# World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Oncology*

World J Gastrointest Oncol 2021 May 15; 13(5): 312-461





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

# Contents

# Monthly Volume 13 Number 5 May 15, 2021

# **REVIEW**

- 312 Hypoxia and its impact on the tumour microenvironment of gastroesophageal cancers King R, Hayes C, Donohoe CL, Dunne MR, Davern M, Donlon NE
- 332 Liquid biopsy in cholangiocarcinoma: Current status and future perspectives Rompianesi G, Di Martino M, Gordon-Weeks A, Montalti R, Troisi R

# **MINIREVIEWS**

- 351 Biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma based on body fluids and feces Guan MC, Ouyang W, Wang MD, Liang L, Li N, Fu TT, Shen F, Lau WY, Xu QR, Huang DS, Zhu H, Yang T
- 366 Molecular-targeted therapy toward precision medicine for gastrointestinal caner: Current progress and challenges Matsuoka T, Yashiro M
- 391 What is the best surgical procedure of transverse colon cancer? An evidence map and minireview Li C, Wang Q, Jiang KW
- 400 Update on the management of the gastrointestinal effects of radiation McCaughan H, Boyle S, McGoran JJ
- 409 Plexiform fibromyxoma: Review of rare mesenchymal gastric neoplasm and its differential diagnosis Arslan ME, Li H, Fu Z, Jennings TA, Lee H

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# **Retrospective Study**

424 Outcomes of curative liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis Elshaarawy O, Aman A, Zakaria HM, Zakareya T, Gomaa A, Elshimi E, Abdelsameea E

# **Observational Study**

440 Same day yttrium-90 radioembolization with single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography: An opportunity to improve care during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond

Elsayed M, Loya M, Galt J, Schuster DM, Bercu ZL, Newsome J, Brandon D, Benenati S, Behbahani K, Duszak R, Sethi I, Kokahi N

# SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

453 Sex as an effect modifier in the association between alcohol intake and gastric cancer risk Bae JM



# Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Monthly Volume 13 Number 5 May 15, 2021

# **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Simona Maria Bataga, PhD, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, University of Medicine, Pharmacy, Science and Technology, GE Palade, Targu-Mures 540085, Romania. simonabataga@yahoo.com

# **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology (WJGO, World J Gastrointest Oncol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal oncology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal oncology and covering a wide range of topics including liver cell adenoma, gastric neoplasms, appendiceal neoplasms, biliary tract neoplasms, hepatocellular carcinoma, pancreatic carcinoma, cecal neoplasms, colonic neoplasms, colorectal neoplasms, duodenal neoplasms, esophageal neoplasms, gallbladder neoplasms, etc.

# **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJGO is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2020 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for WJGO as 2.898; IF without journal self cites: 2.880; 5-year IF: 3.316; Ranking: 143 among 244 journals in oncology; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 55 among 88 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q3. The WJGO's CiteScore for 2019 is 2.0 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2019: Gastroenterology is 86/137.

# **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Jia-Hui Li; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Ya-Juan Ma.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS	
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204	
<b>ISSN</b>	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS	
ISSN 1948-5204 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287	
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH	
February 15, 2009	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240	
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS	
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288	
<b>EDITORS-IN-CHIEF</b>	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT	
Rosa M Jimenez Rodriguez, Pashtoon Kasi, Monjur Ahmed, Florin Burada	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208	
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE	
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242	
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS	
May 15, 2021	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239	
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION	
© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com	

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



0 WŰ

# World Journal of **Gastrointestinal** Oncology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Oncol 2021 May 15; 13(5): 391-399

DOI: 10.4251/wjgo.v13.i5.391

ISSN 1948-5204 (online)

MINIREVIEWS

# What is the best surgical procedure of transverse colon cancer? An evidence map and minireview

Chen Li, Quan Wang, Ke-Wei Jiang

ORCID number: Chen Li 0000-0003-3556-2719; Quan Wang 0000-0002-2821-5017; Ke-Wei Jiang 0000-0002-6706-4741.

Author contributions: Li C contributed to the research design and wrote the paper; Wang Q contributed to the supervised the manuscript and design the evidence and gap map; Jiang KW contributed to the research design and supervised the manuscript.

# Conflict-of-interest statement:

There is no conflict of interest associated with any of the senior author or other coauthors contributed their efforts in this manuscript.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Chen Li, Quan Wang, Ke-Wei Jiang, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing 100044, China

Corresponding author: Ke-Wei Jiang, MD, PhD, Adjunct Professor, Chairman, Chief Doctor, Director, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Peking University People's Hospital, No. 11 Xizhimen South Street, Xicheng District, Beijing 100044, China. jiangkewei@pkuph.edu.cn

# Abstract

Colorectal cancers comprise a large percentage of tumors worldwide, and transverse colon cancer (TCC) is defined as tumors located between hepatic and splenic flexures. Due to the anatomy and embryology complexity, and lack of large randomized controlled trials, it is a challenge to standardize TCC surgery. In this study, the current situation of transverse/extended colectomy, robotic/ laparoscopic/open surgery and complete mesocolic excision (CME) concept in TCC operations is discussed and a heatmap is conducted to show the evidence level and gap. In summary, transverse colectomy challenges the dogma of traditional extended colectomy, with similar oncological and prognostic outcomes. Compared with conventional open resection, laparoscopic and robotic surgery plays a more important role in both transverse colectomy and extended colectomy. The CME concept may contribute to the radical resection of TCC and adequate harvested lymph nodes. According to published studies, laparoscopic or robotic transverse colectomy based on the CME concept was the appropriate surgical procedure for TCC patients.

Key Words: Evidence map; Extended colectomy; Review; Surgical procedures; Transverse colectomy; Transverse colon cancer

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Due to the anatomy and embryology complexity, and the lack of large randomized controlled trials, it is difficult to standardize surgery of transverse colon cancer (TCC). This study discusses the possible appropriate surgical procedures of TCC patients and a heatmap was conducted to show the evidence level and gap. In summary, transverse colectomy challenges the dogma of traditional extended

Manuscript source: Invited



WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

#### manuscript

Specialty type: Oncology

Country/Territory of origin: China

# Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: January 15, 2021 Peer-review started: January 15, 2021 First decision: February 14, 2021 Revised: February 25, 2021 Accepted: March 31, 2021 Article in press: March 31, 2021 Published online: May 15, 2021

P-Reviewer: Bains L, Christodoulou KC S-Editor: Gao CC L-Editor: A P-Editor: Li JH



colectomy, with similar oncological and prognostic outcomes.

**Citation:** Li C, Wang Q, Jiang KW. What is the best surgical procedure of transverse colon cancer? An evidence map and minireview. *World J Gastrointest Oncol* 2021; 13(5): 391-399 **URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/full/v13/i5/391.htm

**DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v13.i5.391

# INTRODUCTION

On a global scale, colorectal cancer is the second most common cancer in females and ranks third among males[1]. Generally, transverse colon cancer (TCC) is defined as tumors located between hepatic and splenic flexure[2], and is relatively rare, accounting for 10% of all colon cancers[3,4].

On the one hand, from an embryological standpoint, the proximal two-thirds of the transverse colon are derived from the midgut and the distal one-third is derived from the hindgut, and they are supplied by the middle and left colic artery, respectively[5]. On the other hand, from an anatomical point of view, the transverse colon is in close proximity to upper abdominal vital structures, and is not fixed to the retroperitoneal structures[6,7]. Due to the anatomy and embryology complexity, it is a challenging and daunting mission to mobilize and resect the transverse colon.

In clinical practice, symptoms and signs of TCC are not specific. Abdominal discomfort, which is difficult to locate might be the first complaint and is generally found in an advanced stage. Notably, the transverse colon of some patients has great mobility, and is located at the appendix plane even in the pelvic cavity[5]. Thus, gastritis, appendicitis, oophoritis, and other genitourinary diseases were often confused with TCC.

According to published studies, the 5-year survival rate was 28%-50%, which is obviously poorer than that of other colorectal tumor[8,9]. There are two main reasons: First, lymph node metastasis will occur in TCC patients at both the superior and inferior mesenteric arterial branches, especially the splenic flexure cancers. Second, peripheral important organs might create more surgical danger[8].

Consequently, all these factors contribute to the belief that transverse colic surgery was difficult to standardize and was naturally excluded from several randomized controlled trials (RCTs)[10-13]. The aim of this study was to review the indications, merits and demerits of different surgical procedures for transverse colic *via* the evidence and gap map.

Excel (2019, Microsoft, Redmond, WA, United States) was used for plotting the heatmap. The following electronic databases were searched: PubMed, EMBASE, and the Cochrane library, and the search was completed on December 12, 2020. All published studies were searched without any language and data restriction. The search items were as follows: TCC, surgical procedures and we also used Medical Subject Headings terms combined with free text terms. We also performed a supplementary literature search using Google Scholar. Endnote software (version 20, Thomson Reuters, Philadelphia, PA, United States) was used for removing duplicate publications and to facilitate the screening progress. Patients were included if: (1) The patients' tumors strictly followed the definition of TCC (colon cancer located between hepatic and splenic flexure); and (2) Detailed surgical information. And patients were excluded if the study used informal surgical terms, which were hard to be classified.

# SURGICAL PROCEDURE

*En-bloc* resection of the tumor is the radical therapy of TCC as it is for other colorectal cancers. Because of a low incidence and excluded by RCTs, there is no consensus on the standard transverse colic operation, and the surgical approach for this tumor is frequently based on the surgeon's preference. The common surgical procedures are extended left or right colectomy and transverse colectomy, by laparoscopy or conventional open resection.

Zaishidenq® WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

#### Extended colectomy

For better oncological outcomes, and according to the tumor location, extended right or left colectomy was the preference of several surgeons<sup>[5]</sup>.

Extended right hemicolectomy was applicable for tumors located less than distal 10 cm of the hepatic flexure, and lymph node dissection, and included ligation of the ileocolic, right colic and middle colic arteries[14]. Correspondingly, extended left hemicolectomy was applied for tumors located less than 10 cm of the splenic flexure proximally, for which lymph node dissection included ligation of the left and middle colic arteries<sup>[14]</sup>.

Identification of the middle colic arteries was important for extended surgery and transverse colectomy[14], including the medial approach described by Fujita *et al*[15] and Hasegawa et al[16], the "window technique" by Baća et al[17], and rotating transverse mesocolon by Ichihara *et al*[18]. Due to the specific anatomical position, division of the middle colic vessels and finding the correct surgical plane from complex adjacent organs (such as the duodenum, pancreas or spleen) is challenging in extended colectomy and transverse colectomy<sup>[5]</sup>.

The number of harvested lymph nodes (generally, at least 15) is considered a vital symbol of surgical quality and prognosis[19-21]. Because of the higher number of harvested lymph nodes in extended colectomy, in previous studies, it was believed that patients with advanced TCC who underwent extended colectomy would have a better prognosis[6,22].

Localization of transverse colic carcinoma is especially difficult during surgery, both the attachment to omentum and fixed flexures altogether increases the difficulty. In addition, intraoperative colonoscopy is not applicable because the stimulation may increase hyperinflation of the bowel[5]. Therefore, it has been suggested that four quadrant preoperative tattooing was necessary for transverse colic carcinoma unless the tumor was large enough to be located[23,24].

#### Transverse colectomy

Transverse colectomy has often been used for tumors located between the hepatic and splenic flexure, and lymph node dissection was performed, including ligation of the middle colic arteries[14]. Transverse colectomy has shown a descending trend from the early 20<sup>th</sup> century [8]. On the one hand, segmental resection was usually considered a less radical surgical procedures because of low number of harvested lymph nodes. On the other hand, the prevalence of laparoscopy made extended colectomy easier than transverse colectomy.

The most significant technical issue in transverse colectomy was the division of tumor-supplying arteries and drainage veins, especially, the wide variation of middle colic vessels and thorough appreciation of Henle trunk[25-28]. Based on a previous study<sup>[29]</sup>, resection length did not correlate with a better postoperative outcome. Chong *et al*[8] suggested that appropriate central vessel ligation, a minimum distance of 5 cm from each margin, was more critical than extended bowel length resection. Notably, Stracci *et al*[30] demonstrated that a length of less than 20 cm was associated with an inadequate lymph node number and unnecessary chemotherapy. Moreover, there is some discussion about lymphadenectomy of the middle colon artery by laparoscopic transverse colectomy, because of the challenging surgical difficulty[3,7,31,32]. Thus, attention needs to be paid to an appropriate resection length and an adequate number of lymph nodes of at least 12 according to previous studies and guidelines[6].

In previous studies, it was described that less extensive surgeries were safe in TCC patients, but some focused on the distal transverse colon and descending colon[31,33-36]. Chong et al[8] conducted a large cohort study and showed that the disease-free survival and overall survival rate were similar in the transverse colectomy and extended resection group. van Rongen *et al*[37] and Leijssen *et al*[6] compared the transverse colectomy and extended colectomy, respectively, and suggested that the former was an appropriate option for patients with TCC.

## Laparoscopic, robotic, and open resection

Laparoscopic colorectal surgery was first applied in benign diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease. However, last decades have witnessed a trend of increasing laparoscopic surgery, and its application has expanded to colorectal cancer[14]. Laparoscopic resection has been accepted and applied in nearly all types of colorectal cancer, because of the better short outcomes and less surgical trauma[38], and because it played an increasingly important role in TCC patients both in extended resection or transverse colectomy[6].



Although several RCTs demonstrated that laparoscopic resection had an equal safety profile compared with open resection in left and right colic carcinoma, the similar result has not yet been verified for TCC[2,10-12,39-41]. Previous retrospective studies have reported that laparoscopic transverse colon resection showed better short-term outcomes and similar oncological outcomes compared with open surgery [2,14,28,42,43]. Although some studies describe that the surgical time was longer and surgical skills were more difficult, laparoscopic transverse colon resection, including extended colectomy and transverse colectomy did not show a significant trend of higher complications, conversion, or poor long-term prognosis[2,5,44]. Moreover, Yamaguchi et al[42] demonstrated that the laparoscopic group had a lower overall morbidity rate and wound infection rate. Notably, in some studies, it was incorrectly assumed that laparoscopic surgery was related to a low number of harvested lymph nodes, because of a lack of a review of the colorectal cancer literature[43,45,46].

In the field of robotic surgery, left and right colon resections were predominantly performed, and transverse colectomy has rarely been described[47]. Robotic surgery, which showed favorable outcomes in colorectal surgery, had also gained increasing acceptance in transverse surgery [48-52]. In previous studies, it was shown that robotic transverse colon surgery had the same number of harvested lymph nodes, clearer surgical vison, a lower conversion rate, and better short-term outcomes compared with laparoscopic surgery[3].

Regarding the learning curve, surgeons need a longer time compared to open resection and patients need to be selected for laparoscopic or robotic surgery in order to maximize safety and the best oncological outcomes, however, robotic surgery has a shorter learning curve compared to traditional laparoscopy[53,54].

### Complete mesocolic excision

Hohenberger *et al*<sup>[55]</sup> introduced the concept of complete mesocolic excision (CME) in radical colic resection. CME, as total mesorectal excision in rectal cancer patients, focuses on achieving en-bloc resection of the entire enveloped mesocolon, has been shown to produce a higher degree of lymphadenectomy, fewer local recurrences, and a better long-term oncological and clinical safety profile compared to non-CME resection[32].

Storli and Eide[7] published the first studies comparing open and laparoscopic CME in TCC patients, and no obvious differences were observed between these two methods. Although previous studies demonstrated that the feasibility of laparoscopic CME for TCC was appropriate [7,32,56,57], the evidence remained limited. Only three published studies demonstrated the safety and feasibility of robotic transverse colectomy, including two studies[3,58] that performed non-CME and only one study<sup>[47]</sup> that performed CME.

# Evidence and gap map

Among the included studies, a heatmap was constructed to demonstrate the current evidence and gap in three aspects: Transverse colectomy vs extended colectomy, robotic vs laparoscopic vs open surgery, and the CME concept in surgery.

As shown in Figure 1, we used the percentage from included studies to show the application of transverse colectomy in total post-operative TCC patients (including transverse colectomy, extended right/left colectomy). From the heatmap, transverse colectomy comprised a lower percentage compared with extended colectomy, in other words, extended colectomy was the preferential choice in the past decades. Compared with traditional open resection, robotic and laparoscopic surgery was applied more frequently because of better short-term outcomes and similar long-term outcomes. Regarding the CME concept in TCC patients, we defined that if the study demonstrated that all surgeries performed followed the concept of CME and we used "100%" to represent the data; if the study demonstrated none of the surgeries followed CME, or no detailed information about this, we used "0%" to present the data. Thus, the CME concept was rarely applied in both the transverse colectomy and extended colectomy, although it was an adequately safe and effective approach.

# LIMITATION

This study has several limitations. First, it was difficult to draw a convincing conclusion with limited data of TCC patients, such as detailed oncological features, surgical quality, and long-term outcomes. Second, based on published studies and



Schlachta <i>et al</i> [ <mark>2</mark> ], 2007	40.91%	81.82%	0.00%
Lee <i>et a</i> /[36], 2008	23.53%	100.00%	0.00%
Kim <i>et al</i> [14], 2009	24.14%	42.53%	0.00%
Yamamoto <i>et al</i> [25], 2009	5.45%	98.18%	0.00%
Zmora <i>et al</i> [ <mark>5</mark> ], 2010	6.52%	45.65%	0.00%
Fernández-Cebrián <i>et al</i> [31], 2013	42.86%	33.33%	0.00%
de'Angelis <i>et al</i> [3], 2015	100.00%	95.45%	0.00%
Mistrangelo <i>et al</i> [59], 2015	53.66%	53.66%	0.00%
Chong <i>et al</i> [ <mark>8</mark> ], 2016	50.00%	63.39%	100.00%
Storli and Eide[7], 2016	14.29%	58.93%	100.00%
Leijssen <i>et al</i> [ <mark>6</mark> ], 2018	36.89%	35.92%	0.00%
	Transverse colectomy	Rob/lap surgery	CME concept
	0.00%	50.00%	100.00%

Figure 1 Heatmap of evidence and gap[2,3,5-8,14,25,31,36,59]. Transverse colectomy group: The percentage of transverse colectomy in all post-operative transverse colon cancer patients; Rob/lap surgery group: The percentage of robotic and laparoscopic surgery in all post-operative transverse colon cancer patients; complete mesocolic excision (CME) concept group: (1) 100%: All operation followed the concept of CME; (2) 0%: All operation was not followed CME, or published earlier than the CME; and (3) 50%: Apart from above two conditions, all other unclear description about application of CME. CME: Complete mesocolic excision.

> clinical practice, transverse colectomy was a less commonly surgical procedure compared with extended right/ left colectomy. Third, the conclusion does not give robust evidence for standard treatment but reflected the current status of TCC patients.

# CONCLUSION

As the surgical technology drives forward, so do the changes in surgical techniques of transverse cancer resection. Transverse colectomy challenges the dogma of traditional extended colectomy, with similar oncological and prognostic outcomes. Compared with conventional open resection, laparoscopic and robotic surgery plays a more important role in both transverse colectomy and extended colectomy. The CME concept may contribute to the radical resection of TCC and adequate number of harvested lymph nodes. In summary, according to published studies, laparoscopic or robotic transverse colectomy based upon the CME concept was the appropriate surgical procedure for most TCC patients.

However, large, multicenter, and prospective RCTs are needed to standardize surgical procedures of TCC patients. Perioperative management of different tumor stages, resection range, and anastomosis method of different transverse colic length, and long-term outcomes also need to be discussed.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Li Y, Zhang MM, Yu ZL of Peking University People's Hospital for clinical advices, and Zhang RC for providing the help of language supervision. Finally, we showed our respect to all authors of included studies involved



WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

in the study.

# REFERENCES

- Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, Fitzmaurice C, Allen C, Barber RM, Barregard L, Bhutta ZA, Brenner H, Dicker DJ, Chimed-Orchir O, Dandona R, Dandona L, Fleming T, Forouzanfar MH, Hancock J, Hay RJ, Hunter-Merrill R, Huynh C, Hosgood HD, Johnson CO, Jonas JB, Khubchandani J, Kumar GA, Kutz M, Lan Q, Larson HJ, Liang X, Lim SS, Lopez AD, MacIntyre MF, Marczak L, Marquez N, Mokdad AH, Pinho C, Pourmalek F, Salomon JA, Sanabria JR, Sandar L, Sartorius B, Schwartz SM, Shackelford KA, Shibuya K, Stanaway J, Steiner C, Sun J, Takahashi K, Vollset SE, Vos T, Wagner JA, Wang H, Westerman R, Zeeb H, Zoeckler L, Abd-Allah F, Ahmed MB, Alabed S, Alam NK, Aldhahri SF, Alem G, Alemayohu MA, Ali R, Al-Raddadi R, Amare A, Amoako Y, Artaman A, Asayesh H, Atnafu N, Awasthi A, Saleem HB, Barac A, Bedi N, Bensenor I, Berhane A, Bernabé E, Betsu B, Binagwaho A, Boneya D, Campos-Nonato I, Castañeda-Orjuela C, Catalá-López F, Chiang P, Chibueze C, Chitheer A, Choi JY, Cowie B, Damtew S, das Neves J, Dey S, Dharmaratne S, Dhillon P, Ding E, Driscoll T, Ekwueme D, Endries AY, Farvid M, Farzadfar F, Fernandes J, Fischer F, G/Hiwot TT, Gebru A, Gopalani S, Hailu A, Horino M, Horita N, Husseini A, Huybrechts I, Inoue M, Islami F, Jakovljevic M, James S, Javanbakht M, Jee SH, Kasaeian A, Kedir MS, Khader YS, Khang YH, Kim D, Leigh J, Linn S, Lunevicius R, El Razek HMA, Malekzadeh R, Malta DC, Marcenes W, Markos D, Melaku YA, Meles KG, Mendoza W, Mengiste DT, Meretoja TJ, Miller TR, Mohammad KA, Mohammadi A, Mohammed S, Moradi-Lakeh M, Nagel G, Nand D, Le Nguyen Q, Nolte S, Ogbo FA, Oladimeji KE, Oren E, Pa M, Park EK, Pereira DM, Plass D, Qorbani M, Radfar A, Rafay A, Rahman M, Rana SM, Søreide K, Satpathy M, Sawhney M, Sepanlou SG, Shaikh MA, She J, Shiue I, Shore HR, Shrime MG, So S, Soneji S, Stathopoulou V, Stroumpoulis K, Sufiyan MB, Sykes BL, Tabarés-Seisdedos R, Tadese F, Tedla BA, Tessema GA, Thakur JS, Tran BX, Ukwaja KN, Uzochukwu BSC, Vlassov VV, Weiderpass E, Wubshet Terefe M, Yebyo HG, Yimam HH, Yonemoto N, Younis MZ, Yu C, Zaidi Z, Zaki MES, Zenebe ZM, Murray CJL, Naghavi M. Global, Regional, and National Cancer Incidence, Mortality, Years of Life Lost, Years Lived With Disability, and Disability-Adjusted Life-years for 32 Cancer Groups, 1990 to 2015: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. JAMA Oncol 2017; 3: 524-548 [PMID: 27918777 DOI: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.5688]
- Schlachta CM, Mamazza J, Poulin EC. Are transverse colon cancers suitable for laparoscopic 2 resection? Surg Endosc 2007; 21: 396-399 [PMID: 17103274 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-006-9042-6]
- de'Angelis N, Alghamdi S, Renda A, Azoulay D, Brunetti F. Initial experience of robotic vs 3 laparoscopic colectomy for transverse colon cancer: a matched case-control study. World J Surg Oncol 2015; 13: 295 [PMID: 26452727 DOI: 10.1186/s12957-015-0708-1]
- Wray CM, Ziogas A, Hinojosa MW, Le H, Stamos MJ, Zell JA. Tumor subsite location within the colon is prognostic for survival after colon cancer diagnosis. Dis Colon Rectum 2009; 52: 1359-1366 [PMID: 19617745 DOI: 10.1007/DCR.0b013e3181a7b7de]
- 5 Zmora O, Bar-Dayan A, Khaikin M, Lebeydev A, Shabtai M, Ayalon A, Rosin D. Laparoscopic colectomy for transverse colon carcinoma. Tech Coloproctol 2010; 14: 25-30 [PMID: 20033245 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-009-0551-x
- Leijssen LGJ, Dinaux AM, Amri R, Kunitake H, Bordeianou LG, Berger DL. A Transverse 6 Colectomy is as Safe as an Extended Right or Left Colectomy for Mid-Transverse Colon Cancer. World J Surg 2018; 42: 3381-3389 [PMID: 29556881 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-018-4582-1]
- 7 Storli KE, Eide GE. Laparoscopic Complete Mesocolic Excision vs Open Complete Mesocolic Excision for Transverse Colon Cancer: Long-Term Survival Results of a Prospective Single Centre Non-Randomized Study. Dig Surg 2016; 33: 114-120 [PMID: 26734758 DOI: 10.1159/000442716]
- Chong CS, Huh JW, Oh BY, Park YA, Cho YB, Yun SH, Kim HC, Lee WY. Operative Method for 8 Transverse Colon Carcinoma: Transverse Colectomy Versus Extended Colectomy. Dis Colon Rectum 2016; 59: 630-639 [PMID: 27270515 DOI: 10.1097/DCR.00000000000619]
- Sjo OH, Lunde OC, Nygaard K, Sandvik L, Nesbakken A. Tumour location is a prognostic factor for survival in colonic cancer patients. Colorectal Dis 2008; 10: 33-40 [PMID: 17672872 DOI: 10.1111/i.1463-1318.2007.01302.x
- Hazebroek EJ; Color Study Group. COLOR: a randomized clinical trial comparing laparoscopic and 10 open resection for colon cancer. Surg Endosc 2002; 16: 949-953 [PMID: 12163961 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-001-8165-z]
- Clinical Outcomes of Surgical Therapy Study Group, Nelson H, Sargent DJ, Wieand HS, 11 Fleshman J, Anvari M, Stryker SJ, Beart RW Jr, Hellinger M, Flanagan R Jr, Peters W, Ota D. A comparison of laparoscopically assisted and open colectomy for colon cancer. N Engl J Med 2004; 350: 2050-2059 [PMID: 15141043 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa032651]
- 12 Guillou PJ, Quirke P, Thorpe H, Walker J, Jayne DG, Smith AM, Heath RM, Brown JM; MRC CLASICC trial group. Short-term endpoints of conventional vs laparoscopic-assisted surgery in patients with colorectal cancer (MRC CLASICC trial): multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2005; 365: 1718-1726 [PMID: 15894098 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66545-2]
- Colon Cancer Laparoscopic or Open Resection Study Group, Buunen M, Veldkamp R, Hop WC, 13 Kuhry E, Jeekel J, Haglind E, Påhlman L, Cuesta MA, Msika S, Morino M, Lacy A, Bonjer HJ.



Survival after laparoscopic surgery vs open surgery for colon cancer: long-term outcome of a randomised clinical trial. Lancet Oncol 2009; 10: 44-52 [PMID: 19071061 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(08)70310-3

- Kim HJ, Lee IK, Lee YS, Kang WK, Park JK, Oh ST, Kim JG, Kim YH. A comparative study on the 14 short-term clinicopathologic outcomes of laparoscopic surgery vs conventional open surgery for transverse colon cancer. Surg Endosc 2009; 23: 1812-1817 [PMID: 19263150 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-009-0348-z
- Fujita J, Uyama I, Sugioka A, Komori Y, Matsui H, Hasumi A. Laparoscopic right hemicolectomy 15 with radical lymph node dissection using the no-touch isolation technique for advanced colon cancer. Surg Today 2001; 31: 93-96 [PMID: 11213054 DOI: 10.1007/s005950170230]
- Hasegawa S, Kawamura J, Nagayama S, Nomura A, Kondo K, Sakai Y. Medially approached radical 16 lymph node dissection along the surgical trunk for advanced right-sided colon cancers. Surg Endosc 2007; 21: 1657 [PMID: 17484001 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-007-9305-x]
- Baća I, Perko Z, Bokan I, Mimica Z, Petricević A, Druzijanić N, Situm M. Technique and survival 17 after laparoscopically assisted right hemicolectomy. Surg Endosc 2005; 19: 650-655 [PMID: 15776206 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-004-9068-6]
- Ichihara T, Takada M, Fukumoto S, Kuroda Y. Lymphadenectomy along the middle colic artery in 18 laparoscopic resection of transverse colon. Hepatogastroenterology 2004; 51: 454-456 [PMID: 15086181]
- 19 Gouvas N, Agalianos C, Papaparaskeva K, Perrakis A, Hohenberger W, Xynos E. Surgery along the embryological planes for colon cancer: a systematic review of complete mesocolic excision. Int. J Colorectal Dis 2016; 31: 1577-1594 [PMID: 27469525 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-016-2626-2]
- 20 Le Voyer TE, Sigurdson ER, Hanlon AL, Mayer RJ, Macdonald JS, Catalano PJ, Haller DG. Colon cancer survival is associated with increasing number of lymph nodes analyzed: a secondary survey of intergroup trial INT-0089. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 2912-2919 [PMID: 12885809 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2003.05.062
- 21 Chen SL, Bilchik AJ. More extensive nodal dissection improves survival for stages I to III of colon cancer: a population-based study. Ann Surg 2006; 244: 602-610 [PMID: 16998369 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000237655.11717.50
- Chang GJ, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Skibber JM, Moyer VA. Lymph node evaluation and survival after 22 curative resection of colon cancer: systematic review. J Natl Cancer Inst 2007; 99: 433-441 [PMID: 17374833 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djk092]
- Zmora O, Dinnewitzer AJ, Pikarsky AJ, Efron JE, Weiss EG, Nogueras JJ, Wexner SD. 23 Intraoperative endoscopy in laparoscopic colectomy. Surg Endosc 2002; 16: 808-811 [PMID: 11997827 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-001-8226-3]
- 24 Feingold DL, Addona T, Forde KA, Arnell TD, Carter JJ, Huang EH, Whelan RL. Safety and reliability of tattooing colorectal neoplasms prior to laparoscopic resection. J Gastrointest Surg 2004; 8: 543-546 [PMID: 15239988 DOI: 10.1016/j.gassur.2003.12.016]
- Yamamoto S, Fujita S, Akasu T, Yamaguchi T, Moriya Y. Laparoscopic surgery for transverse and 25 descending colon carcinomas has comparable safety to laparoscopic surgery for colon carcinomas at other sites. Dig Surg 2009; 26: 487-492 [PMID: 20068322 DOI: 10.1159/000253875]
- Yamaguchi S, Kuroyanagi H, Milsom JW, Sim R, Shimada H. Venous anatomy of the right colon: 26 precise structure of the major veins and gastrocolic trunk in 58 cadavers. Dis Colon Rectum 2002; 45: 1337-1340 [PMID: 12394432]
- Jin G, Tuo H, Sugiyama M, Oki A, Abe N, Mori T, Masaki T, Atomi Y. Anatomic study of the 27 superior right colic vein: its relevance to pancreatic and colonic surgery. Am J Surg 2006; 191: 100-103 [PMID: 16399115 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2005.10.009]
- Yamamoto M, Okuda J, Tanaka K, Kondo K, Tanigawa N, Uchiyama K. Clinical outcomes of 28 laparoscopic surgery for advanced transverse and descending colon cancer: a single-center experience. Surg Endosc 2012; 26: 1566-1572 [PMID: 22179459 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-011-2071-9]
- Amri R, Klos CL, Bordeianou L, Berger DL. The prognostic value of lymph node ratio in colon 29 cancer is independent of resection length. Am J Surg 2016; 212: 251-257 [PMID: 27156798 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2015.10.037
- Stracci F, Bianconi F, Leite S, Liso A, La Rosa F, Lancellotta V, van de Velde CJ, Aristei C. Linking 30 surgical specimen length and examined lymph nodes in colorectal cancer patients. Eur J Surg Oncol 2016; 42: 260-265 [PMID: 26723169 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2015.11.017]
- Fernández-Cebrián JM, Gil Yonte P, Jimenez-Toscano M, Vega L, Ochando F. Laparoscopic 31 colectomy for transverse colon carcinoma: a surgical challenge but oncologically feasible. Colorectal Dis 2013; 15: e79-e83 [PMID: 23078032 DOI: 10.1111/codi.12067]
- Mori S, Kita Y, Baba K, Yanagi M, Tanabe K, Uchikado Y, Kurahara H, Arigami T, Uenosono Y, 32 Mataki Y, Okumura H, Nakajo A, Maemura K, Natsugoe S. Laparoscopic complete mesocolic excision via combined medial and cranial approaches for transverse colon cancer. Surg Today 2017; 47: 643-649 [PMID: 27566603 DOI: 10.1007/s00595-016-1409-2]
- Secco GB, Ravera G, Gasparo A, Percoco P, Zoli S. Segmental resection, lymph nodes dissection and 33 survival in patients with left colon cancer. Hepatogastroenterology 2007; 54: 422-426 [PMID: 17523288
- 34 Gravante G, Elshaer M, Parker R, Mogekwu AC, Drake B, Aboelkassem A, Rahman EU, Sorge R, Alhammali T, Gardiner K, Al-Hamali S, Rashed M, Kelkar A, Agarwal R, El-Rabaa S. Extended right hemicolectomy and left hemicolectomy for colorectal cancers between the distal transverse and



proximal descending colon. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2016; 98: 303-307 [PMID: 27023638 DOI: 10.1308/rcsann.2016.0112]

- Beisani M, Vallribera F, García A, Mora L, Biondo S, Lopez-Borao J, Farrés R, Gil J, Espin E. 35 Subtotal colectomy vs left hemicolectomy for the elective treatment of splenic flexure colonic neoplasia. Am J Surg 2018; 216: 251-254 [PMID: 28709626 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2017.06.035]
- Lee YS, Lee IK, Kang WK, Cho HM, Park JK, Oh ST, Kim JG, Kim YH. Surgical and pathological 36 outcomes of laparoscopic surgery for transverse colon cancer. Int J Colorectal Dis 2008; 23: 669-673 [PMID: 18379794 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-008-0471-7]
- 37 van Rongen I, Damhuis RA, van der Hoeven JA, Plaisier PW. Comparison of extended hemicolectomy vs transverse colectomy in patients with cancer of the transverse colon. Acta Chir Belg 2013; 113: 107-111 [PMID: 23741929]
- 38 Tjandra JJ, Chan MK. Systematic review on the short-term outcome of laparoscopic resection for colon and rectosigmoid cancer. Colorectal Dis 2006; 8: 375-388 [PMID: 16684081 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2006.00974.x
- Fleshman J, Sargent DJ, Green E, Anvari M, Stryker SJ, Beart RW Jr, Hellinger M, Flanagan R Jr, 39 Peters W, Nelson H; Clinical Outcomes of Surgical Therapy Study Group. Laparoscopic colectomy for cancer is not inferior to open surgery based on 5-year data from the COST Study Group trial. Ann Surg 2007; 246: 655-62; discussion 662 [PMID: 17893502 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e318155a762]
- Bonjer HJ, Hop WC, Nelson H, Sargent DJ, Lacy AM, Castells A, Guillou PJ, Thorpe H, Brown J, 40 Delgado S, Kuhrij E, Haglind E, Påhlman L; Transatlantic Laparoscopically Assisted vs Open Colectomy Trials Study Group. Laparoscopically assisted vs open colectomy for colon cancer: a meta analysis. Arch Surg 2007; 142: 298-303 [PMID: 17372057 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.142.3.298]
- 41 Jayne DG, Guillou PJ, Thorpe H, Quirke P, Copeland J, Smith AM, Heath RM, Brown JM; UK MRC CLASICC Trial Group. Randomized trial of laparoscopic-assisted resection of colorectal carcinoma: 3-year results of the UK MRC CLASICC Trial Group. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 3061-3068 [PMID: 17634484 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2006.09.7758]
- 42 Yamaguchi S, Tashiro J, Araki R, Okuda J, Hanai T, Otsuka K, Saito S, Watanabe M, Sugihara K. Laparoscopic vs open resection for transverse and descending colon cancer: Short-term and long-term outcomes of a multicenter retrospective study of 1830 patients. Asian J Endosc Surg 2017; 10: 268-275 [PMID: 28387060 DOI: 10.1111/ases.12373]
- 43 Chand M, Siddiqui MR, Rasheed S, Brown G, Tekkis P, Parvaiz A, Qureshi T. A systematic review and meta-analysis evaluating the role of laparoscopic surgical resection of transverse colon tumours. Surg Endosc 2014; 28: 3263-3272 [PMID: 24962859 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-014-3634-3]
- 44 Geis WP, Coletta AV, Verdeja JC, Plasencia G, Ojogho O, Jacobs M. Sequential psychomotor skills development in laparoscopic colon surgery. Arch Surg 1994; 129: 206-212 [PMID: 8304832 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.1994.01420260102014
- Schwenk W, Haase O, Neudecker J, Müller JM. Short term benefits for laparoscopic colorectal 45 resection. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005; CD003145 [PMID: 16034888 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003145.pub2]
- Kuhry E, Schwenk WF, Gaupset R, Romild U, Bonjer HJ. Long-term results of laparoscopic 46 colorectal cancer resection. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008; CD003432 [PMID: 18425886 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003432.pub2]
- 47 Ozben V, de Muijnck C, Esen E, Aytac E, Baca B, Karahasanoglu T, Hamzaoglu I. Is Robotic Complete Mesocolic Excision Feasible for Transverse Colon Cancer? J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2018; 28: 1443-1450 [PMID: 29878855 DOI: 10.1089/lap.2018.0239]
- 48 Trastulli S, Desiderio J, Farinacci F, Ricci F, Listorti C, Cirocchi R, Boselli C, Noya G, Parisi A. Robotic right colectomy for cancer with intracorporeal anastomosis: short-term outcomes from a single institution. Int J Colorectal Dis 2013; 28: 807-814 [PMID: 23114476 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-012-1604-6]
- 49 Kim CW, Kim CH, Baik SH. Outcomes of robotic-assisted colorectal surgery compared with laparoscopic and open surgery: a systematic review. J Gastrointest Surg 2014; 18: 816-830 [PMID: 24496745 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-014-2469-5]
- Fung AK, Aly EH. Robotic colonic surgery: is it advisable to commence a new learning curve? Dis 50 Colon Rectum 2013; 56: 786-796 [PMID: 23652755 DOI: 10.1097/DCR.0b013e318285b810]
- Buchs NC, Pugin F, Bucher P, Morel P. Totally robotic right colectomy: a preliminary case series and 51 an overview of the literature. Int J Med Robot 2011; 7: 348-352 [PMID: 21678543 DOI: 10.1002/rcs.404]
- Araujo SE, Seid VE, Klajner S. Robotic surgery for rectal cancer: current immediate clinical and 52 oncological outcomes. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 14359-14370 [PMID: 25339823 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i39.143591
- Antoniou GA, Riga CV, Mayer EK, Cheshire NJ, Bicknell CD. Clinical applications of robotic 53 technology in vascular and endovascular surgery. J Vasc Surg 2011; 53: 493-499 [PMID: 20801611 DOI: 10.1016/j.jvs.2010.06.154]
- Bokhari MB, Patel CB, Ramos-Valadez DI, Ragupathi M, Haas EM. Learning curve for robotic-54 assisted laparoscopic colorectal surgery. Surg Endosc 2011; 25: 855-860 [PMID: 20734081 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-010-1281-x]
- Hohenberger W, Weber K, Matzel K, Papadopoulos T, Merkel S. Standardized surgery for colonic 55 cancer: complete mesocolic excision and central ligation--technical notes and outcome. Colorectal *Dis* 2009; **11**: 354-64; discussion 364 [PMID: 19016817 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2008.01735.x]



- Wang Y, Zhang C, Feng YF, Fu Z, Sun YM. Comparison of short-term outcomes between 56 laparoscopic-assisted and open complete mesocolic excision (CME) for the treatment of transverse colon cancer. Chin Clin Oncol 2017; 6: 6 [PMID: 28285536 DOI: 10.21037/cco.2017.01.01]
- 57 Matsuda T, Sumi Y, Yamashita K, Hasegawa H, Yamamoto M, Matsuda Y, Kanaji S, Oshikiri T, Nakamura T, Suzuki S, Kakeji Y. Anatomical and embryological perspectives in laparoscopic complete mesocoloic excision of splenic flexure cancers. Surg Endosc 2018; 32: 1202-1208 [PMID: 28812159 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-017-5792-6]
- Jung KU, Park Y, Lee KY, Sohn SK. Robotic transverse colectomy for mid-transverse colon cancer: 58 surgical techniques and oncologic outcomes. J Robot Surg 2015; 9: 131-136 [PMID: 26531113 DOI: 10.1007/s11701-015-0502-7]
- 59 Mistrangelo M, Allaix ME, Cassoni P, Giraudo G, Arolfo S, Morino M. Laparoscopic versus open resection for transverse colon cancer. Surg Endosc 2015; 29: 2196-2202 [PMID: 25303924 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-014-3921-z]





# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

