World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2024 March 14; 30(10): 1261-1469





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J G World Journal of Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 30 Number 10 March 14, 2024

EDITORIAL

1261	Bridging the gap: Unveiling the crisis of physical inactivity in inflammatory bowel diseases
	Stafie R, Singeap AM, Rotaru A, Stanciu C, Trifan A
1266	Double role of depression in gastric cancer: As a causative factor and as consequence
	Christodoulidis G, Konstantinos-Eleftherios K, Marina-Nektaria K
1270	Capsule endoscopy and panendoscopy: A journey to the future of gastrointestinal endoscopy
	Rosa B, Cotter J
1280	Vonoprazan-amoxicillin dual regimen with <i>Saccharomyces boulardii</i> as a rescue therapy for <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> : Current perspectives and implications
	Dirjayanto VJ, Audrey J, Simadibrata DM
1287	Women health and microbiota: Different aspects of well-being
	Nannini G, Amedei A
1291	Nomograms and prognosis for superficial esophageal squamous cell carcinoma
	Lin HT, Abdelbaki A, Krishna SG
	REVIEW
1295	REVIEW Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies
1295	REVIEW Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies <i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i>
1295	REVIEW Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies <i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i> Optimizing nutrition in hepatic cirrhosis: A comprehensive assessment and care approach
1295 1313	REVIEW Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies <i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i> Optimizing nutrition in hepatic cirrhosis: A comprehensive assessment and care approach <i>Mendez-Guerrero O, Carranza-Carrasco A, Chi-Cervera LA, Torre A, Navarro-Alvarez N</i>
1295 1313 1329	REVIEW Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies <i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i> Optimizing nutrition in hepatic cirrhosis: A comprehensive assessment and care approach <i>Mendez-Guerrero O, Carranza-Carrasco A, Chi-Cervera LA, Torre A, Navarro-Alvarez N</i> Optimizing prediction models for pancreatic fistula after pancreatectomy: Current status and future perspectives
1295 1313 1329	REVIEW Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies <i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i> Optimizing nutrition in hepatic cirrhosis: A comprehensive assessment and care approach <i>Mendez-Guerrero O, Carranza-Carrasco A, Chi-Cervera LA, Torre A, Navarro-Alvarez N</i> Optimizing prediction models for pancreatic fistula after pancreatectomy: Current status and future perspectives <i>Yang F, Windsor JA, Fu DL</i>
1295 1313 1329	REVIEW Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies <i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i> Optimizing nutrition in hepatic cirrhosis: A comprehensive assessment and care approach <i>Mendez-Guerrero O, Carranza-Carrasco A, Chi-Cervera LA, Torre A, Navarro-Alvarez N</i> Optimizing prediction models for pancreatic fistula after pancreatectomy: Current status and future perspectives <i>Yang F, Windsor JA, Fu DL</i>
1295 1313 1329	REVIEW Overview of the immunological mechanisms in hepatitis B virus reactivation: Implications for disease progression and management strategies <i>Ma H, Yan QZ, Ma JR, Li DF, Yang JL</i> Optimizing nutrition in hepatic cirrhosis: A comprehensive assessment and care approach <i>Mendez-Guerrero O, Carranza-Carrasco A, Chi-Cervera LA, Torre A, Navarro-Alvarez N</i> Optimizing prediction models for pancreatic fistula after pancreatectomy: Current status and future perspectives <i>Yang F, Windsor JA, Fu DL</i> ORIGINAL ARTICLE Patroenective Cohort Study

- 1346 Cumulative effects of excess high-normal alanine aminotransferase levels in relation to new-onset metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease in China Chen JF, Wu ZQ, Liu HS, Yan S, Wang YX, Xing M, Song XQ, Ding SY
- 1358 Time trends and outcomes of gastrostomy placement in a Swedish national cohort over two decades Skogar ML, Sundbom M



Contents

Weekly Volume 30 Number 10 March 14, 2024

Retrospective Study

1368 Stage at diagnosis of colorectal cancer through diagnostic route: Who should be screened?

Agatsuma N, Utsumi T, Nishikawa Y, Horimatsu T, Seta T, Yamashita Y, Tanaka Y, Inoue T, Nakanishi Y, Shimizu T, Ohno M, Fukushima A, Nakayama T, Seno H

Observational Study

1377 Differential diagnosis of Crohn's disease and intestinal tuberculosis based on ATR-FTIR spectroscopy combined with machine learning

Li YP, Lu TY, Huang FR, Zhang WM, Chen ZQ, Guang PW, Deng LY, Yang XH

Prospective Study

1393 Establishment and validation of an adherence prediction system for lifestyle interventions in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Zeng MH, Shi QY, Xu L, Mi YQ

Basic Study

1405 Alkaline sphingomyelinase deficiency impairs intestinal mucosal barrier integrity and reduces antioxidant capacity in dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis

Tian Y, Li X, Wang X, Pei ST, Pan HX, Cheng YQ, Li YC, Cao WT, Petersen JDD, Zhang P

- 1420 Preliminary exploration of animal models of congenital choledochal cysts Zhang SH, Zhang YB, Cai DT, Pan T, Chen K, Jin Y, Luo WJ, Huang ZW, Chen QJ, Gao ZG
- 1431 Serotonin receptor 2B induces visceral hyperalgesia in rat model and patients with diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome

Li ZY, Mao YQ, Hua Q, Sun YH, Wang HY, Ye XG, Hu JX, Wang YJ, Jiang M

META-ANALYSIS

Shear-wave elastography to predict hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatitis C virus eradication: A 1450 systematic review and meta-analysis

Esposto G, Santini P, Galasso L, Mignini I, Ainora ME, Gasbarrini A, Zocco MA

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

1461 Current considerations on intraductal papillary neoplasms of the bile duct and pancreatic duct Pavlidis ET. Galanis IN. Pavlidis TE

1466 Are we ready to use new endoscopic scores for ulcerative colitis? Quera R, Núñez F P



Contents

Weekly Volume 30 Number 10 March 14, 2024

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Toru Mizuguchi, MD, PhD, Professor, Surgeon, Department of Nursing, Division of Surgical Science, Sapporo Medical University Postgraduate School of Health Science, Sapporo, Hokkaido 0608556, Japan. tmizu@sapmed.ac.jp

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE), MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 4.3; Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2021 is 8.3.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Ying-Yi Yuan; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Cover Editor: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 1, 1995	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Weekly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Andrzej S Tarnawski	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	POLICY OF CO-AUTHORS
Xian-Jun Yu (Pancreatic Oncology), Jian-Gao Fan (Chronic Liver Disease), Hou- Bao Liu (Biliary Tract Disease)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/310
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
March 14, 2024	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com
PUBLISHING PARTNER	PUBLISHING PARTNER'S OFFICIAL WEBSITE
Shanghai Pancreatic Cancer Institute and Pancreatic Cancer Institute, Fudan	https://www.shca.org.cn
University Biliary Tract Disease Institute, Fudan University	https://www.zs-nospital.sh.cn
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 70	141 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WÛ

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2024 March 14; 30(10): 1368-1376

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i10.1368

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study Stage at diagnosis of colorectal cancer through diagnostic route: Who should be screened?

Nobukazu Agatsuma, Takahiro Utsumi, Yoshitaka Nishikawa, Takahiro Horimatsu, Takeshi Seta, Yukitaka Yamashita, Yukari Tanaka, Takahiro Inoue, Yuki Nakanishi, Takahiro Shimizu, Mikako Ohno, Akane Fukushima, Takeo Nakayama, Hiroshi Seno

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): A Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Verma V, United States

Received: December 27, 2023 Peer-review started: December 27, 2023

First decision: January 5, 2024 Revised: January 17, 2024 Accepted: February 20, 2024 Article in press: February 20, 2024 Published online: March 14, 2024



Nobukazu Agatsuma, Takahiro Utsumi, Yukari Tanaka, Takahiro Inoue, Yuki Nakanishi, Takahiro Shimizu, Hiroshi Seno, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

Nobukazu Agatsuma, Takeshi Seta, Yukitaka Yamashita, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Japanese Red Cross Wakayama Medical Center, Wakayama 640-8558, Japan

Yoshitaka Nishikawa, Takeo Nakayama, Department of Health Informatics, Kyoto University School of Public Health, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

Takahiro Horimatsu, Institute for Advancement of Clinical and Translational Science (iACT), Kyoto University Hospital, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

Mikako Ohno, Akane Fukushima, Medical Support Section, Medical Affairs Division, Kyoto University Hospital, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

Corresponding author: Nobukazu Agatsuma, MD, Doctor, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, 54 Kawaharacho, Shogoin, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan. agatsuma@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a global health concern, with advanced-stage diagnoses contributing to poor prognoses. The efficacy of CRC screening has been wellestablished; nevertheless, a significant proportion of patients remain unscreened, with > 70% of cases diagnosed outside screening. Although identifying specific subgroups for whom CRC screening should be particularly recommended is crucial owing to limited resources, the association between the diagnostic routes and identification of these subgroups has been less appreciated. In the Japanese cancer registry, the diagnostic routes for groups discovered outside of screening are primarily categorized into those with comorbidities found during hospital visits and those with CRC-related symptoms.

AIM

To clarify the stage at CRC diagnosis based on diagnostic routes.



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

METHODS

We conducted a retrospective observational study using a cancer registry of patients with CRC between January 2016 and December 2019 at two hospitals. The diagnostic routes were primarily classified into three groups: Cancer screening, follow-up, and symptomatic. The early-stage was defined as Stages 0 or I. Multivariate and univariate logistic regressions were exploited to determine the odds of early-stage diagnosis in the symptomatic and cancer screening groups, referencing the follow-up group. The adjusted covariates were age, sex, and tumor location.

RESULTS

Of the 2083 patients, 715 (34.4%), 1064 (51.1%), and 304 (14.6%) belonged to the follow-up, symptomatic, and cancer screening groups, respectively. Among the 2083 patients, CRCs diagnosed at an early stage were 57.3% (410 of 715), 23.9% (254 of 1064), and 59.5% (181 of 304) in the follow-up, symptomatic, and cancer screening groups, respectively. The symptomatic group exhibited a lower likelihood of early-stage diagnosis than the follow-up group [P < 0.001, adjusted odds ratio (aOR), 0.23; 95% confidence interval (95%CI): 0.19-0.29]. The likelihood of diagnosis at an early stage was similar between the follow-up and cancer screening groups (P = 0.493, aOR for early-stage diagnosis in the cancer screening group vs follow-up group = 1.11; 95% CI = 0.82-1.49).

CONCLUSION

CRCs detected during hospital visits for comorbidities were diagnosed earlier, similar to cancer screening. CRC screening should be recommended, particularly for patients without periodical hospital visits for comorbidities.

Key Words: Colorectal neoplasms; Cancer registry; Diagnostic route; Cancer screening; Stage at diagnosis

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Colorectal cancer (CRC) screening reduces CRC deaths, yet several patients remain unscreened. To encourage more individuals to participate in screening, identifying subgroups at high risk is crucial. This study used cancer registries from two Japanese facilities to clarify the stage at diagnosis in three groups: cancer screening, follow-up (patients detected during follow-up for other comorbidities), and symptomatic. The proportion of early-stage diagnoses was higher in the follow-up group than in the symptomatic group and was comparable to that in the cancer screening group. Therefore, CRC screening should be recommended, particularly for patients without periodical hospital visits for comorbidities.

Citation: Agatsuma N, Utsumi T, Nishikawa Y, Horimatsu T, Seta T, Yamashita Y, Tanaka Y, Inoue T, Nakanishi Y, Shimizu T, Ohno M, Fukushima A, Nakayama T, Seno H. Stage at diagnosis of colorectal cancer through diagnostic route: Who should be screened? World J Gastroenterol 2024; 30(10): 1368-1376

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v30/i10/1368.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v30.i10.1368

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a commonly diagnosed cancer and is a leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide[1]. CRC has a poor prognosis when diagnosed at an advanced stage, and screening can lead to more early-stage diagnoses, potentially improving patient survival [2-5]. Despite evidence showing the effectiveness of CRC screening, some (30%-50%) of the eligible population does not undergo the process, with over 70% of all CRC cases discovered through nonscreening routes[6-8]. Although encouraging individuals to participate in CRC screening is essential, there remains insufficient guidance on effectively and efficiently recommending screening for the non-adherent population.

Understanding the individuals for whom screening should be particularly recommended is crucial for its efficient promotion. Screening should be recommended for all eligible individuals; however, personalized messages for all eligible individuals are impractical due to limited human and financial resources[9-13]. Targeted interventions to increase cancer screening are considered cost-effective[14]. Therefore, identifying individuals for whom CRC screening should be particularly recommended based on the subgroup to which the screening-eligible population belongs is beneficial. However, few reports have appreciated the value of the diagnostic route to clarify subgroups of patients that are more likely to be diagnosed at a later stage.

CRC is diagnosed through various routes besides screening, leading to different stages at diagnosis. In Western countries, diagnostic routes for CRC are primarily classified into emergency and non-emergency pathways, with emergency cases typically diagnosed at more advanced stages than those of non-emergency [8,15,16]. Conversely, the Japanese cancer registry categorizes CRC cases other than those in the screening pathway into two primary groups: Those discovered during hospital visits owing to comorbidities and those found through symptom-driven medical consultations[17]. CRC cases detected during hospital visits for comorbidities are those detected during the monitoring or management of other chronic diseases or pre- and post-operative examinations for unrelated benign or malignant diseases or malignant tumors. They may be discovered at an earlier stage compared with those of patients with CRC-



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

related symptoms. However, there are no reports on the comparison regarding the stages at diagnosis between the two groups to determine which group is more likely to be diagnosed at a later stage.

This study aimed to clarify the stages at diagnosis based on the diagnostic routes of CRC using hospital-based cancer registries from two Japanese facilities. We evaluated the diagnostic stage distribution and compared the proportion of early-stage cases among three groups: (1) Patients detected during hospital visits for other comorbidities; (2) patients detected following presentation with CRC-related symptoms; and (3) patients detected during cancer screening.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

This retrospective observational study was conducted at Kyoto University Hospital (KU) in Kyoto Prefecture and Japanese Red Cross Wakayama Medical Center (WMC) in Wakayama Prefecture. Both facilities are among the hospitals that have treated the largest CRC cases in each prefecture and are designated cancer care hospitals. KU covers approximately 20% of patients with CRC in Kyoto Prefecture, and WMC covers approximately 40% of these patients in Wakayama Prefecture^[18]. All patients with CRC registered in the hospital-based cancer registry at both institutions between January 2016 and December 2019 were included in this study.

Assessments

Data from each registry were extracted from cancer registrars based on medical records according to the standard registry definition. The diagnostic routes were primarily classified into three groups: Cancer screening, follow-up (patients detected during the follow-up of comorbidities), and symptomatic[19]. The two other groups were CRC cases with unknown diagnostic routes and those discovered at autopsy. However, the total number is less than 5% nationwide[18]. The cancer screening group included patients who underwent a population-based or opportunistic screening. In the cancer screening group, almost all patients were estimated to undergo an immunochemical fecal occult blood test (iFOBT) because iFOBT is strongly recommended for population-based and opportunistic screening in the Japanese CRC screening guidelines^[20]. The follow-up group comprised patients detected during examinations conducted as part of the follow-up for existing comorbidities (lifestyle-related diseases, such as hypertension and diabetes, heart disease, other organ cancers, and benign digestive disorders). Cases in which CRC was discovered due to CRC-related symptoms during a hospital visit for comorbidity follow-up were classified as the follow-up group. Patients with CRC who presented to the hospital primarily for CRC-related symptoms were classified as "other group" in the cancer registry, distinguished from the patients with CRC detected via screening or during hospital visits for comorbidities[17,19]. Stages were recorded according to the tumor-node-metastasis staging system of the Union for International Cancer Control (8th edition). If the patient had undergone surgery, the post-operative stage was used; if the patient had not, the preoperative stage was used. Data on age, sex, diagnostic routes, tumor location, clinical and pathological stages, and pathological findings were obtained from a hospital-based cancer registry. No data were missing. We excluded patients with nonepithelial or neuroendocrine tumors, those whose stage or route of discovery was unknown, and autopsy-detected cases. Patients with multiple CRCs were considered to have advanced-stage disease. Regarding tumor location, we defined right-sided CRC as tumors from the cecum to the splenic flexure, whereas left-sided CRC was defined as tumors from the descending colon to the rectum. For advanced lesions on both sides of the colorectum, left-sided lesions were excluded because previous reports indicated a poorer prognosis in right-sided primary CRC than in left-sided[21]. Localized disease, corresponding to Stages 0 and I, is associated with a 5-year survival rate of over 90%, making its prognosis more favorable than that in the regional or distant stages^[2]. Hence, as in previous reports, we categorized Stages 0 and I as early stages in this study [22,23]. The stage distribution and proportion of patients with early-stage CRC were evaluated using the diagnostic routes. We compared the proportion of patients with early-stage CRC between the follow-up, symptomatic, and cancer screening groups.

Statistical analysis

Pearson's Chi-square test was used to compare the proportion of early-stage CRCs in the follow-up group with those in the symptomatic and screening groups. Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05. Logistic regression analysis was used to determine the odds of early-stage diagnosis in the symptomatic and cancer screening groups using the follow-up group as a reference. Univariate logistic regression analysis was used to calculate the crude odds ratios of early-stage detection, comparing the follow-up group with the symptomatic and cancer screening groups. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was also used to calculate adjusted odds ratios (aORs), adjusted for age, sex, and tumor location. Statistical results were calculated as point estimates with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI). All statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro® 16.1.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States).

RESULTS

A flowchart of the participants is shown in Figure 1. Of the 2575 lesions and 2435 patients, the study included 2083 patients (879 at KU and 1204 at WMC). Table 1 presents patient characteristics for each discovery route. Among the 2083 patients included in the study, 715 (34.4%), 1064 (51.1%), and 304 (14.6%) belonged to the follow-up, symptomatic, and cancer screening groups, respectively. Patient characteristics at each hospital are presented in Table 2. The stage distri-



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Characteristics of the patients and colorectal lesions according to diagnostic routes in two hospitals						
Variable	Cancer screening group (<i>n</i> = 304)					
Age (median, IQR)	74 (68-79)	71 (62-78)	64 (56-71)			
Sex, male, <i>n</i> (%)	444 (62.1)	559 (52.5)	172 (56.6)			
Right side, n (%)	318 (44.5)	302 (28.4)	96 (31.6)			

Follow-up group: Patients with cancer detected during follow-up for other comorbidities; symptomatic group: Patients with complaints associated with colorectal cancer-related symptoms; cancer screening group: Patients detected by screening or medical check-up. IQR: Interquartile range; Right side: Tumors from the cecum to the splenic flexure.

Table 2 Characteristics of the patients and colorectal lesions according to diagnostic routes at each hospital							
Variable	KU			WMC			
	Follow-up group (<i>n</i> = 271)	Symptomatic group (<i>n</i> = 480)	Cancer screening group (<i>n</i> = 128)	Follow-up group (<i>n</i> = 444)	Symptomatic group (<i>n</i> = 584)	Cancer screening group (<i>n</i> = 176)	
Age (median, IQR)	72 (66-79)	69 (59-76)	64 (54-70)	74 (69-79)	72.5 (64.3-80.0)	64.0 (56.3-71.8)	
Sex, male, <i>n</i> (%)	168 (62.0)	251 (52.3)	71 (55.5)	276 (62.2)	308 (52.7)	101 (57.4)	
Right side, n (%)	116 (42.8)	125 (26.0)	41 (32.0)	202 (45.5)	177 (30.3)	55 (31.3)	

KU: Kyoto University Hospital; WMC: Japanese Red Cross Wakayama Medical Center.



Figure 1 Flow diagram of colorectal lesions and patients from two hospitals. Between January 2016 and December 2019, cancer registries listed 2575 colorectal lesions in 2435 patients. Of these, 198 non-epithelial tumors in 194 patients were excluded. From the remaining 2377 lesions, 105 lesions in 101 patients with unknown diagnostic routes were excluded. Further, 66 lesions in 65 patients with unknown stages were excluded. Of the remaining 2206 lesions, 123 lesions in 113 patients were identified as synchronous colorectal cancers, and after excluding early-stage lesions, 2083 lesions in 2083 patients remained. KU: Kyoto University Hospital; WMC: Japanese Red Cross Wakayama Medical Center.

bution and early-stage diagnosis proportions for each diagnostic route are presented in Table 3. In each hospital, as for the early-stage diagnosed proportion, the follow-up, symptomatic, and cancer screening groups had 55.7%, 21.7%, and 54.7%, respectively, in KU, and 58.3%, 25.7%, and 63.1%, respectively, in WMC, indicating similar trends at both institutions (Table 4). The proportion of patients with CRC diagnosed at an early stage was 57.3% (410 of 715), 23.9% (254 of 1064), and 59.5% (181 of 304) in the follow-up, symptomatic, and cancer screening groups, respectively. The symptomatic group exhibited a lower likelihood of early-stage diagnosis than the follow-up group (P < 0.001; aOR: 0.23; 95%CI: 0.19-0.29). The likelihood of being diagnosed at an early stage was similar between the follow-up and cancer screening groups (P = 0.493; aOR for early-stage diagnosis in the cancer screening group *vs* the follow-up group = 1.11, 95%CI = 0.82-1.49) (Table 5).

Agatsuma N et al. Stages of CRC through diagnostic route

Table 3 Stage distribution of colorectal cancer by diagnostic route in two hospitals, <i>n</i> (%)							
Tumor stage	Follow-up group (<i>n</i> = 715)	Symptomatic group (<i>n</i> = 1064)	Cancer screening group (<i>n</i> = 304)				
Stage 0	216 (30.2)	92 (8.7)	81 (26.6)				
Stage I	194 (27.1)	162 (15.2)	100 (32.9)				
Stage II	106 (14.8)	240 (22.6)	39 (12.8)				
Stage III	109 (15.2)	297 (27.9)	54 (17.8)				
Stage IV	90 (12.6)	273 (25.7)	30 (9.9)				
Early-stage	410 (57.3)	254 (23.9)	181 (59.5)				

Follow-up group: Patients with cancer detected during follow-up for other comorbidities; symptomatic group: Patients with complaints associated with colorectal cancer-related symptoms; cancer screening group: Patients detected by screening or medical check-up. Early stages: 0 and I.

Table 4 Stage distribution according to diagnostic routes at each hospital, n (%)						
Tumor stage	ĸu			WMC		
	Follow-up group (<i>n</i> = 271)	Symptomatic group (<i>n</i> = 480)	Cancer screening group (<i>n</i> = 128)	Follow-up group (<i>n</i> = 444)	Symptomatic group (<i>n</i> = 584)	Cancer screening group (<i>n</i> = 176)
Stage 0	62 (22.9)	22 (4.6)	20 (15.6)	154 (34.7)	70 (12.0)	61 (34.7)
Stage I	89 (32.8)	82 (17.1)	50 (39.1)	105 (23.7)	80 (13.7)	50 (28.4)
Stage II	39 (14.4)	113 (23.5)	14 (10.9)	67 (15.1)	127 (21.8)	25 (14.2)
Stage III	43 (15.9)	120 (25.0)	23 (18.0)	66 (14.9)	177 (30.3)	31 (17.6)
Stage IV	38 (14.0)	143 (29.8)	21 (16.4)	52 (11.7)	130 (22.3)	9 (5.1)
Early- stage	151 (55.7)	104 (21.7)	70 (54.7)	259 (58.3)	150 (25.7)	111 (63.1)

KU: Kyoto University Hospital; WMC: Japanese Red Cross Wakayama Medical Center.

Table 5 Univariate and multivariate analyses of early-stage detection rate comparing follow-up group vs symptomatic group and cancer screening group

Variable	Farly store detection rate (9/)	Univariate		Multivariate	
Variable	Early-stage detection rate (%)	cOR (95%Cl)	P value	aOR (95%CI)	P value
Follow-up group	57.3	Reference		Reference	
Symptomatic group	23.9	0.23 (0.19-0.29)	< 0.001	0.23 (0.19-0.29)	< 0.001
Cancer screening group	59.5	1.09 (0.83-1.44)	0.516	1.11 (0.82-1.49)	0.493

Adjusted covariates are age, sex, and tumor location. cOR: Crude odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; aOR: Adjusted odds ratio.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we conducted a comparative analysis of stages at diagnosis of CRC based on diagnostic routes. This study had two major findings. First, patients with CRC, which was detected during hospital visits for existing comorbidities, exhibited a higher proportion of early-stage diagnoses than those who presented with CRC-related symptoms. Second, the proportion of early-stage CRC diagnoses detected during hospital visits for comorbidities was comparable to that in the cancer screening group.

Patients with CRC detected at a comorbidity visit had a higher rate of early-stage diagnosis than those who presented with CRC-related symptoms. The first potential explanation for this derived from the fact that asymptomatic patients were included among the patients with CRC who were detected during hospital visits for other comorbidities. The frequency of symptomatic early-stage cancer is low, and the proportion of symptomatic cases increases with cancer progression[23]. Follow-up testing for comorbidities would incidentally detect asymptomatic CRC at an earlier stage compared to CRC detected in symptomatic patients. Second, the increased frequency of hospital visits owing to

Baishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

comorbidities likely results in early-stage cancer diagnosis due to incidental detection (surveillance hypothesis)[24]. Previous studies have shown that certain chronic diseases, such as end-stage kidney disease and high levels of comorbidities, are correlated with the detection of CRCs in earlier stages owing to frequent visits to healthcare providers [25,26]. In our study, patients with comorbidities were more likely to undergo imaging studies and colonoscopies because of abnormal tests and some symptoms, which could lead to an earlier diagnosis. Therefore, CRC detection during hospital visits for comorbidities may have been at an earlier stage than that in symptomatic patients.

We also found that the proportion of early-stage CRCs detected during hospital visits for comorbidities was comparable to that in the cancer screening group. The proportion of early-stage detection was 57.3% in the follow-up group compared with 59.5% in the screening group (P = 0.493). The odds of early detection were similar between groups, with an OR of 1.11 (95%CI: 0.82-1.49) (screening *vs* follow-up), and no significant differences were observed between the two groups. There have been no reports comparing the proportion of early-stage CRC diagnoses detected during hospital visits for comorbidities with those detected through regular screening. Our findings can be attributed to the unique medical context seen in Japan, characterized by unrestricted access to medical facilities facilitated by the universal insurance system[27]. The swift examinations during hospital visits potentially increase the chances of rapid diagnosis and early detection. Extended diagnosis periods are reportedly correlated with later detection stages[28]. Notably, Japan's healthcare infrastructure facilitates easy access to advanced diagnostic technologies, such as colonoscopies and computed tomography, potentially contributing to early detection. Therefore, patients regularly monitored for comorbidities may have an increased early-stage diagnosis rate, which is comparable to that of patients who undergo cancer screening, even if they present with CRC-related symptoms.

Therefore, CRC screening should be recommended, particularly for patients without periodical hospital visits for comorbidities. Our study suggests that, in clinical practice, CRC is detected relatively early when it is found in patients presenting for follow-up for existing comorbidities. To date, no report has demonstrated that CRC detection during the follow-up of comorbidities is at an earlier stage than that after presenting with symptoms and that it occurs as early as that during cancer screening. Previous studies suggest that patients who visit hospitals for comorbidities can be recommended for cancer screening during consultation with their family physician [29,30]. Conversely, individuals without underlying conditions or those who do not undergo CRC screening may harbor undiagnosed CRC owing to a lack of hospital visits. CRC screening should be recommended for all screening-eligible individuals. Tailored message interventions for screening recommendations targeting segmented individuals reportedly increase screening attendance rates[9]. However, the resources required for screening outreach are limited, and colonoscopies for diagnostic testing require financial and human resources[10-13]. CRC detected at late stages contributes to higher medical costs[31]. Therefore, recommending screening would be essential, particularly for populations at a high risk of diagnosis with latestage cancer, to take advantage of limited resources. Hence, it would be beneficial to develop policies that specifically encourage cancer screening for those who do not regularly visit the hospital due to comorbidities, considering the barriers to acceptance of screening and the causes of lack of access to healthcare facilities in this population. Encouraging populations other than those who regularly visit the hospital for any comorbidities to undergo screening could increase the earlier-stage detection, which would further contribute to an improved prognosis for patients with CRC.

Our study has some limitations in interpreting the results. First, the type and number of comorbidities and frequency of hospital visits in patients with CRC detected during follow-up for other comorbidities in this study have not been considered. Second, whether individuals presenting with CRC-related symptoms include those who undergo regular cancer screening is unclear. However, in Japan, approximately half of the eligible candidates do not undergo screening, and it is presumed that most individuals exist within the symptomatic group who do not undergo regular cancer screening[6]. Third, our study was conducted at two designated cancer care hospitals in Japan and may not apply to other regions or countries. Healthcare systems and classification of CRC routes for diagnosis may differ in other countries; however, there were no significant differences in the proportions and stage distributions of each population between our study population and the Japanese cohort[18]. While our study only had access to cancer registration data from two facilities, using cancer registration data from other facilities or nationwide cancer registration data in Japan would aid in investigating whether the trends observed in this study are universally applicable.

CONCLUSION

This study suggests that CRC detection during hospital visits for comorbidities is likely at earlier stages than those detected *via* the symptomatic route. Furthermore, CRCs detected during hospital visits for comorbidities may be detected as early as those detected through cancer screening. While CRC screening should be recommended for all eligible individuals, particular attention could be directed towards populations without periodical hospital visits for comorbidities as they may not derive early CRC detection benefits due to fewer opportunities for hospital visits.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) screening reduces CRC mortality, yet several patients remain unscreened.

Research motivation

Although identifying specific subgroups at high risk is crucial to encourage more individuals to participate in screening, the association between the diagnostic routes and identification of these subgroups has been less appreciated.

Research objectives

To determine the stage at diagnosis of CRC based on various diagnostic routes.

Research methods

A retrospective observational study was conducted using data from the cancer registry of two hospitals to clarify the stage at diagnosis in three groups: Follow-up (patients detected during follow-up for other comorbidities), symptomatic (patients detected following presentation with CRC-related symptoms), and cancer screening.

Research results

In a study of 2083 patients, early-stage CRCs were diagnosed in 57.3% of the follow-up group, 23.9% of the symptomatic group, and 59.5% of the cancer screening group. The symptomatic group had a lower likelihood of early-stage diagnosis compared to the follow-up group, while the follow-up and cancer screening groups showed similar likelihoods of earlystage diagnosis.

Research conclusions

CRCs detected during hospital visits for comorbidities were diagnosed earlier, similar to cancer screening.

Research perspectives

Encouraging CRC screening in individuals who do not make regular hospital visits for comorbidities could enhance early detection and improve patient prognoses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Ms. Hiroko Kimura and Ms. Naoko Nishioka at the Japanese Red Cross Wakayama Medical Center for registering the cancer registry.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Agatsuma N, Utsumi T, Nishikawa Y, and Horimatsu T contributed to conception and design; Agatsuma N, Utsumi T, Nishikawa Y, Horimatsu T, Seta T, Yamashita Y, Tanaka Y, Inoue T, Nakanishi Y, Shimizu T, Ohno M, Fukushima A, Nakayama T, and Seno H contributed to data analysis and interpretation; Agatsuma N, Utsumi T, and Nishikawa Y contributed to manuscript drafting; Horimatsu T, Seta T, Yamashita Y, Tanaka Y, Inoue T, Nakanishi Y, Shimizu T, Ohno M, Fukushima A, Nakayama T, and Seno H contributed to critical article revision for important intellectual content; and all authors have read and agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

Supported by the Foundation for Cancer Research supported by Kyoto Preventive Medical Center and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grants-in-Aid KAKENHI, No. JP 22K21080.

Institutional review board statement: The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Kyoto University Hospital (approval No. R3472), and the Japanese Red Cross Wakayama Medical Center (approval No. 1004).

Informed consent statement: Anonymized data were used in this study. The board approved an opt-out approach for the research use of the data. Information about the study's purpose and data usage was posted on the hospital's website rather than obtaining patient informed consent, ensuring patients' right to withdraw.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Yoshitaka Nishikawa reports a donation from Datack outside the submitted work. Takeo Nakayama reports the following potential conflicts of interest outside the submitted work: Grants from I&H Co., Ltd, Cocokarafine Group Co., Ltd, Konica Minolta, Inc., and NTT DATA.; consulting fees from Ohtsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Takeda Pharmaceutical Co., Johnson & Johnson K.K., and Nippon Zoki Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.; honoraria from Pfizer Japan Inc., MSD K.K., Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Takeda Pharmaceutical Co., Janssen Pharmaceutical K.K., Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, Eli Lilly Japan K.K., Maruho Co., Ltd, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Co., Novartis Pharma K.K., Allergan Japan K.K., Novo Nordisk Pharma Ltd, Toa Eiyo Ltd, Dentsu Co., Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, GSK, Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Canon Medical Systems Co., Kowa Company Ltd, Araya, and AbbVie Inc.; stock option from BonBon Inc.; and donation from CancerScan and YUYAMA Co., Ltd. All the other authors declare no competing interests

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers.



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Japan

ORCID number: Nobukazu Agatsuma 0000-0002-4605-5985; Yoshitaka Nishikawa 0000-0003-3313-1990; Takahiro Horimatsu 0000-0002-4188-9059; Takeshi Seta 0000-0002-3057-6284; Takahiro Inoue 0000-0001-5470-9718; Yuki Nakanishi 0000-0001-5493-6312; Takahiro Shimizu 0000-0001-8392-2526; Takeo Nakayama 0000-0002-7918-6252; Hiroshi Seno 0000-0002-8509-8128.

S-Editor: Chen YL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Yuan YY

REFERENCES

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin 2023; 73: 17-48 [PMID: 36633525 DOI: 1 10.3322/caac.21763]
- Siegel RL, Wagle NS, Cercek A, Smith RA, Jemal A. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin 2023; 73: 233-254 [PMID: 2 36856579 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21772]
- 3 Kubisch CH, Crispin A, Mansmann U, Göke B, Kolligs FT. Screening for Colorectal Cancer Is Associated With Lower Disease Stage: A Population-Based Study. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 14: 1612-1618.e3 [PMID: 27085763 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2016.04.008]
- Friedrich K, Grüter L, Gotthardt D, Eisenbach C, Stremmel W, Scholl SG, Rex DK, Sieg A. Survival in patients with colorectal cancer 4 diagnosed by screening colonoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2015; 82: 133-137 [PMID: 25986151 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2014.12.048]
- Araghi M, Arnold M, Rutherford MJ, Guren MG, Cabasag CJ, Bardot A, Ferlay J, Tervonen H, Shack L, Woods RR, Saint-Jacques N, De P, 5 McClure C, Engholm G, Gavin AT, Morgan E, Walsh PM, Jackson C, Porter G, Møller B, Bucher O, Eden M, O'Connell DL, Bray F, Soerjomataram I. Colon and rectal cancer survival in seven high-income countries 2010-2014: variation by age and stage at diagnosis (the ICBP SURVMARK-2 project). Gut 2021; 70: 114-126 [PMID: 32482683 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-320625]
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Comprehensive Survey of Living Conditions, 2022. [cited 1 September 2023]. Available from: https:// 6 www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/k-tyosa/k-tyosa22/index.html
- 7 Sabatino SA, Thompson TD, White MC, Shapiro JA, Clarke TC, Croswell JM, Richardson LC. Cancer Screening Test Use-U.S., 2019. Am J Prev Med 2022; 63: 431-439 [PMID: 35469700 DOI: 10.1016/j.amepre.2022.02.018]
- Weller D, Menon U, Zalounina Falborg A, Jensen H, Barisic A, Knudsen AK, Bergin RJ, Brewster DH, Cairnduff V, Gavin AT, Grunfeld E, 8 Harland E, Lambe M, Law RJ, Lin Y, Malmberg M, Turner D, Neal RD, White V, Harrison S, Reguilon I; ICBP Module 4 Working Group, Vedsted P. Diagnostic routes and time intervals for patients with colorectal cancer in 10 international jurisdictions; findings from a crosssectional study from the International Cancer Benchmarking Partnership (ICBP). BMJ Open 2018; 8: e023870 [PMID: 30482749 DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023870]
- 9 Hirai K, Ishikawa Y, Fukuyoshi J, Yonekura A, Harada K, Shibuya D, Yamamoto S, Mizota Y, Hamashima C, Saito H. Tailored message interventions versus typical messages for increasing participation in colorectal cancer screening among a non-adherent population: A randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health 2016; 16: 431 [PMID: 27220976 DOI: 10.1186/s12889-016-3069-y]
- Kapinos KA, Halm EA, Murphy CC, Santini NO, Loewen AC, Skinner CS, Singal AG. Cost Effectiveness of Mailed Outreach Programs for 10 Colorectal Cancer Screening: Analysis of a Pragmatic, Randomized Trial. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022; 20: 2383-2392.e4 [PMID: 35144024 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2022.01.054]
- Hamashima C, Sano H. Association between age factors and strategies for promoting participation in gastric and colorectal cancer screenings. 11 BMC Cancer 2018; 18: 345 [PMID: 29587681 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-018-4244-6]
- Sekiguchi M, Igarashi A, Matsuda T, Matsumoto M, Sakamoto T, Nakajima T, Kakugawa Y, Yamamoto S, Saito H, Saito Y. Optimal use of 12 colonoscopy and fecal immunochemical test for population-based colorectal cancer screening: a cost-effectiveness analysis using Japanese data. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2016; 46: 116-125 [PMID: 26685321 DOI: 10.1093/jjco/hyv186]
- 13 Steinwachs D, Allen JD, Barlow WE, Duncan RP, Egede LE, Friedman LS, Keating NL, Kim P, Lave JR, Laveist TA, Ness RB, Optican RJ, Virnig BA. National Institutes of Health state-of-the-science conference statement: Enhancing use and quality of colorectal cancer screening. Ann Intern Med 2010; 152: 663-667 [PMID: 20388702 DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-152-10-201005180-00237]
- Lairson DR, DiCarlo M, Myers RE, Wolf T, Cocroft J, Sifri R, Rosenthal M, Vernon SW, Wender R. Cost-effectiveness of targeted and 14 tailored interventions on colorectal cancer screening use. Cancer 2008; 112: 779-788 [PMID: 18098272 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.23232]
- Elliss-Brookes L, McPhail S, Ives A, Greenslade M, Shelton J, Hiom S, Richards M. Routes to diagnosis for cancer determining the patient 15 journey using multiple routine data sets. Br J Cancer 2012; 107: 1220-1226 [PMID: 22996611 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2012.408]
- Downing A, Aravani A, Macleod U, Oliver S, Finan PJ, Thomas JD, Quirke P, Wilkinson JR, Morris EJ. Early mortality from colorectal 16 cancer in England: a retrospective observational study of the factors associated with death in the first year after diagnosis. Br J Cancer 2013; 108: 681-685 [PMID: 23287990 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2012.585]
- Center for Cancer Control and Information Services, National Cancer Center, Japan. Coding definitions of the hospital-based cancer registry in 17 designated cancer care hospitals. 2023. [cited 1 September 2023]. Available from: https://ganjoho.jp/med_pro/cancer_control/can_reg/hospital/ regulation.html
- 18 Cancer Information Service, National Cancer Center, Japan. Annual report of hospital-based cancer registries. [cited 27 January 2023]. Available from: https://jhcr-cs.ganjoho.jp/hbcrtables/
- 19 Kajiwara Saito M, Morishima T, Ma C, Koyama S, Miyashiro I. Diagnosis and treatment of digestive cancers during COVID-19 in Japan: A Cancer Registry-based Study on the Impact of COVID-19 on Cancer Care in Osaka (CanReCO). PLoS One 2022; 17: e0274918 [PMID: 36126088 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0274918]



- Center for Cancer Control, National Cancer Center, Japan. Updated Version of Evidence-based Guidelines for Colorectal Cancer Screening. 20 January 9, 2023. [cited 1 September 2023]. Available from: https://canscreen.ncc.go.jp/koukaiforum/2023/G_CRC_2023.pdf
- van de Veerdonk W, Hoeck S, Peeters M, Van Hal G, Francart J, De Brabander I. Occurrence and characteristics of faecal immunochemical 21 screen-detected cancers vs non-screen-detected cancers: Results from a Flemish colorectal cancer screening programme. United European Gastroenterol J 2020; 8: 185-194 [PMID: 32213071 DOI: 10.1177/2050640619882157]
- Gornick ME, Eggers PW, Riley GF. Associations of race, education, and patterns of preventive service use with stage of cancer at time of 22 diagnosis. Health Serv Res 2004; 39: 1403-1427 [PMID: 15333115 DOI: 10.1111/j.1475-6773.2004.00296.x]
- Nakabayashi N, Hirose M, Suzuki R, Suzumiya J, Igawa M. How asymptomatic are early cancer patients of five organs based on registry data 23 in Japan. Int J Clin Oncol 2018; 23: 999-1006 [PMID: 29785620 DOI: 10.1007/s10147-018-1287-2]
- Fleming ST, Pursley HG, Newman B, Pavlov D, Chen K. Comorbidity as a predictor of stage of illness for patients with breast cancer. Med 24 Care 2005; 43: 132-140 [PMID: 15655426 DOI: 10.1097/00005650-200502000-00006]
- 25 Zafar SY, Abernethy AP, Abbott DH, Grambow SC, Marcello JE, Herndon JE 2nd, Rowe KL, Kolimaga JT, Zullig LL, Patwardhan MB, Provenzale DT. Comorbidity, age, race and stage at diagnosis in colorectal cancer: a retrospective, parallel analysis of two health systems. BMC Cancer 2008; 8: 345 [PMID: 19032772 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-8-345]
- Taneja S, Mandayam S, Kayani ZZ, Kuo YF, Shahinian VB. Comparison of stage at diagnosis of cancer in patients who are on dialysis versus 26 the general population. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2007; 2: 1008-1013 [PMID: 17702737 DOI: 10.2215/CJN.00310107]
- Sugiyama K, Oshio T, Kuwahara S, Kimura H. Association between having a primary care physician and health behavioral intention in Japan: 27 results from a nationwide survey. BMC Prim Care 2023; 24: 280 [PMID: 38114896 DOI: 10.1186/s12875-023-02238-8]
- Tørring ML, Murchie P, Hamilton W, Vedsted P, Esteva M, Lautrup M, Winget M, Rubin G. Evidence of advanced stage colorectal cancer 28 with longer diagnostic intervals: a pooled analysis of seven primary care cohorts comprising 11 720 patients in five countries. Br J Cancer 2017; 117: 888-897 [PMID: 28787432 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2017.236]
- 29 Wang H, Roy S, Kim J, Farazi PA, Siahpush M, Su D. Barriers of colorectal cancer screening in rural USA: a systematic review. Rural Remote Health 2019; 19: 5181 [PMID: 31394041 DOI: 10.22605/RRH5181]
- 30 Swan J, Breen N, Coates RJ, Rimer BK, Lee NC. Progress in cancer screening practices in the United States: results from the 2000 National Health Interview Survey. Cancer 2003; 97: 1528-1540 [PMID: 12627518 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.11208]
- 31 Utsumi T, Horimatsu T, Nishikawa Y, Hoshino N, Takahashi Y, Goto R, Kashihara S, Fukuyoshi J, Nakayama T, Seno H. Medical costs according to the stages of colorectal cancer: an analysis of health insurance claims in Hachioji, Japan. J Gastroenterol 2021; 56: 903-913 [PMID: 34215929 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-021-01798-9]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

