World Journal of Gastroenterology

World J Gastroenterol 2021 March 21; 27(11): 990-1116





Contents

Weekly Volume 27 Number 11 March 21, 2021

FRONTIER

990 Chronic renal dysfunction in cirrhosis: A new frontier in hepatology

Kumar R, Priyadarshi RN, Anand U

REVIEW

1006 Genotype 3-hepatitis C virus' last line of defense

Zarębska-Michaluk D

1022 How to manage inflammatory bowel disease during the COVID-19 pandemic: A guide for the practicing clinician

Chebli JMF, Queiroz NSF, Damião AOMC, Chebli LA, Costa MHM, Parra RS

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

1043 Efficacy and safety of endoscopic submucosal dissection for gastric tube cancer: A multicenter retrospective study

Satomi T, Kawano S, Inaba T, Nakagawa M, Mouri H, Yoshioka M, Tanaka S, Toyokawa T, Kobayashi S, Tanaka T, Kanzaki H, Iwamuro M, Kawahara Y, Okada H

Study on the characteristics of intestinal motility of constipation in patients with Parkinson's disease 1055

Zhang M, Yang S, Li XC, Zhu HM, Peng D, Li BY, Jia TX, Tian C

Observational Study

1064 Apolipoprotein E polymorphism influences orthotopic liver transplantation outcomes in patients with hepatitis C virus-induced liver cirrhosis

Nascimento JCR, Pereira LC, Rêgo JMC, Dias RP, Silva PGB, Sobrinho SAC, Coelho GR, Brasil IRC, Oliveira-Filho EF, Owen JS, Toniutto P, Oriá RB

1076 Fatigue in patients with inflammatory bowel disease in Eastern China

Gong SS, Fan YH, Lv B, Zhang MQ, Xu Y, Zhao J

Clinical Trials Study

1090 Prospective single-blinded single-center randomized controlled trial of Prep Kit-C and Moviprep: Does underlying inflammatory bowel disease impact tolerability and efficacy?

Mohsen W, Williams AJ, Wark G, Sechi A, Koo JH, Xuan W, Bassan M, Ng W, Connor S

1101 Long-term follow-up of cumulative incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis B virus patients without antiviral therapy

Jiang XY, Huang B, Huang DP, Wei CS, Zhong WC, Peng DT, Huang FR, Tong GD

Contents

Weekly Volume 27 Number 11 March 21, 2021

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member, Paola Iovino, MD, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry, "Scuola Medica Salernitana", University of Salerno, S Allende, Baronissi, Salerno 84081, Italy. piovino@unisa.it

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. *WJG* mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The *WJG* is now indexed in Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports®, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2020 edition of Journal Citation Report® cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for *WJG* as 3.665; IF without journal self cites: 3.534; 5-year IF: 4.048; Ranking: 35 among 88 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The *WJG*'s CiteScore for 2019 is 7.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2019: Gastroenterology is 17/137.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

 $\textbf{Production Editor:} \ \textit{Yu-Jie Mat}, \textbf{Production Department Director:} \ \textit{Xiang Lit}, \textbf{Editorial Office Director:} \ \textit{Ze-Mao Gong.}$

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastroenterology

ISSN

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

October 1, 1995

FREQUENCY

Weekly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Andrzej S Tarnawski, Subrata Ghosh

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm

PUBLICATION DATE

March 21, 2021

COPYRIGHT

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

PUBLICATION ETHICS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239

ONLINE SUBMISSION

https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

E-mail: bpgoffice@wignet.com https://www.wignet.com

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2021 March 21; 27(11): 1022-1042

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i11.1022

REVIEW

How to manage inflammatory bowel disease during the COVID-19 pandemic: A guide for the practicing clinician

Júlio Maria Fonseca Chebli, Natália Sousa Freitas Queiroz, Adérson Omar Mourão Cintra Damião, Liliana Andrade Chebli, Márcia Henriques de Magalhães Costa, Rogério Serafim Parra

ORCID number: Júlio Maria Fonseca Chebli 0000-0003-1527-0663; Natália Sousa Freitas Oueiroz 0000-0003-2857-0825; Adérson Omar Mourão Cintra Damião 0000-0001-7584-7351; Liliana Andrade Chebli 0000-0002-7875-1475; Márcia Henriques de Magalhães Costa 0000-0002-5636-7501; Rogério Serafim Parra 0000-0002-5566-9284.

Author contributions: All authors equally contributed to data collection, data interpretation, and literature review; all authors wrote the paper and contributed to critical revision of the manuscript; all authors granted final approval of the version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work were appropriately investigated and resolved.

Conflict-of-interest statement:

Parra RS has received fees for serving as a speaker and/or an advisory board member for AbbVie, Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Janssen, and Takeda. Chebli JMF has received fees for serving as a speaker and/or an advisory board member for Abbott, AbbVie, Janssen, and Takeda. Chebli LA has received fees for serving as a speaker for Janssen and Takeda.

Júlio Maria Fonseca Chebli, Liliana Andrade Chebli, Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center, Federal University of Juiz de Fora, Juiz de Fora 36036-900, MG, Brazil

Natália Sousa Freitas Queiroz, Adérson Omar Mourão Cintra Damião, Department of Gastroenterology, University of São Paulo School of Medicine, São Paulo 05403-000, SP,

Márcia Henriques de Magalhães Costa, Department of Clinical Medicine, Fluminense Federal University, Rio de Janeiro 24210-200, RJ, Brazil

Rogério Serafim Parra, Department of Surgery and Anatomy, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto 14048-900, SP, Brazil

Corresponding author: Rogério Serafim Parra, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor, Staff Physician, Department of Surgery and Anatomy, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Av. Bandeirantes, 3900, Ribeirão Preto 14048-900, SP, Brazil. rsparra@hcrp.usp.br

Abstract

Managing inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has been a challenge faced by clinicians and their patients, especially concerning whether to proceed with biologics and immunosuppressive agents in the background of a global outbreak of a highly contagious new coronavirus (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, SARS-CoV-2). The knowledge about the impact of this virus on patients with IBD, although it is still scarce, is rapidly evolving. In particular, concerns surrounding medications' impact for IBD on the risk of acquiring SARS-CoV-2 infection or developing COVID-19, and potentially exacerbate viral replication and the COVID-19 course, are a current thinking of both practicing clinicians and providers caring for patients with IBD. Managing patients with IBD infected with SARS-CoV-2 depends on both the clinical activity of the IBD and the occasional development and severity of COVID-19. In this review, we summarize the current data regarding gastrointestinal involvement by SARS-CoV-2 and pharmacologic and surgical management for IBD concerning this infection, and the COVID-19 impact on both the patient's psychological functioning and endoscopy services, and we concisely summarize the telemedicine roles during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Queiroz NSF reports receiving consulting and lecture fees from Janssen, Takeda, and Abbvie. Damião AOMC has received fees for serving as a speaker and/or an advisory board member for Takeda, Abbvie, Pfizer, Janssen, Ferring, and Natulab.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Country/Territory of origin: Brazil

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): A Grade B (Very good): B, B, B, B Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: January 24, 2021 Peer-review started: January 24,

First decision: February 10, 2021 Revised: February 11, 2021 Accepted: March 11, 2021 Article in press: March 11, 2021 Published online: March 21, 2021

P-Reviewer: Bansal A, Chiba T, Coşkun H, Iizuka M, Perse M

S-Editor: Gao CC L-Editor: A P-Editor: Wang LL



Key Words: Inflammatory bowel disease; SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; Crohn disease; Colitis ulcerative; Biological therapy

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: The knowledge on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is rapidly evolving. Although patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) do not appear to be at increased risk for COVID-19, the potential impact of immunosuppressive therapies on patients with IBD infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 calls for concern for clinicians and patients. Several recommendations and guidelines have recently been published, including the necessary reorganization of gastroenterology and endoscopy services to attendance of these patients, the growing role played by telemedicine, and the importance of addressing aspects of mental health in this context. We provide an overview and practical guidance for managing patients with IBD medically and surgically in the COVID-19 era.

Citation: Chebli JMF, Queiroz NSF, Damião AOMC, Chebli LA, Costa MHM, Parra RS. How to manage inflammatory bowel disease during the COVID-19 pandemic: A guide for the practicing clinician. World J Gastroenterol 2021; 27(11): 1022-1042

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v27/i11/1022.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v27.i11.1022

INTRODUCTION

Over the past year, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has evolved as a public health emergency of international concern. The epidemiological panorama is constantly evolving, and the data updated to January 12, 2021 have 191 countries involved, with more than 90947243 confirmed cases and 1947243 confirmed deaths globally[1]. The first opportunity to eradicate the virus over the long term and to protect specific patients from COVID-19 is by introducing severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) vaccines^[2]. Although efforts to implement mass vaccination programs are currently in place globally, high rates of COVID-19 infections and fatalities are still expected in the months ahead.

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), such as ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD), are chronic inflammatory conditions of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract affecting millions of people worldwide[3]. Patients with IBD and other immunemediated diseases often require treatment with corticosteroids, immunomodulators (thiopurines, methotrexate), biologics, and Janus kinase inhibitors, which can increase the risk of infections^[4-6]. However, until now, the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with IBD and immunosuppressive therapy did not appear to differ from the general population[7]. Also, based on data from an international registry developed to collect information from patients with IBD from all over the world with confirmed COVID-19 and its outcomes, and the Surveillance Epidemiology of Coronavirus Under Research Exclusion for Inflammatory Bowel Disease (SECURE-IBD), the evolution of COVID-19 does not seem to be worse in patients with IBD^[8].

Notwithstanding, most elective clinical activities involving IBD care were drastically decreased during the pandemic[9-11]. Moreover, as suggested by the most qualified international societies and organizations, outpatient visits, colonoscopies, and nonurgent surgery have been postponed to prevent patient contact with the hospital and to enable patients with IBD to maintain social isolation[12,13].

As the world is gradually attempting to normalize, IBD physicians must face new challenges in terms of both future uncertainty, given the lack of supply of COVID-19 vaccines worldwide, and the ability to reorganize clinical activities for patients with IBD to provide optimal care while avoiding new outbreaks. Facing this scenario, this review aims to critically analyze the evidence on the effect of medications commonly used to treat IBD, as well as the management of the disease in its different degrees of activity, including the setting of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

WHAT IS THE RISK OF SARS-CoV-2 INFECTION IN THE IBD POPULATION?

Data on the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection among patients with IBD have been conflicting. Initial evidence suggested that patients with IBD had a lower risk of COVID-19 compared to the general population, as subsequent studies reported that no case of COVID-19 was diagnosed among patients with IBD followed in referral centers in China and Italy[14,15]. However, other studies assessing the risk of COVID-19 among patients with IBD reported incidence rates of 4.9 cases per 1000 patients with IBD in a Spanish cohort^[16] and 2.5 cases per 1000 patients with IBD in France and Italy cohorts^[17]. In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Aziz *et al*^[7] comprising six studies that incorporated data from 9177 patients with IBD, the pooled incidence of COVID-19 in the IBD population was approximately 0.3%, which is greatly reassuring, as the incidence is on the lower side compared with the general population (0.2%-4.0%). Although there is limited evidence available, it seems that patients with IBD are not at greater risk of acquiring COVID-19, and SARS-CoV-2 infection does not seem to be more prevalent in patients with IBD than in the general population. However, this data must be interpreted with caution given that IBD patients might have better adherence to protection, social distancing and hygiene measures, which could explain the lower incidence in this population.

MANAGING IBD MEDICATIONS DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Managing IBD medications during SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19

Managing IBD during the COVID-19 pandemic has been a challenge faced by clinicians and their patients, especially concerning whether to carry on with biologics and immunosuppressive agents in the background of a global outbreak of a highly SARS-CoV-2. The knowledge about the impact of this virus on patients with IBD, although it is still scarce, is rapidly evolving[18].

In particular, concerns surrounding medications' impact for IBD on the risk of acquiring SARS-CoV-2 infection or developing COVID-19, and potentially exacerbate viral replication and the COVID-19 course, are a current thinking of both practicing clinicians and providers caring for patients with IBD. Confounding a systematic management strategy reveals that evidence-based data are scarce^[19].

Providers caring for patients with IBD during the COVID-19 outbreak are opportune to reduce the burden of COVID-19 by assuming or sharing responsibility for multidisciplinary management of patients with IBD in this common clinical difficulty. The main goal that must be kept in mind is to treat active disease and maintain remission^[20].

Fortunately, the worldwide management of patients with IBD during the COVID-19 pandemic presents considerable agreement. Indeed, gastroenterologists, both adult and pediatric, and colorectal surgeons were attending the practical recommendations/ guidance and consensus statements from several societies of gastroenterology, endoscopy, surgery, and from the International Organization for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IOIBD) with adaptations based on local regional characteristics^[18]. For instance, an international survey on this issue showed that most gastroenterologists reduced clinic visits, restricted steroid use, and postponed elective endoscopic procedures and surgery. Also, if a patient was diagnosed with COVID-19, biologics and immunomodulators were mostly held^[21].

Understanding the short- and long-term safety of drugs used in patients with IBD remains an important area of research, especially now in the middle of the COVID-19 pandemic. Preliminary evidence specified that except high-dose systemic steroids, using aminosalicylates, budesonide, antibiotics, rectal therapies, nutritional therapy, immunomodulators, and biologics, including anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) agents, anti-integrin, or anti-interleukin (IL)-12/23, were well tolerated without an increased risk of unfavorable evolution or duration of viral disease in patients with IBD that develop infection by SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19^[8]. However, recent accumulating evidence from SECURE-IBD registry based on data on over 1400 patients with IBD suggests that compared with anti-TNF monotherapy, thiopurine monotherapy, combotherapy of thiopurines with anti-TNF agent, and, surprisingly, aminosalicylates were associated with significantly increased risk of severe COVID-19, although the association with latter will require further replication in other IBD populations^[22]. The authors of this study hypothesized that the influence of combotherapy on increased COVID-19 severity appears to be guided by thiopurines, as the estimated impact for thiopurines monotherapy and combotherapy compared with anti-TNF monotherapy were similar^[22]. Furthermore, this hypothesis followed previous observations that found a higher risk of viral infections in patients during treatment with thiopurines alone or in combotherapy with anti-TNF agents[4]. In line with the British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) statements[13], these researchers proposed the withdrawal of thiopurine while the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic in high-risk patients with IBD, for instance, those with older age or multiple comorbidities that are in stable remission on combotherapy with anti-TNF agent^[22]. Also, in this international registry in progress, there are no significant differences between biological classes (anti-TNF, anti-IL12/23, and integrin antagonists) on the risk of developing severe COVID-19.

Interestingly, some anecdotal reports have shown improvement in pulmonary symptoms and multisystem inflammatory syndrome related to COVID-19 in patients with active IBD treated with infliximab[23,24]. However, whether anti-TNF alpha therapy or other anti-inflammatory agents may protect against cytokine release syndrome in patients with COVID-19 will require further investigation^[25]. Moreover, as advances in IBD therapy broaden the therapeutic arsenal, it will be necessary to maintain investigations using collaborative multi-center registries for evaluating the possible impact of novel agents, such as Janus kinase inhibitors, IL-23 antagonists, and others, on SARS-CoV-2 or COVID-19. It should be highlighted that as the knowledge regarding SARS-CoV-2 progresses, it is likely that IBD-specific recommendations in the COVID-19 setting also undergo substantial changes^[26].

Managing patients with IBD infected with SARS-CoV-2 depends on both the clinical activity of the IBD and the occasional development and severity of COVID-19[12,27]. For practical purposes, we will present current recommendations for managing drugs for IBD concerning the SARS-CoV-2 infectious status into distinct clinical scenarios: (1) The patient attending outpatient clinic with IBD in remission in the setting of the asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection or confirmed or suspected COVID-19; (2) The patient with active IBD undergoing outpatient follow-up in the setting of the asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection or confirmed or suspected COVID-19; and (3) The patient with IBD hospitalized with asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection or COVID-19.

Management of patient attending outpatient clinic with IBD in remission in the setting of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection or confirmed or suspected COVID-19 without systemic hyperinflammation syndrome

As the COVID-19 pandemic expands, an increasing number of tests for SARS-CoV-2 are being conducted, including asymptomatic contacts of COVID-19 index cases. Thus, the situation in which an individual tests positive for the virus but remains asymptomatic will be more and more frequent.

The IOIBD recommends for quiescent patients with IBD with asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection — quick withdrawal of prednisone or de-escalating to < 20 mg/d or switch to budesonide or budesonide MMX (Multi Matrix System) when appropriate[12]. Immunomodulators such as thiopurines, methotrexate, and tofacitinib (or other Janus kinase inhibitors) should be temporarily held for 2 wk while monitoring for the appearance of COVID-19 symptoms[12]. Similarly, biologic administration, including anti-TNF drugs, vedolizumab, and ustekinumab, should be postponed for 2 wk if the dose is due, even recognizing that the half-lives of these biologics are relatively long, so that immunosuppressive effects of these drugs will persist for a few additional weeks despite the withdrawal of these agents[26]. Conversely, nonimmune-based anti-inflammatory therapies such as aminosalicylates, antibiotics, budesonide, or rectal therapy may be continued^[22,26]. However, for patients with IBD who have had the closest contact with an individual with proven or suspected COVID-19, it is suggested that they isolate themselves and follow local recommendations from health managers. In this situation, European Crohn and Colitis Organization (ECCO) experts recommend that it is unnecessary to hold biologics or immunomodulators based on exposure only[20].

For patient attending outpatient clinic with quiescent IBD but with confirmed or suspected COVID-19, the approach to drug management is like that adopted for patients with asymptomatic infection by SARS-CoV-2. The American Gastroenterology Association (AGA) and IOIBD experts suggest that budesonide, aminosalycilates, antibiotics, and topical therapy may be maintained while systemic corticosteroids (prednisone) should be avoided and withdraw speedily, if possible. Likewise, it is recommended to hold immunomodulators, Janus kinase inhibitors, and biologics until after disappearance of symptoms, usually for 2 wk during the acute disease^[12,26].

Management of patient with active IBD undergoing outpatient follow-up in the setting of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection or confirmed or suspected COVID-19 without systemic hyperinflammation syndrome

Currently, in the COVID-19 era, if a patient with IBD presents an apparent flare, it is important to always question the presence of concomitant symptoms suggestive of COVID-19, such as fever, cough, anosmia, or dyspnea, because GI symptoms including diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain have been reported in 2%-33% of patients on initial presentation of COVID-19[28]. Moreover, in a few cases, these digestive symptoms may be the only clinical features of COVID-19^[29]. This context is a clinical challenge, compounded by the frequent finding of remarkably elevated serum inflammatory biomarkers in patients with COVID-19.

When a patient with IBD presents diarrhea, it is doubtful whether this is secondary to a disease flare or COVID-19, a wait-and-see approach for the next 5-7 d is a reasonable strategy[27], once the diarrhea due to COVID-19 is mostly mild and selflimited, usually with an average duration of 5 d (range, 1 d to 14 d) and a mean frequency of four bowel movements per day[30]. Also, follow-up using interval assessment of fecal calprotectin (FC) may be useful, as FC levels are typically both transiently raised and mildly elevated in patients with diarrhea caused by COVID-19[31]. In contrast, in active IBD, sustained and substantial elevation of FC is commonly seen. In any case, in the current era of COVID-19, the joint expert consensus from ECCO recommends that all patients with a suspected IBD flare be tested to exclude COVID-19 preferably with oropharyngeal and nasopharyngeal swabs reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assays when the first symptoms

In cases where, after initial assessment, diagnostic doubts remain, computed tomography (CT) scans of the chest, abdominal cross-sectional imaging methods, and, more restrictively, ileocolonoscopy assessment can allow the real cause of diarrhea to be established^[20]. Another question that remains unknown is whether SARS-CoV-2 can cause a flare of or de novo IBD[19]. Moreover, in patients with apparently active IBD (especially colonic IBD), it is important to be aware to exclude enteric superinfections, mainly due to Clostridioides difficile (C. difficile) and cytomegalovirus (CMV), assess adherence to therapy, and perform therapeutic drug monitoring of biologics^[27].

If GI symptoms (including diarrhea) are not caused by COVID-19 and other causes for IBD flare are excluded, such as enteric superinfection, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory use, and non-adherence to therapy, the drug management for IBD will depend on the equilibrium between the severities of the IBD flare and those of the COVID-19[18-20]. For a flare of mild severity in outpatients with asymptomatic infection by SARS-CoV-2 or with mild to moderate COVID-19 without systemic hyperinflammation syndrome (SHS), it is recommended tapering off prednisone or its equivalent for < 20 mg/d with complete weaning where possible, balancing with the potential for possible adrenal insufficiency in the setting of chronic corticosteroid therapy[12,26,27]. Another option that can be considered in patients using systemic steroids is converting to oral budesonide or budesonide MMX on adequate dosing, provided the patient is in the appropriate clinical setting (e.g., mildly to moderately active ileocecal CD or UC, respectively). Further, it is suggested stopping or avoiding commencing immunomodulators, tofacitinib (or other Janus kinase inhibitors), and biologics for at least 2 wk during viral illness, while budesonide, aminosalycilates, antibiotics, and topical therapy may be initiated or maintained if needed [26,27].

The approach for a IBD flare-up moderate to severe in patients attending outpatient clinic with COVID-19 without SHS may include continuation of current biological therapy for IBD with optimization to rescue a state of remission or starting a new biological agent if needed, preferably in monotherapy and with subcutaneous biologics to reduce the risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in infusion units^[20,27].

In this clinical context, if glucocorticosteroids are considered essential, the dose of prednisone (or its equivalent) should be ≤ 40 mg/d limiting the duration of use, if practicable^[26]. Also, it is advised to stop if in use or avoid commencing immunomodulators or tofacitinib. If COVID-19 is progressive with significant pulmonary involvement and hospitalization, consultation with infectious diseases experts for possible COVID-19 treatment with antiviral or experimental anticytokine therapy may be interesting^[19,20,27]. In Tables 1-3, we present an approach for managing IBD medications in patients attending outpatient clinic who are infected with SARS-CoV-2 with or without COVID-19.

Table 1 Management of patients attending outpatient clinic with quiescent inflammatory bowel disease in the scenario of asymptomatic severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection or confirmed or suspected coronavirus disease 2019[12,20,26,27]

	Management
Asymptomatic infection with SARS-CoV-2	(1) Budesonide, aminosalycilates, antibiotics, and topical therapy may be maintained; (2) Hold immunomodulators, tofacitinib, and biologics for 2 wk; (3) Taper or withdraw systemic corticosteroids (prednisone); and (4) Monitoring for 2 wk for COVID-19 symptoms
Mild COVID-19	(1) Budesonide, aminosalycilates, antibiotics, and topical therapy may be maintained; (2) Hold immunomodulators, tofacitinib, and biologics for 2 wk; and (3) Taper or withdraw systemic corticosteroids (prednisone)
COVID-19 with pulmonary involvement without SHS	(1) Budesonide, aminosalycilates, antibiotics, and topical therapy may be maintained; (2) Hold immunomodulators, tofacitinib, and biologics for 2 wk; and (3) Taper or discontinue systemic corticosteroids

Immunomodulators refer to thiopurines and methotrexate. SARS-CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; SHS: Systemic hyperinflammation syndrome.

Table 2 Management of patients attending outpatient clinic with mildly active inflammatory bowel disease in the scenario of the asymptomatic severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection or confirmed or suspected coronavirus disease 2019[12,20,26,27]

	Management
Asymptomatic infection with SARS-CoV-2	(1) Budesonide, aminosalycilates, antibiotics, and topical therapy may be used if needed; (2) Hold immunomodulators, tofacitinib, and biologics for 2 wk; (3) Taper or withdraw corticosteroids (prednisone $< 20 \text{ mg/d}$); and (4) Monitoring for 2 wk for COVID-19 to present
Mild COVID-19	(1) Budesonide, aminosalycilates, antibiotics, and topical therapy may be used if needed; (2) Hold immunomodulators, tofacitinib, and biologics for 2 wk; (3) Taper or withdraw systemic corticosteroids); and (4) Monitoring for 2 wk for COVID-19 symptoms to disappear
COVID-19 with pulmonary involvement without SHS	(1) Budesonide, aminosalycilates, antibiotics, and topical therapy may be used if necessary; (2) Hold immunomodulators, tofacitinib, and biologics for at least 2 wk or until COVID-19 resolves; and (3) Taper or withdraw systemic corticosteroids

Immunomodulators refer to thiopurines and methotrexate. SARS-CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; SHS: Systemic hyperinflammation syndrome.

Table 3 Management of patients attending outpatient clinic with moderately to severely active inflammatory bowel disease in the scenario of asymptomatic severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection or confirmed or suspected coronavirus disease 2019[12,20,26,27]

	Management
Asymptomatic infection with SARS-CoV-2	(1) Restrict the use of prednisone \leq 40 mg/d if necessary; (2) Avoid immunomodulators and to facitinib; (3) Escalate to biologics as necessary (preferably in monotherapy); and (4) Thromboprophylaxis
Mild COVID-19	(1) Restrict the use of prednisone \leq 40 mg/d if necessary; (2) Avoid starting or stopping, if in use, immunomodulators, and tofacitinib; (3) Escalate to biologics and dose optimization as necessary (preferably in monotherapy); and (4) Thromboprophylaxis
COVID-19 with pulmonary involvement without SHS	(1) Restrict the use of prednisone ≤ 40 mg/d if necessary; (2) Avoid starting or stopping immunomodulators, and tofacitinib; (3) Escalate to biologics and dose optimization as necessary (preferably) in monotherapy based on balance of benefits and risks; consultation with infectious diseases expert for possible COVID-19 treatment with antiviral or experimental anticitokine therapy; and (4) Thromboprophylaxis

Immunomodulators refer to thiopurines and methotrexate. SARS-CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; SHS: Systemic hyperinflammation syndrome.

1027

Management of patients with IBD hospitalized with asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection or COVID-19

Although the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has substantially impacted the management of IBD, with unprecedented restriction of hospitalizations, many patients with IBD will still be hospitalized in the COVID-19 era either because of severely active or complicated IBD, or because they were infected with SARS-CoV-2 and developed progressive or severe COVID-19 with SHS requiring supplemental oxygen or ventilator support, use of vasopressors, or present evidence of end organ damage[19,26]. In fact, hospitalization should be restricted to life-threatening circumstances or complications^[20].

For patients hospitalized with severe COVID-19, the priority is life support, while IBD therapy is likely to have less priority. Nonetheless, when possible, therapy for COVID-19 should consider the underlying IBD[12,27]. Ideally, treatment decisions should be personalized and decision-making should include a multidisciplinary approach, involving teams of experts on both conditions. This way, consultation with pulmonary medicine and infectious disease experts is crucial, with discussion involving the possibilities of COVID-19 treatment with experimental antiviral drugs or anticytokine therapy trials, and staying aware of potential interaction with IBD medications[32]. If IBD is mildly active, budesonide, aminosalycilates, and rectal therapies may be initiated while reducing or holding prednisone. Also, stopping biologics, immunomodulators, and tofacitinib throughout the duration of the COVID-19 is appropriate[18,19,26]. For moderately-to-severely active IBD, the limited use of intravenous corticosteroids for IBD is acceptable, if necessary. Topical therapy may be ordered if deemed adequate, while immunomodulators, tofacitinib, or biologics that failed for IBD should be stopped[18,19,27]. Other therapies for IBD should only be used if definitely required. Intravenous cyclosporine may be a reasonable option for severe UC, based on limited evidence of its benefit against coronavirus[26,27].

Interestingly, in a recent trial involving patients without IBD hospitalized with COVID-19, patients were randomized to therapy with oral or intravenous dexamethasone at a dose of 6 mg once daily for up to 10 d or until discharge, whichever was sooner, or to receive usual care only[33]. The group treated with dexamethasone presented significantly lower 28-d mortality in patients receiving either oxygen alone or with invasive mechanical ventilation (23.3% and 29.3% vs 26.2% and 41.4% with usual care, respectively). Importantly, the same benefit was not found in subjects receiving no respiratory support^[33]. Whether other glucocorticoids like methylprednisolone or hydrocortisone on equivalent doses might also be effective in this setting is unknown and will require future studies. From the perspective of pharmacological effects and on the immune system, there is nothing specific about dexamethasone that other steroids do not offer as well, and so other glucocorticosteroids could in theory be used if dexamethasone is unavailable^[34]. If this hypothesis is confirmed in prospective studies, this important therapeutic strategy can help us clarify our approach for suitably managing the challenging and lifethreatening scenario of a patient hospitalized that concomitantly presents with a severe flare of IBD and moderate-to-severe COVID-19, where intravenous methylprednisolone or hydrocortisone could be one of the first-line therapies for both conditions.

However, for patients hospitalized due to severe flare of UC and who also have asymptomatic infection with SARS-CoV-2 or mild-moderate COVID-19, priority must be given to address issues pertinent to severe exacerbation of IBD, and usually a standard approach directed to the care of hospitalized patients with IBD should be followed[26,35]. In this clinical setting, expert opinions of the AGA recommend limiting intravenous steroids to three days and then transitioning to infliximab or cyclosporine^[20]. Evaluating enteric superinfections, especially those caused by *C. difficile* or CMV, using fecal toxin A/B enzyme immunoassay and/or PCR for detecting toxins A and B genes or for detecting CMV DNA by quantitative PCR should be a routine practice for these patients^[27]. In contrast, when CMV superinfection remains suspected despite the results of non-invasive tests, urgent colonoscopy should be reserved for patients in whom the procedure may change or target a specific therapy[12,19,20]; also, colorectal surgery expert consultation in the first days of hospitalization of the patient should also be a standard practice in this context[35]. Foremost, a recent 'RAND appropriateness panel' adapted from the BSG guidelines for managing acute severe UC recommended that regarding the COVID-19 pandemic, prophylactic anticoagulation post-discharge is ordered for patients hospitalized with acute severe colitis that had a positive SARS-CoV-2 testing due to the predisposition to develop thromboembolic complications in both conditions^[36]. Indeed, the British Thoracic Society proposes that it is a reasonable approach to consider extended venous thromboembolism prophylaxis on discharge with low molecular weight heparin or direct oral anticoagulant during four weeks in high-risk patients with COVID-19[37]. Table 4 depicts the suggested approach for managing patients with IBD hospitalized with severe COVID-19.

When to restart biological and other immunosuppressive agents in patients with IBD infected with SARS-CoV-2 with or without COVID-19

Considering that patient safety should be a priority in the context of still limited but rapidly expanding knowledge regarding the management of IBD during the COVID-

Table 4 Management of patient with inflammatory bowel disease hospitalized with severe coronavirus disease 2019[12,19,20,26,27]			
	Management		
Quiescent IBD	(1) Budesonide, aminosalycilates, and rectal therapy may be kept; (2) Taper or withdraw prednisone; (3) Stop immunomodulators, tofacitinib, and biologics; and (4) Prioritize life support; consultation with infectious diseases expert for possible COVID-19 treatment with antiviral or experimental anticitokine therapy; thromboprophylaxis		
Mildly active IBD	(1) Budesonide, aminosalycilates, and rectal therapy may be initiated; (2) Taper or withdraw prednisone; (3) Non starting or stopping if in use biologics, immunomodulators, and tofacitinib; and (4) Prioritize life support; consultation with infectious diseases expert for possible COVID-19 treatment with antiviral or experimental anticitokine therapy; thromboprophylaxis		
Moderately to severely active IBD	(1) Limited use of intravenous steroids for IBD if necessary; (2) Topical therapy may be initiated if needed; (3) Quit immunomodulators, tofacitinib, or biologics that failed for the IBD; and (4) Consider other therapies for IBD only if absolutely necessary; intravenous cyclosporine may be a reasonable option for ulcerative colitis, based on limited evidence of its benefit against coronavirus. Prioritize life support; consultation with infectious diseases expert for possible COVID-19 treatment with antiviral or experimental anticitokine therapy; thromboprophylaxis		

Immunomodulators refer to thiopurines and methotrexate. IBD: Inflammatory bowel disease; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; Severe COVID-19: Patient with systemic hyperinflammation syndrome needing mechanical ventilation ± vasopressors or evidence of end organ damage

> 19 pandemic, most consensus statements and expert opinions recommend temporarily holding biologics and other immunosuppressant drugs in patients with IBD with asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection or in the presence of symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 until a patient recovers[38]. However, if used prolongedly, this practice can lead some patients to both lose the effectiveness of their therapy and present an IBD flare[39]. Therefore, guidance on when to restart IBD medications in this setting is very welcome.

> Although the timing for treatment restart is nonconsensual, currently, for decision making, the IOIBD expert panel recommends preferably for most patients a symptoms-based strategy due to the lack of accuracy of current molecular tests available for diagnosing SARS-CoV-2 infection, and also because of the clinical significance still unclear of the prolonged persistence of viral RNA detected by these tests in individuals that had COVID-19[38].

> In asymptomatic patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, some experts advise waiting at least 10 d from the first positive COVID-19 test for restarting immunosuppressive drugs as long as there is no development of symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 in this time interval^[12,38]. In patients with COVID-19, the timing of resumed biologics or other immunosuppressants should be guided by the balance between the severity of both viral disease and IBD[38]. Thus, based on updated guidelines from the IOIBD, using a symptom-based strategy, it is recommended to wait at least 10 d since the appearance of the first symptoms of COVID and at least 3 d since recovery, defined as resolution of fever and significant improvement in respiratory symptoms, to re-start these medications[38]. Having two consecutive negative PCR tests in swab specimens, collected at least 24 h apart, is no longer required when this strategy is embraced[38,40]. In severe COVID-19, a longer time frame for re-initiating immunosuppressant may be necessary according to the personalized clinical strategy, if possible awaiting full patient recovery[41]. However, when doctors require a test-based strategy to decide about restarting IBD medications, in addition to the patient having clinically recovered based on the described parameters of the symptom-based strategy, he must have two consecutive negative nasopharyngeal swabs COVID-19 molecular assays collected at least 24 h apart^[38].

MONITORING IBD TREATMENT DURING THE PANDEMIC

The outbreak of the COVID-19 infection forced government authorities to impose several restrictions, including lockdown^[42]. Hospitals were then forced to rapidly restructure their activities to accommodate this critical and emergent situation. Institutional rearrangements have challenged IBD units worldwide, forcing them to adapt and generate specific approaches to maintain appropriate IBD care[10]. Referral IBD centers and Gastroenterology/IBD Societies published their guidance, helping clinicians tackle this troublesome situation[10,12,13,19,26,42-47]. In common, they advised remote monitoring, drug home delivery whenever possible, infusion unit restrictions, and patient education concerning protective measures (Table 5). Although necessary, all these measures and restrictions may negatively impact patients with IBD. A recent survey among 225 patients with IBD from a referral center showed depressive mood

Table 5 Approach to diminish the spread of coronavirus disease 2019 for patients with inflammatory bowel disease[10]

Approach to diminish the spread of COVID-19 for patients with IBD

Inpatient clinic

(1) Hospitalized patients with IBD relocated to an isolated area/building, if possible, minimizing exposure to the virus; and (2) Test for coronavirus 2019 with nasopharyngeal swabs (PCR) before hospitalization

Outpatient clinic

(1) Visits rescheduled if possible; (2) Medical staff monitor patients via telemedicine (e.g., remote video and telephone call); (3) Laboratory tests strictly limited; use fecal calprotectin (home modality, stool collection kit picked up by express mail services, if possible); (4) Endoscopy and image procedures only for urgent cases; (5) Patients should be advised to keep hygienic measures, avoid nonessential travels, and stay at home or work on a home-office basis; (6) Recommendations to maintain adequate hydration and nutrition status; and (7) Advise patients to continue their therapies, especially if in remission

Infusion center

(1) No accompanying person permitted; (2) Rearrangement of seats allowing a distance of at least 1.5 m in between; (3) Surgical masks for both patients and healthcare professionals; (4) Pre-admission protocol to assess for acute respiratory tract symptoms among patients with IBD and their contacts; (5) Selection of patients that could have their infusion postponed for 1-2 wk to let more space available for rearrangements of seats (those with clinical and endoscopic remission); and (6) Preference, if possible, for those biologics that can be offered subcutaneously, at home, instead of intravenously, to avoid overcrowding in the infusion center

IBD: Inflammatory bowel disease; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction.

as the most prevalent social impact (80.2%), followed by anxiety/fear of death (58.2%), insomnia (51.4%), daily activity impairment (48%), sexual dysfunction (46.2%), and productivity impairment (40%)^[11]. These health repercussions in patients with IBD are essential, and healthcare professionals should be aware of them when talking remotely with the patients.

A common feature in the various IBD units is that telemedicine has replaced followup visits[48]. Although not the ideal way to follow these patients, that was the best way to do it now. Some authors prefer to term these remote visits video office or telephone office visits[44]. They avoid the term "virtual," which could mistakenly connote that the visits were not "real"[44]. In general, patients found those remote visits worthwhile, but no doubt, this was exhausting for the healthcare professionals that ended up with the well-described "zoom-fatigue" [44]; consequently, the personal visits were reduced by 30%-40%[10,42-44]; for comparison, endoscopic procedures were reduced by 90%-95%[10,42-44] and were indicated in selected cases[42,44,49,50]. Image procedures were also largely deferred and only indicated in cases of intestinal obstruction or suspected abscess[44]. There has been a lack of information on the impact of remote monitoring of patients with IBD during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. In a single-center cross-sectional Italian study with 1083 patients with IBD, telemonitoring of patients by phone or videoconference was compared with clinical evaluation in person (control group)[48]. Despite fewer relapses in the control group, there were no statistically significant differences between the groups regarding the quality of life measured by the IBDQ-32 questionnaire[48]. Thus, the possibility of contacting the IBD staff through remote monitoring, although not ideal, partially contributes to maintaining the quality-of-life

FC has been a valuable tool during this pandemic^[27]. Many centers have relied on commercial labs to send an overnight home stool collection kit for FC that can be picked up by express mail services, avoiding the patient from leaving home^[44]. A home FC test (IBDoc) was compared with a laboratory test (Quantum Blue® calprotectin test, BÜHLMANN, Schönenbuch, Switzerland) and the correlation between both tests was good (r = 0.776, P < 0.0001)^[51]. Using 250 µg/g as the cutoff, the agreement between the home and laboratory tests was 80%^[51]. Diarrhea can be one of the manifestations of COVID-19 or an IBD flare[27]. FC can be particularly useful to differentiate these situations since FC is usually only mildly elevated in patients with COVID-19 with diarrhea, whereas in patients with IBD and active disease, significant and sustained elevation may occur[27].

ENDOSCOPY IN PATIENTS WITH IBD IN THE COVID-19 ERA

Endoscopy is not only one fundamental pillar for diagnosing IBD but also plays an important role in its management, treatment, and colorectal cancer (CRC)/dysplasia surveillance. Differential diagnosis concerning other etiologies and between UC and CD as well as full evaluation of disease extension, activity, response to treatment, and even some therapeutic approaches are some endoscopic indications in a patient with IBD. Ileocolonoscopy, flexible proctosigmoidoscopy, and esophagogastroduodenoscopy are the most commonly used endoscopic methods, but enteroscopy and

videocapsule endoscopy also play a role in IBD management[52,53]. The overcrowded healthcare system worldwide by the COVID-19 pandemic and the need to control the spread of infection required restructuring primary care and hospital activities, including endoscopy units[43,54].

Risk of infection during endoscopy

Regardless of being more contagious than the other coronavirus (SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus), SARS-CoV-2 has similar ways of infection and complications[55], with aerosolized droplets produced by coughing, sneezing, or breathing as the main route of infection. SARS-CoV-2 infects the GI epithelium, and its RNA can be detected in stool samples, sometimes in high concentrations, even in patients without GI symptoms, lightening the possibility of fecal-oral transmission[55]. Although this route of transmission is controversial, some papers proved the presence of live virus in fecal specimens and even a positive PCR in material collected from the surface of the toilet and sink used by infected patients, thereby corroborating this route of transmission^[56].

Both upper and lower GI endoscopies should be considered as aerosol-generating procedures. Upper GI endoscopy can generate respiratory droplets by coughing or gagging induced mainly during endoscopic insertion and lower GI endoscopy by passing flatus or pathogen-containing stools^[57]. Therefore, endoscopy can be considered a high-risk procedure for SARS-COV-2 transmission for both patients and healthcare professionals, being reasonable to ration the endoscopic resources.

Indications of endoscopy during COVID-19 pandemic

To minimize the exposure and risk of infection, different Societies of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy worldwide (American Gastroenterological Association^[58], BSG^[13], European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy and European Society of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Nurses and Associates^[59], Asian Pacific Society for Digestive Endoscopy^[61], and Brazilian Society of Digestive Endoscopy^[61]) agreed to postpone all the endoscopic procedures during the pandemic period, except for the emergency ones and on a case-by-case basis, some urgent ones. The emergency and urgent endoscopic procedures can be resumed and divided into the following three categories: Emergent, urgent, and elective (Table 6)^[62]. The restriction in the indications for GI endoscopy aimed not only to reduce the risk of patients and healthcare professional infection but also to save personal protective equipment (PPE) and other medical supplies^[57].

Endoscopy, especially ileocolonoscopy, plays a fundamental role in the diagnosis and management of IBD, ruling out some other diagnoses and providing important information about the extension and activity of the disease, response to treatment, and even a therapeutic approach to stenosis and other complications. During the COVID-19 pandemic, these indications for patients with IBD were reviewed and based on expert opinions, the IOIBD[63] and French Society of Digestive Endoscopy (SFED) recommendations^[64], the advice was again to postpone all endoscopic procedures that were not urgent and extremely necessary. However, sometimes, this emergent/urgent indication for endoscopy in patients with IBD is slightly unclear. Thus, next we are going to discuss some possible scenarios^[57]:

Confirm IBD diagnosis: In highly suspected cases with moderate-to-severe symptoms and grounded by positive biomarkers and cross-sectional imaging findings (bowel ultrasonography or magnetic resonance enterography) of IBD, lower GI endoscopy (proctosigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy) with biopsies is still indicated^[57]. Depending on the clinical situation and the presence of rectal symptoms, proctosigmoidoscopy might be preferable to full colonoscopy, as the former is faster and can be done without sedation (no need for companion) or oral bowel preparation[63]. Cases with mild symptoms can have their endoscopy postponed[57,63,64]. If an isolated small bowel disease is the hypothesis, after negative cross-sectional imaging and in the absence of obstructive symptoms, videocapsule endoscopy should be preferred to enteroscopy for its lower risk^[64].

Monitoring IBD treatment: Disease activity monitoring, if possible as mentioned before, should be made by checking patient report outcomes (PROs) and noninvasive tests such as FC, C-reactive protein (CRP), or even cross-sectional imaging [64,65].

Acute severe UC: This is an emergency condition with a high morbimortality. A patient who fulfills the criteria of a stool frequency (≥ 6 per day), with bloody stools, heart rate above 90 bpm, temperature exceeding 37.8 °C, hemoglobin levels below 105

Table 6 Category of endoscopic procedures ^[13,52]					
Emergent endoscopy	Urgent endoscopy	Elective endoscopy			
Ascending/acute cholangitis; Foreign body retrieval; GI obstruction; Life-threatening GI bleeding	Cancer staging; Stable GI bleeding; Tumor biopsy; Palliative procedures (stenting, neurolysis); Planned EMR/ESD for complex/high-risk lesions	Biliary stent removal; Clinical trials; Colorectal cancer screening; Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy; Post-polypectomy surveillance; Surveillance/follow-up endoscopy (excluding high-risk neoplasia)			

GI: Gastrointestinal; EMR: Endoscopic mucosal resection; ESD: Endoscopic submucosal dissection.

g/L, and high CRP levels (> 30 mg/L) needs to be hospitalized and undergo investigation to rule out infections other than IBD activity, especially COVID-19, C. difficile, and CMV[66,67]. Symptoms such as fever, cough, dysosmia, dysgeusia, and fatigue need to be questioned, and a PCR for SARS-COV-2 asked. If negative, analysis of stool samples should be performed looking for C. difficile and parasitological infection and, in this setting, it is advised to perform flexible proctosigmoidoscopy with biopsies to exclude CMV infection^[57,63,64].

Postoperative recurrence assessment: Endoscopy plays an important role in the postoperative management of both CD and UC patients. In CD, mainly in cases of ileocecal resection, since endoscopic inflammation precedes biological and clinical activity, ileocolonoscopic findings allow us to tailor therapy. In UC patients, postoperative endoscopy is mainly indicated for pouchitis and surveillance of this segment for dysplasia. However, when we consider the risks and benefits of the procedure during this pandemic period, endoscopy to check postoperative recurrence and dysplasia could be delayed, and for inflammation, patients should be followed $noninvasively ^{[63,64,68]}.\\$

Surveillance colonoscopies: It is known that patients with IBD have twice more risk of CRC than the general population, justifying the importance of its screening and surveillance. Based on ECCO guidelines, surveillance colonoscopy is indicated for all patients with UC, except if the disease is restricted to the rectum eight years after the beginning of the symptoms. Patients with colonic CD with more than 1/3 of the colon affected should follow the same protocol. If primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is present, surveillance is recommended to start immediately when the diagnosis is confirmed and continues yearly. The interval between colonoscopies will depend on the risk factors and the results obtained in the index exam^[66]. However, for all the risks listed above, the recommendation is to postpone the colonoscopy in patients without alarming signs. With the extension of the pandemic period, this recommendation needs to be reviewed, and probably the patients will be stratified by risk factors for CRC, as with PSC or previous dysplastic lesions, and will be rescheduled as priorities for endoscopic examination^[57,64].

Partial GI obstruction: Patients with IBD are at higher risk of some complications, such as benign or malignant strictures. In cases of a new colonic stricture, a colonoscopy is indicated to exclude malignancy, and if this hypothesis is confirmed, a stent or balloon dilatation might be needed if surgery could not be performed. In CD patients with a known short stricture (< 4 cm) with recurrent obstructive symptoms (nausea, vomiting, weight loss, and abdominal pain), endoscopic dilatation is a therapeutic option to avoid future admission to the emergency room, overloaded during COVID-19 pandemic^[57,68].

Upper GI endoscopy: The indications for upper GI endoscopy in patients with IBD during this pandemic period are restricted to acute GI bleeding or dilatation of upper GI strictures^[64].

Worsening cholangitis and jaundice in patients with IBD and PSC with a dominant bile duct stricture: Acute cholangitis in a patient with PSC is an emergency, and if there is a dominant bile duct stricture detected on a magnetic resonance cholangiography, an urgent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) will access the stricture to exclude cholangiocarcinoma and may decompress the bile

Endoscopy in industry-sponsored clinical trials: Most of the recruitment of new patients and screening visits for clinical trials have been discontinued during this

pandemic period, but the status of monitoring colonoscopies for participants already recruited should be discussed with trial sponsors and research ethics committees. A case-by-case discussion might be necessary, as for some patients, the investigational product is the only therapeutic option to avoid surgery and/or corticosteroids. Trial visits should occur virtually whenever possible [57,64].

In summary, endoscopy in patients with IBD during the COVID-19 pandemic should be restricted for the indications listed in Table 7.

Safety measures for protection against COVID-19 infection

Aiming to mitigate the risk of the spread of infection from possible COVID-19 patients, reduce the risk of cross-infection, and preserve resources and PPE, some measures are recommended by different GI endoscopy societies[62,69]. The safety recommendations can be targeted to the unit structure, patient safety, healthcare professional safety, and equipment/endoscopy room care.

Unit structure[57,70]: Limit the number of patients scheduled; Consider at least a onehour interval between exams; Select the indications of endoscopy and postpone the others; Remote pre-exam evaluation with a questionnaire about COVID-19 symptoms and contact; Inform the need to wear a mask; Apply social distancing rules in the waiting room; Avoid physical contact; Limit the waiting room time and number of people; Reassure that just exams with sedation or anesthesia require a companion; Relatives and caregivers are forbidden from entering the hospital or endoscopy unit unless required; Medical and nursing students are restricted in the endoscopy units during pandemic crises; Allow only essential staff with proper PPE inside the endoscopic unit; Keep doors closed; Provide information about hand hygiene; Followup call one week after endoscopy: As symptoms of COVID-19 can occur after infection, patients undergoing endoscopy could develop symptoms after the procedure if they have contracted the SARS-CoV-2 infection at the community level just before endoscopy. It is a way to verify whether the protective measures at the unit are working^[64].

Patient safety^[64]: Check again for COVID-19 symptoms (fever > 37.5 °C; cough, dysgeusia, dysosmia, and dyspnea) or contact at admission; Access body temperature; Provide the patient alcoholic solution to clean hands; Before entering the endoscopy room, the patient is asked to dress a cotton gown, a hairnet, and a surgical mask; Nasal swabs are not a routine recommendation, as they are not generally available or validated.

Healthcare professionals' safety[64,70,71]: AGA and the SFED recommend the use of filtering face piece (FFP) respiratory class 2 or 3 (FFP2 or FFP3) instead of surgical masks to protect Healthcare professionals (HCPs) during upper and lower GI procedures, regardless of the COVID-19 status of the patient. The suggestion for mask changing depends on regional recommendations; Limit the number of endoscopy personnel and operational endoscopy rooms; Endoscopy work teams should comprise a consultant endoscopist, a highly trained endoscopy nurse, and, if possible, a consultant anesthesiologist; HCPs should be checked for COVID-19 symptoms and having their body temperature accessed; HCPs should wear FFP2 mask during the entire time at endoscopy unit as SARS-CoV-2 remained viable in aerosols for at least 3 h; HCPs should be trained in dressing and undressing the PPE, and hand washing is mandatory before both phases; HCPs must remove contact lenses and dress: Hairnet, a long water-resistant gown with back closure, an FFP2 mask, goggles for eye protection, and over-sleeve gloves over the gown. Over the other layers, a single-use gown and another pair of gloves; HCPs should change the disposable gown and the second gloves in each procedure; Hand washing is mandatory before and after every interaction with patient and other HCPs; Conscious sedation remains the most feasible option and can be provided and managed even if the patient is wearing a mask.

Equipment/endoscopy room[62,64,72]: Negative-pressure room to prevent generated aerosols from diffusing outside the room is recommended mainly in COVID-19 confirmed or highly suspected cases; Disinfection and decontamination by neutral detergent and virucidal disinfectant using 0.05% sodium hypochlorite or 70% ethanol on surfaces and devices are effective in clearing the virus; All used endoscopes must undergo standardized reprocessing and disinfection; All used accessories must be disposed of; Beds must be cleaned with specific disinfection products and bed sheets changed for each patient.

Table 7 Indications for gastrointestinal endoscopy in patients with inflammatory bowel disease during the coronavirus disease 2019

Recommended	Considered case-by- case	Postpone
Confirm IBD diagnosis in patients with moderate to severe activity;	Surveillance	Confirm IBD diagnosis in patients with mild
Acute severe ulcerative colitis; Partial GI obstruction; Life-threatening	colonoscopies of high-risk	symptoms; Monitoring IBD treatment; Postoperative
GI bleeding; Worsening cholangitis and jaundice in patients with IBD	patients; Specific clinical	recurrence assessment; Surveillance colonoscopies of
and PSC with a dominant bile duct stricture	trials	low-risk patients; Clinical trials

GI: Gastrointestinal; IBD: Inflammatory bowel disease; PSC: Primary sclerosing cholangitis.

Endoscopy post-pandemic phase

When the COVID-19 pandemic ends, endoscopy units must face a dammed demand list with the impossibility of returning to a regular agenda, as the interval between the exams will need to remain longer to prevent new outbreaks. A stratification of priorities will be necessary[73]. Some algorithms based on available point-of-care tests considering epidemiological regional data and an accurate clinical risk assessment are being proposed to stratify the patients^[74]. Whatever method is used to prioritize endoscopy, it is important to maintain close contact with patients with IBD by phone or e-mail to monitor for specific symptoms. Planning carefully the post-pandemic phase is primordial, and a case-by-case analysis with reassessments of patients' conditions by both clinician and endoscopist will be demanded, and telemedicine might be a useful tool to help this conversation^[73].

SURGICAL INTERVENTIONS IN PATIENTS WITH IBD AND COVID-19

Despite significant advances in the medical management of IBD in recent years, many patients will require surgery. The most common indications for CD surgery include stenosis, fistulae, and abscesses[75]. In UC, the most common indications are acute severe colitis refractory to medical therapy and chronic refractory UC. Other indications of surgery in UC are dysplasia and CRC[76]. Many of these situations are elective or semi-elective surgeries that can be postponed for a few days or weeks in some patients with confirmed COVID-19. However, emergencies, such as perforation, acute severe colitis, and uncontrolled hemorrhage, may occur, and the surgery in these cases cannot be postponed and must be treated promptly. At the peak of the pandemic, one of the collateral effects was that elective surgeries were canceled or temporarily suspended[77]. Elective surgeries in IBD cannot be delayed too long when they are strictly indicated, mainly due to increased morbidity given the patient's weakened condition (e.g., steroids and malnutrition)[78]. It is not recommended to delay surgical treatment for these patients with IBD, regardless of their COVID-19 status.

Conducting emergency surgeries during a pandemic such as COVID-19 is challenging for IBD surgeons and the entire hospital infrastructure^[79,80]. The information available on COVID-19 and the possibility of contamination through aerosols and droplets lead to the need for modifications to perform surgery with success, reducing the risk of contaminating hospital facilities and protecting health teams and patients^[79]. It is pivotal to protect all surgical teams (e.g., masks, glasses, face shields, and surgical caps) to avoid contagion with COVID-19 when they perform surgery in patients with IBD with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 and protect the patient to prevent him from contracting COVID-19.

There is a concern among surgeons with minimally invasive techniques (e.g., laparoscopy, and robotic surgery) due to a possible risk of viral transmission of the COVID-19 with the creation of pneumoperitoneum^[81]. However, minimally invasive surgery (MIS), including laparoscopic surgery, is feasible and safe in IBD and has many advantages, such as reduced length of hospital stay, less pain, reduced trauma, less impact on respiratory movements, reduction of morbidity, and faster postoperative recovery^[82].

There is little evidence of viral transmission through laparoscopic or open approaches. As shown by Somashekhar et al^[79], the risk of infection by COVID-19 for the healthcare team during MIS (laparoscopic or robotic) is considered low. Therefore, in IBD, we should not postpone surgery, even if considered "elective," due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Thus, the care that must be followed by the surgical, nursing,

and anesthesia teams must be standardized at the referred hospital and replicated to other health services referenced in the surgical treatment of IBD.

Precautions to avoid contamination of the surgical team are described in several studies published during the pandemic and include care with airway management during the anesthetic procedure and specific care during laparoscopy^[79,81,83-85]. There seems to be a consensus in the literature that intubation and extubation are high-risk healthcare professionals' procedures and that the maximum amount of PPE is needed. However, there is little evidence of the real risk of contamination by healthcare professionals during laparoscopy itself, nor of operating room (OR) pressure, surgical smoke, tissue extraction, or CO₂ deflation^[83]. If surgery is considered necessary, the surgeon must minimize the risk of exposure to the virus, involving a minimum number of health professionals and shortening the occupation of the ORs. As said, there are no absolute contraindications to MIS; however, appropriate PPE for the OR team and smoke evacuation/filtration systems are unanimously recommended [85].

If there is a lack of safety measures to allow safe laparoscopy, open surgery should be considered^[84]. Nevertheless, previous studies have shown that bacterial and viral aerosols can be detected in both open and laparoscopic surgical operations^[86]; then, a surgical aspirator/smoke evacuation device should also be used in open procedures. Electrical instruments and energy devices should be used at the lowest energy level to preclude excessive aerosol and smoke production[87].

There are some tips and tricks to make MIS safer during the COVID-19 pandemic, such as avoid creating a leak for smoke evacuation, use a closed suction system; use leak-free trocars such as balloon trocars, aspirate the entire pneumoperitoneum before retrieving the surgical specimen at the end of a procedure before removing the trocars, or before conversion to open surgery^[84]. Hospitals must prepare specific internal protocols and arrange adequate training of the involved personnel[88].

If possible, postpone elective surgery and consider screening every surgical patient for COVID-19 either by RT-PCR swab or CT scan of the thorax^[89]. In emergent (< 24 h) surgeries, such as perianal abscess, bowel perforation, toxic megacolon, the surgery must be done without any delay, and all patients must be treated as if they were COVID-19-positive. All surgical teams must strictly follow all rules related to infection against COVID-19. The same rules apply to patients under urgent (< 72 h) situations, such as bowel obstruction (without ischemia). Elective (up to 4 wk to 3 mo) surgeries must have an individualized approach. Seton replacements, "J" pouch confection, can be postponed up to three months. However, colectomy in patients with chronic refractory UC or dysplasia and CRC in UC should be referred to surgery, preferably before this period, so that there will be no worsening of the primary clinical condition.

It is important to emphasize that all known or suspected COVID-19-positive patients requiring surgical intervention must be treated as positive until proven otherwise to minimize infection spread[88]. Besides, whenever possible, dedicate specific OR to patients with COVID-19[83]. Create negative pressure ORs because they are considered ideal; yet, most ORs work at positive pressure, and their use is therefore permitted. An air exchange rate of ≥ 25 cycles/h is considered sufficient to effectively reduce the OR's viral load. Only essential staff members should be admitted into the OR, limiting in/out traffic, and doors should be kept closed. Use level III PPE during intubation and extubation. Use proper filters and closed systems for CO₂ desufflation and do not perform transanal surgery^[83].

There are some critical considerations for transanal surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic^[90]. Several lines of evidence have supported the possible fecal-oral transmission of the COVID-19^[91,92]. It is important to emphasize that positive pressure transanal surgery, such as transanal MIS and transanal endoscopic microsurgery, are aerosol-generating procedures. Hence, it is appropriate to perform routine preoperative fecal testing for SARS-CoV-2, in addition to nasopharyngeal screening, in patients undergoing transanal surgery under positive pressure. For patients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, conventional open and robotic approaches may be safer alternatives when surgery cannot be postponed.

MENTAL HEALTH AND EDUCATIONAL INITIATIVES

It has been recognized that the pandemic will greatly increase the incidence of severe psychological problems, such as mood disorders, anxiety disorders, or posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), as a consequence of isolation, human losses, and financial hardships^[93]. For patients with IBD, psychological distress is already a common feature, with studies suggesting that active disease is strongly related to comorbid

anxiety and depression[94]. A recent cross-sectional survey exploring the emotional state, perception, and concerns of Saudi patients with IBD during the pandemic found a diagnosis of anxiety in 48.4% of surveyed patients. In this context, patients with IBD require greater attention, and clinical or cognitive behavioral treatment should be offered to all patients who exhibit psychological distress.

Provided that patients with IBD are experiencing substantial changes to the routine management of their conditions during the pandemic, it seems highly critical to assess patients' perceptions and viewpoints. In the earlier phase of the pandemic, the Federation of Crohn's and Ulcerative Colitis Associations (EFCCA) conducted an anonymous online survey to investigate the concerns, fears, and behaviors of patients with IBD. Based on responses from 3815 participants from 51 countries worldwide, it was shown that about half of respondents reported receiving COVID-19 information or specific recommendations from doctors to prevent infection. However, most patients (60%) would have preferred to receive more recommendations regarding COVID-19 from their physicians[95]. These results emphasized the urgent need for better communication between physicians and patients and for clear and specific recommendations for people with chronic conditions in these unprecedented times. In this context, educational initiatives involving patient associations might play a crucial role in allowing dissemination of the correct messages regarding patient management. Also, patient compliance with healthcare providers' guidelines could be achievable by enhanced collaboration, and long-term, trusted partnerships could also be established.

VACCINATION

The recent availability of vaccines to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection has raised concerns regarding the safety and efficacy of immunization in patients with IBD. Until now, there has been international agreement among the main international IBD expert groups that the risks of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients with IBD are anticipated to be very low, and it is strongly recommended that patients with IBD should be given a COVID-19 vaccine once it is widely available [2,96-98]. All coronavirus vaccines, which are licensed or in the final stages of testing, are considered suitable for patients on biologics, steroids, and immunosuppressants, as they are not live vaccines. These include the mRNA (Pfizer, Moderna), the non-replicating adenovirus vector (Oxford), and the inactivated SARS-CoV-2 (Coronavac) vaccines. Analogous to other vaccines used for many years, such as influenza and pneumonia vaccines, there is no indication of worsening IBD symptoms or flares following vaccination, and immunization appears improbable to affect IBD activity[99]. SARS-CoV-2 vaccines have also been tested in tens of thousands of patients with safety profiles analogous to other vaccines commonly used in patients with IBD, such as the flu vaccine.

For patients under immunosuppressive treatments, it is anticipated that the vaccine may be slightly less effective, as other studies have shown that immunosuppressant medications may induce some reduction in antibody formation and lower immune response with other common vaccines. For instance, it has been demonstrated that the serologic conversion rate to influenza vaccine is lower in immunosuppressed patients with IBD[99,100] and that the immune response to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination is reduced in patients with CD by combining TNF-blockers and $immunomodulators^{[101]}$. Conversely, treatment with newer biologics, such as ustekinumab or vedolizumab, does not seem to decrease responses to flu vaccine[102,103]. We still do not know which IBD treatments, if any, will reduce the effectiveness of the coronavirus vaccine; however, it is important to emphasize that even if the COVID-19 vaccine works slightly less well in immunosuppressed patients, it will still offer greater protection than not having the vaccine.

It is not advisable that patients should stop their treatments to get vaccinated, as it can induce an exacerbation, putting patients at a greater risk of serious complications of COVID-19. Also, patients should avoid receiving their vaccine on the same day of an infusion/subcutaneous dose of biologic, just in the exceptional circumstance that the patient develops a reaction or adverse effect. It would be important to identify which one (vaccine or biologic) has caused it.

CONCLUSION

In this review, we presented a guide for the practicing clinician for managing IBD during the COVID-19 pandemic. We also reviewed the risk of infection during

endoscopy, highlighting the restricted conditions where we still should indicate GI endoscopy in patients with IBD and the recommendations of the most important endoscopy societies for a safer procedure. All known or suspected COVID-19-positive patients requiring surgical intervention must be treated as positive until proven otherwise to minimize infection spread. It is not advisable that patients should stop their treatments to get vaccinated, as it can induce an exacerbation, putting patients at a greater risk of serious complications of COVID-19. In this time of an unprecedented pandemic, where knowledge about COVID-19 rapidly expands, we suggest that clinicians caring for patients with IBD should periodically check for updates in the SECURE-IBD registry and Gastroenterology Societies statements and guidelines to update knowledge about SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 in patients with IBD for better information and follow the approach to manage medications in IBD in this challenging context.

REFERENCES

- Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. Lancet Infect Dis 2020; 20: 533-534 [PMID: 32087114 DOI: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30120-1]
- Siegel CA, Melmed GY, McGovern DP, Rai V, Krammer F, Rubin DT, Abreu MT, Dubinsky MC; International Organization for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IOIBD). SARS-CoV-2 vaccination for patients with inflammatory bowel diseases: recommendations from an international consensus meeting. Gut 2021; **70**: 635-640 [PMID: 33472895 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-324000]
- Ng SC, Shi HY, Hamidi N, Underwood FE, Tang W, Benchimol EI, Panaccione R, Ghosh S, Wu JCY, Chan FKL, Sung JJY, Kaplan GG. Worldwide incidence and prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease in the 21st century: a systematic review of population-based studies. Lancet 2017; 390: 2769-2778 [PMID: 29050646 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32448-0]
- Kirchgesner J, Lemaitre M, Carrat F, Zureik M, Carbonnel F, Dray-Spira R. Risk of Serious and Opportunistic Infections Associated With Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. Gastroenterology 2018; 155: 337-346. e10 [PMID: 29655835 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.04.012]
- Wisniewski A, Kirchgesner J, Seksik P, Landman C, Bourrier A, Nion-Larmurier I, Marteau P, Cosnes J, Sokol H, Beaugerie L; the Saint-Antoine IBD network. Increased incidence of systemic serious viral infections in patients with inflammatory bowel disease associates with active disease and use of thiopurines. United European Gastroenterol J 2020; 8: 303-313 [PMID: 32529821 DOI: 10.1177/2050640619889763
- Ma C, Lee JK, Mitra AR, Teriaky A, Choudhary D, Nguyen TM, Vande Casteele N, Khanna R, Panaccione R, Feagan BG, Jairath V. Systematic review with meta-analysis: efficacy and safety of oral Janus kinase inhibitors for inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2019; 50: 5-23 [PMID: 31119766 DOI: 10.1111/apt.15297]
- Aziz M, Fatima R, Haghbin H, Lee-Smith W, Nawras A. The Incidence and Outcomes of COVID-19 in IBD Patients: A Rapid Review and Meta-analysis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2020; **26**: e132-e133 [PMID: 32619003 DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izaa170]
- Brenner EJ, Ungaro RC, Gearry RB, Kaplan GG, Kissous-Hunt M, Lewis JD, Ng SC, Rahier JF, Reinisch W, Ruemmele FM, Steinwurz F, Underwood FE, Zhang X, Colombel JF, Kappelman MD. Corticosteroids, But Not TNF Antagonists, Are Associated With Adverse COVID-19 Outcomes in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: Results From an International Registry. Gastroenterology 2020; **159**: 481-491. e3 [PMID: 32425234 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.032]
- Scaldaferri F, Pugliese D, Privitera G, Onali S, Lopetuso LR, Rizzatti G, Settanni CR, Pizzoferrato M, Schiavoni E, Turchini L, Amatucci V, Napolitano D, Bernabei T, Mora V, Laterza L, Papa A, Guidi L, Rapaccini GL, Gasbarrini A, Armuzzi A. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the daily management of biotechnological therapy in inflammatory bowel disease patients: Reorganisational response in a high-volume Italian inflammatory bowel disease centre. United European Gastroenterol J 2020; 8: 775-781 [PMID: 32438878 DOI: 10.1177/2050640620929133]
- Queiroz NSF, Barros LL, Azevedo MFC, Oba J, Sobrado CW, Carlos AS, Milani LR, Sipahi AM, Damião AOMC. Management of inflammatory bowel disease patients in the COVID-19 pandemic era: a Brazilian tertiary referral center guidance. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 2020; 75: e1909 [PMID: 32321117 DOI: 10.6061/clinics/2020/e1909]
- 11 Feitosa MR, Parra RS, de Camargo HP, Ferreira SDC, Troncon LEA, da Rocha JJR, Féres O. COVID-19 quarantine measures are associated with negative social impacts and compromised follow-up care in patients with inflammatory bowel disease in Brazil. Ann Gastroenterol 2021; 34: 39-45 [PMID: 33414620 DOI: 10.20524/aog.2020.0558]
- Rubin DT, Abreu MT, Rai V, Siegel CA; International Organization for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Management of Patients With Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis During the Coronavirus Disease-2019 Pandemic: Results of an International Meeting. Gastroenterology 2020; **159**: 6-13. e6 [PMID: 32272113 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.04.002]
- Kennedy NA, Jones GR, Lamb CA, Appleby R, Arnott I, Beattie RM, Bloom S, Brooks AJ, Cooney R, Dart RJ, Edwards C, Fraser A, Gaya DR, Ghosh S, Greveson K, Hansen R, Hart A, Hawthorne

- AB, Hayee B, Limdi JK, Murray CD, Parkes GC, Parkes M, Patel K, Pollok RC, Powell N, Probert CS, Raine T, Sebastian S, Selinger C, Smith PJ, Stansfield C, Younge L, Lindsay JO, Irving PM, Lees CW. British Society of Gastroenterology guidance for management of inflammatory bowel disease during the COVID-19 pandemic. Gut 2020; 69: 984-990 [PMID: 32303607 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321244]
- Mao R, Liang J, Shen J, Ghosh S, Zhu LR, Yang H, Wu KC, Chen MH; Chinese Society of IBD, Chinese Elite IBD Union; Chinese IBD Quality Care Evaluation Center Committee. Implications of COVID-19 for patients with pre-existing digestive diseases. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 5: 425-427 [PMID: 32171057 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30076-5]
- Norsa L, Indriolo A, Sansotta N, Cosimo P, Greco S, D'Antiga L. Uneventful Course in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease During the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Outbreak in Northern Italy. Gastroenterology 2020; 159: 371-372 [PMID: 32247695 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.03.062]
- 16 Taxonera C, Alba C, Olivares D. What is the incidence of COVID-19 in patients with IBD in western countries? Gastroenterology 2020 [PMID: 32687925 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.099]
- Allocca M, Fiorino G, Zallot C, Furfaro F, Gilardi D, Radice S, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Incidence and Patterns of COVID-19 Among Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients From the Nancy and Milan Cohorts. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 18: 2134-2135 [PMID: 32360811 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2020.04.071]
- 18 Gutin LS, Lam AY, Velayos FS, Santos SA. Going Viral: Management of IBD in the Era of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Dig Dis Sci* 2020; **65**: 1571-1575 [PMID: 32363528 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-020-06299-y]
- 19 Allez M, Fleshner P, Gearry R, Lakatos PL, Rubin DT. Care of the Patient With IBD Requiring Hospitalisation During the COVID-19 Pandemic. J Crohns Colitis 2020; 14: S774-S779 [PMID: 32722757 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa150]
- Magro F, Rahier JF, Abreu C, MacMahon E, Hart A, van der Woude CJ, Gordon H, Adamina M, Viget N, Vavricka S, Kucharzik T, Leone S, Siegmund B, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Inflammatory Bowel Disease Management During the COVID-19 Outbreak: The Ten Do's and Don'ts from the ECCO-COVID Taskforce. J Crohns Colitis 2020; 14: S798-S806 [PMID: 32722754 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa160]
- 21 Bernstein CN, Ng SC, Banerjee R, Steinwurz F, Shen B, Carbonnel F, Hamid S, Sood A, Yamamoto-Furusho JK, Griffiths A, Benchimol EI, Travis S, Lopes S, Rubin DT, Kaplan GG, Armstrong D, Gearry R; IBD-Emerging Nations Consortium and the WGO IBD Task Force on COVID-19 . Worldwide Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease During the COVID-19 Pandemic: An International Survey. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2020 [PMID: 32793973 DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izaa202]
- Ungaro RC, Brenner EJ, Gearry RB, Kaplan GG, Kissous-Hunt M, Lewis JD, Ng SC, Rahier JF, Reinisch W, Steinwurz F, Underwood FE, Zhang X, Colombel JF, Kappelman MD. Effect of IBD medications on COVID-19 outcomes: results from an international registry. Gut 2021; 70: 725-732 [PMID: 33082265 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322539]
- 23 Bezzio C, Manes G, Bini F, Pellegrini L, Saibeni S. Infliximab for severe ulcerative colitis and subsequent SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia: a stone for two birds. Gut 2021; 70: 623-624 [PMID: 32554621 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321760]
- 24 Dolinger MT, Person H, Smith R, Jarchin L, Pittman N, Dubinsky MC, Lai J. Pediatric Crohn Disease and Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) and COVID-19 Treated With Infliximab. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2020; 71: 153-155 [PMID: 32452979 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000002809]
- 25 Sebastian S, Gonzalez HA, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Safety of Drugs During Previous and Current Coronavirus Pandemics: Lessons for Inflammatory Bowel Disease. J Crohns Colitis 2020; 14: 1632-1643 [PMID: 32520312 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa120]
- 26 Rubin DT, Feuerstein JD, Wang AY, Cohen RD. AGA Clinical Practice Update on Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Expert Commentary. Gastroenterology 2020; **159**: 350-357 [PMID: 32283100 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.04.012]
- Lichtenstein GR, Rubin DT. Coronavirus and Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Management Strategies for the Practicing Clinician. Am J Gastroenterol 2020; 115: 1566-1569 [PMID: 32833732 DOI: 10.14309/ajg.0000000000000817]
- Yang W, Cao Q, Qin L, Wang X, Cheng Z, Pan A, Dai J, Sun Q, Zhao F, Qu J, Yan F. Clinical characteristics and imaging manifestations of the 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19):A multi-center study in Wenzhou city, Zhejiang, China. J Infect 2020; 80: 388-393 [PMID: 32112884 DOI: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.02.016]
- 29 Cha MH, Regueiro M, Sandhu DS. Gastrointestinal and hepatic manifestations of COVID-19: A comprehensive review. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 2323-2332 [PMID: 32476796 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i19.2323]
- Han C, Duan C, Zhang S, Spiegel B, Shi H, Wang W, Zhang L, Lin R, Liu J, Ding Z, Hou X. Digestive Symptoms in COVID-19 Patients With Mild Disease Severity: Clinical Presentation, Stool Viral RNA Testing, and Outcomes. Am J Gastroenterol 2020; 115: 916-923 [PMID: 32301761 DOI: 10.14309/ajg.00000000000000664]
- Effenberger M, Grabherr F, Mayr L, Schwaerzler J, Nairz M, Seifert M, Hilbe R, Seiwald S, Scholl-Buergi S, Fritsche G, Bellmann-Weiler R, Weiss G, Müller T, Adolph TE, Tilg H. Faecal

- calprotectin indicates intestinal inflammation in COVID-19. *Gut* 2020; **69**: 1543-1544 [PMID: 32312790 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321388]
- 32 Al-Ani AH, Prentice RE, Rentsch CA, Johnson D, Ardalan Z, Heerasing N, Garg M, Campbell S, Sasadeusz J, Macrae FA, Ng SC, Rubin DT, Christensen B. Review article: prevention, diagnosis and management of COVID-19 in the IBD patient. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2020; **52**: 54-72 [PMID: 32348598 DOI: 10.1111/apt.15779]
- 33 RECOVERY Collaborative Group., Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, Staplin N, Brightling C, Ustianowski A, Elmahi E, Prudon B, Green C, Felton T, Chadwick D, Rege K, Fegan C, Chappell LC, Faust SN, Jaki T, Jeffery K, Montgomery A, Rowan K, Juszczak E, Baillie JK, Haynes R, Landray MJ. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 693-704 [PMID: 32678530 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2021436]
- 34 Bhimraj A, Morgan RL, Shumaker AH, Lavergne V, Baden L, Cheng VC, Edwards KM, Gandhi R, Muller WJ, O'Horo JC, Shoham S, Murad MH, Mustafa RA, Sultan S, Falck-Ytter Y. Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines on the Treatment and Management of Patients with COVID-19. Clin Infect Dis 2020 [PMID: 32338708 DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa478]
- 35 Kaur M, Dalal RL, Shaffer S, Schwartz DA, Rubin DT. Inpatient Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease-Related Complications. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020; 18: 1346-1355 [PMID: 31927105 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2019.12.040]
- 36 Din S, Kent A, Pollok RC, Meade S, Kennedy NA, Arnott I, Beattie RM, Chua F, Cooney R, Dart RJ, Galloway J, Gaya DR, Ghosh S, Griffiths M, Hancock L, Hansen R, Hart A, Lamb CA, Lees CW, Limdi JK, Lindsay JO, Patel K, Powell N, Murray CD, Probert C, Raine T, Selinger C, Sebastian S, Smith PJ, Tozer P, Ustianowski A, Younge L, Samaan MA, Irving PM. Adaptations to the British Society of Gastroenterology guidelines on the management of acute severe UC in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic: a RAND appropriateness panel. Gut 2020; 69: 1769-1777 [PMID: 32513653 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321927]
- 37 British Thoracic Society. BTS guidance on venous thromboembolic disease in patients with COVID-19. [cited December 23, 2020]. In: British Thoracic Society [Internet]. Available from: https://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/document-library/quality-improvement/covid-19/bts-guidance-on-venous-thromboembolic-disease-in-patients-with-covid-19/
- Siegel CA, Christensen B, Kornbluth A, Rosh JR, Kappelman MD, Ungaro RC, Johnson DF, Chapman S, Wohl DA, Mantzaris GJ. Guidance for Restarting Inflammatory Bowel Disease Therapy in Patients Who Withheld Immunosuppressant Medications During COVID-19. *J Crohns Colitis* 2020; 14: S769-S773 [PMID: 33085972 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa135]
- 39 Grossberg LB, Pellish RS, Cheifetz AS, Feuerstein JD. Review of Societal Recommendations Regarding Management of Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease During the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2020 [PMID: 32619010 DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izaa174]
- 40 Hashash JG, Jabak S, Francis FF, Regueiro M. Should We Be Screening for SARS-CoV-2 in IBD Patients Before Initiation of Biologic Therapy? *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2021; 27: 291-294 [PMID: 32619000 DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izaa173]
- 41 **El Ouali S**, Philpott J, Vargo J, Regueiro M. COVID-19 in patients with IBD and pancreaticobiliary disorders. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2020 [PMID: 32855178 DOI: 10.3949/ccjm.87a.ccc062]
- 42 Allocca M, Fiorino G, Furfaro F, Gilardi D, Radice S, D'Amico F, Zilli A, Danese S. Maintaining the Quality Standards of Care for Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 18: 1882-1883 [PMID: 32304737 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2020.04.028]
- 43 Fiorino G, Allocca M, Furfaro F, Gilardi D, Zilli A, Radice S, Spinelli A, Danese S. Inflammatory Bowel Disease Care in the COVID-19 Pandemic Era: The Humanitas, Milan, Experience. *J Crohns Colitis* 2020; 14: 1330-1333 [PMID: 32211765 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa058]
- 44 Kornbluth A, Kissous-Hunt M, George J, Legnani P. Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease and COVID-19 in New York City 2020: The Epicenter of IBD in the First Epicenter of the Global Pandemic. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2020; 26: 1779-1785 [PMID: 32879978 DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izaa212]
- 45 Danese S, Cecconi M, Spinelli A. Management of IBD during the COVID-19 outbreak: resetting clinical priorities. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020; 17: 253-255 [PMID: 32214232 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-020-0294-8]
- 46 Ungaro RC, Sullivan T, Colombel JF, Patel G. What Should Gastroenterologists and Patients Know About COVID-19? Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 18: 1409-1411 [PMID: 32197957 DOI: 10.1016/j.egh.2020.03.020]
- 47 Martin Arranz E, Suarez Ferrer C, García Ramírez L, Rueda García JL, Sánchez-Azofra M, Poza Cordón J, Noci J, Zabana Y, Barreiro-de Acosta M, Martín-Arranz MD. Management of COVID-19 Pandemic in Spanish Inflammatory Bowel Disease Units: Results From a National Survey. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2020; 26: 1149-1154 [PMID: 32495826 DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izaa142]
- 48 Mastronardi M, Curlo M, Polignano M, Vena N, Rossi D, Giannelli G. Remote Monitoring Empowerment of Patients with IBDs during the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2020; 8 [PMID: 33019563 DOI: 10.3390/healthcare8040377]
- 49 Ng SC, Mak JWY, Hitz L, Chowers Y, Bernstein CN, Silverberg MS. COVID-19 Pandemic: Which IBD Patients Need to Be Scoped-Who Gets Scoped Now, Who Can Wait, and how to Resume to Normal. J Crohns Colitis 2020; 14: S791-S797 [PMID: 33085973 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa128]
- 50 Neumann H, Emura F, Bokemeyer B, Guda N, Tajiri H, Matsumoto T, Rubin DT. Practical advice for management of inflammatory bowel diseases patients during the COVID-19 pandemic: World

- Endoscopy Organization Statement. Dig Endosc 2020; 32: 658-662 [PMID: 32369646 DOI: 10.1111/den.13712]
- Wei SC, Tung CC, Weng MT, Wong JM. Experience of patients with inflammatory bowel disease in using a home fecal calprotectin test as an objective reported outcome for self-monitoring. Intest Res 2018; 16: 546-553 [PMID: 30301339 DOI: 10.5217/ir.2018.00052]
- Gomollón F, Dignass A, Annese V, Tilg H, Van Assche G, Lindsay JO, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Cullen GJ, Daperno M, Kucharzik T, Rieder F, Almer S, Armuzzi A, Harbord M, Langhorst J, Sans M, Chowers Y, Fiorino G, Juillerat P, Mantzaris GJ, Rizzello F, Vavricka S, Gionchetti P; ECCO. 3rd European Evidence-based Consensus on the Diagnosis and Management of Crohn's Disease 2016: Part 1: Diagnosis and Medical Management. J Crohns Colitis 2017; 11: 3-25 [PMID: 27660341 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjw168]
- 53 Fiorino G, Lytras T, Younge L, Fidalgo C, Coenen S, Chaparro M, Allocca M, Arnott I, Bossuyt P, Burisch J, Campmans-Kuijpers M, de Ridder L, Dignass A, Drohan C, Feakins R, Gilardi D, Grosek J, Groß E, Hart A, Jäghult S, Katsanos K, Lönnfors S, Panis Y, Perovic M, Pierik M, Rimola J, Tulchinsky H, Gisbert JP. Quality of Care Standards in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: a European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation [ECCO] Position Paper. J Crohns Colitis 2020; 14: 1037-1048 [PMID: 32032423 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa023]
- Gao QY, Chen YX, Fang JY. 2019 Novel coronavirus infection and gastrointestinal tract. J Dig Dis 2020; **21**: 125-126 [PMID: 32096611 DOI: 10.1111/1751-2980.12851]
- Montanari Vergallo G, Ciallella C. Comment on the article by Zaami S, et al "Advancements in uterus transplant: new scenarios and future implications". Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2019; 23: 892-902. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2019; 23: 10178-10181 [PMID: 31841169]
- Ong SWX, Tan YK, Chia PY, Lee TH, Ng OT, Wong MSY, Marimuthu K. Air, Surface Environmental, and Personal Protective Equipment Contamination by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) From a Symptomatic Patient. JAMA 2020; 323: 1610-1612 [PMID: 32129805 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.3227]
- 57 Iacucci M, Cannatelli R, Labarile N, Mao R, Panaccione R, Danese S, Kochhar GS, Ghosh S, Shen B. Endoscopy in inflammatory bowel diseases during the COVID-19 pandemic and post-pandemic period. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 5: 598-606 [PMID: 32305075 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30119-9]
- Sultan S, Lim JK, Altayar O, Davitkov P, Feuerstein JD, Siddique SM, Falck-Ytter Y, El-Serag HB; AGA Institute. AGA Rapid Recommendations for Gastrointestinal Procedures During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Gastroenterology 2020; 159: 739-758. e4 [PMID: 32247018 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.03.072]
- 59 European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy and European Society of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Nurses and Associates. ESGE and ESGENA Position Statement on Gastrointestinal Endoscopy and the COVID-19 Pandemic. [cited December 23, 2020]. In: ESGE and ESGENA [Internet]. Available from: https://www.esge.com/esge-and-esgena-position-statement-ongastrointestinal-endoscopy-and-the-covid-19-pandemic/(2020)
- Chiu PWY, Ng SC, Inoue H, Reddy DN, Ling Hu E, Cho JY, Ho LK, Hewett DG, Chiu HM, Rerknimitr R, Wang HP, Ho SH, Seo DW, Goh KL, Tajiri H, Kitano S, Chan FKL. Practice of endoscopy during COVID-19 pandemic: position statements of the Asian Pacific Society for Digestive Endoscopy (APSDE-COVID statements). Gut 2020; 69: 991-996 [PMID: 32241897 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321185]
- 61 Brazilian Society of Digestive Endoscopy. Recomendações SOBED para Endoscopia Segura durante pandemia por Coronavírus. [cited December 23, 2020]. In: Brazilian Society of Digestive Endoscopy [Internet]. Available from: https://www.sobed.org.br/sobed-comunicacao/noticias-
- 62 Aguila EJT, Cua IHY, Dumagpi JEL, Francisco CPD, Raymundo NTV, Sy-Janairo MLL, Cabral-Prodigalidad PAI, Lontok MAD. COVID-19 and its effects on the digestive system and endoscopy practice. JGH Open 2020; 4: 324-331 [PMID: 32514432 DOI: 10.1002/jgh3.12358]
- International Organization for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. IOIBD Update on COVID19 for Patients with Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis. [cited December 23, 2020]. In: International Organization for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases [Internet]. Available from: https://www.ioibd.org/ioibd-update-on-covid19-for-patients-with-crohns-disease-and-ulcerativecolitis
- 64 Furfaro F, Vuitton L, Fiorino G, Koch S, Allocca M, Gilardi D, Zilli A, D'Amico F, Radice S, Chevaux JB, Schaefer M, Chaussade S, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L. SFED recommendations for IBD endoscopy during COVID-19 pandemic: Italian and French experience. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 17: 507-516 [PMID: 32528139 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-020-0319-3]
- Papa A, Papa V, Lopetuso LR, Gasbarrini A, Tursi A. Covid-19 and the management of patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a practical decalogue for the post-pandemic phase. Therap Adv Gastroenterol 2020; 13: 1756284820968747 [PMID: 33149764 DOI: 10.1177/1756284820968747]
- Magro F, Gionchetti P, Eliakim R, Ardizzone S, Armuzzi A, Barreiro-de Acosta M, Burisch J, Gecse KB, Hart AL, Hindryckx P, Langner C, Limdi JK, Pellino G, Zagórowicz E, Raine T, Harbord M, Rieder F; European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation [ECCO]. Third European Evidence-based Consensus on Diagnosis and Management of Ulcerative Colitis. Part 1: Definitions, Diagnosis, Extra-intestinal Manifestations, Pregnancy, Cancer Surveillance, Surgery, and Ileo-anal Pouch Disorders. J Crohns Colitis 2017; 11: 649-670 [PMID: 28158501 DOI:

- 10.1093/ecco-icc/iix0081
- 67 Harbord M, Eliakim R, Bettenworth D, Karmiris K, Katsanos K, Kopylov U, Kucharzik T, Molnár T, Raine T, Sebastian S, de Sousa HT, Dignass A, Carbonnel F; European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation [ECCO]. Third European Evidence-based Consensus on Diagnosis and Management of Ulcerative Colitis. Part 2: Current Management. J Crohns Colitis 2017; 11: 769-784 [PMID: 28513805 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjx009]
- Perisetti A, Gajendran M, Boregowda U, Bansal P, Goyal H. COVID-19 and gastrointestinal endoscopies: Current insights and emergent strategies. Dig Endosc 2020; 32: 715-722 [PMID: 32281689 DOI: 10.1111/den.13693]
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Disinfection of Environments in Healthcare and Non-healthcare Settings Potentially Contaminated with SARS-CoV2. [cited December 23, 2020]. In: ECDC Technical Report [Internet]. Available from: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/Environmental-persistence-of-SARS_CoV_2-virus-Options-for-cleaning2020-03-26_0.pdf
- Sinonquel P, Roelandt P, Demedts I, Van Gerven L, Vandenbriele C, Wilmer A, Van Wijngaerden E, Bisschops R. COVID-19 and gastrointestinal endoscopy: What should be taken into account? Dig Endosc 2020; 32: 723-731 [PMID: 32335962 DOI: 10.1111/den.13706]
- World Health Organization. Rational use of personal protective equipment for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and considerations during severe shortages. 2020 December 23 [cited December 23, 2020]. In: World Health Organization [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/rational-use-of-personal-protective-equipment-forcoronavirus-disease-(covid-19)-and-considerations-during-severe-shortages
- 72 Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. J Hosp Infect 2020; 104: 246-251 [PMID: 32035997 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.01.0221
- Manes G, Repici A, Radaelli F, Bezzio C, Colombo M, Saibeni S. Planning phase two for endoscopic units in Northern Italy after the COVID-19 Lockdown: An exit strategy with a lot of critical issues and a few opportunities. Dig Liver Dis 2020; 52: 823-828 [PMID: 32605868 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2020.05.0421
- Gupta S, Shahidi N, Gilroy N, Rex DK, Burgess NG, Bourke MJ. Proposal for the return to routine endoscopy during the COVID-19 pandemic. Gastrointest Endosc 2020; 92: 735-742 [PMID: 32360301 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2020.04.050]
- Toh JW, Stewart P, Rickard MJ, Leong R, Wang N, Young CJ. Indications and surgical options for small bowel, large bowel and perianal Crohn's disease. World J Gastroenterol 2016; 22: 8892-8904 [PMID: 27833380 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i40.8892]
- Yamamoto T, Carvello M, Lightner AL, Spinelli A, Kotze PG. Up-to-date surgery for ulcerative colitis in the era of biologics. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2020; 20: 391-398 [PMID: 31948294 DOI: 10.1080/14712598.2020.1718098]
- Søreide K, Hallet J, Matthews JB, Schnitzbauer AA, Line PD, Lai PBS, Otero J, Callegaro D, Warner SG, Baxter NN, Teh CSC, Ng-Kamstra J, Meara JG, Hagander L, Lorenzon L. Immediate and long-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on delivery of surgical services. Br J Surg 2020; 107: 1250-1261 [PMID: 32350857 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.11670]
- Seifarth C, Kreis ME, Gröne J. Indications and Specific Surgical Techniques in Crohn's Disease. Viszeralmedizin 2015; 31: 273-279 [PMID: 26557836 DOI: 10.1159/000438955]
- Somashekhar SP, Acharya R, Saklani A, Parikh D, Goud J, Dixit J, Gopinath K, Kumar MV, Bhojwani R, Nayak S, Rao S, Kothari K, Chandramohan K, Desai S, Gupta A. Adaptations and Safety Modifications to Perform Safe Minimal Access Surgery (MIS: Laparoscopy and Robotic) During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Practice Modifications Expert Panel Consensus Guidelines from Academia of Minimal Access Surgical Oncology (AMASO). Indian J Surg Oncol 2020; 1-11 [PMID: 33223748 DOI: 10.1007/s13193-020-01254-9]
- Bellato V, Konishi T, Pellino G, An Y, Piciocchi A, Sensi B, Siragusa L, Khanna K, Pirozzi BM, Franceschilli M, Campanelli M, Efetov S, Sica GS; S-COVID Collaborative Group. Screening policies, preventive measures and in-hospital infection of COVID-19 in global surgical practices. JGlob Health 2020; 10: 020507 [PMID: 33110590 DOI: 10.7189/jogh.10.020507]
- Vigneswaran Y, Prachand VN, Posner MC, Matthews JB, Hussain M. What Is the Appropriate Use of Laparoscopy over Open Procedures in the Current COVID-19 Climate? J Gastrointest Surg 2020; **24**: 1686-1691 [PMID: 32285338 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-020-04592-9]
- Neumann PA, Rijcken E. Minimally invasive surgery for inflammatory bowel disease: Review of current developments and future perspectives. World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther 2016; 7: 217-226 [PMID: 27158537 DOI: 10.4292/wjgpt.v7.i2.217]
- de Leeuw RA, Burger NB, Ceccaroni M, Zhang J, Tuynman J, Mabrouk M, Barri Soldevila P, Bonjer HJ, Ankum P, Huirne J. COVID-19 and Laparoscopic Surgery: Scoping Review of Current Literature and Local Expertise. JMIR Public Health Surveill 2020; 6: e18928 [PMID: 32406853 DOI: 10.2196/18928]
- De Simone B, Chouillard E, Di Saverio S, Pagani L, Sartelli M, Biffl WL, Coccolini F, Pieri A, Khan M, Borzellino G, Campanile FC, Ansaloni L, Catena F. Emergency surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic: what you need to know for practice. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2020: 102: 323-332 [PMID: 32352836 DOI: 10.1308/rcsann.2020.0097]
- 85 Moletta L, Pierobon ES, Capovilla G, Costantini M, Salvador R, Merigliano S, Valmasoni M.

- International guidelines and recommendations for surgery during Covid-19 pandemic: A Systematic Review. Int J Surg 2020; 79: 180-188 [PMID: 32454253 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.05.061]
- **Mellor G**, Hutchinson M. Is it time for a more systematic approach to the hazards of surgical smoke? Workplace Health Saf 2013; 61: 265-270 [PMID: 23701005 DOI: 10.3928/21650799-20130516-12]
- 87 Flemming S, Hankir M, Ernestus RI, Seyfried F, Germer CT, Meybohm P, Wurmb T, Vogel U, Wiegering A. Surgery in times of COVID-19-recommendations for hospital and patient management. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2020; 405: 359-364 [PMID: 32385568 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-020-01888-x]
- 88 Coccolini F, Perrone G, Chiarugi M, Di Marzo F, Ansaloni L, Scandroglio I, Marini P, Zago M, De Paolis P, Forfori F, Agresta F, Puzziello A, D'Ugo D, Bignami E, Bellini V, Vitali P, Petrini F, Pifferi B, Corradi F, Tarasconi A, Pattonieri V, Bonati E, Tritapepe L, Agnoletti V, Corbella D, Sartelli M, Catena F. Surgery in COVID-19 patients: operational directives. World J Emerg Surg 2020; **15**: 25 [PMID: 32264898 DOI: 10.1186/s13017-020-00307-2]
- Chetan MR, Tsakok MT, Shaw R, Xie C, Watson RA, Wing L, Peschl H, Benamore R, MacLeod F, Gleeson FV. Chest CT screening for COVID-19 in elective and emergency surgical patients: experience from a UK tertiary centre. Clin Radiol 2020; 75: 599-605 [PMID: 32593409 DOI: 10.1016/j.crad.2020.06.006]
- Hamid HKS. Considerations for transanal surgery during COVID-19 pandemic. J Surg Oncol 2020; **122**: 995 [PMID: 32668028 DOI: 10.1002/jso.26085]
- 91 Xiao F, Tang M, Zheng X, Liu Y, Li X, Shan H. Evidence for Gastrointestinal Infection of SARS-CoV-2. Gastroenterology 2020; 158: 1831-1833. e3 [PMID: 32142773 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.02.055]
- Teixeira SC. Mild and asymptomatic cases of COVID-19 are potential threat for faecal-oral transmission. Braz J Infect Dis 2020; 24: 368 [PMID: 32615073 DOI: 10.1016/j.bjid.2020.06.003]
- Taylor S, Asmundson GJG. Life in a post-pandemic world: What to expect of anxiety-related conditions and their treatment. J Anxiety Disord 2020; 72: 102231 [PMID: 32447204 DOI: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102231]
- Choi K, Chun J, Han K, Park S, Soh H, Kim J, Lee J, Lee HJ, Im JP, Kim JS. Risk of Anxiety and Depression in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Nationwide, Population-Based Study. J Clin Med 2019; **8** [PMID: 31083476 DOI: 10.3390/jcm8050654]
- 95 D'Amico F, Rahier JF, Leone S, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Danese S. Views of patients with inflammatory bowel disease on the COVID-19 pandemic: a global survey. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 5: 631-632 [PMID: 32411920 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30151-5]
- Spanish Working Group on Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis. Comunicado de GETECCU-GETEII-ACCU en relación a la vacunación frente a SARS-CoV-2 en pacientes con Enfermedad Inflamatoria Intestinal (EII). [cited December 23, 2020]. In: Grupo Español de Trabajo para la Enfermedad de Crohn y Colitis Ulcerosa [Internet]. Available from: https://geteccu.org/comunicado-de-geteccu-geteii-accu-en-relacion-a-la-vacunacion-frente-a-sarscov-2-en-pacientes-con-enfermedad-inflamatoria-intestinal-eii
- 97 British Society of Gastroenterology. British Society of Gastroenterology Inflammatory Bowel Disease Section and IBD Clinical Research Group position statement on SARS-CoV2 Vaccination. [cited December 23, 2020]. In: British Society of Gastroenterology [Internet]. Available from: https://www.bsg.org.uk/covid-19-advice/british-society-of-gastroenterology-inflammatory-boweldisease-section-and-ibd-clinical-research-group-position-statement-on-sars-cov2-vaccination
- D'Amico F, Rabaud C, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Danese S. SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in IBD: more pros than cons. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021 [PMID: 33473178 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-021-00420-w]
- Lu Y, Jacobson DL, Ashworth LA, Grand RJ, Meyer AL, McNeal MM, Gregas MC, Burchett SK, Bousvaros A. Immune response to influenza vaccine in children with inflammatory bowel disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2009; 104: 444-453 [PMID: 19174786 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2008.120]
- Cullen G, Bader C, Korzenik JR, Sands BE. Serological response to the 2009 H1N1 influenza vaccination in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Gut 2012; 61: 385-391 [PMID: 21757451 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2011-300256]
- Melmed GY, Agarwal N, Frenck RW, Ippoliti AF, Ibanez P, Papadakis KA, Simpson P, Barolet-Garcia C, Ward J, Targan SR, Vasiliauskas EA. Immunosuppression impairs response to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Am JGastroenterol 2010; 105: 148-154 [PMID: 19755964 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2009.523]
- Caldera F, Hillman L, Saha S, Wald A, Grimes I, Zhang Y, Sharpe AR, Reichelderfer M, Hayney MS. Immunogenicity of High Dose Influenza Vaccine for Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease on Anti-TNF Monotherapy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2020; 26: 593-602 [PMID: 31504526 DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izz164]
- 103 Doornekamp L, Goetgebuer RL, Schmitz KS, Goeijenbier M, van der Woude CJ, Fouchier R, van Gorp ECM, de Vries AC. High Immunogenicity to Influenza Vaccination in Crohn's Disease Patients Treated with Ustekinumab. Vaccines (Basel) 2020; 8 [PMID: 32824111 DOI: 10.3390/vaccines8030455]



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

