World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2022 August 28; 28(32): 4475-4743





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJG

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 28 Number 32 August 28, 2022

REVIEW

4475 Colon mucus in colorectal neoplasia and beyond

Loktionov A

MINIREVIEWS

4493 Who to screen and how to screen for celiac disease

Singh P, Singh AD, Ahuja V, Makharia GK

- 4508 Assessment of physical stress during the perioperative period of endoscopic submucosal dissection Chinda D, Shimoyama T
- 4516 Expanding beyond endoscopy: A review of non-invasive modalities in Barrett's esophagus screening and surveillance

Shahsavari D, Kudaravalli P, Yap JEL, Vega KJ

- 4527 Impact of microbiota-immunity axis in pancreatic cancer management Bartolini I, Nannini G, Risaliti M, Matarazzo F, Moraldi L, Ringressi MN, Taddei A, Amedei A
- 4540 Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System criteria for the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in clinical practice: A pictorial minireview

Liava C, Sinakos E, Papadopoulou E, Giannakopoulou L, Potsi S, Moumtzouoglou A, Chatziioannou A, Stergioulas L, Kalogeropoulou L, Dedes I, Akriviadis E, Chourmouzi D

4557 Liver regeneration as treatment target for severe alcoholic hepatitis

Virovic-Jukic L, Ljubas D, Stojsavljevic-Shapeski S, Ljubičić N, Filipec Kanizaj T, Mikolasevic I, Grgurevic I

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

4574 Wumei pills attenuates 5-fluorouracil-induced intestinal mucositis through Toll-like receptor 4/myeloid differentiation factor 88/nuclear factor-kB pathway and microbiota regulation

Lu DX, Liu F, Wu H, Liu HX, Chen BY, Yan J, Lu Y, Sun ZG

4600 Sirolimus increases the anti-cancer effect of Huai Er by regulating hypoxia inducible factor-1a-mediated glycolysis in hepatocellular carcinoma

Zhou L, Zhao Y, Pan LC, Wang J, Shi XJ, Du GS, He Q

4620 Anti-tumour activity and toxicological studies of combination treatment of Orthosiphon stamineus and gemcitabine on pancreatic xenograft model

Yehya AHS, Subramaniam AV, Asif M, Kaur G, Abdul Majid AMS, Oon CE



Conton	World Journal of Gastroenterology
Conten	Weekly Volume 28 Number 32 August 28, 2022
4635	The mechanism of Yinchenhao decoction in treating obstructive-jaundice-induced liver injury based on Nrf2 signaling pathway
	Liu JJ, Xu Y, Chen S, Hao CF, Liang J, Li ZL
4649	Anoctamin 5 regulates the cell cycle and affects prognosis in gastric cancer
	Fukami T, Shiozaki A, Kosuga T, Kudou M, Shimizu H, Ohashi T, Arita T, Konishi H, Komatsu S, Kubota T, Fujiwara H, Okamoto K, Kishimoto M, Morinaga Y, Konishi E, Otsuji E
4668	Effects of Granule Dendrobii on chronic atrophic gastritis induced by N-methyl-N'-nitro-N- nitrosoguanidine in rats
	Wu Y, Li Y, Jin XM, Dai GH, Chen X, Tong YL, Ren ZM, Chen Y, Xue XM, Wu RZ
	Retrospective Study
4681	Machine learning predicts portal vein thrombosis after splenectomy in patients with portal hypertension: Comparative analysis of three practical models
	Li J, Wu QQ, Zhu RH, Lv X, Wang WQ, Wang JL, Liang BY, Huang ZY, Zhang EL
	Observational Study
4698	International patterns in incidence and mortality trends of pancreatic cancer in the last three decades: A joinpoint regression analysis
	Ilic I, Ilic M
	Prospective Study
4716	Differential diagnosis of different types of solid focal liver lesions using two-dimensional shear wave elastography
	Guo J, Jiang D, Qian Y, Yu J, Gu YJ, Zhou YQ, Zhang HP
	META-ANALYSIS
4726	Use of shear wave elastography for the diagnosis and follow-up of biliary atresia: A meta-analysis
	Wagner ES, Abdelgawad HAH, Landry M, Asfour B, Slidell MB, Azzam R
	LETTER TO THE EDITOR
4741	Is endoscopic mucosal ablation a valid option for treating colon polyps?
	Liu XY, Ren RR, Wu C, Wang LY, Zhu ML



Contents

Weekly Volume 28 Number 32 August 28, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, PhD, MD, Associate Professor in Infectious Diseases, Department of Mental Health and Public Medicine, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Naples 80130, Italy. caterina.sagnelli@unicampania.it

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 5.374; IF without journal self cites: 5.187; 5-year IF: 5.715; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.84; Ranking: 31 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2021 is 8.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Gastroenterology is 18/149.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yu-Xi Chen; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS	
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204	
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS	
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287	
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH	
October 1, 1995	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240	
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS	
Weekly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288	
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT	
Andrzej S Tarnawski	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208	
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE	
http://www.wignet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242	
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS	
August 28, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239	
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION	
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com	

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WŨ

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2022 August 28; 28(32): 4716-4725

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i32.4716

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Prospective Study Differential diagnosis of different types of solid focal liver lesions using two-dimensional shear wave elastography

Jia Guo, Dong Jiang, Yi Qian, Jiao Yu, Yi-Jun Gu, Yu-Qing Zhou, Hui-Ping Zhang

Specialty type: Radiology, nuclear medicine and medical imaging

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): A Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Corvino A, Italy; Giacomelli L, Italy

Received: April 6, 2022 Peer-review started: April 6, 2022 First decision: June 27, 2022 Revised: July 15, 2022 Accepted: July 31, 2022 Article in press: July 31, 2022 Published online: August 28, 2022



Jia Guo, Department of Ultrasound, Shuguang Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai 201203, China

Dong Jiang, Yi Qian, Yi-Jun Gu, Department of Ultrasound, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital Affiliated to Naval Medical University, Shanghai 200433, China

Jiao Yu, Department of Infectious Diseases, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital Affiliated to Naval Medical University, Shanghai 200433, China

Yu-Qing Zhou, Hui-Ping Zhang, Department of Ultrasound, Shanghai Changning Maternity and Infant Health Hospital, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200050, China

Corresponding author: Hui-Ping Zhang, MD, PhD, Associate Chief Physician, Department of Ultrasound, Shanghai Changning Maternity and Infant Health Hospital, East China Normal University, No. 786 Yuyuan Road, Shanghai 200050, China. zhanggirldan@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

The clinical management and prognosis differ between benign and malignant solid focal liver lesions (FLLs), as well as among different pathological types of malignant FLLs. Accurate diagnosis of the possible types of solid FLLs is important. Our previous study confirmed the value of shear wave elastography (SWE) using maximal elasticity (Emax) as the parameter in the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant FLLs. However, the value of SWE in the differential diagnosis among different pathological types of malignant FLLs has not been proved.

AIM

To explore the value of two-dimensional SWE (2D-SWE) using Emax in the differential diagnosis of FLLs, especially among different pathological types of malignant FLLs.

METHODS

All the patients enrolled in this study were diagnosed as benign, malignant or undetermined FLLs by conventional ultrasound. Emax of FLLs and the periphery of FLLs was measured using 2D-SWE and compared between benign and malignant FLLs or among different pathological types of malignant FLLs.

WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

RESULTS

The study included 32 benign FLLs in 31 patients and 100 malignant FLLs in 96 patients, including 16 cholangiocellular carcinomas (CCCs), 72 hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs) and 12 liver metastases. Thirty-five FLLs were diagnosed as undetermined by conventional ultrasound. There were significant differences between Emax of malignant (2.21 ± 0.57 m/s) and benign (1.59 ± 0.37 m/s) FLLs (P = 0.000), and between Emax of the periphery of malignant (1.52 ± 0.39 m/s) and benign (1.36 ± 0.44 m/s) FLLs (P = 0.040). Emax of liver metastases (2.73 ± 0.99 m/s) was significantly higher than that of CCCs (2.14 ± 0.34 m/s) and HCCs (2.14 ± 0.46 m/s) (P = 0.002). The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were 71.00%, 84.38% and 74.24% respectively, using Emax > 1.905 m/s (AUC 0.843) to diagnose as malignant and 23 of 35 (65.74%) FLLs with undetermined diagnosis by conventional ultrasound were diagnosed correctly.

CONCLUSION

Malignant FLLs were stiffer than benign ones and liver metastases were stiffer than primary liver carcinomas. 2D-SWE with Emax was a useful complement to conventional ultrasound for the differential diagnosis of FLLs.

Key Words: Focal liver lesions; Conventional ultrasound; Two-dimensional shear wave elastography; Differential diagnosis

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Two-dimensional shear wave elastography (2D-SWE) could address the limitations of point SWE and provide overall elastic information in tumors and locate the stiffest part of the tumors. We used 2D-SWE with maximal elasticity to measure the stiffness of focal liver lesions (FLLs) and to explore the value of 2D-SWE in the differential diagnosis of FLLs, especially among different pathological types of malignant FLLs. Our results showed malignant FLLs were stiffer than benign ones and liver metastases were stiffer than primary liver carcinomas. 2D-SWE with Emax was useful complement to conventional ultrasound for the differential diagnosis of FLLs.

Citation: Guo J, Jiang D, Qian Y, Yu J, Gu YJ, Zhou YQ, Zhang HP. Differential diagnosis of different types of solid focal liver lesions using two-dimensional shear wave elastography. *World J Gastroenterol* 2022; 28(32): 4716-4725

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v28/i32/4716.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v28.i32.4716

INTRODUCTION

The clinical management and prognosis differ between benign and malignant solid focal liver lesions (FLLs), and among different pathological types of malignant FLLs[1-3]. Accurate diagnosis of solid FLLs, not only as benign or malignant FLLs, but also the possible types of malignant FLLs, is important [4-6].

Ultrasound elastography, especially two-dimensional shear wave elastography (2D-SWE) which provides quantitative information about tissue stiffness, is a useful tool to differentiate malignant from benign FLLs[7,8]. Our previous study confirmed the value of SWE in the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant FLLs[9].

However, the value of SWE in the differential diagnosis among different pathological types of malignant FLLs has not been proved. Park *et al*[10] showed that cholangiocellular carcinoma (CCC) had the lowest shear wave velocity, followed by liver metastases, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) had the highest shear wave velocity; whereas Gerber *et al*[11] showed that CCC had the highest stiffness and liver metastases had the lowest.

Considering the inhomogeneity of tumor stiffness (especially malignant tumors), we used maximal elasticity (Emax) as the parameter to evaluate the stiffness of FLLs and obtained promising results[9]. In the present study, we used 2D-SWE with Emax as the parameter to measure the stiffness of FLLs and to explore the value of SWE with Emax in the differential diagnosis of FLLs, especially among different pathological types of malignant FLLs.

Zaishidene® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

This was a prospective study that was approved by the institutional ethical review board of our hospital. All patients provided written informed consent before ultrasound examination.

Patients

Inpatients in the department of Hepatobiliary Surgery between November 2021 and January 2022 who met the following criteria were included: (1) One or more solid FLLs shown on conventional ultrasound with a maximal lesion depth < 8 cm; and (2) The targeted FLL showed as green on quality mode, indicating good quality of SWE. The exclusion criteria were: (1) Patients refused to join the study; and (2) No definite final diagnosis; malignant tumors except for liver metastases had to be diagnosed pathologically after surgery; benign tumors or liver metastases could be diagnosed pathologically or by contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) together with contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) or contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) 1 wk before or after 2D-SWE. We included 132 solid FLLs in 127 patients. The gender, age and history of malignancy were recorded.

Conventional ultrasound examination

An Acuson Sequoia diagnostic ultrasound machine (Siemens Medical Solutions, Mountain View, CA, United States) and a transabdominal 5C1 probe were used for conventional ultrasound examination. Before the examinations, all patients fasted for at least 8 h.

One ultrasound physician with > 15 years' experience in conventional ultrasound performed the hepatic ultrasound scan to detect FLLs. The length and width of every lesion were measured to calculate the volume according to the formula [12]: V = 0.5 LW² (V: Volume; L: Length; W: Width). A diagnosis as benign, malignant or undetermined was made for each lesion by the ultrasound physician according to the ultrasound features including the number, size, shape, boundary, echogenicity and blood flow of the lesion and surrounding hepatic echogenicity.

2D-SWE examination

After conventional ultrasound examination, another ultrasound physician who was well trained in ultrasound elastography performed all the 2D-SWE examinations using the same ultrasound equipment and probe, putting no pressure on the skin through the probe. The patients were asked to lie flat on the examination bed. The targeted FLL was shown clearly on the screen and the 2D-SWE mode was activated. A region of interest (ROI) was drawn including the whole or partial tumor and some surrounding liver tissue. If the tumor showed green on quality mode (Figure 1A), it meant that the quality of the 2D-SWE image was up to standard. In velocity mode, we set the speed bar at 0.5-4.0 m/s (Figure 1B); drew two circular ROIs (with 3 mm diameter) to be placed at the stiffest site in the tumor and at the periphery of the tumor (Figure 1C). The maximum values of the two ROIs were recorded (Figure 1D) as Emax for further analysis.

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 13.0 (IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL, United States) was used for statistical analysis. P <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used first for measurement data. Independent sample t test, one way analysis of variance and least significant difference were used for data with normal distribution and the data were reported as mean ± SD. Otherwise, the Mann-Whitney or Kruskal-Wallis test was used and the data were reported as interquartile range. The cut-off point of Emax was calculated by a receiver operating characteristic curve. The enumerative data were presented as numbers and percentage and Pearson's chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

Patients and final diagnosis

There were 132 solid FLLs in 127 patients (80 men and 47 women; aged 24-77 years; mean age 54.23 ± 12.04 years) in this study, including 32 benign FLLs in 31 patients (6 focal nodular hyperplasia, 15 hemangioma and 11 diagnosed definitely as benign but without pathological results) and 100 malignant FLLs in 96 patients (16 CCCs, 72 HCCs and 12 liver metastases). The differences in age, gender and history of malignancy between the malignant and benign groups are shown in Table 1. Compared with patients with benign FLLs, patients with malignant FLLs were older and more often male. The history of malignancy had no significant difference between the two groups. The differences in age, gender and history of malignancy among three types of malignancy are shown in Table 2. The age and gender had no significant differences among the three groups, although the age of patients with liver metastases was significantly higher than that of patients with HCC. Patients with liver metastases were more often



Table 1 Differences in age, gender and history of malignancy between malignant and benign groups					
	Age (yr)	Gender		History of malignancy	
		Male	Female	Yes	No
Malignant ($n = 96$)	44.68 ± 12.30	73	23	11	85
Benign ($n = 31$)	57.31 ± 10.36	7	24	1	30
t/χ^2	5.633	28.729		1.856	
<i>P</i> value	0.000	0.000		0.291	

Table 2 Differences in age, gender and history of malignancy among three types of malignancy

		Gender		History of malignancy	
	Age (yr)	Male	Female	Yes	No
CCCs (<i>n</i> = 16)	58.63 ± 10.37	11	5	1	15
HCCs (<i>n</i> = 69)	56.07 ± 10.51^{a}	54	15	5	64
Liver metastasis ($n = 11$)	63.18 ± 7.55	8	3	5	6
F/χ^2	2.460	0.720		14.165	
<i>P</i> value	0.091	0.698		0.001	

^aP = 0.035 vs liver metastases. CCCs: Cholangiocellular carcinomas; HCCs: Hepatocellular carcinomas.



DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i32.4716 **Copyright** ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 1 Two-dimensional shear wave elastography images in patients with focal liver lesions. A: Tumor shown on quality mode as green indicated that the quality of two-dimensional shear wave elastography image was up to standard; B: Tumor shown in velocity mode, speed bar set at 0.5-4.0 m/s; C: Two circular regions of interest (ROIs, with 3 mm diameter) placed at the stiffest site in the tumor and at the periphery of tumor; D: Maximum values of the two ROIs recorded as maximal elasticity.

Baishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

had a history of malignancy, compared with patients with primary liver cancer, including HCC and CCC.

Conventional ultrasound results

Volume of benign FLLs (20.73-113.69 cm³) and malignant FLLs (30.56-79.62 cm³) had no significant difference (Z = 0.263, P = 0.793). Volume of CCCs (39.58-120.22 cm³), HCCs (26.34-75.69 cm³) and liver metastases (32.76-41.67 cm³) had no significant difference (χ^2 = 1.869, *P* = 0.393). For 32 benign FLLs, 12 were diagnosed as benign, 18 as undetermined and two were misdiagnosed as malignant. For 100 malignant FLLs, 81 (12 CCCs, 59 HCCs and 10 metastases) were diagnosed correctly as malignant, 17 were undetermined (4 CCCs, 11 HCCs and 2 liver metastases) and 2 were misdiagnosed as benign (both HCCs). The undetermined rate of conventional ultrasound was 26.52% (35/132). For the FLLs with definite diagnosis by conventional ultrasound, the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were 85.71%, 97.59% and 95.88%, respectively.

2D SWE results

Comparisons between benign and malignant FLLs: The differences in 2D-SWE results between benign and malignant FLLs are shown in Table 3. Emax of malignant FLLs were significantly higher than those of benign FLLs. Emax of the periphery of malignant FLLs were significantly higher than those of benign FLLs. The cut-off point of Emax of the tumors was 1.905 with AUC 0.843 (Figure 2). The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were 71.00%, 84.38% and 74.24% respectively using Emax > 1.905 m/s for diagnosis as malignant (Figure 3). For 35 FLLs with undetermined diagnosis by conventional ultrasound, 23 (65.71%) FLLs including 14 of 18 benign and nine of 17 malignant FLLs were diagnosed correctly.

Comparisons among different types of malignant FLLs: The differences in 2D-SWE results among different types of malignant FLLs are shown in Table 4. There were significant differences among Emax of CCCs, HCCs and liver metastases. Emax of liver metastases was significantly higher than that of CCCs and HCCs. There were no significant differences among Emax values of the periphery of CCCs, HCCs and liver metastases.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we explored the value of 2D-SWE using Emax as the quantitative parameter in the differential diagnosis of FLLs, especially among different pathological types of malignant FLLs. Our results showed that malignant FLLs were stiffer than benign ones and liver metastases were stiffer than primary liver carcinomas. 2D-SWE with Emax was a useful complement to conventional ultrasound for the differential diagnosis of FLLs.

The comparison of patients' basic information showed that compared with patients with benign FLLs, patients with malignant FLLs tended to be older, male and have a history of malignancy. Among three different types of malignant FLLs, the distribution of patients' age and gender had no significant difference, while patients with liver metastases were more likely to have a history of malignancy. These results were similar to those in previous studies[13].

Conventional ultrasound is well recognized as the first imaging choice for the screening and diagnosis of FLLs[14]. However, the diagnostic efficiency of conventional ultrasound for the differential diagnosis of benign and malignant FLLs or among different pathological types of malignant FLLs was not good enough. For some FLLs, the diagnosis using conventional ultrasound alone is difficult[15]. That is one of the reasons for the development of new ultrasound technologies, including CEUS and ultrasound elastography. In this study, we diagnosed FLLs as benign, malignant and undetermined by conventional ultrasound and 35 of 132 (26.52%) were diagnosed as undetermined. Further diagnosis using other imaging methods was necessary.

CEUS could provide similar or better diagnostic performance for the differential diagnosis of FLLs without radiation and iodine allergy, compared with contrast enhanced CT[16]. CEUS has particularly high value for the differential diagnosis of complex cystic and cysticlike FLLs[17,18]. In this study, for some benign tumors or liver metastases without pathological diagnosis, the combined results of CEUS together with contrast enhanced CT or contrast enhanced MRI were as final. Compared with CEUS, ultrasound elastography has the advantages of being less expensive and less time-consuming[19].

Our previous study using virtual touch tissue quantification imaging with Emax has proven its value in the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant FLLs[9]. 2D-SWE is one of the most advanced elastography techniques and could provide overall elastic information in tumors and locate the stiffest part[20]. In this study, the cutoff point of Emax was 1.905, which was similar to our previous result[9]. Twenty-three of 35 (65.71%) FLLs with undetermined diagnosis by conventional ultrasound were diagnosed accurately using 2D-SWE with Emax. If FLLs could be diagnosed confidently using conventional ultrasound, no further examination was needed; otherwise, 2D-SWE with Emax could be a useful complement to conventional ultrasound and improve the diagnostic efficiency.



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 3 Differences in two-dimensional shear wave elastography results between benign and malignant focal liver lesions			
	Emax of tumors (m/s)	Emax of periphery of tumors (m/s)	
Malignant ($n = 100$)	2.21 ± 0.57	1.52 ± 0.39	
Benign ($n = 32$)	1.59 ± 0.37	1.36 ± 0.44	
t	5.781	2.073	
<i>P</i> value	0.000	0.040	

Emax: Maximal elasticity.

Table 4 Differences of two-dimensional shear wave elastography results among different types of malignant focal liver lesions			
	Emax of the tumors (m/s)	Emax of the periphery of tumors (m/s)	
CCCs (<i>n</i> = 16)	2.14 ± 0.34^{a}	1.56 ± 0.39	
HCCs (<i>n</i> = 72)	2.14 ± 0.46^{b}	1.54 ± 0.37	
Liver metastases ($n = 12$)	2.73 ± 0.99	1.36 ± 0.46	
F	6.418	1.299	
<i>P</i> value	0.002	0.277	

 $^{a}P = 0.005 vs$ liver metastases

 $^{b}P = 0.001 vs$ liver metastases.

Emax: Maximal elasticity; CCCs: Cholangiocellular carcinomas; HCCs: Hepatocellular carcinomas.



Figure 2 Receiver operating characteristic curve of maximum elasticity for malignant and benign focal liver lesions.

The elastic differences among different types of malignant FLLs are still uncertain. Our results showed that the stiffness of liver metastases was significantly higher than that of primary liver tumors, including CCCs and HCCs, while the stiffness of CCCs and HCCs had no significant differences. This was similar to the study of Park et al^[21], which showed that the stiffness of HCCs was significantly lower than that of liver metastases. However, some other studies had the opposite results[10,11]. One

Raishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i32.4716 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 3 Ultrasound and pathologic images in a 51-year old male patients with hepatocellular carcinomas. A: A tumor shown on conventional ultrasound were diagnosed as undetermined; B: Tumor shown as stiffer, compared with surrounding liver tissue in two-dimensional shear wave elastography velocity mode; C: Maximal elasticity of the tumor was higher than 1.905 m/s and the tumor was diagnosed as malignant; D: Pathological examination confirmed the tumor as hepatocellular carcinomas (HE, × 200).

> study reported that CCCs had the lowest stiffness and HCCs the highest stiffness^[10]. Another study found that CCCs had the highest stiffness and liver metastases the lowest[11]. One important reason for the conflicting results is that the sample number in every study was not large enough, and it is necessary to carry out further studies with large samples to confirm our results.

> There were some limitations to our study. First, as mentioned above, only a few cases of CCCs and liver metastases were included. Second, no pathological methods were used to confirm the stiffness of the tumors and explain the differences among different pathological types of malignant FLLs.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, malignant FLLs were stiffer than benign FLLs and liver metastases were stiffer than primary liver carcinomas. 2D-SWE with Emax was a useful complement to conventional ultrasound for the differential diagnosis of FLLs.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Conventional ultrasound is the first imaging choice for liver diseases with the diagnostic efficiency not good enough, which promotes the development of new ultrasound technologies, such as contrast enhanced ultrasound and ultrasound elastography. Two dimensional shear wave elastography (2D-SWE) is convenient, less time-consuming and inexpensive. SWE plays an important role in the assessment of liver fibrosis and in the differential diagnosis of benign and malignant focal liver lesions (FLLs). However, its value for the differential diagnosis among different types of malignant FLLs has not been proved.

Research motivation

Though the value of SWE for the differential diagnosis between malignant and benign FLLs was not



widely recognized, our previous study showed promising results using maximal elasticity (Emax) as the parameter to differentiate malignant FLLs from benign ones. As the clinical management and prognosis of different pathological types of malignant FLLs are different, it is important to diagnose accurately of the possible pathological types. So, it was necessary to explore the value of 2D-SWE with Emax in differential diagnosis of different pathological types of malignant FLLs.

Research objectives

We aim to explore the value of 2D-SWE using Emax in the differential diagnosis of FLLs, especially among different pathological types of malignant FLLs.

Research methods

In this study, we diagnosed all FLLs as benign, malignant and undetermined using conventional ultrasound. And the stiffness of FLLs and the periphery of FLLs were evaluated using 2D-SWE and the quantitative parameter Emax. Emax of FLLs and the periphery of FLLs were compared between benign and malignant FLLs or among different pathological types of malignant FLLs.

Research results

There were totally 132 FFLs in 127 patients enrolled in the study, including 32 benign FLLs, 16 cholangiocellular carcinomas (CCCs), 72 hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs) and 12 liver metastases. Thirty-five FLLs were diagnosed as undermined by conventional ultrasound. Emax of malignant FLLs (2.21 ± 0.57) m/s) were significantly higher than those of benign FLLs ($1.59 \pm 0.37 \text{ m/s}$) (P = 0.000). Emax of the periphery of malignant FLLs $(1.52 \pm 0.39 \text{ m/s})$ were significantly higher than those of benign FLLs $(1.36 \pm 0.39 \text{ m/s})$ \pm 0.44 m/s) (P = 0.040). The cut-off point of Emax of the tumors was 1.905 with AUC 0.843. The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were 71.00%, 84.38% and 74.24% respectively using Emax > 1.905 m/s for diagnosis as malignant and 23 of 35 (65.74%) FLLs with undetermined diagnosis by conventional ultrasound were diagnosed correctly. Emax of liver metastases $(2.73 \pm 0.99 \text{ m/s})$ was significantly higher than that of primary liver carcinomas, including CCCs $(2.14 \pm 0.34 \text{ m/s})$ and HCCs $(2.14 \pm 0.46 \text{ m/s})$ m/s) (P = 0.002).

Research conclusions

Malignant FLLs were stiffer than benign ones and liver metastases were stiffer than primary liver carcinomas. 2D-SEW with Emax was a useful complement to conventional ultrasound for the differential diagnosis of FLLs.

Research perspectives

In this study, we demonstrated the differences of 2D-SWE with Emax between benign and malignant FLLs and among different pathological types of malignant FLLs. Prospective study to explore the value of 2D-SWE with Emax in the evaluatation the different stiffness of liver metastases from different sources or the different stiffness of HCC with different microvascular invasion grade will be necessary.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Guo J and Jiang D contributed equally to this work; Guo J, Jiang D, Yu J and Zhang HP designed the study; Guo J, Jiang D, Qian Y and Gu YJ performed the research and collected data; Zhang HP and Zhou YQ performed statistical analysis; Guo J and Jiang D wrote the manuscript; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai of China, No. 19ZR1441500, No. 22ZR1458200; Science Research Foundation of Shanghai Municipal Health Commission, No. 202140378; and Key Program of Science and Technology Commission Foundation of Changning, Shanghai, China, No. CNKW2020Z04.

Institutional review board statement: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Review Board of Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital Affiliated to Naval Medical University (Approval No. EHBHKY2021-K-017).

Clinical trial registration statement: This study is registered at clinical hospital center 'Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, Naval Medical University' trial registry. The registration identification number is ChiCTR2100049831.

Informed consent statement: All patients provided written informed consent prior to study enrollment before ultrasound examinations.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

CONSORT 2010 statement: The authors have read the CONSORT 2010 statement, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CONSORT 2010 statement.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Yu-Qing Zhou 0001-0002-0001-0002; Hui-Ping Zhang 0000-0002-3890-6436.

S-Editor: Gong ZM L-Editor: A P-Editor: Gong ZM

REFERENCES

- Yao J, Liang X, Liu Y, Li S, Zheng M. Trends in Incidence and Prognostic Factors of Two Subtypes of Primary Liver Cancers: A Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results-Based Population Study. Cancer Control 2022; 29: 10732748211051548 [PMID: 35147456 DOI: 10.1177/10732748211051548]
- 2 Macias RIR, Banales JM, Sangro B, Muntané J, Avila MA, Lozano E, Perugorria MJ, Padillo FJ, Bujanda L, Marin JJG. The search for novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in cholangiocarcinoma. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis 2018; 1864: 1468-1477 [PMID: 28782657 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2017.08.002]
- Lang SA, Bednarsch J, Czigany Z, Joechle K, Kroh A, Amygdalos I, Strnad P, Bruns T, Heise D, Ulmer F, Neumann UP. 3 Liver transplantation in malignant disease. World J Clin Oncol 2021; 12: 623-645 [PMID: 34513597 DOI: 10.5306/wjco.v12.i8.623]
- 4 Stollmayer R, Budai BK, Tóth A, Kalina I, Hartmann E, Szoldán P, Bérczi V, Maurovich-Horvat P, Kaposi PN. Diagnosis of focal liver lesions with deep learning-based multi-channel analysis of hepatocyte-specific contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. World J Gastroenterol 2021; 27: 5978-5988 [PMID: 34629814 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i35.5978]
- 5 Cao SE, Zhang LQ, Kuang SC, Shi WQ, Hu B, Xie SD, Chen YN, Liu H, Chen SM, Jiang T, Ye M, Zhang HX, Wang J. Multiphase convolutional dense network for the classification of focal liver lesions on dynamic contrast-enhanced computed tomography. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 3660-3672 [PMID: 32742134 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i25.3660]
- Huf S, Platz Batista da Silva N, Wiesinger I, Hornung M, Scherer MN, Lang S, Stroszczynski C, Fischer T, Jung EM. 6 Analysis of Liver Tumors Using Preoperative and Intraoperative Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound (CEUS/IOCEUS) by Radiologists in Comparison to Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Histopathology. Rofo 2017; 189: 431-440 [PMID: 28449169 DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-124347]
- 7 da Silva NPB, Hornung M, Beyer LP, Hackl C, Brunner S, Schlitt HJ, Wiggermann P, Jung EM. Intraoperative Shear Wave Elastography vs. Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound for the Characterization and Differentiation of Focal Liver Lesions to Optimize Liver Tumor Surgery. Ultraschall Med 2019; 40: 205-211 [PMID: 30340245 DOI: 10.1055/a-0649-1000]
- Wang W, Zhang JC, Tian WS, Chen LD, Zheng Q, Hu HT, Wu SS, Guo Y, Xie XY, Lu MD, Kuang M, Liu LZ, Ruan SM. 8 Shear wave elastography-based ultrasomics: differentiating malignant from benign focal liver lesions. Abdom Radiol (NY) 2021; 46: 237-248 [PMID: 32564210 DOI: 10.1007/s00261-020-02614-3]
- Zhang HP, Gu JY, Bai M, Li F, Zhou YQ, Du LF. Value of shear wave elastography with maximal elasticity in differentiating benign and malignant solid focal liver lesions. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 7416-7424 [PMID: 33362393 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i46.7416]
- 10 Park H, Park JY, Kim DY, Ahn SH, Chon CY, Han KH, Kim SU. Characterization of focal liver masses using acoustic radiation force impulse elastography. World J Gastroenterol 2013; 19: 219-226 [PMID: 23345944 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i2.219]
- 11 Gerber L, Fitting D, Srikantharajah K, Weiler N, Kyriakidou G, Bojunga J, Schulze F, Bon D, Zeuzem S, Friedrich-Rust M. Evaluation of 2D- Shear Wave Elastography for Characterisation of Focal Liver Lesions. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 2017; 26: 283-290 [PMID: 28922441 DOI: 10.15403/jgld.2014.1121.263.dsh]
- Tomayko MM, Reynolds CP. Determination of subcutaneous tumor size in athymic (nude) mice. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 1989; 24: 148-154 [PMID: 2544306 DOI: 10.1007/BF00300234]
- 13 Lin L, Yan L, Liu Y, Qu C, Ni J, Li H. The Burden and Trends of Primary Liver Cancer Caused by Specific Etiologies from 1990 to 2017 at the Global, Regional, National, Age, and Sex Level Results from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Liver Cancer 2020; 9: 563-582 [PMID: 33083281 DOI: 10.1159/000508568]
- Osho A, Rich NE, Singal AG. Role of imaging in management of hepatocellular carcinoma: surveillance, diagnosis, and 14 treatment response. Hepatoma Res 2020; 6 [PMID: 32944652 DOI: 10.20517/2394-5079.2020.42]
- 15 Bartolotta TV, Taibbi A, Midiri M, Matranga D, Solbiati L, Lagalla R. Indeterminate focal liver lesions incidentally discovered at gray-scale US: role of contrast-enhanced sonography. Invest Radiol 2011; 46: 106-115 [PMID: 20938345 DOI: 10.1097/RLI.0b013e3181f44184]
- Kim TK, Noh SY, Wilson SR, Kono Y, Piscaglia F, Jang HJ, Lyshchik A, Dietrich CF, Willmann JK, Vezeridis A, Sirlin 16 CB. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) liver imaging reporting and data system (LI-RADS) 2017 - a review of



important differences compared to the CT/MRI system. Clin Mol Hepatol 2017; 23: 280-289 [PMID: 28911220 DOI: 10.3350/cmh.2017.0037]

- 17 Corvino A, Catalano O, Corvino F, Sandomenico F, Petrillo A. Diagnostic Performance and Confidence of Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound in the Differential Diagnosis of Cystic and Cysticlike Liver Lesions. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2017; 209: W119-W127 [PMID: 28639831 DOI: 10.2214/AJR.16.17062]
- Corvino A, Catalano O, Setola SV, Sandomenico F, Corvino F, Petrillo A. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound in the 18 characterization of complex cystic focal liver lesions. Ultrasound Med Biol 2015; 41: 1301-1310 [PMID: 25666723 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2014.12.667]
- 19 Platz Batista da Silva N, Schauer M, Hornung M, Lang S, Beyer LP, Wiesinger I, Stroszczynski C, Jung EM. Intrasurgical dignity assessment of hepatic tumors using semi-quantitative strain elastography and contrast-enhanced ultrasound for optimisation of liver tumor surgery. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc 2016; 64: 735-745 [PMID: 27767982 DOI: 10.3233/CH-168029]
- 20 Kayadibi Y, Ucar N, Kaya MF, Yildirim E, Bektas S. Characterization of Suspicious Microcalcifications on Mammography Using 2D Shear-Wave Elastography. Ultrasound Med Biol 2021; 47: 2532-2542 [PMID: 34127332 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2021.05.008]
- 21 Park HS, Kim YJ, Yu MH, Jung SI, Jeon HJ. Shear Wave Elastography of Focal Liver Lesion: Intraobserver Reproducibility and Elasticity Characterization. Ultrasound Q 2015; 31: 262-271 [PMID: 26086459 DOI: 10.1097/RUQ.000000000000175]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

