# World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

World J Gastrointest Endosc 2022 December 16; 14(12): 739-794





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WĴ

# GEWorld Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscor

#### Contents

#### Monthly Volume 14 Number 12 December 16, 2022

#### **OPINION REVIEW**

739 Role of multidetector computed tomography angiography in non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding: A comprehensive review

Martino A, Di Serafino M, Amitrano L, Orsini L, Pietrini L, Martino R, Menchise A, Pignata L, Romano L, Lombardi G

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

748 Endoscopic ultrasound-guided diagnosis and treatment of gastric varices

Yang J, Zeng Y, Zhang JW

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Retrospective Cohort Study**

759 Effectiveness of early colonoscopy in patients with colonic diverticular hemorrhage: A single-center retrospective cohort study

Ichita C, Shimizu S, Sasaki A, Sumida C, Nishino T, Kimura K

#### **Observational Study**

769 Our initial single port robotic cholecystectomy experience: A feasible and safe option for benign gallbladder diseases

Rasa HK, Erdemir A

#### **Randomized Clinical Trial**

777 High-flow oxygen via oxygenating mouthguard in short upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: A randomised controlled trial

Be KH, Zorron Cheng Tao Pu L, Pearce B, Lee M, Fletcher L, Cogan R, Peyton P, Vaughan R, Efthymiou M, Chandran S

#### **CASE REPORT**

789 Colonic schistosomiasis: A case report

Koulali H, Zazour A, Khannoussi W, Kharrasse G, Ismaili Z



#### World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

#### Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 12 December 16, 2022

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, Chien-Huan Chen, MD, PhD, Professor, Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S Euclid Ave, St. Louis, MO 63110, United States. chen330@wustl.edu

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (WJGE, World J Gastrointest Endosc) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal endoscopy with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

*WJGE* mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal endoscopy and covering a wide range of topics including capsule endoscopy, colonoscopy, double-balloon enteroscopy, duodenoscopy, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, endosonography, esophagoscopy, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastroscopy, laparoscopy, natural orifice endoscopic surgery, proctoscopy, and sigmoidoscopy.

#### **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJGE is now abstracted and indexed in Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJGE as 0.33.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Yun-Xiaojiao Wu.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5190 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 15, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Anastasios Koulaouzidis, Bing Hu, Sang Chul Lee, Joo Young Cho	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE December 16, 2022	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



E WŪ

World Journal of *Gastrointestinal* Endoscopy

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Endosc 2022 December 16; 14(12): 759-768

DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v14.i12.759

ISSN 1948-5190 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### **Retrospective Cohort Study**

## Effectiveness of early colonoscopy in patients with colonic diverticular hemorrhage: A single-center retrospective cohort study

Chikamasa Ichita, Sayuri Shimizu, Akiko Sasaki, Chihiro Sumida, Takashi Nishino, Karen Kimura

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

#### Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Fusaroli P, Italy; Teramoto-Matsubara OT, Mexico

Received: October 11, 2022 Peer-review started: October 11, 2022 First decision: October 26, 2022 Revised: November 1, 2022 Accepted: November 22, 2022 Article in press: November 22, 2022 Published online: December 16,



2022

Chikamasa Ichita, Akiko Sasaki, Chihiro Sumida, Takashi Nishino, Karen Kimura, Department of Gastroenterology Medicine Center, Shonan Kamakura General Hospital, Kamakura 247-8533, Kanagawa, Japan

Chikamasa Ichita, Sayuri Shimizu, Department of Health Data Science, Yokohama City University, Yokohama 236-0027, Kanagawa, Japan

Corresponding author: Chikamasa Ichita, MD, Doctor, Department of Gastroenterology Medicine Center, Shonan Kamakura General Hospital, 1370-1, Okamoto, Kamakura 247-8533, Kanagawa, Japan. ichikamasa@yahoo.co.jp

#### Abstract

#### BACKGROUND

Current guidelines recommend colonoscopy within 24 h for acute lower gastrointestinal bleeding; however, the evidence in support for colonic diverticular hemorrhage (CDH) indications remains insufficient.

#### AIM

To investigate the effectiveness of early colonoscopy on the length of hospital stay for CDH patients.

#### **METHODS**

We conducted a single-center retrospective cohort study. Patients who underwent colonoscopy within 24 h of presentation (early group) were compared with those who underwent colonoscopy beyond 24 h of presentation (elective group). The primary outcome was the length of hospital stay, and secondary outcomes were the identification of stigmata of recent hemorrhage (SRH), rebleeding, red blood cell transfusion more than 4 units, and interventional radiology and abdominal surgery after colonoscopy.

#### RESULTS

We identified 574 CDH cases. Patients were divided into the early (n = 328) and elective (n = 226) groups. After propensity score matching, 191 pairs were generated. The length of hospital stay did not significantly differ between the two groups (early group *vs* elective group; median, 7 *vs* 8 d; P = 0.10). The early group had a significantly high identification of SRH (risk difference, 11.6%; 95% CI: 2.7 to 20.3; P = 0.02). No significant differences were found in the rebleeding (risk difference, 4.7%; 95%CI: -4.1 to 13.5; P = 0.35), red blood cell transfusion more



than 4 units (risk difference, 1.6%; 95% CI: -7.5 to 10.6; P = 0.82), and interventional radiology and abdominal surgery rate after colonoscopy (risk difference, 0.5%; 95% CI: -2.2 to 3.2; P = 1.00).

#### **CONCLUSION**

Early colonoscopy within 24 h, on arrival for CDH, could not improve the length of hospital stay.

Key Words: Colonic diverticular hemorrhage; Colonic diverticular bleeding; Diverticular hemorrhage; Diverticular bleeding; Early colonoscopy; Colonoscopy

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Current guidelines recommend colonoscopy within 24 h for acute lower gastrointestinal bleeding; however, the evidence in support for colonic diverticular hemorrhage (CDH) indications remains insufficient. We investigate the effectiveness of early colonoscopy on the length of hospital stay for CDH. The purpose of the study was to compare the length of hospital stay for CDH by dividing patients into two groups: An early group who underwent colonoscopy within 24 h and an elective group who underwent colonoscopy beyond 24 h and analysis was performed using propensity score matching. Early colonoscopy did not improve the length of hospital stay.

Citation: Ichita C, Shimizu S, Sasaki A, Sumida C, Nishino T, Kimura K. Effectiveness of early colonoscopy in patients with colonic diverticular hemorrhage: A single-center retrospective cohort study. World J Gastrointest Endosc 2022; 14(12): 759-768

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/full/v14/i12/759.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4253/wjge.v14.i12.759

#### INTRODUCTION

Among cases of acute lower gastrointestinal bleeding (ALGIB), colonic diverticular hemorrhage (CDH) is the most common, accounting for more than 60% of cases[1,2]. The clinical presentation of diverticular hemorrhage is usually hematochezia without fever or abdominal pain[3], and the diagnosis can be made with computed tomography (CT) findings, but colonoscopy is recommended for a definitive diagnosis [4,5].

Although various studies, including randomized controlled trials (RCTs)[6-9], have shown that current guidelines recommend colonoscopy within 24 h for ALGIB[2,4,5], no clear evidence has been established for CDH alone. The percentage of spontaneous hemostasis for CDH was as high as 60%-90% [2,10-12], while the prevalence of rebleeding was reported to be as high as 13%-48%[13]. Even if the source of bleeding is identified by early colonoscopy, it is unclear whether early colonoscopy reduces hospital stay.

Emergency colonoscopy is often difficult to perform because of colon preparation and personnel availability for the procedure. The purpose of this study was to determine whether early colonoscopy for diverticular hemorrhage improves hospital stay.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study design

This was a single-center, retrospective cohort study.

#### Patient selection

We included patients who presented to Shonan Kamakura General Hospital with hematochezia and underwent colonoscopy with a diagnosis of diverticular hemorrhage over a 5-year period from January 2017 to December 2021. Colonic diverticular hemorrhage was defined as 1) When the stigmata of recent hemorrhage (SRH) were found in the diverticulum<sup>[14]</sup> (Figures 1 and 2) When the colonoscopic findings ruled out diseases other than CDH.

#### Exposure

Patients were divided into early and elective groups. The early group was defined as patients who underwent colonoscopy within 24 h of arrival and the elective group was defined as patients who underwent colonoscopy beyond 24 h of arrival.





DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v14.i12.759 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 1 Image of Stigmata of recent hemorrhage. A: Active bleeding; B: Non-bleeding visible vessel.



DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v14.i12.759 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 2 Patient flow.

#### Exclusion criteria

Patients who underwent interventional radiology (IVR) or abdominal surgery prior to colonoscopy were excluded. Patients for which variables could not be obtained, such as time from visit to colonoscopy, were also excluded. Patients who presented without hemorrhagic shock but developed hemorrhagic shock during follow-up and were allocated to the early colonoscopy group were excluded because they were allocated to the early colonoscopy group due to deterioration of their condition, which may have disadvantaged the early group.

#### Variables and outcomes

Variables included age, sex, body mass index, smoking history, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group



performance status (PS) over 3[15], comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes mellites, coronary artery disease, chronic kidney disease, hemodialysis), and the use of medications (antithrombotics and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, shock vitality at presentation, contrast CT findings, and blood sampling data (hemoglobin under 10 g/dL and platelet under 10000 /  $\mu$ L). Body mass index was categorized as underweight (< 18.5), normal weight (18.5-24.9), overweight (25-29.9), and obese ( $\geq$  30). Smoking history was categorized as current, past, never, or no information. PS was determined by the condition of the patient at the time of the visit. Comorbidities were ascertained from the patient's medical history and medications at the time of presentation, and creatinine over 1.5 mg/dL was defined as chronic kidney disease. Antithrombotics use was defined as the prescription of aspirin, thienopyridine, warfarin, and direct oral anticoagulants. Shock vitality was defined as a shock index over 1 at presentation[16]. Contrast CT findings were classified as: (1) With an extravascular leak; (2) without an extravascular leak; or (3) without contrast CT, according to the contrast CT taken at the time of presentation. Extravascular leakage was defined as leakage of contrast medium into the colon at least in the delayed phase.

The primary outcome was the length of hospital stay. Secondary outcomes included the identification percentage of SRH[14], rebleeding, red blood cell transfusion more than 4 units, and the IVR and abdominal surgery after colonoscopy. IVR and abdominal surgery were defined as those performed to control diverticular bleeding or to control colonoscopy-related complications. The observation period for the outcome was during hospitalization.

#### Statistical analysis

We performed a propensity score matching analysis between the early and elective groups. This method can minimize the effect of selection bias and imbalances in patient backgrounds between the groups [17]. We estimated propensity scores with a logistic regression using early colonoscopy as a dependent variable and all covariates as independent variables. A one-to-one propensity score matching was performed utilizing the nearest neighbor method without replacement. The caliper width was set at 20% of the standard deviation of the propensity scores on the logit scale. Balances in baseline variables using standardized mean differences were also examined and values of < 0.1 were considered balanced[17].

In addition, two analyses were performed as sensitivity analyses. First, we performed an analysis in which the time to exposure was changed. The group with a time from visit to a colonoscopy of fewer than 12 h was defined as the early group (< 12 h), and the group with a time of 12 h or more was defined as the elective group ( $\geq$  12 h). Propensity score matching was used for analysis in the same approach as in the main analysis. Second, we performed a multivariate analysis using the same covariates. We performed multivariable linear regression analyses for the length of hospital stay and performed multivariable logistic regression analyses for the identification of SRH, rebleeding, red blood cell transfusions more than 4 units, and IVR and abdominal surgery after colonoscopy.

Continuous variables are reported using medians and interquartile ranges, and categorical variables are reported using numbers and percentages. Continuous variables were compared using Mann-Whitney U tests and categorical variables were compared using chi-square tests. The risk difference with 95% confidence intervals (CI) was calculated for binary outcomes. We also calculated odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% CIs in the multivariable analysis. The two-sided significance level for all tests was P < 0.05. All analyses were performed using EZR version 1.55[18], a package for R statistical software (https://www.r-project.org/). More precisely, it is a modified version of R commander designed to add statistical functions frequently used in biostatistics.

#### Ethics

All procedures were performed in accordance with the ethical standards established in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. The study was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of the Future Medical Research Center Ethical Committee (IRB No. TGE01304-024). Due to the observational study based on medical records without using samples taken from the human body, informed consent was obtained from all participants through the opt-out method on our hospital website.

#### RESULTS

During the study period, 573 CDH cases were identified. After applying the defined exclusion criteria, 557 cases were included in the present study. The patients were divided into the early (n = 328) and elective (n = 226) groups. One-to-one propensity score matching created 191 pairs of patients (Figure 2).

Baseline characteristics of eligible patients before and after propensity score matching are provided in Table 1. Before propensity score matching, sex, smoking history, shock vitals at presentation, and contrast CT findings were unbalanced, especially contrast CT findings were highly unbalanced. After propensity score matching, the baseline characteristics of both groups were nearly balanced.

Table T Fallent background beit	ore and after properts	ity score matching				
	Before propensity score matching After pro			After propensity sco	ore matching	
	Early group (< 24 h)	Elective group (≥ 24 h)	SMD	Early group (< 24 h)	Elective group (≥ 24 h)	SMD
Variables	n = 328	<i>n</i> = 226		<i>n</i> = 191	<i>n</i> = 191	
Age, yr, median (IQR)	79.0 (71.0-84.0)	79.0 (72.3-84.0)	0.047	78.0 (70.0-84.0)	79.0 (71.5-84.0)	0.057
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	220 (67.1)	135 (59.7)	0.153	132 (69.1)	126 (66.0)	0.067
Body mass index, <i>n</i> (%)			0.087			0.094
< 18.5	46 (14.0)	18 (8.0)		15 (7.9)	18 (9.4)	
18.5-24.9	210 (64.0)	153 (67.7)		124 (64.9)	128 (67.0)	
25-29.9	76 (23.2)	76 (23.2)		45 (23.6)	39 (20.4)	
≥ 30	12 (3.7)	9 (4.0)		3 (1.6)	4 (2.1)	
Smoking			0.162			0.075
Current, n (%)	45 (13.7)	25 (11.1)		23 (12.0)	24 (12.6)	
Past, <i>n</i> (%)	104 (31.7)	64 (28.3)		64 (33.5)	58 (30.4)	
Never, <i>n</i> (%)	169 (51.5)	133 (58.8)		101 (52.9)	105 (55.0)	
No information, <i>n</i> (%)	10 (3.0)	4 (1.8)		3 (1.6)	4 (2.1)	
Performance status $\geq$ 3, <i>n</i> (%)	34 (10.4)	20 (8.8)	0.051	15 (7.9)	20 (10.5)	0.091
Comorbidities						
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)	210 (64.0)	152 (67.3)	0.051	124 (64.9)	122 (63.9)	0.022
Diabetes mellitus, <i>n</i> (%)	67 (20.4)	51 (22.6)	0.052	41 (21.5)	37 (19.4)	0.052
Coronary artery disease, n (%)	92 (28.0)	67 (29.6)	0.035	63 (33.0)	57 (29.8)	0.068
Chronic kidney disease, n (%)	33 (10.1)	28 (12.4)	0.074	22 (11.5)	25 (13.1)	0.048
Hemodialysis, n (%)	2 (0.6)	5 (2.2)	0.136	2 (1.0)	4 (2.1)	0.084
Medication						
Antithrombotics, n (%)	123 (37.5)	80 (35.4)	0.044	79 (41.4)	68 (35.6)	0.119
NSAIDs, $n$ (%)	14 (4.3)	14 (6.2)	0.087	8 (4.2)	9 (4.7)	0.025
Shock vitality at presentation, $n$ (%)	28 (8.5)	12 (5.3)	0.127	9 (4.7)	12 (6.3)	0.069
Contrast CT findings			0.811			0.027
With an extravascular leak, $n$ (%)	129 (39.3)	17 (7.5)		17 (8.9)	17 (8.9)	
Without an extravascular leak, <i>n</i> (%)	159 (48.5)	170 (75.2)		138 (72.3)	140 (73.3)	
Without contrast CT, <i>n</i> (%)	40 (12.2)	39 (17.3)		36 (18.8)	34 (17.8)	
Blood sampling data						
Hemoglobin < 10 g/dL, $n$ (%)	84 (25.6)	61 (27.0)	0.031	54 (28.3)	51 (26.7)	0.035
Platelet < 10000 / $\mu$ L, <i>n</i> (%)	4 (1.2)	3 (1.3)	0.01	2 (1.0)	2 (1.0)	< 0.001

CT: Computed tomography; SMD: Standardized mean difference; IQR: interquartile range; NSAIDs: Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs.

Table 2 shows outcomes after propensity score matching. Length of hospital stay did not significantly differ between the two groups (early group vs elective group; median, 7 vs 8 d; P = 0.10). Among the secondary outcomes, the identification percentage of SRH was significantly higher in the early group (32.5% in the early group vs 20.9% in the elective group; risk difference, 11.6%; 95% CI: 2.7 to 20.3; P =0.02). The rebleeding (28.8% vs 24.1%, respectively; risk difference, 4.7%; 95% CI: -4.1 to 13.5; P = 0.35), red blood cell transfusions more than 4 units (29.3% vs 27.7%, respectively; risk difference, 1.6%; 95% CI: -7.5 to 10.6; P = 0.82), and IVR and abdominal surgery after colonoscopy (2.1% vs 1.6%, respectively; risk difference, 0.5%; 95%CI: -2.2 to 3.2; P = 1.00) were not significantly different between the two groups.

Table 2 Outcomes of the main analysis				
Outcomes	Early group (< 24 h)	Elective group (≥ 24 h)	Difference (95%CI)	P value
Primary outcome				
Length of hospital stay, days, median (IQR)	7 (7–9)	8 (7- 9.5)		0.10
Secondary outcomes				
Identification of stigmata of recent hemorrhage (%)	32.5 (62/191)	20.9 (40/191)	11.6 (2.7 to 20.3)	0.02
Rebleeding (%)	28.8 (55/191)	24.1 (46/191)	4.7 (-4.1 to 13.5)	0.35
Red blood cell transfusion $\geq 4$ units (%)	29.3 (56/191)	27.7 (53/191)	1.6 (-7.5 to 10.6)	0.82
Interventional radiology and abdominal surgery (%)	2.1 (4/191)	1.6 (3/191)	0.5 (-2.2 to 3.2)	1.00

CI: Confidence interval; IQR: Interquartile range

The results of the sensitivity analysis adopted 12 h as the exposure time, which was similar to those of the main analysis, however, the identification of SRH was different from that of the main analysis, and the superiority of early colonoscopy could not be demonstrated (Table 3). Sensitivity analyses with multivariate analysis showed similar results to the main analysis (Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION

The results of this study showed no significant difference in the length of hospital stay between early colonoscopy within 24 h and elective colonoscopy. Sensitivity analyses also showed similar results, indicating the robustness of the results. In contrast, the identification percentage of SRH, although a sensitivity analysis adopting an exposure time of 12 h did not show any advantage, was significantly higher in the early group. However, early colonoscopy did not indicate significant differences in rebleeding, red blood cell transfusion more than 4 units, and IVR and abdominal surgery after colonoscopy.

The randomized control trial (RCT) investigating the benefit of early colonoscopy, which currently has the most robust evidence, is a multicenter study published in 2020[9]. In this RCT, they found an increased identification percentage of SRH in the early group, but no significant difference in the rebleeding or length of hospital stay. Similar to our study, they were unable to demonstrate the benefit of early colonoscopy within 24 h. Although we did not recognize any RCTs that investigated the usefulness of early colonoscopy for CDH because definitive diagnosis is difficult to make before colonoscopy, we did recognize a large, receipt-based observational study in the United States (n =20,100)[19]. In this United States study, early colonoscopy within 24 h also increased rebleeding and readmission. Some of the results indicated a disadvantage of early colonoscopy. There may be several reasons for this result. In case of the receipt database study: (1) It was difficult to obtain important information such as imaging information; (2) It did not ensure accurate diagnosis; and (3) It was difficult to obtain information on an hourly scale. In the present study: (1) Although various confounding factors can be compensated for with surrogate markers, confounding factors such as extravascular leakage findings on contrast CT could not be adequately addressed, which was important in this study; and (2) The accuracy of the diagnosis itself is likely to be unclear for diseases for which validation studies are insufficient. In such cases, the diagnosis may be incorrect if factors other than ICD-10 codes are not used appropriately. The Receipt Database Study can provide data on a daily scale, but it is difficult to provide data on an hourly scale. If the procedure was performed on the same day of admission, the range would be from 0 to 47 h, depending on the time at which the patient was admitted to the hospital. Few studies have evaluated the appropriate colonoscopy time for CDH. Although the present study was an observational study conducted at a single institution, the covariates were appropriately selected and adjusted, and robustness was demonstrated in the sensitivity analysis.

A possible reason for a prolonged length of hospital stay despite the identification of the source of bleeding in our study is the high rebleeding. Table 5 shows the hemostatic methods used in endoscopic hemostasis at the time of the main analysis of this study. In this study, the most common method of hemostasis in both the early and elective groups was the zipper clipping method. As shown in Table 6, the rebleeding of the zipper clipping method was considerably higher than that of other hemostatic techniques. In contrast, the direct clipping method and endoscopic band ligation (EBL) method have a significantly lower rebleeding (direct clipping method vs zippier clipping method vs EBL method; 9.3% vs 45.1% vs 10.3%). Especially for the EBL method, its low rebleeding and safety have been reported in recent years [20-24]. The general adoption of these hemostatic methods could improve rebleeding and shorten hospital stays. The number of EBL method cases in this study was inadequate because we



Table 3 Results of sensitivity analysis for a colonoscopy exposure time of 12 h				
Outcomes	Early group (< 12 h)	Elective group (≥ 12 h)	Difference (95%CI)	P value
Primary outcome				
Length of hospital stay, median (IQR)	7 (6-9)	8 (7-9)		0.09
Secondary outcomes				
Identification of stigmata of recent hemorrhage (%)	40.8 (51/125)	33.6 (42/125)	7.2 (-4.7 to 19.1)	0.30
Rebleeding (%)	37.6 (47/125)	25.6 (32/125)	12.0 (0.6 to 23.4)	0.06
Red blood cell transfusion $\geq 4$ units (%)	30.4 (38/125)	28.8 (36/125)	1.6 (-9.7 to 12.9)	0.89
Interventional radiology and abdominal surgery (%)	2.4 (3/125)	3.2 (4/125)	-0.8 (-4.9 to 3.3)	0.74

CI: Confidence interval; IQR: Interquartile range.

Table 4 Results of sensitivity analysis using multivariate analysis				
Primary outcome	Coefficient (95%CI)	P value		
Length of hospital stay	0.08 (-0.71 to 0.87)	0.84		
Secondary outcomes	Odds ratio (95%CI)	P value		
Identification of stigmata of recent hemorrhage	1.76 (1.14–2.70)	0.01		
Rebleeding	1.21 (0.78-1.86)	0.39		
Red blood cell transfusion $\geq 4$ units	0.91 (0.55–1.50)	0.71		
Interventional radiology and abdominal surgery	0.93 (0.23-3.78)	0.92		

CI: Confidence interval.

Table 5 Different hemostatic methods in the main analysis			
Hemostatic method	Early group (< 24 h)	Elective group (≥ 24 h)	<i>P</i> value
Direct clipping method, <i>n</i> (%)	17/60 (28.3)	9/40 (22.5)	0.794
Zipper clipping, method, $n$ (%)	30/60 (50.0)	21/40 (52.5)	
Endoscopic band ligation method, <i>n</i> (%)	13/60 (21.7)	10/40 (25.0)	

Table 6 Rebleeding rates by hemostatic methods, n (%)				
Hemostatic method	Direct clipping method ( <i>n</i> = 43)	Zipper clipping method ( <i>n</i> = 82)	Endoscopic band ligation method ( $n = 47$ )	
Rebleeding	4 (9.3)	37 (45.1)	5 (10.6)	

adopted the EBL method in 2020. Further studies will be conducted in the future.

#### Limits of the study

There are several limitations associated with our study that should be noted. First, this is a single-center study, and generalizability to outside institutions is insufficient. Second, the localization of diverticula and the frequency of CDH are different among racial groups. It is unclear whether the Asian data can be applied to other races[25-28]. Third, the benefits of colonoscopy for CDH are not only potential in terms of reduced hospital stay associated with the colonoscopic hemostasis, but also an important factor in confirming the diagnosis. It should be noted that this study did not consider the benefits of the diagnostic factor.

Finally, this study focused on the time period from hospital visit to colonoscopy, not from the onset of hematochezia to colonoscopy. Therefore, the time period from the onset of hematochezia to colonoscopy may have differed from the actual time.

Bishidena® WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

#### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study showed that early colonoscopy within 24 h did not improve the length of hospital stay for CDH. Early colonoscopy may not be necessary for all cases of CDH.

#### ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

#### Research background

Appropriate timing of colonoscopy for colonic diverticular hemorrhage is not well evidenced.

#### Research motivation

The motivation for this study is to investigate whether within 24 h is an appropriate timing for colonoscopy for colonic diverticular hemorrhage.

#### Research objectives

We aimed to compare the length of hospital stay for colonoscopy for colonic diverticular hemorrhage by dividing patients into two groups: early groups (within 24 h) and elective colonoscopy (after 24 h).

#### Research methods

A single-center retrospective study over 5 years compared the two groups using propensity score matching.

#### Research results

Early colonoscopy within 24 h did not significantly improve hospital stay.

#### Research conclusions

Early colonoscopy within 24 h for colonic diverticular hemorrhage may not improve length of hospital stay.

#### Research perspectives

Further research is needed to determine which patients really need early colonoscopy.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our gratitude to the Gastroenterology Medicine Center, the Emergency Department, and the Surgery Department of Shonan Kamakura General Hospital, who were involved in the patient care.

#### FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Ichita C, Shimizu S, Sasaki A, Sumida C, Nishino T, and Kimura K contributed equally to this work; Ichita C contributed to the planning, data gathering, literature review, writing and editing of this article; Shimizu S provided epidemiological advice and reviewed for statistical analysis; Sasaki A, Sumida C, Nishino T, and Kimura K provided professional suggestions in the conduct of the study; all authors commented on draft versions and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of the Future Medical Research Center Ethical Committee (IRB No. TGE01304-024).

Informed consent statement: Due to the observational study based on medical records without using samples taken from the human body, informed consent was obtained from all participants through the opt-out method on our hospital website.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All the authors have no conflicts of interest directly relevant to the content of this article

Data sharing statement: The original anonymous dataset is available on request from the corresponding author at ichikamasa@yahoo.co.jp.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement – checklist of items, and the manuscript was



prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Country/Territory of origin: Japan

ORCID number: Chikamasa Ichita 0000-0001-9210-7371; Sayuri Shimizu 0000-0003-0661-1171; Akiko Sasaki 0000-0003-4219-554X; Chihiro Sumida 0000-0002-4616-6407; Takashi Nishino 0000-0002-6717-1096; Karen Kimura 0000-0001-8165-1777.

S-Editor: Liu JH L-Editor: A P-Editor: Liu JH

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Nagata N, Kobayashi K, Yamauchi A, Yamada A, Omori J, Ikeya T, Aoyama T, Tominaga N, Sato Y, Kishino T, Ishii N, Sawada T, Murata M, Takao A, Mizukami K, Kinjo K, Fujimori S, Uotani T, Fujita M, Sato H, Suzuki S, Narasaka T, Hayasaka J, Funabiki T, Kinjo Y, Mizuki A, Kiyotoki S, Mikami T, Gushima R, Fujii H, Fuyuno Y, Gunji N, Toya Y, Narimatsu K, Manabe N, Nagaike K, Kinjo T, Sumida Y, Funakoshi S, Kawagishi K, Matsuhashi T, Komaki Y, Miki K, Watanabe K, Fukuzawa M, Itoi T, Uemura N, Kawai T, Kaise M. Identifying Bleeding Etiologies by Endoscopy Affected Outcomes in 10,342 Cases With Hematochezia: CODE BLUE-J Study. Am J Gastroenterol 2021; 116: 2222-2234 [PMID: 34388140 DOI: 10.14309/ajg.000000000001413]
- 2 ASGE Standards of Practice Committee. Pasha SF, Shergill A, Acosta RD, Chandrasekhara V, Chathadi KV, Early D, Evans JA, Fisher D, Fonkalsrud L, Hwang JH, Khashab MA, Lightdale JR, Muthusamy VR, Saltzman JR, Cash BD. The role of endoscopy in the patient with lower GI bleeding. Gastrointest Endosc 2014; 79: 875-885 [PMID: 24703084 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2013.10.039
- Wilkins T, Baird C, Pearson AN, Schade RR. Diverticular bleeding. Am Fam Physician 2009; 80: 977-983 [PMID: 3 19873964]
- 4 Strate LL, Gralnek IM. ACG Clinical Guideline: Management of Patients With Acute Lower Gastrointestinal Bleeding. Am J Gastroenterol 2016; 111: 459-474 [PMID: 26925883 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2016.41]
- Nagata N, Ishii N, Manabe N, Tomizawa K, Urita Y, Funabiki T, Fujimori S, Kaise M. Guidelines for Colonic Diverticular 5 Bleeding and Colonic Diverticulitis: Japan Gastroenterological Association. Digestion 2019; 99 Suppl 1: 1-26 [PMID: 30625484 DOI: 10.1159/000495282]
- van Rongen I, Thomassen BJW, Perk LE. Early Versus Standard Colonoscopy: A Randomized Controlled Trial in Patients With Acute Lower Gastrointestinal Bleeding: Results of the BLEED Study. J Clin Gastroenterol 2019; 53: 591-598 [PMID: 29734211 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.000000000001048]
- 7 Green BT, Rockey DC, Portwood G, Tarnasky PR, Guarisco S, Branch MS, Leung J, Jowell P. Urgent colonoscopy for evaluation and management of acute lower gastrointestinal hemorrhage: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Gastroenterol 2005; 100: 2395-2402 [PMID: 16279891 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2005.00306.x]
- 8 Laine L, Shah A. Randomized trial of urgent vs. elective colonoscopy in patients hospitalized with lower GI bleeding. Am J Gastroenterol 2010; 105: 2636-41; quiz 2642 [PMID: 20648004 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2010.277]
- Niikura R, Nagata N, Yamada A, Honda T, Hasatani K, Ishii N, Shiratori Y, Doyama H, Nishida T, Sumiyoshi T, Fujita T, Kiyotoki S, Yada T, Yamamoto K, Shinozaki T, Takata M, Mikami T, Mabe K, Hara K, Fujishiro M, Koike K. Efficacy and Safety of Early vs Elective Colonoscopy for Acute Lower Gastrointestinal Bleeding. Gastroenterology 2020; 158: 168-175.e6 [PMID: 31563627 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.09.010]
- 10 Tanaka Y, Motomura Y, Akahoshi K, Iwao R, Komori K, Nakama N, Osoegawa T, Itaba S, Kubokawa M, Hisano T, Ihara E, Nakamura K, Takayanagi R. Predictive factors for colonic diverticular rebleeding: a retrospective analysis of the clinical and colonoscopic features of 111 patients. Gut Liver 2012; 6: 334-338 [PMID: 22844561 DOI: 10.5009/gnl.2012.6.3.334]
- Aytac E, Stocchi L, Gorgun E, Ozuner G. Risk of recurrence and long-term outcomes after colonic diverticular bleeding. 11 Int J Colorectal Dis 2014; 29: 373-378 [PMID: 24337782 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-013-1804-8]
- 12 Poncet G, Heluwaert F, Voirin D, Bonaz B, Faucheron JL. Natural history of acute colonic diverticular bleeding: a prospective study in 133 consecutive patients. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2010; 32: 466-471 [PMID: 20491745 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2010.04362.x]
- 13 Segev L, Ozuner G. Natural history of bleeding risk in colonic diverticulosis. Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol 2017; 63: 152-157 [PMID: 28240003 DOI: 10.23736/S1121-421X.17.02384-4]
- 14 Jensen DM, Ohning GV, Kovacs TO, Jutabha R, Ghassemi K, Dulai GS, Machicado GA. Natural history of definitive diverticular hemorrhage based on stigmata of recent hemorrhage and colonoscopic Doppler blood flow monitoring for risk stratification and definitive hemostasis. Gastrointest Endosc 2016; 83: 416-423 [PMID: 26227931 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.07.033]
- 15 Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton J, Davis TE, McFadden ET, Carbone PP. Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Am J Clin Oncol 1982; 5: 649-655 [PMID: 7165009 DOI:



#### 10.1097/00000421-198212000-00014]

- 16 Koch E, Lovett S, Nghiem T, Riggs RA, Rech MA. Shock index in the emergency department: utility and limitations. Open Access Emerg Med 2019; 11: 179-199 [PMID: 31616192 DOI: 10.2147/OAEM.S178358]
- Rosenbaum PR, Rubin DB. Constructing a control group using multivariate matched sampling methods that incorporate 17 the propensity score. Am Stat 1985; 39: 33-38 [DOI: 10.2307/2683903]
- Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZR' for medical statistics. Bone Marrow Transplant 18 2013; 48: 452-458 [PMID: 23208313 DOI: 10.1038/bmt.2012.244]
- Nigam N, Patel P, Sengupta N. Outcomes of Early Versus Delayed Colonoscopy in Lower Gastrointestinal Bleeding Using 19 a Hospital Administrative Database. J Clin Gastroenterol 2018; 52: 721-725 [PMID: 28961575 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.00000000000937]
- 20 Nagata N, Niikura R, Ishii N, Kaise M, Omata F, Tominaga N, Kitagawa T, Ikeya T, Kobayashi K, Furumoto Y, Narasaka T, Iwata E, Sugimoto M, Itoi T, Uemura N, Kawai T. Cumulative evidence for reducing recurrence of colonic diverticular bleeding using endoscopic clipping vs band ligation: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 36: 1738-1743 [PMID: 33295071 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.15370]
- 21 Ishii N, Setoyama T, Deshpande GA, Omata F, Matsuda M, Suzuki S, Uemura M, Iizuka Y, Fukuda K, Suzuki K, Fujita Y. Endoscopic band ligation for colonic diverticular hemorrhage. Gastrointest Endosc 2012; 75: 382-387 [PMID: 21944311 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2011.07.030]
- Okamoto T, Nakamura K, Yamamoto K, Yoshimoto T, Takasu A, Shiratori Y, Ikeya T, Okuyama S, Takagi K, Fukuda K. 22 Safety and Effectiveness of Endoscopic Band Ligation for Colonic Diverticular Bleeding in Elderly Patients. Digestion 2021; 102: 760-766 [PMID: 33556954 DOI: 10.1159/000513310]
- Nagata N, Ishii N, Kaise M, Shimbo T, Sakurai T, Akiyama J, Uemura N. Long-term recurrent bleeding risk after endoscopic therapy for definitive colonic diverticular bleeding: band ligation versus clipping. Gastrointest Endosc 2018; 88: 841-853.e4 [PMID: 30036505 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2018.07.018]
- Kobayashi K, Nagata N, Furumoto Y, Yamauchi A, Yamada A, Omori J, Ikeya T, Aoyama T, Tominaga N, Sato Y, 24 Kishino T, Ishii N, Sawada T, Murata M, Takao A, Mizukami K, Kinjo K, Fujimori S, Uotani T, Fujita M, Sato H, Suzuki S, Narasaka T, Hayasaka J, Kaise M; CODE BLUE-J study collaborators. Effectiveness and adverse events of endoscopic clipping vs band ligation for colonic diverticular hemorrhage: a large-scale multicenter cohort study. Endoscopy 2022; 54: 735-744 [PMID: 34820792 DOI: 10.1055/a-1705-0921]
- 25 Nagata N, Niikura R, Aoki T, Shimbo T, Itoh T, Goda Y, Suda R, Yano H, Akiyama J, Yanase M, Mizokami M, Uemura N. Increase in colonic diverticulosis and diverticular hemorrhage in an aging society: lessons from a 9-year colonoscopic study of 28,192 patients in Japan. Int J Colorectal Dis 2014; 29: 379-385 [PMID: 24317937 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-013-1808-4]
- Nagata N, Niikura R, Shimbo T, Kishida Y, Sekine K, Tanaka S, Aoki T, Watanabe K, Akiyama J, Yanase M, Itoh T, 26 Mizokami M, Uemura N. Alcohol and smoking affect risk of uncomplicated colonic diverticulosis in Japan. PLoS One 2013; 8: e81137 [PMID: 24339905 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081137]
- Wheat CL, Strate LL. Trends in Hospitalization for Diverticulitis and Diverticular Bleeding in the United States From 27 2000 to 2010. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 14: 96-103.e1 [PMID: 25862988 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2015.03.030]
- 28 Peery AF, Keku TO, Martin CF, Eluri S, Runge T, Galanko JA, Sandler RS. Distribution and Characteristics of Colonic Diverticula in a United States Screening Population. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 14: 980-985.e1 [PMID: 26872402 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2016.01.020]





### Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

