# World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

World J Gastrointest Surg 2023 October 27; 15(10): 2098-2381





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WU

# World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

# Contents

# Monthly Volume 15 Number 10 October 27, 2023

# **MINIREVIEWS**

2098 Minimally invasive surgery for post cholecystectomy biliary stricture: current evidence and future perspectives

Kalayarasan R, Sai Krishna P

2108 From basic to clinical: Anatomy of Denonvilliers' fascia and its application in laparoscopic radical resection of rectal cancer

Chen Z, Zhang XJ, Chang HD, Chen XQ, Liu SS, Wang W, Chen ZH, Ma YB, Wang L

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# **Basic Study**

2115 Effects of thrombopoietin pre-treatment on peri-liver transplantation thrombocytopenia in a mouse model of cirrhosis with hypersplenism

Liu ZR, Zhang YM, Cui ZL, Tong W

#### **Retrospective Cohort Study**

2123 Effect of low anterior resection syndrome on quality of life in colorectal cancer patients: A retrospective observational study

Jin DA, Gu FP, Meng TL, Zhang XX

Stent fracture after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt placement using the bare metal 2133 stent/stent-graft combination technique

Liu QJ, Cao XF, Pei Y, Li X, Dong GX, Wang CM

#### **Retrospective Study**

2142 Robotic natural orifice specimen extraction surgery I-type F method vs conventional robotic resection for lower rectal cancer

Tao F, Liu DN, He PH, Luo X, Xu CY, Li TY, Duan JY

Gene polymorphisms associated with sudden decreases in heart rate during extensive peritoneal lavage 2154 with distilled water after gastrectomy

Yao S, Yuan Y, Zhang J, Yu Y, Luo GH

2171 Analgesic effect of ultrasound-guided bilateral transversus abdominis plane block in laparoscopic gastric cancer

Wang YY, Fu HJ

2179 Effects of an Omaha System-based follow-up regimen on self-care and quality of life in gastrointestinal surgery patients

Li YD, Qu N, Yang J, Lv CY, Tang Y, Li P



<u> </u>	World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery
Conten	Monthly Volume 15 Number 10 October 27, 2023
2191	Optimizing surgical outcomes for elderly gallstone patients with a high body mass index using enhanced recovery after surgery protocol
	Gu YX, Wang XY, Chen Y, Shao JX, Ni SX, Zhang XM, Shao SY, Zhang Y, Hu WJ, Ma YY, Liu MY, Yu H
2201	Establishment and application of three predictive models of anastomotic leakage after rectal cancer sphincter-preserving surgery
	Li HY, Zhou JT, Wang YN, Zhang N, Wu SF
2211	Identification of multiple risk factors for colorectal cancer relapse after laparoscopic radical resection
	Luo J, He MW, Luo T, Lv GQ
2222	Examining the impact of early enteral nutritional support on postoperative recovery in patients undergoing surgical treatment for gastrointestinal neoplasms
	Chen Z, Hong B, He JJ, Ye QQ, Hu QY
2234	Predicting lymph node metastasis in colorectal cancer: An analysis of influencing factors to develop a risk model
	Lei YP, Song QZ, Liu S, Xie JY, Lv GQ
2247	Novel prognostic score based on the preoperative total bilirubin-albumin ratio and fibrinogen-albumin ratio in ampullary adenocarcinoma
	Zhang XJ, Fei H, Sun CY, Li ZF, Li Z, Guo CG, Zhao DB
2259	Analysis of textbook outcomes for ampullary carcinoma patients following pancreaticoduodenectomy
	Zhang XJ, Fei H, Guo CG, Sun CY, Li ZF, Li Z, Chen YT, Che X, Zhao DB
2272	Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography for diagnosing and treating pediatric biliary and pancreatic diseases
	Qin XM, Yu FH, Lv CK, Liu ZM, Wu J
	SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS
2280	Systematic review of diagnostic tools for peritoneal metastasis in gastric cancer-staging laparoscopy and its alternatives
	Ho SYA, Tay KV
2294	Prediction of lymph node metastasis in early esophageal cancer
	Li Y, Wang JX, Yibi RH
2305	Hepatobiliary tuberculosis in the developing world
	Esguerra-Paculan MJA, Soldera J
	META-ANALYSIS
2320	Timing of surgical operation for patients with intra-abdominal infection: A systematic review and meta- analysis

Song SR, Liu YY, Guan YT, Li RJ, Song L, Dong J, Wang PG



Conton	World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery
Conten	Monthly Volume 15 Number 10 October 27, 2023
2331	Bariatric surgery reduces colorectal cancer incidence in obese individuals: Systematic review and meta- analysis
	Liu YN, Gu JF, Zhang J, Xing DY, Wang GQ
	CASE REPORT
2343	Postpolypectomy syndrome without abdominal pain led to sepsis/septic shock and gastrointestinal bleeding: A case report
	Chen FZ, Ouyang L, Zhong XL, Li JX, Zhou YY
2351	Three-dimensional computed tomography reconstruction diagnosed digestive tract perforation and acute peritonitis caused by <i>Monopterus albus</i> : A case report
	Yang JH, Lan JY, Lin AY, Huang WB, Liao JY
2357	Gastric adenosquamous carcinoma with an elevated serum level of alpha-fetoprotein: A case report
	Sun L, Wei JJ, An R, Cai HY, Lv Y, Li T, Shen XF, Du JF, Chen G
2362	Mucocutaneous ulcer positive for Epstein-Barr virus, misdiagnosed as a small bowel adenocarcinoma: A case report
	Song JH, Choi JE, Kim JS
2367	Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia involving portal venous system: A case report and review of the literature
	Wu JL, Zhao ZZ, Chen J, Zhang HW, Luan Z, Li CY, Zhao YM, Jing YJ, Wang SF, Sun G
2376	Giant dedifferentiated liposarcoma of the gastrocolic ligament: A case report
	Kassi ABF, Yenon KS, Kassi FMH, Adjeme AJ, Diarra KM, Bombet-Kouame C, Kouassi M



# Contents

# Monthly Volume 15 Number 10 October 27, 2023

# **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Giuseppe Zimmitti, MD, PhD, Adjunct Professor, Attending Doctor, Postdoctoral Fellow, Surgical Oncologist, Department of General Surgery, Istituto Ospedaliero Fondazione Poliambulanza, Brescia 25124, Lombardia, Italy. giuseppe.zimmitti@poliambulanza.it

# **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery (WJGS, World J Gastrointest Surg) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

*WJGS* mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, etc.

## **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJGS as 2.0; IF without journal self cites: 1.9; 5-year IF: 2.2; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.52; Ranking: 113 among 212 journals in surgery; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 81 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q4.

# **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Zi-Hang Xu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-9366 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
November 30, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Peter Schemmer	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
October 27, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



S WŰ

# World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Surg 2023 October 27; 15(10): 2234-2246

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i10.2234

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# **Retrospective Study** Predicting lymph node metastasis in colorectal cancer: An analysis of influencing factors to develop a risk model

Yun-Peng Lei, Qing-Zhi Song, Shuang Liu, Ji-Yan Xie, Guo-Qing Lv

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

## Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Iruarrizaga-Lejarreta M, Spain; Landberg G, Sweden

Received: August 16, 2023 Peer-review started: August 16, 2023

First decision: August 31, 2023 Revised: September 7, 2023 Accepted: September 14, 2023 Article in press: September 14, 2023 Published online: October 27, 2023



Yun-Peng Lei, Qing-Zhi Song, Shuang Liu, Ji-Yan Xie, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Peking University Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen 518036, Guangdong Province, China

Guo-Qing Lv, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Shenzhen Peking University-The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Medical Center, Peking University Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen 518036, Guangdong Province, China

Corresponding author: Guo-Qing Lv, MD, MS, Attending Doctor, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Shenzhen Peking University-The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Medical Center, Peking University Shenzhen Hospital, No. 120 Lianhua Road, Futian District, Shenzhen 518036, Guangdong Province, China. 365973269@qq.com

# Abstract

# BACKGROUND

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a significant global health issue, and lymph node metastasis (LNM) is a crucial prognostic factor. Accurate prediction of LNM is essential for developing individualized treatment strategies for patients with CRC. However, the prediction of LNM is challenging and depends on various factors such as tumor histology, clinicopathological features, and molecular characteristics. The most reliable method to detect LNM is the histopathological examination of surgically resected specimens; however, this method is invasive, time-consuming, and subject to sampling errors and interobserver variability.

# AIM

To analyze influencing factors and develop and validate a risk prediction model for LNM in CRC based on a large patient queue.

# **METHODS**

This study retrospectively analyzed 300 patients who underwent CRC surgery at two Peking University Shenzhen hospitals between January and December 2021. A deep learning approach was used to extract features potentially associated with LNM from primary tumor histological images while a logistic regression model was employed to predict LNM in CRC using machine-learning-derived features and clinicopathological variables as predictors.

# RESULTS

The prediction model constructed for LNM in CRC was based on a logistic regression framework that incorporated machine learning-extracted features and



clinicopathological variables. The model achieved high accuracy (0.86), sensitivity (0.81), specificity (0.87), positive predictive value (0.66), negative predictive value (0.94), area under the curve for the receiver operating characteristic (0.91), and a low Brier score (0.10). The model showed good agreement between the observed and predicted probabilities of LNM across a range of risk thresholds, indicating good calibration and clinical utility.

#### CONCLUSION

The present study successfully developed and validated a potent and effective risk-prediction model for LNM in patients with CRC. This model utilizes machine-learning-derived features extracted from primary tumor histology and clinicopathological variables, demonstrating superior performance and clinical applicability compared to existing models. The study provides new insights into the potential of deep learning to extract valuable information from tumor histology, in turn, improving the prediction of LNM in CRC and facilitate risk stratification and decision-making in clinical practice.

**Key Words:** Colorectal cancer; Lymph node metastasis; Machine learning; Risk prediction model; Clinicopathological factors; Individualized treatment strategies

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** This study developed a robust risk prediction model for lymph node metastasis (LNM) in colorectal cancer (CRC) using machine learning and clinicopathological factors. The model achieved high accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity, demonstrating its superior performance compared to existing models. By leveraging deep learning to extract information from tumor histology, the model improves LNM prediction, facilitating individualized treatment strategies and clinical decision-making in CRC.

**Citation:** Lei YP, Song QZ, Liu S, Xie JY, Lv GQ. Predicting lymph node metastasis in colorectal cancer: An analysis of influencing factors to develop a risk model. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2023; 15(10): 2234-2246 **URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v15/i10/2234.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v15.i10.2234

### INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a significant global health issue, and lymph node metastasis (LNM) is a crucial prognostic factor in CRC patients. Accurate prediction of LNM is essential for developing individualized treatment strategies. A study analyzed data from 300 patients who underwent CRC surgery in two Peking University Shenzhen hospitals and constructed and validated a risk prediction model for LNM based on a logistic regression framework incorporating machine learning extracted features and clinicopathological variables. The model achieved high accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUROC) in the validation set and demonstrated good calibration and clinical utility. This study provides new insight into the potential of deep learning in extracting valuable information from tumor histology to improve the prediction of LNM in CRC, facilitating risk stratification and decision-making in clinical practice. CRC has emerged as the dominant form of cancer worldwide and plays a substantial role in the mortality associated with cancer [1]. LNM is a crucial prognostic factor and determinant of the treatment strategy for CRC[2]. Patients with LNM have significantly worse survival outcomes than those without LNM and require more aggressive treatment modalities, such as adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy[3]. Therefore, the accurate prediction of LNM is essential for developing individualized treatment strategies for patients with CRC and for improving patient outcomes.

However, the prediction of LNM is challenging and depends on various factors such as tumor histology, clinicopathological features, and molecular characteristics, including the type of surgical intervention. For instance, studies have indicated that old age and male sex are associated with a higher risk of LNM[4]. Notably, tumors in the right colon exhibit an elevated risk of LNM compared with those in the left colon[5]. Additionally, larger and poorly differentiated tumors are more likely to metastasize to lymph nodes[6]. Research indicates that the extent of lymph node dissection during surgery may influence the likelihood of detecting LNM[7]. Moreover, neoadjuvant therapy can potentially influence lymph node status during surgical procedures[8]. Nevertheless, these influencing factors have not been thoroughly evaluated or integrated into a risk prediction model for patients diagnosed with CRC.

The most reliable method to detect LNM is histopathological examination of surgically resected specimens[9]. However, this method is invasive, time-consuming, and subject to sampling errors and interobserver variability. Moreover, some patients may undergo unnecessary surgery or overtreatment owing to false-positive or false-negative results[10]. Therefore, there is an unmet need to develop noninvasive and reliable methods to predict LNM in CRC before surgery. This would aid in preoperative patient counseling, guide treatment decisions, and facilitate patient selection for clinical trials.

Several studies have attempted to identify the risk factors or biomarkers for LNM in CRC using conventional statistical methods or machine learning techniques[11-13]. However, most of these studies had limitations, such as small sample size, single-center design, lack of external validation, and low predictive performance[14-16]. Moreover, most of these studies have singularly focused on either tumor histology or clinicopathological factors, while ignoring the potential synergistic effects of combining multiple sources of information[17,18].

In the present study, we analyzed the influencing factors, and developed and validated a risk prediction model for LNM in CRC using a large cohort of patients. Relevant attributes were extracted from the histology of primary tumors and clinicopathological data using machine learning. The extracted attributes were then combined with known predictors to construct a logistic regression model. The efficacy of the model was extensively assessed, focusing on its applicability on an external cohort. The model was juxtaposed with existing predictive models, and its efficiency in stratifying risks and aiding clinical decision-making processes was explored.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study population and data collection

This study retrospectively analyzed 300 patients who underwent CRC surgery at Peking University Shenzhen Hospital between January and December 2021. The eligibility criteria for the study was as follows: Histopathologically confirmed diagnosis of adenocarcinoma of the colon or rectum, diagnosis of stage I-III disease as per the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system, availability of primary tumor histology slides and clinicopathological data, and no prior history of neoadjuvant therapy, synchronous or metachronous malignancy, or hereditary CRC syndrome. The exclusion criteria was as follows: Incomplete or missing data, and substandard quality of histology slides. Finally, 258 participants were included in this study. The participants were then stratified into two groups, with 188 randomly selected for the training set and the remaining 70 assigned to the external validation set. The ethical review boards of both hospitals approved the study protocol, and the requirement for informed consent was waived due to the retrospective design of the study.

Clinicopathological data for patients was collected from electronic medical records and pathology reports. Data included age, gender, tumor location, tumor size, tumor differentiation, depth of tumor invasion, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, tumor budding, and lymph node status. Primary tumor histology slides were retrieved from the pathology archives and scanned using a digital slide scanner (Aperio AT2, Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The scanned images were stored on a secure server and accessed using Image Viewer software (Aperio ImageScope, Leica Biosystems).

#### Feature extraction from primary tumor histology

A deep learning approach was used to extract features potentially associated with LNM from primary tumor histological images. The utilized method can be divided in to three steps: Generating deep learning embeddings of small patches of tumor tissue using a convolutional neural network (CNN), clustering the embeddings into groups using the k-means algorithm, and selecting the top clusters that added predictive value to the logistic regression model when combined with known baseline clinicopathological variables.

First, a CNN model pre-trained on a large dataset of colorectal polyps to generate embeddings of small patches of tumor tissue was used. The architecture of the CNN model comprises 50 convolutional layers, a global average pooling layer, and a fully connected layer with 512 units. It categorized colorectal polyps into four distinct classes. The output of the model served as the embedding vector for each patch. This model was teste using the training set and subsequently, validated using both the training and external validation sets. From each histological image, patches of 224 × 224 pixels were extracted at 20 × magnification, utilizing a sliding window approach with a stride of 112 pixels. We performed manual annotation and excluded patches containing < 50% tumor tissue. Each patch is then fed into the CNN model and produces a 512-dimensional embedding vector.

Second, the k-means algorithm was used to cluster the embeddings into groups based on similarity. Based on the elbow method, k value of 100 was selected as the number of clusters. Then, the cluster frequency was computed for each histological image as the proportion of patches belonging to each cluster. These cluster frequencies were used as feature vectors corresponding to each image.

Finally, a logistic regression model was incorporated to identify the top clusters that could augment the predictive value of the fundamental clinicopathological variables. LNM was the outcome variable in our analysis and six fundamental clinicopathological variables (age, gender, tumor location, tumor size, tumor differentiation, and tumor invasion depth) served as primary predictors. Subsequently, each cluster frequency was appended as an auxiliary predictor and likelihood ratio tests were conducted to compare the predictive capacities of the models with and without each cluster frequency. By ordering the clusters based on their P value, the top ten clusters with P < 0.05 were selected. These top ten clusters were then utilized for machine learning to extract the features corresponding to each image.

#### Construction and validation of risk prediction model

The present study employed a logistic regression model for LNM in CRC, leveraging machine learning-derived features and clinicopathological variables as predictors. LNM was utilized as the outcome variable and 10 predictors *i.e.*, age, gender, tumor position, size, differentiation, depth of invasion, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, tumor budding, and frequency of the top ten clusters, were used. To optimize the model, variable selection was performed via backward elimination based on the Akaike Information Criterion. The developed model was then evaluated using a



training cohort and validated using both training and external validation cohorts.

Model performance was evaluated using various metrics including accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, AUROC, area under the precision-recall curve (AUPRC), calibration plot, decision curve analysis, net reclassification improvement (NRI), integrated discrimination improvement (IDI), and Brier scores. We compared our model with three existing models for LNM prediction in CRC: Kikuchi's model that uses four clinicopathological variables (tumor size > 3 cm, depth of submucosal invasion > 1 m, positive lymphatic invasion, and positive venous invasion), Ueno's model that uses five clinicopathological variables (depth of submucosal invasion > 1000  $\mu$ m, positive lymphatic invasion, positive venous invasion, high budding grade, and poor differentiation grade), and Krogue's model that uses six clinicopathological variables (age > 65 years, male gender, right-sided tumor location, tumor size > 4 cm, depth of submucosal invasion > 1000  $\mu$ m, and poor differentiation grade) and machine learning extracted features from primary tumor histology[19-21].

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R software version 4.0.3. Descriptive statistics was employed to summarize the clinicopathological characteristics of the study population, with the Chi-Square or Fisher's exact test used to compare categorical variables and the student's *t*-test or Mann-Whitney U test used to compare continuous variables. Logistic regression models estimated predictor coefficients and odds ratios for LNM in patients with CRC. We employed a backward elimination process guided by the Akaike information criterion for predictor selection of the final model. Likelihood ratio tests were used to compare the nested models with and without each predictor. The discriminatory abilities of the models were assessed using ROC and precision-recall curves. The quantitative measures of the discriminatory performance of the models was based on the AUROC and AUPRC.

Calibration plots assessed the correlation between the observed and model predicted LNM probabilities. Decision curve analysis appraised the clinical utility of the models by comparing the net benefits of using the models with alternative strategies (treating all or none) at various risk thresholds. The enhancement, owing to extracted features through machine learning, to the clinicopathological variables for LNM prediction was measured using the NRI and IDI.

The Brier score evaluated the overall performance of the predictive models and quantified the average squared discrepancy between the actual and predicted probabilities for LNM. Bootstrap resampling with 1,000 iterations was used to compute 95% confidence intervals for the AUROC, AUPRC, NRI, IDI and Brier scores. Statistical significance was set at a threshold of P < 0.05 for statistical significance in all evaluations.

#### RESULTS

#### Clinicopathological characteristics of the study population

The study cohort comprised of 258 patients who met the inclusion criteria. The training cohort comprised 188 patients: 141 (75%) with no LNM and 47 (25%) with LNM. The external validation cohort included 70 patients: 52 (74.3%) without LNM and 18 (25.7%) with LNM, as shown in Figure 1. Table 1 shows the clinicopathological characteristics of these patients, with all *P* value greater than 0.05, suggesting no significant differences in various parameters between the two cohorts.

#### Extraction of features from primary tumor histology

In our study, a CNN model was employed that was pretrained on an extensive dataset of colorectal polyps to derive 512dimensional embeddings for each tumor tissue patch. These embeddings were subsequently grouped into 100 clusters and the cluster frequency for each histological description was calculated. The top 10 clusters were selected based on the statistical significance of the likelihood ratio tests, with and without including each cluster frequency as an additional predictor. Representative patches of tumor tissues and detailed descriptions of each of the top 10 clusters are presented in Table 2.

#### Construction and validation of risk prediction model

The prediction model for LNM in CRC was based on a logistic regression framework incorporating machine learningextracted features and clinicopathological variables. Backward elimination based on the Akaike Information Criterion led to the selection of 10 significant predictors: Age, tumor location, tumor size, tumor differentiation, tumor invasion depth, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, tumor budding, cluster frequency of cluster 1, and cluster frequency of cluster 2.

Therefore, the resulting logistic regression model integrates these 10 predictors and can be represented by the following mathematical formula:  $LogP/1-p = -4.32 + 0.02 \times age - 0.65 \times left colon - 1.04 \times rectum + 0.17 \times tumor size -0.48 \times tumor differentiation + 1.32 \times T1 + 2.12 \times T2 + 3.45 \times T3 + 4.67 \times T4a + 5.89 \times T4b + 1.23 \times lymphovascular invasion + 1.01 \times perineural invasion + 0.87 \times tumor budding + 0.05 \times cluster frequency of cluster 1 - 0.04 \times cluster frequency of cluster 2. (P is the probability of LNM in CRC, and Tis is the depth of tumor invasion. Right colon is the reference for tumor location). Table 3 presents the coefficients and odds ratios of the determinants incorporated into the final model. All predictors showed a positive statistically significant correlation with LNM, except tumor differentiation and cluster frequency in cluster 2.$ 

Zaishidena® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Clinicopathological characteristics of the study population (%)			
Variable	Training set ( <i>n</i> = 188)	Validation set ( <i>n</i> = 70)	<i>P</i> value
Age (yr)			0.86
mean ± SD	$60.4 \pm 13.1$	$60.6 \pm 13.5$	
Median (range)	61 (18-95)	61 (20-93)	
Sex			0.91
Male	112 (59.6)	42 (60)	
Female	76 (40.4)	28 (40)	
Tumor location			0.97
Right colon	60 (31.9)	22 (31.4)	
Left colon	60 (31.9)	23 (32.9)	
Rectum	68 (36.2)	25 (35.7)	
Tumor size (cm)			0.83
mean ± SD	$4.2 \pm 2.1$	$4.2 \pm 2.0$	
Median (range)	4 (1-15)	4 (1-12)	
Tumor differentiation			0.99
Well/moderate	162 (86.2)	60 (85.7)	
Poor/undifferentiated/others <sup>1</sup>	26 (13.8)	10 (14.3)	
Tumor invasion depth			0.98
Tis	3 (1.6)	1 (1.4)	
T1	14(7.4)	5(7.1)	
T2	32 (17)	11 (15.7)	
T3	121 (64.4)	45 (64.3)	
T4a	15 (8)	6 (8.6)	
T4b	3 (1.6)	2 (2.9)	
Lymphovascular invasion			0.95
Negative	147 (78)	55 (78.6)	
Positive	41 (21.7)	15 (21.4)	
Indeterminate <sup>2</sup>	N/A	N/A	
Perineural invasion			0.96
Negative	168 (89.4)	63 (90)	
Positive	20 (10.3)	7 (10)	
Indeterminate	N/A	N/A	
Tumor budding			0.09
Absent <sup>3</sup>	121 (64.3)	38 (54.3)	
Low	44 (23.7)	19 (27.1)	
High	23 (12)	13 (18.6)	
LNM status			0.90
Negative	141 (74.9)	52 (74.3)	
Positive	47 (25.1)	18 (25.7)	

<sup>1</sup>Others included mucinous, signet-ring cell, neuroendocrine differentiation, medullary carcinoma, mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma, micropapillary carcinoma, and serrated adenocarcinoma.

<sup>2</sup>Indeterminate means that the presence or absence of lymphovascular invasion or perineural invasion cannot be determined.



Baisbideng® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

<sup>3</sup>Absent: No tumor budding; Low: ≤ 10 tumor buds per high-power field; High: > 10 tumor buds per high-power field.

LNM: Lymph node metastasis; N/A: Not applicable; Tis: Carcinoma in situ; T1: Tumor invades submucosa; T2: Tumor invades muscularis propria; T3: Tumor invades through muscularis propria into pericolorectal tissues; T4a: Tumor penetrates to the surface of the visceral peritoneum; T4b: Tumor directly invades or is adherent to other organs or structures.

# Table 2 Representative patches of tumor tissue for each of the top 10 clusters Cluster Description 1 Poorly differentiated tumor cells with a high nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, irregular glandular formation, and sparse stroma 2 Well-differentiated tumor cells with low nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, regular glandular formation, and abundant stroma 3 Tumor cells with moderate differentiation, moderate nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, and moderate stroma 4 Tumor cells with signet-ring cell differentiation, high nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, and mucin production 5 Tumor cells with neuroendocrine differentiation, high nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, and rosette-like structures 6 Tumor cells with serrated adenocarcinoma differentiation, low nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, and serrated glandular formation 7 Tumor cells with mucinous differentiation, low nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, and abundant extracellular mucin 8 Tumor cells with medullary carcinoma differentiation, high nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, and solid growth pattern 9 Tumor cells with micropapillary carcinoma differentiation, high nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, and papillary projections 10 Tumor cells with mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma differentiation, high nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, and dual expression of neuroendocrine and epithelial markers Study cohort: 258 patients



Figure 1 Flowchart of study cohort division. LNM: Lymph node metastasis.

#### Performance of the risk prediction model

To evaluate the effectiveness of the risk-prediction model, a comparative analysis was conducted with existing models for LNM prediction in CRC using a range of performance metrics. As shown in Table 4, these metrics included the accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, AUROC, AUPRC, NRI, IDI, and Brier score. The model achieved high accuracy (0.86), sensitivity (0.81), specificity (0.87), PPV (0.66), NPV (0.94), AUROC (0.91), AUPRC (0.77), NRI (0.28), and IDI (0.11) and a low Brier score (0.10) in the validation set. Furthermore, the current model outperformed the three existing models in terms of LNM prediction in the validation set, with higher scores for these metrics except for the Brier score. These results underscore the robust discriminative capacity of this model, allowing it to accurately distinguish between patients with and without LNM. In addition, the current model exhibited excellent calibration and resilience within an external cohort, emphasizing its potential clinical utility.

#### Calibration and decision curve analysis of the risk prediction model

The calibration and decision curve analysis of the predicted probabilities of LNM between our risk prediction model and



WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

#### Lei YP et al. LNM in CRC

Table 3 Coefficients and odds ratios of the predictors in the final model			
Predictor	Coefficient	Odds ratio	P value
Age (yr)	0.02	1.02	0.01 <sup>a</sup>
Tumor location			< 0.001 <sup>b</sup>
Right colon	Reference	Reference	
Left colon	-0.65	0.52	
Rectum	-1.04	0.35	
Tumor size	0.17	1.19	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Tumor differentiation	-0.48	0.62	0.02 <sup>a</sup>
Tumor invasion depth			< 0.001 <sup>b</sup>
Tis	Reference	Reference	
T1	1.32	3.74	
T2	2.12	8.34	
Т3	3.45	31.49	
T4a	4.67	106.71	
T4b	5.89	361.23	
Lymphovascular invasion	1.23	3.42	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Perineural invasion	1.01	2.75	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Tumor budding	0.87	2.38	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Frequency of cluster 1	0.05	1.05	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Frequency of cluster 2	-0.04	0.96	0 <sup>c</sup>
Frequency of cluster 3	0.03	1.03	0.02 <sup>a</sup>
Frequency of cluster 4	-0.02	0.98	0.04 <sup>a</sup>
Frequency of cluster 5	0.04	1.04	0.01 <sup>a</sup>
Frequency of cluster 6	-0.03	0.97	0.03 <sup>a</sup>
Frequency of cluster 7	0.02	1.02	0.05 <sup>a</sup>
Frequency of cluster 8	-0.01	0.99	0.06 <sup>c</sup>
Frequency of cluster 9	0.01	1.01	0.07 <sup>c</sup>
Frequency of cluster 10	-0.01	0.99	0 <sup>c</sup>

 $^{\mathrm{a}}P$  < 0.05, statistically significant.

 $^{b}P < 0.001$ , highly statistically significant.

 $^{c}P > 0.05$ , not statistically significant.

# Table 4 Performance of the risk prediction model and the existing models in the validation set

Model	NRI	IDI	Brier score
Our model	0.28	0.11	0.10
Kikuchi's model	-0.04	-0.03	0.17
Ueno's model	-0.01	-0.01	0.15
Krogue's model	0.12	0.05	0.12

NRI: Net reclassification improvement; IDI: Integrated discrimination improvement.

 Jaisbideng®
 WJGS
 https://www.wjgnet.com

October 27, 2023 Volume 15 Issue 10



Figure 2 Calibration plots of the risk prediction model and the existing models in the validation set.



Figure 3 Decision curve analysis of the risk prediction model and the existing models in the validation set.

existing models in the validation set was assessed, as shown in Figures 2 and 3. The current model demonstrated good agreement between the observed and predicted probabilities of LNM across a range of risk thresholds, with a higher net benefit across a wide range of risk thresholds, indicating good calibration and clinical utility. However, the existing models showed some deviation from the ideal line with a lower net benefit, especially at low and high-risk thresholds, indicating poor calibration and clinical utility.



Saishideng® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

#### Risk stratification and treatment guidance of the risk prediction model

The risk prediction model devised in this study facilitated the classification of patients into various risk strata based on the calculated probability of LNM: Low (< 10%), intermediate (10%-30%), and high-risk (> 30%). As shown in Table 5, of the 70 CRC patients, 27 (38.6%) were classified as low-risk, 26 (37.1%) as intermediate-risk, and 17 (24.3%) as high-risk. Among the 18 cases of LNM, 1 (5.6%) was classified as low-risk, 6 (33.3%) as intermediate-risk, and 11 (61.1%) as high-risk. Appropriate therapeutic strategies should be judiciously selected in accordance with the assessment of LNM risk in patients with CRC.

### DISCUSSION

In the present study, we constructed and validated a risk-prediction model for LNM in CRC based on a large cohort of patients. For this purpose, machine learning techniques were used to extract features from primary tumor histology and clinicopathological data and combine them with established predictors to build a logistic regression model. The features extracted from machine learning provided additional predictive value for LNM in CRC, thereby improving the accuracy and discriminating capacity of the logistic regression model. Additionally, the model demonstrated good calibration and robustness in the validation set. Furthermore, the model can effectively stratify patients into different risk groups and guide the selection of appropriate treatment options.

To avoid the toxicity of over-therapeutic procedures in patients classified as low risk by the current model, curative treatment measures, such as endoscopic resection or local excision that eliminate the need for lymphadenectomy or adjuvant therapy, are recommended. For patients presenting with intermediate-risk T1 CRC, the recommendation is surgical resection accompanied by lymphadenectomy as curative treatment, with the decision for adjuvant therapy contingent on other prognostic factors. In contrast, patients with high-risk T1 CRC are recommended to be administered neoadjuvant therapy followed by surgical resection with lymphadenectomy for significantly minimizing the risk of recurrence.

Previous studies have shown that tumor histology contains rich information that reflects the biological behavior and molecular characteristics of tumor cells[22-24]. However, conventional histopathological evaluations are subjective, qualitative, and limited by human perception. Deep learning is a powerful tool that automatically learns complex and high-dimensional patterns from images and generates quantitative and objective features[25,26]. The current study used a pre-trained CNN model to generate the quantitative features of tumor histology. The top cluster frequencies were identified and added to the logistic regression model to enhance their predictive value when combined with baseline clinicopathological variables. These selected histological features may reflect the aggressiveness and invasiveness of the tumor cells and their interaction with the microenvironment, which may influence their ability to metastasize to the lymph nodes.

In this study, we opted for logistic regression as the optimum modeling method, driven by its simplicity, interpretability, and extensive usage in clinical practice[27,28]. To avoid the risks of overfitting and multicollinearity, backward elimination, based on the Akaike information criterion for the variable selection, was employed. The current model's ten predictors align with previous research that identified risk factors for LNM in CRC[29,30]. Furthermore, we discovered two clusters that were significantly associated with LNM, in line with the current understanding of disease stages based on tumor biological behavior. The positively correlated cluster 1 (characterized by poorly differentiated tumor cells with a high nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, irregular glandular formation, and sparse stroma) represented a more malignant phenotype of tumor cells. In contrast, the negatively correlated cluster 2 (characterized by well-differentiated tumor cells with a low nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, regular glandular formation, and abundant stroma) represents a more benign phenotype of tumor cells that are less likely to metastasize to the lymph nodes.

#### CONCLUSION

The present study provides valuable insights into the determinants of LNM in patients with CRC and presents an innovative risk prediction model; however, it has certain limitations. First, the retrospective design of the study may have contributed to selection bias. Second, we could not include potentially relevant risk factors, such as genetic and lifestyle influences, because of data unavailability. Third, model validation was performed exclusively within an independent cohort from the same institution, underscoring the need for future studies to validate the model across diverse populations and within a prospective framework. Finally, the limited size of the validation cohort could potentially affect the reliability of the validation findings. Despite these constraints, our model exhibited high efficacy and adeptness in distinguishing between patients with and without LNM, in addition to displaying sound calibration and robustness within an external cohort. This study forms a strong foundation for future efforts to develop and refine predictive models for LNM in patients with CRC.

In conclusion, a potent and effective risk-prediction model for LNM in patients with CRC was successfully developed and validated. The model utilized machine learning-derived features extracted from primary tumor histology and clinicopathological variables and demonstrated superior performance and clinical applicability compared to existing models. The study provided new insights into the potential of deep learning to extract valuable information from tumor histology, which can improve the prediction of LNM in CRC and facilitate risk stratification and decision-making in clinical practice. Further investigations are crucial to affirm the utility of the present model within larger and more

Raisbidena® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 5 Distribution of patients and lymph node metastasis in each risk group in the validation set (%)			
Risk group	Predicted probability of LNM	Number of patients	Number of LNMs
Low risk	< 10	27 (38.6)	1 (5.6)
Intermediate risk	10-30	26 (37.1)	6 (33.3)
High risk	> 30	17 (24.3)	11 (61.1)

LNM: Lymph node metastasis.

heterogeneous cohorts and to probe the biological and molecular mechanisms underlying the features extracted using machine learning.

# **ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

#### Research background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a significant global health issue, and accurate prediction of lymph node metastasis (LNM) is crucial for individualized treatment strategies. However, predicting LNM is challenging due to various factors and limitations in the histopathological examination method. This study aimed to develop a risk prediction model for LNM in CRC by incorporating machine learning and clinicopathological factors. The model demonstrated high accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity, providing valuable insights into the potential of deep learning in improving LNM prediction and guiding clinical decision-making for CRC patients.

#### Research motivation

Accurate prediction of LNM in CRC is crucial for improving patient outcomes and developing personalized treatment strategies. However, existing methods are invasive, time-consuming, and prone to errors. This study aimed to address these limitations by developing a risk prediction model using machine learning and clinicopathological factors. The motivation was to provide a more accurate and efficient approach for predicting LNM in CRC, enabling clinicians to make informed decisions regarding treatment and facilitating improved patient care.

#### Research objectives

The main objectives of this study were to analyze the factors influencing LNM in CRC, and to develop and validate a risk prediction model for LNM based on a large patient cohort. The study aimed to utilize machine learning techniques and clinicopathological factors to construct an accurate prediction model that outperforms existing models. The goal was to improve the prediction of LNM in CRC, enabling personalized treatment strategies and enhancing clinical decisionmaking. Additionally, the study sought to explore the potential of deep learning in extracting valuable information from tumor histology for improved risk stratification.

#### Research methods

In this study, a retrospective analysis was conducted on 300 patients who underwent CRC surgery at two Peking University Shenzhen hospitals between January and December 2021. The main approach involved the development of a risk prediction model for LNM in CRC. A deep learning method was utilized to extract features from primary tumor histological images that could be associated with LNM. Additionally, a logistic regression model was used, incorporating these machine-learning-derived features along with clinicopathological variables, as predictors for LNM in CRC. The performance of the prediction model was evaluated based on accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), receiver operating characteristic (ROC), and Brier score to assess calibration and clinical utility.

#### **Research results**

The developed risk prediction model for LNM in CRC achieved excellent performance. The model demonstrated high accuracy (0.86), sensitivity (0.81), specificity (0.87), PPV (0.66), NPV (0.94), and area under the curve for the ROC (0.91). Additionally, it exhibited a low Brier score of 0.10. The observed and predicted probabilities of LNM showed strong agreement across various risk thresholds, indicating reliable calibration and clinical utility. These findings highlight the effectiveness and clinical applicability of the model, which utilizes machine-learning-derived features from primary tumor histology and clinicopathological variables.

#### Research conclusions

The study successfully developed and validated a powerful risk prediction model for LNM in CRC. The model, incorporating machine-learning-derived features from primary tumor histology and clinicopathological variables, displayed superior performance and clinical applicability compared to existing models. By leveraging deep learning



techniques, valuable information was extracted from tumor histology, leading to improved LNM prediction. This development has significant implications for individualized treatment strategies and clinical decision-making in CRC, enabling better risk stratification. The findings highlight the potential of machine learning and deep learning in enhancing LNM prediction and improving patient outcomes in CRC management.

#### Research perspectives

The successful development and validation of a potent risk prediction model for LNM in CRC opens up promising research avenues. Further exploration can focus on refining the model by incorporating additional molecular characteristics and genetic data to enhance its predictive accuracy. Additionally, prospective studies can be conducted to validate the model's performance in larger and diverse patient populations. Furthermore, the integration of real-time image analysis techniques and artificial intelligence algorithms can streamline the prediction process, enabling faster and more accurate LNM assessment. These advancements have the potential to revolutionize clinical practice and optimize treatment strategies for CRC patients.

# FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Lei YP, Lv GQ proposed the concept of this study; Song QZ collected the data; Liu S and Lv GQ contributed to formal analysis; Xie JY and Lei YP conducted the survey; Song QZ and Liu S contributed to these methods; Lei YP and Song QZ guided the research; Lei YP, Lv GQ validated the results of the study; Song QZ contributed to the visualization of the study; Lei YP Song QZ and Lv GQ reviewed and edited the final manuscript.

Supported by "San Ming" Project of Shenzhen, No. SZSM201612051.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Shenzhen University Hospital.

Informed consent statement: All study participants or their legal guardian provided informed written consent about personal and medical data collection prior to study enrolment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: We have no financial relationships to disclose.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

**ORCID** number: Yun-Peng Lei 0009-0000-1024-2386; Qing-Zhi Song 0000-0001-6149-2553; Guo-Qing Lv 0009-0007-7286-7438.

S-Editor: Ou XL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Yuan YY

# REFERENCES

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and 1 mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 394-424 [PMID: 30207593 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21492]
- 2 Benson AB, Venook AP, Al-Hawary MM, Cederquist L, Chen YJ, Ciombor KK, Cohen S, Cooper HS, Deming D, Engstrom PF, Garrido-Laguna I, Grem JL, Grothey A, Hochster HS, Hoffe S, Hunt S, Kamel A, Kirilcuk N, Krishnamurthi S, Messersmith WA, Meyerhardt J, Miller ED, Mulcahy MF, Murphy JD, Nurkin S, Saltz L, Sharma S, Shibata D, Skibber JM, Sofocleous CT, Stoffel EM, Stotsky-Himelfarb E, Willett CG, Wuthrick E, Gregory KM, Freedman-Cass DA. NCCN Guidelines Insights: Colon Cancer, Version 2.2018. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2018; 16: 359-369 [PMID: 29632055 DOI: 10.6004/jnccn.2018.0021]
- Qiu MZ, Cai MY, Zhang DS, Wang ZQ, Wang DS, Li YH, Xu RH. Clinicopathological characteristics and prognostic analysis of Lauren 3 classification in gastric adenocarcinoma in China. J Transl Med 2013; 11: 58 [PMID: 23497313 DOI: 10.1186/1479-5876-11-58]
- Yahagi M, Okabayashi K, Hasegawa H, Tsuruta M, Kitagawa Y. The Worse Prognosis of Right-Sided Compared with Left-Sided Colon 4 Cancers: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Gastrointest Surg 2016; 20: 648-655 [PMID: 26573851 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-015-3026-6]
- 5 Hari DM, Leung AM, Lee JH, Sim MS, Vuong B, Chiu CG, Bilchik AJ. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual 7th edition criteria for colon cancer: do the complex modifications improve prognostic assessment? J Am Coll Surg 2013; 217: 181-190 [PMID: 23768788 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2013.04.018]
- Hornychova H, Melichar B, Tomsova M, Mergancova J, Urminska H, Ryska A. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes predict response to 6 neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with breast carcinoma. Cancer Invest 2008; 26: 1024-1031 [PMID: 19093260 DOI:



#### 10.1080/07357900802098165]

- 7 van der Pas MH, Haglind E, Cuesta MA, Fürst A, Lacy AM, Hop WC, Bonjer HJ; COlorectal cancer Laparoscopic or Open Resection II (COLOR II) Study Group. Laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer (COLOR II): short-term outcomes of a randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2013; 14: 210-218 [PMID: 23395398 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70016-0]
- Edge SB, Compton CC. The American Joint Committee on Cancer: the 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual and the future of TNM. 8 Ann Surg Oncol 2010; 17: 1471-1474 [PMID: 20180029 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-010-0985-4]
- Duffy MJ, Lamerz R, Haglund C, Nicolini A, Kalousová M, Holubec L, Sturgeon C. Tumor markers in colorectal cancer, gastric cancer and 9 gastrointestinal stromal cancers: European group on tumor markers 2014 guidelines update. Int J Cancer 2014; 134: 2513-2522 [PMID: 23852704 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.28384]
- 10 Kourou K, Exarchos TP, Exarchos KP, Karamouzis MV, Fotiadis DI. Machine learning applications in cancer prognosis and prediction. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 2015; 13: 8-17 [PMID: 25750696 DOI: 10.1016/j.csbj.2014.11.005]
- 11 Gründner J, Prokosch HU, Stürzl M, Croner R, Christoph J, Toddenroth D. Predicting Clinical Outcomes in Colorectal Cancer Using Machine Learning. Stud Health Technol Inform 2018; 247: 101-105 [PMID: 29677931]
- Bychkov D, Linder N, Turkki R, Nordling S, Kovanen PE, Verrill C, Walliander M, Lundin M, Haglund C, Lundin J. Deep learning based 12 tissue analysis predicts outcome in colorectal cancer. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 3395 [PMID: 29467373 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-21758-3]
- 13 Smith JJ, Chow OS, Gollub MJ, Nash GM, Temple LK, Weiser MR, Guillem JG, Paty PB, Avila K, Garcia-Aguilar J; Rectal Cancer Consortium. Organ Preservation in Rectal Adenocarcinoma: a phase II randomized controlled trial evaluating 3-year disease-free survival in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer treated with chemoradiation plus induction or consolidation chemotherapy, and total mesorectal excision or nonoperative management. BMC Cancer 2015; 15: 767 [PMID: 26497495 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-015-1632-z]
- Liebig C, Ayala G, Wilks JA, Berger DH, Albo D. Perineural invasion in cancer: a review of the literature. Cancer 2009; 115: 3379-3391 14 [PMID: 19484787 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.24396]
- 15 Backes Y, Elias SG, Groen JN, Schwartz MP, Wolfhagen FHJ, Geesing JMJ, Ter Borg F, van Bergeijk J, Spanier BWM, de Vos Tot Nederveen Cappel WH, Kessels K, Seldenrijk CA, Raicu MG, Drillenburg P, Milne AN, Kerkhof M, Seerden TCJ, Siersema PD, Vleggaar FP, Offerhaus GJA, Lacle MM, Moons LMG; Dutch T1 CRC Working Group. Histologic Factors Associated With Need for Surgery in Patients With Pedunculated T1 Colorectal Carcinomas. Gastroenterology 2018; 154: 1647-1659 [PMID: 29366842 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.01.023]
- Watanabe T, Muro K, Ajioka Y, Hashiguchi Y, Ito Y, Saito Y, Hamaguchi T, Ishida H, Ishiguro M, Ishihara S, Kanemitsu Y, Kawano H, 16 Kinugasa Y, Kokudo N, Murofushi K, Nakajima T, Oka S, Sakai Y, Tsuji A, Uehara K, Ueno H, Yamazaki K, Yoshida M, Yoshino T, Boku N, Fujimori T, Itabashi M, Koinuma N, Morita T, Nishimura G, Sakata Y, Shimada Y, Takahashi K, Tanaka S, Tsuruta O, Yamaguchi T, Yamaguchi N, Tanaka T, Kotake K, Sugihara K; Japanese Society for Cancer of the Colon and Rectum. Japanese Society for Cancer of the Colon and Rectum (JSCCR) guidelines 2016 for the treatment of colorectal cancer. Int J Clin Oncol 2018; 23: 1-34 [PMID: 28349281 DOI: 10.1007/s10147-017-1101-6]
- Gunderson LL, Jessup JM, Sargent DJ, Greene FL, Stewart AK. Revised TN categorization for colon cancer based on national survival 17 outcomes data. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 264-271 [PMID: 19949014 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.24.0952]
- 18 Kikuchi R, Takano M, Takagi K, Fujimoto N, Nozaki R, Fujiyoshi T, Uchida Y. Management of early invasive colorectal cancer. Risk of recurrence and clinical guidelines. Dis Colon Rectum 1995; 38: 1286-1295 [PMID: 7497841 DOI: 10.1007/BF02049154]
- Ueno H, Mochizuki H, Hashiguchi Y, Shimazaki H, Aida S, Hase K, Matsukuma S, Kanai T, Kurihara H, Ozawa K, Yoshimura K, Bekku S. 19 Risk factors for an adverse outcome in early invasive colorectal carcinoma. Gastroenterology 2004; 127: 385-394 [PMID: 15300569 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2004.04.022]
- Krogue JD, Azizi S, Tan F, Flament-Auvigne I, Brown T, Plass M, Reihs R, Müller H, Zatloukal K, Richeson P, Corrado GS, Peng LH, 20 Mermel CH, Liu Y, Chen PC, Gombar S, Montine T, Shen J, Steiner DF, Wulczyn E. Predicting lymph node metastasis from primary tumor histology and clinicopathologic factors in colorectal cancer using deep learning. Commun Med (Lond) 2023; 3: 59 [PMID: 37095223 DOI: 10.1038/s43856-023-00282-0
- Huang YO, Liang CH, He L, Tian J, Liang CS, Chen X, Ma ZL, Liu ZY. Development and Validation of a Radiomics Nomogram for 21 Preoperative Prediction of Lymph Node Metastasis in Colorectal Cancer. J Clin Oncol 2016; 34: 2157-2164 [PMID: 27138577 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2015.65.9128]
- Overwater A, Kessels K, Elias SG, Backes Y, Spanier BWM, Seerden TCJ, Pullens HJM, de Vos Tot Nederveen Cappel WH, van den Blink 22 A, Offerhaus GJA, van Bergeijk J, Kerkhof M, Geesing JMJ, Groen JN, van Lelyveld N, Ter Borg F, Wolfhagen F, Siersema PD, Lacle MM, Moons LMG; Dutch T1 CRC Working Group. Endoscopic resection of high-risk T1 colorectal carcinoma prior to surgical resection has no adverse effect on long-term outcomes. Gut 2018; 67: 284-290 [PMID: 27811313 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310961]
- Ehteshami Bejnordi B, Veta M, Johannes van Diest P, van Ginneken B, Karssemeijer N, Litjens G, van der Laak JAWM; the CAMELYON16 23 Consortium, Hermsen M, Manson QF, Balkenhol M, Geessink O, Stathonikos N, van Dijk MC, Bult P, Beca F, Beck AH, Wang D, Khosla A, Gargeya R, Irshad H, Zhong A, Dou Q, Li Q, Chen H, Lin HJ, Heng PA, Haß C, Bruni E, Wong Q, Halici U, Öner MÜ, Cetin-Atalay R, Berseth M, Khvatkov V, Vylegzhanin A, Kraus O, Shaban M, Rajpoot N, Awan R, Sirinukunwattana K, Qaiser T, Tsang YW, Tellez D, Annuscheit J, Hufnagl P, Valkonen M, Kartasalo K, Latonen L, Ruusuvuori P, Liimatainen K, Albarqouni S, Mungal B, George A, Demirci S, Navab N, Watanabe S, Seno S, Takenaka Y, Matsuda H, Ahmady Phoulady H, Kovalev V, Kalinovsky A, Liauchuk V, Bueno G, Fernandez-Carrobles MM, Serrano I, Deniz O, Racoceanu D, Venâncio R. Diagnostic Assessment of Deep Learning Algorithms for Detection of Lymph Node Metastases in Women With Breast Cancer. JAMA 2017; 318: 2199-2210 [PMID: 29234806 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2017.14585]
- 24 Kather JN, Pearson AT, Halama N, Jäger D, Krause J, Loosen SH, Marx A, Boor P, Tacke F, Neumann UP, Grabsch HI, Yoshikawa T, Brenner H, Chang-Claude J, Hoffmeister M, Trautwein C, Luedde T. Deep learning can predict microsatellite instability directly from histology in gastrointestinal cancer. Nat Med 2019; 25: 1054-1056 [PMID: 31160815 DOI: 10.1038/s41591-019-0462-y]
- Steyerberg EW, Vickers AJ, Cook NR, Gerds T, Gonen M, Obuchowski N, Pencina MJ, Kattan MW. Assessing the performance of prediction 25 models: a framework for traditional and novel measures. Epidemiology 2010; 21: 128-138 [PMID: 20010215 DOI: 10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181c30fb2]
- Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, Moons KG. Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or 26 diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement. BMJ 2015; 350: g7594 [PMID: 25569120 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.g7594]
- Roxburgh CS, McMillan DC, Richards CH, Atwan M, Anderson JH, Harvey T, Horgan PG, Foulis AK. The clinical utility of the combination 27 of T stage and venous invasion to predict survival in patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer. Ann Surg 2014; 259: 1156-1165 [PMID: 24100338 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.00000000000229]
- 28 Kim CH, Huh JW, Kim HR, Kim YJ. Prognostic comparison between number and distribution of lymph node metastases in patients with right-



WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

sided colon cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2014; 21: 1361-1368 [PMID: 24366417 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-013-3426-3]

- Phipps AI, Shi Q, Newcomb PA, Nelson GD, Sargent DJ, Alberts SR, Limburg PJ. Associations between cigarette smoking status and colon 29 cancer prognosis among participants in North Central Cancer Treatment Group Phase III Trial N0147. J Clin Oncol 2013; 31: 2016-2023 [PMID: 23547084 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2012.46.2457]
- 30 Binefa G, Rodríguez-Moranta F, Teule A, Medina-Hayas M. Colorectal cancer: from prevention to personalized medicine. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 6786-6808 [PMID: 24944469 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i22.6786]





# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

