# World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Oncology*

World J Gastrointest Oncol 2021 May 15; 13(5): 312-461





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

#### Contents

#### Monthly Volume 13 Number 5 May 15, 2021

#### **REVIEW**

- 312 Hypoxia and its impact on the tumour microenvironment of gastroesophageal cancers King R, Hayes C, Donohoe CL, Dunne MR, Davern M, Donlon NE
- 332 Liquid biopsy in cholangiocarcinoma: Current status and future perspectives Rompianesi G, Di Martino M, Gordon-Weeks A, Montalti R, Troisi R

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

- 351 Biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma based on body fluids and feces Guan MC, Ouyang W, Wang MD, Liang L, Li N, Fu TT, Shen F, Lau WY, Xu QR, Huang DS, Zhu H, Yang T
- 366 Molecular-targeted therapy toward precision medicine for gastrointestinal caner: Current progress and challenges Matsuoka T, Yashiro M

391 What is the best surgical procedure of transverse colon cancer? An evidence map and minireview Li C, Wang Q, Jiang KW

- 400 Update on the management of the gastrointestinal effects of radiation McCaughan H, Boyle S, McGoran JJ
- 409 Plexiform fibromyxoma: Review of rare mesenchymal gastric neoplasm and its differential diagnosis Arslan ME, Li H, Fu Z, Jennings TA, Lee H

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Retrospective Study**

424 Outcomes of curative liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis Elshaarawy O, Aman A, Zakaria HM, Zakareya T, Gomaa A, Elshimi E, Abdelsameea E

#### **Observational Study**

440 Same day yttrium-90 radioembolization with single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography: An opportunity to improve care during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond

Elsayed M, Loya M, Galt J, Schuster DM, Bercu ZL, Newsome J, Brandon D, Benenati S, Behbahani K, Duszak R, Sethi I, Kokahi N

#### SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

453 Sex as an effect modifier in the association between alcohol intake and gastric cancer risk Bae JM



#### Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Monthly Volume 13 Number 5 May 15, 2021

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Simona Maria Bataga, PhD, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, University of Medicine, Pharmacy, Science and Technology, GE Palade, Targu-Mures 540085, Romania. simonabataga@yahoo.com

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology (WJGO, World J Gastrointest Oncol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal oncology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal oncology and covering a wide range of topics including liver cell adenoma, gastric neoplasms, appendiceal neoplasms, biliary tract neoplasms, hepatocellular carcinoma, pancreatic carcinoma, cecal neoplasms, colonic neoplasms, colorectal neoplasms, duodenal neoplasms, esophageal neoplasms, gallbladder neoplasms, etc.

#### **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJGO is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2020 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for WJGO as 2.898; IF without journal self cites: 2.880; 5-year IF: 3.316; Ranking: 143 among 244 journals in oncology; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 55 among 88 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q3. The WJGO's CiteScore for 2019 is 2.0 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2019: Gastroenterology is 86/137.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Jia-Hui Li; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Ya-Juan Ma.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
<b>ISSN</b>	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5204 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
February 15, 2009	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Rosa M Jimenez Rodriguez, Pashtoon Kasi, Monjur Ahmed, Florin Burada	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
May 15, 2021	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



0 W J

## World Journal of **Gastrointestinal** Oncology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Oncol 2021 May 15; 13(5): 400-408

DOI: 10.4251/wjgo.v13.i5.400

ISSN 1948-5204 (online)

MINIREVIEWS

### Update on the management of the gastrointestinal effects of radiation

Hannah McCaughan, Stephen Boyle, John J McGoran

ORCID number: Hannah McCaughan 0000-0001-8501-9883; Stephen Boyle 0000-0003-4164-645X; John J McGoran 0000-0002-9514-5290.

Author contributions: McCaughan H and Boyle S were involved in gathering recent evidence for this review; McGoran JJ reviewed the manuscript and made additions; All were involved in the writing of the completed manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement:

There are no conflicts of interest.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Hannah McCaughan, Stephen Boyle, John J McGoran, Department of Gastroenterology, Altnagelvin Area Hospital, Londonderry BT47 6SB, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: John J McGoran, MBChB, MSc, Doctor, Department of Gastroenterology, Altnagelvin Area Hospital, Glenshane Road, Londonderry BT47 6SB, United Kingdom. jmcgoran01@qub.ac.uk

#### Abstract

Radiation therapy is a long-established and essential modality in the treatment of many cancers. It is well known that tissue within a field of radiation can suffer indiscriminate effects, leading to acute and chronic problems. The gastrointestinal tract may be adversely affected by radiation. From the mouth to the rectum, patients can experience troublesome symptoms that require the concerted input of specialist teams. Interventions range from nursing care, dietetic optimization, pharmacological management, and mechanical procedures through endoscopy and surgery. Quality evidence exists mainly for radiation induced effects in four distinct areas of the gastrointestinal tract: oral mucosa, esophagus, small bowel, and rectum. This review explores the experiences of oncology and gastrointestinal teams in managing the most common conditions and some of the different practices for radiation associated morbidity.

Key Words: Radiation; Stricture; Endoscopy

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: With growing numbers of patients worldwide undergoing radiation therapy for cancer, addressing the gastrointestinal effects of this is an essential area for development. Pharmacological, endoscopic, and surgical approaches continue to develop, but basic measures such as optimizing nutrition are often central to patient care.

Citation: McCaughan H, Boyle S, McGoran JJ. Update on the management of the gastrointestinal effects of radiation. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2021; 13(5): 400-408 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/full/v13/i5/400.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v13.i5.400



WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Country/Territory of origin: United Kingdom

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: February 18, 2021 Peer-review started: February 18, 2021 First decision: March 15, 2021 Revised: March 28, 2021 Accepted: April 5, 2021 Article in press: April 5, 2021 Published online: May 15, 2021

P-Reviewer: Lang S S-Editor: Zhang H L-Editor: Filipodia P-Editor: Ma YJ



#### INTRODUCTION

Worldwide epidemiological data suggests that around half of all patients with a cancer diagnosis may benefit from some form of radiation treatment[1,2]. Due to the nature of radiation therapy, normal tissue is also included within the radiation field. The involvement of gastrointestinal (GI) tissue has the potential to create a significant burden of patients with new GI symptoms as a by-product of cancer therapy[3,4]. Side effects can present long after cessation of treatment, so vigilance is advised with longterm care.

A combination of surgical, radiation, and chemotherapy agents are often combined to create a treatment regimen. This combination of therapies has also been shown to increase the risk of radiation effects. For example, an up to three-fold increase in GI toxicity has been noted in combined therapy for uterine cancer[5].

A multidisciplinary approach with medical gastroenterology input is recommended to manage the range of morbidities. The underlying symptomatology is diverse; side effects may be dose or schedule related. However, other factors such as genetic and patient-related issues play a role[5-7]. This range of symptomatology has a significant impact on patient quality of life, and the dosage required to cause GI side effects is dependent on the underlying tissue[8].

With modern treatment modalities the clinician's aim is to reduce these adverse effects. Effort is made during radiotherapy planning to limit damage to bystander tissue, either through more sophisticated machinery or employment of techniques prior to therapy[9]. Local treatment such as brachytherapy (when contrasted with external beam treatments) reduces GI toxicity. Similarly, there is an improvement in toxicity with techniques such as intensity modulated and three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy compared to conventional radiotherapy.

Nutrition plays a significant role within cancer therapy and will be discussed throughout this review. Recognition of the underlying nutritional state is important prior to initiating therapy[10]. It has additional significance in management of symptoms secondary to cancer therapies. Radiation causes a significant burden throughout the GI tract, requiring a range of interventions to ensure adequate nutrition[11,12].

GI side effects from radiation treatment are an ever-changing set of clinical issues. This review aims to give a summary overview on recent treatment recommendations. Four commonly impacted areas of the GI tract are explored: oral mucosa, esophagus, small bowel, and rectum.

#### ORAL MUCOSA

The incidence of oral mucositis in patients receiving high-dose head and neck radiation (6000-7000 Gy) is estimated up to 85%. It is one of the limiting factors for further oncological treatment in advanced head and neck cancer, impacting upon prognosis. The pain associated with oral lesions may lead to malnutrition and requires intense attention to manage it including the use of sufficient analgesia. A nutritional risk assessment for this patient population is advisable in order to anticipate requirements for early enteral nutrition to supplement poor oral intake[13,14].

The use of midline radiation blocks and three-dimensional radiation treatment to reduce mucosal injury is useful. Benzydamine oral rinse is recommended for prevention of radiation-induced mucositis in patients with head and neck cancer receiving moderate-dose radiation therapy. Xerostomia is a common side effect of irradiation of the head and neck, with treatment aimed at saliva replacement and stimulation. Oral candidiasis is treated with topical antifungals. Amifostine (Ethyol), a free-radical scavenger radioprotectant, is beneficial in preventing and treating xerostomia, but there is insufficient evidence to support its use for radiation-induced mucositis and esophagitis[15].

#### ESOPHAGUS

Radiation esophagitis can present early or late. The addition of chemotherapy further increases the likelihood of developing esophageal structuring[16]. The risk of stricturing in the esophagus can be anticipated by greater tumor invasion and circumferential involvement. Mediastinal fibrosis resulting from radiation therapy can lead to progressive and frequently refractory esophageal stenosis[17-19].



In the acute phase, esophagitis has the potential to cause significant morbidity for patients. Simple therapies such as analgesia, topical anesthesia, proton pump inhibitors, and dietary modifications are appropriate. This is often a self-limiting condition but can necessitate an alteration or cessation of treatment[20-22]. Certain foods including tobacco, alcohol, coffee, capsaicin containing foods, and ingestion of substances with extremes of temperature may irritate the esophageal mucosa. A soft or pureed bland diet is typically advised but often difficult to tolerate. A specialized dietetic assessment can provide estimates of daily nutritional requirements and advice for optimizing protein and calorie intake, such as the addition of liquid meal replacements<sup>[22]</sup>. Mild to moderate odynophagia responds to topical analgesics such as oral viscous lidocaine. Patients with esophagitis may have reduced lower esophageal sphincter pressure and are prone to reflux. These patients should be prescribed a proton pump inhibitor or H2 receptor antagonist<sup>[23]</sup>. When esophagitis has not resolved with supportive therapy, consideration needs to be made to nutrition. In appropriate cases this may be the initiation of parenteral nutrition (PN) to allow for mucosal healing[12].

Post radiation esophageal strictures are often classified as complex with features including length > 2 cm, angulated, irregular, and severely narrowed. Dilatation is the mainstay of treatment for benign strictures. Most patients will have partial occlusion of the esophagus rendering them amenable to anterograde endoscopic dilatation with either bouginage or endoscopic balloon therapy[24].

Symptoms from late radiation strictures typically include mechanical dysphagia from stenosis or impaired motility secondary to neuropathy and odynophagia from chronic ulceration[25]. For a completely obstructed esophagus, a combined anterograde and retrograde approach can be taken. In this complex procedure the stricture is visualized firstly via the anterograde endoscope. The second endoscope is inserted *via* a gastrostomy and is used to feed a guidewire proximally. This procedure is often performed with general anesthetic and under radiological guidance to manage the risk of perforation. When luminal patency is achieved these patients will often require serial esophageal dilatation every 2-3 mo[26]. Refractory esophageal strictures (an inability to maintain a luminal diameter of > 14 mm following five sequential dilatation attempts 1-2 wk apart or maintain the diameter once it has been achieved for 4 wk) are rare and challenging. There is a lack of good quality evidence surrounding the best approach to treatment, but some individuals may benefit from self-expanding removable stent insertion [27,28]. Those with radiation strictures may need additional help to manage undernutrition involving enteral or parenteral routes after appropriate assessment[12,29].

#### SMALL BOWEL

Small bowel tissue is particularly sensitive to radiation due to its high cell turnover[30]. Acute small bowel symptoms are due to inflammation of the epithelium with evidence of crypt abscesses noted histologically[31,32]. This can lead to presentations with pain, increased facial output, and malabsorption due to lack of functionality of the cells. Chronic side effects can also include pain and malabsorption due to chronic inflammation and tissue fibrosis. As with other tissue, severity is dose and tissue-type related; acute symptoms can occur with doses from 5-12 Gy[31]. Chronic small bowel enteritis is rare in total dosage less than 50 Gy, with a slighter higher dosage tolerance in the colon.

Diarrhea is a commonly anticipated side effect of radiation therapy when targeting tumors in close proximity to the small bowel. This has multiple underlying etiologies including protein losing enteropathy, malabsorption, or bacterial overgrowth[5,6,33]. The introduction of drugs such as loperamide or codeine phosphate may provide significant symptomatic relief, slowing intestinal motility in order to enhance gut water absorption[30]. Caution should be taken with antimotility agents as this patient group can be prone to obstruction. This may be secondary to stricturing disease, postoperative status, or underlying pathology.

Antibiotics like rifaximin or metronidazole can be used to manage small intestinal bacterial overgrowth resulting from radiation[34]. Prompt review to assess clinical effect in these relatively safe agents is advised to allow tailoring of management should the course be unsuccessful in bringing about significant improvement.

Due to involvement of the relatively fixed terminal ileum within the radiation field, type-1 bile acid malabsorption is associated with radiation enteritis in 40%-70% of those undergoing pelvic radiation therapy[35]. Bile acid sequestrants like colestyra-



WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

mine and colesevelam are effective treatments for this disorder.

Surgical input should be considered in patients affected by obstructive and fistulizing disease. Conservative techniques such as a low residue diet or complete bowel rest using PN to allow for obstructive symptoms to settle may be employed. This is often a successful treatment, however the use of PN may be prolonged[36]. Operative intervention may be unavoidable in around one third of patients who have chronic radiation enteritis<sup>[37]</sup>. This may include division of strictures, resection, and bypass. Within the setting of radiation enteritis, surgery has higher risks of postoperative complications. This includes a higher risk of anastomotic leaks due to friable tissue with compromised healing. The surgery may be extensive due to multiple areas of fibrosis requiring complex resections or repeated operations[6,38].

With or without surgical intervention, intestinal insufficiency or failure can develop. Parenteral means of supporting the patient, who has limited reserves for enteral absorption of nutrients, is a recognized therapeutic approach and may involve nutrition, electrolyte replacement, or hydration[39,40]. Home PN may be employed as a long-term option. However, some patients may use this to supplement oral intake and even ultimately resume normal intake[36]. Figures from the United States suggest around 3% of home PN is due to the sequelae of radiation enteritis with higher figures noted throughout European studies[30].

#### RECTUM

Radiation proctopathy can occur acutely with radiotherapy. However, it is now recognized as a long-term side effect. This could be anywhere from 6 mo to many years following cessation of radiation therapy with the median onset around 2 years. Incidence rates range from 5%-20% of patients[41]. It can be observed following therapy for a range of primary sites including prostate, cervix, urinary bladder, testes, uterus, rectum, and anus. A cumulative dose of less than 45 Gy is unlikely to cause significant injury, whereas beyond this and particularly above 70 Gy will likely cause significant injury [42].

Acutely, individuals can experience abdominal pain, discharge, tenesmus, diarrhea, incontinence, and rectal bleeding. The introduction of simple pharmacological agents may be enough to settle the majority of clinical features [43]. Chronic rectal radiation effects also pose problems with pain, bleeding, tenesmus, diarrhea, and incontinence, but urogenital fistulae and strictures due to underlying tissue fibrosis are additional problems to consider[5,44].

There are multiple endoscopic and pharmacological treatment modalities for radiation proctopathy. Evidence for these numerous modalities continues to be explored robustly with consideration of benefits and drawbacks. Table 1 outlines some of the main practices and highlights some of the issues facing the clinician who may manage the condition.

Treatment of rectal bleeding resulting from radiation has been explored in multiple systematic reviews. A 2015 Cochrane review carried out by van de Wetering et al[45] assessed nonsurgical interventions for late radiation proctopathy. Overall, the level of evidence for all studies of bleeding management was low. One moderate grade recommendation was for sucralfate. Sucralfate can be delivered orally or rectally to provide local action at the site of inflammation. Its proposed mechanism of action is both providing a barrier at the site of damaged tissue and encouraging healing via promoting angiogenesis[45]. At follow-up, 73%-100% of patients reported improvement of symptoms. This study did note recurrence of symptoms in up to 20% of patients[46]. 5-aminosalicylic therapy is commonly used for its benefits within inflammatory bowel disease. Data for use within radiation proctopathy is mixed, and there is no strong evidence to recommend it as a treatment modality [45,47,48].

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) is a further medical option for management of rectal bleeding. It is purported to act through increased stimulation of damaged cells and improves the activity of free radicals[49]. Recommendation for the use of HBOT is based on data from a 2008 double blind crossover trial carried out by Clarke et al[50]. Evidence suggests a clinical improvement in symptomatology following at least 30 sessions of HBOT[46,51]. Bennett et al[52] in 2016 assessed the outcome following administration of HBOT to various areas for late radiation tissue injury. Within this review multiple small studies were assessed to review its benefit, but due to the limited evidence it was not possible to assess the overall improvement with HBOT[52]. None of these studies have shown any serious ill effects from HBOT and have noted improved quality of life from bowel specific symptoms<sup>[41]</sup>.



Table 1 Treatment modalities for radiation proctopathy and associated bleeding			
Treatment modality	Benefit	Drawback	
Sucralfate enema	(1) Inexpensive; (2) Safe[41]; and (3) Effective first line in > 70%[46]	Patient training to self-administer or access to qualified clinician in nonclinical setting	
Formalin enema	Efficacy in at least 75% cases[47]	(1) Requires qualified therapist; and (2) Variable morbidity, serious side effects such as fistulization and severe colitis[42]	
Hyperbaric oxygen	Improved bowel related symptoms following radiation treatment	(1) Not readily available; (2) Requires recurrent treatments to provide efficacy[30]; and (3) No strong RCT evidence for benefit	
APC	(1) Direct visualization allows for treatment of a distinct bleeding point; and (2) Readily available within secondary care	(1) Procedural complications, <i>e.g.</i> , perforation, bleeding; (2) Strictures; and (3) Ulceration	

APC: Argon plasma coagulation; RCT: Randomized controlled trial.

Hyaluronic acid use for management of the adverse effects of radiation has also been assessed in a 2018 systematic review[53]. The studies involved in the review assessed symptomatic improvement in both vaginal symptoms with minimal exploration of rectal symptoms. Formalin application has been shown to have benefit in the management of rectal bleeding[54]. Its application to tissue causes sclerosis to the fragile neovasculature. Application methods include a direct "dab" technique or irrigation with an enema. Formalin has been noted to show improvement after a single application, with improvement in bleeding in 90% of patients with 99% after multiple applications. Lower strength 4% formalin shows lower evidence of toxicity compared to 10%, which showed increase adverse effects in follow-up.

Endoscopy is useful for both investigation and management of radiation proctopathy[55]. Management of rectal bleeding refractory to medical therapies has been assessed in a recent review by the American Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy<sup>[56]</sup>. Over 60 years of reviews and cases were assessed. However, the recommendations are limited by the lack of controlled trials. These findings were also noted in earlier reviews [46,54,57]. Various endoscopy modalities, such as argon plasma coagulation (APC), bipolar cautery, and heater probe, and radio-frequency ablation were used for treatment. There was no direct comparison between the endoscopic modalities. APC is a commonly used endoscopic technique and has been shown to be an effective way to treat rectal bleeding, but it does have associated short and long-term complications[58]. Repeated treatments may be required to achieve control of bleeding[59]. Treatment comparison between formalin and APC suggests formalin application should be used preferentially to APC as it has a lower risk profile. This is from one of the few direct comparison trials<sup>[54]</sup>. Radio-frequency ablation has not been assessed via randomized controlled trial. However, success has been noted within case series with a low rate of adverse events reported [60-63]. From reviews, due to risk profile and lack of randomized controlled trial data the two recommended forms of endoscopic treatment for bleeding are bipolar and APC, with APC being the most efficacious therapy[42].

Endoscopy may also be required to dilate rectal strictures due to radiation. A relatively simple endoscopic treatment with significant benefit to patients with relief of obstructive symptoms. It does have an associated risk of perforation as with other dilation procedures. Limited information exists for lower GI radiation strictures with more information available for its use in esophageal structuring[42,47]. Surgical management needs to be considered in those patients with symptoms refractory to medical management. However, due to the invasive nature and associated risks this is reserved to limited cases. One such option for surgical management is a diversion colostomy.

#### CONCLUSION

Radiation therapy is a mainstay of cancer treatment that may harbor side effects involving the GI tract (Table 2). The treatment of these side effects is heterogeneous, and the outcomes are variable. Multiple small studies and case reports exist. However, few larger ones exist. As technology for providing radiation improves, it is hoped that the side effect burden will lessen, but the increasing population that survive cancer



WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Overview of specific therapies for side effects associated with radiation therapy			
Area	Side effect	Treatment	
Oral mucosa	Mucositis; xerostomia; candidiasis	Opioids, topical benzydamine; artificial saliva; topical antifungals	
Esophagus	Esophagitis; strictures	Analgesia, topical anesthesia, PPI; esophageal dilatation, CARD, esophageal stents	
Small bowel	Enteritis; small bowel overgrowth; strictures; bile acid malabsorption; malnutrition	Antidiarrheal; antibiotics; surgery; bile acid sequestrants; nutritional adjuncts ( <i>i.e.</i> PN)	
Rectum	Proctopathy	Sucralfate, formalin, HBOT, APC	

APC: Argon plasma coagulation; CARD: Combined antegrade and retrograde dilation; HBOT: Hyperbaric oxygen therapy; PN: Parenteral nutrition; PPI: Proton pump inhibitor.

> may pose a legacy effect. Acute symptoms may necessitate dose reduction or a cessation in treatment. Conservative measures should be employed where possible. Similarly, with the chronic effects of radiation, medical therapies should be considered as a first line with surgery reserved as a last resort.

#### REFERENCES

- Jaffray DA, Gospodarowicz MK. Radiation Therapy for Cancer. In: Gelband H, Jha P, Sankaranarayanan R, Horton S, editors. Cancer: Disease Control Priorities, Third Edition (Volume 3). Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank; 2015 Nov 1. Chapter 14 [PMID: 26913334 DOI: 10.1596/978-1-4648-0349-9 ch14]
- 2 UK Cancer Research. Worldwide Cancer statistics [Internet]. [cited 28 March, 2020] Available from: https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/worldwide-cancer
- 3 Andreyev J. Gastrointestinal complications of pelvic radiotherapy: are they of any importance? Gut 2005; 54: 1051-1054 [PMID: 16009675 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2004.062596]
- Andreyev HJ, Davidson SE, Gillespie C, Allum WH, Swarbrick E; British Society of Gastroenterology; Association of Colo-Proctology of Great Britain and Ireland; Association of Upper Gastrointestinal Surgeons; Faculty of Clinical Oncology Section of the Royal College of Radiologists. Practice guidance on the management of acute and chronic gastrointestinal problems arising as a result of treatment for cancer. Gut 2012; 61: 179-192 [PMID: 22057051 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2011-300563]
- 5 Loge L, Florescu C, Alves A, Menahem B. Radiation enteritis: Diagnostic and therapeutic issues. J Visc Surg 2020; 157: 475-485 [PMID: 32883650 DOI: 10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2020.08.012]
- 6 Bozzetti F. Comments on: Why do patients with weight loss have a worse outcome when undergoing chemotherapy for gastrointestinal malignancies, Andreyev et al., Eur J Cancer 1998, 34, pp. 503-509. Eur J Cancer 1998; 34: 2132-2133 [PMID: 10070324 DOI: 10.1016/s0959-8049(98)00263-9]
- Straub JM, New J, Hamilton CD, Lominska C, Shnayder Y, Thomas SM. Radiation-induced 7 fibrosis: mechanisms and implications for therapy. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2015; 141: 1985-1994 [PMID: 25910988 DOI: 10.1007/s00432-015-1974-6]
- Stone HB, Coleman CN, Anscher MS, McBride WH. Effects of radiation on normal tissue: 8 consequences and mechanisms. Lancet Oncol 2003; 4: 529-536 [PMID: 12965273 DOI: 10.1016/s1470-2045(03)01191-41
- Lawrie TA, Green JT, Beresford M, Wedlake L, Burden S, Davidson SE, Lal S, Henson CC, Andreyev HJN. Interventions to reduce acute and late adverse gastrointestinal effects of pelvic radiotherapy for primary pelvic cancers. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018; 1: CD012529 [PMID: 29360138 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012529.pub2]
- 10 Arends J, Baracos V, Bertz H, Bozzetti F, Calder PC, Deutz NEP, Erickson N, Laviano A, Lisanti MP, Lobo DN, McMillan DC, Muscaritoli M, Ockenga J, Pirlich M, Strasser F, de van der Schueren M, Van Gossum A, Vaupel P, Weimann A. ESPEN expert group recommendations for action against cancer-related malnutrition. Clin Nutr 2017; 36: 1187-1196 [PMID: 28689670 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.06.017
- 11 de Las Peñas R, Majem M, Perez-Altozano J, Virizuela JA, Cancer E, Diz P, Donnay O, Hurtado A, Jimenez-Fonseca P, Ocon MJ. SEOM clinical guidelines on nutrition in cancer patients (2018). Clin Transl Oncol 2019; 21: 87-93 [PMID: 30617923 DOI: 10.1007/s12094-018-02009-3]
- 12 Arends J, Bachmann P, Baracos V, Barthelemy N, Bertz H, Bozzetti F, Fearon K, Hütterer E, Isenring E, Kaasa S, Krznaric Z, Laird B, Larsson M, Laviano A, Mühlebach S, Muscaritoli M, Oldervoll L, Ravasco P, Solheim T, Strasser F, de van der Schueren M, Preiser JC. ESPEN guidelines on nutrition in cancer patients. Clin Nutr 2017; 36: 11-48 [PMID: 27637832 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2016.07.015]



- Peterson DE, Boers-Doets CB, Bensadoun RJ, Herrstedt J; ESMO Guidelines Committee. 13 Management of oral and gastrointestinal mucosal injury: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2015; 26 Suppl 5: v139-v151 [PMID: 26142468 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdv202]
- Peterson DE, Bensadoun RJ, Roila F; ESMO Guidelines Working Group. Management of oral and 14 gastrointestinal mucositis: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. Ann Oncol 2011; 22 Suppl 6: vi78vi84 [PMID: 21908510 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdr391]
- Hensley ML, Hagerty KL, Kewalramani T, Green DM, Meropol NJ, Wasserman TH, Cohen GI, 15 Emami B, Gradishar WJ, Mitchell RB, Thigpen JT, Trotti A 3rd, von Hoff D, Schuchter LM. American Society of Clinical Oncology 2008 clinical practice guideline update: use of chemotherapy and radiation therapy protectants. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27: 127-145 [PMID: 19018081 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2008.17.2627
- 16 Bar-Ad V, Ohri N, Werner-Wasik M. Esophagitis, treatment-related toxicity in non-small cell lung cancer. Rev Recent Clin Trials 2012; 7: 31-35 [PMID: 21864251 DOI: 10.2174/157488712799363235
- Chapuy CI, Annino DJ, Tishler RB, Haddad RI, Snavely A, Goguen LA. Success of endoscopic 17 pharyngoesophageal dilation after head and neck cancer treatment. Laryngoscope 2013; 123: 3066-3073 [PMID: 23775806 DOI: 10.1002/lary.24268]
- Atsumi K, Shioyama Y, Arimura H, Terashima K, Matsuki T, Ohga S, Yoshitake T, Nonoshita T, 18 Tsurumaru D, Ohnishi K, Asai K, Matsumoto K, Nakamura K, Honda H. Esophageal stenosis associated with tumor regression in radiotherapy for esophageal cancer: frequency and prediction. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012; 82: 1973-1980 [PMID: 21477944 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.01.047]
- Atsumi K, Shioyama Y, Nakamura K, Nomoto S, Ohga S, Yoshitake T, Nonoshita T, Ueda M, Hirata 19 H, Honda H. Predictive factors of esophageal stenosis associated with tumor regression in radiation therapy for locally advanced esophageal cancer. J Radiat Res 2010; 51: 9-14 [PMID: 19801888 DOI: 10.1269/irr.090731
- 20 Murro D, Jakate S. Radiation esophagitis. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2015; 139: 827-830 [PMID: 26030254 DOI: 10.5858/arpa.2014-0111-RS]
- 21 Nesheiwat Z, Akbar H, Kahloon A, Mahajan K. Radiation Esophagitis. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021 [PMID: 29763095]
- 22 Berkey FJ. Managing the adverse effects of radiation therapy. Am Fam Physician 2010; 82: 381-388, 394 [PMID: 20704169]
- 23 Chowhan NM. Injurious effects of radiation on the esophagus. Am J Gastroenterol 1990; 85: 115-120 [PMID: 2405641]
- Siersema PD. How to Approach a Patient With Refractory or Recurrent Benign Esophageal Stricture. 24 Gastroenterology 2019; 156: 7-10 [PMID: 30472230 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.11.040]
- 25 Baker S, Fairchild A. Radiation-induced esophagitis in lung cancer. Lung Cancer (Auckl) 2016; 7: 119-127 [PMID: 28210168 DOI: 10.2147/LCTT.S96443]
- Bertolini R, Meyenberger C, Putora PM, Albrecht F, Broglie MA, Stoeckli SJ, Sulz MC. Endoscopic 26 dilation of complete oesophageal obstructions with a combined antegrade-retrograde rendezvous technique. World J Gastroenterol 2016; 22: 2366-2372 [PMID: 26900299 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i7.2366
- 27 Sami SS, Haboubi HN, Ang Y, Boger P, Bhandari P, de Caestecker J, Griffiths H, Haidry R, Laasch HU, Patel P, Paterson S, Ragunath K, Watson P, Siersema PD, Attwood SE. UK guidelines on oesophageal dilatation in clinical practice. Gut 2018; 67: 1000-1023 [PMID: 29478034 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2017-315414]
- 28 Fuccio L, Hassan C, Frazzoni L, Miglio R, Repici A. Clinical outcomes following stent placement in refractory benign esophageal stricture: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Endoscopy 2016; 48: 141-148 [PMID: 26528754 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1393331]
- Chen MJ, Wu IC, Chen YJ, Wang TE, Chang YF, Yang CL, Huang WC, Chang WK, Sheu BS, Wu 29 MS, Lin JT, Chu CH. Nutrition therapy in esophageal cancer-Consensus statement of the Gastroenterological Society of Taiwan. Dis Esophagus 2018; 31 [PMID: 29860406 DOI: 10.1093/dote/doy016
- 30 Webb GJ, Brooke R, De Silva AN. Chronic radiation enteritis and malnutrition. J Dig Dis 2013; 14: 350-357 [PMID: 23560564 DOI: 10.1111/1751-2980.12061]
- 31 Theis VS, Sripadam R, Ramani V, Lal S. Chronic radiation enteritis. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2010; 22: 70-83 [PMID: 19897345 DOI: 10.1016/j.clon.2009.10.003]
- Stacey R, Green JT. Radiation-induced small bowel disease: latest developments and clinical 32 guidance. Ther Adv Chronic Dis 2014; 5: 15-29 [PMID: 24381725 DOI: 10.1177/2040622313510730]
- 33 Bhutta BS, Fatima R, Aziz M. Radiation Enteritis. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021 [PMID: 30252288]
- Shah SC, Day LW, Somsouk M, Sewell JL. Meta-analysis: antibiotic therapy for small intestinal 34 bacterial overgrowth. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2013; 38: 925-934 [PMID: 24004101 DOI: 10.1111/apt.12479]
- 35 Vijayvargiya P, Camilleri M. Update on Bile Acid Malabsorption: Finally Ready for Prime Time? Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2018; 20: 10 [PMID: 29582208 DOI: 10.1007/s11894-018-0615-z]
- 36 Pironi L, Arends J, Bozzetti F, Cuerda C, Gillanders L, Jeppesen PB, Joly F, Kelly D, Lal S, Staun M, Szczepanek K, Van Gossum A, Wanten G, Schneider SM; Home Artificial Nutrition & Chronic



Intestinal Failure Special Interest Group of ESPEN. ESPEN guidelines on chronic intestinal failure in adults. Clin Nutr 2016; 35: 247-307 [PMID: 26944585 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2016.01.020]

- 37 Regimbeau JM, Panis Y, Gouzi JL, Fagniez PL; French University Association for Surgical Research. Operative and long term results after surgery for chronic radiation enteritis. Am J Surg 2001; 182: 237-242 [PMID: 11587684 DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9610(01)00705-x]
- Chater C, Saudemont A, Zerbib P. Chronic radiation enteritis. J Visc Surg 2019; 156: 175-176 38 [PMID: 30249429 DOI: 10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2018.09.002]
- 39 Kalaiselvan R, Theis VS, Dibb M, Teubner A, Anderson ID, Shaffer JL, Carlson GL, Lal S. Radiation enteritis leading to intestinal failure: 1994 patient-years of experience in a national referral centre. Eur J Clin Nutr 2014; 68: 166-170 [PMID: 24327124 DOI: 10.1038/ejcn.2013.251]
- Scolapio JS, Ukleja A, Burnes JU, Kelly DG. Outcome of patients with radiation enteritis treated 40 with home parenteral nutrition. Am J Gastroenterol 2002; 97: 662-666 [PMID: 11922561 DOI:  $10.1111 / j.1572 \hbox{-} 0241.2002.05546.x]$
- Bansal N, Soni A, Kaur P, Chauhan AK, Kaushal V. Exploring the Management of Radiation 41 Proctitis in Current Clinical Practice. J Clin Diagn Res 2016; 10: XE01-XE06 [PMID: 27504391 DOI: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/17524.7906]
- Weiner JP, Wong AT, Schwartz D, Martinez M, Aytaman A, Schreiber D. Endoscopic and non-42 endoscopic approaches for the management of radiation-induced rectal bleeding. World J Gastroenterol 2016; 22: 6972-6986 [PMID: 27610010 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i31.6972]
- 43 Vanneste BG, Van De Voorde L, de Ridder RJ, Van Limbergen EJ, Lambin P, van Lin EN. Chronic radiation proctitis: tricks to prevent and treat. Int J Colorectal Dis 2015; 30: 1293-1303 [PMID: 26198994 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-015-2289-4]
- 44 Hasleton PS, Carr N, Schofield PF. Vascular changes in radiation bowel disease. Histopathology 1985; 9: 517-534 [PMID: 4007790 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2559.1985.tb02833.x]
- van de Wetering FT, Verleye L, Andreyev HJ, Maher J, Vlayen J, Pieters BR, van Tienhoven G, 45 Scholten RJ. Non-surgical interventions for late rectal problems (proctopathy) of radiotherapy in people who have received radiotherapy to the pelvis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016; 4: CD003455 [PMID: 27111831 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003455.pub2]
- 46 Frazzoni L, La Marca M, Guido A, Morganti AG, Bazzoli F, Fuccio L. Pelvic radiation disease: Updates on treatment options. World J Clin Oncol 2015; 6: 272-280 [PMID: 26677440 DOI: 10.5306/wjco.v6.i6.272]
- Tabaja L, Sidani SM. Management of Radiation Proctitis. Dig Dis Sci 2018; 63: 2180-2188 [PMID: 47 29948565 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-018-5163-8]
- 48 Fuccio L, Frazzoni L, Guido A. Prevention of pelvic radiation disease. World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther 2015; 6: 1-9 [PMID: 25664197 DOI: 10.4292/wjgpt.v6.i1.1]
- 49 Yuan JH, Song LM, Liu Y, Li MW, Lin Q, Wang R, Zhang CS, Dong J. The Effects of Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy on Pelvic Radiation Induced Gastrointestinal Complications (Rectal Bleeding, Diarrhea, and Pain): A Meta-Analysis. Front Oncol 2020; 10: 390 [PMID: 32328454 DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2020.00390]
- 50 Clarke RE, Tenorio LM, Hussey JR, Toklu AS, Cone DL, Hinojosa JG, Desai SP, Dominguez Parra L, Rodrigues SD, Long RJ, Walker MB. Hyperbaric oxygen treatment of chronic refractory radiation proctitis: a randomized and controlled double-blind crossover trial with long-term follow-up. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008; 72: 134-143 [PMID: 18342453 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.12.048]
- Cooper JS, Hanley ME. Hyperbaric Treatment Of Radiation Proctitis. In: StatPearls [Internet]. 51 Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021 [PMID: 30726028]
- 52 Bennett MH, Feldmeier J, Hampson NB, Smee R, Milross C. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy for late radiation tissue injury. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016; 4: CD005005 [PMID: 27123955 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005005.pub4]
- Cosentino D, Piro F. Hyaluronic acid for treatment of the radiation therapy side effects: a systematic 53 review. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2018; 22: 7562-7572 [PMID: 30468506 DOI: 10.26355/eurrev 201811 16298
- 54 Nelamangala Ramakrishnaiah VP, Krishnamachari S. Chronic haemorrhagic radiation proctitis: A review. World J Gastrointest Surg 2016; 8: 483-491 [PMID: 27462390 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v8.i7.483]
- Rustagi T, Mashimo H. Endoscopic management of chronic radiation proctitis. World J 55 Gastroenterol 2011; 17: 4554-4562 [PMID: 22147960 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v17.i41.4554]
- 56 Lee JK, Agrawal D, Thosani N, Al-Haddad M, Buxbaum JL, Calderwood AH, Fishman DS, Fujii-Lau LL, Jamil LH, Jue TL, Khashab MA, Law JK, Naveed M, Qumseya BJ, Sawhney MS, Storm AC, Yang J, Wani SB. ASGE guideline on the role of endoscopy for bleeding from chronic radiation proctopathy. Gastrointest Endosc 2019; 90: 171-182. e1 [PMID: 31235260 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2019.04.234]
- 57 Lenz L, Rohr R, Nakao F, Libera E, Ferrari A. Chronic radiation proctopathy: A practical review of endoscopic treatment. World J Gastrointest Surg 2016; 8: 151-160 [PMID: 26981189 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v8.i2.151]
- Peng Y, Wang H, Feng J, Fang S, Zhang M, Wang F, Chang Y, Shi X, Zhao Q, Liu J. Efficacy and 58 Safety of Argon Plasma Coagulation for Hemorrhagic Chronic Radiation Proctopathy: A Systematic Review. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2018; 2018: 3087603 [PMID: 29681929 DOI: 10.1155/2018/3087603
- 59 Siow SL, Mahendran HA, Seo CJ. Complication and remission rates after endoscopic argon plasma coagulation in the treatment of haemorrhagic radiation proctitis. Int J Colorectal Dis 2017; 32: 131-



134 [PMID: 27527929 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-016-2635-1]

- 60 Pigò F, Bertani H, Manno M, Mirante VG, Caruso A, Conigliaro RL. Radiofrequency ablation for chronic radiation proctitis: our initial experience with four cases. Tech Coloproctol 2014; 18: 1089-1092 [PMID: 24915942 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-014-1178-0]
- 61 Zhou C, Adler DC, Becker L, Chen Y, Tsai TH, Figueiredo M, Schmitt JM, Fujimoto JG, Mashimo H. Effective treatment of chronic radiation proctitis using radiofrequency ablation. Therap Adv Gastroenterol 2009; 2: 149-156 [PMID: 20593010 DOI: 10.1177/1756283x08103341]
- McCarty TR, Garg R, Rustagi T. Efficacy and safety of radiofrequency ablation for treatment of 62 chronic radiation proctitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 34: 1479-1485 [PMID: 31111527 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.14729]
- 63 McCarty TR, Rustagi T. New Indications for Endoscopic Radiofrequency Ablation. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 16: 1007-1017 [PMID: 29081376 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2017.10.023]





#### Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

