X protein (Bax) between the groups, while Fas death receptor and Fas ligand were upregulated in the HF-EtOH LD group, suggesting that hepatocyte apoptosis occurs via the extrinsic pathway in SMASH.

Similarly, Gopal et al^[79] developed a model using both solid diet and LD to determine the effect of exogenous SOD-1 on inflammation and fibrosis in SMASH. C57BL/6 mice were fed either chow or HFD for 10 wk, then either control LD or 5% EtOH LD for an additional 4 wk. The HFD-fed mice were also administered SOD-1 for 2 wk. HFD-EtOH upregulated CYP2E1, ADH, catalase, FA oxidizing ACOX-1, proinflammatory CCL-2, and pro-fibrotic MMP-12 compared to controls. These results corroborate the findings in the other aforementioned HFD-EtOH models that dietary obesity and EtOH both contribute to lipid dysregulation, inflammation, and fibrosis. SOD-1 treatment attenuated the increases in CYP2E1, ADH, CCL-2, and MMP-12, but further upregulated catalase, PPARa, and ACOX-1. The effects of SOD indicate that dysregulation of antioxidant mechanisms plays a role in SMASH pathogenesis, but targeting these mechanisms pharmacologically may have adverse effects on lipid metabolism (Figure 1).

The aforementioned models used chronic EtOH feeding to induce liver injury, but Duly *et al*^[80] took a different approach to modeling SMASH by coupling HFD to repeated binge EtOH consumption. C57BL/6 mice were fed chow or HFD for 12 wk, and administered saline or 2 g EtOH/kg BW twice per week. ALT/AST activity did not differ between experimental groups, but HFD-EtOH increased hepatic TG levels compared to controls. HFD-EtOH also upregulated SREBP-1 and stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1, a transcriptional target of SREBP-1 involved in FA synthesis^[16], compared to controls. HFD-EtOH also increased vimentin+ cells in the hepatic parenchyma and collagen deposition compared to controls, but did not affect expression of pro-fibrotic collagen I, TGF β , and plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI-1). These results suggest that obesity and EtOH synergistically contribute to lipid dysregulation and fibrosis, although through a yet unknown mechanism. The application of this study to human disease is also limited because HFD alone did not promote TG accumulation, a diagnostic criterion for NAFLD.

HFD intragastric infusion is also used in overfeeding models of SMASH. For example, Lazaro et al^[81] fed C57BL/6 mice high saturated fat, high cholesterol (HFHC) diet for 2 wk, then liquid HFD or HFD-EtOH by intragastric infusion for 8 wk, with some HFD-EtOH-fed mice also receiving additional EtOH by gavage. HFHC and chronic-binge EtOH upregulated myeloperoxidase, CXCL-1, and OPN, and increased



Table 1 Experimental studies modeling the effect of metabolic syndrome risk factors and alcohol consumption on liver injury outcomes in rodent and cell culture models

Ref.	Experimental model details	Biochemistry	Anatomy and histology	mRNA and protein expression
Alwahsh <i>et al</i> ^[84]	(1) Animal: 10 wk male Sprague- Dawley rat; (2) Initial weight: 270-310 g; (3) Diet: LD control, LD with 30% kcal from fructose, 30% kcal from EtOH, or LD with both fructose and EtOH; and (4) Duration: 28 d	(1) \uparrow ALT; (2) \uparrow liver and plasma TG; (3) \uparrow plasma leptin; (4) \downarrow plasma HDL; (5) \downarrow plasma albumin; and (6) No dif. in leptin or hepatic TG between LD-EtOH and LD- fructose-EtOH	(1) Portal inflammatory infiltration and stage 1 fibrosis (LD-EtOH); (2) Periportal macrosteatosis (LD- fructose); and (3) Portal inflammation, periportal macrosteatosis, fibrosis (LD- fructose-EtOH)	(1) \uparrow leptin; (2) \uparrow ACC-2; (3) \uparrow lipase in LD-EtOH, but \downarrow in LD- fructose and LD-fructose-EtOH; (4) \downarrow IRS-1, IRS-2 (fructose groups); and (5) \uparrow CD36, CPT-1 α , PPAR α in LD- EtOH and LD- fructose, but no change in LD- fructose-EtOH
Bucher <i>et al</i> ^[112]	(1) Animal: 7 wk male C57BL/6J Mouse; (2) Initial weight: 20-23 g; (3) Diet: Control (3 kcal/g food; 16% kcal protein) or HFD (5.5 kcal/g; 60% kcal SFA); 10 g/kg/d EtOH in drinking water; and (4) Duration: 4 mo	(1) \uparrow ALT, but \downarrow ALT compared to EtOH-naïve HFD; (2) \uparrow cholesterol, but \downarrow cholesterol compared to EtOH-naïve HFD; (3) \uparrow TG \uparrow serum glucose; (4) \uparrow serum insulin; and (5) \uparrow MUFA and \downarrow SFA (compared to HFD)	(1) Mediovesicular and macrovacular steatosis and (2) No dif. in necroinflammation or perisinusoidal fibrosis between HFD and HFD-EtOH	(1) ↑ genes for apoptosis inhibition, acetyl-CoA synthesis, lipogenesis, mitochondrial functions (NADH dehydrogenase, COX, ATP synthase), and proteolysis; (2) ↓ genes for apoptosis (BCL-2 homologs), fibrosis (collagen), chemotaxis, oxidative stress (GPx, HMOX-1, SOD); and (3) ↓ CYP2E1 protein levels
Carmiel-Haggai et al ^[94]	(1) Animal: 15 wk male fa/fa Zucker Rat; (2) Initial weight: 595 ± 35 g (obese), 316 ± 32 g (lean controls); and (3) Diet: 35% v/v EtOH in saline every 12 h for 3 d; final dose was 4 g/kg EtOH	(1) \uparrow ALT; (2) \uparrow NEFA; (3) \uparrow LPO by-products (4-HNE, MDA); and (4) \downarrow CYP2E1 activity	(1) Macrovesicular steatosis (EtOH- naïve fa/fa) and (2) Lobular microvesicular, central macrosteatosis, inflammation (EtOH-fed fa/fa)	$ \begin{array}{l} (1) \downarrow \text{GSH, GPx, GR; } (2) \downarrow \text{GSSG} \\ (\text{EtOH-fed and EtOH-naïve} \\ \text{fa/fa}; (3) \downarrow \text{catalase, SOD; } (4) \uparrow \\ \text{iNOS; } (5) \uparrow \text{caspase 3, caspase 8;} \\ (6) \uparrow \text{BCL-XL, FAS ligand; and} \\ (7) \uparrow \text{BCL-2, BAX (EtOH-fed and} \\ \text{EtOH-naïve fa/fa} \end{array} $
Duly et al ^[80]	(1) Animal: 6-8 wk male C57BL/6 Mouse; (2) Initial weight: 20 g; (3) Diet: chow (12% kcal fat) or HFD (45% kcal fat, 0.25% cholesterol); 2 g/kg EtOH in saline twice per wk; and (4) Duration: 12 wk	(1) ↑ TG (chow-EtOH, but not HFD or HFD-EtOH); (2) ↑ cholesterol, HDL, LDL (but no difference between HFD and HFD- EtOH); and (3) ↑ serum insulin	(1) Steatosis and lipid accumulation; (2) Collagen deposition; (3) \uparrow cellular infiltration; (4) \uparrow CD45+ leukocytes; (5) \uparrow F4/80+ Kupffer cells; and (6) \uparrow vimentin+ HSCs	(1) \uparrow SREBP-1; (2) \uparrow SCD-1; (3) \uparrow PPARa; (4) \downarrow ACOX-1; (5) No dif. in TGF β or HSP90; and (6) \uparrow collagen I, PAI-1 (EtOH-naïve HFD)
Everitt <i>et al</i> ^[87]	(1) Animal: 12 wk male ob/ob C57BL/6-J/Rj-ob mouse; (2) Diet: PUFA- enriched LD with 27.5% EtOH or isocaloric maltodextrin; and (3) Duration: 4 wk	 (1) ↑ ALT/AST; (2) ↑ hepatic TG; (3) ↑ hepatic cholesterol; (4) ↑ hepatic lactate; (5) ↓ hepatic pyruvate; (6) No dif. in BAL between EtOH-fed; and (7) ob/ob and EtOH-fed lean mice 	Steatosis in EtOH-fed ob/ob	(1) \uparrow mTOR, PPARy, FGF-21, FSP-27; (2) \uparrow SIRT-1, pAMPK α , AMPK α , pACC (ob compared to lean; EtOH did not have an effect); (3) \uparrow adipose TNF α , \downarrow hepatic TNF α ; (4) \uparrow cytosolic lipin-1 protein levels; (5) \downarrow nuclear lipin-1 protein levels; (6) \downarrow PGC-1 α ; and (7) \downarrow ACOX-1
Gäbele <i>et al</i> ^[77]	(1) Animal: 12 wk female Balb/c mouse; (2) Diet: Chow or HFD [17% fat (50% lard, 50% cacao-butter), 1.25% cholesterol, 0.5% cholate]; 5% EtOH in <i>ad</i> <i>libitum</i> ; and (3) Duration: 6 wk	(1) ↑ hepatic TG; (2) ↑ portal blood LPS; and (3) ↓ BAL (not statistically significant)	(1) Steatosis in HFD-fed mice; (2) \uparrow 4- HNE; (3) \uparrow aSMA+ cells; (4) \uparrow collagen I; and (5) \uparrow ECM deposition	(1) \uparrow p47phox, TNF, TGF β , collagen I; (2) \uparrow TLR-4 in HFD mice, with no effect by EtOH; (3) \uparrow aSMA protein levels; and (4) \downarrow CYP2E1 protein levels in HFD
Gopal <i>et al</i> ^[79]	(1) Animal: 6-8 wk male C57BL/6 mouse; (2) Diet: Chow or HFD (45% kcal fat) for 10 wk, then LD control or 5% v/v EtOH LD for 4 wk additionally; and (3) Drug: HFD mice also given 1000 U/kg Cu/Zn SOD-1 with polylysine- PEG copolymer in 10 mM HEPES every 2 d for 2 wk	(1) ↑ ALT; (2) ↑ FFA; (3) ↓ leptin; (4) nanoSOD treatment counteracted the above effects; and (5) No dif. in MDA between groups	 (1) ↑ adipose mass by HFD, but ↓ fat/body weight ratio by HFD- EtOH; (2) ↑ microvesicular steatosis (in all mice); (3) ↑ macrovesicular steatosis; (4) ↑ inflammatory nodules; (5) ↑ hepatocyte ballooning; and (6) ↑ MCP-1 protein levels, ↓ MCP-1 in HFD-EtOH mice treated with nanoSOD 	(1) ↑ adipose CYP2E1 protein levels, ↓ CYP2E1 by nanoSOD; (2) ↑ hepatic CYP2E1, ADH, catalase, ↓ CYP2E1 and ADH, but ↑ catalase by nanoSOD; (3) ↑ PPARa, ACOX-1 in HFD, further ↑ ACOX-1 by EtOH, further ↑ for both by nanoSOD; and (4) ↑ CCL-2, MMP-12; ↓ by nanoSOD
Jung et al ^[108]	(1) Animal: Female C57BL/6J mouse; (2) Diet: Control (69% carbohydrates, 12% fat, 19% protein) or FFC (60% carbohydrates, 25% fat, 15% protein, 50% wt/wt	(1) \uparrow TG; \downarrow in beer-treated FFC compared to EtOH- treated or naïve and (2) \downarrow glucose tolerance in all FFC- fed groups	(1) \uparrow neutrophil granulocytes; \downarrow by beer in FFC-fed mice; (2) \uparrow NAS; \downarrow by beer in FFC-fed mice; (3) \uparrow iNOS protein levels; \downarrow by beer and EtOH in FFC-fed mice; and (4) \uparrow 4-HNE; \downarrow by beer and EtOH in FFC-fed mice	(1) \uparrow IR, IRS-2 in FFC-EtOH and FFC- beer compared to naïve; no dif. in IRS-1; (2) \downarrow adiponectin in FFC and FFC- EtOH, but \uparrow in FFC-beer; (3) \uparrow hepatic AdipoR1 and SIRT-1 in FFC-beer; and (4)



	fructose, 0.16% wt/wt cholesterol); Diets enriched with 2.5 g/kg EtOH, isocaloric beer (4.9% v/v EtOH), or isocaloric diet; and (3) Duration: 7 wk			No dif. in PPARy, AdipoR2, FASN, SREBP-1c, ACOX-1 between groups
Kanuri <i>et a</i> l ^[106]	(1) Animal: 6 wk male ob/ob C57BL/6 mouse; (2) Diet: 2.5 g/kg/d EtOH in drinking water; and (3) Duration: 6 wk	(1) ↑ ALT/AST in ob/ob, (but ↓ slightly by EtOH in (ob/ob; (2) ↑ TG, cholesterol, r HDL, LDL in ob/ob, but no s effect by EtOH; and (3) No H dif. in FFA	(1) Steatosis, hepatomegaly in ob/ob; (2) Macrovesicular steatosis in EtOH- naïve ob/ob; (3) Microvesicular steatosis in EtOH- fed ob/ob; and (4) ↓ hepatic neutrophils	(1) \uparrow PLIN-2, PLIN-3, TNF α , PAI-1 in ob/ob, \downarrow PAI-1, PLIN-2, PLIN-3 by EtOH; (2) \downarrow IRS-1, IRS-2, adiponectin, SIRT-1 in ob/ob; \uparrow adiponectin, SIRT-1 by EtOH; (3) No effect by EtOH on PPAR γ , ACOX-1, SREBP-1c, IRS-1, IRS02, GLUT-4, glucokinase, PEPCK; and (4) No dif. in hepatic ACOX-1, PPAR γ
Kitagawa et al ^[93]	(1) Animal: 8 wk female KK- A ^y mouse; (2) Diet: LD with 5% EtOH or isocaloric maltodextrin; Single gavage of 4 g/kg EtOH or gavage on after 11 d; (3) Drug: 0.1 g/L rifaximin (RFX); and (4) Duration: 10 d	(1) \uparrow ALT; (2) \uparrow serum and hepa TG; (3) \uparrow portal blood LPS; (4) \uparrow CYP2E1 activity; and (5) \downarrow ALT, TG, LPS (but not to basal levels in EtOH-fed treated with RFX	 atic (1) Lipid droplet accumulation in hepatic lobule; (2) Hepatomegaly; (3) ↑ 4-HNE; and (4) RFX counteracted the above offects 	(1) \uparrow ACCa, FASN; TNFa, IL-6, ILN _Y ; CCL-2; CD14, TLR-4, TLR-2; HMOX-1, NOX-1; (2) \downarrow CPT-1a; (3) \uparrow CYP2E1 protein levels; no effect by RFX; and (4) RFX counteracted effects of EtOH on ACCa, FASN, TNFa, IFN _Y , TLR-4, HMOX-1, and NOX-2, CPT-1a
Lazaro <i>et al</i> ^[81]	(1) Animal: Male WT and OPN- knockout C57BL/6 mice and (2) Diet: High-fat, high-cholesterol diet for 2 wk, then iG liquid HFD- EtOH (36% kcal from corn oil; 27 g/kg/d EtOH) or isocaloric HFD for 8 wk; Some HFD-EtOH given 4-5 g/kg/wk EtOH by gavage	 (1) ↑ ALT, but ↓ with binge EtO ↓ ALT in binge-treated OPN KC compared to binge-treated WT; (2) ↑ bilirubin, ↓ albumin; (3) ↑ TG; and (4) No dif. in BAL 	 OH; (1) Steatosis, hepatomegaly, mononuclear cell inflammation, perisinusoidal and percellular fibrosis in HFD-EtOH and (2) Splenomegaly, ↑ TLR-4 in binge- treated mice; effect of binge greater in OPN KO 	(1) ↑ collagen I, αSMA, TIMP-1 by EtOH; further ↑ by EtOH binge; (2) ↑ myeloperoxidase, CXCL-1, OPN in binge-treated; and (3) ↑ myeloperoxidase, IL- 17α in binge-treated OPN KO
Minato <i>et al</i> ^[96]	 Animal: 30 wk male OLET and OLETF rat; (2) Initial weight: 620 ± 15 g (OLETF), 460 ± 10 g (OLET); Diet: 10 mL 10% EtOH by gavage for 1-5 d/wk; and (4) Duration: 3 wk 	 (1) ↑ ALT/AST; (2) ↑ serum and hepatic TG; (3) ↑ serum glucose (4) ↑ serum insulin in OLETF, with no effect from EtOH; and ↑ serum adiponectin in OLETF, by EtOH in OLETF 	 d (1) Mild pericentral c; microvesicular steatosis in EtOH- naïve OLETF; (2) Steatohepatitis, (5) focal hepatic necrosis, and ,↓ hepatocyte ballooning in EtOH- treated OLETF; (3) ↑ hepatic CYP2E1; and (4) ↑ 4-HNE 	(1) \uparrow PPARy in OLETF, with no effect from EtOH and (2) \uparrow TNF α
Nieto <i>et al</i> ^[85]	(1) Animal: 12 wk male Lewis rat; (2) Initial weight: 100 g; (3) Diet: Choline deficient (CD) diet; (4) 15 mL/kg whiskey by gavage 3 times per wk; and (5) Duration: 3 mo	(1) ↑ ALT/AST; (2) ↑ TG; (3) ↑ NEFA; (4) ↑ MDA, protein carbonyls; (5) ↑ serum TNFa, bu ↓ in CD- whiskey; (6) ↑ CYP2E1 activity; (7) ↑ caspase-3, caspase activity	 (1) Minimal steatosis in whiskey- naïve CD rats and (2) Periportal and percentral microvesicular steatosis in CD-whiskey rats e-8 	 (1) ↑ collagen 1, aSMA, cytochrome <i>c</i>, HSP47, CYP2E1; (2) ↑ leptin, TIMP-1, and BAX protein levels by CD, with no effect by whiskey; and (3) ↓ MMP-2, MMP-9, MMP-13, BCL-2, BCL-XL by CD, with no effect by whiskey
Osaki <i>et al</i> ^[101]	(1) Animal: Male Sprague- Dawley rat; (2) Initial weight: 106 g; (3) Diet: HFD (30% beef tallow, 20% casein, 20% sucrose); 1%-2% v/v EtOH <i>ad libitum</i> ; and (4) Duration: 12 wk	(1) \downarrow ALT; no dif. in AST; (2) \downarrow ammonia, urate; (3) No dif. in serum glucose, FFA, cholestero HDL, bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase levels, urea, creatinine, lactate, acetate; (4) N dif. in hepatic TG, MDA; (5) No dif. in hepatic, renal, or pulmonary thiobarbituric acid- reactive substances	No dif. in hepatic TG, MDA No dif. in weight of liver, epididymal adipose tissue, perirenal adipose tissue, or gastrocnemius muscle	No dif. in TNFα, adiponectin, insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1, hemoglobin
Robin <i>et al</i> ^[86]	(1) Animal: Male ob/ob C57BL/6J mouse; (2) Initial weight: 49-56 g (obese), 26- 30 g (lean); (3) Diet: 2.5 g/kg/d EtOH by gastric intubation; (4) Drug: 100 mg/kg/d pentoxifylline (PTX) by iP; and (5) Duration: 4 d	(1) \uparrow ALT in ob/ob; no effect by EtOH; (2) \uparrow caspase-3,CYP2E1, SOD activities; \downarrow caspase 3 by PTX; (3) \downarrow GPx, cytosolic GT activity in ob/ob, but no effect 1 EtOH; (4) \downarrow mitochondrial GT activity by EtOH; and (5) No di in GR activity	 (1) Steatosis, caspase-3 activation in ob/ob; (2) TUNEL+ hepatocytes; and (3) Necrosis, inflammation in EtOH-naïve ob/ob 	(1) \uparrow TNF α protein levels; \downarrow by PTX; (2) \uparrow IxB α protein levels, \downarrow NF- κ B p65; \downarrow NF- κ B activity; (3) \downarrow iNOS, BCL-XL; (4) \downarrow cytosolic GSH, mitochondrial GSSG; and (5) \uparrow HSP70
Song et al ^[124]	(1) Animal: 6 wk male C57BL/6J mouse; (2) Diet: high-fructose diet (20.2% kcal protein, 66.96% kcal carbohydrates, 12.9% kcal fat); 10% v/v EtOH for 2 d,	(1) \uparrow ALT/AST; (2) \uparrow plasma TC NEFA, cholesterol by EtOH, bu not fructose; (3) \uparrow plasma gluco by fructose diet, \downarrow glucose by EtOH; (4) \uparrow insulin by fructose and EtOH, but not combined	G, (1) ↑ microvesicular steatosis and tt (2) ↑ macrovesicular steatosis in EtOH-naïve fructose; ↓ by fructose-EtOH diet	(1) \uparrow hepatic CYP2E1 protein levels; (2) \uparrow KHK in EtOH-naïve fructose; (3) \uparrow F4/80, CD68, and TNF α , MyD88, IRF-3, and TRAF- 6; (4) \uparrow CCL-2, TLR-4, and IRF-7 only in fructose-EtOH; (5) \uparrow



	15% for 5 d, 20% for 17 wk; and (3) Duration: 18 wk	fructose-EtOH; (5) ↓ copper by fructose and EtOH, but not combined fructose-EtOH		CD163, IL-1 β , or ARG-1 by EtOH, but no effect by fructose; and (6) No dif. in IL-6, IL-10
Sun <i>et al</i> ^[107]	(1) Animal: Male Sprague- Dawley rats; (2) Initial weight: 80-100 g; (3) Diet: HFD (38% fat, 2% cholesterol, 1% cholate) or control (38% kcal from fat); 4 g/kg/d EtOH by gavage beginning after 3 wk; and (4) Duration: 12 wk	No dif. in plasma LPS levels	(1) ↑ steatosis, fibrosis in HFD mice compared to HFD-EtOH and (2) ↑ Kupffer cell and HSC activation in HFD mice compared to HFD- EtOH	↑ inflammatory cytokines (TNFα, CXCL-1, CXCL-2, IL-1β, IL-6), pro- fibrotic markers (αSMA, collagen, TGF-β, TIMP- 1, MMP-2, MMP-9) in HFD compared to HFD-EtOH
Suzuki <i>et al</i> ^[92]	(1) Animal: 8 wk male KK-A ^y mouse; (2) Diet: LD containing 5% EtOH or isocaloric maltodextrin; 4 g/kg EtOH or isocaloric maltodextrin by gavage on day 11; (3) Drug: 120 mg/kg/d phenylbutyric acid (PBA); and (4) Duration: 10 d	(1) ↑ ALT/AST in chronic-binge mice; no dif. in ALT/AST between chronic-only and controls; (2) ↑ serum and hepatic TG; (3) No dif. in blood glucose; and (4) PBA ↓ ALT/AST, TG in EtOH-fed mice compared to PBA- naïve	 (1) ↑ steatosis, PMN infiltration, ccCK18+ hepatocytes, 4-HNE+ cells in chronic-binge mice and (2) PBA alleviated liver injury, but did not decrease 4-HNE+ cell count 	(1) \uparrow inflammatory cytokines (TNFa, IL-6), ER stress markers (BiP, uXBP-1, sXBP-1, IP3R1, CHOP), and HMOX-1 by chronic-binge; PBA \downarrow aforementioned genes; (2) \uparrow BiP, uXBP-1 in chronic, but not sXBP- 1, IP3R1, CHOP, HMOX-1; and (3) \uparrow CYP2E1; PBA had no effect
Wang et al ^[78]	(1) Animal: 8 wk male Sprague-Dawley rat; (2) Diet: LD control (35% kcal from fat) or LD HFD (71% kcal from fat) for 6 wk; then LD HFD were given either solid HFD (55% kcal fat) or HF-EtOH diet (55% kcal fat, 16% kcal EtOH) for 4 wk; (3) Duration: 10 wk	(1) ↑ TUNEL+ hepatocytes; (2) ↑ cleaved caspase-3 levels; and (3) No dif. in 4-HNE or MDA levels between HFD and HFD-EtOH, but ↑ compared to controls	 (1) Lipid droplet accumulation; (2) ↑ steatosis; and (3) ↑ number of inflammatory foci 	(1) \uparrow Fas death receptor and ligand; (2) No dif. in caspase-3 expression; (3) No dif. in CYP2E1, TNF α , TNFR-1, IL-1 β , IL-12 between HFD and HFD- EtOH, but \uparrow compared to controls
Xu et al ^[83]	(1) Animal: 8 wk male C57BL/6J mouse; (2) Diet: 580 kcal/kg/d (control) or 986 kcal/kg/d (overfed) of liquid HFD (37% kcal corn oil) by iG infusion for 45 d; 23 g/kg/d (low dose) or 32 g/kg/d (high dose) via iG infusion added to diet after 45; controls received isocaloric amount of diet; and (3) Duration: 10 wk	(1) ↑ hepatic TG, hepatic MDA, BAL in EtOH-treated overfed mice and (2) ↑ ALT in overfed mice; dose- dependent ↑ ALT by EtOH	(1) Steatosis, mononuclear cell infiltration in EtOH-treated (high dose) control mice; (2) Steatohepatitis and pericellular fibrosis in EtOH-treated (high dose) overfed mice; (3) \uparrow macrophage infiltration in WAT in EtOH-treated overfed mice; and (4) No dif. in liver histology between EtOH-naïve overfed mice and controls	(1) ↑ M1 polarization markers (iNOS, TNFa) in liver and WAT for overfed-EtOH mice; (2) ↑ M2 markers (IL-10, ARG-1) in WAT, but ↓ in liver for overfed- EtOH mice; (3) ↓ AdipoR, mitochondrial function genes (PGC-1a, PPARa, COX, cytochrome <i>c</i> , ACOX-1), in overfed-EtOH; (4) ↑ SREBP-1, ↓ pACC in EtOH; and (5) No effect on AMPK, PPARδ by overfeeding or EtOH

Results listed refer to experimental groups with concomitant metabolic syndrome risk factor and alcohol consumption compared to healthy or EtOH-naïve controls, unless stated otherwise. ACC: Acetyl-CoA carboxylase; ACOX: Acyl-CoA oxidase; AdipoR: Adiponectin receptor; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; aSMA: Alpha smooth muscle actin; AUC: Area under the curve; ARG: Arginase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; BCL: B-cell lymphoma; BAX: BCL-2-associated X protein; BiP: Binding immunoglobulin protein; BAL: Blood alcohol level; CPT: Carnitine palmitoyltransferase; CHOP: C/EBP homologous protein; DGAT-2: Diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 1; ER: Endoplasmic reticulum; EtOH: Ethanol; FA: Fatty acid; FASN: Fatty acid synthase; FAO: Fatty acid oxidation; FGF: Fibroblast growth factor; GTT: Glucose tolerance test; GSSG: Glutathione, oxidized; GSH: Glutathione, reduced; GPx: Glutathione peroxidases; GR: Glutathione reductase; GT: Glutathione transferase; H&E: Hematoxylin and eosin stain; HMOX: Heme oxygenase; HSP: Heat shock protein; HSC: Hepatic stellate cells; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; HDL: High density lipoprotein; HFD: High-fat diet; HFHC: High-fat, high cholesterol diet; FFC: High-fat, high-fructose, high-cholesterol diet; 4-HNE: 4-hydroxynonenal; iNOS: Inducible nitric oxide synthase; IP3R1: Inositol trisphosphate receptor type 1; IR: Insulin receptor; IRS: Insulin receptor substrate; IFN: Interferon; IRF: Interferon regulatory factor; IL: Interleukin; iG: Intragastric; iP: Intraperitoneal; JNK: c-Jun N-terminal kinase; KHK: Ketohexokinase; LD: Lieber- DeCarli; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; MDA: Malondialdehyde; MMP: Matrix metallopeptidase; MCP: Monocyte chemoattractant protein; MUFA: Monounsaturated fatty acids; MyD88: Myeloid differentiation primary response 88; NOX: NADPH oxidase; NOX: NAFLD activity score; OLET: Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima; OLETF: Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima fatty; PLIN: Perilipin; PPAR: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; PEPCK: Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase; PAI-1: Plasminogen activator inhibitor 1; PUFA: Polyunsaturated fatty acids; PGC-1a: PPARy coactivator 1 a; PHH: Primary human hepatocytes; Akt: Protein kinase B; SFA: Saturated fatty acids; SIRT-1: Sirtuin 1; SCD-1: Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1; SREBP-1: Steroid response-element binding protein 1; SOD: Superoxide dismutase; TGFβ: Transforming growth factor β; TG: Triglycerides; TNFα: Tumor necrosis factor α; TRAF: TNF receptor associated factor; WAT: White adipose tissue; XBP-1: X-box binding protein 1.

> the presence of necrotic hepatocytes, suggesting increased hepatic neutrophil infiltration. HFHC-EtOH with additional EtOH binge also upregulated collagen I, aSMA, and TIMP-1 compared to controls. Unlike the Gäbele study, EtOH also upregulated TLR-4 expression in HFHC-fed mice. Since OPN is associated with increased ALD severity^[82], HFHC-EtOH diet was also given to OPN-knockout (KO) mice to determine the role of OPN in liver disease. HFHC-EtOH diet increased neutrophil infiltration, plasma ALT activity, and aSMA in OPN KO mice, suggesting





Figure 1 Pathogenesis and progression of the syndromes of metabolic and alcoholic steatohepatitis. Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of factors-obesity, insulin resistance, hypertension, high triglyceride levels, and low high-density lipoproteins cholesterol levels-that arise from obesogenic diet and genetic factors. Coupled with heavy alcohol consumption, MetS promotes lipid dysregulation, oxidative stress, and endoplasmic reticulum stress. These biochemical processes reciprocally mediate each other and contribute to lipotoxic cellular dysfunction, immune response, inflammation, and fibrosis in syndromes of metabolic and alcoholic steatohepatitis.

that OPN plays a protective role in fatty liver disease. Similarly, Xu et al^[83] overfed C57BL/6 mice HFD by iG infusion for 45 d, after which different dosages of EtOH was introduced for another 4 wk. Compared to controls, overfeeding and EtOH elevated hepatic TG levels, increased fibrosis, upregulated induced nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) activity, and induced the PERK and IRE-1 pathways. HFD and high-dose EtOH increased liver weight, hepatic TG levels, plasma adiponectin levels, ALT activity, and fibrosis compared to low-dose EtOH treatment. These results suggest that concomitant overfeeding and EtOH consumption induce fibrosis, oxidative stress, and ER stress. Furthermore, these results indicate that the effects of EtOH on NAFLD progression are dose-dependent, as evidenced by the differential effects on liver injury by low and high dose EtOH.

To recapitulate SMASH pathogenesis, EtOH is also coupled with other dietary models of NAFLD other than HFD. These other dietary models of SMASH are similar to the HFD-based models in that they induce lipid dysregulation, oxidative stress, apoptosis, and fibrosis. Alwahsh et al^[84] fed Sprague-Dawley rats either control LD, fructose LD, EtOH LD, or combined fructose-EtOH LD diet for 28 d. EtOH and fructose independently elevated hepatic TG and cholesterol, and upregulated PPARa and carnitine palmitoyltransferase I (CPT-1) compared to controls. However, combined fructose-EtOH decreased cholesterol, and downregulated PPARa and CPT-1 compared to controls. Combined treatment also upregulated leptin receptor and acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC). This study suggests that in either NAFLD or ALD, PPARa compensates for the increase in lipid accumulation, but FAO capacity is diminished under concomitant in SMASH. Nieto et al^[85] developed a model in which Lewis rats were administered a choline-deficient (CD) diet and multiple whiskey gavages. Compared to controls, CD-whiskey treatment elevated MDA and protein carbonyl levels, upregulated TNFa, collagen I, aSMA, and β -tubulin, increased



collagen deposition, and increased caspase-3 and caspase-8 activity. These results suggest that CD-whiskey induces oxidative stress, inflammation, and fibrosis. CD diet also downregulated BCL-XL, suggesting greater susceptibility to apoptosis, although whiskey did not affect its expression.

Genetic models of SMASH

Experimental rodent models of SMASH also employ genetic models of NAFLD coupled with EtOH consumption. One commonly used genetic mouse model is ob/ob leptin deficiency. For example, Robin et al^[86] developed a model in which ob/ob mice were administered EtOH daily by gavage for 4 d. EtOH upregulated TNFa and heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) expression, increased caspase-3 activity, and downregulated NF-KB expression and activity in obese mice compared to controls. Treatment with TNFa inhibitor pentoxifylline attenuated these effects in EtOH-treated obese mice. These results suggest that combined multiple binge EtOH dysregulates TNFa signaling and induces hepatocellular apoptosis in genetic obesity. However, EtOH did not increase serum ALT in either lean or obese mice, suggesting that it does not contribute to acute liver injury in this model.

Everitt *et al*^[87] also used ob/ob mice to determine the effect of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and chronic EtOH on obesity. EtOH increased ALT/AST, TG and cholesterol levels, and AMPK expression in obese mice compared to controls. EtOH also downregulated SIRT-1 and SFRS-10, a SIRT-1 target. SFRS-10 modulates splicing for the transcription factor lipin 1, which has two isoforms: FA oxidizing lipin 1α and TG synthetic lipin $1\beta^{[88]}$. The decrease in SFRS-10 resulted in an upregulation of the β isoform, which is also seen in human obesity and in vitro models^[89]. In PUFA-fed ob/ob mice, EtOH also upregulated PPARy which regulates adipocyte differentiation, glucose homeostasis, lipogenesis, and lipid droplet formation^[90]. Although PPARy is not upregulated in NASH patients^[91], increased PPAR_Y and lipin-1 β in the Everitt model underscores the potential synergistic effects of obesogenic factors and EtOH on AMPK-mediated de novo lipogenesis in human disease.

Another genetic mouse model is KK-Ay, which induces obesity and hyperinsulinemia by dysregulating appetite suppression. Suzuki et al^[92] fed KK-A^y mice control or 5% EtOH LD diet for 10 d, then administered a single 4 g/kg EtOH dose by gavage in a chronic-binge EtOH model. In obese mice, EtOH upregulated spliced and unspliced XBP-1, BIP, inositol trisphosphate receptor, and C/EBP homologous protein, and oxidative stress markers CYP2E1 and heme oxygenase 1 (HMOX-1). Treatment with the chemical chaperone 4-phenylbutyric acid decreased the aforementioned UPR genes, ALT/AST activity, and hepatic TG levels. These results suggest that EtOH induces the UPR, exacerbates ER stress, and promotes oxidative stress in genetic obesity. In another study from the same lab, Kitagawa et al^[93] used a similar feeding regiment and found elevated serum LPS, and upregulated inflammatory cytokines TNF α , IL-1 β , and interferon γ , and upregulated TLR-4. Furthermore, with regards to the small intestinal microbiota, EtOH decreased Lactobacillales and increased *Erysipelotrichales* in the KK-A^y mice. Treatment with rifaximin, an antibiotic, restored the *Erysipelotrichales* population and increased *Bacteroidales*, while restoring cytokine expression to basal levels.

In rat studies, one commonly used genetic model is the fa/fa Zucker rat. For example, Carmiel-Haggai et al^[94] administered fa/fa Zucker rats multiple binges of 35% EtOH or saline over 3 days to determine the effect of concomitant genetic obesity and EtOH consumption on oxidative stress. In obese fa/fa rats, EtOH decreased basal GSH levels, increased GSSG levels, and upregulated CYP2E1 and iNOS compared to controls. EtOH also decreased SOD, catalase, and GR activity in obese rats, suggesting that EtOH exacerbates oxidative stress in obesity by straining antioxidant mechanisms. Another genetic rat model is the Otsuka Long Evans Tokushima Fatty (OLETF) rat, which is a cholecystokinin KO model that dysregulates appetite suppression^[95]. Minato et al^[96] administered lean OLET and obese OLETF rats 10% EtOH gavage every few days for 3 wk in a multiple binge EtOH model of SMASH. In obese rats, EtOH increased inflammation, CYP2E1 protein levels, TNFa expression, 4-HNE levels compared to controls. However, EtOH did not affect PPARy expression or steatosis in OLETF rats compared to EtOH-naïve OLETF rats. These results suggest that while this model recapitulates inflammation and oxidative stress in SMASH, it does not feature lipid metabolism dysregulation.

Apparent alleviation of NAFLD/NASH by ethanol

Some experimental models that couple obesity and EtOH consumption do not induce severe liver injury, and instead show evidence for a possible protective effect by moderate EtOH consumption in NAFLD. The amount of alcohol that constitutes "mild



or moderate" (referred to as "moderate" hereafter) consumption is difficult to define, and there are varied definitions of moderate alcohol consumption in both humans and rodent models. In retrospective studies of NAFLD, moderate alcohol consumption is less than 20-40 g EtOH daily^[97-99], although other studies define it as less than 2 alcoholic drinks per day^[100]. Moderate alcohol consumption is associated with improved insulin sensitivity, decreased fibrosis, and improved cardiovascular outcomes in NAFLD/NASH patients^[101-103], which is a significant finding because fibrosis is a major factor in NAFLD mortality^[104]. However, the effects of BMI, insulin resistance, socioeconomic status, drinking pattern, or type of alcoholic beverage on human fatty liver disease have not been fully elucidated^[103]. Nevertheless, there are rodent models that describe an apparent alleviation of NAFLD symptoms associated with moderate alcohol consumption. Moderate alcohol consumption is less rigorously defined for rodent models, although of the studies reviewed in this paper, those that model "moderate alcohol consumption" generally have less than a daily 5 g EtOH/kg body weight dosage for rodents^[105-108].

Osaki et al^[105] developed a model in which chronic consumption of 1%-2% v/v EtOH reduced blood markers for liver injury in HFD-fed Sprague-Dawley rats for 12 wk. EtOH did not affect body weight, liver mass, or adipose tissue mass, nor did it affect serum cholesterol, FFA, or TG levels. EtOH decreased serum ALT activity, lactate dehydrogenase, urate, and ammonia levels. In a similar study by Sun et al^[107], a 4 g/kg EtOH binge by gavage downregulated expression of pro-inflammatory TNFα, CXCL-1, CXCL-2, and IL-1 β , and pro- fibrotic α SMA, collagen, TGF β , TIMP1, MMP2, and MMP9 in Sprague-Dawley rats fed HFHC for 12 wk. Using a genetic obesity model, Kanuri et al^[106] determined the effect of chronic EtOH consumption on lipid storage and thrombosis. Ad libitum access to 2.5 g/kg EtOH was not found to affect TNFa, insulin receptor substrate (IRS)-1, or IRS-2 expression in ob/ob C57BL/6 mice. EtOH downregulated the expression of lipid droplet-associated proteins perilipin (PLIN) 2 and PLIN-3, suggesting decreased lipid accumulation due to EtOH. PLIN2 expression and lipid accumulation are known to be upregulated in ALD^[109,110], but PLIN3 may instead play a protective role by facilitating lipid export from the ER^[111]. Expression of pro-thrombotic PAI-1 was comparable between EtOH- treated ob/ob mice and control WT mice, despite upregulation of PAI-1 in EtOH-naïve ob/ob mice compared to WT.

Experimental animal studies have suggested the possible role of adiponectin signaling and sensitivity in apparent EtOH-mediated amelioration of NAFLD. For example, Bucher et al^[112] found a decrease in serum adiponectin levels in C57BL/6 mice administered HFD and 10 g/kg/d EtOH in drinking water compared to mice fed HFD only. Decreased adiponectin in EtOH-treated mice accompanied lower serum TG and cholesterol levels, and downregulated collagen I, collagen III, and CYP2E1 expression. Similarly, Jung et al^[108] found upregulated SIRT-1 and insulin receptor expression in high-fat, high-fructose, high-cholesterol-fed C57BL/6 mice administered pure EtOH or beer, compared to alcohol-naïve mice. Beer, but not pure EtOH, also upregulated adiponectin and adiponectin receptor 1 expression. In both of the aforementioned studies, AMPK activation by adiponectin suggests a possible compensatory effect in response to concomitant EtOH and lipid intake. However, the differential effects of beer and pure EtOH suggest that the alcohol-associated alleviation of fatty liver may instead be due to other components in alcoholic beverages. In an intervention study, serum adiponectin was elevated, although not significantly, in overweight (BMI ≥ 27 kg/m²) adults who consumed 100 mL whiskey daily for 4 wk, compared to overweight adults who were asked to drink mineral water^[24].

CONCLUSION

Various pre-clinical experimental models have been developed to study the interactive effects of NAFLD and ALD risk factors on hepatic injury resulting in SMASH. While each model differs in obesity etiology, as well as pattern and duration of alcohol consumption, they all demonstrate that obesity and heavy alcohol consumption synergistically contribute to hepatic injury. The models suggest that both sets of risk factors contribute to increased steatosis and fibrosis, as well as an M1-like phenotype in activated macrophages. There is also evidence that obesity and alcohol consumption synergistically interfere with antioxidant defense, but the effect of alcohol seems to be dose-dependent. Despite the advantages of these animal models, there are still limitations with regards to replicating what we understand from human disease.

New models should consider the effect of alcohol consumption patterns on fatty liver disease pathogenesis. For example, the highest risk group for ALD are



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

individuals who already drink heavily, then dramatically increase alcohol intake in a short period^[113]. There are already models, such as the NIAAA model^[75], that replicate chronic-binge alcohol consumption patterns in ALD. In the NIAAA model, mice are fed Lieber-DeCarli EtOH diet for 10 d and given EtOH gavage on the eleventh day, with a set of chronic-binge feedings that can repeated multiple times. However, repeated sets of chronic-binge feedings only slightly elevate ALT/AST levels compared to a single set, and does not result in liver fibrosis. Thus, future models should also induce advanced liver injury in the context of repeated chronic-binge alcohol consumption to fully recapitulate fatty liver disease in humans.

Furthermore, while most current experimental models use high-fat diets to induce obesity, future models should more closely replicate the diets that are composed of high-fat and high glycemic index foods that are commonly found in Western countries and have been identified as risk factors for NAFLD/NASH^[114]. Fructose, in particular, is known to increase hepatic de novo lipogenesis and impair fatty acid oxidation in humans, and promote gut permeability in mice. Fructose also promotes insulin resistance in both the liver and skeletal muscle^[115]. There are studies that model NAFLD progression that combine saturated fats, cholesterol, and fructose into a single diet^[116,117], but the specific composition of fats and fructose source (*e.g.*, sucrose or high fructose corn syrup) varies between studies. Future experimental models should thus also determine the effect of fructose on multi-system lipid dysregulation, insulin resistance, and inflammation.

Animal studies are also limited in replicating the genetic factors for NAFLD and ALD. For example, genetic models for obesity and insulin resistance in mice include ob/ob (leptin), db/db (leptin receptor), and foz/foz (ALMS-1)^[118]. These do not parallel genetic polymorphisms known to predispose humans to NAFLD/NASH. Human genetic predispositions to fatty liver disease include polymorphisms in PNPLA3, TM6SF2, GCKR, MBOAT7, HSD17B3, PPARy, IRS-1, PLIN2, and adiponectin, among others^[119-121]. Furthermore, different rodent strains may also have an inherent bias towards certain disease states. For example, TNFa and iNOS are upregulated in C57BL/6 mice compared to Balb/c mice, despite being administered the same diet. Likewise, ARG-1 is increased in Balb/c compared to C57BL/6^[122]. C57BL/6 mice may thus have a bias towards M1 polarization; and Balb/c, to M2 polarization. Future studies should further compare the roles that different human polymorphisms play in fatty liver disease pathogenesis, while also controlling for possible bias in the animal model used.

Finally, further research is needed to determine the interaction between multiple factors in fatty liver disease pathogenesis. In the established "two-hit" model of NAFLD pathogenesis, lipid accumulation results in a cascade of other dysfunctions, such as inflammation and fibrogenesis. Meanwhile, in the competing "multi-hit" model, multiple factors, such as insulin resistance and gut microbiome dysregulation, along with lipid metabolism dysregulation, synergistically result in liver injury^[123]. For example, approximately 70% of all patients with insulin resistance will develop NAFLD. To determine possible interactions or causal relationships between these factors and alcohol, future experimental models should monitor fatty liver disease progression over time.

The development of novel pre-clinical experimental models may help elucidate new mechanisms and the interactions between alcohol and metabolic abnormalities in fatty liver disease. Specifically, feeding regimens that better model food and alcohol consumption in patients with SMASH should be developed. These models should incorporate both chronic-binge alcohol consumption and Western diet and also consider how those dietary factors interact with human genetics.

REFERENCES

- Asrani SK, Devarbhavi H, Eaton J, Kamath PS. Burden of liver diseases in the world. J Hepatol 2019; 70: 151-171 [PMID: 30266282 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.09.014]
- 2 Rinella ME. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review. JAMA 2015; 313: 2263-2273 [PMID: 26057287 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2015.5370]
- Younossi ZM, Marchesini G, Pinto-Cortez H, Petta S. Epidemiology of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver 3 Disease and Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis: Implications for Liver Transplantation. Transplantation 2019; 103: 22-27 [PMID: 30335697 DOI: 10.1097/TP.00000000002484]
- Marchesini G, Brizi M, Bianchi G, Tomassetti S, Bugianesi E, Lenzi M, McCullough AJ, Natale S, Forlani G, Melchionda N. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a feature of the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes 2001; 50: 1844-1850 [PMID: 11473047 DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.50.8.1844]
- Chalasani N, Gorski JC, Asghar MS, Asghar A, Foresman B, Hall SD, Crabb DW. Hepatic



cytochrome P450 2E1 activity in nondiabetic patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology 2003; 37: 544-550 [PMID: 12601351 DOI: 10.1053/jhep.2003.50095]

- 6 Naveau S, Giraud V, Borotto E, Aubert A, Capron F, Chaput JC. Excess weight risk factor for alcoholic liver disease. Hepatology 1997; 25: 108-111 [PMID: 8985274 DOI: 10.1002/hep.510250120]
- 7 Hart CL, Morrison DS, Batty GD, Mitchell RJ, Davey Smith G. Effect of body mass index and alcohol consumption on liver disease: analysis of data from two prospective cohort studies. BMJ 2010; 340: c1240 [PMID: 20223873 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.c1240]
- 8 Stepanova M, Rafiq N, Younossi ZM. Components of metabolic syndrome are independent predictors of mortality in patients with chronic liver disease: a population-based study. Gut 2010; 59: 1410-1415 [PMID: 20660697 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2010.213553]
- 9 Raynard B, Balian A, Fallik D, Capron F, Bedossa P, Chaput JC, Naveau S. Risk factors of fibrosis in alcohol-induced liver disease. Hepatology 2002; 35: 635-638 [PMID: 11870378 DOI: 10.1053/jhep.2002.31782]
- 10 Åberg F, Puukka P, Salomaa V, Männistö S, Lundqvist A, Valsta L, Perola M, Jula A, Färkkilä M. Combined Effects of Alcohol and Metabolic Disorders in Patients With Chronic Liver Disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 18: 995-997. e2 [PMID: 31255807 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2019.06.036]
- 11 Carr RM, Correnti J. Insulin resistance in clinical and experimental alcoholic liver disease. Ann NY Acad Sci 2015; 1353: 1-20 [PMID: 25998863 DOI: 10.1111/nyas.12787]
- Lambert JE, Ramos-Roman MA, Browning JD, Parks EJ. Increased de novo lipogenesis is a 12 distinct characteristic of individuals with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Gastroenterology 2014; 146: 726-735 [PMID: 24316260 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2013.11.049]
- 13 Mihaylova MM, Shaw RJ. The AMPK signalling pathway coordinates cell growth, autophagy and metabolism. Nat Cell Biol 2011; 13: 1016-1023 [PMID: 21892142 DOI: 10.1038/ncb2329]
- 14 You M, Matsumoto M, Pacold CM, Cho WK, Crabb DW. The role of AMP-activated protein kinase in the action of ethanol in the liver. Gastroenterology 2004; 127: 1798-1808 [PMID: 15578517 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2004.09.049]
- 15 Smith BK, Marcinko K, Desjardins EM, Lally JS, Ford RJ, Steinberg GR. Treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: role of AMPK. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2016; 311: E730-E740 [PMID: 27577854 DOI: 10.1152/ajpendo.00225.2016]
- 16 Jung EJ, Kwon SW, Jung BH, Oh SH, Lee BH. Role of the AMPK/SREBP-1 pathway in the development of orotic acid-induced fatty liver. J Lipid Res 2011; 52: 1617-1625 [PMID: 21757781 DOI: 10.1194/jlr.M015263]
- Kohjima M, Higuchi N, Kato M, Kotoh K, Yoshimoto T, Fujino T, Yada M, Yada R, Harada N, 17 Enjoji M, Takayanagi R, Nakamuta M. SREBP-1c, regulated by the insulin and AMPK signaling pathways, plays a role in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Int J Mol Med 2008; 21: 507-511 [PMID: 18360697
- 18 Tang JJ, Li JG, Qi W, Qiu WW, Li PS, Li BL, Song BL. Inhibition of SREBP by a small molecule, betulin, improves hyperlipidemia and insulin resistance and reduces atherosclerotic plaques. Cell Metab 2011; 13: 44-56 [PMID: 21195348 DOI: 10.1016/j.cmet.2010.12.004]
- 19 Pawlak M, Lefebvre P, Staels B. Molecular mechanism of PPARa action and its impact on lipid metabolism, inflammation and fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J Hepatol 2015; 62: 720-733 [PMID: 25450203 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.10.039]
- 20 Schug TT, Li X. Sirtuin 1 in lipid metabolism and obesity. Ann Med 2011; 43: 198-211 [PMID: 21345154 DOI: 10.3109/07853890.2010.547211]
- 21 Lin J, Yang R, Tarr PT, Wu PH, Handschin C, Li S, Yang W, Pei L, Uldry M, Tontonoz P, Newgard CB, Spiegelman BM. Hyperlipidemic effects of dietary saturated fats mediated through PGC-1beta coactivation of SREBP. Cell 2005; 120: 261-273 [PMID: 15680331 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.11.043
- 22 You M, Liang X, Ajmo JM, Ness GC. Involvement of mammalian sirtuin 1 in the action of ethanol in the liver. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2008; 294: G892-G898 [PMID: 18239056 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.00575.2007]
- 23 Ipsen DH, Lykkesfeldt J, Tveden-Nyborg P. Molecular mechanisms of hepatic lipid accumulation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Cell Mol Life Sci 2018; 75: 3313-3327 [PMID: 29936596 DOI: 10.1007/s00018-018-2860-6]
- 24 Beulens JW, van Loon LJ, Kok FJ, Pelsers M, Bobbert T, Spranger J, Helander A, Hendriks HF. The effect of moderate alcohol consumption on adiponectin oligomers and muscle oxidative capacity: a human intervention study. Diabetologia 2007; 50: 1388-1392 [PMID: 17492425 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-007-0699-8]
- 25 Bellanti F, Villani R, Facciorusso A, Vendemiale G, Serviddio G. Lipid oxidation products in the pathogenesis of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Free Radic Biol Med 2017; 111: 173-185 [PMID: 28109892 DOI: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2017.01.023]
- 26 Peter Guengerich F, Avadhani NG. Roles of Cytochrome P450 in Metabolism of Ethanol and Carcinogens. Adv Exp Med Biol 2018; 1032: 15-35 [PMID: 30362088 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-98788-0_2]
- 27 Niemelä O, Parkkila S, Juvonen RO, Viitala K, Gelboin HV, Pasanen M. Cytochromes P450 2A6, 2E1, and 3A and production of protein-aldehyde adducts in the liver of patients with alcoholic and non-alcoholic liver diseases. J Hepatol 2000; 33: 893-901 [PMID: 11131450 DOI: 10.1016/s0168-8278(00)80120-8]



- 28 Chen Y, Han M, Matsumoto A, Wang Y, Thompson DC, Vasiliou V. Glutathione and Transsulfuration in Alcohol-Associated Tissue Injury and Carcinogenesis. Adv Exp Med Biol 2018; 1032: 37-53 [PMID: 30362089 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-98788-0 3]
- 29 Kamada Y, Matsumoto H, Tamura S, Fukushima J, Kiso S, Fukui K, Igura T, Maeda N, Kihara S, Funahashi T, Matsuzawa Y, Shimomura I, Hayashi N. Hypoadiponectinemia accelerates hepatic tumor formation in a nonalcoholic steatohepatitis mouse model. J Hepatol 2007; 47: 556-564 [PMID: 17459514 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2007.03.020]
- 30 Leclercq IA, Field J, Enriquez A, Farrell GC, Robertson GR. Constitutive and inducible expression of hepatic CYP2E1 in leptin-deficient ob/ob mice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2000; 268: 337-344 [PMID: 10679205 DOI: 10.1006/bbrc.2000.2125]
- 31 Mahli A, Thasler WE, Patsenker E, Müller S, Stickel F, Müller M, Seitz HK, Cederbaum AI, Hellerbrand C. Identification of cytochrome CYP2E1 as critical mediator of synergistic effects of alcohol and cellular lipid accumulation in hepatocytes in vitro. Oncotarget 2015; 6: 41464-41478 [PMID: 26497211 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.6203]
- 32 Ozgur R, Uzilday B, Iwata Y, Koizumi N, Turkan I. Interplay between the unfolded protein response and reactive oxygen species: a dynamic duo. J Exp Bot 2018; 69: 3333-3345 [PMID: 29415271 DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ery040]
- 33 Liu X, Green RM. Endoplasmic reticulum stress and liver diseases. Liver Res 2019; 3: 55-64 [PMID: 32670671 DOI: 10.1016/j.livres.2019.01.002]
- 34 Henkel A, Green RM. The unfolded protein response in fatty liver disease. Semin Liver Dis 2013; 33: 321-329 [PMID: 24222090 DOI: 10.1055/s-0033-1358522]
- Liu Z, Lv Y, Zhao N, Guan G, Wang J. Protein kinase R-like ER kinase and its role in endoplasmic 35 reticulum stress-decided cell fate. Cell Death Dis 2015; 6: e1822 [PMID: 26225772 DOI: 10.1038/cddis.2015.183]
- Han J, Kaufman RJ. The role of ER stress in lipid metabolism and lipotoxicity. J Lipid Res 2016; 36 57: 1329-1338 [PMID: 27146479 DOI: 10.1194/jlr.R067595]
- 37 Marí M, Morales A, Colell A, García-Ruiz C, Fernández-Checa JC. Mitochondrial glutathione, a key survival antioxidant. Antioxid Redox Signal 2009; 11: 2685-2700 [PMID: 19558212 DOI: 10.1089/ARS.2009.2695]
- 38 He L, He T, Farrar S, Ji L, Liu T, Ma X. Antioxidants Maintain Cellular Redox Homeostasis by Elimination of Reactive Oxygen Species. Cell Physiol Biochem 2017; 44: 532-553 [PMID: 29145191 DOI: 10.1159/000485089]
- 39 Weydert CJ, Cullen JJ. Measurement of superoxide dismutase, catalase and glutathione peroxidase in cultured cells and tissue. Nat Protoc 2010; 5: 51-66 [PMID: 20057381 DOI: 10.1038/nprot.2009.197]
- 40 Moreno-Sánchez R, Marín-Hernández Á, Gallardo-Pérez JC, Vázquez C, Rodríguez-Enríquez S, Saavedra E. Control of the NADPH supply and GSH recycling for oxidative stress management in hepatoma and liver mitochondria. Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg 2018; 1859: 1138-1150 [PMID: 30053395 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2018.07.008]
- Świderska M, Maciejczyk M, Zalewska A, Pogorzelska J, Flisiak R, Chabowski A. Oxidative stress 41 biomarkers in the serum and plasma of patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Can plasma AGE be a marker of NAFLD? Free Radic Res 2019; 53: 841-850 [PMID: 31234658 DOI: 10.1080/10715762.2019.1635691]
- 42 Asghari S, Hamedi-Shahraki S, Amirkhizi F. Systemic redox imbalance in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Eur J Clin Invest 2020; 50: e13211 [PMID: 32017057 DOI: 10.1111/eci.13211
- 43 Chien YW, Chen YL, Peng HC, Hu JT, Yang SS, Yang SC. Impaired homocysteine metabolism in patients with alcoholic liver disease in Taiwan. Alcohol 2016; 54: 33-37 [PMID: 27565754 DOI: 10.1016/j.alcohol.2016.06.002
- Szuster-Ciesielska A, Daniluk J, Kandefer-Szerszeń M. Oxidative stress in the blood of patients 44 with alcohol-related liver cirrhosis. Med Sci Monit 2002; 8: CR419-CR424 [PMID: 12070432]
- Bieghs V, Trautwein C. Innate immune signaling and gut-liver interactions in non-alcoholic fatty 45 liver disease. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2014; 3: 377-385 [PMID: 25568861 DOI: 10.3978/j.issn.2304-3881.2014.12.04]
- 46 Byun JS, Yi HS. Hepatic Immune Microenvironment in Alcoholic and Nonalcoholic Liver Disease. Biomed Res Int 2017; 2017: 6862439 [PMID: 28852648 DOI: 10.1155/2017/6862439]
- 47 Zhou D, Huang C, Lin Z, Zhan S, Kong L, Fang C, Li J. Macrophage polarization and function with emphasis on the evolving roles of coordinated regulation of cellular signaling pathways. Cell Signal 2014; 26: 192-197 [PMID: 24219909 DOI: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2013.11.004]
- Kitade H, Chen G, Ni Y, Ota T. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Insulin Resistance: New Insights and Potential New Treatments. Nutrients 2017; 9 [PMID: 28420094 DOI: 10.3390/nu90403871
- 49 Park JW, Jeong G, Kim SJ, Kim MK, Park SM. Predictors reflecting the pathological severity of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: comprehensive study of clinical and immunohistochemical findings in younger Asian patients. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007; 22: 491-497 [PMID: 17376039 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2006.04758.x]
- 50 De Vito R, Alisi A, Masotti A, Ceccarelli S, Panera N, Citti A, Salata M, Valenti L, Feldstein AE, Nobili V. Markers of activated inflammatory cells correlate with severity of liver damage in children with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Int J Mol Med 2012; 30: 49-56 [PMID: 22505182 DOI:



10.3892/iimm.2012.965]

- 51 Kazankov K, Jørgensen SMD, Thomsen KL, Møller HJ, Vilstrup H, George J, Schuppan D, Grønbæk H. The role of macrophages in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 16: 145-159 [PMID: 30482910 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-018-0082-x
- 52 Hosseini N, Shor J, Szabo G. Alcoholic Hepatitis: A Review. Alcohol Alcohol 2019; 54: 408-416 [PMID: 31219169 DOI: 10.1093/alcalc/agz036]
- Xu R, Huang H, Zhang Z, Wang FS. The role of neutrophils in the development of liver diseases. 53 Cell Mol Immunol 2014; 11: 224-231 [PMID: 24633014 DOI: 10.1038/cmi.2014.2]
- 54 Gao B, Bataller R. Alcoholic liver disease: pathogenesis and new therapeutic targets. Gastroenterology 2011; 141: 1572-1585 [PMID: 21920463 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.09.002]
- Alkhouri N, Carter-Kent C, Feldstein AE. Apoptosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: diagnostic 55 and therapeutic implications. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011; 5: 201-212 [PMID: 21476915 DOI: 10.1586/egh.11.6]
- 56 Youle RJ, Strasser A. The BCL-2 protein family: opposing activities that mediate cell death. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2008; 9: 47-59 [PMID: 18097445 DOI: 10.1038/nrm2308]
- 57 Yang YM, Seki E. TNFα in liver fibrosis. Curr Pathobiol Rep 2015; 3: 253-261 [PMID: 26726307 DOI: 10.1007/s40139-015-0093-z]
- Luedde T, Schwabe RF. NF- κ B in the liver--linking injury, fibrosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. 58 Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011; 8: 108-118 [PMID: 21293511 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2010.213]
- 59 Wallace MC, Friedman SL, Mann DA. Emerging and disease-specific mechanisms of hepatic stellate cell activation. Semin Liver Dis 2015; 35: 107-118 [PMID: 25974897 DOI: 10.1055/s-0035-1550060
- Seki E, Brenner DA. Recent advancement of molecular mechanisms of liver fibrosis. J 60 Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2015; 22: 512-518 [PMID: 25869468 DOI: 10.1002/jhbp.245]
- Tsuchida T, Friedman SL. Mechanisms of hepatic stellate cell activation. Nat Rev Gastroenterol 61 Hepatol 2017; 14: 397-411 [PMID: 28487545 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.38]
- Sharifnia T, Antoun J, Verriere TG, Suarez G, Wattacheril J, Wilson KT, Peek RM Jr, Abumrad 62 NN, Flynn CR. Hepatic TLR4 signaling in obese NAFLD. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2015; 309: G270-G278 [PMID: 26113297 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.00304.2014]
- 63 Petrasek J, Mandrekar P, Szabo G. Toll-like receptors in the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver disease. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2010; 2010 [PMID: 20827314 DOI: 10.1155/2010/710381]
- 64 Yamada K, Mizukoshi E, Seike T, Horii R, Kitahara M, Sunagozaka H, Arai K, Yamashita T, Honda M, Kaneko S. Light alcohol consumption has the potential to suppress hepatocellular injury and liver fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0191026 [PMID: 29342182 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0191026]
- 65 Gandhi CR. Hepatic stellate cell activation and pro-fibrogenic signals. J Hepatol 2017; 67: 1104-1105 [PMID: 28939135 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.06.001]
- 66 Denk H, Abuja PM, Zatloukal K. Animal models of NAFLD from the pathologist's point of view. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis 2019; 1865: 929-942 [PMID: 29746920 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2018.04.024
- Santhekadur PK, Kumar DP, Sanyal AJ. Preclinical models of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J 67 Hepatol 2018; 68: 230-237 [PMID: 29128391 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.10.031]
- 68 Hebbard L, George J. Animal models of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011; 8: 35-44 [PMID: 21119613 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2010.191]
- Sherriff JL, O'Sullivan TA, Properzi C, Oddo JL, Adams LA. Choline, Its Potential Role in 69 Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, and the Case for Human and Bacterial Genes. Adv Nutr 2016; 7: 5-13 [PMID: 26773011 DOI: 10.3945/an.114.007955]
- 70 Lau JK, Zhang X, Yu J. Animal models of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: current perspectives and recent advances. J Pathol 2017; 241: 36-44 [PMID: 27757953 DOI: 10.1002/path.4829]
- Lieber CS, DeCarli LM. Liquid diet technique of ethanol administration: 1989 update. Alcohol 71 Alcohol 1989; 24: 197-211 [PMID: 2667528]
- Tsukamoto H, French SW, Benson N, Delgado G, Rao GA, Larkin EC, Largman C. Severe and 72 progressive steatosis and focal necrosis in rat liver induced by continuous intragastric infusion of ethanol and low fat diet. Hepatology 1985; 5: 224-232 [PMID: 3979954 DOI: 10.1002/hep.1840050212]
- 73 French SW, Miyamoto K, Tsukamoto H. Ethanol-induced hepatic fibrosis in the rat: role of the amount of dietary fat. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1986; 10: 13S-19S [PMID: 3544925 DOI: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.1986.tb05175.x]
- 74 Ueno A, Lazaro R, Wang PY, Higashiyama R, Machida K, Tsukamoto H. Mouse intragastric infusion (iG) model. Nat Protoc 2012; 7: 771-781 [PMID: 22461066 DOI: 10.1038/nprot.2012.014]
- Bertola A, Mathews S, Ki SH, Wang H, Gao B. Mouse model of chronic and binge ethanol feeding 75 (the NIAAA model). Nat Protoc 2013; 8: 627-637 [PMID: 23449255 DOI: 10.1038/nprot.2013.032]
- 76 Mathews S, Xu M, Wang H, Bertola A, Gao B. Animals models of gastrointestinal and liver diseases. Animal models of alcohol-induced liver disease: pathophysiology, translational relevance, and challenges. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2014; 306: G819-G823 [PMID: 24699333 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.00041.2014]
- 77 Gäbele E, Dostert K, Dorn C, Patsenker E, Stickel F, Hellerbrand C. A new model of interactive



effects of alcohol and high-fat diet on hepatic fibrosis. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2011; 35: 1361-1367 [PMID: 21463337 DOI: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2011.01472.x]

- 78 Wang Y, Seitz HK, Wang XD. Moderate alcohol consumption aggravates high-fat diet induced steatohepatitis in rats. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2010; 34: 567-573 [PMID: 20028348 DOI: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2009.01122.x]
- 79 Gopal T, Kumar N, Perriotte-Olson C, Casey CA, Donohue TM Jr, Harris EN, Talmon G, Kabanov AV, Saraswathi V. Nanoformulated SOD1 ameliorates the combined NASH and alcohol-associated liver disease partly via regulating CYP2E1 expression in adipose tissue and liver. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2020; 318: G428-G438 [PMID: 31928222 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.00217.2019
- 80 Duly AM, Alani B, Huang EY, Yee C, Haber PS, McLennan SV, Seth D. Effect of multiple binge alcohol on diet-induced liver injury in a mouse model of obesity. Nutr Diabetes 2015; 5: e154 [PMID: 25915743 DOI: 10.1038/nutd.2015.4]
- Lazaro R, Wu R, Lee S, Zhu NL, Chen CL, French SW, Xu J, Machida K, Tsukamoto H. 81 Osteopontin deficiency does not prevent but promotes alcoholic neutrophilic hepatitis in mice. Hepatology 2015; 61: 129-140 [PMID: 25132354 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27383]
- 82 Seth D, Duly A, Kuo PC, McCaughan GW, Haber PS. Osteopontin is an important mediator of alcoholic liver disease via hepatic stellate cell activation. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 13088-13104 [PMID: 25278703 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i36.13088]
- Xu J, Lai KKY, Verlinsky A, Lugea A, French SW, Cooper MP, Ji C, Tsukamoto H. Synergistic 83 steatohepatitis by moderate obesity and alcohol in mice despite increased adiponectin and p-AMPK. J Hepatol 2011; 55: 673-682 [PMID: 21256905 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2010.12.034]
- 84 Alwahsh SM, Xu M, Schultze FC, Wilting J, Mihm S, Raddatz D, Ramadori G. Combination of alcohol and fructose exacerbates metabolic imbalance in terms of hepatic damage, dyslipidemia, and insulin resistance in rats. PLoS One 2014; 9: e104220 [PMID: 25101998 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104220
- 85 Nieto N, Rojkind M. Repeated whiskey binges promote liver injury in rats fed a choline-deficient diet. J Hepatol 2007; 46: 330-339 [PMID: 17156887 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2006.09.010]
- Robin MA, Demeilliers C, Sutton A, Paradis V, Maisonneuve C, Dubois S, Poirel O, Lettéron P, 86 Pessayre D, Fromenty B. Alcohol increases tumor necrosis factor alpha and decreases nuclear factorkappab to activate hepatic apoptosis in genetically obese mice. Hepatology 2005; 42: 1280-1290 [PMID: 16317704 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20949]
- 87 Everitt H, Hu M, Ajmo JM, Rogers CQ, Liang X, Zhang R, Yin H, Choi A, Bennett ES, You M. Ethanol administration exacerbates the abnormalities in hepatic lipid oxidation in genetically obese mice. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2013; 304: G38-G47 [PMID: 23139221 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.00309.2012]
- Bi L, Jiang Z, Zhou J. The role of lipin-1 in the pathogenesis of alcoholic fatty liver. Alcohol Alcohol 88 2015; 50: 146-151 [PMID: 25595739 DOI: 10.1093/alcalc/agu102]
- Pihlajamäki J, Lerin C, Itkonen P, Boes T, Floss T, Schroeder J, Dearie F, Crunkhorn S, Burak F, Jimenez-Chillaron JC, Kuulasmaa T, Miettinen P, Park PJ, Nasser I, Zhao Z, Zhang Z, Xu Y, Wurst W, Ren H, Morris AJ, Stamm S, Goldfine AB, Laakso M, Patti ME. Expression of the splicing factor gene SFRS10 is reduced in human obesity and contributes to enhanced lipogenesis. Cell Metab 2011; 14: 208-218 [PMID: 21803291 DOI: 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.06.007]
- 90 Lefterova MI, Haakonsson AK, Lazar MA, Mandrup S. PPARy and the global map of adipogenesis and beyond. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2014; 25: 293-302 [PMID: 24793638 DOI: 10.1016/j.tem.2014.04.001]
- Gross B, Pawlak M, Lefebvre P, Staels B. PPARs in obesity-induced T2DM, dyslipidaemia and 91 NAFLD. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2017; 13: 36-49 [PMID: 27636730 DOI: 10.1038/nrendo.2016.135]
- 92 Suzuki M, Kon K, Ikejima K, Arai K, Uchiyama A, Aoyama T, Yamashina S, Ueno T, Watanabe S. The Chemical Chaperone 4-Phenylbutyric Acid Prevents Alcohol-Induced Liver Injury in Obese KK-A^y Mice. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2019; 43: 617-627 [PMID: 30748014 DOI: 10.1111/acer.13982]
- 93 Kitagawa R, Kon K, Uchiyama A, Arai K, Yamashina S, Kuwahara-Arai K, Kirikae T, Ueno T, Ikejima K. Rifaximin prevents ethanol-induced liver injury in obese KK-Ay mice through modulation of small intestinal microbiota signature. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2019; 317: G707-G715 [PMID: 31509430 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.00372.2018]
- 94 Carmiel-Haggai M, Cederbaum AI, Nieto N. Binge ethanol exposure increases liver injury in obese rats. Gastroenterology 2003; 125: 1818-1833 [PMID: 14724834 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2003.09.019]
- 95 Moran TH. Unraveling the obesity of OLETF rats. Physiol Behav 2008; 94: 71-78 [PMID: 18190934 DOI: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.11.035]
- 96 Minato T, Tsutsumi M, Tsuchishima M, Hayashi N, Saito T, Matsue Y, Toshikuni N, Arisawa T, George J. Binge alcohol consumption aggravates oxidative stress and promotes pathogenesis of NASH from obesity-induced simple steatosis. Mol Med 2014; 20: 490-502 [PMID: 25180626 DOI: 10.2119/molmed.2014.00048]
- 97 Dunn W, Sanyal AJ, Brunt EM, Unalp-Arida A, Donohue M, McCullough AJ, Schwimmer JB. Modest alcohol consumption is associated with decreased prevalence of steatohepatitis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). J Hepatol 2012; 57: 384-391 [PMID: 22521357 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2012.03.024]
- 98 Cotrim HP, Freitas LA, Alves E, Almeida A, May DS, Caldwell S. Effects of light-to-moderate alcohol consumption on steatosis and steatohepatitis in severely obese patients. Eur J Gastroenterol



Hepatol 2009; 21: 969-972 [PMID: 19194305 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0b013e328328f3ec]

- 99 Kwon HK, Greenson JK, Conjeevaram HS. Effect of lifetime alcohol consumption on the histological severity of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Liver Int 2014; 34: 129-135 [PMID: 23809459 DOI: 10.1111/liv.12230]
- 100 Ascha MS, Hanouneh IA, Lopez R, Tamimi TA, Feldstein AF, Zein NN. The incidence and risk factors of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology 2010; 51: 1972-1978 [PMID: 20209604 DOI: 10.1002/hep.23527]
- 101 Hsu CC, Kowdley KV. The Effects of Alcohol on Other Chronic Liver Diseases. Clin Liver Dis 2016; 20: 581-594 [PMID: 27373618 DOI: 10.1016/j.cld.2016.02.013]
- 102 Ajmera VH, Terrault NA, Harrison SA. Is moderate alcohol use in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease good or bad? Hepatology 2017; 65: 2090-2099 [PMID: 28100008 DOI: 10.1002/hep.29055]
- 103 Weng G, Dunn W. Effect of alcohol consumption on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 4: 70 [PMID: 31620652 DOI: 10.21037/tgh.2019.09.02]
- 104 Hagström H. Alcohol Consumption in Concomitant Liver Disease: How Much is Too Much? Curr Hepatol Rep 2017; 16: 152-157 [PMID: 28706775 DOI: 10.1007/s11901-017-0343-0]
- 105 Osaki A, Okazaki Y, Kimoto A, Izu H, Kato N. Beneficial effect of a low dose of ethanol on liver function and serum urate in rats fed a high-fat diet. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo) 2014; 60: 408-412 [PMID: 25866304 DOI: 10.3177/jnsv.60.408]
- Kanuri G, Landmann M, Priebs J, Spruss A, Löscher M, Ziegenhardt D, Röhl C, Degen C, 106 Bergheim I. Moderate alcohol consumption diminishes the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in ob/ob mice. Eur J Nutr 2016; 55: 1153-1164 [PMID: 26003186 DOI: 10.1007/s00394-015-0929-71
- Sun F, Zhuang Z, Zhang D, Chen Y, Liu S, Gao N, Shi J, Wang B. Chronic moderate alcohol 107 consumption relieves high-fat high-cholesterol diet-induced liver fibrosis in a rat model. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2018; 45: 1046-1055 [PMID: 29851129 DOI: 10.1111/1440-1681.12976]
- 108 Jung F, Lippmann T, Brandt A, Jin CJ, Engstler AJ, Baumann A. Moderate consumption of fermented alcoholic beverages diminishes diet-induced non-alcoholic fatty liver disease through mechanisms involving hepatic adiponectin signaling in mice. Eur J Nutr 2020; 59: 787-799 [PMID: 30879098 DOI: 10.1007/s00394-019-01945-2]
- Carr RM, Dhir R, Yin X, Agarwal B, Ahima RS. Temporal effects of ethanol consumption on 109 energy homeostasis, hepatic steatosis, and insulin sensitivity in mice. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2013; 37: 1091-1099 [PMID: 23398239 DOI: 10.1111/acer.12075]
- 110 Carr RM, Peralta G, Yin X, Ahima RS. Absence of perilipin 2 prevents hepatic steatosis, glucose intolerance and ceramide accumulation in alcohol-fed mice. PLoS One 2014; 9: e97118 [PMID: 24831094 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097118]
- 111 Jeon S, Carr R. Alcohol effects on hepatic lipid metabolism. J Lipid Res 2020; 61: 470-479 [PMID: 32029510 DOI: 10.1194/jlr.R119000547]
- 112 Bucher S, Begriche K, Catheline D, Trak-Smayra V, Tiaho F, Coulouarn C, Pinon G, Lagadic-Gossmann D, Rioux V, Fromenty B. Moderate chronic ethanol consumption exerts beneficial effects on nonalcoholic fatty liver in mice fed a high-fat diet: possible role of higher formation of triglycerides enriched in monounsaturated fatty acids. Eur J Nutr 2020; 59: 1619-1632 [PMID: 31161349 DOI: 10.1007/s00394-019-02017-1]
- 113 Choi G, Runyon BA. Alcoholic hepatitis: a clinician's guide. Clin Liver Dis 2012; 16: 371-385 [PMID: 22541704 DOI: 10.1016/j.cld.2012.03.015]
- 114 Hosseini Z, Whiting SJ, Vatanparast H. Current evidence on the association of the metabolic syndrome and dietary patterns in a global perspective. Nutr Res Rev 2016; 29: 152-162 [PMID: 27955720 DOI: 10.1017/s095442241600007x]
- Weber KS, Simon MC, Strassburger K, Markgraf DF, Buyken AE, Szendroedi J, Müssig K, Roden 115 M; GDS Group. Habitual Fructose Intake Relates to Insulin Sensitivity and Fatty Liver Index in Recent-Onset Type 2 Diabetes Patients and Individuals without Diabetes. Nutrients 2018; 10 [PMID: 29914103 DOI: 10.3390/nu10060774]
- 116 Dorn C, Engelmann JC, Saugspier M, Koch A, Hartmann A, Müller M, Spang R, Bosserhoff A, Hellerbrand C. Increased expression of c-Jun in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Lab Invest 2014: 94: 394-408 [PMID: 24492282 DOI: 10.1038/labinvest.2014.3]
- 117 Ganz M, Bukong TN, Csak T, Saha B, Park JK, Ambade A, Kodys K, Szabo G. Progression of nonalcoholic steatosis to steatohepatitis and fibrosis parallels cumulative accumulation of danger signals that promote inflammation and liver tumors in a high fat-cholesterol-sugar diet model in mice. J Transl Med 2015; 13: 193 [PMID: 26077675 DOI: 10.1186/s12967-015-0552-7]
- 118 Stephenson K, Kennedy L, Hargrove L, Demieville J, Thomson J, Alpini G, Francis H. Updates on Dietary Models of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Current Studies and Insights. Gene Expr 2018; 18: 5-17 [PMID: 29096730 DOI: 10.3727/105221617X15093707969658]
- 119 Miyaaki H, Nakao K. Significance of genetic polymorphisms in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Clin J Gastroenterol 2017; 10: 201-207 [PMID: 28290069 DOI: 10.1007/s12328-017-0732-5
- Anstee QM, Reeves HL, Kotsiliti E, Govaere O, Heikenwalder M. From NASH to HCC: current 120 concepts and future challenges. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 16: 411-428 [PMID: 31028350 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-019-0145-7]
- 121 Eslam M, George J. Genetic contributions to NAFLD: leveraging shared genetics to uncover



systems biology. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 17: 40-52 [PMID: 31641249 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-019-0212-0]

- 122 Maina V, Sutti S, Locatelli I, Vidali M, Mombello C, Bozzola C, Albano E. Bias in macrophage activation pattern influences non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) in mice. Clin Sci (Lond) 2012; 122: 545-553 [PMID: 22142284 DOI: 10.1042/CS20110366]
- 123 Buzzetti E, Pinzani M, Tsochatzis EA. The multiple-hit pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Metabolism 2016; 65: 1038-1048 [PMID: 26823198 DOI: 10.1016/j.metabol.2015.12.012]
- Song M, Chen T, Prough RA, Cave MC, McClain CJ. Chronic alcohol consumption causes liver 124 injury in high-fructose-fed male mice through enhanced hepatic inflammatory response. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2016; 40: 518-528 [PMID: 26858005 DOI: 10.1111/acer.12994]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

