

World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Surgery*

World J Gastrointest Surg 2024 February 27; 16(2): 260-634



EDITORIAL

- 260 Actuality and underlying mechanisms of systemic immune-inflammation index and geriatric nutritional risk index prognostic value in hepatocellular carcinoma
Tchilikidi KY
- 266 Prognostic impact of preoperative nutritional and immune inflammatory parameters on liver cancer
Bae SU
- 270 Don't forget emergency surgery! Lessons to learn from elective indocyanine green-guided gastrointestinal interventions
Perini D, Martellucci J
- 276 Mutational landscape of TP53 and CDH1 in gastric cancer
Cai HQ, Zhang LY, Fu LM, Xu B, Jiao Y
- 284 Overview of ectopic pancreas
Li CF, Li QR, Bai M, Lv YS, Jiao Y

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical and Translational Research

- 289 Phospholipase A2 enzymes PLA2G2A and PLA2G12B as potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in cholangiocarcinoma
Qiu C, Xiang YK, Da XB, Zhang HL, Kong XY, Hou NZ, Zhang C, Tian FZ, Yang YL

Case Control Study

- 307 Classification of anatomical morphology of cystic duct and its association with gallstone
Zhu JH, Zhao SL, Kang Q, Zhu Y, Liu LX, Zou H

Retrospective Cohort Study

- 318 Will partial splenic embolization followed by splenectomy increase intraoperative bleeding?
Huang L, Li QL, Yu QS, Peng H, Zhen Z, Shen Y, Zhang Q
- 331 Influence of donor age on liver transplantation outcomes: A multivariate analysis and comparative study
Bezjak M, Stresec I, Kocman B, Jadrijević S, Filipec Kanizaj T, Antonijević M, Dalbelo Bašić B, Mikulić D
- 345 Machine learning-based radiomics score improves prognostic prediction accuracy of stage II/III gastric cancer: A multi-cohort study
Xiang YH, Mou H, Qu B, Sun HR

- 357 Risk stratification in gastric cancer lung metastasis: Utilizing an overall survival nomogram and comparing it with previous staging
Chen ZR, Yang MF, Xie ZY, Wang PA, Zhang L, Huang ZH, Luo Y
- 382 Systemic inflammatory response index is a predictor of prognosis in gastric cancer patients: Retrospective cohort and meta-analysis
Ren JY, Xu M, Niu XD, Ma SX, Jiao YJ, Wang D, Yu M, Cai H
- Retrospective Study**
- 396 Development of a clinical nomogram for prediction of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with advanced gastric cancer
Liu B, Xu YJ, Chu FR, Sun G, Zhao GD, Wang SZ
- 409 Laparoscopic left hemihepatectomy guided by indocyanine green fluorescence: A cranial-dorsal approach
Wang XR, Li XJ, Wan DD, Zhang Q, Liu TX, Shen ZW, Tong HX, Li Y, Li JW
- 419 Hemoglobin loss method calculates blood loss during pancreaticoduodenectomy and predicts bleeding-related risk factors
Yu C, Lin YM, Xian GZ
- 429 Short- and long-term outcomes of surgical treatment in patients with intestinal Behcet's disease
Park MY, Yoon YS, Park JH, Lee JL, Yu CS
- 438 Preoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts symptomatic anastomotic leakage in elderly colon cancer patients: Multicenter propensity score-matched analysis
Wang CY, Li XL, Ma XL, Yang XF, Liu YY, Yu YJ
- 451 Preoperative blood markers and intra-abdominal infection after colorectal cancer resection
Liu CQ, Yu ZB, Gan JX, Mei TM
- 463 Immune function status of postoperative patients with colon cancer for predicting liver metastasis
Xiong L, Liu FC
- 471 Efficacy of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts in treating cirrhotic esophageal-gastric variceal bleeding
Hu XG, Dai JJ, Lu J, Li G, Wang JM, Deng Y, Feng R, Lu KP
- 481 Correlation between serum markers and transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt prognosis in patients with cirrhotic ascites
Hu XG, Yang XX, Lu J, Li G, Dai JJ, Wang JM, Deng Y, Feng R
- 491 Development of a new Cox model for predicting long-term survival in hepatitis cirrhosis patients underwent transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts
Lv YF, Zhu B, Meng MM, Wu YF, Dong CB, Zhang Y, Liu BW, You SL, Lv S, Yang YP, Liu FQ
- 503 "Five steps four quadrants" modularized *en bloc* dissection technique for accessing hepatic hilum lymph nodes in laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy
Hu XS, Wang Y, Pan HT, Zhu C, Chen SL, Liu HC, Pang Q, Jin H

- 511** Efficacy and safety of endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer and precancerous lesions in elderly patients

Xu WS, Zhang HY, Jin S, Zhang Q, Liu HD, Wang MT, Zhang B

- 518** Nomogram model including *LATS2* expression was constructed to predict the prognosis of advanced gastric cancer after surgery

Sun N, Tan BB, Li Y

Observational Study

- 529** To explore the pathogenesis of anterior resection syndrome by magnetic resonance imaging rectal defecography

Meng LH, Mo XW, Yang BY, Qin HQ, Song QZ, He XX, Li Q, Wang Z, Mo CL, Yang GH

- 539** Biopsy forceps are useful for measuring esophageal varices *in vitro*

Duan ZH, Zhou SY

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 546** First experience in laparoscopic surgery in low and middle income countries: A systematic review

Troller R, Bawa J, Baker O, Ashcroft J

- 554** Comparative effectiveness of several adjuvant therapies after hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma patients with microvascular invasion

Pei YX, Su CG, Liao Z, Li WW, Wang ZX, Liu JL

META-ANALYSIS

- 571** Is tumor necrosis factor- α monoclonal therapy with proactive therapeutic drug monitoring optimized for inflammatory bowel disease? Network meta-analysis

Zheng FY, Yang KS, Min WC, Li XZ, Xing Y, Wang S, Zhang YS, Zhao QC

- 585** Poor oral health was associated with higher risk of gastric cancer: Evidence from 1431677 participants

Liu F, Tang SJ, Li ZW, Liu XR, Lv Q, Zhang W, Peng D

CASE REPORT

- 596** Treatment of hemolymphangioma by robotic surgery: A case report

Li TN, Liu YH, Zhao J, Mu H, Cao L

- 601** Postoperative encapsulated hemoperitoneum in a patient with gastric stromal tumor treated by exposed endoscopic full-thickness resection: A case report

Lu HF, Li JJ, Zhu DB, Mao LQ, Xu LF, Yu J, Yao LH

- 609** Early endoscopic management of an infected acute necrotic collection misdiagnosed as a pancreatic pseudocyst: A case report

Zhang HY, He CC

- 616** Percutaneous ultrasound-guided coaxial core needle biopsy for the diagnosis of multiple splenic lesions: A case report
Pu SH, Bao WYG, Jiang ZP, Yang R, Lu Q
- 622** Spilled gallstone mimicking intra-abdominal seeding of gallbladder adenocarcinoma: A case report
Huang CK, Lu RH, Chen CC, Chen PC, Hsu WC, Tsai MJ, Ting CT
- 628** Ileal collision tumor associated with gastrointestinal bleeding: A case report and review of literature
Wu YQ, Wang HY, Shao MM, Xu L, Jiang XY, Guo SJ

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, Nikolaos Chatzizacharias, FACS, FRCS, MD, PhD, Consultant Surgeon, Department of HPB and liver transplantation, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, University Hospitals Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TH, United Kingdom. nikolaos.chatzizacharias@uhb.nhs.uk

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* (WJGS, *World J Gastrointest Surg*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, *etc.*

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJGS as 2.0; IF without journal self cites: 1.9; 5-year IF: 2.2; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.52; Ranking: 113 among 212 journals in surgery; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 81 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Zi-Hang Xu, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ISSN

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

November 30, 2009

FREQUENCY

Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Peter Schemmer

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

February 27, 2024

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Don't forget emergency surgery! Lessons to learn from elective indocyanine green-guided gastrointestinal interventions

Davina Perini, Jacopo Martellucci

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): 0
Grade C (Good): 0
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Talavera-Urquijo E, Spain

Received: November 23, 2023

Peer-review started: November 23, 2023

First decision: December 17, 2023

Revised: December 30, 2023

Accepted: January 23, 2024

Article in press: January 23, 2024

Published online: February 27, 2024



Davina Perini, Jacopo Martellucci, Department of Emergency, Emergency and Minimally Invasive Surgery Unit, Careggi University Hospital, Firenze 50041, Italy

Corresponding author: Jacopo Martellucci, MD, PhD, Surgeon, Department of Emergency, Emergency and Minimally Invasive Surgery Unit, Careggi University Hospital, Largo G. Alessandro Brambilla, 3 Firenze, Firenze 50041, Italy. jamjac64@hotmail.com

Abstract

Fluorescence-based imaging has found application in several fields of elective surgery, but there is still a lack of evidence in the literature about its use in the emergency setting. Clinical trials have consistently shown that indocyanine green (ICG)-guided surgery can dramatically reduce the risk of postoperative complications, length of in-hospital stay and total healthcare costs in the elective setting. It is well-known that emergency surgery has a higher complication rate than its elective counterpart, therefore an impelling need for research studies to explore, validate and develop this issue has been highlighted. The present editorial aims to provide a critical overview of currently available applications and pitfalls of ICG fluorescence in abdominal emergencies. Furthermore, we evidenced how the experience of ICG-fluorescence in elective surgery might be of great help in implementing its use in acute situations. In the first paragraph we analyzed the tips and tricks of ICG-guided cancer surgery that might be exploited in acute cases. We then deepened the two most described topics in ICG-guided emergency surgery: Acute cholecystitis and intestinal ischemia, focusing on both the advantages and limitations of green-fluorescence application in these two fields. In emergency situations, ICG fluorescence demonstrates a promising role in preventing undue intestinal resections or their entity, facilitating the detection of intestinal ischemic zones, identifying biliary tree anatomy, reducing postoperative complications, and mitigating high mortality rates. The need to improve its application still exists, therefore we strongly believe that the elective and routinary use of the dye is the best way to acquire the necessary skills for emergency procedures.

Key Words: Indocyanine green; Fluorescence; Navigation surgery; Angiography; Emergency surgery; Decision-making

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Indocyanine green (ICG)-fluorescence is a novel cornerstone in the era of navigation surgery with the potential for reducing perioperative complications and better postoperative outcomes. The use of ICG in general surgery began in the field of oncologic surgery and then spread to other surgical areas. The ICG-guided emergency surgery is still in its infancy but represents a very promising scope of application. The experience of fluorescence-guided elective surgery can be of great help in implementing its use in emergency settings, increasing its application, and overcoming its limitations.

Citation: Perini D, Martellucci J. Don't forget emergency surgery! Lessons to learn from elective indocyanine green-guided gastrointestinal interventions. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2024; 16(2): 270-275

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v16/i2/270.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v16.i2.270>

INTRODUCTION

We read with great interest the valuable article by Kalayarasan *et al*[1] (manuscript NO: 87027) that depicts an overview of the multiple fields of applications of indocyanine green (ICG) fluorescence in visceral and oncological surgery, discussing indications, summarizing the most recent and significant available literature, as well as giving technical notes of its use. It represents a contemporary practical guide that can be a useful tool, both for daily surgical practice and for development of future research in this field.

Although, to include all fields of application of ICG in surgery, a special mention of fluorescence use in abdominal emergency situations is necessary. To date, the research in this domain is nascent and fragmented, necessitating a shared effort-both from surgeons and academics-to gain an extensive knowledge about it.

It is well known that emergency surgeons operate under thought-provoking circumstances and high stress. Intraoperative decision-making can be extremely challenging in the case of urgent surgery, as often the preoperative study of the patient is-by its own nature-inaccurate. Furthermore, intraoperatively, we are often faced with unexpected clinical pictures that put a strain on the surgical skills of the operator. The progress in ICG fluorescence imaging could facilitate intraoperative decision-making and empower the capacity of the surgeon to settle any possible doubts[2]. Moreover, ICG-guided surgery in emergency settings plays a role not only in minimally invasive approaches, but also in conventional ones: The surgeon can use a laparoscope or a handheld optical system for near-infrared imaging during open surgery as well[3].

The present editorial aims to highlight tips and tricks of ICG navigation in elective surgery that can be exploited in emergency context. Our scope is to integrate recent advances in both ICG-guided oncologic and emergency surgery: These two aspects represent different sides of the same coin and the improvement of one necessarily leads to new horizons for the development of the other. Lastly, our aim is also to highlight the limits of green fluorescence in daily surgical practice, that often are not analyzed so punctually as its advantages.

LESSONS TO LEARN FROM ELECTIVE SURGERY

ICG fluorescence is a mode of intraoperative navigation that might support the surgeon by enhanced real-time visualization of anatomical structures. It is based on the ability of a dye (ICG) to emit a fluorescent signal when stimulated by a light source at a wavelength of 700-900 nm (near-infrared spectrum). Thanks to its relatively low costs, high availability, extremely high toxic dose/low reported allergic reactions, ICG is the most widely used fluorescent dye in general surgery [4]. The latest equipment used for imaging in minimally invasive surgery allows surgeons to combine 4K vision with laser technology to activate fluorescence imaging. In this way it is possible to study, intraoperatively and in real time, the surgical structures, as well as their anatomical variants, and thus to guide the action of the surgeon.

Since its introduction for breast cancer sentinel node detection[5], ICG-fluorescence use showed a wide spread of its application in various areas of gastrointestinal and liver surgery and, consensually, a significant reduction of postoperative complications[6]. It can be used either in fully mini-invasive interventions or directly on the operative field during open procedures. This technology has a short learning-curve and can also be used for the training of surgical residents.

ICG fluorescence is becoming almost a game-changer in the era of navigation surgery. According to the latest EAES guidelines[6], the following well-established and recommended ICG-guided surgical procedures currently exist (strong grade of recommendation): (1) The use of fluorescent cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), whenever available, in order to improve the visualization of the biliary structures; (2) the use of ICG fluorescence in colorectal surgery to assess tissue perfusion, in order to reduce the risk of anastomotic leak; and (3) the use of ICG fluorescence in liver surgery, in order to detect superficial liver tumors.

Since it is straightforward and does not interfere with the surgical workflow, ICG-fluorescence can be widely exploited in both elective and urgent interventions and surgeons who are skilled in its use in elective surgeries can safely apply it in urgency as well[3,7,8].

After knowing the reported decrease of complications in elective surgery[2,4,9], we expect an even bigger impact in the emergency setting.

The crucial point is that ICG fluorescence can dramatically affect intraoperative decision-making. This concept is perhaps more important in the acute setting, in which the recognition of anatomical structures can be difficult by the acute inflammatory state, and the blood supply is often impaired by the underlying diseases (*e.g.*, acute mesenteric ischemia, bowel perforation/resection, trauma). In emergency situations, ICG fluorescence demonstrates a promising role in preventing undue intestinal resections or their entity, facilitating the detection of intestinal ischemic zones, identifying biliary tree anatomy, reducing post-operative complications and mitigating high mortality rates[10]. The need to improve its application still exists, although we strongly believe that the elective and routine use of the dye is the best way to acquire the necessary skills for emergency procedures.

However, ICG should not be considered as a panacea to direct surgical actions, and surgeons, especially the youngest, must need to exercise their own dexterity and critical sense, based on individual skills, experience, and training.

The major pitfalls of green fluorescence are the dosage and the timing of ICG administration: Arbitrary doses, sometimes distorted by patient or tissue characteristics, or uncoordinated administration, often due to operator inexperience, might lead to the acquisition of false data, causing misinterpretation of the tissue perfusion. Indeed, critical points in the use of intraoperative fluorescence imaging, clearly sharpened in the emergency setting, are the low standardization and reproducibility of the results and the associated difficulty in comparing the results of the existing trials. Furthermore, little is known about the influence of hemodynamic parameters on the quantitative assessment of ICG fluorescence during surgery.

The merit of elective surgery—that we can learn for emergency contexts—is to ensure standardized settings and documentation that are essential, as they rule out most of the possible pitfalls in ICG fluorescence angiography/cholangiography.

ICG has a myriad of clinical applications, including many emerging. The ever-growing availability of imaging software that can employ this fluorophore will turn some of these applications into a standard of care[4]. To begin with, the emergent standardized evidence-based guidelines[6] needed to be implemented and disseminated for safe adoption of ICG-fluorescence in daily surgical practice.

This editorial specifically focuses on LC for acute cholecystitis and laparoscopy/laparotomy for intestinal ischemia, since they are the most common and established applications of ICG in emergency surgery; furthermore, in our opinion—they are those fields that could benefit most from the development of green fluorescence in acute settings. A recently published systematic literature review[10] reported benefits from using ICG for acute cholecystitis in 48% of cases (clear identification of biliary structures and a safer surgical procedure); moreover in almost 37% of cases that concerned the use of ICG for occlusive or non-occlusive mesenteric ischemia, ICG injection led to a modification of the surgical strategy.

Other fields of application are trauma, appendectomies, strangulated hernias, control of intestinal anastomosis and identification of the ureter in complex viscerolysis or intestinal resections[6,10]. These applications are promising, however less unanimously recognized and for which we have not highlighted specific "lessons" to learn from the elective setting.

ICG-GUIDED EMERGENCY LC: WHEN AND WHY?

LC is one of the most common procedures in abdominal surgery; moreover, it is performed under emergency conditions in case of acute cholecystitis. The conversion rate in elective LC is quite low, but it dramatically increases in the event of acute cholecystitis (up to 30% reported in some studies[11]). In fact, the most important risk factor for conversion to open approach is acute cholecystitis itself: The presence of inflamed tissues could make surgical dissection difficult and might lead to improper assessment of anatomy, thus this may necessitate conversion.

The most feared complication of cholecystectomy is definitely iatrogenic injuries of bile ducts. Biliary lesions often happen when the biliary anatomy is misidentified; as misidentification of structures during LC is not uncommon, Strasberg and Brunt[12] have advocated the concept of "critical view of safety"[12] for proper identification of the biliary anatomy to avoid biliary injury. The biliary anatomy is not easy to delineate when tissues are acutely inflamed, and that is where ICG-fluorescence comes into play. ICG is metabolized by hepatic cells and secreted to the bile, so it allows a real-time visualization of the biliary system. According to several studies about elective cholecystectomy[9,13], ICG fluorescence, applied to the "critical view of safety", helps to identify the biliary tree elements more precisely during LC and hence to reduce biliary lesions and conversion-to-open events, which are relatively frequent in urgency.

Compared to conventional intraoperative radiographic cholangiography, ICG-cholangiography provides real-time visualization of critical structures, even before the Calot's dissection. Although fluorescence might fail to identify common bile duct stones and intrahepatic biliary anatomy, it offers the possibility to perform the procedure also in case of unavailability of traditional cholangiography (*e.g.*, at night). This last element is essential in urgency, much more than the identification of common bile duct calculosis, which can be treated endoscopically at a later time.

As opposed to the elective setting, scientific reports on the use of ICG for acute cholecystitis are mainly retrospective studies, and the results are often contradictory. A 2023 study by Losurdo *et al*[13] showed a reduction trend in intra- and postoperative complications, duration of surgery and length of hospital stay in urgent ICG-guided LC. However, in literature not everyone agrees with this statement. A randomized controlled trial published on *Surgical Endoscopy* in 2022 [14] underlined that green fluorescence did not make any difference in conversion or complication rate, even in emergency surgery, and its routine use in LC is questionable. This divergence of opinion is probably due to different evidence of fluorescence limits. In fact, visualization of ICG can be affected by both injection timing and amount, as well as by the edema of encountered tissues. These parameters are difficult to manage due to the nature of emergency surgery. Moreover, the heterogeneity of the results might be linked to the experience and dexterity of the surgeon that play a

decisive role in the possibility to achieve the same positive outcomes.

Here comes the lesson we can learn from elective surgery. The use of ICG in programmed surgery allows to shorten the ICG-navigation learning-curve and refine the technical details of its use, in view of urgent interventions, where time has a key-role, and it cannot be consumed in attempts. In a word, routine ICG-guided elective LC is propaedeutic to the use of ICG in challenging cases, such as acute cholecystitis and Mirizzi syndrome, when it may be a helpful - but almost adjunctive-tool.

AN EMERGENCY DILEMMA: INTESTINAL ISCHEMIA

Assessing bowel viability can be challenging during acute surgical procedures and the decision whether to resect an intestinal tract in an emergency setting is anything but trivial: This represents a crucial moment that could strongly influence postoperative complications and the overall outcome of the patient, including its future quality of life. Extensive resections should be carefully considered, as removal of large segments of small bowel can result in intestinal failure for short bowel syndrome, resulting in significant morbidity and poor quality of life. If, however, the surgical approach is too conservative, leaving ischemic bowel in situ, further clinical deterioration may need reinterventions and increase the risk of death. Judging the most suitable resection margins may be difficult as a broad range of variables, including hemodynamic instability and vasopressor support, may exist. None of the intraoperative tools to assess intestinal perfusion that have been considered over past years became widely used, due to their complexity, as well as their costs: A straightforward and inexpensive intraoperative tool to test intestinal viability would be very helpful in an emergency setting. ICG-fluorescence is a promising technique that has shown value for evaluation of adequate perfusion in gastrointestinal anastomoses in the elective setting. In the last few years, some authors reported case reports about its use as an intraoperative method to determine intestinal microcirculation in the setting of minimally invasive approaches to ischemic and injured bowel[3,8].

As evidenced by Lim *et al*[15] in a recently-published review[15], it can be challenging to macroscopically differentiate between reversible and irreversible ischemic bowel. Moreover, laparoscopy reduces the ability to discern signs of irreversible vascular insufficiency, such as absence of peristaltic movements, mesenteric pulsations, and discoloration of the bowel wall. Intraoperative real-time ICG-fluorescence makes it possible to detect non-viable intestine that is not apparent to white light and may be a valuable tool for the surgeon to determine whether bowel resection is necessary and to define the most appropriate resection margins[2].

ICG fluorescence has the capacity to properly define ("to map-out") ischemic bowel areas during laparoscopic/laparotomic surgeries for acute intestinal ischemia and hence perform patient-tailored surgeries, avoiding unnecessary or underestimated resections. In a word, we can use ICG to weigh the extent of the resection or avoid it. Prospective studies are needed to optimize the use of this tool at its best: In particular, more extensive and randomized trials are required to assess when and how the intraoperative use of ICG-fluorescence can remarkably impact on surgical decision-making and patient outcome.

A "grey-zone" related to ICG-guided surgery, especially in the perfusion assessment field, is the need to measure the fluorescence signal in an objective manner, in order to accomplish adequate clinical decision-making. In most of the studies, the evaluation of bowel perfusion with ICG is based on the surgeon's subjective visual quantification of fluorescence, which results in a large inter-observer variation. This limitation may be overcome identifying univocal reporting benchmarks and an objective cut-off based on the fluorescence signal pattern. This is an area of active research where dedicated software for digital imaging analysis is under development[4,15]. So far, they have been tested in pre-clinical studies and still need to be fully validated, thereby enabling the standardization of clinical trials and the achievement of significant results. Other limitations of the qualitative assessment of the intestinal viability with the ICG (*e.g.* the fluorescence or brightness of the green varies depending on the distance between the intestinal wall and the camera) are minor limitations that can be overcome by the operator's surgical skills and experience.

In the end, ICG fluorescence in intestinal ischemia is a promising technique, but not still exploited at its best. In our opinion, the assessment of intestinal viability is one of those fields that could benefit most from the development and spread of green fluorescence in the acute setting.

IS THE FUTURE GREEN AND BRIGHT?

There is increasing evidence that the use of ICG fluorescence during abdominal surgery could facilitate intra-operative decision-making and change surgical strategies. Nevertheless, it does not play a pivotal role in all acute surgical diseases.

Acute appendicitis is one of the main indications for urgent surgery worldwide, but ICG use in this field is still in its early stage. Some preliminary studies showed that ICG could be a useful method for assessing appendix stump vascularization. Dye-guided surgery might represent one of the decision-making modalities for patients with complicated acute appendicitis to manage the appendicular base with the different devices, eventually reducing postoperative complications and the use of endo-staplers in well-selected patients[16]. However, ICG importance in this case might be limited by the fact that appendectomy is burdened by a low rate of morbidity, mortality and post-operative complications, although not absent.

Intraurethral injection of ICG is an effective adjunct for ureteral identification during minimally invasive surgery, especially in the case of acute inflammatory processes where the anatomy may be significantly distorted. However, in these cases, the real challenge is the identification of the ureter itself, which is necessary for this approach; once identified,

its course is usually easier to follow, therefore intraureteral injection might represent an over-precaution with an inconvenient cost/benefit ratio.

Another topic that is still a matter of debate and promising expectation is the use of ICG fluorescence in trauma surgery. In trauma patients, ICG has been used mainly to assess the viability of bowel or parenchymatous organs, to evaluate the perfusion-related tissue impairment in an extremity or craniofacial trauma and, lastly, to reassess the efficacy of surgical procedures performed in terms of vascularization[10]. Nevertheless, literature about this topic is limited and to understand the real contribution of ICG to trauma surgery more controlled studies are needed.

CONCLUSION

ICG is a safe, feasible and effective aid, even in the field of emergency surgery. Its use could facilitate intra-operative decision-making, change surgical strategy and improve patient outcome. We strongly believe that the elective and routinary use of the dye is the best way to acquire the necessary skills and experience to be exploited within emergency procedures. Despite these promising evidences, the literature is sparse, small, and heterogeneous; thus, new randomized controlled trials are needed to unequivocally demonstrate the benefits of routinary fluorescence-guided surgery in the emergency setting.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Perini D and Martellucci J contributed to this paper; Perini D and Martellucci J designed the overall concept; Perini D contributed to the design of the manuscript and the writing; Martellucci J contributed to the discussion and the editing; Perini D and Martellucci J contributed to the review of literature.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Perini D and Martellucci J certify that there is no actual or potential conflict of interest in relation to this editorial and they state that there are no financial interests or connections, direct or indirect, or other situations that might raise the question of bias in the work reported or the conclusions, implications, or opinions stated-including pertinent commercial or other sources of funding for the individual author(s) or for the associated department(s) or organization(s), personal relationships, or direct academic competition.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: Italy

ORCID number: Davina Perini 0000-0003-0967-6798; Jacopo Martellucci 0000-0002-7437-9098.

S-Editor: Fan JR

L-Editor: Filipodia

P-Editor: Zheng XM

REFERENCES

- 1 **Kalayarasan R**, Chandrasekar M, Sai Krishna P, Shanmugam D. Indocyanine green fluorescence in gastrointestinal surgery: Appraisal of current evidence. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2023; **15**: 2693-2708 [PMID: 38222003 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i12.2693]
- 2 **Liot E**, Assalino M, Buchs NC, Schiltz B, Douissard J, Morel P, Ris F. Does near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence angiography modify operative strategy during emergency procedures? *Surg Endosc* 2018; **32**: 4351-4356 [PMID: 29770885 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-018-6226-9]
- 3 **Guerra F**, Coletta D, Greco PA, Eugeni E, Patriti A. The use of indocyanine green fluorescence to define bowel microcirculation during laparoscopic surgery for acute small bowel obstruction. *Colorectal Dis* 2021; **23**: 2189-2194 [PMID: 33876537 DOI: 10.1111/codi.15680]
- 4 **Cassinotti E**, Boni L, Baldari L. Application of indocyanine green (ICG)-guided surgery in clinical practice: lesson to learn from other organs-an overview on clinical applications and future perspectives. *Updates Surg* 2023; **75**: 357-365 [PMID: 36203048 DOI: 10.1007/s13304-022-01361-y]
- 5 **Kitai T**, Inomoto T, Miwa M, Shikayama T. Fluorescence navigation with indocyanine green for detecting sentinel lymph nodes in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer* 2005; **12**: 211-215 [PMID: 16110291 DOI: 10.2325/jbcs.12.211]
- 6 **Cassinotti E**, Al-Taher M, Antoniou SA, Arezzo A, Baldari L, Boni L, Bonino MA, Bouvy ND, Brodie R, Carus T, Chand M, Diana M, Eussen MMM, Francis N, Guida A, Gontero P, Haney CM, Jansen M, Mintz Y, Morales-Conde S, Muller-Stich BP, Nakajima K, Nickel F, Oderda M, Parise P, Rosati R, Schijven MP, Silecchia G, Soares AS, Urakawa S, Vettoretto N. European Association for Endoscopic Surgery (EAES) consensus on Indocyanine Green (ICG) fluorescence-guided surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2023; **37**: 1629-1648 [PMID: 36781468 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-023-09928-5]
- 7 **Coletta D**, Patriti A. Don't forget indocyanine fluorescence-guided surgery in emergency settings. *Updates Surg* 2023; **75**: 2047-2048 [PMID: 37490205 DOI: 10.1007/s13304-023-01606-4]

- 8 **Joosten JJ**, Longchamp G, Khan MF, Lameris W, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Bemelman WA, Cahill RA, Hompes R, Ris F. The use of fluorescence angiography to assess bowel viability in the acute setting: an international, multi-centre case series. *Surg Endosc* 2022; **36**: 7369-7375 [PMID: 35199204 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-022-09136-7]
- 9 **Dip F**, Lo Menzo E, White KP, Rosenthal RJ. Does near-infrared fluorescent cholangiography with indocyanine green reduce bile duct injuries and conversions to open surgery during laparoscopic or robotic cholecystectomy? - A meta-analysis. *Surgery* 2021; **169**: 859-867 [PMID: 33478756 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2020.12.008]
- 10 **Fransvea P**, Fico V, Puccioni C, D'Agostino L, Costa G, Biondi A, Brisinda G, Sganga G. Application of fluorescence-guided surgery in the acute care setting: a systematic literature review. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2023; **408**: 375 [PMID: 37743419 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-023-03109-7]
- 11 **Ansaloni L**, Pisano M, Coccolini F, Peitzmann AB, Fingerhut A, Catena F, Agresta F, Allegri A, Bailey I, Balogh ZJ, Bendinelli C, Biffi W, Bonavina L, Borzellino G, Brunetti F, Burlew CC, Camapanelli G, Campanile FC, Ceresoli M, Chiara O, Civil I, Coimbra R, De Moya M, Di Saverio S, Fraga GP, Gupta S, Kashuk J, Kelly MD, Koka V, Jeekel H, Latifi R, Leppaniemi A, Maier RV, Marzi I, Moore F, Piazzalunga D, Sakakushev B, Sartelli M, Scalea T, Stahel PF, Taviloglu K, Tugnoli G, Uraneus S, Velmahos GC, Wani I, Weber DG, Viale P, Sugrue M, Ivatury R, Kluger Y, Gurusamy KS, Moore EE. 2016 WSES guidelines on acute calculous cholecystitis. *World J Emerg Surg* 2016; **11**: 25 [PMID: 27307785 DOI: 10.1186/s13017-016-0082-5]
- 12 **Strasberg SM**, Brunt LM. Rationale and use of the critical view of safety in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Am Coll Surg* 2010; **211**: 132-138 [PMID: 20610259 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2010.02.053]
- 13 **Losurdo P**, Giunta C, Modica A, de Manzini N, Bortul M. Near-infrared indocyanine green fluorescent cholangiography in urgent and emergency laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a preliminary study after propensity score-matched study. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg* 2023 [PMID: 37540247 DOI: 10.1007/s00068-023-02340-7]
- 14 **She WH**, Cheung TT, Chan MY, Chu KW, Ma KW, Tsang SHY, Dai WC, Chan ACY, Lo CM. Routine use of ICG to enhance operative safety in emergency laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a randomized controlled trial. *Surg Endosc* 2022; **36**: 4442-4451 [PMID: 35194663 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-021-08795-2]
- 15 **Lim ZY**, Mohan S, Balasubramaniam S, Ahmed S, Siew CCH, Shelat VG. Indocyanine green dye and its application in gastrointestinal surgery: The future is bright green. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2023; **15**: 1841-1857 [PMID: 37901741 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i9.1841]
- 16 **Zorzetti N**, Lauro A, Cuoghi M, La Gatta M, Marino IR, Sorrenti S, D'Andrea V, Mingoli A, Navarra GG. A Hypothetical New Challenging Use for Indocyanine Green Fluorescence during Laparoscopic Appendectomy: A Mini-Series of Our Experience and Literary Review. *J Clin Med* 2023; **12** [PMID: 37629215 DOI: 10.3390/jcm12165173]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

