World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

World J Gastrointest Surg 2021 October 27; 13(10): 1110-1292





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

GS WŮ

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Contents

Monthly Volume 13 Number 10 October 27, 2021

FRONTIER

1110 Long-term survival outcome of laparoscopic liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma

Lam S, Cheng KC

OPINION REVIEW

1122 Review of minimally invasive pancreas surgery and opinion on its incorporation into low volume and resource poor centres

Cawich SO, Kluger MD, Francis W, Deshpande RR, Mohammed F, Bonadie KO, Thomas DA, Pearce NW, Schrope BA

MINIREVIEWS

Research progress regarding programmed cell death 1/programmed cell death ligand 1 inhibitors 1136 combined with targeted therapy for treating hepatocellular carcinoma

Zheng LL, Tao CC, Tao ZG, Zhang K, Wu AK, Wu JX, Rong WQ

- 1149 Transanal minimally invasive surgery using laparoscopic instruments of the rectum: A review Kim MJ, Lee TG
- 1166 Current surgical management of duodenal gastrointestinal stromal tumors

Lim KT

1180 Gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection in Western countries: Indications, applications, efficacy and training perspective

De Luca L, Di Berardino M, Mangiavillano B, Repici A

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

1190 Laparoscopy for Crohn's disease: A comprehensive exploration of minimally invasive surgical techniques Wan J, Liu C, Yuan XQ, Yang MQ, Wu XC, Gao RY, Yin L, Chen CQ

Retrospective Study

1202 Onodera's Prognostic Nutritional Index is a novel and useful prognostic marker for gastrointestinal stromal tumors

Wang H, Xu YY, You J, Hu WQ, Wang SF, Chen P, Yang F, Shi L, Zhao W, Zong L

1216 Utility of preoperative systemic inflammatory biomarkers in predicting postoperative complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy: Literature review and single center experience

Coppola A, La Vaccara V, Caggiati L, Carbone L, Spoto S, Ciccozzi M, Angeletti S, Coppola R, Caputo D



World Journal of Gastrointestinal St					
Monthly Volume 13 Number 10 Octob					
1226	Low serum albumin may predict poor efficacy in patients with perforated peptic ulcer treated nonoperatively				
	Liang TS, Zhang BL, Zhao BB, Yang DG				
1235	Oesophageal adenocarcinoma: In the era of extended lymphadenectomy, is the value of neoadjuvant therapy being attenuated?				
	Park JS, Van der Wall H, Kennedy C, Falk GL				
1245	Outcomes of reduction hepatectomy combined with postoperative multidisciplinary therapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma				
	Asahi Y, Kamiyama T, Kakisaka T, Orimo T, Shimada S, Nagatsu A, Aiyama T, Sakamoto Y, Kamachi H, Taketomi A				
1258	Development and validation of a prediction model for deep vein thrombosis in older non-mild acute pancreatitis patients				
	Yang DJ, Li M, Yue C, Hu WM, Lu HM				
	SCIENTOMETRICS				
1267	Immunotherapy after liver transplantation: Where are we now?				
	Au KP, Chok KSH				
	CASE REPORT				
1279	Hodgkin lymphoma masquerading as perforated gallbladder adenocarcinoma: A case report				
	Manesh M, Henry R, Gallagher S, Greas M, Sheikh MR, Zielsdorf S				
1285	Whole circumferential endoscopic submucosal dissection of superficial adenocarcinoma in long-segment Barrett's esophagus: A case report				
	Abe K, Goda K, Kanamori A, Suzuki T, Yamamiya A, Takimoto Y, Arisaka T, Hoshi K, Sugava T. Majima Y. Tominaga K.				

Iijima M, Hirooka S, Yamagishi H, Irisawa A



Contents

Monthly Volume 13 Number 10 October 27, 2021

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Ramón Cantero, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Surgeon, Department of Surgery, La Paz Universitary Hospital, Pozuelo de Alarcon 28223, Madrid, Spain. ramon.cantero@salud.madrid.org

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery (WJGS, World J Gastrointest Surg) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2021 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for WJGS as 2.582; IF without journal self cites: 2.564; 5-year IF: 3.378; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.53; Ranking: 97 among 212 journals in surgery; Quartile category: Q2; Ranking: 73 among 92 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Rui-Rui Wu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Ya-Juan Ma.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-9366 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
November 30, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Shu-You Peng, Varut Lohsiriwat, Jin Gu	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
October 27, 2021	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



S WŰ

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Surg 2021 October 27; 13(10): 1245-1257

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v13.i10.1245

Retrospective Study

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Outcomes of reduction hepatectomy combined with postoperative multidisciplinary therapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

Yoh Asahi, Toshiya Kamiyama, Tatsuhiko Kakisaka, Tatsuya Orimo, Shingo Shimada, Akihisa Nagatsu, Takeshi Aiyama, Yuzuru Sakamoto, Hirofumi Kamachi, Akinobu Taketomi

ORCID number: Yoh Asahi 0000-0002-0985-7874; Toshiya Kamiyama 0000-0002-9157-7811; Tatsuhiko Kakisaka 0000-0002-8556-5945: Tatsuya Orimo 0000-0003-4398-0697; Shingo Shimada 0000-0002-5187-6753; Akihisa Nagatsu 0000-0002-4414-5530; Takeshi Aiyama 0000-0001-5658-2730; Yuzuru Sakamoto 0000-0002-0784-7971; Hirofumi Kamachi 0000-0002-2839-8191; Akinobu Taketomi 0000-0002-5238-3678.

Author contributions: Asahi Y analyzed and interpreted the patient data, was involved in the data acquisition, made substantial contributions to the study conception and design, and was a major contributor during the writing of the manuscript; Kamiyama T participated in drafting and critically revising the article; Kakisaka T, Orimo T, Shimada S, Nagatsu A, Aiyama T, Sakamoto Y and Kamachi H revised the draft manuscript by adding intellectual insights and providing critical advice; Taketomi A provided critical comments to improve the manuscript and gave final approval for its submission; all of the authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Institutional review board

statement: This research was

Yoh Asahi, Toshiya Kamiyama, Tatsuhiko Kakisaka, Tatsuya Orimo, Shingo Shimada, Akihisa Nagatsu, Takeshi Aiyama, Yuzuru Sakamoto, Hirofumi Kamachi, Akinobu Taketomi, Department of Gastroenterological Surgery I, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Sapporo 060-8638, Hokkaido, Japan

Corresponding author: Yoh Asahi, MD, PhD, Surgeon, Department of Gastroenterological Surgery I, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Kita-ku, Kita 15, Nishi 7, Sapporo 060-8638, Hokkaido, Japan. yoh-hibana@yk2.so-net.ne.jp

Abstract

BACKGROUND

The prognosis of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy remains poor, despite advances in the treatment of HCC, including the development of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). The outcomes of reduction hepatectomy and multidisciplinary postoperative treatment for advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy, including those of recently treated cases, should be investigated.

AIM

To examine the outcomes of combination treatment with reduction hepatectomy and multidisciplinary postoperative treatment for advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy.

METHODS

Thirty cases of advanced HCC that were not indicated for curative hepatectomy, in which reduction hepatectomy was performed between 2000 and 2018 at the Department of Gastroenterological Surgery I, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, were divided into postoperative complete remission (POCR) (+) and POCR (-) groups, depending on whether POCR of all evaluable lesions was achieved through postoperative treatment. The cases in the POCR (-) group were subdivided into POCR (-) TKI (+) and POCR (-) TKI (-) groups, depending on whether TKIs were administered postoperatively.

RESULTS

The 5-year overall survival rate and mean survival time (MST) after reduction hepatectomy were 15.7% and 28.40 mo, respectively, for all cases; 37.5% and 56.55



approved by the institutional review board of Hokkaido University Hospital.

Informed consent statement:

Informed consent of patients was obtained in the form of opt-out on the web site of Hokkaido University Hospital.

Conflict-of-interest statement: We

have no financial relationships to disclose.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Country/Territory of origin: Japan

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good) : C, C Grade D (Fair) : 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: April 19, 2021 Peer-review started: April 19, 2021 First decision: August 9, 2021 Revised: August 21, 2021 Accepted: September 14, 2021 Article in press: September 14, 2021 Published online: October 27, 2021

P-Reviewer: Cheng HW, Wang YF S-Editor: Wang LL L-Editor: A

mo, respectively, in the POCR (+) group; and 6.3% and 14.84 mo, respectively, in the POCR (-) group (P = 0.0041). Tumor size, major vascular invasion, and the number of tumors in the remnant liver after the reduction hepatectomy were also found to be related to survival outcomes. The number of tumors in the remnant liver was the only factor that differed significantly between the POCR (+) and POCR (-) groups, and POCR was achieved significantly more frequently when ≤ 3 tumors remained in the remnant liver (P = 0.0025). The MST was 33.52 mo in the POCR (-) TKI (+) group, which was superior to the MST of 10.74 mo seen in the POCR (-) TKI (-) group (P = 0.0473).

CONCLUSION

Reduction hepatectomy combined with multidisciplinary postoperative treatment for unresectable advanced HCC that was not indicated for curative hepatectomy was effective when POCR was achieved *via* multidisciplinary postoperative therapy. To achieve POCR, reduction hepatectomy should aim to ensure that ≤ 3 tumors remain in the remnant liver. Even in cases in which POCR is not achieved, combined treatment with reduction hepatectomy and multidisciplinary therapy can improve survival outcomes when TKIs are administered.

Key Words: Hepatocellular carcinoma; Reduction hepatectomy; Multidisciplinary therapy; Tyrosine kinase inhibitors; Postoperative complete remission

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This was a retrospective study examining the outcomes of combination treatment with reduction hepatectomy and multidisciplinary postoperative treatment for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). When reduction hepatectomy is performed for unresectable advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy, achieving postoperative complete remission (POCR) via postoperative multidisciplinary therapy is the key to success, with the 5-year overall survival rate and mean survival time for the POCR (+) group being 37.5% and 56.55 mo, respectively. To achieve POCR, reduction hepatectomy should be performed with the aim of reducing the number of tumors in the remnant liver to ≤ 3 . Even in cases in which POCR is not achieved, tyrosine kinase inhibitor treatment might improve the prognosis of advanced HCC after reduction hepatectomy.

Citation: Asahi Y, Kamiyama T, Kakisaka T, Orimo T, Shimada S, Nagatsu A, Aiyama T, Sakamoto Y, Kamachi H, Taketomi A. Outcomes of reduction hepatectomy combined with postoperative multidisciplinary therapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastrointest Surg 2021; 13(10): 1245-1257

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v13/i10/1245.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v13.i10.1245

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common primary malignant hepatic tumor, accounting for 85%-90% of primary malignant hepatic tumors[1]. Advanced HCC is defined as progressive malignant HCC, which is hard to treat in a single hepatectomy procedure[2,3]. This is one reason for the poor prognosis of advanced HCC because hepatectomy is an important curative option. In fact, it is more effective at achieving local control of advanced HCC than any other treatment[4]. Local ablation therapy (LAT), including radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and microwave coagulation therapy (MCT), can also result in long survival periods; however, LAT is designed to treat less advanced HCC than hepatectomy[5]. Other treatment options include transarterial infusion (TAI) therapies, such as transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) and intraarterial chemotherapy (IAC), and the systemic administration of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs); however, the outcomes of these treatments are unsatisfactory. For instance, the survival period after TKI treatment ranges from 10 to 11 mo for advanced HCC[6,7].



P-Editor: Li JH



Postoperative multidisciplinary therapy for HCC can include additional surgery, LAT (RFA or MCT), TAI (TACE or IAC), and TKI treatment. A retrospective study reported mean survival times (MSTs) of 31.8 and 18.6 mo for Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage B and C HCC after reduction hepatectomy followed by postoperative local therapy targeting the liver, such as additional hepatectomy, LAT, and TAI[8]. In another retrospective study, the prognosis of patients who exhibited remnant extrahepatic lesions after reduction hepatectomy was reported to be poor (3year overall survival [OS] rate: 0%)[9]. However, the latter study only included 6 cases of HCC with extrahepatic lesions, and postoperative TKI treatment was not mentioned. Although there are various treatment options for HCC, there are few reports about reduction hepatectomy followed by multidisciplinary postoperative therapy for cases of advanced HCC that are not indicated for curative hepatectomy, and the utility of this treatment strategy should be evaluated.

In the present study, we evaluated the efficacy of combination treatment involving reduction hepatectomy followed by multidisciplinary therapy, including TKI treatment, for unresectable advanced HCC that was not indicated for curative hepatectomy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Of 828 hepatectomies performed for HCC between 2000 and 2018 at our department, the clinical data for 30 patients who underwent reduction hepatectomy for BCLC stage B or C advanced HCC that was not indicated for curative hepatectomy were retrospectively analyzed. The preoperative investigations and hepatectomy were carried out according to the method described in our previous report[10]. Major vascular invasion, major portal vein invasion, and major hepatic vein invasion were found in 17, 15, and 2 cases, respectively. All 30 patients were preoperatively evaluated using 3-phase dynamic contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT). The preoperative whole-liver volume and tumor volume, the estimated volume of the remnant liver, and the effective resection ratio of the liver were calculated preoperatively using a 3D workstation. Liver function was evaluated through blood tests, the indocyanine green retention rate at 15 min (ICGR15), and technetium-99m diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid galactosyl human serum albumin (Tc-GSA) scintigraphy. HCC was considered to not be indicated for curative hepatectomy if resection of all of the evaluable lesions was not possible, or the predicted remnant liver volume after reduction hepatectomy was considered to be insufficient, according to the Hokkaido University algorithm for hepatic resection^[10]. This algorithm indicates: (1) If the ICGR15 is < 15%, the effective resection ratio of the liver has to be < 60% for hemihepatectomy or extended hemihepatectomy to be performed; (2) If the ICGR15 ranges from 15% to 20%, sectionectomy can be performed; (3) If the ICGR15 ranges from 20% to 25%, segmentectomy can be performed; (4) If the ICGR15 ranges from 25% to 40%, a limited resection can be performed; and (5) If the ICGR15 is > 40%, hepatectomy is contraindicated. Reduction hepatectomy was performed for patients with unresectable advanced HCC that 1) were not indicated for curative hepatectomy, 2) were in a good general condition, and 3) were considered to be eligible for postoperative treatment, providing that it was considered that reduction hepatectomy of the main tumor would eliminate the most important poor prognostic factor (even if residual tumors remained in the liver), according to the Hokkaido University algorithm for hepatic resection. In all 30 cases, residual tumor (s) were present in the remnant liver after hepatectomy, and 3 patients had extrahepatic metastases (in the lungs in 2 cases and in the bone in 1 case). The pre- and postoperative treatments employed after the reduction hepatectomy, OS, prognostic factors for OS, and whether the postoperative treatments resulted in postoperative complete remission (POCR) of all evaluable lesions were also examined. POCR was considered to have been achieved when no evaluable lesions were detected during the imaging study performed to evaluate the effects of treatment.

This research was approved by the institutional review board of Hokkaido University Hospital (approval number: 019-0115), and all analyses of the clinical data were carried out according to the ethical guidelines of Hokkaido University.

Evaluation of POCR

During the first 1 to 2 mo after treatment, imaging studies were performed with contrast-enhanced CT or gadolinium-ethoxybenzyl-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic



acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (EOB-MRI) to evaluate the effects of LAT or TAI treatment. All cases were divided into two groups, according to whether POCR was achieved at least once in the postoperative period. The cases in which POCR was achieved were included in the POCR (+) group, and those in which POCR was not achieved were included in the POCR (-) group.

Statistical analyses

Some clinical data were converted to categorical variables. Pearson's chi-square test was used for the statistical analyses, except for variables with expected counts of ≤ 5 , for which Fisher's exact test was used instead. OS was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared between the groups using the Wilcoxon test in the univariate analyses. Two-sided p-values of < 0.05 were considered significant. All analyses were performed with the software JMP (JMP Pro, version 14; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Clinicopathological characteristics

Table 1 summarizes the clinical data for the 30 cases. The in-hospital and 90-day mortality data were excluded from Table 1 because no deaths occurred in hospital or within 90 days. The subjects' mean age was 62.8 ± 11.8 years old (44-89 years old). The mean serum levels of alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), protein induced by vitamin K absence/antagonist-II (PIVKA-II), total bilirubin (T-Bil), and albumin (Alb) were 10228.33 ± 7287.26 ng/mL (35-217390), 52534.8 ± 22566.31 mAU/mL (17-664680), 0.88 ± 0.08 mg/dL (0.4-1.9), and 3.71 \pm 0.08 g/dL (2.9-4.6), respectively; the mean prothrombin time (PT) was 90.19% ± 2.75% (69.8-115.8); and the mean ICGR15 was $17.34\% \pm 1.96\%$ (2.6-43.8). The mean size of the largest tumor was 10.13 ± 1.02 cm (2.0-24.0). Anatomical hepatectomy was conducted in 27 cases, and non-anatomical hepatectomy (partial resection of the liver) was carried out in the remaining 3 cases. The median surgical time was 340 min (188-911), and the median amount of intraoperative blood loss was 690 mL (0-35820). The median follow-up time was 17.41 mo (1.02 - 111.04).

Peri-surgical treatment

Table 2 summarizes the peri-surgical treatments, including both the preoperative and postoperative treatments, employed in the 30 cases. Preoperative treatment was performed in 6 cases. Postoperative treatment was employed in 28 cases. In one case, postoperative treatment was not employed, as the patient's general condition deteriorated due to a postoperative cerebral infarction. In another case, clinical information was lacking after a follow-up period of 1.01 mo because the postoperative treatment was not performed at our institution. POCR was and was not achieved during the postoperative period in 8 cases [26.7%; POCR (+) group] and 22 cases [73.3%; POCR (-) group], respectively. POCR was achieved in the following cases: 1 of 1 cases that were treated with a second hepatectomy, partial lung resection, TAI therapy, and chemotherapy after the reduction hepatectomy; 1 of 1 cases that were treated with a second hepatectomy, TAI therapy, chemotherapy, and external beam radiotherapy (ERT) for palliative purposes after the reduction hepatectomy; 1 of 1 cases that were treated with partial lung resection, LAT, TAI therapy, chemotherapy, and ERT after the reduction hepatectomy; 2 of 2 cases that were treated with LAT and TAI therapy with/or without ERT after the reduction hepatectomy; 1 of 6 cases that were treated with TAI therapy and chemotherapy with/or without ERT after the reduction hepatectomy; and 2 of 9 cases that were treated with TAI therapy with/or without ERT after the reduction hepatectomy. All 6 patients that received postoperative TKI treatment were included in the POCR (-) group.

Prognostic factors for OS

Among all 30 cases, the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS rates after reduction hepatectomy were 72.4%, 31.3%, and 15.7%, respectively, and the MST after reduction hepatectomy was 28.40 mo. In the POCR (+) group, the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS rates were 100%, 75.0%, and 37.5%, respectively, and the MST was 56.55 mo, whereas in the POCR (-) group the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS rates were 61.9%, 12.6%, and 6.3%, respectively, and the MST was 14.84 mo (P = 0.0041, Figure 1). Univariate analyses revealed significant intergroup differences in the Child-Pugh class (P <



Table 1 Clinical and surgical data						
Clinical data			Surgical data			
Age (yr)	62.8 ± 11.8		Anatomical hepatectomy	-	3	
				+	27	
Sex	Male	28	Operation time (min)	340		
	Female	2				
HBV/HCV	-	6	Blood loss (mL)	690		
	+	24				
Alb (g/dL)	3.71 ± 0.08		Number of tumors in the remnant liver	1-3	12	
				≥4	18	
T-Bil (mg/dL)	0.88 ± 0.08		POCR	+	8	
				-	22	
PT (%)	90.19 ± 2.75					
ICGR15 (%)	17.34 ± 1.96					
AFP (ng/mL)	102288 ± 7287					
PIVKA-II (AU/mL)	52534 ± 22566					
Child-pugh class	А	27				
	В	3				
Number of tumors	St	0				
	Mt	30				
Tumor size (cm)	10.13 ± 1.02					
Differentiation	Wel	1				
	Mod	16				
	Por	13				
pN	-	28				
	+	2				
Macrovascular invasion	-	5				
	+	25				
BCLC stage	В	12				
	С	18				
Distant metastasis	-	27				
	+	3				

PT: Prothrombin time; ICGR15: Indocyanine green retention rate at 15 min; AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; PIVKA-II: Protein induced by vitamin K absence/antagonist-II; BCLC: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer.

> 0.0001), tumor size (P = 0.0485), the frequency of major vascular invasion (P = 0.0053), the number of tumors in the remnant liver (P = 0.0283), and the frequency of POCR (P= 0.0041) (Table 3).

Comparison between the POCR (+) and POCR (-) groups

The clinical data for the POCR (+) and POCR (-) groups are shown in Table 4. Only the number of tumors in the remnant liver exhibited significant intergroup differences. The proportion of cases in which \leq 3 tumors were seen in the remnant liver was higher in the POCR (+) group than in the POCR (-) group (P = 0.0025).

The cases in the POCR (-) group were subdivided into two groups according to whether postoperative TKI treatment was administered. Cases involving TKI treatment were included in the POCR (-) TKI (+) group, and those that did not involve

Baishidena® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

Asahi Y et al. Reduction hepatectomy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

Table 2 Peri-surgical treatment				
Preoperative treatment				
No treatment	24			
TAI	4			
TAI + ERT	1			
TAI + TKI ¹ + ERT	1			
Postoperative treatment	Total	POCR (+)		
TAI + hepatectomy + lung resection + chemo	1	1		
Hepatectomy + chemo + TAI + ERT	1	1		
Lung resection + LAT + TAI + chemo + ERT	1	1		
Lung resection + LAT	1	0		
Brain tumor resection + TAI + TKI ² + chemo + ERT	1	0		
LAT + TAI + ERT	2	2		
TAI + TKI ³ + chemo (+ ERT)	3	0		
TAI + TKI ⁴	2	0		
TAI + chemo (+ ERT)	6	1		
TAI (+ ERT)	9	2		
ERT	1	0		
No treatment	1	0		
Unknown	1	0		
Total	30	8		

¹TKI: Sorafenib (n = 1).

²TKI: Sorafenib (n = 1).

³TKI: Sorafenib (n = 1), lenvatinib (n = 1), sorafenib + lenvatinib (n = 1).

 4 TKI: Sorafenib (*n* = 1), sorafenib + lenvatinib (*n* = 1). TAI: Transarterial infusion; ERT: External beam radiotherapy; TKI: Tyrosine kinase inhibitors; LAT: Local ablation therapy.

> TKI treatment were included in the POCR (-) TKI (-) group. In the POCR (-) TKI (+) group, the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS rates after reduction hepatectomy were 100%, 31.3%, and 0%, respectively, and the MST was 33.52 mo, whereas in the POCR (-) TKI (-) group the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS rates after reduction hepatectomy were 46.7%, 6.7%, and 6.7%, respectively, and the MST was 10.74 mo (*P* = 0.0473, Figure 2). There were no significant differences between the clinicopathological data of the POCR (-) TKI (+) and POCR (-) TKI (-) groups (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the survival rate of the POCR (+) group was better than that of the POCR (-) group (P = 0.0041), suggesting that achieving POCR after reduction hepatectomy could have an important impact on survival in patients with advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy. Moreover, even in the cases in which POCR was not achieved the administration of TKIs resulted in an improvement in survival outcomes; i.e., the survival rate of the POCR (-) TKI (+) group was better than that of the POCR (-) TKI (-) group (P = 0.0473). Thus, reduction hepatectomy could be effective against advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy, especially when POCR is achieved via postoperative multidisciplinary therapy. Even in cases in which POCR is not achieved, the administration of TKIs should be considered in the postoperative period.

In ovarian carcinoma, the maximal resection of any primary or metastatic carcinoma followed by postoperative chemotherapy has become the standard treatment strategy [11]. However, there are only a limited number of reports about reduction hepate-



Table 3 Univariate analyses									
Clinicopathological data Surgical data									
	Category	n	MST (m)	P value		Category	п	MST (m)	P value
Age (yr)	≥ 60	18	17.9	0.9267	Anatomical hepatectomy	-	3	39.0	0.2162
	< 60	12	29.7			+	27	16.9	
Sex	Male	28	17.9	0.1584	Operation time (min)	< 340	15	28.4	0.4177
	Female	2	-			≥ 340	15	14.8	
HBV/HCV	-	6	15.0	0.2674	Blood loss (mL)	< 690	15	29.7	0.6355
	+	24	29.7			≥ 690	15	16.4	
Alb (g/dL)	≥ 3.7	15	33.5	0.3444	Number of tumors in the	1-3	12	56.5	0.0283 ^a
	< 3.7	15	17.4		remnant liver	≥ 4	18	14.8	
T-Bil (mg/dL)	≤ 0.8	19	28.4	0.5131	POCR	+	8	56.6	0.0041 ^a
	> 0.8	11	16.5			-	22	14.8	
PT (%)	≥ 90	17	17.9	0.7839					
	< 90	13	28.4						
ICGR15 (%)	≥15	12	29.7	0.6790					
	< 15	18	16.5						
AFP (ng/mL)	< 200	16	29.7	0.5569					
	≥ 200	14	17.9						
PIVKA-II (AU/mL)	< 100	3	73.2	0.0584					
	≥100	27	16.9						
Child-pugh class	А	27	29.7	< 0.0001					
	В	3	6.4						
Tumor size (cm)	≥ 10 cm	15	12.2	0.0485					
	< 10 cm	15	29.7						
Differentiation	wel/mod	17	17.9	0.5449					
	por	13	28.4						
pN	-	28	17.9	0.2335					
	+	2	56.5						
Macrovascular	-	5	9.5	0.0053					
invasion	+	25	30.0						
BCLC stage	В	12	33.8	0.7652					
	С	18	16.9						
Distant metastasis	-	27	28.4	0.6013					
	+	3	12.1						

^a*P* < 0.05. MST: Mean survival time; PT: Prothrombin time; ICGR15: Indocyanine green retention rate at 15 min; AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; PIVKA-II: Protein induced by vitamin K absence/antagonist-II; BCLC: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer.

ctomy for HCC[9,12-14]. In the latter studies, it was reported that the OS rate after reduction hepatectomy for HCC ranged from 52%-67.7% at 1 year, from 20.0-40.6% at 3 years, and from 10%-21.7% at 5 years[9,13,14]. As different patients were selected and different treatment options were employed in different eras, it is hard to simply compare OS rates, although the OS rates described in previous reports were similar to those obtained in the present study.

Baisbideng® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 4 Comparison between the postoperative complete remission (+) and postoperative complete remission (-) groups					
	Category	POCR (+)	POCR (-)	P value	
Age	< 60/≥ 60	1/7	11/11	0.0994	
Sex	Male/female	7/1	21/1	0.4690	
HBV and/or HCV	-/ +	0/8	6/16	0.1550	
Alb (g/dL)	< 3.7/≥ 3.7	5/3	10/12	0.6817	
T-Bil (mg/dL)	$\leq 0.8 / > 0.8$	6/2	13/9	0.6722	
PT (%)	< 90/≥ 90	4/4	9/13	0.6976	
ICGR15 (%)	<15/≥15	4/4	14/8	0.6779	
AFP (ng/mL)	< 200/ <u>></u> 200	4/4	12/10	1.0000	
PIVKA-II	< 100/≥ 100	2/6	1/21	0.1655	
Child-pugh class	A/B	8/0	19/3	0.5448	
Tumor size (cm)	< 10/≥ 10	5/3	10/12	0.6817	
Differentiation	wel or mod/por	5/3	12/10	1.0000	
pN	-/ +	7/1	21/1	0.4690	
Macrovascular invasion	-/ +	0/8	5/17	0.2868	
Distant metastasis	-/ +	8/0	19/3	0.5448	
BCLC stage	B/C	3/5	9/13	1.0000	
Anatomical hepatectomy	-/ +	2/6	1/21	0.1665	
Number of tumors in the remnant liver	1-3/≥4	7/1	5/17	0.0025 ^a	

^aP < 0.05. POCR: Postoperative complete remission; PT: Prothrombin time; ICGR15: Indocyanine green retention rate at 15 min; AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; PIVKA-II: Protein induced by vitamin K absence/antagonist-II; BCLC: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer.

> The potential prognostic factors identified in the univariate analyses in the current study were the Child-Pugh class, tumor size, major vascular invasion, the number of tumors in the remnant liver, and whether POCR was achieved. The Child-Pugh class was the only independent prognostic factor that exhibited significance in the multivariate analysis (data not shown). However, we decided to focus on POCR, as it can be set as an aim of multidisciplinary therapy after reduction hepatectomy.

> Achieving POCR using postoperative multidisciplinary treatment had an important impact on survival in the current cases. When the cases were limited to those in which POCR was achieved, the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS rates after reduction hepatectomy were 100%, 75.0%, and 37.5%, respectively, and the MST was 56.55 mo. This suggests that reduction hepatectomy followed by postoperative treatment that aims to achieve POCR could be an effective treatment strategy for advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy.

> The postoperative treatments employed after reduction surgery for HCC are different from those used to treat other malignancies. Firstly, the recovery of the remnant liver after hepatectomy enables further treatment for tumors in the remnant liver, which is considered to affect prognosis in most cases of HCC[15]. In fact, tumors were detected in the remnant liver after reduction hepatectomy in all of the present cases, but extrahepatic metastases were only detected in 3 cases. Secondly, there are established additional non-surgical treatments for HCC localized in the liver, such as LAT and TAI therapy. RFA is indicated for cases of HCC involving \leq 3 tumors and a maximum tumor size of \leq 3 cm and is sometimes employed as an alternative to hepatectomy[5]. TACE is indicated for cases of unresectable HCC involving large or multifocal tumors without major vascular invasion or extrahepatic metastases[5]. R0 resection is the first-choice treatment for some advanced malignancies, even in cases involving distant metastasis. For distant metastases from HCC, there are not enough data supporting the validity of this approach, and the efficacy of surgical resection for lung metastases[16,17], adrenal gland metastases[18], and brain metastases[19] is disputed.

Table 5 Comparison between the postoperative complete remission (-) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (+) and postoperative complete	
remission (-)tyrosine kinase inhibitors (-) groups	

	Category	POCR (-)/TKI (+)	POCR (-)/TKI (-)	<i>P</i> value
Age	< 60/≥ 60	4/2	7/9	0.6351
Sex	Male/female	6/0	15/1	1.0000
HBV and/or HCV	-/ +	2/4	4/12	1.0000
Alb (g/dL)	< 3.7/≥ 3.7	1/5	9/7	0.1619
T-Bil (mg/dL)	$\leq 0.8 / > 0.8$	4/2	9/7	1.0000
PT (%)	< 90/≥ 90	2/4	7/9	1.0000
ICGR15 (%)	< 15/≥ 15	4/2	10/6	1.0000
AFP (ng/mL)	< 200/ <u>></u> 200	4/2	8/8	0.6462
PIVKA-II	< 100/≥ 100	0/6	1/15	1.0000
Child-pugh class	A/B	6/0	13/3	0.5325
Tumor size (cm)	< 10/≥ 10	4/2	6/10	0.3476
Differentiation	Wel or mod/por	4/2	8/8	0.6462
pN	-/ +	5/1	16/0	0.2727
Macrovascular invasion	-/ +	0/6	5/11	0.2663
Distant metastasis	-/ +	5/1	14/2	1.0000
BCLC stage	B/C	2/4	7/9	1.0000
Anatomical hepatectomy	-/ +	0/6	1/15	1.0000
Number of tumors in the remnant liver	1-3/≥4	0/6	5/11	0.2663

POCR: Postoperative complete remission; TKIs: Tyrosine kinase inhibitors; PT: Prothrombin time; ICGR15: Indocyanine green retention rate at 15 min; AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; PIVKA-II: Protein induced by vitamin K absence/antagonist-II; BCLC: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer.



Figure 1 The survival curves obtained after reduction hepatectomy. A: The survival curve for all 30 cases of unresectable advanced hepatocellular carcinoma after reduction hepatectomy; B: The survival curves of the postoperative complete remission (POCR) (+) (red line) and POCR (-) (blue line) groups after reduction hepatectomy. POCR: Postoperative complete remission of evaluable lesions induced by multidisciplinary treatment after reduction hepatectomy.

In the present study, the number of tumors in the remnant liver after reduction hepatectomy was the only factor that differed significantly between the POCR (+) and POCR (-) groups. This indicates that it is important that reduction hepatectomy is performed with the aim of reducing the number of tumors in the remnant liver to ≤ 3 , which agrees with the conclusion of the study by Hai *et al*[9]. According to the present study, POCR might not need to be achieved via surgery alone, and even patients in whom POCR is achieved using LAT or TAI therapy can be good candidates for reduction surgery. Furthermore, the findings of the current study suggest that some



Figure 2 The survival curves of the postoperative complete remission (-), tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) (+) and postoperative complete remission (-),tyrosine kinase inhibitors (-) groups after reduction hepatectomy. The cases in which postoperative complete remission (POCR) was not achieved despite tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) treatment being administered in the postoperative period were included in the POCR (-) TKI (+) group (green line). The cases in which POCR was not achieved and TKIs were not administered in the postoperative period were included in the POCR (-) TKI (-) group (gray line).

patients would benefit from reduction hepatectomy even if POCR is not achieved. Patients that are likely to benefit from TKI treatment can also be good candidates for reduction hepatectomy.

In the current study, there were only 6 cases in which TKIs were orally administered. Two reasons are considered as possible explanations for the low frequency of TKI treatment. The first is the small number of cases included in the present study; *i.e.*, only 30. Second, the treatment options for HCC changed during the study period. The most important change was the introduction of TKIs as a treatment option. Sorafenib, a TKI, was reported to improve the prognosis of HCC in 2008[20], and it started to be used in the clinical setting in Japan in 2009. Moreover, lenvatinib, another TKI, was reported to be non-inferior to sorafenib in the REFLECT trial in 2018 [6]. In the present study, TKIs were only administered in the cases in which POCR was not achieved. This might have been due to the fact that TKIs were mainly administered when surgery, LAT, and TAI therapy were not indicated; i.e., TKIs were used when the abovementioned treatments were not expected to be effective. The MST of the POCR (-) TKI (+) group was 33.52 mo, which is superior to the outcomes described in other studies in which unresectable HCC was treated with TKIs alone [6,20].

Some cases that were successfully converted to downstaging hepatectomy after the preoperative administration of the TKIs sorafenib and lenvatinib have been reported [21-23]. Although these cases were successfully treated with conversion hepatectomy, the actual conversion rate due to the downstaging effects of TKIs remains unknown, and the response rate of HCC to TKI therapy (a complete response rate of 2% and a partial response rate of 38% can be achieved with lenvatinib[6]) is still insufficient to enable TKIs to be used for downstaging purposes as part of the standard treatment strategy for advanced HCC.

This study had several limitations. The first is the inevitable selection bias caused by the study's retrospective and single-center design, although one of the most important processes in reduction hepatectomy for advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy is the selection of cases that would benefit from such treatment. Two of the inclusion criteria for reduction hepatectomy for advanced HCC employed in the present study were similar to criteria reported by Komatsu *et al*[8]. The first was that it must be considered that reduction hepatectomy of the main tumor would eliminate the most important poor prognostic factor, and the second was that the patient's condition must be good enough to make them eligible for postoperative treatment. In the study by Komatsu et al[8], postoperative local treatment produced an obvious survival benefit. Surgical safety also has an important impact on whether postoperative multidisciplinary treatment can be performed. In the present study, postoperative treatment could not be performed in one case due to the deterioration of the patient's general condition because of a postsurgical complication. At the same time, the safety of surgery should be considered to be the most important factor from an ethical viewpoint. There were no surgery-related deaths in the present study. Other limitations of this study include the small number of cases and the short follow-up periods in some of the cases. Another limitation of the present study was the small



number of cases it included; therefore, a study involving more cases from multiple institutions should be performed in the future.

CONCLUSION

When reduction hepatectomy is performed for unresectable advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy, achieving POCR via postoperative multidisciplinary therapy is the key to success, with the 5-year OS rate and MST for the POCR (+) group being 37.5% and 56.55 mo, respectively. To achieve POCR, reduction hepatectomy should be performed with the aim of reducing the number of tumors in the remnant liver to \leq 3. Even in cases in which POCR is not achieved, TKI treatment might improve the prognosis of advanced HCC after reduction hepatectomy.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Reduction hepatectomy combined with multidisciplinary postoperative treatment should be considered as a treatment option for unresectable advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy. A well designed and/or larger cohort study is required to further evaluate this treatment strategy.

Research motivation

Reduction hepatectomy combined with multidisciplinary postoperative treatment for unresectable advanced HCC that was not indicated for curative hepatectomy was effective when postoperative complete remission (POCR) was achieved through multidisciplinary postoperative therapy. To achieve POCR, reduction hepatectomy should aim to ensure that \leq 3 tumors remain in the remnant liver. In cases in which POCR is not achieved, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). can improve survival outcomes when administered as part of postoperative multidisciplinary therapy after reduction hepatectomy.

Research objectives

The 5-year overall survival rate and mean survival time (MST) for all cases after reduction hepatectomy were 15.7% and 28.40 mo, respectively. POCR, tumor size, major vascular invasion, and the number of tumors in the remnant liver after the reduction hepatectomy were found to be related to survival outcomes. In the POCR (+) and POCR (-) groups, the MST was 56.55 mo and 14.84 mo, respectively (P = 0.0041). POCR was achieved significantly more frequently when ≤ 3 tumors remained in the remnant liver (P = 0.0025). The MST was 33.52 mo in the POCR (-) TKI (+) group, which was superior to the MST of 10.74 mo seen in the POCR (-) TKI (-) group (P =0.0473).

Research methods

Thirty cases of advanced HCC, in which reduction hepatectomy was performed between 2000 and 2018 at the Department of Gastroenterological Surgery I, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, were retrospectively investigated. These 30 cases were divided into two groups, the POCR (+) and POCR (-) groups, according to whether postoperative complete remission (POCR) of the evaluable lesions was achieved through postoperative treatment. Further analyses were performed after dividing the POCR (-) cases into two groups, the POCR (-) TKI (+) and POCR (-) TKI (-) groups, depending on whether TKIs were administered postoperatively.

Research results

To investigate the outcomes of combination treatment with reduction hepatectomy and multidisciplinary postoperative treatment for advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy.

Research conclusions

To date, few studies have evaluated combination treatment with reduction hepatectomy and multidisciplinary postoperative treatment for advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy.



Research perspectives

The prognosis of advanced HCC that is not indicated for curative hepatectomy remains poor, despite advances in the treatment of HCC including the development of tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

REFERENCES

- 1 El-Serag HB, Rudolph KL. Hepatocellular carcinoma: epidemiology and molecular carcinogenesis. Gastroenterology 2007; 132: 2557-2576 [PMID: 17570226 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2007.04.061]
- 2 Kokudo N, Takemura N, Hasegawa K, Takayama T, Kubo S, Shimada M, Nagano H, Hatano E, Izumi N, Kaneko S, Kudo M, Iijima H, Genda T, Tateishi R, Torimura T, Igaki H, Kobayashi S, Sakurai H, Murakami T, Watadani T, Matsuyama Y. Clinical practice guidelines for hepatocellular carcinoma: The Japan Society of Hepatology 2017 (4th JSH-HCC guidelines) 2019 update. Hepatol Res 2019; 49: 1109-1113 [PMID: 31336394 DOI: 10.1111/hepr.13411]
- 3 Forner A, Reig M, Bruix J. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Lancet 2018; 391: 1301-1314 [PMID: 29307467 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736]
- 4 Hasegawa K, Kokudo N, Imamura H, Matsuyama Y, Aoki T, Minagawa M, Sano K, Sugawara Y, Takayama T, Makuuchi M. Prognostic impact of anatomic resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. Ann Surg 2005; 242: 252-259 [PMID: 16041216 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000171307.37401.db]
- Omata M, Cheng AL, Kokudo N, Kudo M, Lee JM, Jia J, Tateishi R, Han KH, Chawla YK, Shiina S, 5 Jafri W, Payawal DA, Ohki T, Ogasawara S, Chen PJ, Lesmana CRA, Lesmana LA, Gani RA, Obi S, Dokmeci AK, Sarin SK. Asia-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatocellular carcinoma: a 2017 update. Hepatol Int 2017; 11: 317-370 [PMID: 28620797 DOI: 10.1007/s12072-017-9799-9]
- Kudo M, Finn RS, Qin S, Han KH, Ikeda K, Piscaglia F, Baron A, Park JW, Han G, Jassem J, Blanc JF, Vogel A, Komov D, Evans TRJ, Lopez C, Dutcus C, Guo M, Saito K, Kraljevic S, Tamai T, Ren M, Cheng AL. Lenvatinib vs sorafenib in first-line treatment of patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised phase 3 non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2018; 391: 1163-1173 [PMID: 29433850 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736]
- 7 von Felden J. New systemic agents for hepatocellular carcinoma: an update 2020. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2020; 36: 177-183 [PMID: 32101985 DOI: 10.1097/MOG.00000000000626]
- Komatsu S, Kido M, Tanaka M, Kuramitsu K, Tsugawa D, Awazu M, Gon H, Toyama H, Ueno K, 8 Fukumoto T. Clinical Relevance of Reductive Hepatectomy for Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer Stages B and C Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Single-Center Experience of 102 Patients. World J Surg 2019; 43: 2571-2578 [PMID: 31222640 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-019-05052-5]
- 9 Hai S, Hatano E, Okada T, Uyama N, Suzumura K, Fujimoto J. Is Noncurative Hepatic Resection Justified for Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma? Am Surg 2018; 84: 1938-1944 [PMID: 30606352]
- 10 Kamiyama T, Nakanishi K, Yokoo H, Kamachi H, Tahara M, Yamashita K, Taniguchi M, Shimamura T, Matsushita M, Todo S. Perioperative management of hepatic resection toward zero mortality and morbidity: analysis of 793 consecutive cases in a single institution. J Am Coll Surg 2010; 211: 443-449 [PMID: 20822741 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2010.06.005]
- Makar AP, Tropé CG, Tummers P, Denys H, Vandecasteele K. Advanced Ovarian Cancer: Primary 11 or Interval Debulking? Oncologist 2016; 21: 745-754 [PMID: 27009938 DOI: 10.1634/theoncologist.2015-0239]
- Yamamoto M, Iizuka H, Matsuda M, Nagahori K, Miura K, Itakura J. The indications for tumor 12 mass reduction surgery and subsequent multidisciplinary treatments in stage IV hepatocellular carcinoma. Surg Today 1993; 23: 675-681 [PMID: 8400670 DOI: 10.1007/BF00311704]
- Wakabayashi H, Ushiyama T, Ishimura K, Izuishi K, Karasawa Y, Masaki T, Watanabe S, Kuriyama 13 S, Maeta H. Significance of reduction surgery in multidisciplinary treatment of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with multiple intrahepatic lesions. J Surg Oncol 2003; 82: 98-103 [PMID: 12561065 DOI: 10.1002/iso.102031
- 14 Inoue K, Nakamura T, Kinoshita T, Konishi M, Nakagohri T, Oda T, Takahashi S, Gotohda N, Hayashi T, Nawano S. Volume reduction surgery for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2004; 130: 362-366 [PMID: 15034789 DOI: 10.1007/s00432-004-0566-7]
- 15 Uchino K, Tateishi R, Shiina S, Kanda M, Masuzaki R, Kondo Y, Goto T, Omata M, Yoshida H, Koike K. Hepatocellular carcinoma with extrahepatic metastasis: clinical features and prognostic factors. Cancer 2011; 117: 4475-4483 [PMID: 21437884 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.25960]
- 16 Nakagawa T, Kamiyama T, Nakanishi K, Yokoo H, Kamachi H, Matsushita M, Todo S. Pulmonary resection for metastases from hepatocellular carcinoma: factors influencing prognosis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006; 131: 1248-1254 [PMID: 16733153 DOI: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2006.02.009]
- Wang C, Yang L, Liang Z, Liu Y, Liu S. Long-Term Survival and Prognostic Factors of Pulmonary 17 Metastasectomy in Liver Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. World J Surg 2018; 42: 2153-2163 [PMID: 29435629 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-017-4431-7]
- Ha TY, Hwang S, Ahn CS, Kim KH, Lee YJ, Moon DB, Song GW, Jung DH, Park GC, Lee SG. 18 Resection of metachronous adrenal metastasis after liver resection and transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. Dig Surg 2014; 31: 428-435 [PMID: 25573138 DOI: 10.1159/000370078]



- Park ES, Kwon DH, Park JB, Lee DH, Cho YH, Kim JH, Kim CJ. Gamma Knife surgery for treating 19 brain metastases arising from hepatocellular carcinomas. J Neurosurg 2014; 121 Suppl: 102-109 [PMID: 25434943 DOI: 10.3171/2014.7.GKS141507]
- Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, Hilgard P, Gane E, Blanc JF, de Oliveira AC, Santoro A, Raoul 20 JL, Forner A, Schwartz M, Porta C, Zeuzem S, Bolondi L, Greten TF, Galle PR, Seitz JF, Borbath I, Häussinger D, Giannaris T, Shan M, Moscovici M, Voliotis D, Bruix J; SHARP Investigators Study Group. Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2008; 359: 378-390 [PMID: 18650514 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0708857]
- Kim TS, Kim JH, Kim BH, Lee YS, Yoo YJ, Kang SH, Suh SJ, Jung YK, Seo YS, Yim HJ, Yeon JE, 21 Byun KS. Complete response of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma to sorafenib: another case and a comprehensive review. Clin Mol Hepatol 2017; 23: 340-346 [PMID: 28633200 DOI: 10.3350/cmh.2016.0070]
- Yokoo H, Takahashi H, Hagiwara M, Iwata H, Imai K, Saito Y, Matsuno N, Furukawa H. Successful 22 hepatic resection for recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after lenvatinib treatment: A case report. World J Hepatol 2020; 12: 1349-1357 [PMID: 33442460 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v12.i12.1349]
- 23 Ohya Y, Hayashida S, Tsuji A, Kuramoto K, Shibata H, Setoyama H, Hayashi H, Kuriwaki K, Sasaki M, Iizaka M, Nakahara O, Inomata Y. Conversion hepatectomy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma after right portal vein transection and lenvatinib therapy. Surg Case Rep 2020; 6: 318 [PMID: 33301055 DOI: 10.1186/s40792-020-01078-3]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

