World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

World J Gastrointest Endosc 2024 January 16; 16(1): 1-50





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J

GEWorld Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscorr

Contents

Monthly Volume 16 Number 1 January 16, 2024

EDITORIAL

1 Prospects of polyglycolic acid sheets for the treatment of esophageal stricture after esophageal endoscopic submucosal dissection

Wang QX, Shi RH

5 Nomogram to predict gas-related complications during transoral endoscopic resection of upper gastrointestinal submucosal lesions: Clinical significance

Wen XP, Wan QQ

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

11 Propofol sedation in routine endoscopy: A case series comparing target controlled infusion vs manually controlled bolus concept

Sarraj R, Theiler L, Vakilzadeh N, Krupka N, Wiest R

Clinical Trials Study

18 Bowel preparation protocol for hospitalized patients ages 50 years or older: A randomized controlled trial He Y, Liu Q, Chen YW, Cui LJ, Cao K, Guo ZH

Observational Study

29 Safety and efficacy of modified endoscopic ultrasound-guided selective N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate injections for gastric variceal hemorrhage in left-sided portal hypertension

Zeng Y, Yang J, Zhang JW

37 Adverse events associated with the gold probe and the injection gold probe devices used for endoscopic hemostasis: A MAUDE database analysis

Kumar VCS, Aloysius M, Aswath G

44 Upper gastrointestinal bleeding in Bangladeshi children: Analysis of 100 cases Mazumder MW, Benzamin M



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Monthly Volume 16 Number 1 January 16, 2024

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, Yasser M Fouad, MD, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Minia University, Minia 19111, Egypt. yasserfouad10@yahoo.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (WJGE, World J Gastrointest Endosc) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal endoscopy with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGE mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal endoscopy and covering a wide range of topics including capsule endoscopy, colonoscopy, double-balloon enteroscopy, duodenoscopy, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, endosonography, esophagoscopy, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastroscopy, laparoscopy, natural orifice endoscopic surgery, proctoscopy, and sigmoidoscopy.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGE is now abstracted and indexed in Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJGE as 2.0; IF without journal self cites: 1.9; 5-year IF: 3.3; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.28.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ping Yan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5190 (online)	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 15, 2009	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Anastasios Koulaouzidis, Bing Hu, Sang Chul Lee, JooYoung Cho	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
January 16, 2024	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



Π

F W U

World Journal of *Gastrointestinal* Endoscopy

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Endosc 2024 January 16; 16(1): 37-43

DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v16.i1.37

Observational Study

ISSN 1948-5190 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Adverse events associated with the gold probe and the injection gold probe devices used for endoscopic hemostasis: A MAUDE database analysis

Vishnu Charan Suresh Kumar, Mark Aloysius, Ganesh Aswath

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Qi XS, China; Wang S, China

Received: October 9, 2023 Peer-review started: October 9, 2023 First decision: November 16, 2023 Revised: November 22, 2023 Accepted: December 5, 2023 Article in press: December 5, 2023 Published online: January 16, 2024



Vishnu Charan Suresh Kumar, Mark Aloysius, Ganesh Aswath, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY 13202, United States

Corresponding author: Vishnu Charan Suresh Kumar, MBBS, Doctor, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, 750 E Adams Street, Syracuse, NY 13202, United States. kumarv@upstate.edu

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding accounts for over half a million admissions annually and is the most common GI diagnosis requiring hospitalization in the United States. Bipolar electrocoagulation devices are used for the management of gastrointestinal bleeding. There is no data on device-related adverse events for gold probe (GP) and injection gold probe (IGP).

AIM

To analyze this using the Food and Drug Administration (FDA's) Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience (MAUDE) database from 2013 to 2023.

METHODS

We examined post-marketing surveillance data on GP and IGP from the FDA MAUDE database to report devicerelated and patient-related adverse events between 2013-2023. The MAUDE database is a publicly available resource providing over 4 million records relating to medical device safety. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics V.27.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States).

RESULTS

Our search elicited 140 reports for GP and 202 reports for IGP, respec-tively, during the study period from January 2013 to August 2023. Malfunctions reportedly occurred in 130 cases for GP, and actual patient injury or event occurred in 10 patients. A total of 149 patients (74%) reported with Injection GP events suffered no significant consequences due to the device failure, but 53 patients (26%) were affected by an event.

CONCLUSION



WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

GP and IGP are critical in managing gastrointestinal bleeding. This study of the FDA MAUDE database revealed the type, number, and trends of reported device-related adverse events. The endoscopist and support staff must be aware of these device-related events and be equipped to manage them if they occur.

Key Words: Hemostasis; Gastrointestinal bleeding; Endoscopy; Device failure; Bipolar coagulation; Cautery; Risks

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Gold probe (GP) and injection gold probe (IGP) are critical in managing gastrointestinal bleeding. This study of the Food and Drug Administration Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience database revealed the type, number, and trends of reported device-related adverse events. Our search elicited 140 reports for GP and 202 reports for IGP, respectively, during the study period from January 2013 to August 2023. Malfunctions reportedly occurred in 130 cases for GP, and actual patient injury or event occurred in 10 patients. 149 patients (74%) reported with IGP events suffered no significant consequences due to the device failure, but 53 patients (26%) were affected by an event. The endoscopist and support staff must be aware of these device-related events and be equipped to manage them if they occur.

Citation: Kumar VCS, Aloysius M, Aswath G. Adverse events associated with the gold probe and the injection gold probe devices used for endoscopic hemostasis: A MAUDE database analysis. World J Gastrointest Endosc 2024; 16(1): 37-43 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/full/v16/i1/37.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4253/wjge.v16.i1.37

INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding accounts for over half a million admissions annually and is the most common GI diagnosis requiring hospitalization in the United States[1]. Lesions with high-risk stigmata, which are associated with high rates of recurrent bleeding (50% to 80%) and result in significant morbidity if treated with medical therapy alone. Thus, the latest American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) guidelines recommend endoscopic therapy for ulcers with active spurting or oozing and nonbleeding visible vessels. The management of nonvariceal upper GI bleed (UGIB) has evolved tremendously with the advent of therapeutic endoscopic hemostasis devices and techniques. Studies have shown that thermal contact devices such as bipolar electrocoagulation and heater probes decrease the incidence of re-bleeding compared with no endoscopic therapy[2].

Overall, devices used to achieve hemostasis using thermal therapy were safe. The serious adverse events associated with these devices include uncontrollable bleeding and perforation[3]. Pooled data showed that the rate of bleeding that required urgent surgery was 0.3%, and perforation was 0.5% [4].

The gold probe (GP) and injection gold probe (IGP) (Boston Scientific Corp., Natick, Mass.) are two commonly used devices to achieve endoscopic hemostasis. IGP can deliver an injection as well as thermal therapy. No data on devicerelated adverse events for these devices used routinely to achieve endoscopic hemostasis is available.

Thus, we aimed to evaluate the events associated with using Gold Probe and Injection Gold Probe using the Food and Drug Administration (FDA's) Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience (MAUDE) database from 2013 to 2023.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We examined post-marketing surveillance data on GP and IGP from the FDA MAUDE database to report devicerelated and patient-related adverse events. The MAUDE database is a publicly available resource providing over 4 million records relating to medical device safety. The MAUDE database has medical device reports (MDRs) submitted to the FDA by mandatory reporters (manufacturers, importers, and device user facilities) and voluntary reporters such as healthcare professionals, patients and consumers (https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfmaude/search.cfm#fn 1).

It consists of four primary (Master Event, Device, Patient, Text) and two supplemental (Device Problems and Problem Code Descriptions) file types, which, when combined, provide a detailed account of an adverse event or product problem report. Healthcare professionals have used MAUDE to review events associated with specific products or procedures. Several articles referencing MAUDE have been published analyzing adverse events specific to a particular outcome, product, or body system. It is publicly available online and de-identified. Therefore, no institutional review board approval was required for this study.

Outcomes and statistical analysis

We queried the MAUDE database from January 2013 to August 2023. The MAUDE web search feature is limited to adverse event reports within the past ten years. The data was analyzed for device issues and patient adverse events. The



WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

primary outcome measure of this study was the failure modes of the endoscopic diathermy *Gold Probe*TM (Ò Boston Scientific) and injection diathermy *Injection Gold Probe*TM (Ò Boston Scientific). Secondary outcomes included significant complications associated with device failure. The MAUDE database cannot capture the utilization of IGP in the United States; therefore, the actual incidence rate of each failure or complication type cannot be assessed. Categorical variables were presented as numbers; all statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics V.27.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States).

RESULTS

Our search elicited 140 reports for GP and 202 reports for IGP, respectively, during the study period from January 2013 to August 2023. The procedure type for GP use was esophagogastroduodenoscopy (47) followed by colonoscopy (25), bronchoscopy (7), endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) (6), enteroscopy (3), missing procedure information (52), Table 1. The procedure types for IGP were esophagogastroduodenoscopy (174) followed by colonoscopy (16), ERCP (11), and enteroscopy (1), Table 2.

Primary outcomes outlining failure modes for the GP and IGP is outlined in Tables 3 and 4. GP failure modes were failure to deliver energy (107), followed by material separation or fracture of the probe tip (28), arcing (1), missing component (1), bent tip (1), and detachment of device (2). IGP failure modes were failure to deliver energy (115), followed by material separation or fracture of the probe tip (34), crack (9), device detachment (27), material puncture (5), and mechanical problems (12).

Malfunctions reportedly occurred in 130 cases for GP, and actual patient injury or event occurred in 10 patients. In assessing secondary outcomes, no deaths were reported, although two patients experienced prolonged hemorrhage and two fiberoptic endoscopes were damaged by the device; 7 patients required a secondary procedure to retrieve the detached probe. Most patients with a reported GP event suffered no significant consequences due to the device failure (93%), but 7% required a second procedure or experienced prolonged stay or discomfort, Table 5. Most patients reported with IGP events (74%) suffered no significant consequences due to the device failure, but 26% of patients were affected by an event (prolonged hemorrhage, need for a secondary procedure due to a detached probe), Table 6. Reports by year decreased significantly after 2017 for both GP and IGP, Table 7.

DISCUSSION

Our study comprehensively analyzes events reported with GP and IGP from 2013 to 2023. For both GP and IGP, the most reported problem is the "failure to deliver energy." Investigating the root cause of this recurrent issue with these devices is imperative. If user error is identified as a significant factor, offering additional training to the healthcare professionals using these devices and refining the user guidelines would be beneficial.

The significantly higher number of reported events with IGP devices than with GP devices is noteworthy. While a higher usage frequency might contribute to the increased reporting, the pronounced rate of patient-related adverse events stemming from IGP failures cannot be dismissed lightly. Especially concerning are instances requiring repeat procedures, as they amplify the risk profile for patients and accentuate the resource burdens on healthcare institutions.

The manufacturer for the GP and IGP reports patient-related adverse events, including perforation, bleeding, aspiration pneumonia, and septicemia/infection, and reports a potential electrical hazard to the patient and operator with possible adverse including fulguration, burns, stimulation, and cardiac arrhythmia[5]. However, there have been no studies so far that have looked at the device-related events that could occur with GP and IGP. Our study is the first to analyze the device-related events reported. It sheds light on device-related complications, thus enhancing the existing knowledge pool crucial for daily clinical applications. Data regarding other bipolar devices was sparse and thus a comparative analysis could not be done.

The 2021 ACG guidelines for managing UGIB strongly recommend endoscopic hemostatic therapy with bipolar electrocoagulation, heater probe, or injection of absolute ethanol for patients with UGIB due to ulcers. Several studies have proven the efficacy and overall safety of GP and IGP to manage gastrointestinal hemorrhage[2,6,7]. The safety and efficacy of bipolar devices have been also established while managing lower GI bleeding[8,9]. GP and IGP are Bipolar devices used to manage GI bleeding during endoscopy. Given the ubiquity of these bipolar devices in clinical scenarios, endoscopists, and auxiliary staff must be apprised of potential device-related pitfalls.

Interestingly, the findings of this study also suggest that there was a decline in the events for both IGP and GP from 2017. Endoscopists familiarity with the device and adequate training in its usage, and manufacturer's improvement of the quality of the device could have led to fewer events. Usage of other hemostatic devices could have also contributed to this. Over-the-Scope Clips (OTSC) has been shown to be as effective as standard therapy in non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding since 2017[10]. OTSC has also proven effective in large ulcers up to 5 cm[11], with a high success rate of hemostasis (80%) even in recurrent bleeding and has also competed with GP and IGP as first line hemostatic method since 2017[12].

At around the same time, hemostatic aerosolized powders such as TC 325 (Hemospray) have become part of the hemostatic armamentarium available to the endoscopist, especially effective in the setting of diffuse mucosal bleeding[13, 15].

Zaishidena® WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Reported procedure type in which gold probe was used	
Procedure	Number
Bronchoscopy	7
Colonoscopy	25
Esophagogastroduodenoscopy	47
Enteroscopy	3
Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography	6
Missing	52
Total	140

Table 2 Reported procedure type in which injection gold probe was used	
Procedure	Number
EGD	174
Colonoscopy	16
ERCP	11
Enteroscopy	1
Total	202

 $EGD: Esophagogastroduodenoscopy; ERCP: Endoscopic \ retrograde \ cholangio pancreatography.$

Table 3 Failure Modes of gold probe (Primary Outcomes)		
Failure mode	Number	Percentage
Arcing	1	0.7
Component missing	1	0.7
Detachment of device component	1	0.7
Electrical connector broke during use	1	0.7
Failure to deliver energy	107	76.4
Material separation	28	20.0
Tip bent (from packaging)	1	0.7
Total	140	100.0

Table 4 Failure modes of injection gold probe (Primary Outcomes)		
Failure mode	Number	Percentage
Crack	9	4.5
Material separation	34	16.8
Device detachment	27	13.4
Failure to deliver energy	115	56.9
Material puncture/hole	5	2.5
Mechanical problem	12	5.9
Total	202	100.0



Table 5 Events affecting patients with gold probe failure (Secondary Outcomes)	
Events	Number
Bowel burn	1
Hemorrhage/Bleeding	1
Prolonged hospital stay	1
Removal requiring a second procedure	7
Total	10

Table 6 Events affecting patients or equipment with injection gold probe failure (Secondary Outcomes)	
Events	Number
Prolonged hemorrhage	2
The secondary procedure to retrieve the detached probe	48
Probe damaged scope	3
Total	53

Table 7 Reports by year (2013-2023)		
Year	MAUDE reports for gold probe	MAUDE reports for injection gold probe
2013	32	38
2014	32	36
2015	17	33
2016	26	30
2017	14	23
2018	3	6
2019	3	9
2020	2	8
2021	4	7
2022	1	5
2023	6	7
Total	140	202

MAUDE: Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience.

These newer hemostatic technologies may have contributed to a decline in use of IGP and GP since 2017. It's also conceivable that the manufacturing process may have effectively addressed the prior device failure reports to redesign and improve quality control hence leading to a decline in the device malfunction/failure reports since 2017.

Guidelines for non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding have emphasized that epinephrine injection needs to be combined with a secondary hemostatic modality and hence IGP use may have increased over GP use. IGP conveniently uses both injection and thermocoagulation sequentially without interruption to introduce another hemostatic method endoscopically. This may have contributed to increase in IGP use over GP and consequently higher device malfunction reports[15].

This study has limitations. The MAUDE web search feature is limited to adverse event reports within the past ten years. This passive surveillance system has its limitations. There is a potential for submission of incomplete, inaccurate, untimely, unverified, or biased data. In addition, the incidence or prevalence of an event cannot be determined from this reporting system alone due to under-reporting of events, inaccuracies in reports, lack of verification that the device caused the reported event, and lack of information about the frequency of the device use.

Baishidena® WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

CONCLUSION

GP and IGP are critical in managing gastrointestinal bleeding. This study of the FDA MAUDE database revealed the type, number, and trends of reported device-related adverse events. The endoscopist and support staff must be aware of these device-related events and be equipped to manage them if they occur.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research motivation

Gold probe (GP) and gold probe (GP) are vital in managing gastrointestinal bleeding, yet they present notable risks. Awareness of these risks is essential for endoscopists and support staff. The study highlights the need for improved device safety and better management strategies in case of device failure.

Research objectives

The analysis revealed 140 reports for GP and 202 reports for IGP, with the majority of device failures being attributed to the failure to deliver energy. While most events did not lead to significant patient consequences, a notable proportion (26% for IGP) resulted in adverse outcomes like prolonged hemorrhage or the need for secondary procedures.

Research methods

The study utilized post-marketing surveillance data from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA's) Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience (MAUDE) database, analyzing reports for GP and IGP from January 2013 to August 2023. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics V.27.0 to identify primary and secondary outcome measures.

Research results

The primary objective is to evaluate the events associated with the use of GP and IGP, specifically focusing on the types and frequencies of device failures and their impact on patient outcomes.

Research conclusions

The motivation for this research stems from the lack of comprehensive data on device-related adverse events for GP and IGP, devices commonly used in managing gastrointestinal bleeding, despite their widespread clinical use.

Research perspectives

This study investigates the device-related adverse events associated with the use of GP and IGP in endoscopic hemostasis, leveraging data from the FDA's MAUDE database over a decade (2013-2023).

Research background

The findings underscore the need for ongoing surveillance, device improvement, and consideration of emerging hemostatic technologies. Further research into device design and usage guidelines could enhance safety and efficacy in clinical practice.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Suresh Kumar VC contributed to conceptualization, design, manuscript writing, and editing; Aloysius M contributed to design, statistical analysis, manuscript writing, and editing; Aswath G contributed to manuscript review and editing.

Institutional review board statement: This is a de-identified database-based study thus it was determined that no ethical approval/IRB is required.

Informed consent statement: For this study, we utilized a de-identified database, specifically the FDA's Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience (MAUDE) database, which contains anonymized and publicly available data. Given the retrospective and deidentified nature of the data analyzed, this study did not involve direct interaction with patients or access to identifiable patient information. Consequently, in accordance with ethical guidelines and research standards, informed consent was not required for this database-based study.

Conflict-of-interest statement: There are no conflicts of interest to report.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement – checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement - checklist of items.



Bishidena® WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: United States

ORCID number: Vishnu Charan Suresh Kumar 0000-0002-9472-2869; Mark Aloysius 0000-0001-6191-0524; Ganesh Aswath 0000-0002-1354-9225.

Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies: American College of Gastroenterology; American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; American Gastroenterological Association.

S-Editor: Liu JH L-Editor: A P-Editor: Cai YX

REFERENCES

- Peery AF, Crockett SD, Murphy CC, Lund JL, Dellon ES, Williams JL, Jensen ET, Shaheen NJ, Barritt AS, Lieber SR, Kochar B, Barnes EL, 1 Fan YC, Pate V, Galanko J, Baron TH, Sandler RS. Burden and Cost of Gastrointestinal, Liver, and Pancreatic Diseases in the United States: Update 2018. Gastroenterology 2019; 156: 254-272.e11 [PMID: 30315778 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.08.063]
- 2 Laine L, McQuaid KR. Endoscopic therapy for bleeding ulcers: an evidence-based approach based on meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009; 7: 33-47; quiz 1 [PMID: 18986845 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2008.08.016]
- Waterman M, Gralnek IM. Preventing complications of endoscopic hemostasis in acute upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage. Gastrointest 3 Endosc Clin N Am 2007; 17: 157-167, viii [PMID: 17397782 DOI: 10.1016/j.giec.2006.10.005]
- 4 Laine L, Peterson WL. Bleeding peptic ulcer. N Engl J Med 1994; 331: 717-727 [PMID: 8058080 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199409153311107]
- Bipolar Hemostasis Catheters. Injection Gold Probe™ and Gold Probe™. Available from: https://www.bostonscientific.com/en-US/ 5 products/probes/injection-gold-probe.html
- Cook DJ, Guyatt GH, Salena BJ, Laine LA. Endoscopic therapy for acute nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage: a meta-analysis. 6 Gastroenterology 1992; 102: 139-148 [PMID: 1530782 DOI: 10.1016/0016-5085(92)91793-4]
- Chung SC, Leung JW, Sung JY, Lo KK, Li AK. Injection or heat probe for bleeding ulcer. Gastroenterology 1991; 100: 33-37 [PMID: 7 1983848 DOI: 10.1016/0016-5085(91)90579-A]
- Jensen DM. Diagnosis and treatment of definitive diverticular hemorrhage (DDH). Am J Gastroenterol 2018; 113: 1570-1573 [PMID: 8 29748559 DOI: 10.1038/s41395-018-0061-4]
- Sengupta N, Feuerstein JD, Jairath V, Shergill AK, Strate LL, Wong RJ, Wan D. Management of Patients With Acute Lower Gastrointestinal 9 Bleeding: An Updated ACG Guideline. Am J Gastroenterol 2023; 118: 208-231 [PMID: 36735555 DOI: 10.14309/ajg.00000000002130]
- Wedi E, von Renteln D, Gonzalez S, Tkachenko O, Jung C, Orkut S, Roth V, Tumay S, Hochberger J. Use of the over-the-scope-clip (OTSC) 10 in non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with severe cardiovascular comorbidities: a retrospective study. Endosc Int Open 2017; 5: E875-E882 [PMID: 28924593 DOI: 10.1055/s-0043-105496]
- 11 Chan SM, Chiu PW, Teoh AY, Lau JY. Use of the Over-The-Scope Clip for treatment of refractory upper gastrointestinal bleeding: a case series. Endoscopy 2014; 46: 428-431 [PMID: 24505017 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1364932]
- Asokkumar R, Soetikno R, Sanchez-Yague A, Kim Wei L, Salazar E, Ngu JH. Use of over-the-scope-clip (OTSC) improves outcomes of 12 high-risk adverse outcome (HR-AO) non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding (NVUGIB). Endosc Int Open 2018; 6: E789-E796 [PMID: 29977995 DOI: 10.1055/a-0614-2390]
- Yang E, Chang MA, Savides TJ. New Techniques to Control Gastrointestinal Bleeding. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y) 2019; 15: 471-479 13 [PMID: 31787854]
- Kwek BEA, Ang TL, Ong PLJ, Tan YLJ, Ang SWD, Law NM, Thurairajah PH, Fock KM. TC-325 versus the conventional combined 14 technique for endoscopic treatment of peptic ulcers with high-risk bleeding stigmata: A randomized pilot study. J Dig Dis 2017; 18: 323-329 [PMID: 28485544 DOI: 10.1111/1751-2980.12481]
- Barkun AN, Almadi M, Kuipers EJ, Laine L, Sung J, Tse F, Leontiadis GI, Abraham NS, Calvet X, Chan FKL, Douketis J, Enns R, Gralnek 15 IM, Jairath V, Jensen D, Lau J, Lip GYH, Loffroy R, Maluf-Filho F, Meltzer AC, Reddy N, Saltzman JR, Marshall JK, Bardou M. Management of Nonvariceal Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding: Guideline Recommendations From the International Consensus Group. Ann Intern Med 2019; 171: 805-822 [PMID: 31634917 DOI: 10.7326/M19-1795]



WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

