# World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2024 March 14; 30(10): 1261-1469





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJG

# World Journal of Woriu journe Gastroenterology

# Contents

Weekly Volume 30 Number 10 March 14, 2024

# **EDITORIAL**

1261	Bridging the gap: Unveiling the crisis of physical inactivity in inflammatory bowel diseases
	Stafie R, Singeap AM, Rotaru A, Stanciu C, Trifan A
1266	Double role of depression in gastric cancer: As a causative factor and as consequence
	Christodoulidis G, Konstantinos-Eleftherios K, Marina-Nektaria K
1270	Capsule endoscopy and panendoscopy: A journey to the future of gastrointestinal endoscopy
	Rosa B, Cotter J
1280	Vonoprazan-amoxicillin dual regimen with <i>Saccharomyces boulardii</i> as a rescue therapy for <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> : Current perspectives and implications
	Dirjayanto VJ, Audrey J, Simadibrata DM
1287	Women health and microbiota: Different aspects of well-being
	Nannini G, Amedei A
1291	Nomograms and prognosis for superficial esophageal squamous cell carcinoma
1271	Lin HT, Abdelbaki A, Krishna SG
	REVIEW
1295	
1295	<b>REVIEW</b> Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease
1295	<b>REVIEW</b> Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies
	<b>REVIEW</b> Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies <i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i>
	<b>REVIEW</b> Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies <i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i> Optimizing nutrition in hepatic cirrhosis: A comprehensive assessment and care approach
1313	<ul> <li><b>REVIEW</b></li> <li>Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies</li> <li><i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i></li> <li>Optimizing nutrition in hepatic cirrhosis: A comprehensive assessment and care approach</li> <li><i>Mendez-Guerrero O, Carranza-Carrasco A, Chi-Cervera LA, Torre A, Navarro-Alvarez N</i></li> <li>Optimizing prediction models for pancreatic fistula after pancreatectomy: Current status and future</li> </ul>
1313	<ul> <li><b>REVIEW</b></li> <li>Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies</li> <li><i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i></li> <li>Optimizing nutrition in hepatic cirrhosis: A comprehensive assessment and care approach</li> <li><i>Mendez-Guerrero O, Carranza-Carrasco A, Chi-Cervera LA, Torre A, Navarro-Alvarez N</i></li> <li>Optimizing prediction models for pancreatic fistula after pancreatectomy: Current status and future perspectives</li> <li><i>Yang F, Windsor JA, Fu DL</i></li> </ul>
1313	<b>REVIEW</b> Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies <i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i> Optimizing nutrition in hepatic cirrhosis: A comprehensive assessment and care approach <i>Mendez-Guerrero O, Carranza-Carrasco A, Chi-Cervera LA, Torre A, Navarro-Alvarez N</i> Optimizing prediction models for pancreatic fistula after pancreatectomy: Current status and future perspectives

- 1346 Cumulative effects of excess high-normal alanine aminotransferase levels in relation to new-onset metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease in China Chen JF, Wu ZQ, Liu HS, Yan S, Wang YX, Xing M, Song XQ, Ding SY
- 1358 Time trends and outcomes of gastrostomy placement in a Swedish national cohort over two decades Skogar ML, Sundbom M



# Contents

# Weekly Volume 30 Number 10 March 14, 2024

## **Retrospective Study**

1368 Stage at diagnosis of colorectal cancer through diagnostic route: Who should be screened?

Agatsuma N, Utsumi T, Nishikawa Y, Horimatsu T, Seta T, Yamashita Y, Tanaka Y, Inoue T, Nakanishi Y, Shimizu T, Ohno M, Fukushima A, Nakayama T, Seno H

# **Observational Study**

1377 Differential diagnosis of Crohn's disease and intestinal tuberculosis based on ATR-FTIR spectroscopy combined with machine learning

Li YP, Lu TY, Huang FR, Zhang WM, Chen ZQ, Guang PW, Deng LY, Yang XH

### **Prospective Study**

1393 Establishment and validation of an adherence prediction system for lifestyle interventions in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Zeng MH, Shi QY, Xu L, Mi YQ

### **Basic Study**

1405 Alkaline sphingomyelinase deficiency impairs intestinal mucosal barrier integrity and reduces antioxidant capacity in dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis

Tian Y, Li X, Wang X, Pei ST, Pan HX, Cheng YQ, Li YC, Cao WT, Petersen JDD, Zhang P

- 1420 Preliminary exploration of animal models of congenital choledochal cysts Zhang SH, Zhang YB, Cai DT, Pan T, Chen K, Jin Y, Luo WJ, Huang ZW, Chen QJ, Gao ZG
- 1431 Serotonin receptor 2B induces visceral hyperalgesia in rat model and patients with diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome

Li ZY, Mao YQ, Hua Q, Sun YH, Wang HY, Ye XG, Hu JX, Wang YJ, Jiang M

# **META-ANALYSIS**

Shear-wave elastography to predict hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatitis C virus eradication: A 1450 systematic review and meta-analysis

Esposto G, Santini P, Galasso L, Mignini I, Ainora ME, Gasbarrini A, Zocco MA

# **LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

1461 Current considerations on intraductal papillary neoplasms of the bile duct and pancreatic duct Pavlidis ET. Galanis IN. Pavlidis TE

1466 Are we ready to use new endoscopic scores for ulcerative colitis? Quera R, Núñez F P



# Contents

Weekly Volume 30 Number 10 March 14, 2024

# **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Toru Mizuguchi, MD, PhD, Professor, Surgeon, Department of Nursing, Division of Surgical Science, Sapporo Medical University Postgraduate School of Health Science, Sapporo, Hokkaido 0608556, Japan. tmizu@sapmed.ac.jp

# **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

# **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE), MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 4.3; Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2021 is 8.3.

# **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Ying-Yi Yuan; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Cover Editor: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 1, 1995	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Weekly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Andrzej S Tarnawski	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	POLICY OF CO-AUTHORS
Xian-Jun Yu (Pancreatic Oncology), Jian-Gao Fan (Chronic Liver Disease), Hou- Bao Liu (Biliary Tract Disease)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/310
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
March 14, 2024	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com
PUBLISHING PARTNER	PUBLISHING PARTNER'S OFFICIAL WEBSITE
Shanghai Pancreatic Cancer Institute and Pancreatic Cancer Institute, Fudan	https://www.shca.org.cn
University Biliary Tract Disease Institute, Fudan University	https://www.zs-hospital.sh.cn
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 70	141 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJ

# World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2024 March 14; 30(10): 1270-1279

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i10.1270

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

EDITORIAL

# Capsule endoscopy and panendoscopy: A journey to the future of gastrointestinal endoscopy

Bruno Rosa, José Cotter

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Serban ED, Romania

Received: December 26, 2023 Peer-review started: December 26, 2023 First decision: January 10, 2024 Revised: January 22, 2024 Accepted: February 21, 2024 Article in press: February 21, 2024 Published online: March 14, 2024



Bruno Rosa, José Cotter, Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital da Senhora da Oliveira, Guimarães 4835-044, Portugal

Bruno Rosa, José Cotter, Life and Health Sciences Research Institute, School of Medicine, University of Minho, Braga 4710-057, Portugal

Bruno Rosa, José Cotter, ICVS/3B's, PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga 4710-057, Portugal

Corresponding author: Bruno Rosa, MD, Doctor, Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital da Senhora da Oliveira, Rua dos Cutileiros, Creixomil, Guimarães 4835-044, Portugal. bruno.joel. rosa@gmail.com

# Abstract

In 2000, the small bowel capsule revolutionized the management of patients with small bowel disorders. Currently, the technological development achieved by the new models of double-headed endoscopic capsules, as miniaturized devices to evaluate the small bowel and colon [pan-intestinal capsule endoscopy (PCE)], makes this non-invasive procedure a disruptive concept for the management of patients with digestive disorders. This technology is expected to identify which patients will require conventional invasive endoscopic procedures (colonoscopy or balloon-assisted enteroscopy), based on the lesions detected by the capsule, *i.e.*, those with an indication for biopsies or endoscopic treatment. The use of PCE in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases, namely Crohn's disease, as well as in patients with iron deficiency anaemia and/or overt gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding, after a non-diagnostic upper endoscopy (esophagogastroduodenoscopy), enables an effective, safe and comfortable way to identify patients with relevant lesions, who should undergo subsequent invasive endoscopic procedures. The recent development of magnetically controlled capsule endoscopy to evaluate the upper GI tract, is a further step towards the possibility of an entirely non-invasive assessment of all the segments of the digestive tract, from mouth-to-anus, meeting the expectations of the early developers of capsule endoscopy.

Key Words: Non-invasive endoscopy; Panendoscopy; Magnetically controlled capsule endoscopy; Crohn's disease; Digestive bleeding

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Core Tip: Double-headed capsules are being increasingly used for pan-intestinal endoscopy, assessing the small bowel and the colon in a single non-invasive procedure, mainly to monitor Crohn's disease and to investigate cases of suspected mid to lower gastrointestinal bleeding. Recent developments on artificial intelligence and magnetically controlled capsules have further expanded the scope of non-invasive endoscopy.

Citation: Rosa B, Cotter J. Capsule endoscopy and panendoscopy: A journey to the future of gastrointestinal endoscopy. World J Gastroenterol 2024; 30(10): 1270-1279

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v30/i10/1270.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v30.i10.1270

# INTRODUCTION

Capsule endoscopy emerged at the start of the 21st Century as a disruptive technology, which was destined to change the world of gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy. It consists of a swallowable pill, featuring a miniaturized imaging device inside a biocompatible resistant external casing. It is composed of an optical dome with a lens and a light source, a complementary metal oxide semiconductor image sensor, two mercury-free silver-oxide batteries and a wireless radio-frequency transmitter and antenna, which allow the transmission of endoscopic images to a receiver (data recorder). The designers of this innovative device foresaw the possibility of acquiring images of the whole digestive tract non-invasively. They named it as M2A, standing for the mouth-to-anus capsule (Given Diagnostic Imaging, Yokneam, Israel)[1]. The major achievement with these capsules at that time was the possibility to explore the small bowel, which had been until then a largely inaccessible and unexplored segment. It was known as the last frontier of digestive endoscopy. The capsule was soon rebranded as PillCam<sup>™</sup> SB. This new endoscopic technique was eagerly accepted and rapidly incorporated in clinical practice, as a safe and effective diagnostic procedure for the investigation of small bowel diseases, mainly in cases of suspected obscure GI bleeding and suspected small bowel Crohn's disease (CD). It was also useful in suspected nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) enteropathy, polyposis syndromes such as Peutz-Jeghers syndrome, some cases of refractory celiac disease and suspected small bowel neoplasia<sup>[2]</sup>. Capsules with a single optical dome remain to date the most widely used in clinical practice, with enhanced features such as a higher frame rate acquisition (up to 6 images per second), a wider angle of view, a longer battery lifespan and improved image resolution.

In 2006, the first double-headed capsule was released, called the PillCam™ COLON. In 2009, the PillCam ™ COLON 2 (Given Imaging, Medtronic) was released. At 11.6 mm × 32.3 mm, it was slightly larger than the original SB capsule (11 mm × 26mm), with an increased frame rate for image acquisition (up to 35 images per second), an extended battery time and a wider angle of view (172° in each side), to allow for the coverage of the whole colonic surface. These capsules have been mainly used in the case of a contraindication to conventional colonoscopy, cases of incomplete colonoscopy due to fixed angulation or redundant colon with persistent loop formation, or in average risk populations as an alternative for colorectal cancer screening[3,4]. Two other models of double-headed capsules were released in 2017 (PillCam™ Crohn's, Medtronic) and in 2023 (OMOM CC<sup>™</sup>, Jinshan). Compared to the PillCam<sup>™</sup> COLON, the PillCam<sup>™</sup> Crohn's and the OMOM CC<sup>™</sup> do not have a sleep mode, continuously acquiring images from the start of the examination. This enables the non-invasive examination of the small bowel and colon [pan-intestinal capsule endoscopy (PCE)], in a single procedure, which is safe and well tolerated by patients<sup>[5-7]</sup>. The OMOM CC<sup>TM</sup> integrates a new feature for artificial intelligence (AI) assisted diagnosis. If this is properly validated, it might overcome a current limitation of PCE, which is its time-consuming reading, reaching on average up to 120 min[8]. This will allow a faster examination, without compromising diagnostic accuracy. Table 1 summarizes the technical details of the currently available double-headed capsules, which may be used to perform PCE.

# PCE PROCEDURE - BOWEL PREPARATION

For PCE, unlike for small bowel capsule endoscopy, which can be carried out without prior intestinal preparation, a demanding preparation protocol is mandatory. Optimized bowel preparation is essential to ensure an effective colon capsule (CC) endoscopy or PCE. It is not possible to irrigate or aspirate debris, insufflate or change the patient's position to improve the quality of visualization during the examination, at the risk of rendering the procedure inconclusive. This potentially poses a significant additional burden both to the patient and the healthcare system. A recently published systematic review and meta-analysis<sup>[9]</sup> described that key quality outcomes such as adequate cleansing rate (ACR) and complete examination rate (CR) remain sub-optimal for CC or PCE, with an ACR of 72.5% (95% CI 67.8%-77.5%) and a CR of 83.0% (95%CI 78.7%–87.7%). The Leighton-Rex grading scale[10], and more recently the CC CLEansing Assessment and Report (CC-CLEAR)[11,12], were created to allow a systematic description of the quality of bowel preparation in the colon at capsule endoscopy. The CC-CLEAR basically replicates the methodology of the Boston Bowel Preparation Scale [13], with which many gastroenterologists are familiar, as it is already common practice to use it routinely in conventional colonoscopy. The CC-CLEAR scale divides the colon in 3 segments: Right-sided, transverse, and left-sided, and each segment is scored according to an estimation of the proportion of clear mucosa: 0, < 50%; 1, 50%-75%; 2, > 75%; 3, > 90%. The overall cleansing classification is the sum of each segmental score, grading between 8-9, excellent; 6-7, good; and 0-5



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Rosa B et al. Capsule panendoscopy and future GI endoscopy

Table 1 Technical details of double-headed capsules currently available to perform pan-intestinal capsule endoscopy					
	PillCam™ COLON2 (Medtronic, Given Imaging Inc.)	PillCam™ Crohn's (Medtronic, Given Imaging Inc.)	OMOM™ CC (Jinshan)		
Dimensions (mm)	11.6 × 32.3	11.6 × 32.3	11.6 × 31.5		
Optical domes	2	2	2		
Resolution (pixels)	256 × 256	256 × 256	360 × 360		
Lens angle (degrees per side)	172	168	172		
Frame rate (frames per second)	4-35	4-35	4-35		
Sleep mode	Yes	No	No		
Battery life (h)	≥10	≥10	≥10		

inadequate; with any segment scoring  $\leq$  1 rendering the overall classification as inadequate. Although currently available data support the use of a low-fibre diet and adjunctive sennosides prior to the purgative ingestion, split dose polyethylene glycol and routine prokinetics before capsule ingestion, with sodium phosphate as the most consistent option as a booster, there is an unmet need for improvement in order to achieve more effective bowel preparation protocols. Table 2 summarizes a proposed bowel preparation protocol for CC and PCE, based on currently available evidence in the literature.

# PCE IN CLINICAL PRACTICE

Double-headed capsules have been used in clinical practice as a pan-enteric tool, mainly in the setting of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs) and digestive bleeding [suspected small bowel or colon bleeding, *i.e.* mid or lower GI bleeding (MLGIB)]. Table 3 summarizes the current indications and contraindications for PCE.

# CD

The use of PCE in patients with CD has been mainly devoted to patients with an established diagnosis of CD who have already been submitted to ileocolonoscopy and cross-sectional imaging such as computed tomography enterography (CTE) or magnetic resonance enterography (MRE), to evaluate the small bowel and exclude stricturing and/or penetrating phenotypes of the disease[14,15]. In this group of patients, the use of PCE seems especially appealing for monitoring disease progression and evaluating mucosal healing in response to therapy in those cases with extensive disease, *i.e.*, those that involve both the small bowel and the colon. It is estimated that approximately 40% of patients with CD have lesions limited to the small bowel, in another 20% the disease is located only in the colon, and in near 35% it involves both the small bowel and the colon[16]. It is also known that in these patients with small bowel and colonic involvement, the burden of the disease is often driven by the type of small bowel lesions, which are usually more severe, extensive and more difficult to heal. Up to 30% of patients will present with stricturing disease at diagnosis or progress to stricturing or penetrating disease over the years[17]. The subset of patients with inflammatory-type small bowel and colonic disease corresponds to approximately one-fourth of all patients with CD. Those are the patients who are good candidates for PCE as the preferred modality for disease assessment over time. The current standard recommends invasive conventional colonoscopy in addition to cross-sectional imaging of the small bowel, such as CTE or MRE. PCE offers the possibility of a one-step examination of both the small bowel and the colon, which is safe and comfortable for the patient, without the need for sedation, radiation exposure or multiple visits to the clinic. This strategy was demonstrated to be at least as effective for assessing the small bowel and the colon, when compared with colonoscopy plus dedicated small bowel cross-sectional imaging. Preliminary data indicate that under certain circumstances PCE may represent a cost-effective approach, leading to increased quality of life and life expectancy, and making it a cost-effective option[18]. Another study evaluated the cost of PCE vs colonoscopy, with or without MRE, in IBD patients. Although initial costs were increased due to the use of PCE and earlier introduction of biologics, an economical benefit was observed in the longer term, due to a significant reduction in the need for surgical interventions[19].

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Tamilarasan *et al*[20] evaluated the performance of PCE for the detection of CD lesions in the small bowel and the colon. It found a comparable diagnostic yield of PCE compared to MRE plus colonoscopy [pooled OR 1.25 (95%CI: 0.85%-1.86%). Capsule endoscopy is the only non-invasive modality for the small bowel to adequately assess the main treatment outcome, which is mucosal healing, according to the current treat to target concept for the treatment of IBDs[21]. A meta-analysis by Dionísio *et al*[22] found that capsule endoscopy has a significantly higher diagnostic yield in patients with suspected and established small bowel CD. This new approach may have significant clinical implications, as demonstrated in the multicentric study by Tai *et al*[23], where PCE

Table 2 Standard bowel preparation for pan-intestinal capsule endoscopy			
	Bowel preparation protocol		
Day 2	Low-fibre diet		
Day 1	Clear-liquids diet		
	7:00 – 9:00 PM 2 L of PEG		
Examination day	06:30 - 7:30 AM 1 L of PEG		
	08:15 AM 10 mg metoclopramide p.o.		
	08:30 AM 100-200 mg simethicone in water for capsule ingestion		
	09:30 AM check real time viewer. Additional 10 mg metoclopramide p.o. if capsule still in stomach		
	First alert (capsule detected in SB) NaP 30 mL + 1 L water		
	Second alert (3h after 1 <sup>st</sup> booster) NaP 15 mL + 0.5 L water		
	Third alert (2h after 2 <sup>nd</sup> booster) 10 mg bisacodyl rectal suppository		

PEG: Polyethylene glycol; p.o.: Per os; NaP: Sodium phosphate; SB: Small bowel.

### Table 3 Indications and contraindications for pan-intestinal capsule endoscopy

Indications	Contraindications
CD	(1) Known or suspected intestinal strictures and/or fistulae (if patency not proven based on cross sectional imaging and/or patency capsule assessment[14]); (2) Magnetic resonance imaging examination scheduled for
Inflammatory-type (non-stricturing, non- penetrating), extensive (affecting small bowel and colon)	same-day or following days (requires prior confirmation of capsule excretion); and (3) Special conditions and relative contraindications: pregnancy; children under 8 yr of age; swallowing disorders; gastric surgery; implanted cardiac electric devices: Pacemakers, defibrillators, ventricular assist devices, telemetry; allergy or
Scheduled monitoring to evaluate mucosal healing in response to treatment (to justify and guide treatment change)	contraindications to any of the drugs or products used in the protocol; patients unable to walk for short periods and/or with neurological and/or psychiatric condition potentially favouring protocol deviations
Evaluate disease distribution and severity: stratify patients to low <i>vs</i> high risk (prognosis); asymptomatic CD patients with abnormal analysis; exclude active CD or investigation of symptoms unrelated to disease activity	
Establish diagnosis in patients with IBD- U, suspected CD or atypical ulcerative colitis	
Gastrointestinal bleeding	
Suspected mid-lower intestinal bleeding (overt or occult)	

CD: Crohn's disease; IBD-U: Inflammatory bowel disease - type unclassified.

determined a change in disease management in 46,5% of patients with established CD. Another international multicentre prospective study recently evaluated PCE *vs* ileocolonoscopy plus MRE in 158 patients with non-stricturing CD. It found a high performance of PCE for assessing CD mucosal activity and extent as compared to MRE and/or ileocolonoscopy, without the need for multiple tests[24]. MRE, ileocolonoscopy, and PCE reading were performed by blinded central readers using validated scoring systems. The gold-standard was defined by a consensus panel composed of independent experts. Overall sensitivity for active inflammation (PCE *vs* MRE and/or ileocolonoscopy) was 94% *vs* 100% (*P* = 0.125), and specificity was 74% *vs* 22% (*P* = 0,001). PCE sensitivity was superior to MRE in the proximal small bowel (97% *vs* 71%, *P* = 0.021), and similar to MRE and/or ileocolonoscopy in the terminal ileum and colon (*P* = 0.5-0.625). A study by Yamada *et al*[25], using double balloon enteroscopy as the gold-standard, reported PCE sensitivities for scars, erosions, and ulcers of 83.3%, 93.8%, and 88.5%, respectively, the specificities being 76%, 78,3%, and 81,6%, respectively.

In up to 10%–15% of cases, IBD remains unclassified after conventional colonoscopy[26]. In such cases, PCE may also have an important role in clarifying the diagnosis, by evaluating the small bowel while simultaneously reassessing the colon. In a study which used the PillCam<sup>™</sup> COLON or PillCam<sup>™</sup> COLON 2 in patients with ulcerative colitis, 7.1% of patients changed the diagnosis from ulcerative colitis to CD due to inflammatory activity observed in the small bowel[27].

The use of validated scoring systems to objectively evaluate small bowel and colonic lesion, such as the Capsule Endoscopy CD Activity Index (CECDAIic) or the novel PillCam Crohn's<sup>TM</sup> capsule score (Eliakim score), allows for the standardization of reporting, increasing reproducibility and inter-observer agreement of PCE[28-32].

Regarding safety, in a recent meta-analysis the reported capsule retention rate was 2% for all indications, and it was higher in the setting of CD (relative risk = 4%)[33]. A retention rate of 4.63% (95%CI: 3.42-6.25) in patients with established CD, vs 2.35% (95%CI: 1.31-4.19) in patients with suspected CD[34]. The risk of capsule retention can be reduced with the use of small bowel imaging modalities such as CTE or MRE, and/or patency capsule, when indicated. When capsule endoscopy is considered in patients with history of obstructive symptoms, known stricture or surgical anastomosis[35-37], a patency capsule is advisable even in cases of unremarkable cross-sectional imaging[14,35]. PCE has been proven safe in most series, and the occurrence of capsule retention has been rarely described and usually resolved conservatively[38,39].

# **GI BLEEDING**

Recently, the use of PCE has been evaluated for suspected small bowel or colon bleeding[6,40], in patients with iron deficiency anaemia, with or without overt bleeding, and with a non-diagnostic esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD). Following current standards of practice, patients are initially submitted to conventional colonoscopy, and then proceed to small bowel capsule endoscopy, when the colonoscopy is also non-diagnostic. However, the diagnostic and therapeutic yields of colonoscopy in this setting are quite low [41]. PCE appears as a possible game changer in the clinical management of these patients, giving the opportunity for a non-invasive and adequately timed pan-intestinal evaluation. This may guide subsequent management, depending on the type and location of the potentially haemorrhagic lesions (PHLs) when present, which may contribute to avoiding further unnecessary examinations<sup>[40]</sup>. The earlier evaluation of the small bowel which is achieved with this PCE-first approach is expected to increase the diagnostic yield in patients with suspected small bowel bleeding, particularly for patients presenting with overt bleeding[42]. Capsule endoscopy has been shown to be able to detect proximal lesions missed by EGD in a non-negligible proportion of patients[43,44].

A fundamental premise in Medicine is to be able to offer all patients, when clinically indicated, the access to diagnostic and therapeutic procedures that are effective, safe and proportionate, with as minimum degree of invasiveness and discomfort possible. This supported by the Hippocratic principle of "primum non nocere". Capsule endoscopy falls perfectly within these principles, as a promising and valuable diagnostic tool that is expected to play an increasingly central role in the upcoming paradigm shift in the field of digestive endoscopy. Indeed, clinicians are expected to make rational use of all the diagnostic modalities available to make a correct diagnosis, which is an essential element before planning an adequate therapeutic and follow-up strategy. There is also a principle of minimum invasiveness, *i.e.*, patients should receive the safer and less invasive diagnostic or therapeutic approach, among the available equally effective alternatives. Invasive interventions should be restricted to those cases where they are required, based on the results of preliminary non-invasive studies, with better safety and tolerability profile, as is the case of imaging tests or endoscopic capsules. Such strategies should also be cost-effective before being adopted in clinical practice.

Timely access to capsule endoscopy in patients with iron deficiency anaemia or melena, no suspected lower intestinal bleeding and negative EGD, results in shortened hospital stays, increased diagnostic yield, and a significant two-third reduction of the number of colonoscopies, when compared to those patients who receive the small bowel capsule endoscopy only after negative upper and lower GI endoscopy have been performed[45]. Mussetto et al[46] also assessed the use of PCE in patients presenting with melena and non-diagnostic EGD. PCE was safe and allowed for the identification of the bleeding site in 83% of 128 patients included, leading to small bowel therapeutic interventions in 50% of the cases, therefore avoiding unnecessary invasive colonoscopy. In another retrospective investigation which analyzed 100 consecutive patients[6], PHL were observed in 61% of the cases. The capsule was able to detect small bowel lesions in 68% and colonic lesions in 81% of patients, no further invasive procedures being required in approximately 65% of the patients with negative gastroscopy.

A recent prospective study [47] included 100 consecutive patients with suspected small bowel or colonic bleeding also presenting with iron-deficiency anaemia and/or overt bleeding after non-diagnostic EGD. Colonoscopy detected PHL in 23% of the cohort, which means 50% (23/46) of all patients with PHL, while for PCE the overall diagnostic yield was 44%, meaning 95.7% (44/46) of all patients with PHL, P < 0.001. Colonoscopy had a sensitivity of 23/46 = 50%, a specificity of 54/54 = 100%, positive predictive value (PPV) 23/23 = 100% and negative predictive value (NPV) 54/77 = 70.1%, while for PCE the sensitivity was 44/46 = 95.7%, specificity 54/54=100%, PPV 44/44 = 100% and NPV 54/56 = 96.4% for PHL. The authors concluded that PCE was safe and more effective than colonoscopy in identifying PHL, both in the smallbowel and colon. Moreover, PCE was negative in more than half of patients with suspected MLGIB, avoiding further invasive endoscopic investigations. These results support the potential use of PCE as a first-line examination in patients with suspected small bowel and/or colonic bleeding.

# MAGNETICALLY CONTROLLED CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY

Although conventional EGD remains the gold standard for the endoscopic evaluation of the upper GI tract, it may be limited due to poor tolerability and acceptability, or in patients at increased risk of complications [48]. Recently, the possibility of external magnetic control of the capsule [magnetically controlled capsule endoscopy (MCCE)] for noninvasive assessment of the esophagus and stomach has been available[49-54]. This novel MCCE is a comfortable, highly



acceptable alternative for patients refusing, or unfit for conventional EGD (including sedated EGD), or at a higher risk of adverse events<sup>[53]</sup>. It has the advantages of non-invasiveness, with an excellent safety profile and patient acceptance. Clinical indications for MCCE may include esophageal diseases such as esophageal varices and Barrett's esophagus[55], screening for gastric cancer<sup>[54]</sup>, detection and surveillance for gastric or duodenal lesions such as ulcers, polyps, varices, erosive and atrophic gastritis, drug-related GI mucosal injury such as NSAIDs, remote gastric examination [56], stable patients with acute upper GI bleeding[57], or surveillance after partial gastrectomy or minimally invasive endoscopic treatment.

The translation of the MCCE concept and technology into a double-headed pan-intestinal capsule may soon make it possible to assess the entire mucosa of the digestive tract, meeting the expectations of the founders of capsule endoscopy, who coined and aimed for the concept of a *mouth-to-anus* (M2A) endoscopic capsule.

MCCE examination is generally safe, with a low rate of adverse events. The risks of capsule retention and aspiration should be addressed for active prevention and appropriate management [14,58]. Contraindications for MCCE include known or suspected significant GI stricture[14], pregnancy, implanted electronic devices (e.g., pacemakers, cochlear device, drug infusion pumps, nerve stimulator except for MRI-compatible devices) or magnetic metal foreign bodies.

A systematic review and meta-analysis, published in 2021, compared MCCE and conventional gastroscopy in the identification of gastric lesions[59]. Seven studies were included, with a total of 916 patients and 745 gastric lesions. The mean capsule endoscopy examination time was 21.92 ± 8.87 min. The pooled overall sensitivity of MCCE was 87% (95%CI: 84%-89%). The sensitivity for identifying gastric ulcers was 82% (95%CI: 71%-89%), gastric polyps 82% (95%CI: 76%-87%), and gastric erosions 95% (95%CI: 86%-98%). MCCE was well tolerated, with minimal adverse events. The authors reported that MCCE was a relatively time-consuming process compared to conventional gastroscopy (21.92 ± 8.87 min vs 5.35 ± 3.01 min, respectively). However, when sedation is required during conventional gastroscopy, patients need to stay in a recovery unit after the procedure and may be incapable of following their regular activity for the rest of the day. Conversely, after MCCE they are alert and able to continue their regular activities.

MCCE still has many disadvantages that currently limit its use in clinical practice. The examination of the esophagus is an important part of routine upper GI investigation, therefore the rapid passage of the capsule through the esophagus is a limitation of MCCE. If proven effective and safe, the use of detachable strings[60] or enhanced magnetic fields to decelerate the passage through the esophagus may improve the investigation of the esophagus through MCCE. The cost of MCCE is significantly higher compared with conventional gastroscopy, and cost-effectiveness analysis in real life clinical settings is lacking[49]. The inability to perform biopsies and therapeutic procedures such as haemostasis or polypectomy, among others, may also be perceived as an important limitation for MCCE, as for any other type of capsule endoscopy. The capsule may, as in other segments of the GI tract, be regarded as a filter diagnostic examination, followed by more invasive examinations only when justified by the capsule endoscopic findings. A propensity score matching analysis[61] for large-scale screening of asymptomatic individuals reported that most patients do not require conventional gastroscopy after MCCE, while patients with GI symptoms or focal lesions detected by MCCE were more likely to require further examination with conventional gastroscopy, for biopsy or endoscopic treatment (3.8% vs 10%). Lai et al[62] reported that only 18.2% of patients needed a biopsy after MCCE. MCCE may therefore be regarded as a screening tool, allowing the identification of patients who require further evaluation with conventional gastroscopy. Regarding the role of biopsies obtained during EGD to check for Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) status, some preliminary evidence has shown that the Kyoto classification of gastritis can be adapted to MCCE, to accurately predict H. pylori infection status on conventional gastroscopy[63]. In this setting, major specific findings were mucosal swelling and spotty redness for current infection, regular arrangement of collecting venules, streak redness, fundic gland polyp for non-infection, and map-like redness for past infection.

# AI IN CE

There has been a huge expansion of AI models in medicine, and particularly in digestive endoscopy[64-67]. The timeconsuming reading of capsule endoscopy procedures, as well as the large number of image frames which are generated, have driven the development of convolutional neural networks (CNN) for digital imaging analysis. AI is expected to tackle some of the current limitations of PCE, by reducing reading times and improving the ability to detect all the relevant lesions[68]. To date, this has been mainly tested for the small bowel[69,70], while it remains scarcely explored in the case of double-headed capsules. A few studies of CNN development for CC endoscopy revealed a very high accuracy for detection of colorectal neoplasia or protruding lesions[71-73]. Mascarenhas et al[74] recently developed a CNN for automatic detection of colonic blood in CC endoscopy, enabling the differentiation between normal mucosa, blood or hematic residues and pleomorphic mucosal lesions, namely ulcers and erosions, protruding lesions and vascular lesions. CNN revealed a global sensitivity of 96% and specificity of 98%. Ferreira et al[75] developed a CNN for automatic detection of panenteric ulcers in PillCam<sup>™</sup> Crohn's capsule, with a sensitivity of 98%, specificity of 99% and accuracy of 99%, having a perfect discriminatory capacity for the detection of ulcers and erosions. AI implementation is expected to achieve a significant reduction in the reading times per exam. Moreover, PCE reading technique is challenging and requires specific training, and AI models are expected to contribute to assist training and shortening the learning curve for this technique. The implementation of AI-powered PCE may therefore become a disruptive change towards an effective and minimally invasive evaluation of the entire GI tract. A multicenter prospective study (n = 131)[76], described a substantial reduction in the reading time of PCE with AI in patients with suspected CD. The sensitivity and specificity for detecting CD was 97% and 90%, respectively, with a NPV of 95%, enabling a faster screening with high diagnostic accuracy in cases of suspected CD.



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

# CONCLUSION

The horizons of capsule endoscopy are evolving. PCE is a non-invasive, effective, and safe procedure to evaluate the small bowel and the colon. Its use in CD and more recently in GI bleeding is expanding in routine clinical practice. Conventional endoscopic procedures for the esophagus, stomach and colon remain superior to PCE considering each individual segment, and have the advantage of enabling biopsy sampling and therapeutic procedures as needed. PCE, however, offers the opportunity to evaluate multiple segments of the digestive tract at the same time, in a single noninvasive procedure. Currently, clinical indications for PCE include the assessment of non-stricturing, non-penetrating and extensive CD (affecting the small bowel and colon), mainly for disease monitoring and evaluation of mucosal healing in response to medical therapy. It could also be considered to clarify the diagnosis in patients with IBD - type unclassified or in atypical cases of ulcerative colitis. PCE has been also proven valuable in patients with suspected overt or occult MLGIB, driving subsequent clinical decisions and avoiding the need for additional invasive procedures in a significant proportion of cases. The central questions that seem to be pressing for the future are: Should capsule endoscopy technology be considered a "niche" procedure to be used only in particular patients and settings? Will it remain in the shadows of the dominant gold standard which is invasive upper digestive endoscopy and colonoscopy? Could it represent the archetype of a coming revolution? The capsule is a disruptive device which has been proven to have the potential to find its place in clinical practice, able to act as a non-invasive diagnostic "filter", offering the opportunity to change the diagnostic approach of patients with digestive tract diseases in the near future. We already have the possibility to evaluate all the small bowel and the colon, non-invasively, with a capsule, in a single procedure, which is effective, safe and well tolerated. Therefore, PCE may be the key to answer the question "Who needs an invasive endoscopic procedure?", as opposed to the current practice, where "All these patients will undergo an invasive endoscopy or colonoscopy, and then some (many) will require a small bowel capsule". PCE is a possible game changer, expanding the field for non-invasive endoscopy and limiting the need for invasive procedures such as conventional colonoscopy or device-assisted enteroscopy, which should be restricted to those cases where biopsies or therapeutic procedures are required, based on the results of the PCE.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the editorial assistance of John Yaphe.

# FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Rosa B conceived the work, performed the literature review, and wrote the manuscript; Cotter J critically contributed to the intellectual content and formal structure of the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Bruno Rosa has received consultancy fees from Medtronic in the past. José Cotter declares no conflicts of interest regarding this manuscript.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

# Country/Territory of origin: Portugal

ORCID number: Bruno Rosa 0000-0003-2885-0720; José Cotter 0000-0002-2921-0648.

S-Editor: Lin C L-Editor: A P-Editor: Zhao YQ

# REFERENCES

- 1 Iddan GJ, Swain CP. History and development of capsule endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am 2004; 14: 1-9 [PMID: 15062374 DOI: 10.1016/j.giec.2003.10.022
- Pennazio M, Rondonotti E, Despott EJ, Dray X, Keuchel M, Moreels T, Sanders DS, Spada C, Carretero C, Cortegoso Valdivia P, Elli L, 2 Fuccio L, Gonzalez Suarez B, Koulaouzidis A, Kunovsky L, McNamara D, Neumann H, Perez-Cuadrado-Martinez E, Perez-Cuadrado-Robles E, Piccirelli S, Rosa B, Saurin JC, Sidhu R, Tacheci I, Vlachou E, Triantafyllou K. Small-bowel capsule endoscopy and device-assisted enteroscopy for diagnosis and treatment of small-bowel disorders: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline - Update 2022. Endoscopy 2023; 55: 58-95 [PMID: 36423618 DOI: 10.1055/a-1973-3796]
- Boal Carvalho P, Rosa B, Dias de Castro F, Moreira MJ, Cotter J. PillCam COLON 2 in Crohn's disease: A new concept of pan-enteric 3 mucosal healing assessment. World J Gastroenterol 2015; 21: 7233-7241 [PMID: 26109810 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i23.7233]



- Ahmed M. Video Capsule Endoscopy in Gastroenterology. Gastroenterology Res 2022; 15: 47-55 [PMID: 35572472 DOI: 10.14740/gr1487] 4
- Cortegoso Valdivia P, Elosua A, Houdeville C, Pennazio M, Fernández-Urién I, Dray X, Toth E, Eliakim R, Koulaouzidis A. Clinical 5 feasibility of panintestinal (or panenteric) capsule endoscopy: a systematic review. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 33: 949-955 [PMID: 34034282 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.00000000002200]
- Carretero C, Prieto de Frías C, Angós R, Betés M, Herráiz M, de la Riva S, Zozaya F, Fernández-Calderón M, Rodríguez-Lago I, Muñoz 6 Navas M. Pan-enteric capsule for bleeding high-risk patients. Can we limit endoscopies? Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2021; 113: 580-584 [PMID: 33267588 DOI: 10.17235/reed.2020.7196/2020]
- Brodersen JB, Knudsen T, Kjeldsen J, Juel MA, Rafaelsen SR, Jensen MD. Diagnostic accuracy of pan-enteric capsule endoscopy and 7 magnetic resonance enterocolonography in suspected Crohn's disease. United European Gastroenterol J 2022; 10: 973-982 [PMID: 36069336 DOI: 10.1002/ueg2.12307]
- Piccirelli S, Mussetto A, Bellumat A, Cannizzaro R, Pennazio M, Pezzoli A, Bizzotto A, Fusetti N, Valiante F, Hassan C, Pecere S, 8 Koulaouzidis A, Spada C. New Generation Express View: An Artificial Intelligence Software Effectively Reduces Capsule Endoscopy Reading Times. Diagnostics (Basel) 2022; 12 [PMID: 35892494 DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics12081783]
- 9 Rosa B, Donato H, Cúrdia Gonçalves T, Sousa-Pinto B, Cotter J. What Is the Optimal Bowel Preparation for Capsule Colonoscopy and Panintestinal Capsule Endoscopy? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Dig Dis Sci 2023; 68: 4418-4431 [PMID: 37833441 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-023-08133-71
- 10 Leighton JA, Rex DK. A grading scale to evaluate colon cleansing for the PillCam COLON capsule: a reliability study. Endoscopy 2011; 43: 123-127 [PMID: 21038293 DOI: 10.1055/s-0030-1255916]
- 11 de Sousa Magalhães R, Chálim Rebelo C, Sousa-Pinto B, Pereira J, Boal Carvalho P, Rosa B, Moreira MJ, Duarte MA, Cotter J. CC-CLEAR (Colon Capsule Cleansing Assessment and Report): the novel scale to evaluate the clinical impact of bowel preparation in capsule colonoscopy - a multicentric validation study. Scand J Gastroenterol 2022; 57: 625-632 [PMID: 35068293 DOI: 10.1080/00365521.2022.2026463]
- 12 de Sousa Magalhães R, Arieira C, Boal Carvalho P, Rosa B, Moreira MJ, Cotter J. Colon Capsule CLEansing Assessment and Report (CC-CLEAR): a new approach for evaluation of the quality of bowel preparation in capsule colonoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2021; 93: 212-223 [PMID: 32534054 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2020.05.062]
- Lai EJ, Calderwood AH, Doros G, Fix OK, Jacobson BC. The Boston bowel preparation scale: a valid and reliable instrument for 13 colonoscopy-oriented research. Gastrointest Endosc 2009; 69: 620-625 [PMID: 19136102 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2008.05.057]
- Rosa B, Dray X, Koulaouzidis A. Retention of small bowel capsule endoscopy. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2023; 39: 227-233 [PMID: 37144540 14 DOI: 10.1097/MOG.0000000000009211
- Levartovsky A, Eliakim R. Video Capsule Endoscopy Plays an Important Role in the Management of Crohn's Disease. Diagnostics (Basel) 15 2023; 13 [PMID: 37189607 DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics13081507]
- Irwin J, Ferguson E, Simms LA, Hanigan K, Carbonnel F, Radford-Smith G. A rolling phenotype in Crohn's disease. PLoS One 2017; 12: 16 e0174954 [PMID: 28384331 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0174954]
- Magro F, Rodrigues-Pinto E, Coelho R, Andrade P, Santos-Antunes J, Lopes S, Camila-Dias C, Macedo G. Is it possible to change phenotype 17 progression in Crohn's disease in the era of immunomodulators? Predictive factors of phenotype progression. Am J Gastroenterol 2014; 109: 1026-1036 [PMID: 24796767 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2014.97]
- Saunders R, Torrejon Torres R, Konsinski L. Evaluating the clinical and economic consequences of using video capsule endoscopy to monitor 18 Crohn's disease. Clin Exp Gastroenterol 2019; 12: 375-384 [PMID: 31496780 DOI: 10.2147/CEG.S198958]
- Lobo A, Torrejon Torres R, McAlindon M, Panter S, Leonard C, van Lent N, Saunders R. Economic analysis of the adoption of capsule 19 endoscopy within the British NHS. Int J Qual Health Care 2020; 32: 332-341 [PMID: 32395758 DOI: 10.1093/intqhc/mzaa039]
- 20 Tamilarasan AG, Tran Y, Paramsothy S, Leong R. The diagnostic yield of pan-enteric capsule endoscopy in inflammatory bowel disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022; 37: 2207-2216 [PMID: 36150392 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.16007]
- 21 Turner D, Ricciuto A, Lewis A, D'Amico F, Dhaliwal J, Griffiths AM, Bettenworth D, Sandborn WJ, Sands BE, Reinisch W, Schölmerich J, Bemelman W, Danese S, Mary JY, Rubin D, Colombel JF, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Dotan I, Abreu MT, Dignass A; International Organization for the Study of IBD. STRIDE-II: An Update on the Selecting Therapeutic Targets in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STRIDE) Initiative of the International Organization for the Study of IBD (IOIBD): Determining Therapeutic Goals for Treat-to-Target strategies in IBD. Gastroenterology 2021; 160: 1570-1583 [PMID: 33359090 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.12.031]
- Dionisio PM, Gurudu SR, Leighton JA, Leontiadis GI, Fleischer DE, Hara AK, Heigh RI, Shiff AD, Sharma VK. Capsule endoscopy has a 22 significantly higher diagnostic yield in patients with suspected and established small-bowel Crohn's disease: a meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol 2010; 105: 1240-8; quiz 1249 [PMID: 20029412 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2009.713]
- Tai FWD, Ellul P, Elosua A, Fernandez-Urien I, Tontini GE, Elli L, Eliakim R, Kopylov U, Koo S, Parker C, Panter S, Sidhu R, McAlindon 23 M. Panenteric capsule endoscopy identifies proximal small bowel disease guiding upstaging and treatment intensification in Crohn's disease: A European multicentre observational cohort study. United European Gastroenterol J 2021; 9: 248-255 [PMID: 32741315 DOI: 10.1177/2050640620948664
- Bruining DH, Oliva S, Fleisher MR, Fischer M, Fletcher JG; BLINK study group. Panenteric capsule endoscopy versus ileocolonoscopy plus 24 magnetic resonance enterography in Crohn's disease: a multicentre, prospective study. BMJ Open Gastroenterol 2020; 7 [PMID: 32499275 DOI: 10.1136/bmjgast-2019-000365]
- Yamada K, Nakamura M, Yamamura T, Maeda K, Sawada T, Mizutani Y, Ishikawa E, Ishikawa T, Kakushima N, Furukawa K, Ohno E, 25 Kawashima H, Honda T, Ishigami M, Fujishiro M. Diagnostic yield of colon capsule endoscopy for Crohn's disease lesions in the whole gastrointestinal tract. BMC Gastroenterol 2021; 21: 75 [PMID: 33593297 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-021-01657-0]
- Maaser C, Sturm A, Vavricka SR, Kucharzik T, Fiorino G, Annese V, Calabrese E, Baumgart DC, Bettenworth D, Borralho Nunes P, Burisch 26 J, Castiglione F, Eliakim R, Ellul P, González-Lama Y, Gordon H, Halligan S, Katsanos K, Kopylov U, Kotze PG, Krustinš E, Laghi A, Limdi JK, Rieder F, Rimola J, Taylor SA, Tolan D, van Rheenen P, Verstockt B, Stoker J; European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation [ECCO] and the European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology [ESGAR]. ECCO-ESGAR Guideline for Diagnostic Assessment in IBD Part 1: Initial diagnosis, monitoring of known IBD, detection of complications. J Crohns Colitis 2019; 13: 144-164 [PMID: 30137275 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjy113]
- San Juan-Acosta M, Caunedo-Álvarez A, Argüelles-Arias F, Castro-Laria L, Gómez-Rodríguez B, Romero-Vázquez J, Belda-Cuesta A, 27 Pellicer-Bautista F, Herrerías-Gutiérrez JM. Colon capsule endoscopy is a safe and useful tool to assess disease parameters in patients with ulcerative colitis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014; 26: 894-901 [PMID: 24987825 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000125]



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

- Limdi JK, Picco M, Farraye FA. A review of endoscopic scoring systems and their importance in a treat-to-target approach in inflammatory 28 bowel disease (with videos). Gastrointest Endosc 2020; 91: 733-745 [PMID: 31786161 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2019.11.032]
- Niv Y, Gal E, Gabovitz V, Hershkovitz M, Lichtenstein L, Avni I. Capsule Endoscopy Crohn's Disease Activity Index (CECDAIic or Niv 29 Score) for the Small Bowel and Colon. J Clin Gastroenterol 2018; 52: 45-49 [PMID: 27753700 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.00000000000020]
- 30 Tabone T, Koulaouzidis A, Ellul P. Scoring Systems for Clinical Colon Capsule Endoscopy-All You Need to Know. J Clin Med 2021; 10 [PMID: 34071209 DOI: 10.3390/jcm10112372]
- Arieira C, Magalhães R, Dias de Castro F, Boal Carvalho P, Rosa B, Moreira MJ, Cotter J. CECDAlic a new useful tool in pan-intestinal 31 evaluation of Crohn's disease patients in the era of mucosal healing. Scand J Gastroenterol 2019; 54: 1326-1330 [PMID: 31646914 DOI: 10.1080/00365521.2019.1681499]
- Eliakim R, Yablecovitch D, Lahat A, Ungar B, Shachar E, Carter D, Selinger L, Neuman S, Ben-Horin S, Kopylov U. A novel PillCam 32 Crohn's capsule score (Eliakim score) for quantification of mucosal inflammation in Crohn's disease. United European Gastroenterol J 2020; 8: 544-551 [PMID: 32213037 DOI: 10.1177/2050640620913368]
- Cortegoso Valdivia P, Skonieczna-Żydecka K, Elosua A, Sciberras M, Piccirelli S, Rullan M, Tabone T, Gawel K, Stachowski A, Lemiński 33 A, Marlicz W, Fernández-Urién I, Ellul P, Spada C, Pennazio M, Toth E, Koulaouzidis A. Indications, Detection, Completion and Retention Rates of Capsule Endoscopy in Two Decades of Use: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Diagnostics (Basel) 2022; 12 [PMID: 35626261 DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics12051105]
- Pasha SF, Pennazio M, Rondonotti E, Wolf D, Buras MR, Albert JG, Cohen SA, Cotter J, D'Haens G, Eliakim R, Rubin DT, Leighton JA. 34 Capsule Retention in Crohn's Disease: A Meta-analysis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2020; 26: 33-42 [PMID: 31050736 DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izz083]
- Rondonotti E, Soncini M, Girelli CM, Russo A, de Franchis R; collaborators on behalf of AIGO, SIED and SIGE Lombardia. Short article: 35 Negative small-bowel cross-sectional imaging does not exclude capsule retention in high-risk patients. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 28: 871-875 [PMID: 27362463 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.00000000000628]
- Nemeth A, Kopylov U, Koulaouzidis A, Wurm Johansson G, Thorlacius H, Amre D, Eliakim R, Seidman EG, Toth E. Use of patency capsule 36 in patients with established Crohn's disease. Endoscopy 2016; 48: 373-379 [PMID: 26561918 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1393560]
- Silva M, Cardoso H, Cunha R, Peixoto A, Gaspar R, Gomes S, Santos AL, Lopes S, Macedo G. Evaluation of Small-Bowel Patency in Crohn's 37 Disease: Prospective Study with a Patency Capsule and Computed Tomography. GE Port J Gastroenterol 2019; 26: 396-403 [PMID: 31832494 DOI: 10.1159/000499722]
- Toskas A, Laskaratos FM, Coda S, Banerjee S, Epstein O. Is Panenteric Pillcam(TM) Crohn's Capsule Endoscopy Ready for Widespread Use? 38 A Narrative Review. Diagnostics (Basel) 2023; 13 [PMID: 37370927 DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics13122032]
- 39 Rosa B, Andrade P, Lopes S, Gonçalves AR, Serrazina J, Marílio Cardoso P, Silva A, Macedo Silva V, Cotter J, Macedo G, Figueiredo PN, Chagas C. Pan-Enteric Capsule Endoscopy: Current Applications and Future Perspectives. GE Port J Gastroenterol 2023; 1-12 [DOI: 10.1159/000533960]
- Mussetto A, Arena R, Fuccio L, Trebbi M, Tina Garribba A, Gasperoni S, Manzi I, Triossi O, Rondonotti E. A new panenteric capsule 40 endoscopy-based strategy in patients with melena and a negative upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: a prospective feasibility study. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 33: 686-690 [PMID: 33731583 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.00000000002114]
- 41 Etzel JP, Williams JL, Jiang Z, Lieberman DA, Knigge K, Faigel DO. Diagnostic yield of colonoscopy to evaluate melena after a nondiagnostic EGD. Gastrointest Endosc 2012; 75: 819-826 [PMID: 22301339 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2011.11.041]
- 42 Estevinho MM, Pinho R, Fernandes C, Rodrigues A, Ponte A, Gomes AC, Afecto E, Correia J, Carvalho J. Diagnostic and therapeutic yields of early capsule endoscopy and device-assisted enteroscopy in the setting of overt GI bleeding: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Gastrointest Endosc 2022; 95: 610-625.e9 [PMID: 34952093 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2021.12.009]
- Kitiyakara T, Selby W. Non-small-bowel lesions detected by capsule endoscopy in patients with obscure GI bleeding. Gastrointest Endosc 43 2005; 62: 234-238 [PMID: 16046986 DOI: 10.1016/s0016-5107(05)00292-0]
- Juanmartiñena Fernández JF, Fernández-Urien Sainz I, Zabalza Ollo B, Saldaña Dueñas C, Montañés Guimera M, Elosua González A, Vila 44 Costas JJ. Gastroduodenal lesions detected during small bowel capsule endoscopy: incidence, diagnostic and therapeutic impact. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2018; 110: 102-108 [PMID: 29152990 DOI: 10.17235/reed.2017.5114/2017]
- 45 Yung DE, Koulaouzidis A, Douglas S, Plevris JN. Earlier use of capsule endoscopy in inpatients with melena or severe iron deficiency anemia reduces need for colonoscopy and shortens hospital stay. Endosc Int Open 2018; 6: E1075-E1084 [PMID: 30211295 DOI: 10.1055/a-0640-2956
- Mussetto A, Arena R, Triossi O. Pan-enteric capsule in patients with melena and a negative upper endoscopy: A pilot study. Endoscopy 2019; 46 51: S30 [DOI: 10.1055/s-0039-1681257]
- Rosa B, Cúrdia Gonçalves T, Moreira MJ, Castro FD, Sousa-Pinto B, Dinis-Ribeiro M, Cotter J. Pan-intestinal capsule endoscopy as first-line 47 procedure in patients with suspected mid or lower gastrointestinal bleeding. Endoscopy 2024 [PMID: 38365215 DOI: 10.1055/a-2270-4601]
- Levy I, Gralnek IM. Complications of diagnostic colonoscopy, upper endoscopy, and enteroscopy. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2016; 30: 48 705-718 [PMID: 27931631 DOI: 10.1016/j.bpg.2016.09.005]
- Zou WB, Hou XH, Xin L, Liu J, Bo LM, Yu GY, Liao Z, Li ZS. Magnetic-controlled capsule endoscopy vs. gastroscopy for gastric diseases: a 49 two-center self-controlled comparative trial. Endoscopy 2015; 47: 525-528 [PMID: 25590177 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1391123]
- Liao Z, Zou W, Li ZS. Clinical application of magnetically controlled capsule gastroscopy in gastric disease diagnosis: recent advances. Sci 50 China Life Sci 2018; 61: 1304-1309 [PMID: 30367341 DOI: 10.1007/s11427-018-9353-5]
- Jiang B, Pan J, Qian YY, He C, Xia J, He SX, Sha WH, Feng ZJ, Wan J, Wang SS, Zhong L, Xu SC, Li XL, Huang XJ, Zou DW, Song DD, 51 Zhang J, Ding WQ, Chen JY, Chu Y, Zhang HJ, Yu WF, Xu Y, He XO, Tang JH, He L, Fan YH, Chen FL, Zhou YB, Zhang YY, Yu Y, Wang HH, Ge KK, Jin GH, Xiao YL, Fang J, Yan XM, Ye J, Yang CM, Li Z, Song Y, Wen MY, Zong Y, Han X, Wu LL, Ma JJ, Xie XP, Yu WH, You Y, Lu XH, Song YL, Ma XQ, Li SD, Zeng B, Gao YJ, Ma RJ, Ni XG, He CH, Liu YP, Wu JS, Liu J, Li AM, Chen BL, Cheng CS, Sun XM, Ge ZZ, Feng Y, Tang YJ, Li ZS, Linghu EQ, Liao Z; Capsule Endoscopy Group of the Chinese Society of Digestive Endoscopy. Clinical guideline on magnetically controlled capsule gastroscopy (2021 edition). J Dig Dis 2023; 24: 70-84 [PMID: 37220999 DOI: 10.1111/1751-2980.13173
- 52 Szalai M, Helle K, Lovász BD, Finta Á, Rosztóczy A, Oczella L, Madácsy L. First prospective European study for the feasibility and safety of magnetically controlled capsule endoscopy in gastric mucosal abnormalities. World J Gastroenterol 2022; 28: 2227-2242 [PMID: 35721886 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i20.2227]
- Zhou L, Wang S, Li J, Zhong J, Zhang L, Shen R, Kouken B, Zhou C, Wang Q, Qian Y, Zou D, Chu Y. The Application of Magnetic-53 Controlled Capsule Gastroscopy in Patients Refusing C-EGD: A Single-Center 5-Year Observational Study. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2021;



**2021**: 6934594 [PMID: 34675973 DOI: 10.1155/2021/6934594]

- 54 Li J, Ren M, Yang J, Zhao Y, Li Y, Zhang D, Wu F, Zhang Z, Lu X, Ren L, He S, Lu G. Screening value for gastrointestinal lesions of magnetic-controlled capsule endoscopy in asymptomatic individuals. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 36: 1267-1275 [PMID: 33000488 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.15282]
- 55 McCarty TR, Afinogenova Y, Njei B. Use of Wireless Capsule Endoscopy for the Diagnosis and Grading of Esophageal Varices in Patients With Portal Hypertension: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Gastroenterol 2017; 51: 174-182 [PMID: 27548729 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.00000000000589]
- 56 **Zhang T**, Chen YZ, Jiang X, He C, Pan J, Zhou W, Hu JP, Liao Z, Li ZS. 5G-based remote magnetically controlled capsule endoscopy for examination of the stomach and small bowel. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2023; **11**: 42-50 [PMID: 36416805 DOI: 10.1002/ueg2.12339]
- 57 Yu Y, Liao Z, Jiang X, Pan J, Zhou W, Lau JYW. The use of magnet-controlled capsule endoscopy as the initial diagnostic tool in patients with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2023; 38: 2027-2034 [PMID: 37534802 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.16310]
- 58 Dan T, Dandan S, Enqiang L. Aspiration of a Magnetically Controlled Capsule Endoscopy. *Gastroenterology* 2023; 164: e30-e31 [PMID: 30981792 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.04.006]
- 59 Geropoulos G, Aquilina J, Kakos C, Anestiadou E, Giannis D. Magnetically Controlled Capsule Endoscopy Versus Conventional Gastroscopy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Gastroenterol 2021; 55: 577-585 [PMID: 33883514 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.000000000001540]
- 60 Jiang B, Qian YY, Wang YC, Pan J, Jiang X, Zhu JH, Qiu XO, Zhou W, Li ZS, Liao Z. A novel capsule endoscopy for upper and mid-GI tract: the UMGI capsule. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2023; 23: 76 [PMID: 36927462 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-023-02696-5]
- 61 Wu TT, Zhang MY, Tan ND, Chen SF, Zhuang QJ, Luo Y, Xiao YL. Patients at risk for further examination with conventional gastroscopy after undergoing magnetically controlled capsule endoscopy. *J Dig Dis* 2023; 24: 522-529 [PMID: 37681236 DOI: 10.1111/1751-2980.13228]
- 62 Lai HS, Wang XK, Cai JQ, Zhao XM, Han ZL, Zhang J, Chen ZY, Lin ZZ, Zhou PH, Hu B, Li AM, Liu SD. Standing-type magnetically guided capsule endoscopy versus gastroscopy for gastric examination: multicenter blinded comparative trial. *Dig Endosc* 2020; 32: 557-564 [PMID: 31483889 DOI: 10.1111/den.13520]
- 63 Xi S, Jing L, Lili W, Tingting L, Jun L, Ming W, Zhiqiang W, Peng J. Magnetic controlled capsule endoscope (MCCE)'s diagnostic performance for H. pylori infection status based on the Kyoto classification of gastritis. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2022; 22: 502 [PMID: 36474169 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-022-02589-z]
- 64 Wu X, Chen D. Convolutional Neural Network in Microsurgery Treatment of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage. *Comput Math Methods Med* 2022; 2022: 9701702 [PMID: 35983522 DOI: 10.1155/2022/9701702]
- 65 Khurshid S, Friedman S, Reeder C, Di Achille P, Diamant N, Singh P, Harrington LX, Wang X, Al-Alusi MA, Sarma G, Foulkes AS, Ellinor PT, Anderson CD, Ho JE, Philippakis AA, Batra P, Lubitz SA. ECG-Based Deep Learning and Clinical Risk Factors to Predict Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation* 2022; 145: 122-133 [PMID: 34743566 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.057480]
- 66 Kim JE, Choi YH, Lee YC, Seong G, Song JH, Kim TJ, Kim ER, Hong SN, Chang DK, Kim YH, Shin SY. Deep learning model for distinguishing Mayo endoscopic subscore 0 and 1 in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Sci Rep* 2023; 13: 11351 [PMID: 37443370 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-023-38206-6]
- 67 Hassan C, Spadaccini M, Iannone A, Maselli R, Jovani M, Chandrasekar VT, Antonelli G, Yu H, Areia M, Dinis-Ribeiro M, Bhandari P, Sharma P, Rex DK, Rösch T, Wallace M, Repici A. Performance of artificial intelligence in colonoscopy for adenoma and polyp detection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2021; 93: 77-85.e6 [PMID: 32598963 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2020.06.059]
- 68 Mascarenhas M, Martins M, Afonso J, Ribeiro T, Cardoso P, Mendes F, Andrade P, Cardoso H, Ferreira J, Macedo G. The Future of Minimally Invasive Capsule Panendoscopy: Robotic Precision, Wireless Imaging and AI-Driven Insights. *Cancers (Basel)* 2023; 15 [PMID: 38136403 DOI: 10.3390/cancers15245861]
- 69 Mascarenhas Saraiva MJ, Afonso J, Ribeiro T, Ferreira J, Cardoso H, Andrade AP, Parente M, Natal R, Mascarenhas Saraiva M, Macedo G. Deep learning and capsule endoscopy: automatic identification and differentiation of small bowel lesions with distinct haemorrhagic potential using a convolutional neural network. *BMJ Open Gastroenterol* 2021; 8 [PMID: 34580155 DOI: 10.1136/bmjgast-2021-000753]
- 70 Tsuboi A, Oka S, Aoyama K, Saito H, Aoki T, Yamada A, Matsuda T, Fujishiro M, Ishihara S, Nakahori M, Koike K, Tanaka S, Tada T. Artificial intelligence using a convolutional neural network for automatic detection of small-bowel angioectasia in capsule endoscopy images. Dig Endosc 2020; 32: 382-390 [PMID: 31392767 DOI: 10.1111/den.13507]
- 71 Blanes-Vidal V, Baatrup G, Nadimi ES. Addressing priority challenges in the detection and assessment of colorectal polyps from capsule endoscopy and colonoscopy in colorectal cancer screening using machine learning. Acta Oncol 2019; 58: S29-S36 [PMID: 30836800 DOI: 10.1080/0284186X.2019.1584404]
- Yamada A, Niikura R, Otani K, Aoki T, Koike K. Automatic detection of colorectal neoplasia in wireless colon capsule endoscopic images using a deep convolutional neural network. *Endoscopy* 2021; 53: 832-836 [PMID: 32947623 DOI: 10.1055/a-1266-1066]
- 73 Mascarenhas M, Afonso J, Ribeiro T, Cardoso H, Andrade P, Ferreira JPS, Saraiva MM, Macedo G. Performance of a Deep Learning System for Automatic Diagnosis of Protruding Lesions in Colon Capsule Endoscopy. *Diagnostics (Basel)* 2022; 12 [PMID: 35741255 DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics12061445]
- 74 Mascarenhas M, Ribeiro T, Afonso J, Ferreira JPS, Cardoso H, Andrade P, Parente MPL, Jorge RN, Mascarenhas Saraiva M, Macedo G. Deep learning and colon capsule endoscopy: automatic detection of blood and colonic mucosal lesions using a convolutional neural network. Endosc Int Open 2022; 10: E171-E177 [PMID: 35186665 DOI: 10.1055/a-1675-1941]
- 75 Ferreira JPS, de Mascarenhas Saraiva MJDQEC, Afonso JPL, Ribeiro TFC, Cardoso HMC, Ribeiro Andrade AP, de Mascarenhas Saraiva MNG, Parente MPL, Natal Jorge R, Lopes SIO, de Macedo GMG. Identification of Ulcers and Erosions by the Novel Pillcam<sup>™</sup> Crohn's Capsule Using a Convolutional Neural Network: A Multicentre Pilot Study. *J Crohns Colitis* 2022; 16: 169-172 [PMID: 34228113 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjab117]
- 76 Brodersen JB, Jensen MD, Leenhardt R, Kjeldsen J, Histace A, Knudsen T, Dray X. Artificial Intelligence-assisted Analysis of Pan-enteric Capsule Endoscopy in Patients with Suspected Crohn's Disease: A Study on Diagnostic Performance. J Crohns Colitis 2024; 18: 75-81 [PMID: 37527554 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjad131]

Zaishidene® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

