Online Submissions: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/wjd@wjgnet.com doi:10.4239/wjd.v4.i4.114 World J Diabetes 2013 August 15; 4(4): 114-123 ISSN 1948-9358 (online) © 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

MINIREVIEWS

Genetics of type 2 diabetes

Omar Ali

Omar Ali, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI 53226, United States

Author contributions: Ali O reviewed the published literature and wrote the article in its entirety.

Correspondence to: Omar Ali, MD, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, 8701 W Watertown Plank Rd, WI 53226, United States. oali@mcw.edu

Telephone: +1-414-2666750 Fax: +1-414-2666749 Received: March 3, 2013 Revised: June 1, 2013

Accepted: July 18, 2013

Published online: August 15, 2013

significance of these findings is discussed and gaps in our knowledge are identified, as are avenues for future research.

Ali O. Genetics of type 2 diabetes. *World J Diabetes* 2013; 4(4): 114-123 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9358/full/v4/i4/114.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v4.i4.114

Abstract

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is the result of interaction between environmental factors and a strong hereditary component. We review the heritability of T2D as well as the history of genetic and genomic research in this area. Very few T2D risk genes were identified using candidate gene and linkage-based studies, but the advent of genome-wide association studies has led to the identification of multiple genes, including several that were not previously known to play any role in T2D. Highly replicated genes, for example TCF7L2, KCNQ1 and KCNJ11, are discussed in greater detail. Taken together, the genetic loci discovered to date explain only a small proportion of the observed heritability. We discuss possible explanations for this "missing heritability", including the role of rare variants, gene-environment interactions and epigenetics. The clinical utility of current findings and avenues of future research are also discussed.

© 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Type 2 diabetes; Genetics; TCF7L2; Genome-wide association studies; Heritability

Core tip: We review the history and the current state of knowledge regarding the genetic component of type 2 diabetes risk. Genes like TCF7L2 that have been replicated in multiple studies are discussed in detail. The

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes has been recognized as a distinct disease for over 2000 years [1] but it was not until 1935 that Hinsworth established that there were two district types of diabetes [2]. While both common types of diabetes are characterized by sustained elevations of plasma glucose levels, type 1 diabetes is an autoimmune disease that results in complete loss of the insulin-producing β -cells in the pancreatic islets, while type 2 diabetes (T2D) typically results when insulin secretion from the islets fails to keep pace with increasing insensitivity to the action of circulating insulin on its target tissues (particularly muscle, liver, and fat).

The development of T2D is the result of interaction between environmental factors and a strong hereditary component. Environmental risks factors known to impact the development of T2D include obesity, sedentary lifestyle, small or large birth weight and stress. Other nutritional factors and toxins may also play a role^[3]. These environmental factors clearly play a major role in the development of diabetes, but they do not impact everyone in the same way. Even with the same environmental exposures, some people are more susceptible to developing diabetes than others, and this increased risk appears to be inherited. But while hereditary factors clearly play a role in the development of diabetes, the actual genetic variants involved in this inherited risk were completely unknown prior to the advent of modern genetic technologies. The advance of human genetic studies in the 1980s finally made it possible to try and identify genetic loci that underlie this hereditary component. Here, we will re-



view the heritability of T2D and the various genetic loci identified to date as contributing to this heritability.

HERITABILITY OF T2D

Estimates for the heritability of T2DM range from 20%-80% and evidence for heritability comes from a variety of population, family, and twin-based studies [4,5]. The lifetime risk of developing T2D is 40% for individuals who have one parent with T2D and 70% if both parents are affected [6]. First degree relatives of individuals with T2D are about 3 times more likely to develop the disease than individuals without a positive family history of the disease^[7]. The concordance rate in monozygotic twins is about 70% whereas the concordance in dizygotic twins has been observed to be only 20%-30% [8]. The observed familial risk is higher when studies are restricted to parents in the 35-60 year age range, indicating the greater role played by environmental factors in those who develop diabetes late in life^[9]. It should be noted that a significant proportion of this heritability reflects heritability of obesity rather than diabetes, obesity being a major driver of T2D in every population.

This familial clustering of T2DM risk found in various family studies is not entirely due to genetic factors. Epigenetic processes can produce inherited risk over one or several generations, intrauterine and pregnancy related factors can impact the risk of siblings, and shared environment can be hard to control for in many such studies. Thus the genetic component of T2D may turn out to be less than what was estimated in older studies.

GENETIC ARCHITECTURE OF T2DM DISEASE RISK

The detailed genetic architecture of T2D risk has not yet been precisely defined. A relatively small percentage (5% or less) of non-autoimmune diabetes is due to monogenic causes and is classified as monogenic diabetes of the young or MODY (previously referred to as maturity onset diabetes of the young). These cases are understood to be caused by single genes of high penetrance, of which mutations in the Hepatocyte nuclear factor-1A (HNF1A) and the glucokinase (GCK) gene are the most common^[10]. These forms of diabetes are sometimes misdiagnosed as T2D but clinically they are distinct diseases. They will not be considered further in this review but it should be kept in mind that the boundaries between polygenic and monogenic forms are not always sharply defined at the genetic level. Polymorphisms in genes involved in monogenic forms of diabetes also play a role in polygenic T2D^[11].

T2D itself is thought to be a polygenic disorder that develops due to complex interaction between multiple genes and environmental factors. How these genes interact with each other and with the environment to produce T2D is still poorly understood. Unlike T1D, where the genetic risk is mostly concentrated in the HLA region,

the genetic component of T2D risk is not concentrated in one region and appears to be the result of the interaction of multiple genes scattered all across the genome. It is possible; even likely, that the genetic component of T2D is due to multiple common genetic variants of small effect (common disease common variant hypothesis) but this is by no means certain and it may turn out that the effect is due to multiple rare variants or even a few rare variants of large effect^[12-14].

IDENTIFICATION OF DIABETES RISK GENES

Linkage studies

Linkage is the tendency for genes and other genetic markers to be inherited together because of their location near one another on the same chromosome. While linkage analysis is simple in principle, it has relatively poor resolution as only a few hundred markers were usually genotyped across the genome, and the regions identified by linkage could include millions of base pairs and hundreds of genes. While these methods were quite successful in detecting rare variants of large effect (e.g., classical single gene disorders), they proved relatively unsuccessful in identifying genes that are involved in complex polygenic disorders. These studies only revealed two genes, calpain 10 (CAPN10) and transcription factor 7-like 2 (T-cell specific, HMG-box) (TCF7L2) that were reliably identified as being associated with T2D.

CAPN10: CAPN10 encodes a cysteine protease that is part of the calpain family, a large family of ubiquitously expressed genes that play multiple roles in intracellular remodeling, post-receptor signaling and other intracellular functions. It became the first T2D gene to be discovered by linkage analysis when a locus on chromosome 2 was associated with T2D in 1996^[15]. Initially the locus was labeled NIDDM1 but the gene (or genes) involved were not identified. In 2000 the causative gene was finally identified as CAPN10^[16]. Subsequent studies did not always confirm this finding but larger meta-analyses have shown that variants in CAPN10 are likely to be truly associated with T2D^[17]. At this time the function of this gene in glucose metabolism remains unknown and its link to T2D, while confirmed in several populations, is not always consistent^[18-20].

TCF7L2: *TCF7L2* was discovered as a T2D susceptibility gene after a strong linkage signal was mapped to chromosome 10q in a Mexican-American population^[21]. This region was later fine-mapped in the Icelandic population and confirmed in United States and Danish cohorts, where the risk locus was found to be located in intron 3 of the *TCF7L2* gene^[22]. The association between T2D and a number of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the *TCF7L2* gene has since been strongly confirmed in multiple Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in different ethnic groups and this gene remains the most



replicated and most strongly associated T2D risk gene at this time^[23]. We will discuss this gene further in the GWAS section of this review.

Candidate gene studies

In candidate gene studies, genes already suspected of playing a role in the pathogenesis of T2D were studied through focused sequencing efforts. The usual strategy was to focus on genes already known to be involved in glucose metabolism, insulin secretion, insulin receptors, post-receptor signaling and lipid metabolism. Somewhat to the surprise of investigators, most of the genes known to be involved in insulin secretion and action were not found to be associated with T2D in the population. The relatively few genes that were found to be associated with T2D include peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARG), insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1) and IRS-2, potassium inwardly-rectifying channel, subfamily J, member 11 (KCNJ11), Wolfram syndrome 1 (wolframin) (WFS1), HNF1 homeobox A (HNF1A), HNF1 homeobox B (HNF1B) and HNF4A. Other genes including RAPGEF1 and TP53 were identified using an algorithm that prioritizes candidate genes for complex human traits based on trait-relevant functional annotation but have not been consistently replicated in later studies^[24].

PPARG: PPARG gene was an attractive candidate gene for T2D because it encodes the molecular target of thiazolidenediones, a commonly used class of anti-diabetic medications. It was found that a proline to arginine change at position 12 in the PPARG gene led to a 20% increase in the risk of diabetes. This finding has since been confirmed in some other populations and other polymorphisms in this gene have been found to play a role in some cases of diabetes^[25]. Even so, the significance of these mutations was not replicated in all populations and the contribution of these polymorphisms to the worldwide prevalence of diabetes remains low^[26,27].

IRS1 and *IRS-2*: Insulin receptor substrate *IRS-1* and *IRS-2* genes encode peptides that play an important role in insulin signal transduction. Polymorphisms in these genes were found to be associated with decreased insulin sensitivity in some populations^[28,29] but as with other candidate genes, the role played by these polymorphisms in the global burden of diabetes and related insulin-resistance disorders like PCOS remains small.

KCNJ11: *KCNJ11* gene encodes the Kir6.2 ATP-sensitive potassium channel that plays an important role in the regulation of insulin secretion by beta cells. Activating mutations in this gene are a well-established cause of neonatal diabetes. A missense polymorphism in KCNJ11 was found to be associated with T2D and confirmed in subsequent studies^[30]. The odds ratio of developing T2D is about 1.2 in carriers of the risk allele and this allele was also found to be associated with decreased insulin secretion in different populations^[31-33].

WFS-1: WFS-1 gene encodes Wolframin, a protein that is defective in individuals suffering from the Wolfram syndrome (characterized by diabetes insipidus, juvenile diabetes, optic atrophy, and deafness). WFS1 gene appears to be involved in beta cell function and 2 SNPs in WFS-1 were found to be significantly associated with T2D in a large case-control study involving about 24000 samples^[34]. This was subsequently confirmed in other studies in different populations^[35]. These studies provided evidence that beta cell dysfunction plays a critical role in the development of T2D and pointed out novel genes that play a previously unknown role in beta cell survival and function, but their role in the global burden of diabetes remains minor.

HNF1A, HNF1B and HNF4A: HNF1A, HNF1B and HNF4A are all known MODY genes (i.e., genes that harbor rare high penetrance mutations that cause monogenic diabetes of the young). These genes play a role in the development of the liver, in the regulation of hepatic metabolic functions, and in the development and functioning of beta cells. Variants in these genes that do not lead to MODY have been found to be associated with decreased insulin secretion and an increase in the risk of T2D in various populations, but as with other candidate genes, their role in worldwide diabetes prevalence appears to be relatively small [36-38].

Genome wide association studies

Candidate gene studies and linkage analysis identified a few T2D risk genes, but their overall contribution to the observed heritability of T2D remained small and it was clear that other techniques were needed to look for variants that were not easily identified by these methods. With the development of high-throughput SNP genotyping technology and the availability of Hapmap data, it became possible to scan hundreds of thousands of SNPs that were in linkage disequilibrium with millions of SNPs across the genome. TCF7L2, already identified via linkage studies, was the most significant and most replicated signal found in GWAS studies, but these studies also helped to identify scores of other genetic loci that appear to be linked to T2D^[39]. Over the last 6 years, the number of known T2D variants has risen to over 60; including confirmation of variants identified earlier by candidate gene and linkage studies. While most studies have focused on Europid populations, this is being rectified as more studies of Asian, African and other populations become

Since obesity is a major contributor to the development of T2D, genes that increase the risk of obesity also show up in GWAS for T2D. These include some frequently replicated genes include like FTO and MC4R; these genes seem to primarily impact obesity risk and effect T2D risk mostly *via* their effect on obesity (though FTO may have a small but detectable influence on T2D risk independent of the risk of obesity). Here we will focus on genes that specifically increase the risk of T2D,

independent of obesity. The most important of these include

TCF7L2: This remains the most significant and consistently replicated gene linked to T2D. It was initially discovered by linkage studies, then confirmed in the very first large-scale GWAS study conducted in a French population by Sladek *et al*^{40]}. This publication was followed in quick succession by several other major GWAS paper, including the landmark Welcome Trust study that genotyped 2000 individuals with T2D along with 3000 controls and found that TCF7L2 was the most robust T2D signal, with an odds ratio of 1.36 for carriers heterozygous for the risk allele^[41]. This finding was then replicated in almost every human population studied^[42-48] and remains the most robust T2D risk gene identified to date. Carriers of the various identified risk alleles have an OR of 1.4^[49] and homozygotes may have an OR of 2.5.

TCF7L2 encodes a transcription factor that is a member of the Wnt signaling pathway and is known to be active in the beta cells. Studies in multiple ethnicities indicate that the risk allele is present in intron 3 of the TC-F7L2 gene. An early investigation by Lyssenko et al^[50] revealed that the risk alleles increased the level of TCF7L2 protein in beta cells and was associated with impaired insulin secretion, incretin effects and enhanced rate of hepatic glucose production. TCF7L2 expression in human islets was increased 5-fold in T2D, particularly in homozygotes and overexpression of TCF7L2 in human islets reduced glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. These findings were replicated in several subsequent studies, indicating that TCF7L2 probably plays a role in causation of T2D by decreasing insulin secretion from beta cells, perhaps by altering the action of incretins that modulate the insulin response to meals^[51,52]. Other studies indicate that alternative splicing of this gene can lead to the production of different isoforms in different tissues and the presence of specific isoforms in adipose tissue may be related to insulin sensitivity in that tissue^[53,54]. It is also possible that T2D risk is conferred by multiple mechanisms, including decreased beta cell insulin response and decreased insulin sensitivity in target tissues like adipose tissue. A recent murine study shows that, at least in mice, when TCF7L2 is knocked out in liver cells it leads to hypoglycemia and when it is overexpressed it causes hyperglycemia, but there is no effect when it is knocked out in the beta cells^[55]. This indicates that the liver may also be an important site where TCF7L2 variants influence glucose metabolism. Finally, there are indications that this gene may play a role in cancer as well as in diabetes [56,57]. Thus, the discovery of its association with diabetes has opened up several new avenues of research and should eventually lead to the characterization of previously unknown physiological mechanisms that play a role in both diabetes and cancer.

HHEX: hematopoietically expressed homeobox (*HHEX*) While TCF7L2 remains the strongest T2D signal in

GWAS studies from across the globe, several other genes have been repeatedly identified in different populations as being associated with T2D. HHEX was identified as one such gene in multiple studies in both Caucasian and Asian populations^[58]. Located on chromosome 10q, this gene is also a member of the homeobox family and encodes a transcription factor involved in Wnt signaling. Risk alleles appear to confer an OR of developing T2D of 1.5. The mechanism by which this gene confers diabetes risk remains poorly understood.

SLC30A8: Solute carrier family 30 (zinc transporter), member 8 (*SLC30A8*). This gene encodes for a protein that is involved in the storage and secretion of insulin granules and that is expressed at a high level only in the pancreas, particularly in the islets of Langerhans^[59]. This provides an obvious mechanism by which it may be involved in conferring T2D risk and this association has been replicated in multiple studies in different populations^[60-62]. Interestingly, this gene has also been found to be associated with the development and progression of type 1 diabetes^[63] though this has not been confirmed in all studies^[64].

CDKN2A/B: Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A/ B (CDKN2A/B). SNPs located upstream of the CD-KN2A2B genes have been associated with the risk of T2D in multiple large GWAS. These genes are located on chromosome 9p21 and generate several transcript variants. At least three alternatively spliced variants of CDKN2A encoding distinct proteins have been reported, two of which are known to function as inhibitors of CDK4 kinase. CDKN2B is also located in the same region and generates at least 2 splice variants. Both genes are important cell cycle regulators with a role in tumor suppression. This region was found to be associated with T2D in multiple GWAS studies in different populations and it is estimated that the risk alleles confer an odds ratio for development of T2D of between 1.2 and 1.5^[65]. How variations in these genes alter diabetes risk remains unclear but recent research points to a role in insulin secretion rather than insulin action [66]. These variants also show up in GWAS for cardiovascular disease, in particular for atherosclerosis, but the mechanism underlying this association remains unknown[67].

IGF2BP2: insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA binding protein 2 (*IGF2BP2*) encodes a protein that binds to the 5' UTR of the insulin-like growth factor 2 (*IGF2*) mRNA and thereby regulates *IGF2* translation. Alternate transcriptional splice variants, encoding different isoforms, have been characterized^[68]. This gene has been found to be associated with T2D risk in multiple GWAS^[69,70]. As with other variants like HHEX and CDKN2A/B, it may play a role in beta cell function^[65] but the mechanism by which it influences T2D risk remains largely unknown.

Other genes linked to T2D risk include CDKAL1 (CDK5 regulatory subunit associated protein 1-like 1),



Table 1 Thirty-eight genetic variants associated with type 2 diabetes at genome-wide significance

| PROX1 1 0.5 1.07 (1.05-1.05 IRS1 2 0.61 1.19 (1.13-1.25 THADA 2 0.92 1.15 (1.10-1.20 RBMS1/ITGB6 2 0.57 1.11 (1.08-1.16 BCL11A 2 0.46 1.08 (1.06-1.10 GCKR 2 0.62 1.06 (1.04-1.08 IGF2BP2 3 0.29 1.17 (1.10-1.25 PPARG 3 0.92 1.14 (1.08-1.26 ADCY5 3 0.78 1.12 (1.09-1.15 ADAMTS9 3 0.81 1.09 (1.06-1.12 WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.18 ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.17 CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16 JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13 GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.10 KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.10 DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08 SLC30A8 8 | Locus | Chr | Risk allele frequency | OR (95%CI) |
|--|---------------|-----|-----------------------|------------------|
| IRS1 2 0.61 1.19 (1.13-1.2) THADA 2 0.92 1.15 (1.10-1.2) RBMS1/ITGB6 2 0.57 1.11 (1.08-1.1) BCL11A 2 0.46 1.08 (1.06-1.1) GCKR 2 0.62 1.06 (1.04-1.0) IGF2BP2 3 0.29 1.17 (1.10-1.2) PPARG 3 0.92 1.14 (1.08-1.2) ADCY5 3 0.78 1.12 (1.09-1.1) ADAMTS9 3 0.81 1.09 (1.06-1.1) WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.1) ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.1) CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.1) JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.1) GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.1) KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.1) DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.0) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.1) TCF7L2 10 | NOTCH2 | 1 | 0.11 | 1.13 (1.08-1.17) |
| THADA 2 0.92 1.15 (1.10-1.20) RBMS1/ITGB6 2 0.57 1.11 (1.08-1.16) BCL11A 2 0.46 1.08 (1.06-1.10) GCKR 2 0.62 1.06 (1.04-1.08) IGF2BP2 3 0.29 1.17 (1.10-1.22) PPARG 3 0.92 1.14 (1.08-1.20) ADCY5 3 0.78 1.12 (1.09-1.15) ADAMTS9 3 0.81 1.09 (1.06-1.12) WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.18) ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.12) CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16) JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13) GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.10) KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.10) DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.03) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47) THE4 | PROX1 | 1 | 0.5 | 1.07 (1.05-1.09) |
| RBMS1/ITGB6 2 0.57 1.11 (1.08-1.16 BCL11A 2 0.46 1.08 (1.06-1.10 GCKR 2 0.62 1.06 (1.04-1.08 IGF2BP2 3 0.29 1.17 (1.10-1.22 PPARG 3 0.92 1.14 (1.08-1.20 ADCY5 3 0.78 1.12 (1.09-1.13 ADAMTS9 3 0.81 1.09 (1.06-1.12 WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.18 ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.12 CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16 JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13 GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.16 KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.16 DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08 SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16 CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25 TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47 HHEX 10 | IRS1 | 2 | 0.61 | 1.19 (1.13-1.25) |
| BCL111A 2 0.46 1.08 (1.06-1.16 GCKR 2 0.62 1.06 (1.04-1.08 IGF2BP2 3 0.29 1.17 (1.10-1.22 PPARG 3 0.92 1.14 (1.08-1.20 ADCY5 3 0.78 1.12 (1.09-1.13 ADAMTS9 3 0.81 1.09 (1.06-1.12 WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.18 ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.17 CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16 JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13 GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.16 KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.16 DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08 SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16 SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16 CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25 TCF1L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47 HHEX 10 | THADA | 2 | 0.92 | 1.15 (1.10-1.20) |
| GCKR 2 0.62 1.06 (1.04-1.08) IGF2BP2 3 0.29 1.17 (1.10-1.22) PPARG 3 0.92 1.14 (1.08-1.20) ADCY5 3 0.78 1.12 (1.09-1.15) ADAMTS9 3 0.81 1.09 (1.06-1.12) WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.18) ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.17) CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16) JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13) GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.16) KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.16) DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16) TDS3INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.08) CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.28) TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.18) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47) HHEX | RBMS1/ITGB6 | 2 | 0.57 | 1.11 (1.08-1.16) |
| IGF2BP2 3 0.29 1.17 (1.10-1.25) PPARG 3 0.92 1.14 (1.08-1.26) ADCY5 3 0.78 1.12 (1.09-1.15) ADAMTS9 3 0.81 1.09 (1.06-1.12) WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.18) ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.17) CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16) JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13) GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.16) KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.16) DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16) TDF3INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.08) CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.28) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47) HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.17) CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14) KCNQ1 </td <td>BCL11A</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.46</td> <td>1.08 (1.06-1.10)</td> | BCL11A | 2 | 0.46 | 1.08 (1.06-1.10) |
| PPARG 3 0.92 1.14 (1.08-1.20) ADCY5 3 0.78 1.12 (1.09-1.15) ADAMTS9 3 0.81 1.09 (1.06-1.12) WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.18) ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.17) CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16) JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13) GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.16) KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.16) DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16) TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.08) CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.28) TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.18) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47) HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.17) CCDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14) KCNQ1 <td>GCKR</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.62</td> <td>1.06 (1.04-1.08)</td> | GCKR | 2 | 0.62 | 1.06 (1.04-1.08) |
| ADCY5 3 0.78 1.12 (1.09-1.15 ADAMTS9 3 0.81 1.09 (1.06-1.12 WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.18 ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.12 CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16 JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13 GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.16 KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.16 DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08 SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16 TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.08 CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25 TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.18 TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.42 HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.17 CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.18 KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.43 KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.43 KCNQ1 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.17 MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12 MTNR1B 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.12 HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14 TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12 | IGF2BP2 | 3 | 0.29 | 1.17 (1.10-1.25) |
| ADAMTS9 3 0.81 1.09 (1.06-1.12) WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.18) ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.12) CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16) JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13) GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.16) KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.16) DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16) TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.08) CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25) TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.15) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47) HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.17) CDC123/CAMKID 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14) KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.47) KCNI11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.27) MT | PPARG | 3 | 0.92 | 1.14 (1.08-1.20) |
| WFS1 4 0.27 1.13 (1.07-1.18 ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.12 CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16 JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13 GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.10 KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.10 DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08 SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16 TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.08 CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25 TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.15 TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47 HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.17 CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14 KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.47 KCNQ1 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.27 MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12 MTNR1B 11< | ADCY5 | 3 | 0.78 | 1.12 (1.09-1.15) |
| ZBED3 5 0.26 1.08 (1.06-1.12) CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.14) JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13) GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.16) KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.16) DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16) TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.09) CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25) TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.15) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.42) HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.12) CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14) KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.42) KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.22) CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.12) MTNR1B 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.12) <td< td=""><td>ADAMTS9</td><td>3</td><td>0.81</td><td>1.09 (1.06-1.12)</td></td<> | ADAMTS9 | 3 | 0.81 | 1.09 (1.06-1.12) |
| CDKAL1 6 0.31 1.12 (1.08-1.16) JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13) GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.16) KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.16) DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16) TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.09) CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25) TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.15) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47) HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.17) CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14) KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.47) KCNQ1 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.17) MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12) KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.10) HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14) TSPAN8/ | WFS1 | 4 | 0.27 | 1.13 (1.07-1.18) |
| JAZF1 7 0.52 1.10 (1.07-1.13) GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.10) KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.10) DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.10) TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.09) CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.20) TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.13) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.42) HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.12) CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14) KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.42) KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.22) CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.12) MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12) KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.12) HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14) | ZBED3 | 5 | 0.26 | 1.08 (1.06-1.11) |
| GCK 7 0.2 1.07 (1.05-1.10 (1.05-1.10 (1.05-1.10 (1.05-1.10 (1.05-1.10 (1.04-1.08 (1.04-1.08 (1.04-1.08 (1.04-1.08 (1.04-1.08 (1.04-1.08 (1.04-1.08 (1.04-1.09 (1.04-1.10 | CDKAL1 | 6 | 0.31 | 1.12 (1.08-1.16) |
| KLF14 7 0.55 1.07 (1.05-1.10 d) DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08 d) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16 d) TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.09 d) CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25 d) TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.15 d) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47 d) HHEX 10 0.56 d 1.13 (1.08-1.17 d) CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 d 1.11 (1.07-1.14 d) KCNQ1 11 0.61 d 1.40 (1.34-1.47 d) KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 d 0.5 d 1.15 (1.09-1.25 d) CENTD2 11 d 0.88 d 1.14 (1.11-1.17 d) MTNR1B 11 d 0.3 d 1.09 (1.06-1.12 d) KCNQ1 11 d 0.52 d 1.08 (1.06-1.10 d) HMGA2 12 d 0.1 d 1.10 (1.07-1.14 d) TSPAN8/LGR5 12 d 0.23 d 1.09 (1.06-1.12 d) OASL/HNF1A 12 d | JAZF1 | 7 | 0.52 | 1.10 (1.07-1.13) |
| DGKB/TMEM195 7 0.47 1.06 (1.04-1.08) SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16) TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.09) CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25) TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.15) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47) HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.17) CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14) KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.47) KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.25) CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.17) MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12) KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.12) HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14) TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12) OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10) | GCK | 7 | 0.2 | 1.07 (1.05-1.10) |
| SLC30A8 8 0.75 1.12 (1.07-1.16 TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.09 CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25 TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.15 TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.47 HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.17 CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14 KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.47 KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.27 CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.17 MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12 KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.12 HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14 TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12 OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.16 | KLF14 | 7 | 0.55 | 1.07 (1.05-1.10) |
| TP53INP1 8 0.48 1.06 (1.04-1.09 CDKN2A/B) 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25 CDKN2A/B) 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.25 CDKN2A/B) 1.11 (1.07-1.15 CDKN2A/B) 1.11 (1.07-1.15 CDKN2A/B) 1.13 (1.08-1.17 CDKN2A/B) 1.13 (1.08-1.17 CDKN2A/B) 1.13 (1.08-1.17 CDKN2A/B) 1.11 (1.07-1.14 CDKN2A/B) 1.11 (1.07-1.14 CDKN2A/B) 1.11 (1.07-1.14 CDKN2A/B) 1.12 (1.09-1.25 CDKN2A/B) 1.15 (1.09-1.15 CDKN2A/B) 1.15 (1.07-1.15 CDKN2A/B) 1.15 (1.07-1.15 CDKN2A/B) 1.06 (1.06-1.15 CDKN2A/B) 1.07 (1.07-1.15 CDKN2A/B) | DGKB/TMEM195 | 7 | 0.47 | 1.06 (1.04-1.08) |
| CDKN2A/B 9 0.79 1.20 (1.14-1.2) TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.1) TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.4) HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.1) CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14) KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.4) KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.2) CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.1) MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.1) KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.1) HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14) TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12) OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10) | SLC30A8 | 8 | 0.75 | 1.12 (1.07-1.16) |
| TLE4 9 0.93 1.11 (1.07-1.15 TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.44 HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.12 CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14 KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.42 KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.22 CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.17 MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12 KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.10 HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14 TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12 OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10 | TP53INP1 | 8 | 0.48 | 1.06 (1.04-1.09) |
| TCF7L2 10 0.25 1.37 (1.28-1.4' HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.1' CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14' KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.4' KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.2' CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.1' MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.1' KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.10' HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14' TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12' OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10' | CDKN2A/B | 9 | 0.79 | 1.20 (1.14-1.25) |
| HHEX 10 0.56 1.13 (1.08-1.12 CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14 KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.42 KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.22 CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.12 MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12 KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.10 HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14 TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12 OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10 | TLE4 | 9 | 0.93 | 1.11 (1.07-1.15) |
| CDC123/CAMK1D 10 0.23 1.11 (1.07-1.14 KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.47 KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.27 CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.17 MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12 KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.10 HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14 TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12 OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10 | TCF7L2 | 10 | 0.25 | 1.37 (1.28-1.47) |
| KCNQ1 11 0.61 1.40 (1.34-1.42) KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.22) CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.12) MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12) KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.12) HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14) TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12) OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10) | HHEX | 10 | 0.56 | 1.13 (1.08-1.17) |
| KCNJ11/ABCC8 11 0.5 1.15 (1.09-1.2) CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.1) MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.1) KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.1) HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14) TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12) OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10) | CDC123/CAMK1D | 10 | 0.23 | 1.11 (1.07-1.14) |
| CENTD2 11 0.88 1.14 (1.11-1.17 MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12 KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.10 HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14 TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12 OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10 | KCNQ1 | 11 | 0.61 | 1.40 (1.34-1.47) |
| MTNR1B 11 0.3 1.09 (1.06-1.12 KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.10 HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14 TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12 OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10 | KCNJ11/ABCC8 | 11 | 0.5 | 1.15 (1.09-1.21) |
| KCNQ1 11 0.52 1.08 (1.06-1.10) HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14) TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12) OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10) | CENTD2 | 11 | 0.88 | 1.14 (1.11-1.17) |
| HMGA2 12 0.1 1.10 (1.07-1.14) TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12) OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10) | MTNR1B | 11 | 0.3 | 1.09 (1.06-1.12) |
| TSPAN8/LGR5 12 0.23 1.09 (1.06-1.12 or 1.05) OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.16 or 1.05) | KCNQ1 | 11 | 0.52 | 1.08 (1.06-1.10) |
| OASL/HNF1A 12 0.85 1.07 (1.05-1.10 | | 12 | 0.1 | 1.10 (1.07-1.14) |
| , | TSPAN8/LGR5 | 12 | 0.23 | 1.09 (1.06-1.12) |
| PD 04 | OASL/HNF1A | 12 | 0.85 | 1.07 (1.05-1.10) |
| PRCT 15 0.22 1.07 (1.05-1.09) | PRC1 | 15 | 0.22 | 1.07 (1.05-1.09) |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ZFAND6 | 15 | 0.56 | 1.06 (1.04-1.08) |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | FTO | 16 | 0.45 | 1.15 (1.09-1.22) |
| HNF1B 17 0.43 1.12 (1.07-1.18 | HNF1B | 17 | 0.43 | 1.12 (1.07-1.18) |
| DUSP9 X 0.12 1.27 (1.18-1.37 | DUSP9 | X | 0.12 | 1.27 (1.18-1.37) |

Modified from Florez et al^[71].

HMGA2 (high mobility group AT-hook 2), KCNQ11 (potassium voltage gated channel, KQT like subfamily, member 1) and NOTCH2-ADAM30 (Notch 2-ADAM metallopeptidase domain 30). Their exact role in the pathophysiology of T2D remains mostly unknown. A list of these and other variants is given below in Table 1.

As can be seen in Table 1, the odds ratios for individual risk alleles are generally less than 1.3 (TCF7L2 and KCNQ1 being the most prominent exceptions) and it has been estimated that all the risk alleles identified to date can only explain about 10% of the observed heritability of T2D. Thus these alleles cannot be used to estimate the genetic risk of developing T2D in an individual patient with any degree of certainty since a simple family history will be much more informative than a detailed genotype at this point. But the discovery of these genes has opened entirely new avenues in our quest to understand the regulation of glucose metabolism and the development of T2D. For example, prior to these genetic

studies, no one could have predicted that *TCF7L2* plays any role in glucose regulation. But initially *via* linkage studies, and then in multiple GWAS, it has been shown to be the single most significantly associated diabetes risk gene in the world. This has led to intensive investigation of its physiological role and though those investigations are at an early stage, it is hoped that they will eventually yield a new and more complete understanding of the mechanisms that regulate insulin secretion and action and whose alteration may lead to an increased risk for T2D. That in turn may lead to the identification of new drug targets, diagnostic tests, and targeted therapies (pharmacogenomics).

What do these genes do?

The fact that many of these genes are active in beta cells or may be involved in insulin secretion support the notion that beta cell dysfunction is a crucial final step on the path to diabetes [72,73]. Very few of these genes seem to play a role in insulin sensitivity (though that may change as more information becomes available) and genes involved in the insulin signaling pathway rarely show up in T2D GWAS studies. When indices of beta-cell function (HOMA-B) and insulin sensitivity (HOMA-IR) derived from paired fasting glucose and insulin measures from 37000 individuals were used to try and identify the function most affected by various T2D risk genes, it was found that risk alleles at ten loci (MTNR1B, SLC30A8, THADA, TCF7L2, KCNQ1, CAMK1D, CDKAL1, IGF2BP2, HNF1B and CENTD2) were associated (P < P)0.05) with reduced beta-cell function, and only three loci (PPARG, FTO and KLF14) were associated with reduced insulin sensitivity[74].

It is possible that this may be because rare variants have a greater impact on insulin sensitivity or because environmental factors play a greater role in altering insulin sensitivity and thus swamp underlying genetic variation in risk. Still, this finding was not expected when candidate gene studies were initiated and shows how agnostic high throughput methods like GWAS can help to generate novel hypotheses and illuminate new aspects of biology. Some of the genes found to be associated with T2D also appear to be linked to dyslipidemia, atherosclerotic heart disease and cancer and it is possible that as we learn more about the role of these genes, we may be able to understand more about the relationship between T2D and other components of the metabolic syndrome as well as cancer [71].

Gene-environment interactions: It is abundantly clear that the risk of developing T2D is heavily influenced by environmental factors. Since our genetic code does not change significantly in one or two generations, the recent secular trend in diabetes must be due mostly to changes in the environment. Increased adiposity is the single most significant factor in the development of T2D and the epidemics of obesity and T2D largely parallel one another. The increasing prevalence of obesity is thought

to be related primarily to changes in dietary habits and our increasingly sedentary lifestyle, though other factors (including toxins and infectious agents) may play a role. Genes may influence the risk of diabetes not only by directly altering insulin action or secretion, but also by altering how any given individual interacts with these environmental factors. Even within the same broad environment, individuals vary greatly in their adoption of unhealthy lifestyles and their willingness to change such lifestyles. By influencing who adopts a more unhealthy diet (this includes genetic influence on taste and food preferences), who exhibits greater willingness to change unhealthy behaviors^[75], who burns more calories at rest, who exhibits greater activity levels when not actively exercising, what kind of microbiome an individual carries, and who opts for a more sedentary lifestyle, genetic factors can play a role in determining who becomes obese or develops diabetes in any given environment^[76]. These gene-environment interactions may be extremely complex and may be one reason why such a small proportion of the heritability of T2D has been explained at this time^[77].

Epigenetics

Epigenetics refers to heritable changes in gene function that occur without a change in nucleotide sequence. Mechanisms like DNA-methylation, histone acetylation and non-coding RNAs are used by the cell to regulate gene expression in response to environmental cues and can persist for an individual's lifetime and can be passed on over 2-3 generations^[78]. It is well known that the maternal environment and early infancy can alter the lifelong risk of chronic diseases. For example, infants who are born small for gestational age are at an increased risk for the development of obesity and T2D as adults. Some or most of this risk may be due to epigenetic changes in critical genes and animal experiments^[79] and initial human studies suggest that such mechanisms may indeed explain the impact of intrauterine nutrition and birth weight of future risk of diabetes, obesity and metabolic syndrome [80]. It is thus possible that some of the observed heritability of T2D is due to epigenetic changes during intra-uterine life that are the result of maternal environmental influences, rather than inherited variations in the DNA sequence. As our understanding of epigenetics advances and as the ability to profile genome-wide DNA methylation and other epigenetic mechanisms becomes more widely used, we are likely to see important discoveries regarding the epigenetic changes that alter the risk of T2D. Epigenetic profiling may also help to identify novel genes that play a role in the pathogenesis of T2D just as GWAS led to the identification of multiple genes that were previously unsuspected of having a role in diabetes.

Risk prediction based on genetic information

While we know that a person's future risk of developing T2D has a significant heritable component and believe that most of this inherited risk is associated with particu-

lar genotypic features (in most cases, multiple variants of small effect?), and have identified several risk variants in genome-wide association studies, these variants still explain a relatively small proportion of the observed heritability. Several studies have found that a risk score based on traditional risk factors (BMI, family history, age, sex, HDL, triglycerides, *etc.*) consistently outperforms any set of genetic markers and the addition of known genetic markers does not significantly improve prediction based on traditional risk factors^[81-83].

This indicates that our current state of knowledge regarding specific genetic markers is still incomplete and fails to explain most of the inherited risk. But as more data becomes available and better statistical techniques are applied to analyze gene-gene and gene-environment interactions, this predictive ability is likely to improve^[84]. Even before that happens, these genetic discoveries have already provided important new insights into the pathophysiology of T2D and as the physiologic role of these genes in glucose regulation becomes clearer, these discoveries can be expected to lead to better diagnostic and therapeutic tools. Potential applications are not limited to better risk prediction, new drug targets and better targeted drug therapy; some time in the future when our technologies have improved far beyond current levels, they may include the ability to alter the risk of diabetes using genetherapy or epigenetic reprogramming.

REFERENCES

- King KM, Rubin G. A history of diabetes: from antiquity to discovering insulin. Br J Nurs 2003; 12: 1091-1095 [PMID: 14581842]
- 2 Das AK, Shah S. History of diabetes: from ants to analogs. J Assoc Physicians India 2011; 59 Suppl: 6-7 [PMID: 21818991]
- 3 Adeghate E, Schattner P, Dunn E. An update on the etiology and epidemiology of diabetes mellitus. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2006; 1084: 1-29 [PMID: 17151290 DOI: 10.1196/annals.1372.029]
- 4 Meigs JB, Cupples LA, Wilson PW. Parental transmission of type 2 diabetes: the Framingham Offspring Study. *Diabetes* 2000; 49: 2201-2207 [PMID: 11118026 DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.49.12.2201]
- Poulsen P, Kyvik KO, Vaag A, Beck-Nielsen H. Heritability of type II (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus and abnormal glucose tolerance--a population-based twin study. Diabetologia 1999; 42: 139-145 [PMID: 10064092 DOI: 10.1007/s001250051131]
- 6 Tillil H, Köbberling J. Age-corrected empirical genetic risk estimates for first-degree relatives of IDDM patients. *Diabetes* 1987; 36: 93-99 [PMID: 3792666]
- Florez JC, Hirschhorn J, Altshuler D. The inherited basis of diabetes mellitus: implications for the genetic analysis of complex traits. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2003; 4: 257-291 [PMID: 14527304 DOI: 10.1146/annurev.genom.4.070802.110436]
- Kaprio J, Tuomilehto J, Koskenvuo M, Romanov K, Reunanen A, Eriksson J, Stengård J, Kesäniemi YA. Concordance for type 1 (insulin-dependent) and type 2 (noninsulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus in a population-based cohort of twins in Finland. *Diabetologia* 1992; 35: 1060-1067 [PMID: 1473616 DOI: 10.1007/BF02221682]
- 9 Almgren P, Lehtovirta M, Isomaa B, Sarelin L, Taskinen MR, Lyssenko V, Tuomi T, Groop L. Heritability and familiality



- of type 2 diabetes and related quantitative traits in the Botnia Study. *Diabetologia* 2011; **54**: 2811-2819 [PMID: 21826484 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-011-2267-5]
- 10 Vaxillaire M, Froguel P. Monogenic diabetes in the young, pharmacogenetics and relevance to multifactorial forms of type 2 diabetes. *Endocr Rev* 2008; 29: 254-264 [PMID: 18436708 DOI: 10.1210/er.2007-0024]
- 11 Vaxillaire M, Bonnefond A, Froguel P. The lessons of early-onset monogenic diabetes for the understanding of diabetes pathogenesis. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012; 26: 171-187 [PMID: 22498247 DOI: 10.1016/j.beem.2011.12.001]
- 12 Gibson G. Rare and common variants: twenty arguments. Nat Rev Genet 2011; 13: 135-145 [PMID: 22251874 DOI: 10.1038/nrg3118]
- 13 **Stranger BE**, Stahl EA, Raj T. Progress and promise of genome-wide association studies for human complex trait genetics. *Genetics* 2011; **187**: 367-383 [PMID: 21115973 DOI: 10.1534/genetics.110.120907]
- 14 Queitsch C, Carlson KD, Girirajan S. Lessons from model organisms: phenotypic robustness and missing heritability in complex disease. *PLoS Genet* 2012; 8: e1003041 [PMID: 23166511 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003041]
- Hanis CL, Boerwinkle E, Chakraborty R, Ellsworth DL, Concannon P, Stirling B, Morrison VA, Wapelhorst B, Spielman RS, Gogolin-Ewens KJ, Shepard JM, Williams SR, Risch N, Hinds D, Iwasaki N, Ogata M, Omori Y, Petzold C, Rietzch H, Schröder HE, Schulze J, Cox NJ, Menzel S, Boriraj VV, Chen X, Lim LR, Lindner T, Mereu LE, Wang YQ, Xiang K, Yamagata K, Yang Y, Bell GI. A genome-wide search for human non-insulin-dependent (type 2) diabetes genes reveals a major susceptibility locus on chromosome 2. Nat Genet 1996; 13: 161-166 [PMID: 8640221 DOI: 10.1038/ng0696-161]
- Horikawa Y, Oda N, Cox NJ, Li X, Orho-Melander M, Hara M, Hinokio Y, Lindner TH, Mashima H, Schwarz PE, del Bosque-Plata L, Horikawa Y, Oda Y, Yoshiuchi I, Colilla S, Polonsky KS, Wei S, Concannon P, Iwasaki N, Schulze J, Baier LJ, Bogardus C, Groop L, Boerwinkle E, Hanis CL, Bell GI. Genetic variation in the gene encoding calpain-10 is associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nat Genet* 2000; 26: 163-175 [PMID: 11017071 DOI: 10.1038/79876]
- 17 Song Y, Niu T, Manson JE, Kwiatkowski DJ, Liu S. Are variants in the CAPN10 gene related to risk of type 2 diabetes? A quantitative assessment of population and family-based association studies. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004; 74: 208-222 [PMID: 14730479 DOI: 10.1086/381400]
- 18 **Bodhini D**, Radha V, Ghosh S, Sanapala KR, Majumder PP, Rao MR, Mohan V. Association of calpain 10 gene polymorphisms with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Southern Indians. *Metabolism* 2011; **60**: 681-688 [PMID: 20667559]
- 19 Ezzidi I, Mtiraoui N, Nemr R, Kacem M, Al-Khateeb GM, Mahjoub T, Almawi WY. Variants within the calpain-10 gene and relationships with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) and T2DM-related traits among Tunisian Arabs. *Diabetes Metab* 2010; 36: 357-362 [PMID: 20570542 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabet.2010.03.005]
- Zhou X, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Gao P, Zhu D. Association of CAPN10 gene with insulin sensitivity, glucose tolerance and renal function in essential hypertensive patients. *Clin Chim Acta* 2010; 411: 1126-1131 [PMID: 20406624 DOI: 10.1016/ j.cca.2010.04.012]
- 21 Duggirala R, Blangero J, Almasy L, Dyer TD, Williams KL, Leach RJ, O'Connell P, Stern MP. Linkage of type 2 diabetes mellitus and of age at onset to a genetic location on chromosome 10q in Mexican Americans. Am J Hum Genet 1999; 64: 1127-1140 [PMID: 10090898]
- 22 Grant SF, Thorleifsson G, Reynisdottir I, Benediktsson R, Manolescu A, Sainz J, Helgason A, Stefansson H, Emilsson V, Helgadottir A, Styrkarsdottir U, Magnusson KP, Walters GB, Palsdottir E, Jonsdottir T, Gudmundsdottir T, Gylfason A, Saemundsdottir J, Wilensky RL, Reilly MP, Rader DJ, Bagger Y, Christiansen C, Gudnason V, Sigurdsson G, Thorsteins-

- dottir U, Gulcher JR, Kong A, Stefansson K. Variant of transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene confers risk of type 2 diabetes. *Nat Genet* 2006; **38**: 320-323 [PMID: 16415884 DOI: 10.1038/ng1732]
- 23 Tong Y, Lin Y, Zhang Y, Yang J, Zhang Y, Liu H, Zhang B. Association between TCF7L2 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes mellitus: a large Human Genome Epidemiology (HuGE) review and meta-analysis. BMC Med Genet 2009; 10: 15 [PMID: 19228405 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2350-10-15]
- 24 Gaulton KJ, Willer CJ, Li Y, Scott LJ, Conneely KN, Jackson AU, Duren WL, Chines PS, Narisu N, Bonnycastle LL, Luo J, Tong M, Sprau AG, Pugh EW, Doheny KF, Valle TT, Abecasis GR, Tuomilehto J, Bergman RN, Collins FS, Boehnke M, Mohlke KL. Comprehensive association study of type 2 diabetes and related quantitative traits with 222 candidate genes. *Diabetes* 2008; 57: 3136-3144 [PMID: 18678618 DOI: 10.2337/db07-1731]
- Ruchat SM, Weisnagel SJ, Vohl MC, Rankinen T, Bouchard C, Pérusse L. Evidence for interaction between PPARG Pro12Ala and PPARGC1A Gly482Ser polymorphisms in determining type 2 diabetes intermediate phenotypes in overweight subjects. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2009; 117: 455-459 [PMID: 19536736 DOI: 10.1055/s-0029-1216352]
- 26 Ringel J, Engeli S, Distler A, Sharma AM. Pro12Ala missense mutation of the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma and diabetes mellitus. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1999; 254: 450-453 [PMID: 9918859 DOI: 10.1006/bbrc.1998.9962]
- 27 Clement K, Hercberg S, Passinge B, Galan P, Varroud-Vial M, Shuldiner AR, Beamer BA, Charpentier G, Guy-Grand B, Froguel P, Vaisse C. The Pro115Gln and Pro12Ala PPAR gamma gene mutations in obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2000; 24: 391-393 [PMID: 10757637 DOI: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0801191]
- Clausen JO, Hansen T, Bjørbaek C, Echwald SM, Urhammer SA, Rasmussen S, Andersen CB, Hansen L, Almind K, Winther K. Insulin resistance: interactions between obesity and a common variant of insulin receptor substrate-1. Lancet 1995; 346: 397-402 [PMID: 7623569 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(95)92779-4]
- 29 Le Fur S, Le Stunff C, Bougnères P. Increased insulin resistance in obese children who have both 972 IRS-1 and 1057 IRS-2 polymorphisms. *Diabetes* 2002; 51 Suppl 3: S304-S307 [PMID: 12475767 DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.51.2007.S304]
- 30 **Hani EH**, Boutin P, Durand E, Inoue H, Permutt MA, Velho G, Froguel P. Missense mutations in the pancreatic islet beta cell inwardly rectifying K+ channel gene (KIR6.2/BIR): a meta-analysis suggests a role in the polygenic basis of Type II diabetes mellitus in Caucasians. *Diabetologia* 1998; **41**: 1511-1515 [PMID: 9867219 DOI: 10.1007/s001250051098]
- 31 Gloyn AL, Weedon MN, Owen KR, Turner MJ, Knight BA, Hitman G, Walker M, Levy JC, Sampson M, Halford S, McCarthy MI, Hattersley AT, Frayling TM. Large-scale association studies of variants in genes encoding the pancreatic beta-cell KATP channel subunits Kir6.2 (KCNJ11) and SUR1 (ABCC8) confirm that the KCNJ11 E23K variant is associated with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2003; 52: 568-572 [PMID: 12540637 DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.52.2.568]
- Nielsen EM, Hansen L, Carstensen B, Echwald SM, Drivsholm T, Glümer C, Thorsteinsson B, Borch-Johnsen K, Hansen T, Pedersen O. The E23K variant of Kir6.2 associates with impaired post-OGTT serum insulin response and increased risk of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2003; 52: 573-577 [PMID: 12540638 DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.52.2.573]
- Florez JC, Burtt N, de Bakker PI, Almgren P, Tuomi T, Holmkvist J, Gaudet D, Hudson TJ, Schaffner SF, Daly MJ, Hirschhorn JN, Groop L, Altshuler D. Haplotype structure and genotype-phenotype correlations of the sulfonylurea receptor and the islet ATP-sensitive potassium channel gene



- region. *Diabetes* 2004; **53**: 1360-1368 [PMID: 15111507 DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.53.5.1360]
- 34 Sandhu MS, Weedon MN, Fawcett KA, Wasson J, Debenham SL, Daly A, Lango H, Frayling TM, Neumann RJ, Sherva R, Blech I, Pharoah PD, Palmer CN, Kimber C, Tavendale R, Morris AD, McCarthy MI, Walker M, Hitman G, Glaser B, Permutt MA, Hattersley AT, Wareham NJ, Barroso I. Common variants in WFS1 confer risk of type 2 diabetes. Nat Genet 2007; 39: 951-953 [PMID: 17603484]
- 35 Franks PW, Rolandsson O, Debenham SL, Fawcett KA, Payne F, Dina C, Froguel P, Mohlke KL, Willer C, Olsson T, Wareham NJ, Hallmans G, Barroso I, Sandhu MS. Replication of the association between variants in WFS1 and risk of type 2 diabetes in European populations. *Diabetologia* 2008; 51: 458-463 [PMID: 18040659 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-007-0887-6]
- Furuta H, Furuta M, Sanke T, Ekawa K, Hanabusa T, Nishi M, Sasaki H, Nanjo K. Nonsense and missense mutations in the human hepatocyte nuclear factor-1 beta gene (TCF2) and their relation to type 2 diabetes in Japanese. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2002; 87: 3859-3863 [PMID: 12161522 DOI: 10.1210/jc.87.8.3859]
- 37 Muller YL, Infante AM, Hanson RL, Love-Gregory L, Knowler W, Bogardus C, Baier LJ. Variants in hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha are modestly associated with type 2 diabetes in Pima Indians. *Diabetes* 2005; 54: 3035-3039 [PMID: 16186411 DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.54.10.3035]
- 38 Zhu Q, Yamagata K, Miura A, Shihara N, Horikawa Y, Takeda J, Miyagawa J, Matsuzawa Y. T130I mutation in HNF-4alpha gene is a loss-of-function mutation in hepatocytes and is associated with late-onset Type 2 diabetes mellitus in Japanese subjects. *Diabetologia* 2003; 46: 567-573 [PMID: 12669197]
- 39 Pal A, McCarthy MI. The genetics of type 2 diabetes and its clinical relevance. Clin Genet 2013; 83: 297-306 [PMID: 23167659]
- 40 Sladek R, Rocheleau G, Rung J, Dina C, Shen L, Serre D, Boutin P, Vincent D, Belisle A, Hadjadj S, Balkau B, Heude B, Charpentier G, Hudson TJ, Montpetit A, Pshezhetsky AV, Prentki M, Posner BI, Balding DJ, Meyre D, Polychronakos C, Froguel P. A genome-wide association study identifies novel risk loci for type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 2007; 445: 881-885 [PMID: 17293876 DOI: 10.1038/nature05616]
- 41 Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium. Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. *Nature* 2007; 447: 661-678 [PMID: 17554300]
- 42 Sale MM, Smith SG, Mychaleckyj JC, Keene KL, Langefeld CD, Leak TS, Hicks PJ, Bowden DW, Rich SS, Freedman BI. Variants of the transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene are associated with type 2 diabetes in an African-American population enriched for nephropathy. *Diabetes* 2007; 56: 2638-2642 [PMID: 17601994 DOI: 10.2337/db07-0012]
- 43 Scott LJ, Bonnycastle LL, Willer CJ, Sprau AG, Jackson AU, Narisu N, Duren WL, Chines PS, Stringham HM, Erdos MR, Valle TT, Tuomilehto J, Bergman RN, Mohlke KL, Collins FS, Boehnke M. Association of transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) variants with type 2 diabetes in a Finnish sample. Diabetes 2006; 55: 2649-2653 [PMID: 16936217 DOI: 10.2337/db06-0341]
- 44 Miyake K, Horikawa Y, Hara K, Yasuda K, Osawa H, Furuta H, Hirota Y, Yamagata K, Hinokio Y, Oka Y, Iwasaki N, Iwamoto Y, Yamada Y, Seino Y, Maegawa H, Kashiwagi A, Yamamoto K, Tokunaga K, Takeda J, Makino H, Nanjo K, Kadowaki T, Kasuga M. Association of TCF7L2 polymorphisms with susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in 4,087 Japanese subjects. J Hum Genet 2008; 53: 174-180 [PMID: 18097733 DOI: 10.1007/s10038-007-0231-5]
- 45 Chandak GR, Janipalli CS, Bhaskar S, Kulkarni SR, Mohankrishna P, Hattersley AT, Frayling TM, Yajnik CS.

- Common variants in the TCF7L2 gene are strongly associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Indian population. *Diabetologia* 2007; **50**: 63-67 [PMID: 17093941 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-006-0502-2]
- 46 Chang YC, Chang TJ, Jiang YD, Kuo SS, Lee KC, Chiu KC, Chuang LM. Association study of the genetic polymorphisms of the transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene and type 2 diabetes in the Chinese population. *Diabetes* 2007; 56: 2631-2637 [PMID: 17579206 DOI: 10.2337/db07-0421]
- 47 **Turki A**, Al-Zaben GS, Mtiraoui N, Marmmuoch H, Mahjoub T, Almawi WY. Transcription factor-7-like 2 gene variants are strongly associated with type 2 diabetes in Tunisian Arab subjects. *Gene* 2013; **513**: 244-248 [PMID: 23142382 DOI: 10.1016/j.gene.2012.10.086]
- 48 Nemr R, Turki A, Echtay A, Al-Zaben GS, Daher HS, Irani-Hakime NA, Keleshian SH, Almawi WY. Transcription factor-7-like 2 gene variants are strongly associated with type 2 diabetes in Lebanese subjects. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012; 98: e23-e27 [PMID: 23107111 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2012.09.044]
- 49 Peng S, Zhu Y, Lü B, Xu F, Li X, Lai M. TCF7L2 gene polymorphisms and type 2 diabetes risk: a comprehensive and updated meta-analysis involving 121,174 subjects. *Mutagenesis* 2013; 28: 25-37 [PMID: 23188737 DOI: 10.1093/mutage/ges048]
- 50 Lyssenko V, Lupi R, Marchetti P, Del Guerra S, Orho-Melander M, Almgren P, Sjögren M, Ling C, Eriksson KF, Lethagen AL, Mancarella R, Berglund G, Tuomi T, Nilsson P, Del Prato S, Groop L. Mechanisms by which common variants in the TCF7L2 gene increase risk of type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Invest* 2007; 117: 2155-2163 [PMID: 17671651 DOI: 10.1172/JCI30706]
- 51 Schäfer SA, Tschritter O, Machicao F, Thamer C, Stefan N, Gallwitz B, Holst JJ, Dekker JM, 't Hart LM, Nijpels G, van Haeften TW, Häring HU, Fritsche A. Impaired glucagon-like peptide-1-induced insulin secretion in carriers of transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene polymorphisms. *Diabetologia* 2007; 50: 2443-2450 [PMID: 17661009 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-007-0753-6]
- 52 Gjesing AP, Kjems LL, Vestmar MA, Grarup N, Linneberg A, Deacon CF, Holst JJ, Pedersen O, Hansen T. Carriers of the TCF7L2 rs7903146 TT genotype have elevated levels of plasma glucose, serum proinsulin and plasma gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP) during a meal test. *Diabetologia* 2011; 54: 103-110 [PMID: 20957343 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-010-1940-4]
- Kaminska D, Kuulasmaa T, Venesmaa S, Käkelä P, Vaittinen M, Pulkkinen L, Pääkkönen M, Gylling H, Laakso M, Pihlajamäki J. Adipose tissue TCF7L2 splicing is regulated by weight loss and associates with glucose and fatty acid metabolism. *Diabetes* 2012; 61: 2807-2813 [PMID: 23086040 DOI: 10.2337/db12-0239]
- 54 **Grant SF**. Understanding the elusive mechanism of action of TCF7L2 in metabolism. *Diabetes* 2012; **61**: 2657-2658 [PMID: 23093653]
- Boj SF, van Es JH, Huch M, Li VS, José A, Hatzis P, Mokry M, Haegebarth A, van den Born M, Chambon P, Voshol P, Dor Y, Cuppen E, Fillat C, Clevers H. Diabetes risk gene and Wnt effector Tcf7l2/TCF4 controls hepatic response to perinatal and adult metabolic demand. *Cell* 2012; 151: 1595-1607 [PMID: 23260145 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.053]
- 56 Connor AE, Baumgartner RN, Baumgartner KB, Kerber RA, Pinkston C, John EM, Torres-Mejia G, Hines L, Giuliano A, Wolff RK, Slattery ML. Associations between TCF7L2 polymorphisms and risk of breast cancer among Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women: the Breast Cancer Health Disparities Study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012; 136: 593-602 [PMID: 23085767 DOI: 10.1007/s10549-012-2299-7]
- Burwinkel B, Shanmugam KS, Hemminki K, Meindl A, Schmutzler RK, Sutter C, Wappenschmidt B, Kiechle M, Bartram CR, Frank B. Transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) variant is associated with familial breast cancer risk: a case-



- control study. *BMC Cancer* 2006; **6**: 268 [PMID: 17109766 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-6-268]
- 58 Li X, Li Y, Song B, Guo S, Chu S, Jia N, Niu W. Hematopoietically-expressed homeobox gene three widely-evaluated polymorphisms and risk for diabetes: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2012; 7: e49917 [PMID: 23166797 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0049917]
- 59 Lefebvre B, Vandewalle B, Balavoine AS, Queniat G, Moerman E, Vantyghem MC, Le Bacquer O, Gmyr V, Pawlowski V, Kerr-Conte J, Pattou F. Regulation and functional effects of ZNT8 in human pancreatic islets. *J Endocrinol* 2012; 214: 225-232 [PMID: 22582094 DOI: 10.1530/JOE-12-0071]
- Xu J, Wang J, Chen B. SLC30A8 (ZnT8) variations and type 2 diabetes in the Chinese Han population. *Genet Mol Res* 2012;
 11: 1592-1598 [PMID: 22653633 DOI: 10.4238/2012.May.24.1]
- Strawbridge RJ, Dupuis J, Prokopenko I, Barker A, Ahlqvist E, Rybin D, Petrie JR, Travers ME, Bouatia-Naji N, Dimas AS, Nica A, Wheeler E, Chen H, Voight BF, Taneera J, Kanoni S, Peden JF, Turrini F, Gustafsson S, Zabena C, Almgren P, Barker DJ, Barnes D, Dennison EM, Eriksson JG, Eriksson P, Eury E, Folkersen L, Fox CS, Frayling TM, Goel A, Gu HF, Horikoshi M, Isomaa B, Jackson AU, Jameson KA, Kajantie E, Kerr-Conte J, Kuulasmaa T, Kuusisto J, Loos RJ, Luan J, Makrilakis K, Manning AK, Martínez-Larrad MT, Narisu N, Nastase Mannila M, Ohrvik J, Osmond C, Pascoe L, Payne F, Sayer AA, Sennblad B, Silveira A, Stancáková A, Stirrups K, Swift AJ, Syvänen AC, Tuomi T, van 't Hooft FM, Walker M, Weedon MN, Xie W, Zethelius B, Ongen H, Mälarstig A, Hopewell JC, Saleheen D, Chambers J, Parish S, Danesh J, Kooner J, Ostenson CG, Lind L, Cooper CC, Serrano-Ríos M, Ferrannini E, Forsen TJ, Clarke R, Franzosi MG, Seedorf U, Watkins H, Froguel P, Johnson P, Deloukas P, Collins FS, Laakso M, Dermitzakis ET, Boehnke M, McCarthy MI, Wareham NJ, Groop L, Pattou F, Gloyn AL, Dedoussis GV, Lyssenko V, Meigs JB, Barroso I, Watanabe RM, Ingelsson E, Langenberg C, Hamsten A, Florez JC. Genome-wide association identifies nine common variants associated with fasting proinsulin levels and provides new insights into the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2011; 60: 2624-2634 [PMID: 21873549 DOI: 10.2337/db11-0415]
- Manning AK, Hivert MF, Scott RA, Grimsby JL, Bouatia-Naji N, Chen H, Rybin D, Liu CT, Bielak LF, Prokopenko I, Amin N, Barnes D, Cadby G, Hottenga JJ, Ingelsson E, Jackson AU, Johnson T, Kanoni S, Ladenvall C, Lagou V, Lahti J, Lecoeur C, Liu Y, Martinez-Larrad MT, Montasser ME, Navarro P, Perry JR, Rasmussen-Torvik LJ, Salo P, Sattar N, Shungin D, Strawbridge RJ, Tanaka T, van Duijn CM, An P, de Andrade M, Andrews JS, Aspelund T, Atalay M, Aulchenko Y, Balkau B, Bandinelli S, Beckmann JS, Beilby JP, Bellis C, Bergman RN, Blangero J, Boban M, Boehnke M, Boerwinkle E, Bonnycastle LL, Boomsma DI, Borecki IB, Böttcher Y, Bouchard C, Brunner E, Budimir D, Campbell H, Carlson O, Chines PS, Clarke R, Collins FS, Corbatón-Anchuelo A, Couper D, de Faire U, Dedoussis GV, Deloukas P, Dimitriou M, Egan JM, Eiriksdottir G, Erdos MR, Eriksson JG, Eury E, Ferrucci L, Ford I, Forouhi NG, Fox CS, Franzosi MG, Franks PW, Frayling TM, Froguel P, Galan P, de Geus E, Gigante B, Glazer NL, Goel A, Groop L, Gudnason V, Hallmans G, Hamsten A, Hansson O, Harris TB, Hayward C, Heath S, Hercberg S, Hicks AA, Hingorani A, Hofman A, Hui J, Hung J, Jarvelin MR, Jhun MA, Johnson PC, Jukema JW, Jula A, Kao WH, Kaprio J, Kardia SL, Keinanen-Kiukaanniemi S, Kivimaki M, Kolcic I, Kovacs P, Kumari M, Kuusisto J, Kyvik KO, Laakso M, Lakka T, Lannfelt L, Lathrop GM, Launer LJ, Leander K, Li G, Lind L, Lindstrom J, Lobbens S, Loos RJ, Luan J, Lyssenko V, Mägi R, Magnusson PK, Marmot M, Meneton P, Mohlke KL, Mooser V, Morken MA, Miljkovic I, Narisu N, O'Connell J, Ong KK, Oostra BA, Palmer LJ, Palotie A, Pankow JS, Peden JF, Pedersen NL, Pehlic M, Peltonen L, Penninx B, Pericic M, Perola M, Perusse L, Peyser PA, Polasek O, Pramstaller PP, Province MA, Räikkönen K, Rauramaa

- R, Rehnberg E, Rice K, Rotter JI, Rudan I, Ruokonen A, Saaristo T, Sabater-Lleal M, Salomaa V, Savage DB, Saxena R, Schwarz P, Seedorf U, Sennblad B, Serrano-Rios M, Shuldiner AR, Sijbrands EJ, Siscovick DS, Smit JH, Small KS, Smith NL, Smith AV, Stančáková A, Stirrups K, Stumvoll M, Sun YV, Swift AJ, Tönjes A, Tuomilehto J, Trompet S, Uitterlinden AG, Uusitupa M, Vikström M, Vitart V, Vohl MC, Voight BF, Vollenweider P, Waeber G, Waterworth DM, Watkins H, Wheeler E, Widen E, Wild SH, Willems SM, Willemsen G, Wilson JF, Witteman JC, Wright AF, Yaghootkar H, Zelenika D, Zemunik T, Zgaga L, Wareham NJ, McCarthy MI, Barroso I, Watanabe RM, Florez JC, Dupuis J, Meigs JB, Langenberg C. A genome-wide approach accounting for body mass index identifies genetic variants influencing fasting glycemic traits and insulin resistance. Nat Genet 2012; 44: 659-669 [PMID: 22581228 DOI: 10.1038/ng.2274]
- 63 Nielsen LB, Vaziri-Sani F, Pörksen S, Andersen ML, Svensson J, Bergholdt R, Pociot F, Hougaard P, de Beaufort C, Castaño L, Mortensen HB, Lernmark A, Hansen L. Relationship between ZnT8Ab, the SLC30A8 gene and disease progression in children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes. *Autoimmunity* 2011; 44: 616-623 [PMID: 21604969 DOI: 10.3109/08916934.2011.576724]
- 64 Winkler C, Raab J, Grallert H, Ziegler AG. Lack of association of type 2 diabetes susceptibility genotypes and body weight on the development of islet autoimmunity and type 1 diabetes. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e35410 [PMID: 22558147 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035410]
- 65 Li H, Tang X, Liu Q, Wang Y. Association between type 2 diabetes and rs10811661 polymorphism upstream of CD-KN2A/B: a meta-analysis. *Acta Diabetol* 2012 May 24; [Epub ahead of print] [PMID: 22623142]
- 66 Grarup N, Rose CS, Andersson EA, Andersen G, Nielsen AL, Albrechtsen A, Clausen JO, Rasmussen SS, Jørgensen T, Sandbaek A, Lauritzen T, Schmitz O, Hansen T, Pedersen O. Studies of association of variants near the HHEX, CDKN2A/B, and IGF2BP2 genes with type 2 diabetes and impaired insulin release in 10,705 Danish subjects: validation and extension of genome-wide association studies. *Diabetes* 2007; 56: 3105-3111 [PMID: 17827400 DOI: 10.2337/db07-0856]
- 67 Cunnington MS, Keavney B. Genetic mechanisms mediating atherosclerosis susceptibility at the chromosome 9p21 locus. Curr Atheroscler Rep 2011; 13: 193-201 [PMID: 21487702 DOI: 10.1007/s11883-011-0178-z]
- Le HT, Sorrell AM, Siddle K. Two isoforms of the mRNA binding protein IGF2BP2 are generated by alternative translational initiation. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e33140 [PMID: 22427968 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0033140]
- 69 Duesing K, Fatemifar G, Charpentier G, Marre M, Tichet J, Hercberg S, Balkau B, Froguel P, Gibson F. Evaluation of the association of IGF2BP2 variants with type 2 diabetes in French Caucasians. *Diabetes* 2008; 57: 1992-1996 [PMID: 18430866 DOI: 10.2337/db07-1789]
- 70 Huang Q, Yin JY, Dai XP, Pei Q, Dong M, Zhou ZG, Huang X, Yu M, Zhou HH, Liu ZQ. IGF2BP2 variations influence repaglinide response and risk of type 2 diabetes in Chinese population. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* 2010; 31: 709-717 [PMID: 20523342 DOI: 10.1038/aps.2010.47]
- 71 **Billings LK**, Florez JC. The genetics of type 2 diabetes: what have we learned from GWAS? *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2010; **1212**: 59-77 [PMID: 21091714 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05838.x]
- 72 **Florez JC**. Newly identified loci highlight beta cell dysfunction as a key cause of type 2 diabetes: where are the insulin resistance genes? *Diabetologia* 2008; **51**: 1100-1110 [PMID: 18504548 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-008-1025-9]
- 73 **McCarthy MI**, Zeggini E. Genome-wide association studies in type 2 diabetes. *Curr Diab Rep* 2009; **9**: 164-171 [PMID: 19323962 DOI: 10.1007/s11892-009-0027-4]
- 74 Voight BF, Scott LJ, Steinthorsdottir V, Morris AP, Dina C, Welch RP, Zeggini E, Huth C, Aulchenko YS, Thorleifsson



G, McCulloch LJ, Ferreira T, Grallert H, Amin N, Wu G, Willer CJ, Raychaudhuri S, McCarroll SA, Langenberg C, Hofmann OM, Dupuis J, Qi L, Segrè AV, van Hoek M, Navarro P, Ardlie K, Balkau B, Benediktsson R, Bennett AJ, Blagieva R, Boerwinkle E, Bonnycastle LL, Bengtsson Boström K, Bravenboer B, Bumpstead S, Burtt NP, Charpentier G, Chines PS, Cornelis M, Couper DJ, Crawford G, Doney AS, Elliott KS, Elliott AL, Erdos MR, Fox CS, Franklin CS, Ganser M, Gieger C, Grarup N, Green T, Griffin S, Groves CJ, Guiducci C, Hadjadj S, Hassanali N, Herder C, Isomaa B, Jackson AU, Johnson PR, Jørgensen T, Kao WH, Klopp N, Kong A, Kraft P, Kuusisto J, Lauritzen T, Li M, Lieverse A, Lindgren CM, Lyssenko V, Marre M, Meitinger T, Midthjell K, Morken MA, Narisu N, Nilsson P, Owen KR, Payne F, Perry JR, Petersen AK, Platou C, Proença C, Prokopenko I, Rathmann W, Rayner NW, Robertson NR, Rocheleau G, Roden M, Sampson MJ, Saxena R, Shields BM, Shrader P, Sigurdsson G, Sparsø T, Strassburger K, Stringham HM, Sun Q, Swift AJ, Thorand B, Tichet J, Tuomi T, van Dam RM, van Haeften TW, van Herpt T, van Vliet-Ostaptchouk JV, Walters GB, Weedon MN, Wijmenga C, Witteman J, Bergman RN, Cauchi S, Collins FS, Gloyn AL, Gyllensten U, Hansen T, Hide WA, Hitman GA, Hofman A, Hunter DJ, Hveem K, Laakso M, Mohlke KL, Morris AD, Palmer CN, Pramstaller PP, Rudan I, Sijbrands E, Stein LD, Tuomilehto J, Uitterlinden A, Walker M, Wareham NJ, Watanabe RM, Abecasis GR, Boehm BO, Campbell H, Daly MJ, Hattersley AT, Hu FB, Meigs JB, Pankow JS, Pedersen O, Wichmann HE, Barroso I, Florez JC, Frayling TM, Groop L, Sladek R, Thorsteinsdottir U, Wilson JF, Illig T, Froguel P, van Duijn CM, Stefansson K, Altshuler D, Boehnke M, McCarthy MI. Twelve type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci identified through large-scale association analysis. Nat Genet 2010; 42: 579-589 [PMID: 20581827]

75 Temelkova-Kurktschiev T, Stefanov T. Lifestyle and genetics in obesity and type 2 diabetes. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2012; 120: 1-6 [PMID: 21915815 DOI: 10.1055/s-0031-1285832]

- 76 **Franks PW**. Gene × environment interactions in type 2 diabetes. *Curr Diab Rep* 2011; **11**: 552-561 [PMID: 21887612 DOI: 10.1007/s11892-011-0224-9]
- 77 Grarup N, Andersen G. Gene-environment interactions in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes and metabolism. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2007; 10: 420-426 [PMID: 17563459 DOI: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e3281e2c9ab]
- 78 Skinner MK. Environmental epigenetic transgenerational inheritance and somatic epigenetic mitotic stability. *Epigenetics* 2011; 6: 838-842 [PMID: 21637037 DOI: 10.4161/epi.6.7.16537]
- 79 Seki Y, Williams L, Vuguin PM, Charron MJ. Minireview: Epigenetic programming of diabetes and obesity: animal models. *Endocrinology* 2012; 153: 1031-1038 [PMID: 22253432 DOI: 10.1210/en.2011-1805]
- 80 **Slomko H**, Heo HJ, Einstein FH. Minireview: Epigenetics of obesity and diabetes in humans. *Endocrinology* 2012; **153**: 1025-1030 [PMID: 22253427 DOI: 10.1210/en.2011-1759]
- 81 **Talmud PJ**, Hingorani AD, Cooper JA, Marmot MG, Brunner EJ, Kumari M, Kivimäki M, Humphries SE. Utility of genetic and non-genetic risk factors in prediction of type 2 diabetes: Whitehall II prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2010; **340**: b4838 [PMID: 20075150 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.b4838]
- 82 Schmid R, Vollenweider P, Bastardot F, Vaucher J, Waeber G, Marques-Vidal P. Current genetic data do not improve the prediction of type 2 diabetes mellitus: the CoLaus study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012; 97: E1338-E1341 [DOI: 10.1210/jc.2011-3412]
- 83 Vassy JL, Dasmahapatra P, Meigs JB, Schork NJ, Magnussen CG, Chen W, Raitakari OT, Pencina MJ, Jamal SM, Berenson GS, Goodman E. Genotype prediction of adult type 2 diabetes from adolescence in a multiracial population. *Pediatrics* 2012; 130: e1235-e1242 [DOI: 10.1542/peds.2012-1132]
- Prudente S, Dallapiccola B, Pellegrini F, Doria A, Trischitta V. Genetic prediction of common diseases. Still no help for the clinical diabetologist! *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2012; 22: 929-936 [PMID: 22819342]

P-Reviewers Guerrero-Romero F, Wong WTJ S-Editor Zhai HH L-Editor A E-Editor Lu YJ







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China Fax: +852-65557188

Telephone: +852-31779906 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

