

Supportive techniques and devices for endoscopic submucosal dissection of gastric cancer

Nobuyuki Sakurazawa, Shunji Kato, Itsuo Fujita, Yoshikazu Kanazawa, Hiroyuki Onodera, Eiji Uchida

Nobuyuki Sakurazawa, Shunji Kato, Itsuo Fujita, Yoshikazu Kanazawa, Hiroyuki Onodera, Eiji Uchida, Department of Surgery, Nippon Medical School, 1-1-5 Sendagi Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8603, Japan

Author contributions: Sakurazawa N drafted the manuscript; Kato S, Fujita I, Kanazawa Y, Onodera H and Uchida E critically revised the paper; and all the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Correspondence to: Nobuyuki Sakurazawa, MD, PhD, Department of Surgery, Nippon Medical School, 1-1-5, Sendagi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8603, Japan. nsakuraz@nms.ac.jp

Telephone: +81-3-38222131 Fax: +81-3-56850989

Received: August 30, 2011 Revised: February 26, 2012

Accepted: May 27, 2012

Published online: June 16, 2012

Key words: Countertraction; Endoscopic submucosal dissection; Gastric cancer

Peer reviewers: Hiroto Kita, MD, PhD, Professor and Chair, Department of Gastroenterology, International Medical Center, Saitama Medical University, 1397-1, Yamane, Hidaka, Saitama 350-1298, Japan; Naoki Muguruma, MD, PhD, Department of Gastroenterology and Oncology, The University of Tokushima Graduate School, 3-18-15, Kuramoto-cho, Tokushima 770-8503, Japan

Sakurazawa N, Kato S, Fujita I, Kanazawa Y, Onodera H, Uchida E. Supportive techniques and devices for endoscopic submucosal dissection of gastric cancer. *World J Gastrointest Endosc* 2012; 4(6): 231-235 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/full/v4/i6/231.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4253/wjge.v4.i6.231>

Abstract

The indications for endoscopic treatment have expanded in recent years, and relatively intestinal-type mucosal stomach carcinomas with a low potential for metastasis are now often resected *en bloc* by endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD), even if they measure over 20 mm in size. However, ESD requires complex maneuvers, which entails a long operation time, and is often accompanied by complications such as bleeding and perforation. Many technical developments have been implemented to overcome these complications. The scope, cutting device, hemostasis device, and other supportive devices have been improved. However, even with these innovations, ESD remains a potentially complex procedure. One of the major difficulties is poor visualization of the submucosal layer resulting from the poor countertraction afforded during submucosal dissection. Recently, countertraction devices have been developed. In this paper, we introduce countertraction techniques and devices mainly for gastric cancer.

© 2012 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of gastric cancer is high in East Asia, Eastern Europe and South America. In Japan, 50 000 people a year die from gastric cancer, so countering gastric cancer is an important mission. Early detection and early treatment are regarded as the most important factors in the treatment strategy. In patients with early gastric cancer (mucosal stomach cancer), endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) enables *en-bloc* dissection of larger lesions than that by endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR)^[1-3]. *En-bloc* resection allows more accurate pathological diagnosis and reduces the risk of recurrence^[5-6].

However, ESD requires complex technical maneuvers and a long operation time. Moreover, complications such as bleeding and perforation occur more frequently with ESD than with EMR^[2,3,7]. To overcome these complications, many supportive techniques and devices have been developed.

We classify supportive techniques and devices under the following 3 categories: (1) improvements to the

scope [magnifying endoscopy^[8-10], the narrow band imaging system^[11-13] and the flexible spectral imaging color (FICE) system^[14], scopes with a built-in forced irrigation channel^[15], and so on]; (2) cutting and hemostasis devices (high frequency generator^[16], various knives^[17-19], various hemostasis forceps^[20], various hemostasis clips and so on); and (3) other supportive devices (local injection agents^[21]) and CO₂ insufflations to the alimentary tract^[22]). Even with these innovations in place, ESD is still not easy. One of the major difficulties is poor visualization of the submucosal layer resulting from the poor countertraction afforded during submucosal dissection, therefore countertraction devices have been developed in recent years^[23-39]. These countertraction devices could be placed in the 4th category in addition to the three outlined above. The focus of this article will be countertraction devices (Table 1).

SUPPORTIVE TECHNIQUES AND DEVICES FOR ESD

Improvements to the scope

Zoom endoscopy magnifies the surface structure of tumors and allows the operator to detect the precise border of the tumor^[8-10]. The narrow band imaging system (NBI) selects a spectrum of the emitted illumination to enhance the structure of the blood vessels and the tumor border. By using these systems, a more accurate diagnosis is obtained to avoid unnecessary resection of the lesion to reduce the risk of bleeding and perforation^[11-13]. The FICE system is different from the NBI system in that it allows selection of the limited spectrum of the light being reflected from the lesion to enhance detection of the border between the tumor and normal mucosa^[14]. The water-jet scope can immediately wash away bleeding during an ESD procedure. With this facility, bleeding points can be precisely identified, and we can stop bleeding more easily^[15].

Cutting and hemostasis devices

The new high frequency generator calculates the electrical resistance of the tissue instantly, and changes the current flowing through the electric knife depending on the electrical resistance of the tissue to enhance coagulation thus decreasing bleeding from the area of incision^[16].

Various knives (IT knife, Hook knife, and Flex knife) have been developed^[17-19], in addition to various hemostasis forceps and hemostasis clips^[20]. These innovations now allow us to use the most appropriate knife, hemostasis forceps and hemostasis clips in each scene of ESD.

Other supportive devices and techniques

As a substitute for saline which is used conventionally, a new local injection agent was developed based on hyaluronic acid. Following the use of hyaluronic acid, the mucosal elevation time improved markedly^[21]. Because mucosal elevation was stable for a long time, the risk of perforation was reduced. In recent years, CO₂ insufflation

Table 1 Classification of countertraction devices and methods

Double endoscope methods	Authors	Year
Double endoscopic intraluminal operation (DEILO)	Kuwano <i>et al.</i> ^[23]	2004
Thin endoscope-assisted ESD	Uraoka <i>et al.</i> ^[25]	2010
Transnasal endoscope-assisted ESD	Ahn <i>et al.</i> ^[24]	2010
Countertraction tool attached to the endoscope		
Small-caliber-tip transparent hood	Yamamoto ^[26]	2003
Double-channel therapeutic endoscope (the "R-scope")	Yonezawa <i>et al.</i> ^[29]	2006
Multipurpose treatment hood (TxHood)	Kawano <i>et al.</i> ^[28]	2008
Angler fish-type countertraction system	Sakurazawa <i>et al.</i> ^[33]	2009
Sheath-assisted countertraction ESD	Hijikata <i>et al.</i> ^[27]	2010
Countertraction tool independent of the endoscope		
Percutaneous traction-assisted EMR	Kondo <i>et al.</i> ^[31]	2004
Magnetic anchor system	Kobayashi <i>et al.</i> ^[33]	2004
External grasping type of forceps	Imaeda <i>et al.</i> ^[34]	2006
Internal traction using a nylon loop	Chen <i>et al.</i> ^[36]	2007
Percutaneously-assisted endoscopic surgery using a new PEG-minitrocar	von Delius <i>et al.</i> ^[32]	2008
Peroral traction-assisted ESD	Jeon <i>et al.</i> ^[39]	2009
Spring-assisted ESD	Sakurazawa <i>et al.</i> ^[40]	2009
The pulley method ESD	Li <i>et al.</i> ^[38]	2010
Medical ring system	Matsumoto <i>et al.</i> ^[35]	2011
Clip-band technique	Parra-Blanco <i>et al.</i> ^[37]	2011

ESD: Endoscopic submucosal dissection; EMR: Endoscopic mucosal resection.

has been used for ESD. Because, CO₂ is more quickly absorbed in water than air, even in the event of a perforation-related pneumoperitoneum occurring, the CO₂ is absorbed immediately^[22]. This helps to prevent perforation-related pneumoperitoneum compartment syndrome.

Countertraction devices

Various countertraction devices have been developed. We have classified these devices under the following three types: double endoscope methods, countertraction tool attached to the endoscope, countertraction tool independent of the endoscope.

Double endoscope method: This method involves the use of two scopes as two endoscopists are sometimes required, one scope lifts the lesion and the other resects it. The merit of this technique is that the direction and strength of countertraction can be obtained by manipulating the lifting scope. The demerit is that their movements are slightly affected by friction between the two scopes. Kuwano *et al.*^[23] reported a double endoscopic intraluminal operation. This novel technique is characterized by the use of two endoscopes. One scope lifts the lesion in any desired direction to give clear visualization of the submucosal layer. Because two scopes were inserted together into the stomach *via* the oral cavity, ESD was undertaken under general anesthesia. Ahn *et al.*^[24] reported transnasal endoscope-assisted ESD, which is a traction method using two scopes. The nasal scope is used as the traction scope. This method reduces friction between the two scopes in the oral cavity. The disadvan-

tages of the procedure include nasal bleeding due to the transnasal access and the requirement for two endoscopists. Uraoka *et al*^[25] reported thin endoscope-assisted ESD. The traction was obtained by using a thin endoscope in the large intestine. This system uses the thin endoscope as lifting forceps to obtain traction in the desired direction. Thin endoscope-assisted ESD has been limited to the rectum and rectosigmoid colon due to difficulty in intubating the second endoscope to the oral side of the distal sigmoid colon. The thin endoscope is not stiff enough for deep intubation. Another limitation is the need for a second endoscopist to operate the traction system.

Countertraction tool attached to the endoscope: An advantage of this method is that it uses a single scope, thus the preparations for the device are comparatively simple. Furthermore, it is not difficult for the operator to achieve countertraction, because the countertraction tool is attached to the endoscope. One disadvantage is that the direction and strength of countertraction is affected by the movement of the scope.

Yamamoto *et al*^[26] developed an ST hood which is clear and placed on the tip of the scope. The ST hood prevents tissue from adhering to the scope lens to allow clear observation of the cutting line. At the same time, the ST hood opens the cutting line and exerts countertraction in the local area. However, the field of view is limited to a small area. Endoscopic submucosal dissection with sheath-assisted countertraction was reported by Hijikata *et al*^[27]. This method uses 2 channel scopes and a sheath which lifts the lesion and exerts countertraction in the cutting area. The sheath uses one channel and the knife uses the other channel. A TxHood was developed by Kawano *et al*^[28]. It can include various therapeutic and treatment tools such as an electric needleknife, a snare wire, an injection needle, and a water jet line, and the lines can be selected freely before insertion of an endoscope covered with the TxHood. Using the grasping forceps from the TxHood, the lesion is lifted to make the cutting line clear.

The therapeutic endoscope we use (the “R-scope”) was developed by Yonezawa *et al*^[29]. This instrument is equipped with a multibending system and has two movable instrument channels: one moves a grasping forceps vertically for lesion countertraction; the other swings a knife horizontally for dissection. We have also employed the angler fish-type countertraction system^[30]. This device has a fine spring grasper which works as the fishing rod to lift up the desired lesion.

Countertraction tool independent of the endoscope:

The benefit of this approach is that the direction and strength of countertraction is not affected by the movement of the scope because the countertraction tool is independent of the endoscope. Preparations differ greatly for each method, and are associated with both advantages and disadvantages.

Kondo *et al*^[31] reported percutaneous traction-assisted EMR which uses a type of forceps which penetrates the abdominal and gastric walls to provide countertraction. With this method it is easy to coordinate the strength and direction of the countertraction. However, there is a risk of pneumoperitoneum and peritonitis. von Delius *et al*^[32] reported percutaneously-assisted endoscopic surgery using a new PEG-minitrocar for advanced endoscopic submucosal dissection. The device is inserted using a PEG technique through the skin and stomach wall, and pulls on the lesion. This system seems similar to the above mentioned percutaneous traction-assisted EMR. The magnetic anchor system was reported by Kobayashi *et al*^[33]. It requires the use of a magnetic control system. This uses magnetic force and it is able to change the direction and strength of countertraction. However, this system is large and because it depends on the use of magnetic force, it is not appropriate in patients fitted with a pacemaker. The external grasping-type forceps were reported by Imaeda *et al*^[34]. These forceps pull the specimen to obtain countertraction. The direction of countertraction is limited, because the countertraction tool can only be used to pull and push the tissue of interest. This type of forceps is used from the outside so it is unlikely to be affected by the movement of the scope. The medical ring system was reported by Matsumoto *et al*^[35]. It uses a ring and makes countertraction. This tool is compact and can pass the forceps channel of the scope, and achieves countertraction during local traction of a tumor. The clip-band technique was reported by Parra-Blanco *et al*^[36]. This method uses a rubber band to make countertraction. This rubber band was originally used for orthodontic treatment. The author carefully determined the size of the ring in accordance with ESD. This system is easy to prepare and inexpensive. Chen *et al*^[37] reported internal traction using a nylon loop that was attached to the tumor edges with hemoclips. The loop anchored by the 2 hemoclips was tightened by pulling the smaller loop with the hot biopsy forceps, and local countertraction is provided by rolling up the tumor. Li^[38] reported the pulley method of ESD which can change the direction of the traction by using a pulley in the stomach. The pulley method with standard clips and dental floss was used to provide traction to improve visualization of the dissection plane during ESD. Jeon^[39] reported peroral traction-assisted ESD. A thread is inserted orally to pull a lesion to make countertraction. After circumferential mucosal cutting, one hemostatic clip, tied with a white silk suture, was applied at a site of the lesion suitable for oral traction. During submucosal dissection, the applied suture material was pulled to the oral side.

We have introduced and performed spring-assisted ESD in which countertraction is applied with a spring^[40]. A spring is introduced into the stomach through the forceps channel. One end of the spring loop is fixed to the tumor with a clip. The loop at the other end of the spring is fixed with a clip to the intact mucosa on the

opposite side. The submucosal layer is dissected under adequate countertraction force. Our newly introduced countertraction device can be easily handled by one endoscopist, and shows sufficient effective traction distance in any desired direction without interference by the gastroscope movements. The device was helpful for dissection of the submucosal layer without complications and hemostatic treatment.

CONCLUSION

ESD is a very effective treatment for early gastric cancer, but there are many complications. It is thought that we can reduce complications and treatment time through the use of various innovative devices. We think that the countertraction device will become an important device in the future.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Gotoda T**, Yanagisawa A, Sasako M, Ono H, Nakanishi Y, Shimoda T, Kato Y. Incidence of lymph node metastasis from early gastric cancer: estimation with a large number of cases at two large centers. *Gastric Cancer* 2000; **3**: 219-225
- 2 **Gotoda T**. Endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer* 2007; **10**: 1-11
- 3 **Tanaka M**, Ono H, Hasuike N, Takizawa K. Endoscopic submucosal dissection of early gastric cancer. *Digestion* 2008; **77** Suppl 1: 23-28
- 4 **Matsushita M**, Hajiro K, Okazaki K, Takakuwa H. Endoscopic mucosal resection of gastric tumors located in the lesser curvature of the upper third of the stomach. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1997; **45**: 512-515
- 5 **Ono H**, Kondo H, Gotoda T, Shirao K, Yamaguchi H, Saito D, Hosokawa K, Shimoda T, Yoshida S. Endoscopic mucosal resection for treatment of early gastric cancer. *Gut* 2001; **48**: 225-229
- 6 **Tanabe S**, Koizumi W, Mitomi H, Nakai H, Murakami S, Nagaba S, Kida M, Oida M, Saigenji K. Clinical outcome of endoscopic aspiration mucosectomy for early stage gastric cancer. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002; **56**: 708-713
- 7 **Rembacken BJ**, Gotoda T, Fujii T, Axon AT. Endoscopic mucosal resection. *Endoscopy* 2001; **33**: 709-718
- 8 **Tada M**, Misaki F, Kawai K. A new approach to the observation of minute changes of the colonic mucosa by means of magnifying colonoscope, type CF-MB-M (Olympus). *Gastrointest Endosc* 1978; **24**: 146-147
- 9 **Sakaki N**, Iida Y, Okazaki Y, Kawamura S, Takemoto T. Magnifying endoscopic observation of the gastric mucosa, particularly in patients with atrophic gastritis. *Endoscopy* 1978; **10**: 269-274
- 10 **Yao K**, Oishi T, Matsui T, Yao T, Iwashita A. Novel magnified endoscopic findings of microvascular architecture in intramucosal gastric cancer. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002; **56**: 279-284
- 11 **Gono K**, Obi T, Yamaguchi M, Ohyama N, Machida H, Sano Y, Yoshida S, Hamamoto Y, Endo T. Appearance of enhanced tissue features in narrow-band endoscopic imaging. *J Biomed Opt* 2004; **9**: 568-577
- 12 **Nakayoshi T**, Tajiri H, Matsuda K, Kaise M, Ikegami M, Sasaki H. Magnifying endoscopy combined with narrow band imaging system for early gastric cancer: correlation of vascular pattern with histopathology (including video). *Endoscopy* 2004; **36**: 1080-1084
- 13 **Machida H**, Sano Y, Hamamoto Y, Muto M, Kozu T, Tajiri H, Yoshida S. Narrow-band imaging in the diagnosis of colorectal mucosal lesions: a pilot study. *Endoscopy* 2004; **36**: 1094-1098
- 14 **Pohl J**, May A, Rabenstein T, Pech O, Ell C. Computed virtual chromoendoscopy: a new tool for enhancing tissue surface structures. *Endoscopy* 2007; **39**: 80-83
- 15 **Ishii N**, Itoh T, Uemura M, Maruyama M, Horiki N, Setoyama T, Matsuda M, Suzuki S, Iizuka Y, Fukuda K, Fujita Y. Endoscopic band ligation with a water-jet scope for the treatment of colonic diverticular hemorrhage. *Dig Endosc* 2010; **22**: 232-235
- 16 **Kohler A**, Maier M, Benz C, Martin WR, Farin G, Riemann JF. A new HF current generator with automatically controlled system (Endocut mode) for endoscopic sphincterotomy—preliminary experience. *Endoscopy* 1998; **30**: 351-355
- 17 **Ohkuwa M**, Hosokawa K, Boku N, Ohtu A, Tajiri H, Yoshida S. New endoscopic treatment for intramucosal gastric tumors using an insulated-tip diathermic knife. *Endoscopy* 2001; **33**: 221-226
- 18 **Kodashima S**, Fujishiro M, Yahagi N, Kakushima N, Omata M. Endoscopic submucosal dissection using flexknife. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006; **40**: 378-384
- 19 **Oyama T**, Tomori A, Hotta K, Morita S, Kominato K, Tanaka M, Miyata Y. Endoscopic submucosal dissection of early esophageal cancer. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005; **3**: S67-S70
- 20 **Kataoka M**, Kawai T, Yagi K, Tachibana C, Tachibana H, Sugimoto H, Hayama Y, Yamamoto K, Nonaka M, Aoki T, Oshima T, Fujiwara M, Fukuzawa M, Fukuzawa M, Kawakami K, Sakai Y, Moriyasu F. Clinical evaluation of emergency endoscopic hemostasis with bipolar forceps in non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Dig Endosc* 2010; **22**: 151-155
- 21 **Yamamoto H**, Yahagi N, Oyama T, Gotoda T, Doi T, Hirasaki S, Shimoda T, Sugano K, Tajiri H, Takekoshi T, Saito D. Usefulness and safety of 0.4% sodium hyaluronate solution as a submucosal fluid “cushion” in endoscopic resection for gastric neoplasms: a prospective multicenter trial. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2008; **67**: 830-839
- 22 **Dellon ES**, Hawk JS, Grimm IS, Shaheen NJ. The use of carbon dioxide for insufflation during GI endoscopy: a systematic review. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2009; **69**: 843-849
- 23 **Kuwano H**, Mochiki E, Asao T, Kato H, Shimura T, Tsutsumi S. Double endoscopic intraluminal operation for upper digestive tract diseases: proposal of a novel procedure. *Ann Surg* 2004; **239**: 22-27
- 24 **Ahn JY**, Choi KD, Choi JY, Kim MY, Lee JH, Choi KS, Kim DH, Song HJ, Lee GH, Jung HY, Kim JH. Transnasal endoscope-assisted endoscopic submucosal dissection for gastric adenoma and early gastric cancer in the pyloric area: a case series. *Endoscopy* 2011; **43**: 233-235
- 25 **Uraoka T**, Ishikawa S, Kato J, Higashi R, Suzuki H, Kaji E, Kuriyama M, Saito S, Akita M, Hori K, Harada K, Ishiyama S, Shiode J, Kawahara Y, Yamamoto K. Advantages of using thin endoscope-assisted endoscopic submucosal dissection technique for large colorectal tumors. *Dig Endosc* 2010; **22**: 186-191
- 26 **Yamamoto H**, Kawata H, Sunada K, Sasaki A, Nakazawa K, Miyata T, Sekine Y, Yano T, Satoh K, Ido K, Sugano K. Successful en-bloc resection of large superficial tumors in the stomach and colon using sodium hyaluronate and small-caliber-tip transparent hood. *Endoscopy* 2003; **35**: 690-694
- 27 **Hijikata Y**, Ogasawara N, Sasaki M, Mizuno M, Masui R, Tokudome K, Iida A, Miyashita M, Funaki Y, Kasugai K. Endoscopic submucosal dissection with sheath-assisted counter traction for early gastric cancers. *Dig Endosc* 2010; **22**: 124-128
- 28 **Kawano T**, Haruki S, Ogiya K, Kawada K, Nakajima Y, Nishikage T, Kojima K, Nagai K, Kawachi H. Reliability of endoscopic esophageal mucosectomy using TxHood, a multipurpose treatment hood. *Surg Endosc* 2008; **22**: 2466-2469
- 29 **Yonezawa J**, Kaise M, Sumiyama K, Goda K, Arakawa H, Tajiri H. A novel double-channel therapeutic endoscope

- ("R-scope") facilitates endoscopic submucosal dissection of superficial gastric neoplasms. *Endoscopy* 2006; **38**: 1011-1015
- 30 **Sakurazawa N**, Kato S, Miyashita M, Kiyama T, Fujita I, Kanno H, Tajiri T, Uchida E. A novel device, the anglerfish countertractor, is easy and safe to use in patients undergoing endoscopic submucosal dissection of gastric mucosal cancer. *J Nihon Med Sch* 2009; **76**: 122-123
- 31 **Kondo H**, Gotoda T, Ono H, Oda I, Kozu T, Fujishiro M, Saito D, Yoshida S. Percutaneous traction-assisted EMR by using an insulation-tipped electro-surgical knife for early stage gastric cancer. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004; **59**: 284-288
- 32 **von Delius S**, Karagianni A, von Weyhern CH, Feussner H, Schuster T, Schmid RM, Frimberger E. Percutaneously assisted endoscopic surgery using a new PEG-minitrocar for advanced endoscopic submucosal dissection (with videos). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2008; **68**: 365-369
- 33 **Kobayashi T**, Gotohda T, Tamakawa K, Ueda H, Kakizoe T. Magnetic anchor for more effective endoscopic mucosal resection. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2004; **34**: 118-123
- 34 **Imaeda H**, Iwao Y, Ogata H, Ichikawa H, Mori M, Hosoe N, Masaoka T, Nakashita M, Suzuki H, Inoue N, Aiura K, Nagata H, Kumai K, Hibi T. A new technique for endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer using an external grasping forceps. *Endoscopy* 2006; **38**: 1007-1010
- 35 **Matsumoto K**, Nagahara A, Sakamoto N, Suyama M, Konuma H, Morimoto T, Sagawa E, Ueyama H, Takahashi T, Beppu K, Shibuya T, Osada T, Yoshizawa T, Ogihara T, Watanabe S. A new traction device for facilitating endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) for early gastric cancer: the "medical ring". *Endoscopy* 2011; **43** Suppl 2 UCTN: E67-E68
- 36 **Parra-Blanco A**, Nicolas D, Arnau MR, Gimeno-Garcia AZ, Rodrigo L, Quintero E. Gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection assisted by a new traction method: the clip-band technique. A feasibility study in a porcine model (with video). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2011; **74**: 1137-1141
- 37 **Chen PJ**, Chu HC, Chang WK, Hsieh TY, Chao YC. Endoscopic submucosal dissection with internal traction for early gastric cancer (with video). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2008; **67**: 128-132
- 38 **Li CH**, Chen PJ, Chu HC, Huang TY, Shih YL, Chang WK, Hsieh TY. Endoscopic submucosal dissection with the pulley method for early-stage gastric cancer (with video). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2011; **73**: 163-167
- 39 **Jeon WJ**, You IY, Chae HB, Park SM, Youn SJ. A new technique for gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection: peroral traction-assisted endoscopic submucosal dissection. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2009; **69**: 29-33
- 40 **Sakurazawa N**, Kato S, Miyashita M, Kiyama T, Fujita I, Yamashita N, Saitou Y, Tajiri T, Uchida E. An innovative technique for endoscopic submucosal dissection of early gastric cancer using a new spring device. *Endoscopy* 2009; **41**: 929-933

S- Editor Yang XC L- Editor Webster JR E- Editor Yang XC