# World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Oncology*

World J Gastrointest Oncol 2022 November 15; 14(11): 2088-2301





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WU

# GO World Journal of Gastrointestinal

#### Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 11 November 15, 2022

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

Portal vein embolization failure: Current strategies and future perspectives to improve liver hypertrophy 2088 before major oncological liver resection

Cassese G, Han HS, Lee B, Cho JY, Lee HW, Guiu B, Panaro F, Troisi RI

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Basic Study**

- 2097 Proteomic signatures of infiltrative gastric cancer by proteomic and bioinformatic analysis Zhang LH, Zhuo HQ, Hou JJ, Zhou Y, Cheng J, Cai JC
- 2108 Potential role of long noncoding RNA RP5-881L22.5 as a novel biomarker and therapeutic target of colorectal cancer

Zong H, Zou JQ, Huang JP, Huang ST

2122 Synaptophysin-like 2 expression correlates with lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis in colorectal cancer patients

Zhao ZX, Liu QL, Yuan Y, Wang FS

2138 Comprehensive analysis of the potential role and prognostic value of sine oculis homeobox homolog family in colorectal cancer

Fang ZX, Li CL, Wu Z, Hou YY, Wu HT, Liu J

2157 KLF16 promotes pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell proliferation and migration by positively regulating SMAD6

Mi W, Zheng Z, Lu JD, Duan SQ, Zhang J, Zhang HQ, Ding YX, Yin J, Cao F, Zhang J, Li F

2170 MiR-30e-3p inhibits gastric cancer development by negatively regulating THO complex 2 and PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling

Gu XJ, Li YJ, Wang F, Ye T

E3 ubiquitin ligase TRIM55 promotes metastasis of gastric cancer cells by mediating epithelial-2183 mesenchymal transition

Li WW, Yuan H, Kong S, Tian SB

#### **Clinical and Translational Research**

2195 Missed colorectal cancers in a fecal immunochemical test-based screening program: Molecular profiling of interval carcinomas

van der Vlugt M, Carvalho B, Fliers J, Montazeri N, Rausch C, Grobbee EJ, Engeland MV, Spaander MCW, Meijer GA, Dekker E



#### Contents

#### Monthly Volume 14 Number 11 November 15, 2022

#### **Case Control Study**

2208 Oxidative imbalance increases the risk for colonic polyp and colorectal cancer development

Tsounis D, Villiotou V, Melpidou A, Pantsiou C, Argyrou A, Giannopoulou C, Grigoratou A, Rontogianni D, Mantzaris GJ, Papatheodoridis G

#### **Retrospective Study**

2224 Predictive value of indirect bilirubin before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in evaluating prognosis of local advanced rectal cancer patients

Li SF, Wei R, Yu GH, Jiang Z

#### **Observational Study**

2238 Features of gastric cancer by anatomic subsite in northern China: A multi-center Health Science Report database study

Qu RZ, Ma YP, Bao XY, Tao LY, Zhou X, Lu SY, Zhang Y, Wang BY, Li F, Tuo L, Zhang ZP, Fu W

#### **CASE REPORT**

2253 A rare synchrony of adenocarcinoma of the ampulla with an ileal gastrointestinal stromal tumor: A case report

Matli VVK, Zibari GB, Wellman G, Ramadas P, Pandit S, Morris J

2266 Silent advanced large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma with synchronous adenocarcinoma of the colon: A case report

Baek HS, Kim SW, Lee ST, Park HS, Seo SY

2273 Surgical management of monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma followed by chemotherapy and stem-cell transplant: A case report and review of the literature

Bissessur AS, Zhou JC, Xu L, Li ZQ, Ju SW, Jia YL, Wang LB

- 2288 Surgical treatment of liver inflammatory pseudotumor-like follicular dendritic cell sarcoma: A case report Fu LY, Jiang JL, Liu M, Li JJ, Liu KP, Zhu HT
- Rare squamous cell carcinoma of the jejunum causing perforated peritonitis: A case report 2295 Xiao L, Sun L, Zhang JX, Pan YS



#### Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 11 November 15, 2022

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Associate Editor of World Journal of Gastroenterology Oncology, Keun-Yeong Jeong, PhD, Research Assistant Professor, Chief Executive Officer, PearlsinMires, Seoul 03690, South Korea. alvirus@naver.com

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology (WJGO, World J Gastrointest Oncol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal oncology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal oncology and covering a wide range of topics including liver cell adenoma, gastric neoplasms, appendiceal neoplasms, biliary tract neoplasms, hepatocellular carcinoma, pancreatic carcinoma, cecal neoplasms, colonic neoplasms, colorectal neoplasms, duodenal neoplasms, esophageal neoplasms, gallbladder neoplasms, etc.

#### **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJGO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJGO as 3.404; IF without journal self cites: 3.357; 5-year IF: 3.250; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.53; Ranking: 162 among 245 journals in oncology; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 59 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q3. The WJGO's CiteScore for 2021 is 3.6 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Gastroenterology is 72/149; Oncology is 203/360.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Xiang-Di Zhang, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5204 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
February 15, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
<b>EDITORS-IN-CHIEF</b>	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Monjur Ahmed, Florin Burada	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
November 15, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



0 WJ

World Journal of **Gastrointestinal** Oncology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Oncol 2022 November 15; 14(11): 2224-2237

DOI: 10.4251/wjgo.v14.i11.2224

ISSN 1948-5204 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## **Retrospective Study** Predictive value of indirect bilirubin before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in evaluating prognosis of local advanced rectal cancer patients

#### Shuo-Feng Li, Ran Wei, Guan-Hua Yu, Zheng Jiang

Specialty type: Oncology

#### Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Aurello P, Italy; Bustamante-Lopez LA, Brazil; Preziosi F, Italy

Received: July 23, 2022 Peer-review started: July 23, 2022 First decision: August 18, 2022 Revised: August 25, 2022 Accepted: October 2, 2022 Article in press: October 2, 2022 Published online: November 15, 2022



Shuo-Feng Li, Ran Wei, Guan-Hua Yu, Zheng Jiang, Department of Colorectal Surgery, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100021, China

Corresponding author: Zheng Jiang, MD, Chief Physician, Professor, Department of Colorectal Surgery, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, No.17 Panjiayuan Nanli, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100021, China. jiangzheng@cicams.ac.cn

#### Abstract

#### BACKGROUND

Many biomarkers have predictive value for overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) in tumor patients. However, the role of indirect bilirubin (IBIL) in local advanced rectal cancer (LARC) patients treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (nCRT) has not been studied.

#### AIM

To explore the predictive value of IBIL before nCRT (pre-IBIL) for the OS and DFS of LARC patients treated with nCRT.

#### **METHODS**

A total of 324 LARC patients undergoing nCRT with total mesorectal excision (TME) were enrolled. Preoperative clinical features and postoperative pathological characteristics were collected. Cox regression analysis was performed, and a Cox-based nomogram was developed to predict OS and DFS. We also assessed the predictive performance of the nomogram with calibration plots and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves.

#### RESULTS

Among 324 patients, the median pre-IBIL was 6.2 µmol/L (interquartile range: 4.6  $\mu$ mol/L-8.4  $\mu$ mol/L). In the Cox multivariate regression analysis, we found that pre-IBIL, smoking history, tumor regression grade (TRG), vascular invasion, and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 before nCRT (pre-CA19-9) were predictors of OS. Additionally, pre-IBIL, body mass index (BMI), nCRT with surgery interval, TRG, and vascular invasion were predictors of DFS. Predictive nomograms were developed to predict 5-year OS and 5-year DFS with area under the ROC curve



values of 0.7518 and 0.7355, respectively. Good statistical performance on internal validation was shown by calibration plots and ROC curves.

#### **CONCLUSION**

This study demonstrated that pre-IBIL was an independent prognostic factor for OS and DFS in LARC patients treated with nCRT followed by TME. Nomograms incorporating pre-IBIL, BMI, smoking history, nCRT with surgery interval, TRG, vascular invasion, and pre-CA19-9 could be helpful to predict OS and DFS.

Key Words: Indirect bilirubin; Local advanced rectal cancer; Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; Prognostic factor; Overall survival; Disease-free survival

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Our study demonstrated that indirect bilirubin measurement before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (nCRT) (pre-IBIL) is an independent and significant risk factor for survival in local advanced rectal cancer patients treated with nCRT followed by total mesorectal excision. In addition, nomograms based on pre-IBIL can predict 5-year overall survival and 5-year disease-free survival with good agreement.

Citation: Li SF, Wei R, Yu GH, Jiang Z. Predictive value of indirect bilirubin before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in evaluating prognosis of local advanced rectal cancer patients. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2022; 14(11): 2224-2237

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/full/v14/i11/2224.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v14.i11.2224

#### INTRODUCTION

According to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines, local advanced rectal cancer (LARC) patients should be treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (nCRT) combined with surgery[1]. The nCRT can reduce the tumor burden, and local recurrence rate, as well as improve the R0 resection rate and anus preservation rate of LARC[2]. However, approximately 20%-46% of LARC patients with available postoperative pathological data had tumor cells that did not regress significantly or did not regress at all. These patients did not respond significantly to treatment and had poor prognoses[3-5]. Moreover, there are few studies on the changes in biological characteristics of tumors after nCRT treatment, so the current prognosis of this group of patients is still evaluated by TNM staging, which may not be accurate[6-8]. Combined with the results of existing studies, most of the studies evaluated the prognosis of this group of patients based on the pathological or anatomical characteristics of the tumor while ignoring some biological characteristics of the patients themselves, such as blood biochemistry status and underlying diseases[9-11].

Indirect bilirubin (IBIL) is bilirubin that is not bound to glucuronic acid. Elevated serum IBIL is mainly associated with various hemolytic diseases. After the destruction of a large number of red blood cells, a large amount of hemoglobin is converted into IBIL, which exceeds the processing capacity of the liver to convert all of it into direct bilirubin, resulting in elevated IBIL in the blood. Its concentration reflects the conversion function of hepatocytes and the catabolic state of red blood cells. In recent years, an increasing number of studies have found a relationship between IBIL and tumor prognosis. Some studies have shown that bilirubin is associated with prognosis in cancer patients, such as ovarian cancer, lung cancer, nasopharyngeal cancer, and oral squamous cell carcinoma[12-15]. However, the predictive values of the IBIL before nCRT (pre-IBIL) for prognostic outcomes in LARC patients treated with nCRT are unknown. In this retrospective study, we aimed to perform statistical analysis of the clinicopathological data of a large number of LARC patients who underwent nCRT was performed to investigate the predictive value of pre-IBIL in the prognosis and to create a nomogram that could predict the prognosis of patients.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Patient population

We retrospectively identified 324 rectal cancer patients who received nCRT between November 1, 2012



and October 30, 2018 in the National Cancer Center/Cancer Hospital of the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College (Beijing, P.R. China). The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Completion of long course nCRT followed by total mesorectal excision (TME); (2) Pathologically confirmed rectal adenocarcinoma by colonoscopic biopsy; and (3) Imaging suggested cTNM stage II-III without distant metastasis at initial diagnosis. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Absence of some clinical features before nCRT treatment; (2) After nCRT, distant metastasis was found by imaging examinations; and (3) Synchronous tumors.

All the available detailed clinical characteristics and pathological parameters were enrolled, including pre-IBIL, age, sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking history, drinking history, chronic disease history, cTNM stage, nCRT with surgery interval, inferior margin, tumor regression grade (TRG), vascular invasion, neural invasion, radiotherapy type before surgery, chemotherapy type before surgery, adjuvant chemotherapy, carcinoembryonic antigen before nCRT (pre-CEA), and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 before nCRT (pre-CA19-9), in which TRG uses Dworak stage.

#### Treatment

All patients were treated with a 45-60 Gy dose of long-course nCRT. Three schemes of concurrent radiotherapy are volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT), intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT), and 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy (3D-CRT). The concurrent chemotherapy schemes were capecitabine, capecitabine + platinum, capecitabine + bevacizumab, capecitabine + oxaliplatin and raltitrexed. All patients were treated with nCRT for at least 4 wk before undergoing TME.

#### Follow-up

All patients received postoperative reviews in the hospital every 3 mo for 2 years after surgery and every 6 mo for 3-5 years after surgery. The postoperative examinations included a physical examination, peripheral blood tumor markers, chest computed tomography (CT), abdominal CT, pelvic CT or magnetic resonance imaging, and a whole-body positron emission tomography-CT if necessary. Additionally, we followed up with patients at regular intervals until August 31, 2021. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from the date of diagnosis to the date of death due to any cause or the last follow-up. Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the time since radical surgery to disease recurrence, metastasis, the last follow-up, or patient death.

#### Statistical analysis

Fisher's exact test and  $\chi^2$  tests were employed to compare categorical data. Cox regression analysis was performed to evaluate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) of both OS and DFS. Parameters that were statistically significant in the univariate analysis were subsequently included in the multivariate analysis. Nomograms were constructed based on statistically significant factors identified by the multivariate analyses from the Cox regression model to predict 5-year OS and DFS. We assessed the predictive performance of the nomogram with calibration plots and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. The Kaplan-Meier survival method was used to analyze OS and DFS, and survival differences were calculated by the log-rank test. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.1.0. Two-tailed P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

#### Patient characteristics

A total of 324 patients, 98 (30.25%) females and 226 (69.75%) males met the inclusion criteria for this study, and the median pre-IBIL (interquartile range) was 6.2 (4.6-8.4) µmol/L. Then, 6.2 µmol/L was used as cut-off value in grouping patients. Moreover, 48.46% (157/324) of patients had a smoking history, 41.67% (135/324) of patients had a drinking history and 37.04% (120/324) of patients had a chronic disease history. For radiotherapy type before surgery, 286 (88.27%) patients received the VMAT regimen, 30 (9.26%) patients received the IMRT regimen, and 8 (2.47%) patients received the 3D-CRT regimen. For chemotherapy type before surgery, 289 (89.20%) patients received the capecitabine regimen, and 18 (5.56%) patients received the capecitabine plus platinum regimen. The demographics and clinicopathological characteristics are presented in Table 1.

#### Cox regression analysis of prognostic factors for OS

All variables identified in Table 1 were selected for univariable Cox regression analysis to estimate OS in LARC patients treated with nCRT and TME. In the univariable analysis, there were many variables statistically significant for OS in these patients, including pre-IBIL, smoking history, TRG, vascular invasion, neural invasion, and pre-CA19-9. These statistically significant parameters in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate model. In the multivariate analysis, we found that pre-IBIL (pre-IBIL > 6.2  $\mu$ mol/L, adjusted HR = 1.77, 95%CI: 1.04-3.01, P = 0.035), smoking history (yes, adjusted



Table 1 Clinical characteristics and treatment strategies of local advanced rectal cancer patients				
Characteristic	Pre-IBIL ≤ 6.2 µmol/L ( <i>n</i> = 163)	Pre-IBIL > 6.2 μmol/L ( <i>n</i> = 161)	<i>P</i> value	All patients ( <i>n</i> = 324)
Age, yr			0.305	
< 60	88 (53.99)	97 (60.25)		185 (57.10)
≥ 60	75 (46.01)	64 (39.75)		139 (42.90)
Sex			0.007	
Female	61 (37.42)	37 (22.98)		98 (30.25)
Male	102 (62.58)	124 (77.02)		226 (69.75)
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>			0.317	
< 18.5	7 (4.29)	4 (2.48)		11 (3.40)
18.5-23.9	86 (52.76)	73 (45.34)		159 (49.07)
24.0-27.9	52 (31.90)	66 (40.99)		118 (36.42)
≥ 28.0	18 (11.04)	18 (11.18)		36 (11.11)
Smoking history			0.581	
No	87 (53.37)	80 (49.69)		167 (51.54)
Yes	76 (46.63)	81 (50.31)		157 (48.46)
Drinking history			0.925	
No	96 (58.90)	93 (57.76)		189 (58.33)
Yes	67 (41.10)	68 (42.24)		135 (41.67)
Chronic disease history			0.624	
No	100 (61.35)	104 (64.60)		204 (62.96)
Yes	63 (38.65)	57 (35.40)		120 (37.04)
cTNM stage			0.839	
П	23 (14.11)	25 (15.53)		48 (14.81)
III	140 (85.89)	136 (84.47)		276 (85.19)
nCRT with surgery interval, d			0.573	
31-60	88 (53.99)	79 (49.07)		167 (51.54)
61-90	63 (38.65)	66 (40.99)		129 (39.81)
> 90	12 (7.36)	16 (9.94)		28 (8.64)
Inferior margin			0.373	
≤ 5 cm	109 (66.87)	116 (72.05)		225 (69.44)
> 5 cm	54 (33.13)	45 (27.95)		99 (30.56)
TRG			0.160	
1	14 (8.59)	16 (9.94)		30 (9.26)
2	90 (55.21)	72 (44.72)		162 (50.00)
3	41 (25.15)	43 (26.71)		84 (25.93)
4	18 (11.04)	30 (18.63)		48 (14.81)
Vascular invasion			0.471	
Negative	155 (95.09)	149 (92.55)		304 (93.83)
Positive	8 (4.91)	12 (7.45)		20 (6.17)
Neural invasion			0.846	
Negative	130 (79.75)	126 (78.26)		256 (79.01)

#### Li SF et al. Predictive of pre-IBIL in LARC prognosis

Positive	33 (20.25)	35 (21.74)		68 (20.99)
Radiotherapy type before surgery			0.688	
VMAT	146 (89.57)	140 (86.96)		286 (88.27)
IMRT	14 (8.59)	16 (9.94)		30 (9.26)
3D-CRT	3 (1.84)	5 (3.11)		8 (2.47)
Chemotherapy type before surgery			0.975	
Capecitabine	145 (88.96)	144 (89.44)		289 (89.20)
Capecitabine + platinum	9 (5.52)	9 (5.59)		18 (5.56)
Other	9 (5.52)	8 (4.97)		17 (5.25)
Adjuvant chemotherapy			0.383	
No	57 (34.97)	48 (29.81)		105 (32.41)
Yes	106 (65.03)	113 (70.19)		219 (67.59)
Pre-CEA			0.832	
$\leq 5 \text{ ng/mL}$	91 (55.83)	87 (54.04)		178 (54.94)
> 5 ng/mL	72 (44.17)	74 (45.96)		146 (45.06)
Pre-CA19-9			0.931	
$\leq 27 \text{ U/mL}$	131 (80.37)	131 (81.37)		262 (80.86)
> 27 U/mL	32 (19.63)	30 (18.63)		62 (19.14)

nCRT: Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; pre-IBIL: Indirect bilirubin before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; BMI: Body mass index; TRG: Tumor regression grade; VMAT: Volumetric modulated arc therapy; IMRT: Intensity modulated radiation therapy; 3D-CRT: 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy; pre-CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; pre-CA19-9: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy.

> HR = 0.58, 95%CI: 0.34-1.00. *P* = 0.048), TRG (TRG = 2, adjusted HR = 0.37, 95%CI: 0.18-0.75, *P* = 0.006; TRG = 3, adjusted HR = 0.27, 95% CI: 0.12-0.64, P = 0.003; TRG = 4, adjusted HR = 0.18, 95% CI: 0.06-0.53 *P* = 0.002), vascular invasion (positive, adjusted HR = 2.93, 95% CI: 1.39-6.21, *P* = 0.005), and pre-CA19-9 (pre-CA19-9 > 27 U/mL, adjusted HR = 2.05, 95%CI: 1.16-3.62, P = 0.014) were predictors of OS (Table 2).

#### Cox regression analysis of prognostic factors for DFS

All variables identified in Table 1 were selected for univariable Cox regression analysis to estimate DFS in LARC patients treated with nCRT and TME. Univariable analysis revealed that factors including pre-IBIL, BMI, nCRT with surgery interval, TRG, vascular invasion, and neural invasion were independently associated with DFS in these patients. All statistically significant predictors in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate model. The multivariate analysis revealed that pre-IBIL (pre-IBIL > 6.2 µmol/L, adjusted HR = 1.86, 95% CI: 1.22-2.84, *P* = 0.004), BMI (BMI ≥ 28.0, adjusted HR = 0.19, 95% CI: 0.05-0.66, P = 0.009), nCRT with surgery interval (nCRT with surgery interval = 61-90 d, adjusted HR = 0.63, 95% CI: 0.40-0.99, P = 0.044), TRG (TRG = 2, adjusted HR = 0.43, 95% CI: 0.24-0.78, *P* = 0.005; TRG = 3, adjusted HR = 0.30, 95% CI: 0.15-0.62, *P* = 0.001; TRG = 4, adjusted HR = 0.31, 95% CI: 0.14-0.70, *P* = 0.005), and vascular invasion (positive, adjusted HR = 2.24, 95% CI: 1.13-4.44, P = 0.021) were predictors of DFS (Table 3).

#### Construction of nomograms to predict OS and DFS

We established nomograms for the prediction of the 5-year OS and DFS using the independent variables (Figure 1). Pre-IBIL, smoking history, TRG, vascular invasion, and pre-CA19-9 were statistically significant predictors of OS on Cox multivariate analysis. Pre-IBIL, BMI, nCRT with surgery interval, TRG, and vascular invasion were statistically significant predictors of DFS on Cox multivariate analysis. Therefore, these variables were subsequently included in the nomogram. A weighted total score is calculated from these factors, which is applied to predict the OS and DFS for the LARC patients who received nCRT. We found that patients with a lower pre-IBIL had better OS and DFS.

#### Evaluation of the nomogram

The nomogram for predicting OS and DFS in LARC patients treated with nCRT was developed based on the multivariate model in the cohort. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was analyzed for the



Table 2 Cox regression analysis of	f prognostic factors for ove	rall survival		
Characteristic	Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis	
	HR (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value	HR (95%CI)	P value
Pre-IBIL				
$\leq 6.2 \mu mol/L$	Reference		Reference	
> 6.2 µmol/L	1.71 (1.01-2.87)	0.045	1.77 (1.04-3.01)	0.035
Age, yr				
< 60	Reference			
≥ 60	1.39 (0.84-2.31)	0.199		
Sex				
Female	Reference			
Male	1.16 (0.66-2.04)	0.596		
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>				
< 18.5	Reference			
18.5-23.9	0.74 (0.23-2.43)	0.624		
24.0-27.9	0.57 (0.17-1.93)	0.370		
≥ 28.0	0.27 (0.05-1.32)	0.105		
Smoking history				
No	Reference		Reference	
Yes	0.57 (0.34-0.97)	0.039	0.58 (0.34-1.00)	0.048
Drinking history				
No	Reference			
Yes	0.72 (0.42-1.23)	0.227		
Chronic disease history				
No	Reference			
Yes	1.09 (0.65-1.82)	0.749		
cTNM stage				
П	Reference			
III	2.54 (0.92-6.99)	0.072		
nCRT with surgery interval, day				
31-60	Reference			
61-90	0.61 (0.35-1.07)	0.083		
> 90	0.68 (0.24-1.92)	0.469		
Inferior margin				
≤ 5 cm	Reference			
> 5 cm	0.91 (0.53-1.59)	0.752		
TRG				
1	Reference		Reference	
2	0.35 (0.18-0.67)	0.002	0.37 (0.18-0.75)	0.006
3	0.23 (0.10-0.52)	< 0.001	0.27 (0.12-0.64)	0.003
4	0.17 (0.06-0.48)	< 0.001	0.18 (0.06-0.53)	0.002
Vascular invasion				
Negative	Reference		Reference	



#### Li SF et al. Predictive of pre-IBIL in LARC prognosis

Positive	3.79 (1.86-7.74)	< 0.001	2.93 (1.39-6.21)	0.005
Neural invasion				
Negative	Reference		Reference	
Positive	2.15 (1.26-3.69)	0.005	1.27 (0.69-2.31)	0.442
Radiotherapy type before surgery				
VMAT	Reference			
IMRT	1.68 (0.85-3.35)	0.137		
3D-CRT	0.69 (0.09-4.98)	0.709		
Chemotherapy type before surgery				
Capecitabine	Reference			
Capecitabine + platinum	1.45 (0.57-3.66)	0.431		
Other	1.90 (0.76-4.78)	0.172		
Adjuvant chemotherapy				
No	Reference			
Yes	0.60 (0.36-1.00)	0.050		
Pre-CEA				
$\leq 5 \text{ ng/mL}$	Reference			
> 5 ng/mL	1.44 (0.87-2.39)	0.158		
Pre-CA19-9				
$\leq 27 \text{ U/mL}$	Reference		Reference	
> 27 U/mL	2.05 (1.19-3.54)	0.010	2.05 (1.16-3.62)	0.014

HR: Hazard ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; nCRT: Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; pre-IBIL: Indirect bilirubin before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; BMI: Body mass index; TRG: Tumor regression grade; VMAT: Volumetric modulated arc therapy; IMRT: Intensity modulated radiation therapy; 3D-CRT: 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy; pre-CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; pre-CA19-9: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy.

> cohort, and the AUC values for the 5-year OS and DFS nomograms were 0.7518 and 0.7355, respectively (Figure 2). The model demonstrated good statistical performance for predicting OS and DFS, and calibration curves for the probability of 5-year OS and 5-year DFS indicated satisfactory consistency between actual observation and nomogram prediction (Figure 3).

#### Kaplan-Meier survival curves

In Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, we found that pre-IBIL was related to OS (Figure 4A) and DFS (Figure 4B) in LARC patients treated with nCRT. For OS, the median was 5.28 years. The patients in the pre-IBIL  $\leq$  6.2 µmol/L group had a significantly longer OS than patients in the pre-IBIL > 6.2 µmol/L group (P = 0.042). The 5-year survival rate of the patients in the pre-IBIL  $\leq 6.2 \mu mol/L$  group was 87.27%, higher than that of the patients in the pre-IBIL > 6.2  $\mu$ mol/L group, 78.48%. For DFS, the median DFS was 4.71 years. Additionally, the patients in the pre-IBIL  $\leq$  6.2 µmol/L group also had a significantly longer DFS than patients in the pre-IBIL > 6.2  $\mu$ mol/L group (P = 0.012). The 5-year recurrence rates of patients in the pre-IBIL  $\leq 6.2 \,\mu$ mol/L and pre-IBIL  $> 6.2 \,\mu$ mol/L groups were 76.90% and 64.39%, respectively.

#### DISCUSSION

The nCRT combined with TME is the standard treatment for patients with LARC[16]. Although this treatment regimen has been shown to improve the local control rate in some LARC patients, there are still considerable differences in prognoses among patients[17]. Tumor markers are substances that are synthesized or released by tumor cells themselves or produced by the body in response to tumor cells during the process of tumor development. When these substances reach a certain level, they can indicate the existence of a specific tumor, and the changes in the levels of these substances can be used to monitor the recurrence and progression of the tumor[18]. At present, common tumor markers are not





Figure 1 Nomograms. A: Overall survival; B: Disease-free survival. OS: Overall survival; DFS: Disease-free survival; nCRT: Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; pre-IBIL: Indirect bilirubin before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; BMI: Body mass index; TRG: Tumor regression grade; pre-CA19-9: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy.

> routinely tested in health checkups, which often leads to the missed diagnosis of some patients with early malignant tumors, thus indicating that it is important to identify new tumor markers during routine checkups.

> Previous studies have focused attention on identifying pretreatment blood-based biomarkers and traditional tumor biomarkers, including CEA, CA19-9 and the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. These biomarkers have been proven to have prognostic effects in predicting the response and survival in LARC<sup>[19]</sup>. In recent years, liquid biopsy has received increasing attention in cancer research, and biomarkers based on liquid biopsy have also shown favorable significance in treatment and prognosis prediction[20,21]. For example, a prospective study including 119 Chinese LARC patients identified circulating tumor DNA as a good predictor for risk stratification and for guiding the treatment strategy [22]. However, these new biomarkers are still under investigation, and their clinical application may need to be further studied to obtain a consensus.

> Biochemical tests for blood samples are routinely performed for each hospitalized patient, and bilirubin is one of the most important markers of the biochemical test. Bilirubin is a major toxic metabolite of iron porphyrin compounds in the body, which can cause irreversible damage to the nervous system; however, it has antioxidant properties and can inhibit the oxidation of linoleic acid and phospholipids. Recent studies have shown that bilirubin, as an endogenous antioxidant, can moderately increase the ability of cancer patients to scavenge oxidative free radicals in the body and affect the prognoses of cancer patients. Therefore, bilirubin levels are of great clinical significance as a novel





Figure 2 Nomogram model receiver operating characteristic curve. A: 5-year overall survival; B: 5-year disease-free survival. ROC: Receiver operating characteristic; AUC: Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; OS: Overall survival; DFS: Disease-free survival.



DOI: 10.4251/wjgo.v14.i11.2224 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 3 Nomogram model calibration curves. A: 5-year overall survival; B: 5-year disease-free survival. OS: Overall survival; DFS: Disease-free survival.

Baishideng® WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

November 15, 2022 Volume 14 Issue 11

Table 3 Cox regression analysis of prognostic factors for disease-free survival				
Characteristic	Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis	
	HR (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value	HR (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value
Pre-IBIL				
$\leq 6.2 \mu mol/L$	Reference		Reference	
> 6.2 µmol/L	1.68 (1.12-2.53)	0.013	1.86 (1.22-2.84)	0.004
Age, yr				
< 60	Reference			
≥ 60	1.16 (0.78-1.74)	0.456		
Sex				
Female	Reference			
Male	0.84 (0.55-1.28)	0.414		
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>				
< 18.5	Reference		Reference	
18.5-23.9	0.64 (0.26-1.61)	0.346	0.46 (0.18-1.17)	0.102
24.0-27.9	0.62 (0.24-1.57)	0.312	0.50 (0.19-1.30)	0.157
≥ 28.0	0.25 (0.07-0.87)	0.029	0.19 (0.05-0.66)	0.009
Smoking history				
No	Reference			
Yes	0.72 (0.48-1.08)	0.108		
Drinking history				
No	Reference			
Yes	0.71 (0.47-1.07)	0.103		
Chronic disease history				
No	Reference			
Yes	1.07 (0.72-1.61)	0.732		
cTNM stage				
II	Reference			
III	1.49 (0.80-2.80)	0.210		
nCRT with surgery interval, d				
31-60	Reference		Reference	
61-90	0.64 (0.41-0.99)	0.047	0.63 (0.40-0.99)	0.044
> 90	0.84 (0.40-1.77)	0.655	0.63 (0.29-1.35)	0.235
Inferior margin				
≤5 cm	Reference			
> 5 cm	0.80 (0.51-1.25)	0.318		
TRG				
1	Reference		Reference	
2	0.45 (0.26-0.79)	0.006	0.43 (0.24-0.78)	0.005
3	0.29 (0.15-0.57)	< 0.001	0.30 (0.15-0.62)	0.001
4	0.31 (0.15-0.66)	0.002	0.31 (0.14-0.70)	0.005
Vascular invasion				
Negative	Reference		Reference	



#### Li SF et al. Predictive of pre-IBIL in LARC prognosis

Positive	2.54 (1.32-4.90)	0.005	2.24 (1.13-4.44)	0.021
Neural invasion				
Negative	Reference		Reference	
Positive	1.82 (1.17-2.82)	0.008	1.34 (0.82-2.18)	0.238
Radiotherapy type before surgery				
VMAT	Reference			
IMRT	1.07 (0.57-2.01)	0.836		
3D-CRT	0.83 (0.20-3.37)	0.791		
Chemotherapy type before surgery				
Capecitabine	Reference			
Capecitabine + platinum	0.98 (0.43-2.26)	0.969		
Other	1.56 (0.72-3.37)	0.262		
Adjuvant chemotherapy				
No	Reference			
Yes	0.89 (0.59-1.35)	0.584		
Pre-CEA				
$\leq 5 \text{ ng/mL}$	Reference			
> 5 ng/mL	1.33 (0.89-1.98)	0.158		
Pre-CA19-9				
$\leq 27 \text{ U/mL}$	Reference			
> 27 U/mL	1.47 (0.92-2.33)	0.104		

HR: Hazard ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; nCRT: Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; pre-IBIL: Indirect bilirubin before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; BMI: Body mass index; TRG: Tumor regression grade; VMAT: Volumetric modulated arc therapy; IMRT: Intensity modulated radiation therapy; 3D-CRT: 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy; pre-CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; pre-CA19-9: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy.

tumor biomarker[23-27]. One study demonstrated that the direct bilirubin-to-IBIL ratio was an independent predictor of survival in resectable colorectal cancer patients[28]. Nevertheless, few studies have been conducted to explore the prognostic effect of bilirubin in LARC patients.

In this study, we collected clinical and pathological data of 324 LARC patients treated with nCRT combined with TME and followed up with each of these patients. We performed all factor Cox regression analyses in Table 1, and the characteristics with statistically significant results from the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. The multivariate analysis results showed that pre-IBIL, smoking history, TRG, vascular invasion and pre-CA19-9 were risk factors affecting OS. The multivariate analysis results showed that pre-IBIL, BMI, nCRT with surgery interval, TRG and vascular invasion were risk factors affecting DFS. In addition, we constructed nomograms to evaluate prognoses based on the above indicators.

Our research had the following advantages. First, we found a correlation of pre-IBIL with the prognosis of LARC patients after receiving nCRT, and the prognoses of those patients with pre-IBIL > 6.2 µmol/L were poorer, which indicated that pre-IBIL is a risk factor affecting the prognoses of patients. Second, we performed a Cox regression analysis of pre-IBIL with common characteristics and found that pre-IBIL was associated with the OS and DFS of LARC patients receiving nCRT. We also constructed nomograms that could predict OS and DFS. Third, we collected clinical characteristics, pathological characteristics, treatment information, hematological characteristics and sociological characteristics of patients and considered more comprehensive factors. However, our study had some limitations. First, this was a single-center study, the sample size was relatively small and the results need to be further validated by expanding the sample size. Second, in our study, with the limitations of the retrospective nature, we found an association between a blood sample and oncological outcomes in patients with LARC, and future prospective studies should be conducted to further explore the associations between pre-IBIL and the prognoses of LARC patients.

Zaisbidena® WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 4 Kaplan-Meier curves. A: Overall survival; B: Disease-free survival. nCRT: Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy; pre-IBIL: Indirect bilirubin before neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy.

#### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that pre-IBIL was an independent prognostic factor for OS and DFS in LARC patients treated with nCRT followed by TME. Nomograms incorporating pre-IBIL, BMI, smoking history, nCRT with surgery interval, TRG, vascular invasion, and pre-CA19-9 could be helpful to predict OS and DFS. These findings provide a new direction for future clinical precision treatment and scientific research.

#### **ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

#### Research background

Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (nCRT) has been regarded as the standard treatment for local advanced rectal cancer (LARC). Bilirubin has shown significance in the prognosis of various cancer types, including ovarian cancer and lung cancer. However, the predictive values of indirect bilirubin (IBIL) in the prognoses of LARC patients treated with nCRT remain unknown.

#### **Research motivation**

The present study attempted to identify the prognostic value of IBIL before nCRT (pre-IBIL) in LARC patients and to construct a nomogram based on pre-IBIL to predict the survival of the patients.

#### Research objectives

This study aimed to identify the prognostic value of pre-IBIL in LARC patients and to construct a nomogram to predict their 5-year overall survival (OS) and 5-year disease-free survival (DFS).

#### **Research methods**

A total of 324 LARC patients undergoing nCRT with total mesorectal excision (TME) were enrolled. Preoperative clinical features and postoperative pathological characteristics were collected. A Cox regression analysis was performed, and a Cox-based nomogram was developed to predict OS and DFS. We also assessed the predictive performance of the nomogram with receiver operating characteristic (ROC) and curves calibration plots.

#### **Research results**

In the Cox multivariate regression analysis, we found that pre-IBIL, smoking history, tumor regression grade (TRG), vascular invasion and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 before nCRT were predictors of OS. Furthermore, pre-IBIL, body mass index, nCRT with surgery interval, TRG and vascular invasion were predictors of DFS. Predictive nomograms were developed to predict 5-year OS and 5-year DFS with areas under the ROC curve of 0.7518 and 0.7355, respectively. Good statistical performance on internal validation was shown *via* the calibration plots and ROC curves.

#### **Research conclusions**

Pre-IBIL was an independent prognostic factor for OS and DFS in LARC patients treated with nCRT followed by TME. Nomograms based on pre-IBIL could be helpful for predicting survival in LARC



patients.

#### Research perspectives

Although our single-center study identified the prognostic value of pre-IBIL in LARC patients, a future prospective study with larger samples should be conducted to further explore the association between pre-IBIL and the prognosis of LARC patients.

#### FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Li SF and Zheng J designed the study; Li SF, Wei R and Yu GH performed the research; Li SF, Wei R and Yu GH analyzed the data; Li SF and Wei R wrote the paper; Zheng J revised the manuscript for final submission.

Institutional review board statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College.

Informed consent statement: This study was a retrospective non-interventional study, which did not affect any medical rights of patient and did not additionally increase patient risk. Some of the patients to be included in this study died or were lost to follow-up, and it is objectively impossible to obtain their informed consent. For these reasons, we have applied to the hospital ethics committee for a waiver of informed consent for all patients in this study at the time of project application, which has been approved.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Shuo-Feng Li 0000-0003-2747-9501; Ran Wei 0000-0003-4293-6686; Guan-Hua Yu 0000-0003-4891-6993; Zheng Jiang 0000-0002-1369-3681.

S-Editor: Wang JJ L-Editor: A P-Editor: Wang JJ

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Benson AB, Venook AP, Al-Hawary MM, Arain MA, Chen YJ, Ciombor KK, Cohen S, Cooper HS, Deming D, Garrido-Laguna I, Grem JL, Gunn A, Hoffe S, Hubbard J, Hunt S, Kirilcuk N, Krishnamurthi S, Messersmith WA, Meyerhardt J, Miller ED, Mulcahy MF, Nurkin S, Overman MJ, Parikh A, Patel H, Pedersen K, Saltz L, Schneider C, Shibata D, Skibber JM, Sofocleous CT, Stoffel EM, Stotsky-Himelfarb E, Willett CG, Johnson-Chilla A, Gurski LA. NCCN Guidelines Insights: Rectal Cancer, Version 6.2020. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2020; 18: 806-815 [PMID: 32634771 DOI: 10.6004/jnccn.2020.0032]
- 2 Li Y, Wang J, Ma X, Tan L, Yan Y, Xue C, Hui B, Liu R, Ma H, Ren J. A Review of Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer. Int J Biol Sci 2016; 12: 1022-1031 [PMID: 27489505 DOI: 10.7150/ijbs.15438]
- 3 Keller DS, Berho M, Perez RO, Wexner SD, Chand M. The multidisciplinary management of rectal cancer. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 17: 414-429 [PMID: 32203400 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-020-0275-y]
- Sun Y, Huang Z, Chi P. An inflammation index-based prediction of treatment response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for rectal mucinous adenocarcinoma. Int J Clin Oncol 2020; 25: 1299-1307 [PMID: 32274615 DOI: 10.1007/s10147-020-01670-5
- 5 Sun Y, Zhang Y, Huang Z, Chi P. Prognostic Implication of Negative Lymph Node Count in ypN+ Rectal Cancer after Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy and Construction of a Prediction Nomogram. J Gastrointest Surg 2019; 23: 1006-1014 [PMID: 30187336 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-018-3942-3]
- Li J, Yi CH, Hu YT, Li JS, Yuan Y, Zhang SZ, Zheng S, Ding KF. TNM Staging of Colorectal Cancer Should be Reconsidered According to Weighting of the T Stage: Verification Based on a 25-Year Follow-Up. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016; 95: e2711 [PMID: 26871810 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000002711]
- Gunderson LL, Jessup JM, Sargent DJ, Greene FL, Stewart AK. Revised TN categorization for colon cancer based on 7



national survival outcomes data. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 264-271 [PMID: 19949014 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.24.0952]

- 8 Hashiguchi Y, Hase K, Kotake K, Ueno H, Shinto E, Mochizuki H, Yamamoto J, Sugihara K. Evaluation of the seventh edition of the tumour, node, metastasis (TNM) classification for colon cancer in two nationwide registries of the United States and Japan. Colorectal Dis 2012; 14: 1065-1074 [PMID: 22176600 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2011.02917.x]
- 9 Ji D, Yi H, Zhang D, Zhan T, Li Z, Li M, Jia J, Qiao M, Xia J, Zhai Z, Song C, Gu J. Somatic Mutations and Immune Alternation in Rectal Cancer Following Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy. Cancer Immunol Res 2018; 6: 1401-1416 [PMID: 30282671 DOI: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-17-0630]
- 10 Lee IH, Kang K, Kang BW, Lee SJ, Bae WK, Hwang JE, Kim HJ, Park SY, Park JS, Choi GS, Kim JG. Genetic variations using whole-exome sequencing might predict response for neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced rectal cancer. Med Oncol 2018; 35: 145 [PMID: 30206710 DOI: 10.1007/s12032-018-1202-8]
- Tweedle EM, Khattak I, Ang CW, Nedjadi T, Jenkins R, Park BK, Kalirai H, Dodson A, Azadeh B, Terlizzo M, Grabsch 11 H, Mueller W, Myint S, Clark P, Wong H, Greenhalf W, Neoptolemos JP, Rooney PS, Costello E. Low molecular weight heat shock protein HSP27 is a prognostic indicator in rectal cancer but not colon cancer. Gut 2010; 59: 1501-1510 [PMID: 20947885 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2009.196626]
- 12 Geng Y, Mei Y, Xi Y, Yu J, Meng K, Zhang T, Ma W. Bilirubin Can Be Used as a Prognostic Factor for Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients with EGFR Mutations. Onco Targets Ther 2020; 13: 11089-11095 [PMID: 33149620 DOI: 10.2147/OTT.S266477]
- 13 Xi XX, Wang HL, Chen T, Dai JR, Hou SY, Chen YG. Prognostic value of preoperative serum bilirubin levels in ovarian cancer. Am J Transl Res 2020; 12: 2267-2280 [PMID: 32509218]
- 14 Yao JJ, Kou J, Peng QH, Dong J, Zhang WJ, Lawrence WR, Zhang F, Zhou GQ, Wang SY, Sun Y. Prognostic value of serum bilirubin in southern Chinese patients with advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Clin Chim Acta 2018; 484: 314-319 [PMID: 29860034 DOI: 10.1016/j.cca.2018.05.058]
- Zhang H, Li G, Zhu Z, Zheng Y, Wu Y, Zhang W, Gu N, Wang X, Song X. Serum bilirubin level predicts postoperative overall survival in oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oral Pathol Med 2018; 47: 382-387 [PMID: 29430757 DOI: 10.1111/jop.12693]
- 16 Liu H, Wei R, Li C, Zhao Z, Guan X, Yang M, Liu Z, Wang X, Jiang Z. BMI May Be a Prognostic Factor for Local Advanced Rectal Cancer Patients Treated with Long-Term Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy. Cancer Manag Res 2020; 12: 10321-10332 [PMID: 33116887 DOI: 10.2147/CMAR.S268928]
- 17 Liu H, Li C, Zhao Z, Guan X, Yang M, Liu Z, Tang Y, Jiang Z, Wang X. Safety and Long-Term Effect Assessment of Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Elderly Patients With Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer: A CHN Single-Center Retrospective Study. Technol Cancer Res Treat 2020; 19: 1533033820970339 [PMID: 33161830 DOI: 10.1177/1533033820970339
- Faria SC, Sagebiel T, Patnana M, Cox V, Viswanathan C, Lall C, Qayyum A, Bhosale PR. Tumor markers: myths and 18 facts unfolded. Abdom Radiol (NY) 2019; 44: 1575-1600 [PMID: 30498924 DOI: 10.1007/s00261-018-1845-0]
- 19 Mendis S, To YH, Tie J. Biomarkers in Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer: A Review. Clin Colorectal Cancer 2022; 21: 36-44 [PMID: 34961731 DOI: 10.1016/j.clcc.2021.11.002]
- 20 Alix-Panabières C, Pantel K. Liquid Biopsy: From Discovery to Clinical Application. Cancer Discov 2021; 11: 858-873 [PMID: 33811121 DOI: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-1311]
- Dizdarevic E, Hansen TF, Jakobsen A. The Prognostic Importance of ctDNA in Rectal Cancer: A Critical Reappraisal. 21 Cancers (Basel) 2022; 14 [PMID: 35565381 DOI: 10.3390/cancers14092252]
- 22 Wang Y, Yang L, Bao H, Fan X, Xia F, Wan J, Shen L, Guan Y, Wu X, Xu Y, Shao Y, Sun Y, Tong T, Li X, Cai S, Zhu J, Zhang Z. Utility of ctDNA in predicting response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and prognosis assessment in locally advanced rectal cancer: A prospective cohort study. PLoS Med 2021; 18: e1003741 [PMID: 34464382 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003741
- 23 Gao C, Fang L, Li JT, Zhao HC. Significance and prognostic value of increased serum direct bilirubin level for lymph node metastasis in Chinese rectal cancer patients. World J Gastroenterol 2016; 22: 2576-2584 [PMID: 26937145 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i8.2576
- 24 Jiang D, Shi J, Yuan M, Duan X, Li L, Li Q. Levels of serum bilirubin in small cell lung cancer and non-small cell lung cancer patients. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand) 2018; 64: 71-76 [PMID: 29808804]
- 25 Liu X, Meng QH, Ye Y, Hildebrandt MA, Gu J, Wu X. Prognostic significance of pretreatment serum levels of albumin, LDH and total bilirubin in patients with non-metastatic breast cancer. Carcinogenesis 2015; 36: 243-248 [PMID: 25524924 DOI: 10.1093/carcin/bgu247]
- Sun H, He B, Nie Z, Pan Y, Lin K, Peng H, Xu T, Chen X, Hu X, Wu Z, Wu D, Wang S. A nomogram based on serum 26 bilirubin and albumin levels predicts survival in gastric cancer patients. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 41305-41318 [PMID: 28476041 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.17181]
- Vítek L. [Role of bilirubin in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases and cancer]. Cas Lek Cesk 2016; 155: 10-14 27 [PMID: 27088786]
- Ma Y, Shi L, Lu P, Yao S, Xu H, Hu J, Liang X, Wei S. Creation of a Novel Nomogram Based on the Direct Bilirubin-To-28 Indirect Bilirubin Ratio and Lactate Dehydrogenase Levels in Resectable Colorectal Cancer. Front Mol Biosci 2021; 8: 751506 [PMID: 34746234 DOI: 10.3389/fmolb.2021.751506]



### Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

