World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Oncology*

World J Gastrointest Oncol 2024 March 15; 16(3): 571-1090





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WU

Governation of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Contents

Monthly Volume 16 Number 3 March 15, 2024

EDITORIAL

571 Synchronous gastric and colon cancers: Important to consider hereditary syndromes and chronic inflammatory disease associations

Shenoy S

577 Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio: Markers predicting immune-checkpoint inhibitor efficacy and immune-related adverse events

Jiang QY, Xue RY

583 Early-onset gastrointestinal cancer: An epidemiological reality with great significance and implications Triantafillidis JK, Georgiou K, Konstadoulakis MM, Papalois AE

REVIEW

- 598 Management of obstructed colorectal carcinoma in an emergency setting: An update Pavlidis ET, Galanis IN, Pavlidis TE
- 614 Unraveling the enigma: A comprehensive review of solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas Xu YC, Fu DL, Yang F

MINIREVIEWS

- 630 Roles and application of exosomes in the development, diagnosis and treatment of gastric cancer Guan XL, Guan XY, Zhang ZY
- 643 Prognostic and predictive role of immune microenvironment in colorectal cancer

Kuznetsova O, Fedyanin M, Zavalishina L, Moskvina L, Kuznetsova O, Lebedeva A, Tryakin A, Kireeva G, Borshchev G, Tjulandin S, Ignatova E

653 Pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer Sun KK, Wu YY

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

- 659 N-glycan biosignatures as a potential diagnostic biomarker for early-stage pancreatic cancer Wen YR, Lin XW, Zhou YW, Xu L, Zhang JL, Chen CY, He J
- 670 Expression and significance of pigment epithelium-derived factor and vascular endothelial growth factor in colorectal adenoma and cancer

Yang Y, Wen W, Chen FL, Zhang YJ, Liu XC, Yang XY, Hu SS, Jiang Y, Yuan J



. .	World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology
Conter	Monthly Volume 16 Number 3 March 15, 2024
687	Impact of Alcian blue and periodic acid Schiff expression on the prognosis of gastric signet ring cell carcinoma
	Lin J, Chen ZF, Guo GD, Chen X
	Retrospective Cohort Study
699	Clinical profile and outcomes of hepatocellular carcinoma in primary Budd-Chiari syndrome
	Agarwal A, Biswas S, Swaroop S, Aggarwal A, Agarwal A, Jain G, Elhence A, Vaidya A, Gupte A, Mohanka R, Kumar R, Mishra AK, Gamanagatti S, Paul SB, Acharya SK, Shukla A, Shalimar
716	Chinese herbal medicine decreases incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in diabetes mellitus patients with regular insulin management
	Lai HC, Cheng JC, Yip HT, Jeng LB, Huang ST
732	Combining systemic inflammatory response index and albumin fibrinogen ratio to predict early serious complications and prognosis after resectable gastric cancer
	Ren JY, Wang D, Zhu LH, Liu S, Yu M, Cai H
750	Mucosa color and size may indicate malignant transformation of chicken skin mucosa-positive colorectal neoplastic polyps
	Zhang YJ, Yuan MX, Wen W, Li F, Jian Y, Zhang CM, Yang Y, Chen FL
761	Epidemiology, therapy and outcome of hepatocellular carcinoma between 2010 and 2019 in Piedmont, Italy
	Bracco C, Gallarate M, Badinella Martini M, Magnino C, D'Agnano S, Canta R, Racca G, Melchio R, Serraino C, Polla Mattiot V, Gollè G, Fenoglio L
773	Study on sex differences and potential clinical value of three-dimensional computerized tomography pelvimetry in rectal cancer patients
	Zhou XC, Ke FY, Dhamija G, Chen H, Wang Q
	Retrospective Study
787	High patatin like phospholipase domain containing 8 expression as a biomarker for poor prognosis of colorectal cancer
	Zhou PY, Zhu DX, Chen YJ, Feng QY, Mao YH, Zhuang AB, Xu JM
798	Combining prognostic value of serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 and tumor size reduction ratio in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma
	Xia DQ, Zhou Y, Yang S, Li FF, Tian LY, Li YH, Xu HY, Xiao CZ, Wang W
810	Influence of transcatheter arterial embolization on symptom distress and fatigue in liver cancer patients
	Yang XM, Yang XY, Wang XY, Gu YX
819	T2-weighted imaging-based radiomic-clinical machine learning model for predicting the differentiation of colorectal adenocarcinoma
	Zheng HD, Huang QY, Huang QM, Ke XT, Ye K, Lin S, Xu JH
833	Predictive value of positive lymph node ratio in patients with locally advanced gastric remnant cancer
	Zhuo M, Tian L, Han T, Liu TF, Lin XL, Xiao XY



Conton	World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology
Conten	Monthly Volume 16 Number 3 March 15, 2024
844	Risk of cardiovascular death in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma based on the Fine-Gray model
	Zhang YL, Liu ZR, Liu Z, Bai Y, Chi H, Chen DP, Zhang YM, Cui ZL
857	Preoperatively predicting vessels encapsulating tumor clusters in hepatocellular carcinoma: Machine learning model based on contrast-enhanced computed tomography
	Zhang C, Zhong H, Zhao F, Ma ZY, Dai ZJ, Pang GD
875	Comparison of mismatch repair and immune checkpoint protein profile with histopathological parameters in pancreatic, periampullary/ampullary, and choledochal adenocarcinomas
	Aydın AH, Turhan N
883	Assessment of programmed death-ligand 1 expression in primary tumors and paired lymph node metastases of gastric adenocarcinoma
	Coimbra BC, Pereira MA, Cardili L, Alves VAF, de Mello ES, Ribeiro U Jr, Ramos MFKP
	Observational Study
894	Identification of breath volatile organic compounds to distinguish pancreatic adenocarcinoma, pancreatic cystic neoplasm, and patients without pancreatic lesions
	Tiankanon K, Pungpipattrakul N, Sukaram T, Chaiteerakij R, Rerknimitr R
907	Clinical features and prognostic factors of duodenal neuroendocrine tumours: A comparative study of ampullary and nonampullary regions
	Fang S, Shi YP, Wang L, Han S, Shi YQ
	Clinical and Translational Research
919	Construction of an immune-related gene signature for overall survival prediction and immune infiltration in gastric cancer
	Ma XT, Liu X, Ou K, Yang L
933	Clinical efficacy and pathological outcomes of transanal endoscopic intersphincteric resection for low rectal cancer
	Xu ZW, Zhu JT, Bai HY, Yu XJ, Hong QQ, You J
945	Identification of a novel inflammatory-related gene signature to evaluate the prognosis of gastric cancer patients
	Hu JL, Huang MJ, Halina H, Qiao K, Wang ZY, Lu JJ, Yin CL, Gao F
	Basic Study
968	Verteporfin fluorescence in antineoplastic-treated pancreatic cancer cells found concentrated in mitochondria
	Zhang YQ, Liu QH, Liu L, Guo PY, Wang RZ, Ba ZC
979	Effects of <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> and Moluodan on the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway in mice with precan-
	cerous gastric cancer lesions Wang YM Luo ZW Shu YL Zhou X Wang LO Liang CH Wu CO Li CP



World Journal of Gastrointesti			
Conten	ts Monthly Volume 16 Number 3 March 15, 2024		
991	Mitochondrial carrier homolog 2 increases malignant phenotype of human gastric epithelial cells and		
<i>,,,</i> ,	promotes proliferation, invasion, and migration of gastric cancer cells		
	Zhang JW, Huang LY, Li YN, Tian Y, Yu J, Wang XF		
1006	Ubiquitin-specific protease 21 promotes tumorigenicity and stemness of colorectal cancer by deubiquit- inating and stabilizing ZEB1		
	Lin JJ, Lu YC		
1019	Long non-coding RNA GATA6-AS1 is mediated by N6-methyladenosine methylation and inhibits the proliferation and metastasis of gastric cancer		
	Shen JJ, Li MC, Tian SQ, Chen WM		
1029	CALD1 facilitates epithelial-mesenchymal transition progression in gastric cancer cells by modulating the PI3K-Akt pathway		
	Ma WQ, Miao MC, Ding PA, Tan BB, Liu WB, Guo S, Er LM, Zhang ZD, Zhao Q		
	META-ANALYSIS		
1046	Efficacy and safety of perioperative therapy for locally resectable gastric cancer: A network meta-analysis		
	of randomized clinical trials		
	Kuang ZI, Sun QH, Cao LC, Ma XI, wang JA, Liu KA, Li J		
	SCIENTOMETRICS		
1059	Insights into the history and tendency of glycosylation and digestive system tumor: A bibliometric-based visual analysis		
	Jiang J, Luo Z, Zhang RC, Wang YL, Zhang J, Duan MY, Qiu ZJ, Huang C		
	CASE REPORT		
1076	Managing end-stage carcinoid heart disease: A case report and literature review		
	Bulj N, Tomasic V, Cigrovski Berkovic M		
1084	Hemorrhagic cystitis in gastric cancer after nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel: A case report		
1004	Zhang XJ, Lou J		



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Monthly Volume 16 Number 3 March 15, 2024

ABOUT COVER

Peer Review of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Noha Elkady, MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine Menoufia University, Shibin Elkom 32511, Egypt. drnohaelkady@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology (WJGO, World J Gastrointest Oncol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal oncology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal oncology and covering a wide range of topics including liver cell adenoma, gastric neoplasms, appendiceal neoplasms, biliary tract neoplasms, hepatocellular carcinoma, pancreatic carcinoma, cecal neoplasms, colonic neoplasms, colorectal neoplasms, duodenal neoplasms, esophageal neoplasms, gallbladder neoplasms, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJGO as 3.0; IF without journal self cites: 2.9; 5-year IF: 3.0; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.49; Ranking: 157 among 241 journals in oncology; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 58 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q3. The WJGO's CiteScore for 2022 is 4.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2022: Gastroenterology is 71/149; Oncology is 197/366.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Xiang-Di Zhang; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5204 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
February 15, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Monjur Ahmed, Florin Burada	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
March 15, 2024	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



0 WŰ

World Journal of **Gastrointestinal** Oncology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Oncol 2024 March 15; 16(3): 659-669

DOI: 10.4251/wjgo.v16.i3.659

ISSN 1948-5204 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study N-glycan biosignatures as a potential diagnostic biomarker for earlystage pancreatic cancer

Yan-Rong Wen, Xia-Wen Lin, Yu-Wen Zhou, Lei Xu, Jun-Li Zhang, Cui-Ying Chen, Jian He

Specialty type: Oncology

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Sanyal D, India

Received: October 5, 2023 Peer-review started: October 5, 2023

First decision: December 6, 2023 Revised: December 21, 2023 Accepted: January 18, 2024 Article in press: January 18, 2024 Published online: March 15, 2024



Yan-Rong Wen, Xia-Wen Lin, Jian He, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Medical School, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu Province, China

Yu-Wen Zhou, Lei Xu, Jun-Li Zhang, Cui-Ying Chen, Department of Research and Development, Sysdiagno (Nanjing) Biotech Co., Ltd, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu Province, China

Corresponding author: Jian He, Doctor, PhD, Chief Doctor, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Medical School, Nanjing University, No. 321 Zhongshan Road, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu Province, China. hjxueren@126.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) has a poor prognosis, with a 5-year survival rate of less than 10%, owing to its late-stage diagnosis. Early detection of pancreatic cancer (PC) can significantly increase survival rates.

AIM

To identify the serum biomarker signatures associated with early-stage PDAC by serum N-glycan analysis.

METHODS

An extensive patient cohort was used to determine a biomarker signature, including patients with PDAC that was well-defined at an early stage (stages I and II). The biomarker signature was derived from a case-control study using a casecohort design consisting of 29 patients with stage I, 22 with stage II, 4 with stage III, 16 with stage IV PDAC, and 88 controls. We used multiparametric analysis to identify early-stage PDAC N-glycan signatures and developed an N-glycan signature-based diagnosis model called the "Glyco-model".

RESULTS

The biomarker signature was created to discriminate samples derived from patients with PC from those of controls, with a receiver operating characteristic area under the curve of 0.86. In addition, the biomarker signature combined with cancer antigen 19-9 could discriminate patients with PDAC from controls, with a receiver operating characteristic area under the curve of 0.919. Glyco-model demonstrated favorable diagnostic performance in all stages of PC. The diagnostic sensitivity for stage I PDAC was 89.66%.



CONCLUSION

In a prospective validation study, this serum biomarker signature may offer a viable method for detecting earlystage PDAC.

Key Words: Glycomics; N-glycans; Biomarkers; Pancreatic cancer; Predictive modeling

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This study employed a patient cohort to investigate the N-glycan signature of early-stage pancreatic cancer (PC). Serum N-glycans analysis was conducted to identify the serum biomarker signature associated with early-stage pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), resulting in the identification of nine early-stage PDAC N-glycan signatures. Subsequently, utilizing these biosignatures, a diagnostic model named the "Glyco-model" was developed, demonstrating promising diagnostic performance across all stages of PC. The study revealed that the diagnostic sensitivity for stage I PDAC was determined to be 89.66%. Consequently, this diagnostic model exhibits potential as a prospective strategy for the early detection of PDAC.

Citation: Wen YR, Lin XW, Zhou YW, Xu L, Zhang JL, Chen CY, He J. N-glycan biosignatures as a potential diagnostic biomarker for early-stage pancreatic cancer. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2024; 16(3): 659-669 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/full/v16/i3/659.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v16.i3.659

INTRODUCTION

Among all cancers, pancreatic cancer (PC) is the deadliest, exhibiting the lowest 5-year survival rates[1,2]. The annual incidence of PC continues to increase by approximately 1%[3]. Patients often present with non-specific symptoms such as jaundice, fatigue, changes in bowel habits, and indigestion, which makes it difficult to distinguish them from non-cancer diseases[4]. PC has a poor prognosis, primarily due to late diagnosis, with approximately 20% of patients being diagnosed at an early stage[5]. Therefore, it is imperative to accurately diagnose PC in its early stages.

Early diagnostic biomarkers for PC are lacking. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the most common histological subtype of PC. Serum cancer antigen 19-9 (CA19-9), the most commonly evaluated biomarker of PDAC, has an inadequate specificity[6]. CA19-9 levels exhibit an increase in various other indications, and its absence was observed in patients with Lewisab, a condition that affects 5% of the population[7]. Therefore, CA19-9 alone is not recommended for screening[8] or as an indicator of recurrence[9], but only for disease monitoring after surgical resection[10]. Consequently, cancer diagnostics is increasingly focused on new biomarkers or analytical methods. Multiparametric analysis[11,12] combined with CA19-9[13,14] enhances sensitivity, specificity, precision, and accuracy. The combination of immunoregulatory and cancer-associated protein biomarkers has been shown to distinguish patients with late-stage III and IV PDAC from healthy controls[15]. Biomarker DUPAN-2 can be used to predict the survival outcome of patients with PC, especially in cases where CA19-9 is negative [16]. However, its diagnostic efficacy for early detection of PC is limited. Thus, biomarkers for early-stage PC diagnosis are still lacking.

The tumor markers carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), CA19-9, and CA125 play vital roles in the diagnosis and prognosis of PC[17]. These glycoprotein alterations indicate the potential involvement of abnormal glycosylation in various biological processes[18,19]. Recent advances in glycomics have led to the discovery of unique N- and O-glycans that serve as glycobiomarkers for cancer diagnosis and treatment[20]. Various tumors have altered N-linked sugar chains [21,22]. Some N-linked glycan species and other classes of glycans are associated with PC[23]. Imaging mass spectrometry has been used to evaluate the N-glycome of the human pancreas and PC in a cohort of patients with PDAC, represented by tissue microarrays and whole tissue sections, to describe the differences between PDAC and other abdominal cancers and non-cancerous pancreatic lesions[24]. Using serum N-glycome analysis, patients with PDAC can be differentiated from healthy controls on the basis of glycosylation[25]. The previous study also revealed that the serum N-glycan profile is a promising method for detecting hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis, based on the log ratio of the branching α -1,3 fucosylated triantennary glycan [NA3Fb, glycan peak (GP) 9] to the bigalacto core α -1,6 fucosylated bisecting biantennary glycan (NA2FB, GP7), or the log ratio of GP9 to GP4 (a single galactic core 1,6 fucosylated biantennary glycan, NG1A2F)[26]. However, there are no specific N-glycan glycobiomarkers for the early diagnosis of PC.

In this study, we designed an extensive patient cohort to identify the N-glycan signature, including patients with PDAC that were well-defined at an early stage (stages I and II). The biomarker signature was derived from a case-control study using a case-cohort design consisting of 29 patients with stage I, 22 with stage II, 4 with stage III, 16 with stage IV PDAC, and 88 controls. Serum N-glycan analysis was performed to identify the serum biomarker signatures associated with early-stage PDAC. Using a case-control study, a biomarker signature was created to discriminate between samples derived from patients with PC and those derived from controls. Moreover, the biomarker signature combined with CA19-9 could discriminate patients with PDAC from controls with a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) area under the



WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

curve (AUC) of 0.92. The N-glycan signature discriminated patients with stage I and II PDAC from controls in this independent patient cohort, with a AUC of 0.86.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study designs

This retrospective study recruited 245 patients, including 93 patients with PDAC, 64 with benign pancreatic disease, and 88 healthy participants (Figure 1). This retrospective study performed on PDAC serum samples collected at the Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital was conducted according to the Standards for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies [27]. PDAC was staged according to the TNM staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer on Cancer (eighth edition).

Demographics of study cohorts

The study cohort comprised 93 patients with PDAC, 64 with benign pancreatic disease, and 88 healthy participants (Table 1). The patients were diagnosed using computed tomography and were histologically verified. There were 29 PDAC samples from patients with stage I, 22 with stage II, 4 with stage III, 16 with stage IV, and 22 with unknown stages (Table 1). Blood samples were collected from participants who had not received any anticancer therapy. The inclusion criteria were as follows: Patients within the age range of 18-70 years, diagnosed with PDAC, had not received any prior treatment, were recruited. Serum tumor markers CEA, CA19-9, CA125, CA242, CA724, and alpha fetoprotein (AFP) were elevated.

Study approval

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Drum Tower Hospital, the Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School and conformed to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Laboratory tests

Serum was collected from whole blood using a standard protocol and centrifuged at $10000 \times g$ for 4 min. Laboratory tests, including those for the serum tumor markers CEA, CA19-9, CA125, CA242, CA724, and AFP, were performed at local laboratories according to standard procedures.

Serum N-glycome profiling

Serum glycoprotein N-glycome profiling was performed on the GlyFace (Glycoprofiling by Fluorophore-Assisted Carbohydrate Electrophoresis) glycome detection technology platform provided by SysDiagno (Nanjing) Biotech Co. The results were analyzed using GeneMapper v6.0 software (Applied Biosystems). The height intensities of the nine most intense GPs were detected in all samples and normalized to the total intensity of the measured GPs.

Data analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as medians (interquartile ranges). Categorical variables are expressed as numbers (%). Continuous variables were compared using Student's t-test or one-way analysis of variance. ROC curve analysis and AUC values were used to evaluate the overall diagnostic performance of single markers and diagnostic models. Sensitivities and specificities were calculated using cut-off values optimally selected upon the ROC curves. The t-test (data conforms to a normal distribution and variance homogeneity) or Wilcoxon rank-sum test (not conforms to normal distribution and homogeneity of variance) was performed to compare the two groups. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare variables among multiple groups. All statistical tests were two-sided. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0.

RESULTS

Classifying PDAC with N-glycome profiling signature

The study cohort comprised patients with PDAC, those without PDAC, and healthy controls. The clinical characteristics were comparable between the groups (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). We conducted N-GP profiling in all patients. The representative profiling patterns are shown in Figure 2A. The structures of the nine N-GPs are shown in Figure 2B. Significant changes were observed in three GPs (GP3, GP6, and GP9) in the PDAC group compared to those in the non-PDAC and control groups (Figure 2C). Furthermore, tumor marker concentrations were examined for each group. Tumor markers exhibited significant differences among the three groups (Supplementary Figure 2). The differences in N-glycans among the three groups suggest the potential use of N-glycans as diagnostic markers for PDAC.

Subsequently, we assessed the discriminatory potential of the N-glycan signature in distinguishing between various clinical subgroups of patients diagnosed with PC and those diagnosed with benign pancreatic disease. Nine N-GPs were analyzed in plasma samples from 64 patients with benign pancreatic cysts (benign group) and 93 patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 3). While GP1-GP8 did not differ significantly between patients with benign disease and patients with PDAC, patient with PDAC samples displayed a markedly higher level of the GP9 signal



WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Wen YR et al. N-glycan biomarker for early-stage PDAC

Table 1 Patient demographics and clinical characteristics of the study cohort			
Characteristics	PDAC (<i>n</i> = 93)	Non-PDAC (<i>n</i> = 64)	Control (<i>n</i> = 88)
Men, <i>n</i> (%)	59 (63.4)	35 (54.7)	38 (43.2)
Women, <i>n</i> (%)	34 (36.6)	29 (45.3)	50 (56.8)
Age (yr)	64 (54.74)	54 (40.68)	57 (43, 71)
CEA, n (%)	93 (100)	64 (100)	82 (93.18)
CA19-9, n (%)	93 (100)	64 (100)	69 (78.41)
CA125, n (%)	93 (100)	63 (98.44)	42 (47.73)
CA242, n (%)	93 (100)	63 (98.33)	0 (0.00)
CA724, n (%)	93 (100)	63 (98.44)	0 (0.00)
AFP, <i>n</i> (%)	93 (100)	64 (100)	82 (93.18)
Stage I, <i>n</i> (%)	29 (31.18)	-	-
Stage II, n (%)	22 (23.66)	-	-
Stage III, n (%)	4 (4.30)	-	-
Stage IV, n (%)	16 (17.20)	-	-
Unknown, n (%)	22 (23.66)	-	-

PDAC: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; AFP: Alpha fetoprotein; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA19-9: Cancer antigen 19-9.



Figure 1 Overview of study design and patient cohort. PDAC: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

(Figure 3A). Furthermore, as a control, we investigated the efficacy of tumor markers in distinguishing between malignancies of pancreatic origin and benign pancreatic cysts. We found that CA125, CA19-9, and CA242 could distinguish PC from non-PC (Figure 3B). This implies that there are differences in biomarkers between different types of non-PDAC and PDAC, indicating the potential of N-glycans to differentiate various non-PDAC subtypes from PDAC.

Validation of N-glycan signature as a diagnostic biomarker for early-stage PC in serum from retrospective cohorts of patients with PDAC

To distinguish early-stage PC, the potential of GPs as diagnostic markers in patient serum was verified in a 71-patient cohort. The participants' clinical information regarding age and sex is shown in Supplementary Figure 4. We conducted GPs analyses in blood samples of patients with early stages I-II and advanced clinical stages III-IV to confirm the expression pattern of N-glycans. Both GP2 and GP7 distinguished patients with early- from late-stage (Figure 4A). We also compared the ability of tumor biomarkers to differentiate between patients with early-stage and advanced PDAC. The levels of CA19-9 and CA 242 were capable of distinguishing between patients with early- and late-stage PDAC (Figure 4B). This result implies that N-glycans GP2 and GP7 could be used as biomarkers to differentiate early-stage (I-II) from advanced PDAC (III-IV).

Construction and validation of a diagnostic model to distinguish early-stage PDAC

A multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to build a diagnostic model using GP1, GP2, GP3, GP4, GP5,



Baishidena® WJGO https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 2 N-glycome profile from desialylated serum. A: Typical desialylated N-glycan profiles from the total serum protein in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), patients without PDAC, and healthy participants; B: Structure of nine N-glycan peaks (GPs). GP1 indicates an agalacto core α -1,6 fucosylated biantennary glycan (NGA2F), GP2 indicates an agalacto core α -1,6 fucosylated bisecting bian tennary glycan (NGA2F), GP3 and GP4 indicate a single

Saishideng® WJGO https://www.wjgnet.com

agalacto core α -1,6 fucosylated biantennary glycan (NG1A2F), GP5 indicates a bigalacto biantennary glycan (NA2), GP6 indicates a bigalacto core α -1,6 fucosylated biantennary glycan (NA2F), GP7 indicates a bigalacto core α -1,6 fucosylated bisecting biantennary glycan (NA2FB), GP8 indicates a triantennary glycan (NA3), and GP9 indicates a branching α -1,3 fucosylated triantennary glycan (NA3Fb); C: N-glycan analysis in the three groups of patients. ^a*P* < 0.05, ^b*P* < 0.01. GPs: Glycan peaks; PDAC: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

GP6, GP7and GP9. The diagnostic formula is as follows: Glyco-model = exp(10.696 + 11.368 × GP1 + 121.372 × GP2 - 46.884 × GP3 - 66.918 × GP4 - 15.329 × GP5 - 21.862 × GP6 + 1.177 × GP7 + 47.976 × GP9)/1 + exp(10.696 + 11.368 × GP1 + 121.372 × GP2 - 46.884 × GP3 - 66.918 × GP4 - 15.329 × GP5 - 21.862 × GP6 + 1.177 × GP7 + 47.976 × GP9).

Eighty-eight normal samples, 64 non-PDAC, and 93 PDAC samples were used to construct and validate a diagnostic model for discriminating PDAC from non-PDAC and healthy individuals. To reduce the negative influence of overfitting on the PDAC predictive power, we performed a 10-fold cross-validation on the modeling training set. The training set was further divided into ten folds, of which nine were used for modeling and the remaining were used for validation. Finally, the AUC values representing the optimal diagnostic power from one of the ten-fold cross-validations, AUCROC, were 0.854-0.875 (Figure 5A). Using a cut-off value of 0.28, this model achieved good diagnostic efficiency with a validation AUROC of 0.863 (sensitivity, 84.90%; specificity, 73.00%), indicating that this Glyco-model is a promising method for detecting patients with PDAC (Figure 5B). A comparative analysis was performed to evaluate the diagnostic efficacy of the Glyco-model and tumor markers in discriminating patients with PDAC from those of non-PDAC and healthy individuals, as illustrated in Supplementary Figure 5. The results revealed that N-GP biomarkers had a significantly higher diagnostic AUROC than the tumor markers (Supplementary Figure 5 and Table 2). The concomitant utilization of N-glycans and tumor markers can substantially augment the diagnostic precision for PDAC, as shown in Supplementary Table 1. The combined use of N-glycans and CA19-9 to diagnose PC yielded an AUC value exceeding 0.919.

The potential clinical value of the proposed model is evaluated based on its diagnostic performance. We then compared the diagnostic performance of the Glyco-model at different clinical stages of PDAC. The results showed that the Glyco-model demonstrated favorable diagnostic performance in all PC stages, with sensitivities ranging from 77.27%-90.00% (Table 3). The diagnostic sensitivity for patients with stage I PDAC was 89.66%. These results show that the Glyco-model can achieve high diagnostic ability and potentially benefit patients by enabling an early diagnosis of PC.

In addition, we have conducted a comparative analysis of the diagnostic efficacy of the model across diverse non-PDAC (healthy control and patients with chronic pancreatitis) cohorts to verify the accuracy and sensitivity of the model. The N-glycan biomarker yielded a specificity of 79.6% for distinguishing patients with PC from healthy controls (Table 4).

We then examined the positivity rate for N-glycan (GP-9) in patients with PDAC who tested negative for various tumor markers. Patients with PDAC who tested negative for each tumor marker showed a higher positive rate of N-glycans (Table 5). The sensitivity of N-glycan features exceeded 80%. This suggests that the inclusion of N-glycans in the negative detection of tumor markers may decrease the rate of missed diagnoses in clinical settings.

DISCUSSION

The primary finding of this study was that N-glycome analysis can differentiate individuals with early-stage (stages I and II) PDAC from the control group. The utilization of such a test in the monitoring of: (1) High-risk patients, including those with hereditary PDAC, PC, *etc.*; (2) Patients with late-onset diabetes who have an elevated risk of developing PDAC within the first 3 years of diabetes; and (3) Patients with ambiguous abdominal symptoms may have clinical benefits. The World Health Organization posits that a significant number of patients with cancer can be spared from untimely mortality if timely diagnosis and treatment are administered. Therefore, the development of more sophisticated diagnostic techniques could facilitate the early detection of PDAC.

Differentiating PDAC from pancreatitis can pose a challenge; however, the current investigation demonstrates that the N-glycan analysis model effectively discriminates PDAC from various pancreatic inflammatory conditions. Currently, a comprehensive biological rationale for the use of N-glycans as PC biomarkers remains elusive. However, cancer progression is characterized by incremental modifications in the tumor microenvironment, which may indicate alterations in the blood biomarker profiles. Hence, clinical data were utilized to identify markers that exhibit altered expression patterns during stage progression, specifically displaying varying levels in samples obtained from patients with early or advanced PDAC.

Caution is advised when interpreting our findings because of several limitations of the study design. First, N-glycan marker ascertainment was developed through case-control studies, thereby precluding the knowledge of its efficacy in a surveillance or therapeutic context until a prospective validation study was conducted. Second, because all patient samples were obtained at diagnosis, the behavior of N-glycan markers in patients following surgical tumor removal remains unpredictable. Furthermore, it should be noted that our study included individuals with an established disease status in both the patient and control groups. Although the obtained AUC values were elevated, it is imperative to acknowledge that this does not necessarily translate to an equivalent performance of the marker in pre-diagnostic samples.

Zaishideng® WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 3 Verification of N-glycan peaks for distinguishing malignant pancreatic cancer (pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma) from nonpancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cases. A: N-glycan analysis in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) and non-PDAC (intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm, mucinous cyst neoplasm, pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor, serous cyst adenoma, solid pseudopapillary neoplasm) groups; B: Tumor markers analysis in PDAC and non-PDAC groups. ^aP < 0.05, ^bP < 0.01. GPs: Glycan peaks; PDAC: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; SPN: Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm; PNET: Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor; SCN: Serous cyst adenoma; IPMN: Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm; MCN: Mucinous cyst neoplasm; AFP: Alpha fetoprotein; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA19-9: Cancer antigen 19-9.

Wen YR et al. N-glycan biomarker for early-stage PDAC

Table 2 Diagnostic performance of N-glycans, tumor markers in distinguishing patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma				
Biomarker	AUC	Cut-off	Sensitivity	Specificity
N-glycan	0.86	0.28	84.90%	73.00%
CA19-9	0.75	37	55.91%	95.49%
CEA	0.72	5.0	22.58%	100.00%
CA125	0.76	35	32.26%	98.10%
CA242	0.67	30	30.11%	98.41%
CA724	0.56	6.9	20.43%	87.30%

AUC: Area under the curve; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA19-9: Cancer antigen 19-9.

Table 3 Sensitivity of the Glyco-model in detecting patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma of different stages

Subgroup	Sensitivity
Overall	84.95% (79/93)
Stage I	89.66% (26/29)
Stage II	77.27% (17/22)
Stage III & IV	90.00% (18/20)
Unknown	81.82% (18/22)

Table 4 Sensitivity of the N-glycan model in non-pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma individuals	
Subgroup	Specificity
Overall	73.03% (111/152)
Healthy	79.55% (70/88)
SPN	83.33% (10/12)
PNET	50.00% (5/10)
SCN	91.67% (11/12)
IPMN	50.00% (13/26)
MCN	50.00% (2/4)

SPN: Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm; PNET: Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor; SCN: Serous cyst adenoma; IPMN: Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm; MCN: Mucinous cyst neoplasm.

Table 5 The positivity rate of Glyco-model among patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma with tumor markers-negative

Tumor marker negative	Glyco-model positivity rate
CEA ≤ 5 (72)	87.50% (63/72)
CA19-9 ≤ 37 (41)	82.93% (34/41)
CA125 ≤ 35 (63)	88.89% (56/63)
CA242 ≤ 30 (65)	83.08% (54/65)
$CA724 \le 6.9$ (74)	86.49% (64/74)

CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA19-9: Cancer antigen 19-9.

Zaishideng® WJGO https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 4 Comparative analysis of early-stage and advanced pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. A: Serum glycan peak (GP)2 and GP7 levels differ significantly between early (stage I and II) and advanced (stage III and IV) pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; B: Serum cancer antigen (CA)19-9 and CA242 levels differ significantly between early (stage I and II) and advanced (stage III and IV) pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. ^aP < 0.05, ^bP < 0.01. GPs: Glycan peaks; CA19-9: Cancer antigen 19-9.



Figure 5 Glyco-model for detecting patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. A: Diagnostic model for detecting patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) using the 10-fold cross-validation diagnostic model; B: The diagnostic values for Glyco-model to distinguish patients with PDAC from those of non-PDAC. AUC: Area under the curve.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we conducted a comprehensive case-control study of patients with PDAC, resulting in the identification and validation of an N-glycan biomarker signature. These results indicate that this biomarker signature exhibited a high level of accuracy in detecting blood samples from patients with stage I and II PDAC.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

The absence of diagnostic biomarkers for pancreatic cancer (PC) poses challenges in achieving early detection.

Research motivation

The aim of this study is to identify novel diagnostic markers for the early detection of PC.

Baishidena® WJGO https://www.wjgnet.com

Research objectives

The identification of novel glycan markers holds the potential to differentiate early-stage PC, while the development of corresponding models can facilitate the early diagnosis of PC as well as other pancreatic ailments.

Research methods

Serum N-glycan analysis performed to identify the serum biomarker signatures associated with early-stage pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). A multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to build a diagnostic model.

Research results

The biomarker signature was created to discriminate samples derived from patients with PC from those of controls. Glyco-model demonstrated favorable diagnostic performance in all stages of PC.

Research conclusions

The serum N-glycan biosignatures and the "Glyco-model" offer a viable method for detecting early-stage PDAC.

Research perspectives

There is a desire to develop additional biomarkers that exhibit heightened sensitivity and specificity for PDAC, in order to facilitate early detection.

FOOTNOTES

Co-first authors: Yan-Rong Wen and Xia-Wen Lin.

Co-corresponding authors: Cui-Ying Chen and Jian He.

Author contributions: All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Wen YR and Lin XW contributed equally to this work; Lin XW and He J collected the samples and conducted the data analysis; Zhou YW, Xu L, Zhang JL, and Chen CY performed N-glycans analysis; Wen YR and He J designed the research, analyzed data and wrote the manuscript. He J and Chen CY are the co-corresponding authors of this study. He J and Chen CY were involved in the experimental design and revision of the article. Specifically, He J assumed responsibility for the overall design of the subject, Chen CY focused on the experimental design of the N-glycan analysis.

Supported by fundings for Clinical Trials from the Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital, Medical School of Nanjing University, No. 2021-LCYJ-MS-11.

Institutional review board statement: The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Drum Tower Hospital, the Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School and conformed to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Informed consent statement: Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: Technical appendix, statistical code, and dataset available from the corresponding author at hjxueren@126.com.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement-checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Yan-Rong Wen 0000-0003-1360-456X; Cui-Ying Chen 0000-0002-2136-5725; Jian He 0000-0001-8140-4610.

S-Editor: Wang IJ L-Editor: A P-Editor: Xu ZH

REFERENCES

- 1 Ying H, Dey P, Yao W, Kimmelman AC, Draetta GF, Maitra A, DePinho RA. Genetics and biology of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Genes Dev 2016; 30: 355-385 [PMID: 26883357 DOI: 10.1101/gad.275776.115]
- 2 Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2022. CA Cancer J Clin 2022; 72: 7-33 [PMID: 35020204 DOI:



10.3322/caac.21708]

- 3 Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin 2023; 73: 17-48 [PMID: 36633525 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21763]
- 4 Walter FM, Mills K, Mendonça SC, Abel GA, Basu B, Carroll N, Ballard S, Lancaster J, Hamilton W, Rubin GP, Emery JD. Symptoms and patient factors associated with diagnostic intervals for pancreatic cancer (SYMPTOM pancreatic study): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016; 1: 298-306 [PMID: 28404200 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(16)30079-6]
- 5 Huang B, Huang H, Zhang S, Zhang D, Shi Q, Liu J, Guo J. Artificial intelligence in pancreatic cancer. *Theranostics* 2022; 12: 6931-6954 [PMID: 36276650 DOI: 10.7150/thno.77949]
- 6 Kaur S, Smith LM, Patel A, Menning M, Watley DC, Malik SS, Krishn SR, Mallya K, Aithal A, Sasson AR, Johansson SL, Jain M, Singh S, Guha S, Are C, Raimondo M, Hollingsworth MA, Brand RE, Batra SK. A Combination of MUC5AC and CA19-9 Improves the Diagnosis of Pancreatic Cancer: A Multicenter Study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2017; **112**: 172-183 [PMID: 27845339 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2016.482]
- 7 Gerdtsson AS, Wingren C, Persson H, Delfani P, Nordström M, Ren H, Wen X, Ringdahl U, Borrebaeck CA, Hao J. Plasma protein profiling in a stage defined pancreatic cancer cohort - Implications for early diagnosis. *Mol Oncol* 2016; 10: 1305-1316 [PMID: 27522951 DOI: 10.1016/j.molonc.2016.07.001]
- 8 **Okano K**, Suzuki Y. Strategies for early detection of resectable pancreatic cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 11230-11240 [PMID: 25170207 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i32.11230]
- 9 Locker GY, Hamilton S, Harris J, Jessup JM, Kemeny N, Macdonald JS, Somerfield MR, Hayes DF, Bast RC Jr; ASCO. ASCO 2006 update of recommendations for the use of tumor markers in gastrointestinal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; 24: 5313-5327 [PMID: 17060676 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2006.08.2644]
- 10 Galli C, Basso D, Plebani M. CA 19-9: handle with care. Clin Chem Lab Med 2013; 51: 1369-1383 [PMID: 23370912 DOI: 10.1515/cclm-2012-0744]
- 11 Borrebaeck CA. Precision diagnostics: moving towards protein biomarker signatures of clinical utility in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2017; **17**: 199-204 [PMID: 28154374 DOI: 10.1038/nrc.2016.153]
- 12 Hanash SM, Pitteri SJ, Faca VM. Mining the plasma proteome for cancer biomarkers. *Nature* 2008; 452: 571-579 [PMID: 18385731 DOI: 10.1038/nature06916]
- Brand RE, Nolen BM, Zeh HJ, Allen PJ, Eloubeidi MA, Goldberg M, Elton E, Arnoletti JP, Christein JD, Vickers SM, Langmead CJ, Landsittel DP, Whitcomb DC, Grizzle WE, Lokshin AE. Serum biomarker panels for the detection of pancreatic cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2011; 17: 805-816 [PMID: 21325298 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-0248]
- 14 Kim J, Bamlet WR, Oberg AL, Chaffee KG, Donahue G, Cao XJ, Chari S, Garcia BA, Petersen GM, Zaret KS. Detection of early pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma with thrombospondin-2 and CA19-9 blood markers. *Sci Transl Med* 2017; 9 [PMID: 28701476 DOI: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aah5583]
- 15 Wingren C, Sandström A, Segersvärd R, Carlsson A, Andersson R, Löhr M, Borrebaeck CA. Identification of serum biomarker signatures associated with pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res* 2012; 72: 2481-2490 [PMID: 22589272 DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-2883]
- 16 Omiya K, Oba A, Inoue Y, Kobayashi K, Wu YHA, Ono Y, Sato T, Sasaki T, Ozaka M, Sasahira N, Ito H, Saiura A, Takahashi Y. Serum DUPAN-2 could be an Alternative Biological Marker for CA19-9 Nonsecretors with Pancreatic Cancer. *Ann Surg* 2023; 277: e1278-e1283 [PMID: 35081567 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.00000000005395]
- 17 Zhang Y, Yang C, Cheng H, Fan Z, Huang Q, Lu Y, Fan K, Luo G, Jin K, Wang Z, Liu C, Yu X. Novel agents for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: emerging therapeutics and future directions. *J Hematol Oncol* 2018; 11: 14 [PMID: 29386069 DOI: 10.1186/s13045-017-0551-7]
- 18 Agrawal P, Fontanals-Cirera B, Sokolova E, Jacob S, Vaiana CA, Argibay D, Davalos V, McDermott M, Nayak S, Darvishian F, Castillo M, Ueberheide B, Osman I, Fenyö D, Mahal LK, Hernando E. A Systems Biology Approach Identifies FUT8 as a Driver of Melanoma Metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 2017; **31**: 804-819.e7 [PMID: 28609658 DOI: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.05.007]
- 19 Song M, Bai H, Zhang P, Zhou X, Ying B. Promising applications of human-derived saliva biomarker testing in clinical diagnostics. Int J Oral Sci 2023; 15: 2 [PMID: 36596771 DOI: 10.1038/s41368-022-00209-w]
- 20 Vajaria BN, Patel PS. Glycosylation: a hallmark of cancer? Glycoconj J 2017; 34: 147-156 [PMID: 27975160 DOI: 10.1007/s10719-016-9755-2]
- 21 Kyselova Z, Mechref Y, Al Bataineh MM, Dobrolecki LE, Hickey RJ, Vinson J, Sweeney CJ, Novotny MV. Alterations in the serum glycome due to metastatic prostate cancer. J Proteome Res 2007; 6: 1822-1832 [PMID: 17432893 DOI: 10.1021/pr060664t]
- 22 Abbott KL, Nairn AV, Hall EM, Horton MB, McDonald JF, Moremen KW, Dinulescu DM, Pierce M. Focused glycomic analysis of the Nlinked glycan biosynthetic pathway in ovarian cancer. *Proteomics* 2008; 8: 3210-3220 [PMID: 18690643 DOI: 10.1002/pmic.200800157]
- 23 Drake RR. Glycosylation and cancer: moving glycomics to the forefront. Adv Cancer Res 2015; 126: 1-10 [PMID: 25727144 DOI: 10.1016/bs.acr.2014.12.002]
- 24 McDowell CT, Klamer Z, Hall J, West CA, Wisniewski L, Powers TW, Angel PM, Mehta AS, Lewin DN, Haab BB, Drake RR. Imaging Mass Spectrometry and Lectin Analysis of N-Linked Glycans in Carbohydrate Antigen-Defined Pancreatic Cancer Tissues. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2021; 20: 100012 [PMID: 33581409 DOI: 10.1074/mcp.RA120.002256]
- Vreeker GCM, Hanna-Sawires RG, Mohammed Y, Bladergroen MR, Nicolardi S, Dotz V, Nouta J, Bonsing BA, Mesker WE, van der Burgt YEM, Wuhrer M, Tollenaar RAEM. Serum N-Glycome analysis reveals pancreatic cancer disease signatures. *Cancer Med* 2020; 9: 8519-8529 [PMID: 32898301 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.3439]
- 26 Cong M, Ou X, Huang J, Long J, Li T, Liu X, Wang Y, Wu X, Zhou J, Sun Y, Shang Q, Chen G, Ma H, Xie W, Piao H, Yang Y, Gao Z, Xu X, Tan Z, Chen C, Zeng N, Wu S, Kong Y, Liu T, Wang P, You H, Jia J, Zhuang H. A Predictive Model Using N-Glycan Biosignatures for Clinical Diagnosis of Early Hepatocellular Carcinoma Related to Hepatitis B Virus. *OMICS* 2020; 24: 415-423 [PMID: 32522092 DOI: 10.1089/omi.2020.0055]
- 27 Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, Gatsonis CA, Glasziou PP, Irwig L, Lijmer JG, Moher D, Rennie D, de Vet HC, Kressel HY, Rifai N, Golub RM, Altman DG, Hooft L, Korevaar DA, Cohen JF; STARD Group. STARD 2015: an updated list of essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies. *BMJ* 2015; **351**: h5527 [PMID: 26511519 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.h5527]

Saishideng® WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

