World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

World J Gastrointest Surg 2023 August 27; 15(8): 1559-1840





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

GS WŰ

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 27, 2023

MINIREVIEWS

1559 Impact of tumour rupture risk on the oncological rationale for the surgical treatment choice of gastrointestinal stromal tumours

Peparini N

1564 Prevention and treatment of hepatic encephalopathy during the perioperative period of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt

Wang LJ, Yao X, Qi Q, Qin JP

- 1574 Vascular complications of chronic pancreatitis and its management Walia D, Saraya A, Gunjan D
- 1591 Historical changes in surgical strategy and complication management for hepatic cystic echinococcosis A JD, Chai JP, Jia SL, A XR

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

1600 High spindle and kinetochore-associated complex subunit-3 expression predicts poor prognosis and correlates with adverse immune infiltration in hepatocellular carcinoma

Zheng LL, Wang YR, Liu ZR, Wang ZH, Tao CC, Xiao YG, Zhang K, Wu AK, Li HY, Wu JX, Xiao T, Rong WQ

Case Control Study

1615 Post-transplant biliary complications using liver grafts from deceased donors older than 70 years: Retrospective case-control study

Jimenez-Romero C, Justo-Alonso I, del Pozo-Elso P, Marcacuzco-Quinto A, Martín-Arriscado-Arroba C, Manrique-Municio A, Calvo-Pulido J, García-Sesma A, San Román R, Caso-Maestro O

Goldilocks principle of minimally invasive surgery for gastric subepithelial tumors 1629

Chang WJ, Tsao LC, Yen HH, Yang CW, Chang HC, Kor CT, Wu SC, Lin KH

Retrospective Cohort Study

1641 Prognosis after splenectomy plus pericardial devascularization vs transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for esophagogastric variceal bleeding

Qi WL, Wen J, Wen TF, Peng W, Zhang XY, Shen JY, Li X, Li C

1652 Initial suction drainage decreases severe postoperative complications after pancreatic trauma: A cohort study

Li KW, Wang K, Hu YP, Yang C, Deng YX, Wang XY, Liu YX, Li WQ, Ding WW



Со	nte	nts

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 27, 2023

Retrospective Study

1663 Radiation therapy prior to a pancreaticoduodenectomy for adenocarcinoma is associated with longer operative times and higher blood loss

Aploks K, Kim M, Stroever S, Ostapenko A, Sim YB, Sooriyakumar A, Rahimi-Ardabily A, Seshadri R, Dong XD

1673 Prognostic significance of preoperative lymphocyte to monocyte ratio in patients with signet ring gastric cancer

Liu HL, Feng X, Tang MM, Zhou HY, Peng H, Ge J, Liu T

1684 Clinical efficacy of total laparoscopic splenectomy for portal hypertension and its influence on hepatic hemodynamics and liver function

Qi RZ, Li ZW, Chang ZY, Chang WH, Zhao WL, Pang C, Zhang Y, Hu XL, Liang F

1693 Accurate resection of hilar cholangiocarcinoma using eOrganmap 3D reconstruction and full quantization technique

Cui DP, Fan S, Guo YX, Zhao QW, Qiao YX, Fei JD

1703 Regional differences in islet amyloid deposition in the residual pancreas with new-onset diabetes secondary to pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

Wang R, Liu Y, Liang Y, Zhou L, Chen MJ, Liu XB, Tan CL, Chen YH

1712 Risk factors and their interactive effects on severe acute pancreatitis complicated with acute gastrointestinal injury

Chen JH, Zhang MF, Du WC, Zhang YA

1719 Effects of ultrasound monitoring of gastric residual volume on feeding complications, caloric intake and prognosis of patients with severe mechanical ventilation

Xu XY, Xue HP, Yuan MJ, Jin YR, Huang CX

1728 Enhanced recovery nursing and mental health education on postoperative recovery and mental health of laparoscopic liver resection

Li DX, Ye W, Yang YL, Zhang L, Qian XJ, Jiang PH

1739 Changing trends in gastric and colorectal cancer among surgical patients over 85 years old: A multicenter retrospective study, 2001-2021

Chen K, Li M, Xu R, Zheng PP, Chen MD, Zhu L, Wang WB, Wang ZG

Observational Study

1751 Knowledge, attitude, and practice of monitoring early gastric cancer after endoscopic submucosal dissection

Yang XY, Wang C, Hong YP, Zhu TT, Qian LJ, Hu YB, Teng LH, Ding J

1761 Anti-reflux effects of a novel esophagogastric asymmetric anastomosis technique after laparoscopic proximal gastrectomy

Pang LQ, Zhang J, Shi F, Pang C, Zhang CW, Liu YL, Zhao Y, Qian Y, Li XW, Kong D, Wu SN, Zhou JF, Xie CX, Chen S

1774 Prognostic scores in primary biliary cholangitis patients with advanced disease Feng J, Xu JM, Fu HY, Xie N, Bao WM, Tang YM



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 27, 2023

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 1784 Maternal choledochal cysts in pregnancy: A systematic review of case reports and case series Augustin G, Romic I, Miličić I, Mikuš M, Herman M
- 1799 Intraoperative pancreas stump perfusion assessment during pancreaticoduodenectomy: A systematic scoping review

Robertson FP, Spiers HVM, Lim WB, Loveday B, Roberts K, Pandanaboyana S

1808 Comparison between upfront surgery and neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with locally advanced gastric cancer: A systematic review

Fiflis S, Papakonstantinou M, Giakoustidis A, Christodoulidis G, Louri E, Papadopoulos VN, Giakoustidis D

CASE REPORT

1819 Long-term survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma with hepatic, pulmonary, peritoneal and rare colon metastasis: A case report

Gong YQ, Lu TL, Chen CW

- 1825 Donor hepatic artery reconstruction based on human embryology: A case report Zhang HZ, Lu JH, Shi ZY, Guo YR, Shao WH, Meng FX, Zhang R, Zhang AH, Xu J
- 1831 Outpatient hybrid endoscopic submucosal dissection with SOUTEN for early gastric cancer, followed by endoscopic suturing of the mucosal defect: A case report

Ito R, Miwa K, Matano Y

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

1838 Is endoscopic mucosal resection-precutting superior to conventional methods for removing sessile colorectal polyps?

Yang QY, Zhao Q, Hu JW



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 27, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Raja Kalayarasan, MS, DNB, MCh, FRCS (Ed), Additional Professor & Head, Department of Surgical Gastroenterology, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), Puducherry 605006, India. kalayarasanraja@yahoo.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery (WJGS, World J Gastrointest Surg) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJGS as 2.0; IF without journal self cites: 1.9; 5-year IF: 2.2; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.52; Ranking: 113 among 212 journals in surgery; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 81 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Rui-Rui Wu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-9366 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
November 30, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Peter Schemmer	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
August 27, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



S WŰ

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Surg 2023 August 27; 15(8): 1712-1718

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i8.1712

Retrospective Study

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Risk factors and their interactive effects on severe acute pancreatitis complicated with acute gastrointestinal injury

Jian-Hui Chen, Mei-Fen Zhang, Wen-Chao Du, Yan-An Zhang

Specialty type: Emergency medicine

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer

reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Pedziwiatr M, Poland; Sherf-Dagan S, Israel

Received: May 6, 2023 Peer-review started: May 6, 2023 First decision: May 19, 2023 Revised: May 26, 2023 Accepted: June 21, 2023 Article in press: June 21, 2023 Published online: August 27, 2023



Jian-Hui Chen, Mei-Fen Zhang, Wen-Chao Du, Yan-An Zhang, Emergency Department, Longyan First Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Longyan 364000, Fujian Province, China

Corresponding author: Yan-An Zhang, MBBS, Professor, Emergency Department, Longyan First Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, No. 105 Jiuyibei Road, Xinluo District, Longyan 364000, Fujian Province, China. zhangyanan6870@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

There are many risk factors for severe acute pancreatitis (SAP) complicated with acute gastrointestinal injury (AGI), but few reports on the interaction between these risk factors.

AIM

To analyze the risk factors for SAP complicated with AGI and their interactive effects.

METHODS

We selected 168 SAP patients admitted to our hospital between December 2019 and June 2022. They were divided into AGI group and non-AGI group according to whether AGI was present. Demographic data and laboratory test data were compared between the two groups. The risk factors for SAP with concomitant AGI were analyzed using multifactorial logistic regression, and an analysis of the interaction of the risk factors was performed.

RESULTS

The percentage of patients with multiple organ dysfunction syndrome, acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II (APACHE II) score, white blood cell count and creatinine (CRE) level was higher in the AGI group than in the non-AGI group. There was a statistically significant difference between the two groups (P < 0.05). Logistic regression analysis indicated that an APACHE II score > 15 and CRE > 100 μ mol/L were risk factors for SAP complicating AGI. The interaction index of APACHE II score and CRE level was 3.123.

CONCLUSION

An APACHE II score > 15 and CRE level > 100 µmol/L are independent risk factors for SAP complicated with AGI, and there is a positive interaction between



WJGS https://www.wjgnet.com

them.

Key Words: Severe acute pancreatitis; Acute gastrointestinal injury; Risk factors; Interactions; Acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II; Creatinine

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Acute gastrointestinal injury (AGI) is a common complication of severe acute pancreatitis (SAP). Patients with AGI are prone to gastrointestinal dysfunction and mucosal injury, aggravating the degree of pancreatic inflammation, causing multiple organ dysfunction and endangering patients' lives. In this study, 168 patients with SAP were divided into the AGI group and non-AGI group. The risk factors of SAP complicated with AGI were analyzed, and the interaction of these risk factors was analyzed. The study findings have guiding value for controlling the development of AGI and improving the prognosis of SAP.

Citation: Chen JH, Zhang MF, Du WC, Zhang YA. Risk factors and their interactive effects on severe acute pancreatitis complicated with acute gastrointestinal injury. World J Gastrointest Surg 2023; 15(8): 1712-1718 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v15/i8/1712.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v15.i8.1712

INTRODUCTION

Severe acute pancreatitis (SAP) refers to a disease in which pancreatic enzymes are activated due to a variety of reasons, resulting in a local inflammatory response. This disease is a common critical condition of the digestive system[1,2]. Statistically, the death rate due to SAP is up to 10%-30% [3], and has been on the rise in recent years, which seriously endangers the life and health of patients. Acute gastrointestinal injury (AGI) is a common complication of SAP. AGI patients have gastrointestinal dysfunction and mucosal injury, which can cause gastrointestinal motility slowing, intestinal obstruction, intestinal flora shift, impaired immune function, ulcer, gastrointestinal bleeding, etc., which aggravates the degree of pancreatitis, causes multiple organ dysfunction, and endangers the life of patients[4]. AGI is an important prognostic factor for SAP patients. Relevant studies have found that when AGI occurs in SAP patients, the mortality and incidence of complications are significantly increased[5]. However, there are numerous risk factors for AGI[6,7]. Therefore, examining the risk factors for AGI is of great significance for controlling the development of AGI, improving the prognosis of SAP, and taking effective intervention measures to improve the treatment of patients. However, most studies have investigated the risk factors for AGI, while reports on the interaction between risk factors are few. Therefore, the present study aimed to analyze the risk factors for AGI and determine their interactive effects on SAP to provide a rationale for clinical treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General information

A retrospective analysis of 168 patients with SAP treated in our hospital, and enrolled between December 2019 and June 2022 was conducted. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) According to the "Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute pancreatitis in China (2021)"[8], all patients were diagnosed by abdominal color Doppler ultrasound, CT or MRI; and (2) The age of patients ranged from 18 to 65 years. Exclusion criteria were: (1) Patients with gastrointestinal bleeding and complete intestinal obstruction; (2) Severe heart, kidney or other important organ dysfunction; and (3) Long-term use of corticosteroids or immunosuppressants. According to the AGI diagnostic criteria "European Society for the Critical Care Medicine (2012) Consensus on Acute Gastrointestinal Injury" [9], patients were divided into the AGI group (n = 64) and non-AGI group (n = 104).

Clinical data collection

Clinical data were collected from the patients. These data included gender, age, comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease), smoking history, acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II (APACHE II) score, and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS). Admission laboratory indicators included white blood cell (WBC) count in peripheral blood, hemoglobin, total bilirubin, creatinine (CRE), and serum amylase.

Statistical analysis

The SPSS 23.0 software was applied for analysis and processing. Quantitative data that conformed to a normal distribution are shown as mean ± SD, and *t*-tests were used for comparisons between the groups. Count data are expressed as *n* (%), and the χ^2 test was used for comparisons between the groups. Logistic regression was applied to analyze the



associated risk factors. The interaction of two factors was investigated using regression models. The attributable proportion of interaction (API), relative excess risk of interaction (RERI) and the synergy index (S) were calculated. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Comparison of clinical and laboratory data between the two groups

Univariate analysis showed that the percentage of patients with MODS, APACHE II score and CRE level were higher in the AGI group than in the non-AGI group, with a significant difference between the two groups (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 1.

Logistic multi-factor analysis of SAP and concurrent AGI

Variables that were statistically significant in univariate analysis were included as independent variables, and the influencing factor variable assignment is shown in Table 2. The results of the multifactorial regression analysis indicated that an APACHE II score > 15 and CRE > 100 μ mol/L were risk factors for complications of AGI in patients with SAP (*P* < 0.05), as shown in Table 3.

Interaction analysis of risk factors for AGI

The RERI of the interaction between the increase in APACHE II score and the increase in CRE level was 220.059, the API was 0.678%, and the S was 3.123. This indicated that there was a positive interaction between the two factors, as shown in Table 4.

Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis on the predictive value of APACHE II and creatinine

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis showed that the predictive value of joint detection of APACHE II and CRE was better than that of single detection (P < 0.001). The ROC curves of the three were significantly different (P < 0.05) (Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

SAP is a special type of acute pancreatitis, which is caused by biliary tract disease, overeating, and heavy drinking, which leads to the activation of pancreatic enzymes and an acute chemical inflammatory reaction of pancreatic tissue[10]. In the early stage of SAP, a large number of inflammatory mediators, cytokines and bacterial toxins are produced, which lead to hemodynamic abnormalities and damage to organs such as heart, liver, kidney and the gastrointestinal tract, and in severe cases, organ failure[11]. AGI is one of the most common complications in SAP patients. As the gastrointestinal tract is the reservoir for systemic flora, it has functions such as regulating immune and inflammatory functions. When AGI occurs, it causes intestinal flora shift, gastrointestinal ulcer, gastrointestinal nutrition disorders, *etc.*, which aggravate the inflammatory response, induce multiple organ failure in patients, prolong the length of hospital stay, and increase patient mortality[12]. Wang *et al*[13] found that AGI was an independent risk factor for SAP. Therefore, active treatment of AGI to promote the recovery of gastrointestinal function is the key to alleviating SAP, reducing mortality, and improving prognosis.

The results of this study indicated that patients with SAP complicated by AGI had a significantly higher MODS ratio, leukocyte level, CRE level, and APACHE II score compared to the non-AGI group, with significant differences. Logistic regression analysis indicated that APACHE II scores > 15 and increased CRE levels were the main risk factors for complications of AGI in SAP. Targeted measures should be taken against the above factors to strengthen prevention. The gastrointestinal tract plays an important role in the human body. Various injuries, surgeries, severe infections, massive bleeding and so on can promote the release of inflammatory factors. These inflammatory factors can activate the signal transduction of nuclear factors in gastrointestinal mucosal epithelium, causing microcirculation disorders in the gastrointestinal tract resulting in impaired gastrointestinal function[14]. The findings in the present study indicate that AGI patients have higher levels of WBCs than non-AGI patients. It is suggested that the increase in these indices may be the risk factors of SAP complicated by AGI. CRE is a product of human muscle metabolism, and increased CRE will have a considerable impact on the body. When the CRE level increases, this indicates that the body's metabolism is abnormal, and a large amount of toxins and waste are accumulated, which results in disordered human functions and leads to various metabolic imbalances[15]. In addition, increased CRE level will accumulate in the heart, respiratory system, gastrointestinal system, etc., and will indirectly reflect glomerular and gastrointestinal system dysfunction, which will lead to gastrointestinal injury and systemic injury [16]. Jin et al [17] found that elevated serum CRE level was a risk factor for gastrointestinal failure, and the results of this study were consistent with these findings.

The APACHE II scoring system consists of a total score of three components: Acute physiology, age, and chronic health status. It is widely applied in the assessment of critically ill patients, and is also a commonly used scoring system to judge the severity of acute pancreatitis[18,19]. An APACHE II score > 15 indicates a poor prognosis, and patients with higher scores have severe disease[20]. Greenberg *et al*[21] showed that the higher the APACHE II score within 72 h of admission in SAP patients, the higher the death rate. The findings of the present research indicated that patients in the AGI group had higher APACHE II scores than those in the non-AGI group. It is suggested that SAP patients with AGI are more

Zaishidena® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Comparison of clinical and laboratory data between the two groups, n (%)						
Influencing factors	AGI group (<i>n</i> = 64)	Non-AGI group (<i>n</i> = 104)	χ²/t	P value		
Gender			0.464	0.496		
Male	31 (48.44)	56 (53.85)				
Female	33 (51.56)	48 (4 6.15)				
Age (mean ± SD, yr)	50.16 ± 7.56	49.42 ± 8.12	0.583	0.560		
Hypertension			0.168	0.682		
Yes	31 (48.44)	47 (45.19)				
No	33 (51.56)	57 (54.81)				
Diabetes			0.101	0.751		
Yes	33 (51.56)	51 (49.04)				
No	31 (48.44)	53 (50.96)				
Coronary heart disease			0.008	0.927		
Yes	34 (53.13)	56 (53.85)				
No	30 (46.87)	48 (46.15)				
Smoking			0.059	0.809		
Yes	32 (50.00)	54 (51.92)				
No	32 (50.00)	50 (48.08)				
MODS			21.263	< 0.001		
Yes	43 (67.19)	32 (30.77)				
No	21 (32.81)	72 (69.23)				
APACHE II score (mean ± SD)	17.45 ± 4.74	10.79 ± 3.95	-9.836	< 0.001		
Leukocytes (mean \pm SD, × 10 ⁹ /L)	15.12 ± 3.03	13.87 ± 2.27	-2.834	0.006		
Hemoglobin (mean \pm SD, g/L)	140.87 ± 14.93	144.50 ± 15.87	1.470	0.143		
Creatinine (mean \pm SD, μ mol/L)	115.15 ± 12.18	93.07 ± 10.22	-12.116	< 0.001		
Amylase (mean \pm SD, U/L)	761.43 ± 73.90	751.20 ± 70.95	-0.894	0.373		
Total bilirubin (mean ± SD, μ mol/L)	22.09 ± 3.68	21.78 ± 3.61	-0.529	0.597		

AGI: Acute gastrointestinal injury; MODS: Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome; APACHE II: Acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II.

Table 2 Factor assignment for logistic regression analysis				
Influencing factors	Assignment of factors			
Presence or absence of AGI	0 = Non-AGI group, 1 = AGI group			
MODS	0 = no, 1 = yes			
APACHE II	< 15 points = 0, > 15 points = 1			
Creatinine	$\leq 100 \ \mu mol/L = 0$, > 100 $\mu mol/L = 1$			
Leukocytes	Original value input			

AGI: Acute gastrointestinal injury; MODS: Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome; APACHE II: Acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II.

critically ill and have a higher risk of death. The complexity of gastrointestinal function also lies in its internal dynamic changes. Disorder and translocation of intestinal flora is another potential mechanism for the occurrence of AGI. Intestinal flora activate the immune response through the lymphatic system, leading to the occurrence and even deterioration of MODS[22]. This research found a higher percentage of patients with AGI than with non-AGI, which was similar to the findings of Laterre et al[23]. It is suggested that MODS is closely related to SAP complicated by AGI.

Saishideng® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 3 Logistic regression analysis of severe acute pancreatitis patients with acute gastrointestinal injury							
Influencing factors	B value	SE value	Wald value	P value	OR (95%CI)		
APACHE II	1.716	0.613	7.820	0.005	5.560 (1.671-18.502)		
MODS	-0.398	0.598	0.442	0.506	0.672 (0.208-2.169)		
Leukocytes	0.196	0.101	3.769	0.052	1.216 (0.998-1.482)		
Creatinine	3.380	0.553	37.366	< 0.001	29.365 (9.936-86.788)		

MODS: Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome; APACHE II: Acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II.

Table 4 Interaction of creatinine and acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II score on acute gastrointestinal injury in severe acute pancreatitis patients

APACHE II	Creatinine	AGI group	Non-AGI group	OR	95%CI	RERI	API	S
		1	79	1.00		220.059	0.678	3.123
+		5	4	98.75	9.228-1056.778			
	+	21	12	138.25	16.998-1124.444			
+	+	37	9	0.024	0.002-0.314			

AGI: Acute gastrointestinal injury; APACHE II: Acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II; API: Attributable proportion of interaction; RERI: Relative excess risk of interaction.



Figure 1 Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II, creatinine and joint detection. APACHE II: Acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II.

From the interaction study of risk factors, it was found that there was a statistically positive interaction between the APACHE II score and CRE level in SAP patients with AGI. The RERI of the interaction effect between high APACHE II score and elevated CRE level was 220.059, indicating that the risk of AGI increased by 220.059 times. The API was 0.678% and S was 3.123, indicating that 0.678% of AGI in these patients was caused by the coexistence of increased APACHE II score and increased CRE level, and the coexistence of both was 3.123 times that of AGI induced by the existence of either factor alone. Therefore, APACHE II score > 15 and CRE level > 100 µmol/L can lead to AGI in SAP patients. The changes in gastrointestinal function should be closely monitored, and timely and effective treatment should be provided to control

Raishideng® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

the development of the patient's disease, reduce the body's inflammatory response, and avoid the involvement of other organs.

The present research was conducted to investigate the risk factors of SAP complicated by AGI and analyze the interaction between these risk factors. In this way, measures to prevent the incidence of AGI were implemented to improve the prognostic outcome of patients with SAP. However, the sample size in this study was limited, and the results may be biased to some extent. It is necessary to expand the sample and conduct a multicenter study to further confirm the risk factors of AGI in SAP patients.

CONCLUSION

An APACHE II score > 15 and CRE level > 100 µmol/L are both independent risk factors for SAP complicated with AGI, and there is a positive interaction between them. Therefore, in SAP patients with AGI, attention should be paid to managing the risk factors of AGI in SAP patients, and timely and effective interventions should be carried out to reduce the incidence of AGI and to improve the prognosis of SAP patients.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Acute gastrointestinal injury (AGI) patients are prone to gastrointestinal dysfunction and mucosal injury, aggravating the degree of pancreatic inflammation and causing multiple organ dysfunction.

Research motivation

Examining the risk factors of AGI is of great significance for controlling the development of AGI and improving the prognosis of severe acute pancreatitis (SAP).

Research objectives

The purpose of this study was to analyze the risk factors of SAP complicated by AGI and their interaction.

Research methods

This study retrospectively analyzed SAP patients admitted to our hospital and divided them into the AGI group and non-AGI group to analyze the risk factors of SAP complicated with AGI and their interaction.

Research results

An acute physiological and chronic health scoring system II score > 15 and creatinine level > 100 µmol/L were independent risk factors for SAP complicated with AGI, and there was a positive interaction between them.

Research conclusions

When risk factors of SAP complicated with AGI are found, timely effective measures should be taken to improve the prognosis of SAP patients.

Research perspectives

In SAP patients with AGI, attention should be paid to managing the risk factors of AGI in SAP patients, and timely and effective interventions should be carried out to reduce the incidence of AGI and to improve the prognosis of SAP patients.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Chen JH designed and performed the research and wrote the paper; Zhang YA designed the research and supervised the report; Zhang MF designed the research and contributed to the analysis; Du WC supervised the report.

Institutional review board statement: This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Longyan First Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University (Approved No. LYREC2023-k016-01).

Informed consent statement: This was a retrospective study and was exempt from informed consent according to Institutional policy.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: The data used for this study can be obtained from the corresponding author upon request.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to



distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Jian-Hui Chen 0009-0002-3734-7676; Mei-Fen Zhang 0009-0001-4005-7453; Wen-Chao Du 0009-0006-0826-8409; Yan-An Zhang 0009-0003-2341-6789.

S-Editor: Wang JL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Ji MX

REFERENCES

- Mederos MA, Reber HA, Girgis MD. Acute Pancreatitis: A Review. JAMA 2021; 325: 382-390 [PMID: 33496779 DOI: 1 10.1001/jama.2020.20317]
- James TW, Crockett SD. Management of acute pancreatitis in the first 72 hours. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2018; 34: 330-335 [PMID: 2 29957661 DOI: 10.1097/MOG.000000000000456]
- 3 Liang X, Zhang B, Chen Q, Zhang J, Lei B, Li B, Wei Y, Zhai R, Liang Z, He S, Tang B. The mechanism underlying alpinetin-mediated alleviation of pancreatitis-associated lung injury through upregulating aquaporin-1. Drug Des Devel Ther 2016; 10: 841-850 [PMID: 26966354 DOI: 10.2147/DDDT.S976141
- Zhang D, Li Y, Ding L, Fu Y, Dong X, Li H. Prevalence and outcome of acute gastrointestinal injury in critically ill patients: A systematic 4 review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018; 97: e12970 [PMID: 30412121 DOI: 10.1097/MD.000000000012970]
- Hua Z, Su Y, Huang X, Zhang K, Yin Z, Wang X, Liu P. Analysis of risk factors related to gastrointestinal fistula in patients with severe acute 5 pancreatitis: a retrospective study of 344 cases in a single Chinese center. BMC Gastroenterol 2017; 17: 29 [PMID: 28193160 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-017-0587-8]
- 6 Sun JK, Mu XW, Li WQ, Tong ZH, Li J, Zheng SY. Effects of early enteral nutrition on immune function of severe acute pancreatitis patients. World J Gastroenterol 2013; 19: 917-922 [PMID: 23431120 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i6.917]
- 7 Li H, Yang Z, Tian F. Risk factors associated with intolerance to enteral nutrition in moderately severe acute pancreatitis: A retrospective study of 568 patients. Saudi J Gastroenterol 2019; 25: 362-368 [PMID: 30900608 DOI: 10.4103/sjg.SJG 550 18]
- Chinese Pancreatic Surgery Association, Chinese Society of Surgery; Chinese Medical Association. [Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment 8 of acute pancreatitis in China (2021)]. Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi 2021; 59: 578-587 [PMID: 34256457 DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.cn112139-20210416-00172]
- 9 Reintam Blaser A, Malbrain ML, Starkopf J, Fruhwald S, Jakob SM, De Waele J, Braun JP, Poeze M, Spies C. Gastrointestinal function in intensive care patients: terminology, definitions and management. Recommendations of the ESICM Working Group on Abdominal Problems. Intensive Care Med 2012; 38: 384-394 [PMID: 22310869 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-011-2459-y]
- Fonseca Sepúlveda EV, Guerrero-Lozano R. Acute pancreatitis and recurrent acute pancreatitis: an exploration of clinical and etiologic factors 10 and outcomes. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2019; 95: 713-719 [PMID: 30075118 DOI: 10.1016/j.jped.2018.06.011]
- Garg PK, Singh VP. Organ Failure Due to Systemic Injury in Acute Pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 2019; 156: 2008-2023 [PMID: 30768987 11 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.12.041]
- Fu W, Shi N, Wan Y, Mei F, Qiu B, Bao Y, Zhang Y, Hao J, He J, Peng X. Risk Factors of Acute Gastrointestinal Failure in Critically III 12 Patients With Traumatic Brain Injury. J Craniofac Surg 2020; 31: e176-e179 [PMID: 31895855 DOI: 10.1097/SCS.000000000006130]
- Wang M, Lei R. Organ Dysfunction in the Course of Severe Acute Pancreatitis. Pancreas 2016; 45: e5-e7 [PMID: 26658047 DOI: 13 10.1097/MPA.00000000000450]
- Besterman HS, Mallinson CN, Modigliani R, Christofides ND, Pera A, Ponti V, Sarson DL, Bloom SR. Gut hormones in inflammatory bowel 14 disease. Scand J Gastroenterol 1983; 18: 845-852 [PMID: 6374867 DOI: 10.3109/00365528309182104]
- Diago CAA, Señaris JAA. Should we pay more attention to low creatinine levels? Endocrinol Diabetes Nutr (Engl Ed) 2020; 67: 486-492 15 [PMID: 32331974 DOI: 10.1016/j.endinu.2019.12.008]
- 16 Levey AS, James MT. Acute Kidney Injury. Ann Intern Med 2017; 167: ITC66-ITC80 [PMID: 29114754 DOI: 10.7326/AITC201711070]
- Jin M, Zhang HM, Chen XF, Wu MX, Wang Z, Guo MY, Bai XY, Yang H, Qian JM. [Evaluation and Early Diagnosis of Gastrointestinal 17 Failure in Acute Pancreatitis]. Zhongguo Yi Xue Ke Xue Yuan Xue Bao 2020; 42: 47-54 [PMID: 32131939 DOI: 10.3881/j.issn.1000-503X.11240]
- Tang W, Zha ML, Zhang WQ, Hu SQ, Chen HL. APACHE scoring system and pressure injury risk for intensive care patients: A systematic 18 review and meta-analysis. Wound Repair Regen 2022; 30: 498-508 [PMID: 35589532 DOI: 10.1111/wrr.13021]
- Niewiński G, Starczewska M, Kański A. Prognostic scoring systems for mortality in intensive care units--the APACHE model. Anaesthesiol 19 Intensive Ther 2014; 46: 46-49 [PMID: 24643928 DOI: 10.5603/AIT.2014.0010]
- 20 Sovik S, Isachsen MS, Nordhuus KM, Tveiten CK, Eken T, Sunde K, Brurberg KG, Beitland S. Acute kidney injury in trauma patients admitted to the ICU: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Intensive Care Med 2019; 45: 407-419 [PMID: 30725141 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-019-05535-y]
- Greenberg JA, Hsu J, Bawazeer M, Marshall J, Friedrich JO, Nathens A, Coburn N, May GR, Pearsall E, McLeod RS. Clinical practice 21 guideline: management of acute pancreatitis. Can J Surg 2016; 59: 128-140 [PMID: 27007094 DOI: 10.1503/cjs.015015]
- Li XY, He C, Zhu Y, Lu NH. Role of gut microbiota on intestinal barrier function in acute pancreatitis. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 2187-22 2193 [PMID: 32476785 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i18.2187]
- Laterre PF, Collienne C. Improving the management of severe acute pancreatitis: The new guidelines from the French Society of Anaesthesia 23 and Intensive Care Medicine. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med 2022; 41: 101103 [PMID: 35715021 DOI: 10.1016/j.accpm.2022.101103]



WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

