# World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2022 September 28; 28(36): 5240-5382





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJG

## World Journal of VVUIIII Jo... Gastroenterology

#### Contents

Weekly Volume 28 Number 36 September 28, 2022

#### **EDITORIAL**

5240 SARS-CoV-2 and the pancreas: What do we know about acute pancreatitis in COVID-19 positive patients? Brisinda G, Chiarello MM, Tropeano G, Altieri G, Puccioni C, Fransvea P, Bianchi V

#### REVIEW

5250 Deciphering the role of transforming growth factor-beta 1 as a diagnostic-prognostic-therapeutic candidate against hepatocellular carcinoma

Devan AR, Pavithran K, Nair B, Murali M, Nath LR

- 5265 P2X7 receptor as the regulator of T-cell function in intestinal barrier disruption Jiang ZF, Wu W, Hu HB, Li ZY, Zhong M, Zhang L
- 5280 Liver-specific drug delivery platforms: Applications for the treatment of alcohol-associated liver disease Warner JB, Guenthner SC, Hardesty JE, McClain CJ, Warner DR, Kirpich IA

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

5300 Histopathological assessment of the microscopic activity in inflammatory bowel diseases: What are we looking for?

Fabian O, Bajer L

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Basic Study**

5313 Esophageal magnetic compression anastomosis in dogs

Xu XH, Lv Y, Liu SQ, Cui XH, Suo RY

#### **Retrospective Cohort Study**

5324 Impact of sarcopenia on tumor response and survival outcomes in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated by trans-arterial (chemo)-embolization

Roth G, Teyssier Y, Benhamou M, Abousalihac M, Caruso S, Sengel C, Seror O, Ghelfi J, Seigneurin A, Ganne-Carrie N, Gigante E, Blaise L, Sutter O, Decaens T, Nault JC

5338 Machine learning-based gray-level co-occurrence matrix signature for predicting lymph node metastasis in undifferentiated-type early gastric cancer

Wei X, Yan XJ, Guo YY, Zhang J, Wang GR, Fayyaz A, Yu J

#### **Observational Study**

5351 Early extrahepatic recurrence as a pivotal factor for survival after hepatocellular carcinoma resection: A 15year observational study

Yoon JH, Choi SK, Cho SB, Kim HJ, Ko YS, Jun CH



Contor	World Journal of Gastroenterology
Conter	Weekly Volume 28 Number 36 September 28, 2022
5364	Atherogenic index of plasma combined with waist circumference and body mass index to predict metabolic-associated fatty liver disease
	Duan SJ, Ren ZY, Zheng T, Peng HY, Niu ZH, Xia H, Chen JL, Zhou YC, Wang RR, Yao SK
	LETTER TO THE EDITOR
5380	Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma: Beyond the boundaries of the liver <i>Gunta T</i>

#### Contents

Weekly Volume 28 Number 36 September 28, 2022

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Akihiro Tamori, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Hepatology, Osaka City University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka 5458585, Japan. tamori-a@omu.ac.jp

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

#### **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 5.374; IF without journal self cites: 5.187; 5-year IF: 5.715; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.84; Ranking: 31 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2021 is 8.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Gastroenterology is 18/149.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Yu-Xi Chen; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 1, 1995	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Weekly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Andrzej S Tarnawski	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
September 28, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



 $\mathcal{N}$ 

## World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2022 September 28; 28(36): 5324-5337

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i36.5324

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

**Retrospective Cohort Study** 

## Impact of sarcopenia on tumor response and survival outcomes in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated by trans-arterial (chemo)-embolization

Gael Roth, Yann Teyssier, Maxime Benhamou, Mélodie Abousalihac, Stefano Caruso, Christian Sengel, Olivier Seror, Julien Ghelfi, Arnaud Seigneurin, Nathalie Ganne-Carrie, Elia Gigante, Lorraine Blaise, Olivier Sutter, Thomas Decaens, Jean-Charles Nault

<b>Specialty type:</b> Gastroenterology and hepatology	Gael Roth, Yann Teyssier, Julien Ghelfi, Arnaud Seigneurin, Thomas Decaens, Univ. Grenoble- Alpes, Grenoble 38058, France				
<b>Provenance and peer review:</b> Unsolicited article; Externally peer	<b>Gael Roth, Mélodie Abousalihac, Thomas Decaens,</b> Department of Hepatology, Gastroenterology and Digestive Oncology, CHU Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble 38043, France				
reviewed.	Gael Roth, Julien Ghelfi, Thomas Decaens, Institute for Advanced Biosciences, INSERM				
Peer-review model: Single blind	U1209/CNRS UMR 5309, Grenoble 38043, France				
Peer-review report's scientific quality classification	Yann Teyssier, Christian Sengel, Julien Ghelfi, Department of Radiology, CHU Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble 38043, France				
Grade A (Excellent): 0	Maxime Benhamou, Olivier Seror, Olivier Sutter, Department of Radiology, CHU Avicenne-				
Grade B (Very good): 0	APHP. Bobigny 93000, France				
Grade C (Good): C, C, C					
Grade D (Fair): 0	Stefano Caruso, Olivier Seror, Nathalie Ganne-Carrie, Jean-Charles Nault, Functional Genomics				
Grade E (Poor): 0	of Solid Tumors Laboratory, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers-INSERM UMR 1138, Inserm Université Paris Paris 75006 France				
P-Reviewer: Posa A, Italy; Xi D,					
China; Zhao G, China	<b>Olivier Seror, Nathalie Ganne-Carrie, Jean-Charles Nault,</b> Unité de Formation et de Recherche Santé Médecine et Biologie Humaine. Université Paris Nord. Paris 93430. France				
Received: May 2, 2022					
Peer-review started: May 2, 2022	Arnaud Seigneurin, Service d'Epidémiologie et Evaluation Médicale, CHU Grenoble Alpes,				
First decision: June 19, 2022	Grenoble 38043, France				
<b>Revised:</b> June 22, 2022	Nathalia Osuma Osumia Ellia Oinanda, Lauraina Blaina, Jaco Ohadaa Naula D				
Accepted: August 30, 2022	Nathalie Ganne-Carrie, Elia Gigante, Lorraine Blaise, Jean-Charles Nault, Department of				
Article in press: August 30, 2022	Hepatology, CHU Avicenne-APHP, Bobigny 93000, France				
Published online: September 28	Corresponding author: Jean-Charles Nault, MD, PhD, Full Professor, Department of				
2022	Hepatology, CHU Avicenne-APHP, 125 Rue de Stalingrad, Bobigny 93000, France. naultic@gmail.com				



Abstract BACKGROUND



At the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), more than 90% of HCC patients present cirrhosis, a clinical condition often associated to malnutrition. Sarcopenia is an indirect marker of malnutrition assessable on computed tomography (CT).

#### AIM

To evaluate the prognostic value of sarcopenia in patients with HCC treated by trans-arterial (chemo)-embolization.

#### **METHODS**

Patients with HCC treated by a first session of trans-arterial (chemo)embolization and an available CT scan before treatment were included. Sarcopenia was assessed using skeletal muscle index at baseline and at the first radiological assessment. Radiological response was recorded after the first session of treatment using mRECIST.

#### RESULTS

Of 225 patients treated by trans-arterial bland embolization (n = 71) or trans-arterial chemoembolization (*n* = 154) for HCC between 2007 and 2013, Barcelona Clinic of Liver Cancer stage was A, B, and C in 27.5%, 55%, and 16.8% of cases, respectively. Sarcopenia was present in 57.7% of the patients. Patients with sarcopenia presented a higher rate of progressive disease (19% vs 8%, P = 0.0236), a shorter progression-free survival (8.3 vs 13.2 mo, P = 0.0035), and a shorter median overall survival (19.4 mo vs 35.5 mo, P = 0.0149) compared with non-sarcopenic patients. Finally, patients whose sarcopenia appeared after first transarterial treatment had the worst prognosis (P =0.0004).

#### **CONCLUSION**

Sarcopenia is associated with tumor progression and poor survival outcomes after trans-arterial (chemo)-embolization for HCC.

Key Words: Hepatocellular carcinoma; Transarterial chemoembolization; Bland embolization; Sarcopenia; Skeletal muscle index

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** This work evaluated the predictive value of sarcopenia for tumor response and survival outcomes in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated by transarterial chemoembolization or transarterial embolization. In this study, sarcopenia at imaging was observed in 57.7% of patients. It was associated with a higher rate of progressive disease and a decreased overall survival after adjustment with usual risk factors of death. Sarcopenia is an easy-to-assess radiological biomarker of poor prognosis that should be measured in order to assess prognosis and test a targeted intervention mixing nutritional support and physical activity.

Citation: Roth G, Teyssier Y, Benhamou M, Abousalihac M, Caruso S, Sengel C, Seror O, Ghelfi J, Seigneurin A, Ganne-Carrie N, Gigante E, Blaise L, Sutter O, Decaens T, Nault JC. Impact of sarcopenia on tumor response and survival outcomes in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated by trans-arterial (chemo)-embolization. World J Gastroenterol 2022; 28(36): 5324-5337

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v28/i36/5324.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v28.i36.5324

#### INTRODUCTION

Liver cancer is the second cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide[1], mostly represented by hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). At the diagnosis, 70% of HCC patients have only access to palliative treatments, and among intermediate HCC, classified as Barcelona Clinic of Liver Cancer (BCLC)-B[2], transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) and transarterial embolization (TAE) are the best therapeutic options to offer[3-5]. Nonetheless, despite a good level of tumor response, around 50%-55% of patients receiving these treatments suffer from a high level of relapse[6]. It is well demonstrated that TACE presents the best results on patients with a good general status and a low level of liver insufficiency [7], but additional reliable predictive markers are needed to better define which patients will take full benefit of this procedure, and which ones present an increased risk of low efficacy and liver deterioration. At the diagnosis, more than 90% of HCC patients present with cirrhosis, a clinical condition



often associated to malnutrition with sarcopenia. Indeed, sarcopenia, defined as the "progressive loss of muscle mass and strength with a risk of adverse outcomes such as disability, poor quality of life, and death" [8], is a consequence of chronic inflammation, hypercatabolism, and anorexia found in cirrhosis and advanced tumor stages. Sarcopenia has already been described as a poor prognostic factor in HCC patients undergoing surgical resection or treated by systemic therapies[9-12]. Further studies are needed to clarify the predictive value of sarcopenia in other HCC treatment settings, such as TAE or TACE. Indeed, several studies with small numbers of patients showed interesting results on the predictive value of sarcopenia regarding survival outcomes of patients treated by TACE but without clear impact on tumor response[13]. This study aimed to evaluate the predictive value of sarcopenia for tumor response and survival outcomes in a bicentric cohort of HCC patients treated by TACE or TAE.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Patient selection

Patients were retrospectively included from December 1, 2007 to November 1, 2013 in Jean Verdier Hospital and from June 1, 2011 to December 1, 2014 in Grenoble-Alpes University Hospital. The inclusion criteria were as follow: Age > 18 years, HCC diagnosed by histology or non-invasive criteria [7], first treatment using TAE or TACE, and available pre- and post-therapeutic computed tomography (CT) scan. Transarterial procedures performed for acute bleeding of HCC were excluded.

#### Transarterial procedures

Patients were treated with transarterial therapy following standard local protocol[14]. Each indication of TACE or TAE was validated during multidisciplinary tumor board including a hepatologist, an interventional radiologist, and a liver surgeon. In case of TACE, chemotherapy was either doxorubicin or idarubicin according to institutional standards of care, as previously described[15]. The choice of chemotherapy was left to the investigator's discretion. Every TACE or TAE was performed by an expert interventional radiologist.

As recommended by European guidelines, TACE could be repeated 2 mo after the first treatment in case of partial response on postoperative scan and preserved liver function after rediscussion in multidisciplinary tumor board[7].

#### Ethical approval

Written consent was obtained for every patient before transarterial procedures and the study protocol respects the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki (6<sup>th</sup> revision, 2008). Study ethics was also approved by the independent French ethic committee CERIM (*Comité d'éthique de la recherche en imagerie médicale;* No. CRM-2004-084).

#### Clinical and paraclinical data collection

Clinical and biological data were recorded before the treatment: Demographic data, body mass index, liver function, platelets, presence of cirrhosis, etiology of the underlying liver disease, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level, tumor size, number of nodules, tumor portal invasion, and esophageal varices at the last upper endoscopy.

After the first treatment, all patients were prospectively followed until death or the last recorded visit until June 30, 2018.

#### Anthropometric measurements

Anthropometric measurements were assessed on the pre-therapeutic CT scan and the first follow-up CT scan realized 1-3 mo after the transarterial treatment by two radiologists (OSu and YT) using the software Image J<sup>®</sup>. Skeletal muscle and psoas muscle area were measured on a cross-sectional CT image at the level of the 3<sup>rd</sup> lumbar vertebra. Skeletal muscle index (SMI) was calculated using the total muscle area on the L3 CT slice divided by squared height as previously described[16]. Sarcopenia was defined by SMI < 50 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup> in male and < 39 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup> in female patients as defined by the North American expert statement on sarcopenia in liver transplantation[17]. Psoas muscle index (PMI) defined as the psoas muscle area on height ratio was also assessed as previously described[17].

The following data were recorded on imaging: Liver volume, spleen volume, presence of ascites at imaging, presence of para-umbilical vein, presence of esophageal varices, and presence of splenomegaly defined by a spleen's cranio-caudal diameter superior to 12 cm.

#### Assessment of tumor response and survival outcomes

All imaging examinations were archived in a picture archiving and communication system and blindly read by two radiologists (OSu and YT). Clinical and paraclinical parameters were extracted from the patient's electronic medical records.

Zaishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Radiological response was assessed by two radiologists (OSu and YT) comparing the baseline imaging and the imaging available 1-3 mo after the first session of trans-arterial treatment as recommended<sup>[7]</sup>. Radiological response was classified into complete response, partial response, stable disease, and progressive disease as defined by the modified RECIST criteria<sup>[7]</sup>.

Progression-free survival was defined as the time between the date of the first treatment and the date of death, radiological progression, or the last recorded visit. Patients were censured at the date of liver transplantation. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time between the date of the first treatment and the date of death or the last recorded visit, with censoring at the date of liver transplantation in transplanted patients.

#### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were compared using the Fisher exact test for two groups and Chi squared test for three groups and more. Continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney test. Logistic regression was used to compare the association with radiological response and baseline variables.

Survival outcomes as OS and progression free survival (PFS) were computed using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the Log-rank test was used to compare survival rates. The association between baseline variable and OS and PFS was assessed in univariate analysis using the Cox model. Variables with a P value < 0.05 in the univariate analysis were computed in multivariate analysis using the Cox model. Statistical analyses were performed using Graph Pad (PRISM) and R software.

#### RESULTS

#### Description of the population

A total of 225 patients were included in the analysis, including 93 from Jean Verdier Hospital and 132 from Grenoble-Alpes University Hospital (Figure 1). Patients were mainly male (88.8%) with a median age of 65 (58-75) years old. The underlying liver diseases were mainly related to chronic alcohol intake (61%), hepatitis C (28%), and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (29%) with 81.6% of patients classified as Child-Pugh A.

HCCs were classified as BCLC-A in 27.5%, BCLC-B in 55.5%, and BCLC-C due to segmental portal vein thrombosis in 16.8% of patients. One hundred and fifty-four (68.4%) of the patients were treated by TACE (127 with doxorubicin and 27 with idarubicin; including 87 by lipiodol TACE and 40 by TACE using drug eluting beads). Seventy-one (31.5%) patients were treated by TAE using lipiodol with gelatin sponge. Patients' characteristics are detailed in Table 1.

#### Anthropometric and portal hypertension assessment at imaging

Anthropometric measurements including the value of total muscle area in L3 and psoas area in L3 are detailed in Table 1. Ascites was identified in 23.5% of the cases at imaging as well as splenomegaly in 57% of the cases, umbilical vein repermeabilization in 36.4%, and esophageal varices in 71.1%. About 57.7% (n = 130) of the patients had sarcopenia based on the SMI. The value of psoas area/squared height (cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>) was significantly positively associated with body mass index (BMI), liver volume, albumin, alcohol intake, male, and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), and negatively associated with age and hepatitis C virus (Figure 2A). Sarcopenia at imaging (defined by SMI < 50 cm<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup> in male and < 39 cm<sup>2</sup>  $/m^2$  in female patients) was observed in 130 (57.7%) patients.

Sarcopenic patients were significantly older (67.5 years old vs 62.5 years old; P = 0.0338), with a lower BMI (24.3 vs 28.7 P < 0.0001) and a higher median serum AFP level (11.5 ng/mL vs 7.7 ng/mL; P =0.0152) compared to non-sarcopenic patients at imaging (Table 1 and Figure 2B). Sarcopenia was also associated with a higher tumor burden (sum of the size of the 2 main tumors) and a lower BMI, ALAT level, and spleen volume, and was less frequent in alcohol-related cirrhosis and in NASH patients (Figure 2B). At the first radiological assessment, 28.7% of the patients with sarcopenia harbored an increase in Child-Pugh Class (A to B/C or B to C) compared to 24.53% of patients without sarcopenia (P = 0.6862, Fisher exact test). Patients' baseline features as well as treatments following TACE or TAE, according to the presence or not of sarcopenia, are detailed in Table 1.

#### Relationship between sarcopenia and radiological response

At the first radiological evaluation after TACE or TAE, 23% (*n* = 52) of patients harbored a complete response, 39% a partial response (n = 88), 23% a stable disease (n = 52), and 15% (n = 33) a progressive disease based on mRECIST criteria. Presence of portal hypertension signs on endoscopy or imaging was not encountered as predictive markers of radiological response. Presence of sarcopenia had a significant impact on progression proportions after TACE (P = 0.0084, Chi square test Figure 3A). Whereas objective tumor response (complete and partial radiological response) was not statistically different in sarcopenic (64%) compared to non-sarcopenic patients (67%, P = 0.66, Fisher exact test), a higher rate of progressive disease was observed in patients with sarcopenia compared to patients without (19% vs 8%, P = 0.0236, Fisher exact Test, Figure 3B). In univariate analysis, sarcopenia [odds ratio (OR): 2.59; 95% CI:



#### Table 1 Description of population features according to presence of sarcopenia or not

	Overall cohort ( <i>n</i> = 225)	erall cohort ( <i>n</i> = Sarcopenia ( <i>n</i> = 130) 5)		<i>P</i> value			
Clinical and biological features							
Age (years old) <sup>1</sup>	65 (58-75)	67.5 (59.25-76)	62.5 (57-72.5)	0.0338			
Gender <sup>2</sup>	200 (88.8%)	120 (92%)	80 (84%)	0.0841			
Alcohol <sup>2</sup>	138 (61%)	72 (55%)	66 (69%)	0.04			
NASH <sup>2</sup>	66 (29%)	28 (22%)	38 (40%)	0.003			
HCV <sup>2</sup>	64 (28%)	42 (32%)	22 (23%)	0.14			
HBV <sup>2</sup>	21 (9%)	11 (8%)	10 (11%)	0.65			
Cirrhosis <sup>2</sup>	206 (91.5%)	116 (89.2%)	90 (94.7%)	0.1554			
Platelets (10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	123 (83-180)	131 (83.5-198.3)	113 (82-169)	0.1561			
Creatinine (µmol/L)	77 (64-89)	77 (64-90)	77 (65-89)	0.93			
Total bilirubin (µmol/L)	16 (9.9-22)	15.8 (9-22)	16 (10-22)	0.55			
Albumin (g/dL)	36 (32-39)	35 (32-38)	36 (32-40)	0.11			
$AFP (ng/mL)^{1}$	10 (4.3-49)	11.5 (5.135-76.5)	7.7 (4-25.5)	0.0152			
Child-Pugh A <sup>2</sup>	184 (81.57%)	105 (80.7%)	79 (83.2%)	0.728			
MELD	8.7 (7-11)	8.5 (7.6-10)	9.1 (7.3-11)	0.53			
BCLC-A <sup>2</sup>	62 (27.5%)	33 (25.3%)	29 (30.5%)	0.1796			
BCLC-B <sup>2</sup>	125 (55.5%)	70 (53.8%)	55 (57.89%)				
BCLC-C <sup>2</sup>	38 (16.8%)	27 (20.76%)	11 (11.57%)				
Segmental portal vein thrombosis <sup>2</sup>	34 (15.1%)	24 (18.46%)	10 (10.5%)	0.1314			
Type of treatment							
TACE <sup>2</sup>	154 (68.4%)	86 (66.6%)	68 (71.5%)	0.4681			
Bland embolization <sup>2</sup>	71 (31.5%)	43 (33.3%)	27 (28.4%)	0178			
Number of procedures <i>per</i> patient <sup>1</sup>	2 (1-3)	2 (1-2)	2 (1-3)	0.0178			
Body mass index <sup>1</sup>	26.4 (23.5-29.18)	24.3 (22.3-26.9)	28.7 (26.4-32.33)	< 0.0001			
Oesophageal varices <sup>2</sup>	107 (49.3%)	61 (48.4%)	46 (50.5%)	0.784			
Radiological features							
Size of the largest nodule (mm) <sup>1</sup>	37 (24-61)	40 (24-70)	34 (23-53)	0.0665			
Multiples nodules <sup>2</sup>	169 (75.1%)	99 (76.1%)	70 (73.7%)	0.7552			
Skeletal muscle index $(cm^2/m^2)^1$	47.12 (41.75-53.2)	42.85 (38.61-46.79)	54.19 (51.38-58.27)	< 0.0001			
Psoas muscle index $(cm^2/m^2)^1$	10 (8.575-11.94)	9.205. (8.087-10.97)	11.51 (9.679-14.26)	< 0.0001			
Psoas L3 (cm <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	17 (14.72-37.27)	15.95 (13.95-18.7)	19.8 (16.6-23.8)	< 0.0001			
Muscle L3 (cm <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	137 (119.3-155.4)	125.8 (113.8-138.1)	156.2 (145-176)	< 0.0001			
Umbilical vein repermeabilization <sup>2</sup>	82 (36.4%)	51 (39.2%)	31 (32.6%)	0.3292			
Oesophageal varices <sup>2</sup>	160 (71.1%)	91 (70%)	69 (72%)	0.7661			
Ascites <sup>2</sup>	53 (23.5%)	29 (22.3%)	24 (25.2%)	0.6355			
Liver/spleen volume ratio <sup>1</sup>	4.346 (2.885-6.798)	4.562 (2.927-7.205)	3.797 (2.605-6.187)	0.1085			
Liver volume (cm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	1715 (1430-2071)	1701 (1407-1978)	1800 (1461-2142)	0.0833			
Spleen volume (cm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	414 (252-606)	382 (230.5-573.3)	480 (308-634)	0.0283			
Splenomegaly <sup>2</sup>	129 (57.6%)	71 (55%)	58 (61%)	0.4127			
Treatments following TAE/TACE							



Curative-intent						
Liver resection	2 (0.9%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (1.1%)			
RFA/Microwaves/Alcoholization	27 (12.0%)	14 (10.8%)	13 (13.7%)			
Liver transplantation	46 (20.4%)	19 (14.6%)	27 (28.4%)			
Palliative-intent						
SIRT	2 (0.9%)	2 (1.5%)	0			
Sorafenib	44 (19.6%)	28 (21.5%)	16 (16.8%)			
Other systemic treatments	8 (3.6%)	4 (3.1%)	4 (4.2%)			
No additional treatment	82 (36.4%)	50 (38.5%)	32 (33.7%)			

<sup>1</sup>Median (25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentiles).

<sup>2</sup>Numbers (percentages).

AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; BCLC: Barcelona clinic liver cancer staging system; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; NASH: Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; RFA: Radiofrequency ablation; SIRT: Selective internal radiation therapy; TACE: Trans-arterial chemoembolization.



DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i36.5324 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 1 Flowchart of the study. TACE: Transarterial chemoembolization; CT: Computed tomography; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma.

1.16-6.40, P = 0.0274], serum AFP level (OR: 1.00029; 95%CI: 1.00008-1.0006, P = 0.0226), and BCLC-C stage (OR: 2.22; 95%CI: 1.25-4.05; P = 0.00758) were related to progressive disease at imaging. In multivariate analysis, only BCLC-C stage (OR: 1.98; 95%CI: 1.038-3.88, P = 0.0416) remained independently associated with a higher rate of progressive disease.

#### Relationship between sarcopenia before TACE and survival

The median progression-free survival was 9.4 mo. Patients with sarcopenia had a lower median PFS compared to patients without (8.3 mo *vs* 13.2 mo, P = 0.0035, log rank test, Figure 4A). In univariate analysis, sarcopenia, tumor portal vein thrombosis, size of the largest nodule, serum AFP level, and platelet level were significantly associated with a lower PFS (Table 2). In multivariate analysis, sarcopenia [hazard ratio (HR): 1.62; 95% CI: 1.15-2.28], tumor portal vein thrombosis (HR: 1.77; 95% CI: 1.11-2.83), size of the largest nodule (HR: 1.008; 95% CI: 1.002-1.013), and platelet level (HR: 1.002; 95% CI: 1.002; 95% CI: 1.001-1.005) remained independently associated with a lower PFS (Table 2).

The median OS was 24.3 mo. OS was shorter in patients with sarcopenia compared to patients without (19.4 mo *vs* 35.5 mo, P = 0.0149, log rank test, Figure 4B). Sarcopenia, ascites at imaging, size of the largest nodule, serum AFP level, Child-Pugh score, and BMI were associated with OS at univariate analysis (Table 3). In multivariate analysis, sarcopenia (HR: 1.68; 95%CI: 1.04-2.72), AFP level (HR: 1.0001; 95%CI: 1.00001-1.002), and size of the largest nodule (HR: 1.007, 95%CI: 1.0015-1.013) were independently associated with a higher risk of death (Table 3).

Table 2 Univariate and multivariate Cox analyses of baseline variables associated with progression-free survival							
	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
Variable	HR	95%CI	P value	HR	95%CI	P value	
Sarcopenia (yes vs no, imaging)	1.58	1.17-2.15	0.003	1.62	1.15-2.28	0.006	
Skeletal muscle index (imaging) <sup>1</sup>	0.91	0.98-1.01	0.306				
Psoas muscle index (imaging) <sup>1</sup>	0.97	0.92-1.02	0.18				
Paraombilical vein (imaging)	1.21	0.88-1.65	0.24				
Esophageal varices (imaging)	0.94	0.68-1.30	0.72				
Ascites (imaging)	1.38	0.97-1.97	0.07				
Liver/spleen ratio (imaging) <sup>1</sup>	1.02	0.98-1.07	0.21				
Liver volume (imaging) <sup>1</sup>	1.00	1.00-1.00	0.062				
Spleen volume (imaging) <sup>1</sup>	0.99	0.99-1.00	0.54				
Splenomegaly (imaging)	1.18	0.87-1.60	0.28				
BCLC stage	1.24	0.98-1.58	0.068				
Multiple nodules	1.03	0.73-1.45	0.88				
Portal vein thrombosis	1.58	1.05-2.39	0.03	1.77	1.11-2.83	0.02	
Size of the largest nodule <sup>1</sup>	1.01	1.00-1.01	0.0003	1.008	1.002-1.013	0.006	
Esophageal varices at endoscopy	0.98	0.72-1.33	0.88				
Serum AFP <sup>1</sup>	1.00	1.00-1.00	0.0007	1.0001	1.00001-1.00002	0.07	
Platelets <sup>1</sup>	1.00	1.00-1.01	0.002	1.002	1.0001-1.005	0.04	
Child Pugh B7	1.02	0.67-1.56	0.93				
Creatinin <sup>1</sup>	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.097				
Prothrombin time <sup>1</sup>	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.68				
Total bilirubin <sup>1</sup>	0.99	0.99-1.01	0.99				
Albumin <sup>1</sup>	0.99	0.97-1.03	0.84				
Clinical ascites	1.00	0.63-1.59	0.99				
Cirrhosis	0.87	0.53-1.45	0.60				
NASH	1.21	0.60-1.14	0.24				
HCV	0.85	0.61-1.18	0.32				
HBV	0.73	0.41-1.28	0.27				
Alcohol intake	1.013	0.75-1.37	0.93				
Body mass index <sup>1</sup>	0.98	0.95-1.01	0.21				
Gender (Male)	1.37	0.86-2.19	0.19				
Age (years old) <sup>1</sup>	1.00	0.99-1.02	0.68				

<sup>1</sup>Parameters expressed as continuous variables.

Sarcopenia was analyzed as a categoric factor (yes *vs* no). AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; BCLC: Barcelona clinic liver cancer; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; NASH: Non alcoholic steatohepatitis.

#### Evolution of sarcopenia after TACE and its impact on OS

Post-TACE SMI was assessed by CT scan at the first radiological assessment in 218 patients in order to assess the evolution of sarcopenia after treatment. Among the patients without sarcopenia at baseline, 71 were still non-sarcopenic, and 22 became sarcopenic. Among the 22 patients who became sarcopenic, 19 had a progressive disease at the first radiological assessment.

Patients with post-TACE sarcopenia presented a shorter median OS (n = 147, 18.15 mo) compared with non-sarcopenic patients (n = 71, 35.7 mo, P = 0.0019) (Figure 4C).

Baishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate Cox analyses of baseline variables associated with overall survival							
Mariahla	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
Variable	HR	95%CI	P value	HR	95%CI	P value	
Sarcopenia (yes vs no, imaging)	1.57	1.09-2.27	0.016	1.68	1.04- 2.72	0.03	
Skeletal muscle index (imaging) <sup>1</sup>	0.99	0.97-1.01	0.19				
Psoas muscle index (imaging) <sup>1</sup>	0.95	0.89-1.01	0.14				
Paraombilical vein (imaging)	1.16	0.80-1.66	0.43				
Esophageal varices (imaging)	1.09	0.75-1.61	0.63				
Ascites (imaging)	1.74	1.16-2.60	0.007	1.59	0.97-2.60	0.07	
Liver/spleen ratio (imaging) <sup>1</sup>	1.03	0.98-1.08	0.13				
Liver volume (imaging) <sup>1</sup>	1.00	0.99-1.00	0.86				
Spleen volume (imaging) <sup>1</sup>	0.99	0.99-1.00	0.19				
Splenomegaly (imaging)	0.95	0.66-1.35	0.77				
BCLC stage	1.28	0.97-1.68	0.08				
Multiple nodule	0.83	0.56-1.22	0.34				
Portal vein thrombosis	1.52	0.98-2.38	0.06				
Size of the largest nodule <sup>1</sup>	1.01	1.00-1.01	0.003	1.007	1.0015-1.013	0.014	
Esophageal varices (endoscopy)	1.17	0.82-1.69	0.38				
AFP <sup>1</sup>	1.00	1.00-1.00	0.00018	1.0001	1.00001-1.0002	0.006	
Platelet <sup>1</sup>	1.00	0.99-1.00	0.170				
Child-Pugh B7	2.04	1.21-3.45	0.007	1.59	0.86-2.96	0.14	
Creatinin <sup>1</sup>	1.00	0.99-1.00	0.18				
Prothrombin time <sup>1</sup>	1.01	0.98-1.01	0.45				
Total bilirubin <sup>1</sup>	1.02	1.00-1.03	0.035				
Albumin <sup>1</sup>	0.94	0.91-0.98	0.003				
Clinical ascites	1.46	0.88-2.42	0.143				
Cirrhosis	1.27	0.71-2.26	0.42				
NASH	0.70	0.47-1.04	0.075				
HCV	1.32	0.89-1.95	0.17				
HBV	0.71	0.33-1.54	0.39				
Alcohol intake	1.05	0.67-1.37	0.80				
Age (years old) <sup>1</sup>	1.00	0.99-1.02	0.79				
BMI <sup>1</sup>	0.96	0.93-0.99	0.026	0.99	0.95-1.040	0.75	
Gender (Male)	1.08	0.63-1.85	0.79				

<sup>1</sup>Parameters expressed as continuous variables.

Sarcopenia was analyzed as a categoric factor (yes *vs* no). AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; BCLC: Barcelona clinic liver cancer; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; NASH: Non alcoholic steatohepatitis; BMI: Body mass index.

In non-sarcopenic patients at baseline, emergence of a post-TACE sarcopenia was associated with a significant shorter median OS of 17 mo when compared with already sarcopenic patients before TACE (19.3 mo) and patients who stayed non-sarcopenic along TACE procedure (36.43 mo, P = 0.0004) (Figure 4D).

Boishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



**Figure 2 Correlation of biological and clinical features with sarcopenia.** A: Association of clinical, biological, and radiological features with skeletal muscle index expressed as a continuous variable; B: Association of clinical, biological, and radiological features with the presence or not of sarcopenia. Comparison of a continuous variable in two or more than two groups was performed using Wilcoxon signed-rank test or Kruskal-Wallis test, respectively. Qualitative data were compared using the Fisher's exact test. Correlation analysis between continuous variables was performed using Spearman's rank-order correlation. All tests were two-tailed and a *P*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. BMI: Body mass index; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; NASH: Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; SMI: Skeletal muscle index.

#### DISCUSSION

This study is the largest multicentric cohort study exploring the impact of sarcopenia on tumor response and survival outcomes in patients with HCC treated by TACE or TAE. Sarcopenia represents a major challenge in chronic diseases and especially in the treatment of cancers in which the general status is classically altered, and aggressive treatments with poor tolerance profiles are frequent. Sarcopenia in cirrhosis has already been described as impacting survival, confirming the need of a global approach with a close nutritional support of these patients. Sarcopenia measures were assessed on the open-access software Image J<sup>®</sup>, which has been proved as equivalently efficient as other commercial programs, meaning that radiological assessment of sarcopenia is accessible to every center[18]. The use of SMI was preferred to methods only based on the measurement of PMI or the transverse psoas muscle thickness. Even though the two latter are simple to assess and showed interesting results in term of survival in HCC patients treated by TACE in previous studies, SMI seems to offer a more robust and complete measurement of the muscle mass in cirrhotic patients. Besides, PMI may identify fewer patients at risk of an increased mortality and presents a higher inter-observer variability. To finish, SMI is easy to use





Figure 3 Radiological response according to presence of sarcopenia. A: Complete response vs partial response vs stable disease vs progressive disease assessed using the mRECIST criteria after the first session of treatment; B: Complete and partial response and stable disease vs progressive disease assessed using the mRECIST criteria after the first session of treatment. Statistical analysis was performed using the chi square test. CR: Complete response; PR: Partial response; SD: Stable disease; PD: Progressive disease; TACE: Trans-arterial chemoembolization.



Figure 4 Survival according to presence of sarcopenia before and after trans-arterial chemoembolization. A and B: Progression free survival (A) and overall survival (OS) (B) according to the presence or not of sarcopenia before trans-arterial chemoembolization (TACE); C: OS according to presence or not of sarcopenia after TACE; D: OS according to the presence or not of sarcopenia before TACE, and appearance of sarcopenia after TACE designated as post-TACE sarcopenia. Results were computed using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared by the log rank test. The patients at risk are represented under the x-axis.

and recommended by the North American Expert Opinion Statement on Sarcopenia in Liver Transplantation[17,19,20]. In this study, measures were performed by only one radiologist per center, which limits bias induced by potential interobserver variability. This also constitutes a limit as interobserver variability should be studied to improve result exportability and better evaluate their reproducibility.

In this study, a high prevalence of sarcopenia (57%) in patients with HCC treated by transarterial treatment was observed despite the predominance of Child-Pugh A patients and intermediate stage tumors (BCLC-B). This is consistent with a recent HCC cohort study exploring the impact of sarcopenia on survival in patients treated by hepatectomy where 54% of patients were sarcopenic, as well as published data in liver transplantation[17]. Even if patients with sarcopenia have a lower BMI than patients without, most of patients with sarcopenia harbored a normal BMI (median value of 24). Moreover, with the increase of overweight and obesity which represents 15%-20% of the worldwide population<sup>[21]</sup>, BMI is even less sensitive to detect malnutrition in patients with chronic diseases and especially liver diseases. These elements suggest that sarcopenia measured by performant radiological methods reflect more precisely the nutritional state and the protein catabolism of these patients. Nonetheless, other markers that could impact the outcomes after trans-arterial (chemo)embolization such as patient's daily activities and diet are not collected in this study due to its retrospective character. As well, this study lacked of other frailty parameters such as grip strength or walking speed. It constitutes another limit that cannot be addressed due to the retrospective character of the study.

One of the main strengths of this study is the radiological reviewing of radiological response using mRECIST criteria. This analysis revealed that sarcopenia was associated with a higher risk of progressive disease after trans-arterial treatment but without any difference on objective tumor response. Nevertheless, in multivariate analysis, only BCLC-C (segmental portal thrombosis) was independently associated with progressive disease. Sarcopenia was also associated with a shorter PFS together with tumor size in multivariate analysis. These data suggest that a subgroup of patients with advanced tumor stage and sarcopenia have a more aggressive disease which is more prone to resist to trans-arterial treatments. Indeed, sarcopenia may reflect the consequences of an intense hypercatabolism due to a particularly aggressive disease. In these patients, transarterial treatment may be deleterious in addition to be less effective as it could lead to liver failure and decrease the possibility of using systemic treatments after progression. Besides, the increased rate of radiological response obtained with the recent combination atezolizumab-bevacizumab forces us to better select the optimal treatment for patients between TACE and systemic treatments[22]. However, as sarcopenia has also been associated with poorer survival outcomes in patients under systemic therapies<sup>[10]</sup>, it remains to be studied if sarcopenic patients with a high tumor burden benefit more from systemic treatments than trans-arterial procedures. In any case, sarcopenia needs to be detected as early as possible to initiate a medical intervention using nutritional support and physical activity to reverse sarcopenia and potentially improve survival. Indeed, muscle restoration before starting these treatments showed interesting results in terms of survival [10,23], and this type of intervention should be tested in a randomized controlled trial as up to 60% of patients treated by TACE harbored sarcopenia.

Sarcopenia was also associated with a shorter OS independently of tumor burden, suggesting that undernutrition and loss of muscle are key prognostic factors in patients with HCC treated by TACE or TAE. As sarcopenia is easily assessable using a CT scan in clinical practice, it could be useful to stratify patients in clinical trials. This study showed that sarcopenia assessed at the first radiological evaluation after TACE was also associated with a shorter OS, underlying the robustness of this association. Moreover, a subset of patients rapidly developed sarcopenia at the first radiological assessment which was particularly associated with a poor OS. Almost all these patients presented a progressive disease at the first evaluation, suggesting that they harbored an aggressive tumoral disease potentially responsible for the fast development of sarcopenia.

#### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, sarcopenia is associated with a higher rate of tumor progression and shorter survival in patients with HCC treated by TACE or TAE. Moreover, sarcopenia is an easy-to-assess radiological biomarker of poor prognosis that should be measured in order to better estimate prognosis and test a targeted intervention mixing nutritional support and physical activity.

#### ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

#### Research background

At the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), more than 90% of HCC patients present a cirrhosis, a clinical condition often associated to malnutrition. Sarcopenia has been associated with a lower tumor response or poorer survival of patients undergoing various treatments such as surgery or systemic therapies. Transarterial chemoembolization is the treatment of choice for intermediate HCC and is largely used worldwide, but the impact of sarcopenia on its results was poorly studied.

#### Research motivation

Finding easy ways to detect sarcopenia within daily practice should benefit to patients by better charac-



terizing their prognosis and taking their nutritional status into account in therapeutic decisions.

#### Research objectives

This study aimed to evaluate the prognostic value of sarcopenia in patients with HCC treated by transarterial (chemo)-embolization based on baseline computed tomography (CT) findings and study its impact on objective tumor response and survival outcomes.

#### Research methods

Sarcopenia is easy to assess on CT by measuring the skeletal muscle index. A skeletal muscle index  $(SMI) < 50 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$  in male and  $< 39 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$  in female patients corresponding to sarcopenia was observed in 57.7% of the patients.

#### Research results

Based on SMI analysis measured on baseline imaging, sarcopenia was observed in 57.7% of the patients. After full review of radiological response using mRECIST criteria, sarcopenia was associated with a higher rate of progressive disease. It was also associated with a decrease overall survival even after adjustment with usual risk factors of death.

#### Research conclusions

Sarcopenia is an easy-to-assess radiological biomarker of poor prognosis that should be measured in order to better assess prognosis of HCC patients.

#### Research perspectives

Sarcopenia should be systematically detected at baseline, and induce a targeted intervention mixing nutritional support and physical activity. Further studies are needed to assess the benefit of these strategies in HCC patients.

#### FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Roth G, Teyssier Y, Decaens T, and Nault JC equivalently contributed to this work; Roth G, Decaens T, and Nault JC contributed to the conceptualization; Roth G and Nault JC contributed to the methodology; Roth G, Teyssier Y, Benhamou M, Abousalihac M, Sengel C, Seror O, Ghelfi J, Ganne-Carrié N, Gigante E, Blaise L, Sutter O, Decaens T, and Nault JC contributed to the investigation; Roth G, Benhamou M, Teyssier Y, Abousalihac M, and Nault JC contributed to the formal analysis; Roth G, Nault JC, Seigneurin A, and Caruso S contributed to the data curation; Nault JC, Seigneurin A, and Caruso S contributed to the statistical analysis; Roth G, Teyssier Y, Benhamou M, Caruso S, Seigneurin A, Abousalihac M, Sengel C, Seror O, Ghelfi J, Ganne-Carrié N, Gigante E, Blaise L, Sutter O, Decaens T, and Nault JC contributed to the validation; Decaens T and Nault JC contributed to the resources; Roth G and Nault JC wrote the original draft; Roth G, Teyssier Y, Benhamou M, Seigneurin A, Abousalihac M, Sengel C, Seror O, Ghelfi J, Ganne-Carrié N, Gigante E, Blaise L, Sutter O, Decaens T, and Nault JC reviewed and edited the manuscript; Roth G, Decaens T, and Nault JC contributed to the supervision; all authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Study ethics was approved by the independent French ethic committee CERIM (Comité d'éthique de la recherche en imagerie médicale) (approval date May 25 2020; No. CRM-2004-084).

Informed consent statement: Patients gave their written consent before TACE procedures as in routine care practice. No specific consent statement was required regarding the retrospective analysis of data as they were anonymously used.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement – checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement – checklist of items.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Country/Territory of origin: France



ORCID number: Gael Roth 0000-0001-5822-4320; Yann Teyssier 0000-0002-9785-8729; Maxime Benhamou 0000-0002-8590-5161; Mélodie Abousalihac 0000-0002-0661-1684; Stefano Caruso 0000-0002-6319-3642; Christian Sengel 0000-0001-9004-256X; Olivier Seror 0000-0001-6680-8991; Julien Ghelfi 0000-0002-9039-6488; Arnaud Seigneurin 0000-0002-2168-1672; Nathalie Ganne-Carrie 0000-0002-7351-5027; Elia Gigante 0000-0002-5455-2308; Lorraine Blaise 0000-0001-5344-1625; Olivier Sutter 0000-0002-2802-9652; Thomas Decaens 0000-0003-0928-0048; Jean-Charles Nault 0000-0002-4875-9353.

S-Editor: Fan IR L-Editor: Wang TQ P-Editor: Cai YX

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 394-424 [PMID: 30207593] DOI: 10.3322/caac.21492]
- Reig M, Forner A, Rimola J, Ferrer-Fàbrega J, Burrel M, Garcia-Criado Á, Kelley RK, Galle PR, Mazzaferro V, Salem R, 2 Sangro B, Singal AG, Vogel A, Fuster J, Ayuso C, Bruix J. BCLC strategy for prognosis prediction and treatment recommendation: The 2022 update. J Hepatol 2022; 76: 681-693 [PMID: 34801630 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.11.018]
- Llovet JM, Bruix J. Systematic review of randomized trials for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: Chemoembolization improves survival. Hepatology 2003; 37: 429-442 [PMID: 12540794 DOI: 10.1053/jhep.2003.50047]
- 4 Sieghart W, Hucke F, Peck-Radosavljevic M. Transarterial chemoembolization: modalities, indication, and patient selection. J Hepatol 2015; 62: 1187-1195 [PMID: 25681552 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.02.010]
- Blanc JF, Debaillon-Vesque A, Roth G, Barbare JC, Baumann AS, Boige V, Boudjema K, Bouattour M, Crehange G, 5 Dauvois B, Decaens T, Dewaele F, Farges O, Guiu B, Hollebecque A, Merle P, Selves J, Aparicio T, Ruiz I, Bouché O; Thésaurus National de Cancérologie Digestive (TNCD); Société Nationale Française de Gastroentérologie (SNFGE); Fédération Francophone de Cancérologie Digestive (FFCD); Groupe Coopérateur multidisciplinaire en Oncologie (GERCOR); Fédération Nationale des Centres de Lutte Contre le Cancer (UNICANCER); Société Française de Chirurgie Digestive (SFCD); Société Française d'Endoscopie Digestive (SFED); Société Française de Radiothérapie Oncologique (SFRO); Association Française pour l'Etude du Foie (AFEF). Hepatocellular carcinoma: French Intergroup Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up (SNFGE, FFCD, GERCOR, UNICANCER, SFCD, SFED, SFRO, AFEF, SIAD, SFR/FRI). Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2021; 45: 101590 [PMID: 33780876 DOI: 10.1016/i.clinre.2020.101590
- Lencioni R, de Baere T, Soulen MC, Rilling WS, Geschwind JF. Lipiodol transarterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review of efficacy and safety data. Hepatology 2016; 64: 106-116 [PMID: 26765068 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28453]
- European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Management of hepatocellular 7 carcinoma. J Hepatol 2018; 69: 182-236 [PMID: 29628281 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.019]
- Fielding RA, Vellas B, Evans WJ, Bhasin S, Morley JE, Newman AB, Abellan van Kan G, Andrieu S, Bauer J, Breuille D, Cederholm T, Chandler J, De Meynard C, Donini L, Harris T, Kannt A, Keime Guibert F, Onder G, Papanicolaou D, Rolland Y, Rooks D, Sieber C, Souhami E, Verlaan S, Zamboni M. Sarcopenia: an undiagnosed condition in older adults. Current consensus definition: prevalence, etiology, and consequences. International working group on sarcopenia. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2011; 12: 249-256 [PMID: 21527165 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamda.2011.01.003]
- Voron T, Tselikas L, Pietrasz D, Pigneur F, Laurent A, Compagnon P, Salloum C, Luciani A, Azoulay D. Sarcopenia Impacts on Short- and Long-term Results of Hepatectomy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Ann Surg 2015; 261: 1173-1183 [PMID: 24950264 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.000000000000743]
- 10 Nishikawa H, Nishijima N, Enomoto H, Sakamoto A, Nasu A, Komekado H, Nishimura T, Kita R, Kimura T, Iijima H, Nishiguchi S, Osaki Y. Prognostic significance of sarcopenia in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing sorafenib therapy. Oncol Lett 2017; 14: 1637-1647 [PMID: 28789390 DOI: 10.3892/ol.2017.6287]
- Fujiwara N, Nakagawa H, Kudo Y, Tateishi R, Taguri M, Watadani T, Nakagomi R, Kondo M, Nakatsuka T, Minami T, 11 Sato M, Uchino K, Enooku K, Kondo Y, Asaoka Y, Tanaka Y, Ohtomo K, Shiina S, Koike K. Sarcopenia, intramuscular fat deposition, and visceral adiposity independently predict the outcomes of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2015; 63: 131-140 [PMID: 25724366 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.02.031]
- Marasco G, Serenari M, Renzulli M, Alemanni LV, Rossini B, Pettinari I, Dajti E, Ravaioli F, Golfieri R, Cescon M, Festi 12 D, Colecchia A. Clinical impact of sarcopenia assessment in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing treatments. J Gastroenterol 2020; 55: 927-943 [PMID: 32748172 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-020-01711-w]
- 13 Loosen SH, Schulze-Hagen M, Bruners P, Tacke F, Trautwein C, Kuhl C, Luedde T, Roderburg C. Sarcopenia Is a Negative Prognostic Factor in Patients Undergoing Transarterial Chemoembolization (TACE) for Hepatic Malignancies. Cancers (Basel) 2019; 11 [PMID: 31597337 DOI: 10.3390/cancers11101503]
- 14 Roth GS, Benhamou M, Teyssier Y, Seigneurin A, Abousalihac M, Sengel C, Seror O, Ghelfi J, Ganne-Carrié N, Blaise L, Sutter O, Decaens T, Nault JC. Comparison of Trans-Arterial Chemoembolization and Bland Embolization for the Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Propensity Score Analysis. Cancers (Basel) 2021; 13 [PMID: 33672012 DOI: 10.3390/cancers13040812]
- Roth GS, Teyssier Y, Abousalihac M, Seigneurin A, Ghelfi J, Sengel C, Decaens T. Idarubicin vs doxorubicin in transarterial chemoembolization of intermediate stage hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 324-334 [PMID: 31988592 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i3.324]
- 16 Prado CM, Lieffers JR, McCargar LJ, Reiman T, Sawyer MB, Martin L, Baracos VE. Prevalence and clinical implications



of sarcopenic obesity in patients with solid tumours of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts: a population-based study. Lancet Oncol 2008; 9: 629-635 [PMID: 18539529 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(08)70153-0]

- 17 Carey EJ, Lai JC, Sonnenday C, Tapper EB, Tandon P, Duarte-Rojo A, Dunn MA, Tsien C, Kallwitz ER, Ng V, Dasarathy S, Kappus M, Bashir MR, Montano-Loza AJ. A North American Expert Opinion Statement on Sarcopenia in Liver Transplantation. Hepatology 2019; 70: 1816-1829 [PMID: 31220351 DOI: 10.1002/hep.30828]
- 18 Long DE, Villasante Tezanos AG, Wise JN, Kern PA, Bamman MM, Peterson CA, Dennis RA. A guide for using NIH Image J for single slice cross-sectional area and composition analysis of the thigh from computed tomography. PLoS One 2019; 14: e0211629 [PMID: 30730923 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0211629]
- Lanza E, Masetti C, Messana G, Muglia R, Pugliese N, Ceriani R, Lleo de Nalda A, Rimassa L, Torzilli G, Poretti D, 19 D'Antuono F, Politi LS, Pedicini V, Aghemo A; Humanitas HCC Multidisciplinary Group. Sarcopenia as a predictor of survival in patients undergoing bland transarterial embolization for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. PLoS One 2020; 15: e0232371 [PMID: 32555707 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0232371]
- 20 Beer L, Bastati N, Ba-Ssalamah A, Pötter-Lang S, Lampichler K, Bican Y, Lauber D, Hodge J, Binter T, Pomej K, Simbrunner B, Semmler G, Trauner M, Mandorfer M, Reiberger T. MRI-defined sarcopenia predicts mortality in patients with chronic liver disease. Liver Int 2020; 40: 2797-2807 [PMID: 32816394 DOI: 10.1111/liv.14648]
- 21 Tao W, Lagergren J. Clinical management of obese patients with cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2013; 10: 519-533 [PMID: 23856746 DOI: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2013.120]
- 22 Finn RS, Qin S, Ikeda M, Galle PR, Ducreux M, Kim TY, Kudo M, Breder V, Merle P, Kaseb AO, Li D, Verret W, Xu DZ, Hernandez S, Liu J, Huang C, Mulla S, Wang Y, Lim HY, Zhu AX, Cheng AL; IMbrave150 Investigators. Atezolizumab plus Bevacizumab in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 1894-1905 [PMID: 32402160 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1915745]
- 23 Cheng TY, Lee PC, Chen YT, Chao Y, Hou MC, Huang YH. Pre-sarcopenia determines post-progression outcomes in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma after sorafenib failure. Sci Rep 2020; 10: 18375 [PMID: 33110117 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-75198-z]





### Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

