World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

World J Gastrointest Surg 2023 February 27; 15(2): 121-306





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

GS WU

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 2 February 27, 2023

EDITORIAL

121 Hot topics in pancreatic cancer management

Caputo D

REVIEW

- 127 Minimum platelet count threshold before invasive procedures in cirrhosis: Evolution of the guidelines Biolato M, Vitale F, Galasso T, Gasbarrini A, Grieco A
- 142 Comprehensive multimodal management of borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: Current status and progress

Wu HY, Li JW, Li JZ, Zhai QL, Ye JY, Zheng SY, Fang K

MINIREVIEWS

- 163 Impact of endoscopic ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation in managing pancreatic malignancy Lesmana CRA
- Current management of concomitant cholelithiasis and common bile duct stones 169

Pavlidis ET, Pavlidis TE

177 Surveillance strategies following curative resection and non-operative approach of rectal cancer: How and how long? Review of current recommendations

Lauretta A, Montori G, Guerrini GP

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

193 Causes of epigastric pain and vomiting after laparoscopic-assisted radical right hemicolectomy - superior mesenteric artery syndrome

Xie J, Bai J, Zheng T, Shu J, Liu ML

201 Analysis of the impact of ERAS-based respiratory function training on older patients' ability to prevent pulmonary complications after abdominal surgery

Gu YX, Wang XY, Xu MX, Qian JJ, Wang Y

Prognostic value of preoperative immune-nutritional scoring systems in remnant gastric cancer patients 211 undergoing surgery

Zhang Y, Wang LJ, Li QY, Yuan Z, Zhang DC, Xu H, Yang L, Gu XH, Xu ZK

222 Efficacy and safety of preoperative immunotherapy in patients with mismatch repair-deficient or microsatellite instability-high gastrointestinal malignancies

Li YJ, Liu XZ, Yao YF, Chen N, Li ZW, Zhang XY, Lin XF, Wu AW



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Monthly Volume 15 Number 2 February 27, 2023

Observational Study

234 Hepatobiliary manifestations following two-stages elective laparoscopic restorative proctocolectomy for patients with ulcerative colitis: A prospective observational study

Habeeb TAAM, Hussain A, Podda M, Cianci P, Ramshaw B, Safwat K, Amr WM, Wasefy T, Fiad AA, Mansour MI, Moursi AM, Osman G, Qasem A, Fawzy M, Alsaad MIA, Kalmoush AE, Nassar MS, Mustafa FM, Badawy MHM, Hamdy A, Elbelkasi H, Mousa B, Metwalli AEM, Mawla WA, Elaidy MM, Baghdadi MA, Raafat A

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

249 Hypophosphatemia as a prognostic tool for post-hepatectomy liver failure: A systematic review

Riauka R, Ignatavicius P, Barauskas G

META-ANALYSIS

258 Network meta-analysis of the prognosis of curative treatment strategies for recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatectomy

Chen JL, Chen YS, Ker CG

273 Does size matter for resection of giant versus non-giant hepatocellular carcinoma? A meta-analysis Lee AJ, Wu AG, Yew KC, Shelat VG

CASE REPORT

- Primary malignant melanoma of the esophagus combined with squamous cell carcinoma: A case report 287 Zhu ML, Wang LY, Bai XQ, Wu C, Liu XY
- 294 Mesh erosion into the colon following repair of parastomal hernia: A case report Zhang Y, Lin H, Liu JM, Wang X, Cui YF, Lu ZY

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

303 Fecal microbiota transplantation as potential first-line treatment for patients with Clostridioides difficile infection and prior appendectomy

Zhao JW, Chang B, Sang LX



Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 2 February 27, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Dirk Uhlmann, FACS, MD, PhD, Chief Doctor, Professor, Department of Visceral, Thoracic and Vascular Surgery, Klinikum Döbeln, Döbeln 04720, Germany. dirk.uhlmann@klinikum-doebeln.de

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery (WJGS, World J Gastrointest Surg) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJGS as 2.505; IF without journal self cites: 2.473; 5-year IF: 3.099; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.49; Ranking: 104 among 211 journals in surgery; Quartile category: Q2; Ranking: 81 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Rui-Rui Wu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-9366 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
November 30, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Peter Schemmer	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
February 27, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



S WŨ

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Surg 2023 February 27; 15(2): 127-141

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i2.127

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

REVIEW

Minimum platelet count threshold before invasive procedures in cirrhosis: Evolution of the guidelines

Marco Biolato, Federica Vitale, Tiziano Galasso, Antonio Gasbarrini, Antonio Grieco

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): A Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: El-Gendy HA, Egypt; Norcia LF, Brazil; Shalimar, India

Received: September 27, 2022 Peer-review started: September 27, 2022 First decision: October 30, 2022 Revised: December 9, 2022 Accepted: February 7, 2023 Article in press: February 7, 2023

Published online: February 27, 2023



Marco Biolato, Antonio Gasbarrini, Antonio Grieco, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, CEMAD, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS, Rome 00168, Italy

Marco Biolato, Federica Vitale, Tiziano Galasso, Antonio Gasbarrini, Antonio Grieco, Department of Internal Medicine, Catholic University of Sacred Heart, Rome 00168, Italy

Corresponding author: Marco Biolato, MD, PhD, Staff Physician, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, CEMAD, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS, Largo A. Gemelli 8, Rome 00168, Italy. marco.biolato@policlinicogemelli.it

Abstract

Cirrhotic patients with severe thrombocytopenia are at increased risk of bleeding during invasive procedures. The need for preprocedural prophylaxis aimed at reducing the risk of bleeding in cirrhotic patients with thrombocytopenia who undergo scheduled procedures is assessed via the platelet count; however, establishing a minimum threshold considered safe is challenging. A platelet count \geq 50000/µL is a frequent target, but levels vary by provider, procedure, and specific patient. Over the years, this value has changed several times according to the different guidelines proposed in the literature. According to the latest guidelines, many procedures can be performed at any level of platelet count, which should not necessarily be checked before the procedure. In this review, we aim to investigate and describe how the guidelines have evolved in recent years in the evaluation of the minimum platelet count threshold required to perform different invasive procedures, according to their bleeding risk.

Key Words: Liver disease; Thrombocytopenia; Avatrombopag; Lusutrombopag; Transfusion

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.



Core Tip: There are several reviews in the literature that deals with the management of thrombocytopenia in patients with cirrhosis undergoing scheduled invasive procedures. However, this review is one of the few to provide a comparison between the main guidelines concerning the platelet-count reference threshold to consider safely performing the various types of procedures.

Citation: Biolato M, Vitale F, Galasso T, Gasbarrini A, Grieco A. Minimum platelet count threshold before invasive procedures in cirrhosis: Evolution of the guidelines. World J Gastrointest Surg 2023; 15(2): 127-141 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v15/i2/127.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v15.i2.127

INTRODUCTION

Thrombocytopenia, defined as any decrease in platelet count below the normal limit (< 150000/µL), is a very common hematological alteration in advanced liver disease, with an incidence of 77% to 85% in patients with cirrhosis[1,2].

Thrombocytopenia is classified as moderate when the platelet count falls into the range of 50000- $100000/\mu$ L and severe if the platelet count is < $50000/\mu$ L, with an observed prevalence of 13% and 1% of patients with chronic liver disease (CLD), respectively[3]. Thrombocytopenia is the most common peripheral blood alteration with respect to anemia and leukopenia in patients with cirrhosis[4].

The development of thrombocytopenia in patients with cirrhosis can be determined by two major mechanisms, platelet sequestration and increased clearance in the spleen due to congestive splenomegaly induced by portal hypertension, a phenomenon called "hypersplenism" [5,6], and decreased production of the growth factor thrombopoietin (TPO) in the liver that regulates megakaryocyte and platelet production, whose circulating levels are lower in cirrhotic patients with thrombocytopenia than in cirrhotic patients with normal platelet counts [7-10].

Other factors, including bone marrow suppression by chronic viral infections, antiviral treatments and anticancer agents, and the development of antiplatelet antibodies, can be involved in the etiopathogenesis of thrombocytopenia.

Thrombocytopenia, which can be considered a useful early prognostic marker in cirrhotic patients [11], is associated with increased bleeding risk, thereby narrowing the available treatment options and impacting the timing and outcome of invasive procedures in this population of patients[12,13].

Even though clinically significant spontaneous bleeding does not usually occur when the platelet count is > $10-20000/\mu$ L, cirrhotic patients with severe thrombocytopenia are at increased risk of bleeding, and invasive therapeutic procedures can often be challenging to perform because of the elevated hemorrhagic risk they present[14-16].

In the past, the management of thrombocytopenia in cirrhotic patients included platelet transfusion, splenic artery embolization, splenectomy, and transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunting. Preprocedural platelet transfusion was the most common approach. However, the efficacy of platelet transfusion to reduce bleeding risks in patients with thrombocytopenia and liver disease undergoing a scheduled procedure is variable and generally does not exceed an increase in platelet count by 5000- $10000/\mu L$ with a half-life of 2-4 d. Adverse effects of platelet transfusion can be associated with potentially fatal complications, such as the development of febrile nonhemolytic reactions, the transmission of infectious agents, and transfusion-related acute lung injury. Moreover, after repeated administration of platelets, refractoriness due to human leukocyte antigen alloimmunization can occur [17-21]. Finally, it should be remembered that platelet transfusion is a limited health resource, the use of which is fundamental in other clinical contexts (for example, the management of post-trauma hemorrhage in patients with a low platelet count).

Small orally bioavailable TPO receptor agonists, namely, avatrombopag and lusutrombopag, act selectively on the human TPO receptor and activate signal transduction pathways, thereby promoting the proliferation and differentiation of bone marrow cells into megakaryocytes and increasing the platelet levels. These drugs represent a promising emerging therapeutic option for the treatment of thrombocytopenia to prevent hemorrhagic events and raise the platelet count before scheduled procedures[22-24].

The phase 3, randomized, placebo-controlled, ADAPT-1 and ADAPT-2 studies demonstrated that avatrombopag was superior to placebo in reducing the need for platelet transfusions or rescue procedures for bleeding in patients with thrombocytopenia and CLD undergoing a scheduled procedure[25]. In the phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, L-PLUS 2, lusutrombopag was demonstrated to be superior to placebo in avoiding preprocedural platelet transfusion and rescue therapy for bleeding (64.8% of patients in the lusutrombopag group vs 29.0% in the placebo group) and in achieving a durable platelet count response in patients with thrombocytopenia and CLD undergoing invasive procedures, with a safety profile similar to placebo[26].



Similarly, a systematic meta-analysis performed by Orme *et al*^[27] showed the efficacy and safety of treatment with lusutrombopag in this patient population. More patients treated with lusutrombopag (compared to placebo) required no platelet transfusion and no rescue therapy for bleeding for at least 7 days post-procedure (RR 3.42; 95% CI: 1.86, 6.26; P = 0.0001). Moreover, they had a lower risk of any bleeding event (RR 0.55; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95\% CI: 0.32, 0.95; P = 0.03) but similar thrombosis event rates (RR 0.79; 95\% CI: 0.32, 0.95 0.19, 3.24; P = 0.74).

The effects of lusutrombopag on post-invasive procedural bleeding in thrombocytopenic patients with CLD were also investigated in a study by Yoshida et al[28]. There was a lower incidence of bleeding events in the lusutrombopag group than in the platelet transfusion group (3.7% vs 8.2%, P < 0.001) and lower average medical costs, supporting the effectiveness of this drug as a prophylactic treatment for bleeding prevention.

The need for these preprocedural treatments aimed at reducing the risk of bleeding in cirrhotic patients with thrombocytopenia who undergo scheduled procedures is assessed *via* the platelet count compared with the reference threshold considered safe. Over the years, this value has changed several times according to the different guidelines proposed in the literature. In this review, we aim to investigate and describe how the guidelines have evolved in recent years in the evaluation of the minimum platelet count threshold required to perform different invasive procedures, according to their bleeding risk.

BLEEDING RISK OF DIFFERENT PROCEDURES AND MAIN GUIDELINES

Procedures are divided into three groups by the original Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR) consensus guidelines: (1) Low risk when they are expected to rarely have hemorrhagic complications or are occurring in areas where bleeding is easy to diagnose and control (paracentesis, thoracentesis, dental extraction, diagnostic endoscopy, variceal band ligation, uncomplicated polypectomy, cardiac catheterization, central line placement); (2) Moderate risk [lumbar puncture, percutaneous or transjugular liver biopsy, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt, percutaneous gastrostomy placement, biliary sphincterotomy, percutaneous biopsy of extrahepatic organ or lesions, trans-arterial or percutaneous hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) therapies]; and (3) High risk when they are expected to have hemorrhagic complications, occurring in areas where bleeding will be difficult to diagnose or treat or in sites where even minor amounts of bleeding may have devastating consequences (brain or spinal surgery, cardiac, intra-abdominal and orthopedic surgery, intracranial pressure catheter insertion, large polypectomy with endoscopic mucosal or submucosal resection)[29-31].

According to SIR guidelines, for patients with minimal risk factors for bleeding, screening coagulation laboratory testing is not routinely recommended for procedures with low bleeding risk, but it may be considered for patients receiving warfarin or low molecular weight heparin or those with an inherently higher risk of bleeding. Platelet transfusion should be considered for low-bleeding-risk procedures that require arterial access when the platelet count is $< 20000/\mu$ L and for high bleeding risk procedures if the platelet count is < $50000/\mu$ L, obtaining an appropriate preprocedural coagulation testing[31].

Thromboelastography (TEG) seems to be a more accurate tool for the evaluation of coagulation derangement than classical tests, such as the international normalized ratio (INR) and platelet count. The reaction time (r) and maximum amplitude (MA) of TEG are able to predict the need for blood transfusion in thrombocytopenic patients undergoing invasive procedures. In a recent controlled trial on 60 patients undergoing invasive procedures, significant savings of transfusion units (both fresh frozen plasma and platelets) were observed with the use of TEG parameters compared to INR and platelets with the same bleeding complication level [32]. Unfortunately, this study was criticized because of the transfusion thresholds employed in the control arm, which were considered too extensive and not consistent with what is routinely made in clinical practice. However, in the following years, other studies and randomized clinical trials will be able to confirm the role of TEG-based transfusion in guiding and restricting transfusion both in cirrhotic patients with acute variceal bleeding and in patients undergoing invasive procedures, such as percutaneous liver biopsy, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt, percutaneous acetic acid injection and transarterial chemoembolization, without compromising hemostasis or increasing the risk of bleeding[33-36].

The main recommendations for prophylactic platelet transfusion before invasive procedures reported in the British Committee for Standards in Hematology guidelines of 2016 are about central venous line placement (> 20000/µL), lumbar puncture (> 40000/µL), surgery or percutaneous liver biopsy (> $50000/\mu$ L), insertion or removal of epidural catheters (> $80000/\mu$ L) and neurosurgery or ophthalmic surgery (> 100000/µL).

No platelet transfusions are routinely recommended before bone marrow aspirate or biopsy, peripherally inserted central catheters, traction removal of tunneled central venous catheters (CVC), and cataract surgery[37].

A consideration of platelet transfusion before high-risk procedures or when active bleeding is encountered is recommended by current guidelines and expert opinions for patients with platelet



counts below 50000/mL[38]. A relationship between platelet levels < 75000/µL and procedure-related bleeding was demonstrated in one study among patients undergoing liver transplant evaluations[39], and platelet levels $< 3000/\mu$ L were also an independent predictor of major bleeding among critically ill cirrhosis patients in the intensive care unit setting[40]. However, in another prospective study, there were no predictions of postprocedural bleeding in cirrhosis by baseline platelet levels[41].

According to the Italian Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the Italian Society of Internal Medicine consensus conference of 2016, platelet counts \geq 50000/µL are considered to ensure normal primary hemostasis, with a recommendation to perform platelet transfusion when counts are < $50000/\mu$ L that is supported only by biological plausibility[42].

An important statement about prophylactic platelet transfusions is reported by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines of 2015 that suggest an increase in platelet count above $50000/\mu$ L in all the patients undergoing invasive procedures or surgery; a threshold of $50-75000/\mu$ L and > 100000/µL should be taken into consideration respectively for high risk of bleeding and surgery at critical sites[43].

The American Gastroenterology Association guidelines of 2019[44] and the American College of Gastroenterology guidelines of 2021[45] do not recommend coagulation assessment and prophylactic platelet transfusions before common procedures such as diagnostic and therapeutic paracentesis, thoracentesis, upper endoscopy to screen for and band esophageal varices, and diagnostic (but not therapeutic) colonoscopy, outside of significant renal dysfunction or sepsis, suggesting that higher platelet levels may be more appropriate for high-risk procedures such as the removal of large polyps and major surgery.

According to the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis guidelines of 2019[46] and the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) guidelines of 2020[47] there is not a strong recommendation to correct the platelet count prior to low- and high-risk procedures.

According to the American Gastroenterology Association guidelines of 2021[48], a specific value of platelets that identifies patients at an increased bleeding risk is not defined, suggesting against preprocedural testing. Similarly, the European Association for the Study of the Liver guidelines of 2022[49] does not recommend a laboratory evaluation of hemostasis to predict postprocedural bleeding in patients with cirrhosis undergoing invasive procedures, among cases with both low and high risk of bleeding, although such analysis may serve to provide a baseline status of the patient in case of bleeding events in high-risk procedures.

Liver biopsy

Liver biopsy is performed in some cases to clarify the etiology of CLD[50], but thrombocytopenia is often considered a relative contraindication to this procedure because of an elevated risk of bleeding, especially in patients with platelet counts $\leq 60000/\mu$ L[51,52].

The risk of bleeding in patients with CLD after a liver biopsy was first investigated in the Hepatitis C Antiviral Long-Term treatment against cirrhosis (HALT-C) trial, between 2000 and 2006, in a cohort of 2740 patients with advanced chronic hepatitis C[53] and platelets \geq 50000/µL[51], evaluating the safety and efficacy of long-term, low-dose maintenance therapy with peginterferon alfa-2a and identifying a significant difference in bleeding risk according to the platelet count (0.2% with platelets $\geq 150000/\mu$ L, from 0.6% to 0.7% for platelets between 61-150000/ μ L and 5.3% for platelet \leq 60000/ μ L).

Another study retrospectively reported a bleeding rate of 23% in patients with platelet counts < 60 $000/\mu$ L compared with no episodes of bleeding with platelet counts above this range [54]. These results were similarly reported in another small retrospective study [55]. On the other hand, certain studies did not show any correlation between bleeding risk and coagulation tests[56].

In addition, an absolute platelet count threshold does not take into account platelet function; in vitro data proved that platelet-related thrombin production is shown to be adequate in cirrhotic patients with a platelet count of at least 56.000/mm³ but *in vivo*, there is no evidence that this threshold can be considered a target for pre-procedure platelet count[57].

In 2009, the pivotal AASLD guidelines dedicated to liver biopsy recommended a platelet count of at least $50-60000/\mu$ L as the safety minimum threshold of platelets to perform a liver biopsy. In the case of a high risk of complications with percutaneous liver biopsy, a transjugular approach was suggested: in a series of 51 biopsies, a threshold count of $30000/\mu$ L was identified to be safe [58].

As shown by Potretzke et al^[59], bleeding rates after subcapsular mass biopsy (0.86%) are not significantly different from those noted after non subcapsular (0.66%) or site biopsy (0.65%), suggesting that biopsy of subcapsular lesions should no longer be considered contraindicated.

In a different setting, evaluating the safety of percutaneous liver biopsy performed with a Klatskin needle, Takyar et al[60] identified platelets $\leq 100000/\mu$ L and aPTT > 35 as independent risk factors for post-biopsy bleeding and suggested a higher risk of major complications in certain acutely ill subjects and those with systemic illnesses, underlining the importance of considering risk/benefit balance of liver biopsy in these patients while alternative approaches are viable.

Among the invasive procedures performed in cirrhotic patients, liver biopsy is the one for which the most solid evidence is available. Despite this fact, the guidelines have evolved considerably in the following years. This evolution concerns both the minimum platelet threshold and the perception of the bleeding risk associated with the procedure. The evolution of the guidelines regarding the minimum



threshold for the platelet count before the percutaneous liver biopsy is shown in Table 1. According to the latest guidelines, liver biopsy is considered a low-risk procedure and can be performed at any platelet count level, which should not necessarily be checked before the procedure[30,31,42-49].

Endoscopy

Routine pre-endoscopy platelet assessment in patients with a high risk for thrombocytopenia is supported by current American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) guidelines, but there is not a determined minimum platelet count necessary for safely performing endoscopic procedures[61].

A strict threshold for an upper endoscopy is not specified, so endoscopists act based on their preference. In 2012, ASGE guidelines suggested safe platelet levels \geq 20000/µL for diagnostic upper endoscopy and a platelet count \geq 50000/µL for endoscopic biopsies and variceal banding[62].

Similarly, no specific platelet guidelines exist for lower endoscopy and other endoscopic procedures. Even though they are categorized by the ASGE into high and low risk for bleeding, this risk cannot be applied specifically to patients with advanced liver disease, so the strategies are often individualized. Commonly, a platelet count \geq 50000/µL is considered for higher-risk procedures, such as large polypectomy, endoscopic treatment of hemorrhage, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with sphincterotomy, or endoscopic ultrasound with fine needle aspiration[63,64].

Only the study by Soh et al[65] identified a correlation between postprocedural bleeding and platelet count (bleeding rate 27.5% with platelets \leq 50000/µL vs 7.5%-relative risk 6), showing that Child-Pugh B or C cirrhosis (P = 0.011), a platelet count < 50000/µL (P < 0.001), 3 or more polyps (P = 0.017), endoscopic mucosal resection or submucosal dissection (P < 0.001), and polypectomy performed by trainees (P < 0.001) were independent risk factors for immediate post polypectomy bleeding.

Endoscopic band ligation of esophageal varices is a common procedure in cirrhotic patients. For patients undergoing this procedure, the risk of post banding ulcer bleeding has been variably reported, ranging from 2.8% [66] to 7.3% [67], but in both studies, the platelet count was not associated with bleeding risk. Other observational studies confirmed that platelet count is not a predictor of post ligation bleeding and six-week mortality in patients with rebleeding, but only lower fibrinogen levels have a significant correlation with them[68,69]. According to AASLD Practice Guidelines for the management of variceal bleeding, a recommendation about platelet transfusion in patients with variceal hemorrhage is not provided [70]. In contrast, other guidelines consider a platelet count of $50000/\mu$ L as a minimum threshold to perform the endoscopy procedure^[71].

The guidelines for the minimum platelet count threshold before esophageal variceal band ligation are shown in Table 2. Additionally, in this case, the revision of the guidelines has gone toward the abolition of a minimum safety threshold of the platelet count to be obtained before the procedure. It should be noted that the perception of the risk of bleeding is very different between the various guidelines, depending on which of the few studies available were included in the bleeding risk calculation and what their relative weight was[30,42-49,61,70,71].

Even though transfusion of blood products in CLD has the apparent clinical benefits of correcting thrombocytopenia and deranging INR, many studies have shown its association with several risks, such as rising portal pressure and predisposition to a vicious cycle of rebleeding, extended hospital stays, and poorer outcomes[72-74].

Similarly, Biswas et al^[75] investigated how platelet counts, platelet transfusions, and fresh frozen plasma transfusions affect the outcomes of acute variceal bleeding in cirrhosis patients in terms of bleeding control, rebleeding, and mortality. In a cohort of 913 patients stratified into three different groups according to platelet count (< $2000/\mu$ L, $20000/\mu$ L, $50000/\mu$ L, > $50000/\mu$ L), thrombocytopenia did not affect rebleeding rates on days 5 and 42 (13%, 6.5%, and 4.7%, respectively, on day 5; and 21.7%, 17.3%, and 14.4%, respectively, on day 42) and mortality rates (13.0%, 23.2%, and 17.2%, respectively) that were similar between the three platelet groups. However, platelet transfusion increased rebleeding on day 5 (14.6% *vs* 4.5%; *P* = 0.039) and day 42 (32.6% *vs* 15.7%; *P* = 0.014) compared to patients who did not receive it, with a higher but nonsignificant effect on mortality (25.8% vs 23.6%)[75]

These studies support the view that a restrictive transfusion strategy is beneficial compared to a more liberal one and that the correction of coagulopathy is often a futile target in the management and control of acute variceal bleeding.

Paracentesis and thoracentesis

Data on patients with abnormal coagulation profiles (INR > 1.5 and/or platelet counts < $50000/\mu$ L) indicate that paracentesis[15,76,77] and thoracentesis[78-81] pose a very low risk for major bleeding.

Patients with advanced CLD usually need to undergo therapeutic large-volume paracentesis for the management of tense or recurrent ascites. It is an important routine diagnostic and therapeutic procedure used to evaluate the etiology of ascites and the presence of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. Rarely, the procedure could be complicated by potential abdominal wall hematoma and hemoperitoneum after a puncture of abdominal wall collateral under high portal pressure[82].

However, the safety of this procedure in the setting of thrombocytopenia is demonstrated in realworld experiences, showing minimal bleeding complications (< 0.02%) in a platelet count range from $19000/\mu$ L to $341000/\mu$ L. In these two studies, risk factors for severe bleeding were only higher model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) scores and renal failure[83,84]. Rowley et al[85] confirmed that



Table 1 Threshold of platelet count before percutaneous liver biopsy: evolution of the guidelines

Society	Year	Bleeding risk	Platelet count threshold (/µL)	Ref.
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence	2015	Not classified	50000	National Clinical Guideline Centre (UK)[43]
British Committee for Standards in Haematology	2016	Not classified	50000	Estcourt <i>et al</i> [37]
Italian Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the Italian Society of Internal Medicine	2016	Low	50000 "this recommendation is supported only by biological plausibility"	Under the auspices of the Italian Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AISF) and the Italian Society of Internal Medicine (SIMI)[42]
International Coagulation in Liver Disease	2017	Intermediate	"Generally not recommended"	Intagliata et al[30]
American Gastroenterological Association	2019	Intermediate	50000	O'Leary et al[44]
Society of Interventional Radiology	2019	High	50000 (20000 for transjugular liver biopsy)	Patel <i>et al</i> [31]
American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases	2020	High	"Suggest individualized approaches"	Northup <i>et al</i> [47]
American College of Gastroenterology	2020	Not classified	Correction not recommended	Simonetto et al[45]
International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis	2021	High	Do not correct	Roberts <i>et al</i> [46]
American Gastroenterological Association	2021	High	"Suggests against the prepro- cedural testing"	O'Shea et al[48]
European Association for the Study of the Liver	2022	Low	"Cannot be generally indicated"	European Association for the Study of the Liver [49]

postprocedural hemorrhage is very rare (0.19%) when paracentesis is performed with real-time ultrasound guidance by radiologists, without correction of coagulation abnormalities with prophylactic blood product transfusion. In this setting, the incidence of hemorrhagic events is probably related to the patient's clinical condition rather than the platelet count since the presence of portal hypertension is associated with bleeding regardless of platelet count.

Other retrospective reviews on thoracentesis suggest similar results, reporting 17 bleeding-related complications after thoracentesis in 9320 patients (0.18%), all of which occurred in patients with platelet counts > $50000/\mu L[86]$.

Hence, no prophylactic blood product transfusions before paracentesis and thoracentesis are recommended by national and international consensus guidelines in the setting of thrombocytopenia and coagulopathy because of this very low risk of bleeding[85,87,88].

Central venous line

Insertion of a CVC for the management of gastrointestinal bleeding in the setting of intensive care treatment is commonly required in cirrhotic patients. Studies in the literature describe only a very low incidence of bleeding, such as mild oozing and hematomas controlled with local pressure, as a complication of this procedure in patients with thrombocytopenia, showing no association between platelet count and bleeding complications[89-91].

Only one study reported a high rate of non-severe bleeding (32%) in patients with platelet counts below $20000/\mu$ L[91]. Similarly, another study identified a platelet count of < $30000/\mu$ L as a cut-off for hematoma formation and ooze[92]. Stecker et al[93] observed a prolonged time of hemostasis in cirrhotic patients with tunneled cuffed CVC at the moment of removal but did not report a relevant relationship with the platelet count.

A 2015 Cochrane review highlighted that no randomized controlled trials about the platelet count minimum threshold to safely perform a CVC insertion were available^[94], with an enormous variation of the reference recommended according to the different countries considered, from $50000/\mu$ L in the United Kingdom [95] to $30000/\mu$ and $20000/\mu$ respectively in Belgium [96] and the United States [97], and only $10000/\mu$ L in Germany.

Presently, non-randomized studies are available concerning the safety of invasive procedures in cirrhotic patients with thrombocytopenia without prophylactic platelet transfusions[98-100]. A guideline updated by the American Association of Blood Banks based on 8 observational studies asserts that a recommendation is given if the platelet count is < 20000/µL for patients undergoing elective CVC placement, and this is also supported by the American Society of Clinical Oncology, which states that "certain procedures, such as bone marrow aspirations and biopsies, and insertion or removal of CVCs, can be performed safely at counts > $2000/\mu L''[101]$.



Table 2 Threshold of platelet count before esophageal variceal band ligation: evolution of the guidelines

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Society	Year	Bleeding risk	Platelet count threshold (/µL)	Ref.
American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy	2014	Not classified	"Not recommended"	ASGE Standards of Practice Committee <i>et al</i> [61]
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence	2015	Not classified	50000	National Clinical Guideline Centre (UK)[43]
American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases	2016	Not classified	"Not provided a recommendation"	Garcia-Tsao <i>et al</i> [70]
Italian Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the Italian Society of Internal Medicine	2016	Moderate	50000 "this recommendation is supported only by biological plausibility"	Under the auspices of the Italian Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AISF) and the Italian Society of Internal Medicine (SIMI)[42]
Austrian Society of Gastroenterology and Hepatology and the Austrian Society of Interventional Radiology	2017	Not classified	50000	Reiberger <i>et al</i> [71]
International Coagulation in Liver Disease	2017	Low	"Generally not recommended"	Intagliata <i>et al</i> [30]
American Gastroenterological Association	2019	Low	"Prophylaxis not required, although the authors recognize that risk assessment will vary in the clinical context"	O'Leary et al[44]
American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases	2020	Low	"Suggest individualized approaches"	Northup <i>et al</i> [47]
American College of Gastroenterology	2020	Not classified	Correction not recommended	Simonetto et al[45]
International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis	2021	Low	Do not correct	Roberts <i>et al</i> [46]
American Gastroenterological Association	2021	Low	"Suggests against the preprocedural testing"	O'Shea et al[48]
European Association for the Study of the Liver	2022	High	"Generally not indicated"	European Association for the Study of the Liver[49]

Dental extractions

Dental extractions are frequently performed in cirrhotic patients to remove sources of systemic infection or before they are listed for liver transplantation (LT). Cocero et al[102] showed in their retrospective analysis of 1183 extractions in 318 patients that the bleeding rate was 0.4% in those with platelet count > $40000/\mu$ L and INR < 2.5 and that the rate increased with both platelet count < $40000/\mu$ L and INR > 2.5. In a study of 190 visits for the extraction of 333 teeth in cirrhotic patients with platelet counts 16- $216000/\mu$ L, 12 patients (6%) had hemorrhagic complications that were controlled with local measures [103]. Similarly, in 23 patients with platelet counts > $30000/\mu$ L, postoperative bleeding was observed in only 2.9% (one patient) of procedures and was treated using only local hemostatic measures without the need for transfusion[104]. Overall, the data suggest that local hemostatic techniques or intranasal desmopressin can be employed instead of platelet transfusion, which is not necessary.

Lumbar puncture

Generally, platelet goals of 50000/µL are widely recommended for many procedures[101]. Devastating neurological consequences could potentially occur in cases of bleeding within the central nervous system. For this reason, procedures such as vertebral augmentation and procedures with a risk of epidural bleeding are usually classified as associated with high bleeding risk[105].

A platelet count of 50000/µL is recommended as the threshold for lumbar puncture by the American Association of Blood Banks[97]. Moreover, it is supported by the Canadian C17 guidelines committee [106], considering platelet transfusions for diagnostic lumbar puncture for newly diagnosed pediatric patients with leukemia when platelets are $< 50000/\mu$ L and a threshold for transfusion of 20000/ μ L for pediatric patients in a stable condition requiring lumbar puncture.

However, Chung et al[107] recently conducted a study of oncology patients and compared the incidence of lumbar puncture-related complications for groups above and below the minimum platelet threshold ($50000/\mu$ L). The results revealed that patients with platelet count less than $50000/\mu$ L did not have a higher incidence of clinically significant postlumbar puncture complications (P = 0.29). This evidence, although the study did not specifically involve patients with CLD, underlines the low-quality evidence of the minimum preprocedural platelet threshold of 50000/µL for transfusion, adding strength to the concept that further studies are necessary to clarify this assumption.



Neurological surgery and vascular procedures

For non-neurological surgery, a count of $50000/\mu$ L is considered acceptable, but higher platelet goals (closer to 100000/µL) are recommended in patients with neurosurgical needs[105,106,108]. Similarly, a correlation between a platelet count < $100000/\mu$ L and a higher incidence of post-angiographic hematoma in patients undergoing femoral arterial puncture for a diagnostic or therapeutic vascular procedure has been demonstrated^[109].

Transarterial chemoembolization

There is very little evidence in the literature regarding transarterial chemoembolization. Several guidelines from 2017 to 2022 classified this type of procedure as posing intermediate or high risks of bleeding, but no recommended correction of the platelet count before the procedure was made[30,46,47, 49].

The evolution of the guidelines regarding the minimum threshold of the platelet count before transarterial chemoembolization is shown in Table 3[30,43,46,47,49]. Additionally, in this case, the scarcity of evidence available in the literature is the basis of the evident inhomogeneity of the guidelines.

Regarding radiofrequency ablation, a correlation between a platelet count < $50000/\mu$ L and an increased risk of postprocedural bleeding (OR = 8.79) was found only by Park *et al*[110], but the study was biased by prophylactic platelet transfusion in patients with platelets $< 50000/\mu$ L.

SIGNIFICANT LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

One of the limitations in this field is that currently in the literature, there are no studies with solid data relating to the risk of bleeding and the minimum platelet threshold considered safe for performing surgery either by laparotomy or laparoscopy.

Regarding urological surgery[111,112], cholecystectomy, and herniotomy[113-117], the available evidence is not enough to assess the association between platelet count and postprocedural bleeding risk because of the wide heterogeneity in the management of blood coagulation parameters in the preprocedural phases of surgical interventions.

Similarly, in LT, the risk and extent of bleeding are difficult to quantify, and in liver surgery, none of the studies available in the literature evaluate the association between platelet count and bleeding risk [118-123]. This is probably because moderate-to-severe thrombocytopenia is often considered a contraindication to liver surgery, and patients are treated with pre- or intraoperative platelet transfusions. Regarding this topic, Maithel *et al*[124] showed that even mild thrombocytopenia (platelet count < $150000/\mu$ L) was predictive of major postoperative complications and mortality after resection of HCC independent of functional scores.

Although Chai et al [125] reported successful combined coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) and LT in a patient with a baseline platelet count of $50000/\mu$ L, the minimum threshold of platelets before CABG is > $50000/\mu$ L for the safe administration of heparin intraoperatively and dual antiplatelet therapy post-CABG. However, platelet transfusion during coronary artery bypass graft surgery was demonstrated by Spiess et al[126] to be associated with prolonged hospital stays, longer surgeries, more bleeding, reoperation for bleeding, more red blood cell transfusions, infections, vasopressor use, respiratory medication use, stroke, and death. In this scenario, a case report by Almalki et al[127] described the off-label, successful use of avatrombopag in a patient with a platelet count of 18000/µL and thromboembolic risks who was a candidate for combined coronary artery bypass grafting and LT, allowing him to proceed with 2 life-saving procedures.

Other areas that need further investigation include elderly patients, for whom there are currently no data collected in the literature, and the possible use of TEG to drive platelet transfusion before scheduled procedures. In this regard, more attention should be given to the inclusion criteria of patients and controls and the definition of a clear primary end-point (namely, procedural bleeding).

CONCLUSION

Thrombocytopenia is common in patients with advanced liver disease and can adversely affect treatments, limiting the ability to administer therapy and delaying planned surgical or diagnostic procedures because of an increased risk of bleeding. A platelet count \geq 50000/µL is a frequent target in the literature, but levels vary by provider, procedure, and specific patient[3,128,129].

As we have presented in this review, the position of the guidelines has changed over the years, moving toward abolishing the concept of a minimum safety threshold of the platelet count to perform various procedures, with the need to individually evaluate each case according to a precision medicine strategy. However, this evolution has not been supported by new studies documenting the bleeding risk of the various invasive procedures in cirrhotic patients. In our opinion, that position reflects a methodological critique by the scientific community about TPO agonist trials. All trials on avatrombopag and



Table 3 Threshold of	f platelet count before trans-arterial chemoembolization: Evolution of the guidelines
----------------------	---

Society	Year	Bleeding risk	Platelet count threshold (/µL)	Ref.
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence	2015	Not classified	50000	National Clinical Guideline Centre (UK)[43]
International Coagulation in Liver Disease	2017	Intermediate	"Generally not recommended"	Intagliata <i>et a</i> [<mark>30</mark>]
American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases	2020	High	"Suggest individualized approaches"	Northup <i>et al</i> [47]
International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis	2021	High	Do not correct	Roberts et al[46]
European Association for the Study of the Liver	2022	Low	"Cannot be generally indicated"	European Association for the Study of the Liver[49]

lusutrombopag were designed using the $50000/\mu$ L platelet threshold, choosing as the primary endpoint the number of platelet transfusions avoided and using a control arm in which all patients underwent platelet transfusions, assuming it was the standard of care. The criticisms were centered on the absence of a control arm without bleeding prophylaxis (which would have allowed a true estimate of the risk) and the decision not to choose bleeding as the primary endpoint.

To overcome this situation of open controversy between hepatologists and specialists of the various disciplines who practice invasive procedures on cirrhotic patients, more good quality evidence is needed to accurately define the bleeding risk of the various invasive procedures and their relationship with the platelet count, and studies of better methodological quality need to be carried out to support such decision-making.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Biolato M and Vitale F wrote the paper; Galasso T prepared the tables; Gasbarrini A and Grieco A revised the paper for important intellectual content; All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Biolato M and Grieco A received personal fees from SOBI s.r.l. and Shionogi B.V. Other authors declare no conflict of interests relevant to this manuscript.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Italy

ORCID number: Marco Biolato 0000-0002-5172-8208; Federica Vitale 0000-0001-5999-6197; Tiziano Galasso 0000-0002-6033-1202; Antonio Gasbarrini 0000-0003-4863-6924; Antonio Grieco 0000-0002-0544-8993.

Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies: Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS.

S-Editor: Zhang H L-Editor: A P-Editor: Zhang H

REFERENCES

- Peck-Radosavljevic M. Thrombocytopenia in chronic liver disease. Liver Int 2017; 37: 778-793 [PMID: 27860293 DOI: 1 10.1111/liv.13317]
- 2 Buckley MF, James JW, Brown DE, Whyte GS, Dean MG, Chesterman CN, Donald JA. A novel approach to the assessment of variations in the human platelet count. Thromb Haemost 2000; 83: 480-484 [PMID: 10744157]
- 3 Afdhal N, McHutchison J, Brown R, Jacobson I, Manns M, Poordad F, Weksler B, Esteban R. Thrombocytopenia associated with chronic liver disease. J Hepatol 2008; 48: 1000-1007 [PMID: 18433919 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2008.03.009]
- Qamar AA, Grace ND, Groszmann RJ, Garcia-Tsao G, Bosch J, Burroughs AK, Ripoll C, Maurer R, Planas R, Escorsell 4



A, Garcia-Pagan JC, Patch D, Matloff DS, Makuch R, Rendon G; Portal Hypertension Collaborative Group. Incidence, prevalence, and clinical significance of abnormal hematologic indices in compensated cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009; 7: 689-695 [PMID: 19281860 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2009.02.021]

- 5 Kaneko J, Sugawara Y, Matsui Y, Ohkubo T, Makuuchi M. Normal splenic volume in adults by computed tomography. Hepatogastroenterology 2002; 49: 1726-1727 [PMID: 12397778]
- Aster RH. Pooling of platelets in the spleen: role in the pathogenesis of "hypersplenic" thrombocytopenia. J Clin Invest 6 1966; 45: 645-657 [PMID: 5327481 DOI: 10.1172/JCI105380]
- Kaushansky K. Thrombopoietin. N Engl J Med 1998; 339: 746-754 [PMID: 9731092 DOI: 7 10.1056/NEJM199809103391107
- 8 Kuter DJ, Begley CG. Recombinant human thrombopoietin: basic biology and evaluation of clinical studies. Blood 2002; 100: 3457-3469 [PMID: 12411315 DOI: 10.1182/blood.V100.10.3457]
- 9 Peck-Radosavljevic M, Zacherl J, Meng YG, Pidlich J, Lipinski E, Längle F, Steininger R, Mühlbacher F, Gangl A. Is inadequate thrombopoietin production a major cause of thrombocytopenia in cirrhosis of the liver? J Hepatol 1997; 27: 127-131 [PMID: 9252085 DOI: 10.1016/s0168-8278(97)80291-7]
- 10 Rios R, Sangro B, Herrero I, Quiroga J, Prieto J. The role of thrombopoietin in the thrombocytopenia of patients with liver cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2005; 100: 1311-1316 [PMID: 15929762 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2005.41543.x]
- 11 Realdi G, Fattovich G, Hadziyannis S, Schalm SW, Almasio P, Sanchez-Tapias J, Christensen E, Giustina G, Noventa F. Survival and prognostic factors in 366 patients with compensated cirrhosis type B: a multicenter study. The Investigators of the European Concerted Action on Viral Hepatitis (EUROHEP). J Hepatol 1994; 21: 656-666 [PMID: 7814813 DOI: 10.1016/s0168-8278(94)80115-0
- Ansari MZ, Tolstoy R, Jagadeeswaran G. A Rare Etiology of Severe Thrombocytopenia in Patient with Chronic Liver 12 Disease. J Assoc Physicians India 2018; 66: 86-87 [PMID: 30341879]
- Maan R, de Knegt RJ, Veldt BJ. Management of Thrombocytopenia in Chronic Liver Disease: Focus on 13 Pharmacotherapeutic Strategies. Drugs 2015; 75: 1981-1992 [PMID: 26501978 DOI: 10.1007/s40265-015-0480-0]
- George JN. Platelets. Lancet 2000; 355: 1531-1539 [PMID: 10801186 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02175-9] 14
- McVay PA, Toy PT. Lack of increased bleeding after liver biopsy in patients with mild hemostatic abnormalities. Am J 15 Clin Pathol 1990; 94: 747-753 [PMID: 2123077 DOI: 10.1093/ajcp/94.6.747]
- Clavien PA, Camargo CA Jr, Croxford R, Langer B, Levy GA, Greig PD. Definition and classification of negative 16 outcomes in solid organ transplantation. Application in liver transplantation. Ann Surg 1994; 220: 109-120 [PMID: 8053733 DOI: 10.1097/00000658-199408000-00002]
- 17 Demetri GD. Targeted approaches for the treatment of thrombocytopenia. Oncologist 2001; 6 Suppl 5: 15-23 [PMID: 11700388 DOI: 10.1634/theoncologist.6-suppl_5-15]
- Slichter SJ, Kaufman RM, Assmann SF, McCullough J, Triulzi DJ, Strauss RG, Gernsheimer TB, Ness PM, Brecher ME, 18 Josephson CD, Konkle BA, Woodson RD, Ortel TL, Hillyer CD, Skerrett DL, McCrae KR, Sloan SR, Uhl L, George JN, Aquino VM, Manno CS, McFarland JG, Hess JR, Leissinger C, Granger S. Dose of prophylactic platelet transfusions and prevention of hemorrhage. N Engl J Med 2010; 362: 600-613 [PMID: 20164484 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0904084]
- 19 Spiess BD. Platelet transfusions: the science behind safety, risks and appropriate applications. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2010; 24: 65-83 [PMID: 20402171 DOI: 10.1016/j.bpa.2009.11.001]
- Kerkhoffs JL, Eikenboom JC, van de Watering LM, van Wordragen-Vlaswinkel RJ, Wijermans PW, Brand A. The 20 clinical impact of platelet refractoriness: correlation with bleeding and survival. Transfusion 2008; 48: 1959-1965 [PMID: 18564396 DOI: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2008.01799.x]
- Valsami S, Dimitroulis D, Gialeraki A, Chimonidou M, Politou M. Current trends in platelet transfusions practice: The 21 role of ABO-RhD and human leukocyte antigen incompatibility. Asian J Transfus Sci 2015; 9: 117-123 [PMID: 26420927 DOI: 10.4103/0973-6247.162684]
- 22 Shirley M, McCafferty EH, Blair HA. Lusutrombopag: A Review in Thrombocytopenia in Patients with Chronic Liver Disease Prior to a Scheduled Procedure. Drugs 2019; 79: 1689-1695 [PMID: 31529283 DOI: 10.1007/s40265-019-01197-8
- Kim ES. Lusutrombopag: First Global Approval. Drugs 2016; 76: 155-158 [PMID: 26666417 DOI: 23 10.1007/s40265-015-0525-41
- 24 US FDA. Doptelet® (avatrombopag) tablets: US prescribing information. [cited 21 Jun 2018]. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/Label/2018/210238s000Lbl.pdf
- Terrault N, Chen YC, Izumi N, Kayali Z, Mitrut P, Tak WY, Allen LF, Hassanein T. Avatrombopag Before Procedures 25 Reduces Need for Platelet Transfusion in Patients With Chronic Liver Disease and Thrombocytopenia. Gastroenterology 2018; 155: 705-718 [PMID: 29778606 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.05.025]
- Peck-Radosavljevic M, Simon K, Iacobellis A, Hassanein T, Kayali Z, Tran A, Makara M, Ben Ari Z, Braun M, Mitrut 26 P, Yang SS, Akdogan M, Pirisi M, Duggal A, Ochiai T, Motomiya T, Kano T, Nagata T, Afdhal N. Lusutrombopag for the Treatment of Thrombocytopenia in Patients With Chronic Liver Disease Undergoing Invasive Procedures (L-PLUS 2). Hepatology 2019; 70: 1336-1348 [PMID: 30762895 DOI: 10.1002/hep.30561]
- Orme ME, Bentley R, Marcella S, Peck-Radosavljevic M, Perard R, Wedemeyer H, Yoshiji H, Agarwal K, Dusheiko G. 27 Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis: Efficacy and Safety of Lusutrombopag for Severe Thrombocytopenia in Patients with Chronic Liver Disease Undergoing Invasive Procedures. Adv Ther 2022; 39: 4169-4188 [PMID: 35836089 DOI: 10.1007/s12325-022-02235-w
- 28 Yoshida M, Tateishi R, Hiroi S, Hongo Y, Fujiwara M, Kitanishi Y, Iwasaki K, Takeshima T, Igarashi A. Effects of Lusutrombopag on Post-invasive Procedural Bleeding in Thrombocytopenic Patients with Chronic Liver Disease. Adv Ther 2022; 39: 379-390 [PMID: 34748184 DOI: 10.1007/s12325-021-01965-7]
- 29 Baron TH, Kamath PS, McBane RD. Management of antithrombotic therapy in patients undergoing invasive procedures. N Engl J Med 2013; 368: 2113-2124 [PMID: 23718166 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra1206531]
- 30 Intagliata NM, Argo CK, Stine JG, Lisman T, Caldwell SH, Violi F; faculty of the 7th International Coagulation in Liver Disease. Concepts and Controversies in Haemostasis and Thrombosis Associated with Liver Disease: Proceedings of the



7th International Coagulation in Liver Disease Conference. Thromb Haemost 2018; 118: 1491-1506 [PMID: 30060258 DOI: 10.1055/s-0038-16668611

- 31 Patel IJ, Davidson JC, Nikolic B, Salazar GM, Schwartzberg MS, Walker TG, Saad WA; Standards of Practice Committee, with Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiological Society of Europe (CIRSE) Endorsement. Consensus guidelines for periprocedural management of coagulation status and hemostasis risk in percutaneous image-guided interventions. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2012; 23: 727-736 [PMID: 22513394 DOI: 10.1016/j.jvir.2012.02.012]
- 32 De Pietri L, Bianchini M, Montalti R, De Maria N, Di Maira T, Begliomini B, Gerunda GE, di Benedetto F, Garcia-Tsao G, Villa E. Thrombelastography-guided blood product use before invasive procedures in cirrhosis with severe coagulopathy: A randomized, controlled trial. Hepatology 2016; 63: 566-573 [PMID: 26340411 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28148
- 33 Rout G, Sharma S, Gunjan D, Kedia S, Saraya A, Nayak B, Singh V, Kumar R, Shalimar. Development and Validation of a Novel Model for Outcomes in Patients with Cirrhosis and Acute Variceal Bleeding. Dig Dis Sci 2019; 64: 2327-2337 [PMID: 30830520 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-019-05557-y]
- Smith SA, Travers RJ, Morrissey JH. How it all starts: Initiation of the clotting cascade. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 34 2015; **50**: 326-336 [PMID: 26018600 DOI: 10.3109/10409238.2015.1050550]
- Shenoy A, Intagliata NM. Thromboelastography and Utility in Hepatology Practice. Clin Liver Dis (Hoboken) 2020; 16: 35 149-152 [PMID: 33163167 DOI: 10.1002/cld.947]
- 36 Vuyyuru SK, Singh AD, Gamanagatti SR, Rout G, Gunjan D, Shalimar. A Randomized Control Trial of Thromboelastography-Guided Transfusion in Cirrhosis for High-Risk Invasive Liver-Related Procedures. Dig Dis Sci 2020; 65: 2104-2111 [PMID: 31720889 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-019-05939-2]
- Estcourt LJ, Birchall J, Allard S, Bassey SJ, Hersey P, Kerr JP, Mumford AD, Stanworth SJ, Tinegate H; British 37 Committee for Standards in Haematology. Guidelines for the use of platelet transfusions. Br J Haematol 2017; 176: 365-394 [PMID: 28009056 DOI: 10.1111/bjh.14423]
- Northup PG, Caldwell SH. Coagulation in liver disease: a guide for the clinician. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013; 11: 38 1064-1074 [PMID: 23506859 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2013.02.026]
- Giannini EG, Greco A, Marenco S, Andorno E, Valente U, Savarino V. Incidence of bleeding following invasive 39 procedures in patients with thrombocytopenia and advanced liver disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010; 8: 899-902; quiz e109 [PMID: 20601131 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2010.06.018]
- Drolz A, Horvatits T, Roedl K, Rutter K, Staufer K, Kneidinger N, Holzinger U, Zauner C, Schellongowski P, Heinz G, 40 Perkmann T, Kluge S, Trauner M, Fuhrmann V. Coagulation parameters and major bleeding in critically ill patients with cirrhosis. Hepatology 2016; 64: 556-568 [PMID: 27124745 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28628]
- 41 Napolitano G, Iacobellis A, Merla A, Niro G, Valvano MR, Terracciano F, Siena D, Caruso M, Ippolito A, Mannuccio PM, Andriulli A. Bleeding after invasive procedures is rare and unpredicted by platelet counts in cirrhotic patients with thrombocytopenia. Eur J Intern Med 2017; 38: 79-82 [PMID: 27989373 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejim.2016.11.007]
- 42 Under the auspices of the Italian Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AISF) and the Italian Society of Internal Medicine (SIMI). Hemostatic balance in patients with liver cirrhosis: Report of a consensus conference. Dig Liver Dis 2016; 48: 455-467 [PMID: 27012444 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2016.02.008]
- 43 Blood Transfusion. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). 2015 [PMID: 26632625]
- 44 O'Leary JG, Greenberg CS, Patton HM, Caldwell SH. AGA Clinical Practice Update: Coagulation in Cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2019; 157: 34-43.e1 [PMID: 30986390 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.03.070]
- 45 Simonetto DA, Singal AK, Garcia-Tsao G, Caldwell SH, Ahn J, Kamath PS. ACG Clinical Guideline: Disorders of the Hepatic and Mesenteric Circulation. Am J Gastroenterol 2020; 115: 18-40 [PMID: 31895720 DOI: 10.14309/ajg.000000000000486]
- Roberts LN, Lisman T, Stanworth S, Hernandez-Gea V, Magnusson M, Tripodi A, Thachil J. Periprocedural management 46 of abnormal coagulation parameters and thrombocytopenia in patients with cirrhosis: Guidance from the SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost 2022; 20: 39-47 [PMID: 34661370 DOI: 10.1111/jth.15562]
- 47 Northup PG, Garcia-Pagan JC, Garcia-Tsao G, Intagliata NM, Superina RA, Roberts LN, Lisman T, Valla DC. Vascular Liver Disorders, Portal Vein Thrombosis, and Procedural Bleeding in Patients With Liver Disease: 2020 Practice Guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology 2021; 73: 366-413 [PMID: 33219529 DOI: 10.1002/hep.31646]
- 48 O'Shea RS, Davitkov P, Ko CW, Rajasekhar A, Su GL, Sultan S, Allen AM, Falck-Ytter Y. AGA Clinical Practice Guideline on the Management of Coagulation Disorders in Patients With Cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2021; 161: 1615-1627.e1 [PMID: 34579936 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.08.015]
- European Association for the Study of the Liver. ; European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical 49 Practice Guidelines on prevention and management of bleeding and thrombosis in patients with cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2022; 76: 1151-1184 [PMID: 35300861 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.09.003]
- Rockey DC, Caldwell SH, Goodman ZD, Nelson RC, Smith AD; American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. 50 Liver biopsy. Hepatology 2009; 49: 1017-1044 [PMID: 19243014 DOI: 10.1002/hep.22742]
- 51 Seeff LB, Everson GT, Morgan TR, Curto TM, Lee WM, Ghany MG, Shiffman ML, Fontana RJ, Di Bisceglie AM, Bonkovsky HL, Dienstag JL; HALT-C Trial Group. Complication rate of percutaneous liver biopsies among persons with advanced chronic liver disease in the HALT-C trial. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010; 8: 877-883 [PMID: 20362695 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2010.03.025]
- 52 Basili S, Raparelli V, Violi F. The coagulopathy of chronic liver disease: is there a causal relationship with bleeding? Eur J Intern Med 2010; 21: 62-64 [PMID: 20206871 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejim.2010.01.005]
- Lee WM, Dienstag JL, Lindsay KL, Lok AS, Bonkovsky HL, Shiffman ML, Everson GT, Di Bisceglie AM, Morgan TR, 53 Ghany MG, Morishima C, Wright EC, Everhart JE; HALT-C Trial Group. Evolution of the HALT-C Trial: pegylated interferon as maintenance therapy for chronic hepatitis C in previous interferon nonresponders. Control Clin Trials 2004; 25: 472-492 [PMID: 15465617 DOI: 10.1016/j.cct.2004.08.003]



- Sharma P, McDonald GB, Banaji M. The risk of bleeding after percutaneous liver biopsy: relation to platelet count. J 54 Clin Gastroenterol 1982; 4: 451-453 [PMID: 6960080 DOI: 10.1097/00004836-198210000-00011]
- 55 Thampanitchawong P, Piratvisuth T. Liver biopsy:complications and risk factors. World J Gastroenterol 1999; 5: 301-304 [PMID: 11819452 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v5.i4.301]
- 56 Ewe K, Reinhardt P, Müller H, Ohler W. [The bleeding time after liver biopsy does not correlate with peripheral coagulation factors]. Verh Dtsch Ges Inn Med 1978; 1060-1062 [PMID: 741878]
- 57 Tripodi A, Primignani M, Chantarangkul V, Clerici M, Dell'Era A, Fabris F, Salerno F, Mannucci PM. Thrombin generation in patients with cirrhosis: the role of platelets. Hepatology 2006; 44: 440-445 [PMID: 16871542 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21266]
- 58 Wallace MJ, Narvios A, Lichtiger B, Ahrar K, Morello FA Jr, Gupta S, Madoff DC, Hicks ME. Transjugular liver biopsy in patients with hematologic malignancy and severe thrombocytopenia. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2003; 14: 323-327 [PMID: 12631636 DOI: 10.1097/01.rvi.0000058413.01661.b9]
- Potretzke TA, Saling LJ, Middleton WD, Robinson KA. Bleeding Complications After Percutaneous Liver Biopsy: Do 59 Subcapsular Lesions Pose a Higher Risk? AJR Am J Roentgenol 2018; 211: 204-210 [PMID: 29708780 DOI: 10.2214/AJR.17.18726
- 60 Takyar V, Etzion O, Heller T, Kleiner DE, Rotman Y, Ghany MG, Fryzek N, Williams VH, Rivera E, Auh S, Liang TJ, Hoofnagle JH, Koh C. Complications of percutaneous liver biopsy with Klatskin needles: a 36-year single-centre experience. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2017; 45: 744-753 [PMID: 2WJGS-15-27340 DOI: 10.1111/apt.13939]
- ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, Pasha SF, Acosta R, Chandrasekhara V, Chathadi KV, Eloubeidi MA, Fanelli 61 R, Faulx AL, Fonkalsrud L, Khashab MA, Lightdale JR, Muthusamy VR, Saltzman JR, Shaukat A, Wang A, Cash B. Routine laboratory testing before endoscopic procedures. Gastrointest Endosc 2014; 80: 28-33 [PMID: 24836749 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2014.01.0191
- 62 ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, Ben-Menachem T, Decker GA, Early DS, Evans J, Fanelli RD, Fisher DA, Fisher L, Fukami N, Hwang JH, Ikenberry SO, Jain R, Jue TL, Khan KM, Krinsky ML, Malpas PM, Maple JT, Sharaf RN, Dominitz JA, Cash BD. Adverse events of upper GI endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2012; 76: 707-718 [PMID: 22985638 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2012.03.252]
- 63 ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, Acosta RD, Abraham NS, Chandrasekhara V, Chathadi KV, Early DS, Eloubeidi MA, Evans JA, Faulx AL, Fisher DA, Fonkalsrud L, Hwang JH, Khashab MA, Lightdale JR, Muthusamy VR, Pasha SF, Saltzman JR, Shaukat A, Shergill AK, Wang A, Cash BD, DeWitt JM. The management of antithrombotic agents for patients undergoing GI endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2016; 83: 3-16 [PMID: 26621548 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.09.035
- Petrasch F, Grothaus J, Mössner J, Schiefke I, Hoffmeister A. Differences in bleeding behavior after endoscopic band 64 ligation: a retrospective analysis. BMC Gastroenterol 2010; 10: 5 [PMID: 20074379 DOI: 10.1186/1471-230X-10-5]
- Soh H, Chun J, Hong SW, Park S, Lee YB, Lee HJ, Cho EJ, Lee JH, Yu SJ, Im JP, Kim YJ, Kim JS, Yoon JH. Child-Pugh B or C Cirrhosis Increases the Risk for Bleeding Following Colonoscopic Polypectomy. Gut Liver 2020; 14: 755-764 [PMID: 31816672 DOI: 10.5009/gnl19131]
- 66 Vanbiervliet G, Giudicelli-Bornard S, Piche T, Berthier F, Gelsi E, Filippi J, Anty R, Arab K, Huet PM, Hebuterne X, Tran A. Predictive factors of bleeding related to post-banding ulcer following endoscopic variceal ligation in cirrhotic patients: a case-control study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2010; 32: 225-232 [PMID: 20412065 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2010.04331.x]
- Vieira da Rocha EC, D'Amico EA, Caldwell SH, Flores da Rocha TR, Soares E Silva CS, Dos Santos Bomfim V, Felga 67 G, Barbosa WF, Kassab F, Polli DA, Carrilho FJ, Farias AQ. A prospective study of conventional and expanded coagulation indices in predicting ulcer bleeding after variceal band ligation. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009; 7: 988-993 [PMID: 19410018 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2009.04.019]
- Giannini EG, Giambruno E, Brunacci M, Plaz Torres MC, Furnari M, Bodini G, Zentilin P, Savarino V. Low Fibrinogen 68 Levels Are Associated with Bleeding After Varices Ligation in Thrombocytopenic Cirrhotic Patients. Ann Hepatol 2018; 17: 830-835 [PMID: 30145561 DOI: 10.5604/01.3001.0012.0775]
- Chen WT, Lin CY, Sheen IS, Huang CW, Lin TN, Lin CJ, Jeng WJ, Huang CH, Ho YP, Chiu CT. MELD score can 69 predict early mortality in patients with rebleeding after band ligation for variceal bleeding. World J Gastroenterol 2011; 17: 2120-2125 [PMID: 21547132 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v17.i16.2120]
- Garcia-Tsao G, Abraldes JG, Berzigotti A, Bosch J. Portal hypertensive bleeding in cirrhosis: Risk stratification, 70 diagnosis, and management: 2016 practice guidance by the American Association for the study of liver diseases. Hepatology 2017; 65: 310-335 [PMID: 27786365 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28906]
- Reiberger T, Püspök A, Schoder M, Baumann-Durchschein F, Bucsics T, Datz C, Dolak W, Ferlitsch A, Finkenstedt A, 71 Graziadei I, Hametner S, Karnel F, Krones E, Maieron A, Mandorfer M, Peck-Radosavlievic M, Rainer F, Schwabl P, Stadlbauer V, Stauber R, Tilg H, Trauner M, Zoller H, Schöfl R, Fickert P. Austrian consensus guidelines on the management and treatment of portal hypertension (Billroth III). Wien Klin Wochenschr 2017; 129: 135-158 [PMID: 29063233 DOI: 10.1007/s00508-017-1262-3]
- 72 Boyer JL, Chatterjee C, Iber FL, Basu AK. Effect of plasma-volume expansion on portal hypertension. N Engl J Med 1966; 275: 750-755 [PMID: 5332146 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM196610062751403]
- 73 Zimmon DS, Kessler RE. The portal pressure-blood volume relationship in cirrhosis. Gut 1974; 15: 99-101 [PMID: 4820643 DOI: 10.1136/gut.15.2.99]
- Villanueva C, Colomo A, Bosch A, Concepción M, Hernandez-Gea V, Aracil C, Graupera I, Poca M, Alvarez-Urturi C, 74 Gordillo J, Guarner-Argente C, Santaló M, Muñiz E, Guarner C. Transfusion strategies for acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding. N Engl J Med 2013; 368: 11-21 [PMID: 23281973 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1211801]
- 75 Biswas S, Vaishnav M, Pathak P, Gunjan D, Mahapatra SJ, Kedia S, Rout G, Thakur B, Nayak B, Kumar R; Shalimar. Effect of thrombocytopenia and platelet transfusion on outcomes of acute variceal bleeding in patients with chronic liver disease. World J Hepatol 2022; 14: 1421-1437 [PMID: 36158909 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v14.i7.1421]
- Kurup AN, Lekah A, Reardon ST, Schmit GD, McDonald JS, Carter RE, Kamath PS, Callstrom MR, Atwell TD. 76



Bleeding Rate for Ultrasound-Guided Paracentesis in Thrombocytopenic Patients. J Ultrasound Med 2015; 34: 1833-1838 [PMID: 26362144 DOI: 10.7863/ultra.14.10034]

- 77 Lin CH, Shih FY, Ma MH, Chiang WC, Yang CW, Ko PC. Should bleeding tendency deter abdominal paracentesis? Dig Liver Dis 2005; 37: 946-951 [PMID: 16185942 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2005.07.009]
- 78 Hibbert RM, Atwell TD, Lekah A, Patel MD, Carter RE, McDonald JS, Rabatin JT. Safety of ultrasound-guided thoracentesis in patients with abnormal preprocedural coagulation parameters. Chest 2013; 144: 456-463 [PMID: 23493971 DOI: 10.1378/chest.12-2374]
- 79 Orlandi E, Citterio C, Seghini P, Di Nunzio C, Mordenti P, Cavanna L. Thoracentesis in advanced cancer patients with severe thrombocytopenia: Ultrasound guide improves safety and reduces bleeding risk. Clin Respir J 2018; 12: 1747-1752 [PMID: 29115028 DOI: 10.1111/crj.12739]
- Patel MD, Joshi SD. Abnormal preprocedural international normalized ratio and platelet counts are not associated with 80 increased bleeding complications after ultrasound-guided thoracentesis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2011; 197: W164-8 [PMID: 21700980 DOI: 10.2214/AJR.10.5589]
- 81 Puchalski J. Thoracentesis and the risks for bleeding: a new era. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2014; 20: 377-384 [PMID: 24852328 DOI: 10.1097/MCP.0000000000000062]
- De Gottardi A, Thévenot T, Spahr L, Morard I, Bresson-Hadni S, Torres F, Giostra E, Hadengue A. Risk of 82 complications after abdominal paracentesis in cirrhotic patients: a prospective study. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009; 7: 906-909 [PMID: 19447197 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2009.05.004]
- Grabau CM, Crago SF, Hoff LK, Simon JA, Melton CA, Ott BJ, Kamath PS. Performance standards for therapeutic 83 abdominal paracentesis. *Hepatology* 2004; **40**: 484-488 [PMID: 15368454 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20317]
- Pache I, Bilodeau M. Severe haemorrhage following abdominal paracentesis for ascites in patients with liver disease. 84 Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2005; 21: 525-529 [PMID: 15740535 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2005.02387.x]
- 85 Rowley MW, Agarwal S, Seetharam AB, Hirsch KS. Real-Time Ultrasound-Guided Paracentesis by Radiologists: Near Zero Risk of Hemorrhage without Correction of Coagulopathy. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2019; 30: 259-264 [PMID: 30717961 DOI: 10.1016/j.jvir.2018.11.001]
- 86 Ault MJ, Rosen BT, Scher J, Feinglass J, Barsuk JH. Thoracentesis outcomes: a 12-year experience. Thorax 2015; 70: 127-132 [PMID: 25378543 DOI: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206114]
- 87 Runyon BA; AASLD. Introduction to the revised American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases Practice Guideline management of adult patients with ascites due to cirrhosis 2012. Hepatology 2013; 57: 1651-1653 [PMID: 23463403 DOI: 10.1002/hep.26359]
- Kumar A, Mhaskar R, Grossman BJ, Kaufman RM, Tobian AA, Kleinman S, Gernsheimer T, Tinmouth AT, Djulbegovic 88 B; AABB Platelet Transfusion Guidelines Panel. Platelet transfusion: a systematic review of the clinical evidence. Transfusion 2015; 55: 1116-27; quiz 1115 [PMID: 25387589 DOI: 10.1111/trf.12943]
- Foster PF, Moore LR, Sankary HN, Hart ME, Ashmann MK, Williams JW. Central venous catheterization in patients 89 with coagulopathy. Arch Surg 1992; 127: 273-275 [PMID: 1550472 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.1992.01420030035006]
- 90 Tercan F, Ozkan U, Oguzkurt L. US-guided placement of central vein catheters in patients with disorders of hemostasis. Eur J Radiol 2008; 65: 253-256 [PMID: 17482407 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2007.04.002]
- Zeidler K, Arn K, Senn O, Schanz U, Stussi G. Optimal preprocedural platelet transfusion threshold for central venous 91 catheter insertions in patients with thrombocytopenia. Transfusion 2011; 51: 2269-2276 [PMID: 21517892 DOI: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2011.03147.x]
- Singh SA, Sharma S, Singh A, Singh AK, Sharma U, Bhadoria AS. The safety of ultrasound guided central venous 92 cannulation in patients with liver disease. Saudi J Anaesth 2015; 9: 155-160 [PMID: 25829903 DOI: 10.4103/1658-354X.152842
- Stecker MS, Johnson MS, Ying J, McLennan G, Agarwal DM, Namyslowski J, Ahmad I, Shah H, Butty S, Casciani T. 93 Time to hemostasis after traction removal of tunneled cuffed central venous catheters. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2007; 18: 1232-9; quiz 1240 [PMID: 17911513 DOI: 10.1016/j.jvir.2007.06.035]
- 94 Estcourt LJ, Desborough M, Hopewell S, Doree C, Stanworth SJ. Comparison of different platelet transfusion thresholds prior to insertion of central lines in patients with thrombocytopenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015; 2015: CD011771 [PMID: 26627708 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011771.pub2]
- British Committee for Standards in Haematology, Blood Transfusion Task Force. Guidelines for the use of platelet 95 transfusions. Br J Haematol 2003; 122: 10-23 [PMID: 12823341 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2141.2003.04468.x]
- Bosly A, Muylle L, Noens L, Pietersz R, Heims D, Hübner R, Selleslag D, Toungouz M, Ferrant A, Sondag D. Guidelines 96 for the transfusion of platelets. Acta Clin Belg 2007; 62: 36-47 [PMID: 17451144 DOI: 10.1179/acb.2007.006]
- 97 Kaufman RM, Djulbegovic B, Gernsheimer T, Kleinman S, Tinmouth AT, Capocelli KE, Cipolle MD, Cohn CS, Fung MK, Grossman BJ, Mintz PD, O'Malley BA, Sesok-Pizzini DA, Shander A, Stack GE, Webert KE, Weinstein R, Welch BG, Whitman GJ, Wong EC, Tobian AA; AABB. Platelet transfusion: a clinical practice guideline from the AABB. Ann Intern Med 2015; 162: 205-213 [PMID: 25383671 DOI: 10.7326/M14-1589]
- 98 Haas B, Chittams JL, Trerotola SO. Large-bore tunneled central venous catheter insertion in patients with coagulopathy. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2010; 21: 212-217 [PMID: 20123206 DOI: 10.1016/j.jvir.2009.10.032]
- 99 Loh AH, Chui CH, Port-A-Cath insertions in acute leukemia: does thrombocytopenia affect morbidity? J Pediatr Surg 2007; 42: 1180-1184 [PMID: 17618877 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2007.02.008]
- 100 Ray CE Jr, Shenoy SS. Patients with thrombocytopenia: outcome of radiologic placement of central venous access devices. Radiology 1997; 204: 97-99 [PMID: 9205228 DOI: 10.1148/radiology.204.1.9205228]
- Schiffer CA, Bohlke K, Delaney M, Hume H, Magdalinski AJ, McCullough JJ, Omel JL, Rainey JM, Rebulla P, Rowley 101 SD, Troner MB, Anderson KC. Platelet Transfusion for Patients With Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update. J Clin Oncol 2018; 36: 283-299 [PMID: 29182495 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2017.76.1734]
- 102 Cocero N, Bezzi M, Martini S, Carossa S. Oral Surgical Treatment of Patients With Chronic Liver Disease: Assessments of Bleeding and Its Relationship With Thrombocytopenia and Blood Coagulation Parameters. J Oral Maxillofac Surg



2017; 75: 28-34 [PMID: 27677683 DOI: 10.1016/j.joms.2016.08.033]

- 103 Medina JB, Andrade NS, de Paula Eduardo F, Bezinelli L, Franco JB, Gallottini M, Braz-Silva PH, Ortega KL. Bleeding during and after dental extractions in patients with liver cirrhosis. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2018; 47: 1543-1549 [PMID: 29705406 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijom.2018.04.007]
- 104 Perdigão JP, de Almeida PC, Rocha TD, Mota MR, Soares EC, Alves AP, Sousa FB. Postoperative bleeding after dental extraction in liver pretransplant patients. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2012; 70: e177-e184 [PMID: 22374059 DOI: 10.1016/j.joms.2011.10.033
- 105 Narouze S, Benzon HT, Provenzano D, Buvanendran A, De Andres J, Deer T, Rauck R, Huntoon MA. Interventional Spine and Pain Procedures in Patients on Antiplatelet and Anticoagulant Medications (Second Edition): Guidelines From the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, the European Society of Regional Anaesthesia and Pain Therapy, the American Academy of Pain Medicine, the International Neuromodulation Society, the North American Neuromodulation Society, and the World Institute of Pain. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2018; 43: 225-262 [PMID: 29278603 DOI: 10.1097/AAP.00000000000000700]
- 106 C17 Guidelines Committee C17 guideline for platelet transfusion thresholds for pediatric hematology/oncology patients. Edmonton: The C17 Council, 2011
- 107 Chung HH, Morjaria S, Frame J, Riley M, Zhang AW, Martin SC, Bhatia A, Fenelus M, Fallah F, Inumerables F, Goss C. Rethinking the need for a platelet transfusion threshold of $50 \times 10(9)$ /L for lumbar puncture in cancer patients. Transfusion 2020; 60: 2243-2249 [PMID: 32810307 DOI: 10.1111/trf.15988]
- Szczepiorkowski ZM, Dunbar NM. Transfusion guidelines: when to transfuse. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ 108 Program 2013; 2013: 638-644 [PMID: 24319244 DOI: 10.1182/asheducation-2013.1.638]
- Darcy MD, Kanterman RY, Kleinhoffer MA, Vesely TM, Picus D, Hicks ME, Pilgram TK. Evaluation of coagulation 109 tests as predictors of angiographic bleeding complications. Radiology 1996; 198: 741-744 [PMID: 8628863 DOI: 10.1148/radiology.198.3.8628863
- 110 Park JG, Park SY, Tak WY, Kweon YO, Jang SY, Lee YR, Hur K, Lee HJ, Lee HW. Early complications after percutaneous radiofrequency ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma: an analysis of 1,843 ablations in 1,211 patients in a single centre: experience over 10 years. Clin Radiol 2017; 72: 692.e9-692.e15 [PMID: 28364952 DOI: 10.1016/j.crad.2017.03.001]
- Nielsen SS, Thulstrup AM, Lund L, Vilstrup H, Sørensen HT. Postoperative mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis 111 undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate: a Danish nationwide cohort study. BJU Int 2001; 87: 183-186 [PMID: 11167639 DOI: 10.1046/j.1464-410x.2001.02048.x]
- 112 Lund L, Jepsen P, Vilstrup H, Sørensen HT. Thirty-day case fatality after nephrectomy in patients with liver cirrhosis--a Danish population-based cohort study. Scand J Urol Nephrol 2003; 37: 433-436 [PMID: 14594695 DOI: 10.1080/00365590310006219]
- Sleeman D, Namias N, Levi D, Ward FC, Vozenilek J, Silva R, Levi JU, Reddy R, Ginzburg E, Livingstone A. 113 Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in cirrhotic patients. J Am Coll Surg 1998; 187: 400-403 [PMID: 9783786 DOI: 10.1016/s1072-7515(98)00210-5]
- da Silveira EB. Outcome of cirrhotic patients undergoing cholecystectomy: applying Bayesian analysis in 114 gastroenterology. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006; 21: 958-962 [PMID: 16724978 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2006.04227.x
- 115 Delis S, Bakoyiannis A, Madariaga J, Bramis J, Tassopoulos N, Dervenis C. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in cirrhotic patients: the value of MELD score and Child-Pugh classification in predicting outcome. Surg Endosc 2010; 24: 407-412 [PMID: 19551433 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-009-0588-y]
- Carbonell AM, Wolfe LG, DeMaria EJ. Poor outcomes in cirrhosis-associated hernia repair: a nationwide cohort study of 32,033 patients. Hernia 2005; 9: 353-357 [PMID: 16132187 DOI: 10.1007/s10029-005-0022-x]
- 117 Ammar SA. Management of complicated umbilical hernias in cirrhotic patients using permanent mesh: randomized clinical trial. Hernia 2010; 14: 35-38 [PMID: 19727551 DOI: 10.1007/s10029-009-0556-4]
- Wei AC, Tung-Ping Poon R, Fan ST, Wong J. Risk factors for perioperative morbidity and mortality after extended 118 hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma. Br J Surg 2003; 90: 33-41 [PMID: 12520572 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.4018]
- 119 Kubo S, Takemura S, Yamamoto S, Hai S, Ichikawa T, Kodai S, Hiroji S, Shuto T, Hirohashi K, Tanaka H. Risk factors for massive blood loss during liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. Hepatogastroenterology 2007; 54: 830-833 [PMID: 17591073]
- 120 Palavecino M, Kishi Y, Chun YS, Brown DL, Gottumukkala VN, Lichtiger B, Curley SA, Abdalla EK, Vauthey JN. Two-surgeon technique of parenchymal transection contributes to reduced transfusion rate in patients undergoing major hepatectomy: analysis of 1,557 consecutive liver resections. Surgery 2010; 147: 40-48 [PMID: 19733879 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2009.06.027]
- 121 Hsu KY, Chau GY, Lui WY, Tsay SH, King KL, Wu CW. Predicting morbidity and mortality after hepatic resection in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: the role of Model for End-Stage Liver Disease score. World J Surg 2009; 33: 2412-2419 [PMID: 19756859 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-009-0202-4]
- Cockbain AJ, Masudi T, Lodge JP, Toogood GJ, Prasad KR. Predictors of blood transfusion requirement in elective liver 122 resection. HPB (Oxford) 2010; 12: 50-55 [PMID: 20495645 DOI: 10.1111/j.1477-2574.2009.00126.x]
- 123 Yang T, Zhang J, Lu JH, Yang GS, Wu MC, Yu WF. Risk factors influencing postoperative outcomes of major hepatic resection of hepatocellular carcinoma for patients with underlying liver diseases. World J Surg 2011; 35: 2073-2082 [PMID: 21656309 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-011-1161-0]
- 124 Maithel SK, Kneuertz PJ, Kooby DA, Scoggins CR, Weber SM, Martin RC 2nd, McMasters KM, Cho CS, Winslow ER, Wood WC, Staley CA 3rd. Importance of low preoperative platelet count in selecting patients for resection of hepatocellular carcinoma: a multi-institutional analysis. J Am Coll Surg 2011; 212: 638-48; discussion 648 [PMID: 21463803 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.01.004]
- 125 Chai J, Wang K, Kong X, Pan C, Jiang W, Zhou W, Chen H, Xue F, Zhang L, Shen Z. Coronary artery bypass graft combined with liver transplantation in patients with advanced alcoholic liver cirrhosis: A case report. Exp Ther Med



2020; 19: 3197-3202 [PMID: 32266015 DOI: 10.3892/etm.2020.8594]

- 126 Spiess BD, Royston D, Levy JH, Fitch J, Dietrich W, Body S, Murkin J, Nadel A. Platelet transfusions during coronary artery bypass graft surgery are associated with serious adverse outcomes. Transfusion 2004; 44: 1143-1148 [PMID: 15265117 DOI: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2004.03322.x]
- 127 Almalki B, Shroff H, Maddur H, Caicedo J, Kane C. Avatrombopag Use in Patient With Thromboembolic Risks Listed for Combined Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting and Liver Transplant: A Case Report. Transplant Proc 2021; 53: 2567-2569 [PMID: 34474911 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2021.08.011]
- 128 Nilles KM, Flamm SL. Thrombocytopenia in Chronic Liver Disease: New Management Strategies. Clin Liver Dis 2020; 24: 437-451 [PMID: 32620282 DOI: 10.1016/j.cld.2020.04.009]
- Nilles KM, Caldwell SH, Flamm SL. Thrombocytopenia and Procedural Prophylaxis in the Era of Thrombopoietin 129 Receptor Agonists. Hepatol Commun 2019; 3: 1423-1434 [PMID: 31701067 DOI: 10.1002/hep4.1423]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

