World Journal of *Hepatology*

World J Hepatol 2020 November 27; 12(11): 883-1135





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Hepatology

Contents

Monthly Volume 12 Number 11 November 27, 2020

REVIEW

883 Malnutrition in cirrhosis: More food for thought Chapman B, Sinclair M, Gow PJ, Testro AG

MINIREVIEWS

- 897 Paraneoplastic syndromes in cholangiocarcinoma Rahman SU, Sana MK, Tahir Z, Ali A, Shah PA
- 908 Noninvasive scores for the prediction of esophageal varices and risk stratification in patients with cirrhosis Bangaru S, Benhammou JN, Tabibian JH
- 919 Natremia and liver transplantation: The right amount of salt for a good recipe Lenci I, Milana M, Grassi G, Signorello A, Aglitti A, Baiocchi L

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

- 931 Inhibition of vascular adhesion protein-1 modifies hepatic steatosis in vitro and in vivo Shepherd EL, Karim S, Newsome PN, Lalor PF
- Aceclofenac-induced hepatotoxicity: An ameliorative effect of Terminalia bellirica fruit and ellagic acid 949 Gupta A, Pandey A
- 965 Obeticholic acid attenuates human immunodeficiency virus/alcohol metabolism-induced pro-fibrotic activation in liver cells

New-Aaron M, Ganesan M, Dagur RS, Kharbanda KK, Poluektova LY, Osna NA

976 Screening and identification of bioactive compounds from citrus against non-structural protein 3 protease of hepatitis C virus genotype 3a by fluorescence resonance energy transfer assay and mass spectrometry Khan M, Rauf W, Habib F, Rahman M, Iqbal M

Retrospective Cohort Study

- Cannabis use history is associated with increased prevalence of ascites among patients with nonalcoholic 993 fatty liver disease: A nationwide analysis Choi CJ, Weiss SH, Nasir UM, Pyrsopoulos NT
- 1004 Phase angle and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease before and after bariatric surgery Teixeira J, Marroni CA, Zubiaurre PR, Henz A, Faina L, Pinheiro LK, Mottin CC, Fernandes SA



Contents

Monthly Volume 12 Number 11 November 27, 2020

Retrospective Study

- 1020 Factors associated with 5-year survival of combined hepatocellular and cholangiocarcinoma Sempokuya T, Wien EA, Pattison RJ, Ma J, Wong LL
- 1031 Circulating miR-21-5p level has limited prognostic value in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and is influenced by renal function

Franck M, Thon C, Schütte K, Malfertheiner P, Link A

1046 Real impact of tumor marker AFP and PIVKA-II in detecting very small hepatocellular carcinoma (≤ 2 cm, Barcelona stage 0) - assessment with large number of cases

Tarao K, Nozaki A, Komatsu H, Komatsu T, Taguri M, Tanaka K, Chuma M, Numata K, Maeda S

- 1055 Non-invasive splenic parameters of portal hypertension: Assessment and utility Ahmad AK, Atzori S, Maurice J, Taylor-Robinson SD, Lim AKP
- 1067 Outcome of gastric antral vascular ectasia and related anemia after orthotopic liver transplantation Emhmed Ali S, Benrajab KM, Dela Cruz AC

Clinical Trials Study

1076 Hepatitis B surface antigen and hepatitis B core-related antigen kinetics after adding pegylated-interferon to nucleos(t)ids analogues in hepatitis B e antigen-negative patients

Broquetas T, Garcia-Retortillo M, Micó M, Canillas L, Puigvehí M, Cañete N, Coll S, Viu A, Hernandez JJ, Bessa X, Carrión JA

Observational Study

1089 Occurrence of seeding metastases in resectable perihilar cholangiocarcinoma and the role of low-dose radiotherapy to prevent this

Franken LC, Roos E, Saris J, van Hooft JE, van Delden OM, Verheij J, Erdmann JI, Besselink MG, Busch OR, van Tienhoven G, van Gulik TM

Randomized Controlled Trial

1098 Metalloproteinase expression after desflurane preconditioning in hepatectomies: A randomized clinical trial

Koraki E, Mantzoros I, Chatzakis C, Gkiouliava A, Cheva A, Lavrentieva A, Sifaki F, Argiriadou H, Kesisoglou I, Galanos-Demiris K, Bitsianis S, Tsalis K

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

1115 Clinical utility of viscoelastic testing in chronic liver disease: A systematic review Wei H, Child LJ

CASE REPORT

1128 Hepatocellular carcinoma with tumor thrombus extends to the right atrium and portal vein: A case report Gomez-Puerto D, Mirallas O, Vidal-González J, Vargas V



Contents

Monthly Volume 12 Number 11 November 27, 2020

ABOUT COVER

Associate editor of World Journal of Hepatology, Dr. Yong-Ping Yang is a Distinguished Professor at Peking University Health Science Center in Beijing, China. Having received his Bachelor's degree from Yanbian University in 1985, Dr. Yang undertook his postgraduate training at PLA Medical College, receiving his Master's degree in 1992. He rose to Chief Physician in the Hepatology Division of the Fifth Medical Center of the Chinese PLA General Hospital in 2003 and has held the position since. His ongoing research interests involve liver fibrosis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, with a particular focus on cryoablation and cryo-immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. Currently, he serves as Chairman of the Department of Liver Disease of the Chinese PLA General Hospital and as President of the Chinese Research Hospital Association for the Study of the Liver Disease. (L-Editor: Filipodia)

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Hepatology (WJH, World J Hepatol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJH mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including chronic cholestatic liver diseases, cirrhosis and its complications, clinical alcoholic liver disease, drug induced liver disease autoimmune, fatty liver disease, genetic and pediatric liver diseases, hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatic stellate cells and fibrosis, liver immunology, liver regeneration, hepatic surgery, liver transplantation, biliary tract pathophysiology, non-invasive markers of liver fibrosis, viral hepatitis.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJH is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Science and Technology Journal Database (CSTJ), and Superstar Journals Database.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Li-Li Wang, Production Department Director: Yun-Xiaojian Wu; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ping Yan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Hepatology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5182 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 31, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Nikolaos Pyrsopoulos, Ke-Qin Hu, Koo Jeong Kang	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
November 27, 2020	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2020 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com
© 2020 Baichidang Publiching Group Inc. All rights	received 7041 Koll Center Parlman Suite 160 Placenton CA 94566 USA

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



W J H World Journal of Henatology Hepatology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Hepatol 2020 November 27; 12(11): 1115-1127

DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v12.i11.1115

ISSN 1948-5182 (online)

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

Clinical utility of viscoelastic testing in chronic liver disease: A systematic review

Henry Wei, Lauren Jane Child

ORCID number: Henry Wei 0000-0002-5463-4039; Lauren Jane Child 0000-0002-7046-2476.

Author contributions: Wei H and Child L designed the research, analysed the data and wrote the paper; all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

PRISMA 2009 Checklist statement: The authors have read the PRISMA 2009 Checklist, and the manuscript was prepared and revised in accordance with this checklist

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/licenses /by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Henry Wei, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Middlemore Hospital, Auckland 1071, New Zealand

Lauren Jane Child, Department of Haematology, New Zealand Blood Services Epsom, Auckland 1051, New Zealand

Corresponding author: Henry Wei, FRACP, MBChB, Doctor, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Middlemore Hospital, 100 Hospital Road, Otahuhu, Auckland 2025, Auckland 1071, New Zealand. wiskeydelta@gmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Conventional coagulation tests are widely used in chronic liver disease to assess haemostasis and to guide blood product transfusion. This is despite the fact that conventional tests do not reliably separate those with a clinically significant coagulopathy from those who do not. Viscoelastic testing such as thromboelastography (TEG) correlate with bleeding risk and are more accurate in identifying those who will benefit from blood product transfusion. Despite this, viscoelastic tests have not been widely used in patients with chronic liver disease outside the transplant setting.

AIM

To assess the utility of Viscoelastic Testing guided transfusion in chronic liver disease patients presenting with bleeding or who require an invasive procedure.

METHODS

PubMed and Google Scholar searches were performed using the key words "thromboelastography", "TEG" or "viscoelastic" and "liver transplantation", "cirrhosis" or "liver disease" and "transfusion", "haemostasis", "blood management" or "haemorrhage". A full text review was undertaken and data was extracted from randomised control trials that evaluated the outcomes of viscoelastic test guided transfusion in those with liver disease. The study subjects, inclusion and exclusion criteria, methods, outcomes and length of follow up were examined. Data was extracted by two independent individuals using a standardized collection form. The risk of bias was assessed in the included studies.

RESULTS

A total of five randomised control trials included in the analysis examined the use



Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Country/Territory of origin: New Zealand

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B, B, B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: May 4, 2020 Peer-review started: May 4, 2020 First decision: May 24, 2020 Revised: June 1, 2020 Accepted: September 1, 2020 Article in press: September 1, 2020 Published online: November 27, 2020

P-Reviewer: Adam EH, Hilmi I, Ruiz-Margáin A S-Editor: Gong ZM L-Editor: A P-Editor: Li JH



of TEG guided blood product transfusion in cirrhosis prior to invasive procedures (n = 118), non-variceal haemorrhage (n = 96), variceal haemorrhage (n = 60) and liver transplantation (n = 28). TEG guided transfusion was effective in all five studies with a statistically significant reduction in overall blood product transfusion compared to standard of care. Four of the five studies reported a significant reduction in transfusion of fresh frozen plasma and platelets. Two studies showed a significant reduction in cryoprecipitate transfusion. No increased risk of bleeding was reported in the three trials where TEG was used perioperatively or prior to an invasive procedure. Two trials in the setting of cirrhotic variceal and non-variceal bleeding showed no difference in control of initial bleeding. In those with variceal bleeding, there was a statistically significant reduction in rate of re-bleeding at 42 d in the TEG arm 10% (vs 26.7% in the standard of care arm P = 0.012). Mortality data reported at various time points for all five trials from 6 wk up to 3 years was not statistically different between each arm. One trial in the setting of non-variceal bleeding demonstrated a significant reduction in adverse transfusion events in the TEG arm 30.6% (vs 74.5% in the control arm P < 0.01). In this study there was no significant difference in total hospital stay although length of stay in intensive care unit was reduced by an average of 2 d in the TEG arm (P = 0.012).

CONCLUSION

Viscoelastic testing has been shown to reduce blood product usage in chronic liver disease without compromising safety and may enable guidelines to be developed to ensure patients with liver disease are optimally managed.

Key Words: Viscoelastic testing; Thromboelastography; Rotational thrombelastometry; Coagulation; Chronic liver disease; End stage liver disease; Cirrhosis; Haemostasis

©The Author(s) 2020. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Conventional coagulation tests do not predict bleeding or thrombosis risk in liver cirrhosis. Viscoelastic testing such as thromboelastography is a point of care test which can better predict clinically significant coagulopathy and the need for blood product transfusion compared to conventional coagulation tests. Randomized control trials have shown the clinical benefits of viscoelastic testing in liver cirrhosis in the perioperative setting and in those presenting acutely with bleeding. The primary aim of this systematic review is to verify the utility of viscoelastic testing guided transfusion in chronic liver disease patients presenting with bleeding or who require an invasive procedure.

Citation: Wei H, Child LJ. Clinical utility of viscoelastic testing in chronic liver disease: A systematic review. World J Hepatol 2020; 12(11): 1115-1127 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/full/v12/i11/1115.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v12.i11.1115

INTRODUCTION

The liver plays a fundamental role in maintaining normal haemostasis. It synthesises the majority of clotting factors and anticoagulants and is involved in the regulation of platelet production through the synthesis of thrombopoietin (TPO). Chronic liver disease is associated with complex changes that result in a state of rebalanced homeostasis, where abnormalities in procoagulant factors are balanced by changes to anticoagulant factors^[1,2]. The most significant changes to coagulation are summarised in Table 1^[2-5].

Conventional coagulation tests such as prothrombin time (PT), international normalised ratio (INR) and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) are commonly used to assess haemostasis in patients with chronic liver disease. This is despite the fact these tests were never developed to provide information on complex



WJH https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Rebalanced haemostasis in chronic liver disease				
	Procoagulant factors	Anticoagulant factors		
Primary haemostasis	Increased vWF	Thrombocytopenia		
	Reduced ADAMTS13	+/- platelet dysfunction		
Secondary haemostasis/coagulation	High FVIII	Reduced synthesis of FII, FV, FVII, FIX and FXI		
	Reduced protein C, protein S and antithrombin	Dysfibrinogenaemia		
		Low fibrinogen (in end stage disease)		
Fibrinolysis	Low plasminogen	Low antiplasmin		
		Low TAFI		
	High PAI-1	High tPA		

ADAMTS13: A disintegrin and metalloproteinase with a thrombospondin type 1 motif, member 13; PAI-1: Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1; TAFI: Thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor; tPA: Tissue plasminogen activator; vWF: von Willebrand factor.

> haemostatic abnormalities and are not validated for predicting bleeding or thrombosis risk in cirrhosis^[5]. Conventional coagulation tests only measure the first 5%-10% of fibrin formation and provide no information on clot strength and stability, in vivo activity of natural anticoagulants or the complex interaction between clotting factors, platelets and the endothelium^[1]. While increases in PT and INR are associated with mortality in liver disease, there is no correlation between a raised PT, INR or APTT and risk of bleeding^[3]. A systematic review published in 2005 found no correlation between a prolonged PT and bleeding risk in patients undergoing liver biopsy^[6]. Preoperative PT/INR is also not predictive of bleeding risk in those undergoing liver transplantation^[5,7].

> Fresh frozen plasma (FFP) is often transfused in an attempt to normalise PT/INR in patients with liver disease^[3,5]. Major societal guidelines differ in their recommendations on the management of cirrhotic patients with gastrointestinal bleeding and abnormal coagulation profiles. The American Association for the Study of Liver Disease does not recommend correcting an abnormal INR in cirrhotic patients with portal hypertensive bleeding^[8]. In contrast, the British Society of Gastroenterology and the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy recommended correction of an abnormal INR in patients with acute variceal bleeding^[9,10]. Despite these recommendations, there is a lack of data to support the use of FFP in this setting. Multiple studies have demonstrated that transfusion of FFP has minimal in vivo effect on a mildly prolonged PT/INR in patients with liver disease^[3,5]. Other studies have demonstrated that thrombin generation, a dynamic and global measure of clot formation, remains normal in patients with cirrhosis despite a prolonged PT/INR and APTT^[11-13]. The use of FFP in patients with cirrhosis is not without harm and epidemiological studies have shown an increased risk of transfusion associated acute lung injury (TRALI)^[14]. FFP administration also results in volume expansion which can exacerbate portal hypertension, paradoxically increasing the risk of variceal bleeding^[15].

> The concept of rebalanced haemostasis in liver disease and limitations of the conventional tests of coagulation has led to renewed interest in the use of global haemostatic assays including viscoelastic tests of coagulation (VETs) in patients with liver disease^[2]. Compared to conventional tests, VETs such as thrombelastography (TEG) or rotational thrombelastometry (ROTEM) provide real time global assessment of clot formation in whole blood and information on the interaction between platelets and coagulation factors^[3]. The general concept of TEG and an example of a normal trace are provided in Figures 1 and 2. Table 2 provides a comparison of TEG and ROTEM parameters.

Relevance of this review

The use of VETs to guide perioperative transfusion is well established and widely used in liver transplantation^[2]. Despite this, VETs are not commonly used in patients with chronic liver disease outside the transplant setting. Observational and cohort studies in patients with liver disease have shown that alterations in TEG parameters correlate with bleeding risk. Pre-operative TEG MA is highly predictive of massive transfusion during liver transplantation^[16]. Unlike conventional tests of coagulation,



WJH https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Comparison of thromboelastography and rotational thrombelastometry parameters						
	Measurement	TEG	ROTEM			
Period of initial fibrin formation	Time (min) to reach an amplitude of 2 mm	Reaction time (R)	Clotting time			
Clot kinetics	Time (min) for clot amplitude to increase from 2 mm to 20 mm	Kinetics time (K)	Clot formation time			
Clot kinetics	Angle of tangent line from clot initiation to the slope of the developing curve	Alpha angle (α)	Alpha angle (a)			
Maximum clot strength	Peak amplitude (mm)	Maximum amplitude	Maximum clot firmness			
Clot stability/fibrinolysis	Percent reduction in curve at 30 and 60 minutes	Lysis 30 (LY30) and lysis 60 (LY60)	Lysis index 30 (LI 30)			

TEG: Thromboelastography; ROTEM: Rotational thrombelastometry.



Figure 1 Technique of thromboelastography. Whole blood is pipetted into a cup which then rotates around a pin. As clot forms, the resistance to rotation increases. This resistance is measured *via* an electromechanical transducer and displayed graphically with additional calculations performed by an integrated computer. Thromboelastography (TEG) was originally performed on non-citrated whole blood and required up to 60 min to complete. Citrated blood, re-calcified at the time of testing, is now commonly used and reduces the turnaround time to 30 min. Initiators of clotting such as Kaolin can also be added which further reduces test time. TEG has a faster turnaround time than conventional coagulation tests with initial results available within 10 min.

TEG has also been shown to predict re-bleeding in acute variceal haemorrhage^[17]. In cirrhotic patients with an acute infection, TEG parameters become hypocoagulable suggesting that cirrhotic patients have little haemostatic reserve. These observations explain the established link between infection and variceal bleeding in chronic liver disease^[17,18].

As abnormalities on conventional tests of coagulation do not correlate with bleeding risk they cannot be used to distinguish between surgical or anatomic causes of bleeding such as portal hypertension and bleeding due to an underlying coagulopathy. Recent randomised control trials suggest that VETs have the potential to more accurately identify those who will benefit from blood product transfusion thereby avoiding unnecessary transfusions which has financial, resource and safety implications^[19-23]. VETs may enable consistent and evidence-based guidelines to be developed to ensure that patients with liver disease, are optimally managed.

The aim of this systematic review is to assess the benefits and harms of using viscoelastic tests to guide blood product transfusion in patients with chronic liver disease who present with bleeding or require invasive procedures. To ensure that implementation of TEG and ROTEM is both safe and efficacious, this review will compare VETs with the conventional tests of coagulation and evaluate the implications of using TEG and ROTEM in patients with chronic liver disease.

Zaishidena® WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 2 Example of a thromboelastography tracing. Five parameters are routinely measured on thromboelastography (TEG). The reaction (R) time is recorded in minutes and measures the time taken from the start of blood clotting until initial fibrin formation, defined as when the TEG trace amplitude reaches 2 mm. R is dependent on coagulation factors and generally corresponds to INR/PT. The kinetic (K) time assesses the rate of clot formation. It is measured from R to the point where the trace amplification reaches 20 mm which corresponds to standard clot firmness. The K value is dependent on the clotting factors of the intrinsic pathway, fibrinogen and platelets. The α-angle also corresponds to the kinetics of clot formation and is measured from a line drawn from the base-line to the tangent of the curve at R. The maximum amplitude (MA) of the trace reflects clot strength and is largely dependent on platelet count/ function and to a lesser extent, fibrinogen concentration. Clot lysis is measured at 30 min (Ly-30) and reflects the degree of fibrinolysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This article adheres to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systemic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guideline^[24].

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies: We included original, randomised control trials that have been published in a medical journal in the English language irrespective of the blinding status. We excluded unpublished or observational trials from the analysis. Studies published in a language other than English were also excluded.

Types of participants: We included trials that examined the use of VETs in adult patients with chronic liver disease who presented with bleeding or required an invasive procedure. Trials involving children were excluded. There were no other subgroups of patient population that were excluded.

Types of interventions: We included trials comparing VET guided transfusion strategy with conventional tests of coagulation. Given the lack of consensus regarding transfusion strategies with conventional tests of coagulation, transfusion in the conventional care arm could be defined by the standard of care or guidelines that were in place at the centre performing the randomised control trial.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcome: Amount of blood products transfused. The amount of fresh frozen plasma, platelets and fibrinogen transfused were assessed. This includes the proportion of patients requiring transfusion of each blood product and the average amount of blood products transfused per patient.

Secondary outcomes: (1) Rates of bleeding in those undergoing an invasive procedure; (2) Rates of rebleeding in those presenting with bleeding; (3) Rates of adverse events related to blood transfusion; (4) Overall mortality using the longest follow-up data from each trial; (5) Length of stay in the intensive care unit; and (6) Number of days in hospital.

Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches: A literature search was performed on MEDLINE (PubMed) and Google Scholar to identify original, English language articles assessing the use of VETs in patients with chronic liver disease. MEDLINE and Google Scholar were chosen primarily because of the large number of individual articles and range of journals that are included on these databases. In addition, MEDLINE targets healthcare professionals and researchers ensuring that the articles are relevant. It is authoritative



WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com

and peer-reviewed so that the research included is well-designed and statistics are accurately represented. The search included articles published up until the 17th of November 2019.

Selection of studies and data extraction: The following search string was used on PubMed: [(thromboelastography OR TEG OR viscoelastic) AND ("liver transplantation" OR cirrhosis OR liver disease) AND (transfusion OR haemostasis OR "blood management" OR haemorrhage)].

The following search string was used on Google Scholar: All of the words (thromboelastography, TEG, viscoelastic, liver transplantation, cirrhosis, liver disease, transfusion, haemostasis, blood management, haemorrhage).

The titles and abstracts of the articles were screened using the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria detailed above. A full text review was then undertaken on the articles that met the inclusion criteria. Data was extracted from randomised control trials that evaluated the outcomes of VET guided transfusion in those with liver disease. The study subjects, inclusion and exclusion criteria, methods, outcomes and length of follow up were examined.

Data was extracted by two independent individuals using a data extraction form developed for this purpose. The risk of bias was assessed in the included studies by use of the Cochrane Collaboration tool for assessment of risk of bias^[25].

RESULTS

Results of the search

The MEDLINE search generated 348 results and the Google scholar search generated 483 results. There were 71 duplicates across the two databases which left a total of 760 results. Five articles met the eligibility criteria based on title and abstract review and were included in the analysis following full text review (Figure 3)^[19-23].

Analysis of the randomised control trials

Included studies: The five randomised control trials included in the analysis examined the use of TEG in guiding blood product transfusion^[19-23]. None of the studies utilised ROTEM. A range of different TEG methods were used. One trial used the TEG5000 analyser with native blood^[21] whereas another two trials used the TEG5000 analyser with a kaolin activator^[20,23]. Two trials used the MonoTEM-A analyser on native whole blood^[19,22]. As a consequence of the differing TEG analysers and methodology used, the thresholds for TEG guided transfusion differed significantly between the clinical trials (Table 3). The thresholds for transfusion were consistent across the studies utilising the same TEG analyser and method.

All clinical trials utilised conventional coagulation tests as the control. The thresholds for transfusion were based on major societal guidelines and the thresholds for transfusion of FFP and platelets were consistent across all five studies. FFP was administered when the INR was \geq 1.8 (PT used in one study^[20]) and platelets transfusion when the platelet count was $< 50 \times 10^{9[19-23]}$

The included trials examined the utility of TEG in guiding blood product transfusion in a variety of settings. One randomised control trial examined the use of TEG in orthotopic liver transplantation^[20]. Two trials examined the use of TEG prior to invasive procedures^[19,21]. One trial examined the use of TEG in patients presenting with a variceal bleeding^[22] and the final study examined the use of TEG in cirrhotic patients with non-variceal bleeding^[23].

The study by Wang et al^[20] in the setting of orthotopic liver transplantation was relevant to investigate the consistency of TEG to safely guide transfusion therapies in the setting of major surgery. This study included advanced liver disease patients with an overall model for end-stage liver disease score of 11.3 and deranged coagulation parameters considered to be at high risk of bleeding. These were patients who had similar baseline factors and definitions of coagulopathy compared to the two randomized trials examining the use of TEG prior to invasive procedures outside of the transplant setting^[19,21].

Risk of bias in the included studies: The overall methodologic quality of the studies was moderate to high (Figure 4) with an overall low risk of bias seen in 2 of the 5 studies (40%)^[22,23] and no studies demonstrating an overall high risk of bias. The randomisation process was satisfactorily performed in 40% of the studies^[22,23] and data regarding deviations from the pre-set protocol was satisfactorily reported in



WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 3 Randomised control trials assessing the use of thromboelastography in liver disease							
Ref.	Year	No. of patients	Method of TEG	TEG thresholds for transfusion	SOC thresholds for transfusion	Outcomes: Blood product usage	Outcomes: Other
Wang	2010	28	TEG 5000	FFP titrated to maintain	FFP titrated to maintain PT	Statistically significant reduction in FFP use in TEG group (12.8 units in TEG group $x \ge 215$ units in a control group $B \le 0.05$)	Trend towards reduction in blood loss in the
ei ui-		14 TEG	Kaolin	K time < 10 min	and AP11 at less than one and a half times control	group <i>vs</i> 21.5 unus in control group, <i>P</i> < 0.05)	LEG arm (not statistically significant)
		14 SOC	activated	SDAP when MA < 55 mm*			
				5 pooled units of cryoprecipitate when alpha angle < 45 degrees**	Platelets to maintain a platelet count $\ge 50 \times 10^9$	No reduction in RBC, Platelet or cryoprecipitate use	No statistically significant difference in mortality at 3 yr
					Cryoprecipitate to maintain fibrinogen > 1 g/L		
De Pietri <i>et al</i> ^[21]	2016	60	TEG 5000	FFP, 10 mL/kg*** when R time > 40 min ¹	FFP, 10 mL/kg*** when INR > 1.8	Statistically significant reduction in FFP use in TEG group. (Total amount of FFP transfused in those undergoing a low risk procedure: 4000 mL in TEG group vs 11050 mL in SOC group, $P = 0.002$) (Total amount of FFP transfused in those undergoing a high-risk procedure: 0 mL in TEG group vs 6500 mL in SOC group)	No statistically significant difference in periprocedural bleeding complications.
		30 TEG	Native blood (no activators)				
		30 SOC	· · ·				
				SDAP when MA < 30 mm*	SDAP when platelets < 50 × 10 ⁹ *	Statistically significant reduction in platelets transfused. (6.7% required a platelet transfusion in the TEG arm vs 33.3% in the SOC arm, $P = 0.021$)	Periprocedural bleeding events were rare with only one patient experiencing post procedure bleeding.
Rout $et al^{[22]}$	2019	60	MonoTEM-A®	FFP, 5 mL/kg*** when R	FFP, 5 mL/kg*** when INR	Statistically significant reduction in FFP use. (13.3% receiving FFP in the TEG group z_{2} 46.7% in the SOC group $P = 0.010.1345$ ml LEP transfused in the	No difference in initial control of bleeding
ci ui		30 TEG Na	Native (no activators)		- 1.0	TEG group vs 4605 mL in the SOC)	
		30 SOC)	3 pooled units of platelets when MA < 30 mm*	3 pooled units of platelets when platelet count < 50 ×		No difference in rates of re-bleeding at 5 d
			1	10 ⁹ *	Statistically significant reduction in platelets transfused (10% in TEG group <i>vs</i> 70% SOC group <i>P</i> < 0.001. Total vol. of platelets transfused: 450 mL platelets in the TEG group <i>vs</i> 3450 mL in the SOC)	Statistically significant reduction in rebleeding at 42 d (10% in the TEG group vs 36.7% in SOC, P = 0.012)	
						No difference in RBC transfusion	No difference in mortality at 6 wk (13.3% in TEG group vs 26.7% in SOC, $P = 0.176$)
Kumar et al ^[23]	2019	96	TEG 5000	FFP, 10 mL/kg*** when R time > 10 min	FFP, 10 mL/kg*** if INR > 1.8	Statistically significant reduction in FFP use (Total FFP transfused 440 mL in TEG vs 880 mL in SOC, $P \le 0.01$)	Statistically significant reduction in transfusion related adverse events (30.6% in TEG group vs
		49 TEG	Kaolin activated	lin vated			74.5% in SOC $P < 0.01$) ²
		47 SOC	17 SOC	SDAP when MA < 55 mm*	SDAP when platelets < 50 × 10^{9*}	Statistically significant reduction in platelets transfused (Average of 1 SDAP unit per patient in TEG group vs 2 SDAP units in SOC, $P < 0.01$)	
				5 pooled units of cryoprecipitate when alpha angle < 45 degrees**	5 pooled units of cryoprecipitate if fibrinogen < 80 mg/dL**		Statistically significant reduction in ICU length of stay (median of 2 d in TEG arm vs 3 d in SOC. $P = 0.012$)
				0		Statistically significant reduction in amount of cryoprecipitate used. (4 units in TEG group vs 16 in SOC group. $P < 0.01$)	No difference in failure to control bleeding at day 5 or rebleeding at day 42.

							No difference in 5-d and 42-d mortality
Vuyyuru et al ^[19]	2019	58 29 TEG	MonoTEM-A® Native (no	FFP 5 mL/kg when R time > 14 min***	FFP 5 mL/kg*** if INR \ge 1.8	No statistically significant difference in the amount of FFP transfused (24.1% requiring FFP in the TEG group vs 27.6% in the SOC, P = 0.764)	No difference in post procedure bleeding complications (0% in both groups)
		295OC	activators)	3 pooled units of platelets when MA < 32 mm*	3 pooled units of platelets when platelet count < 50 × 10^{9*}	Statistically significant reduction in platelets transfused (10.3% requiring platelet transfusion in the TEG group vs 75.9% in the SOC group, $P < 0.001$)	No difference in pre and post procedure haemoglobin levels (TEG group: 11.3 ± 2.1 g/dL vs 11.2 \pm 2.0 g/dL, P = 0.979; SOC group: $10.4 \pm$ 2.1 g/dL vs 10.2 \pm 2.0 g/dL, P = 0.205)

*In the above clinical trials, 1 SDAP unit corresponds to 6-8 pooled platelet units from whole blood donation. **When whole blood is used as the source for cryoprecipitate, 5 pooled units of is equivalent to 700 mg of fibrinogen. ***Ideal body weight used.

¹Natural whole blood used without added activators. Normal R time using this TEG method is 12-26 min.

²Higher than expected adverse reaction rate, including TRALI rates, not discussed in the paper. No information given about type of blood components used *i.e.*, leucodepleted *vs* non-leucodepleted. APTT: Activated partial thromboplastin time; FFP: Fresh frozen plasma; INR: International normalised ratio; MA: Maximum amplitude; PT: Prothrombin time; R: Reaction time; RBC: Red blood cells; SDAP: Single donor apheresis platelets; SOC: Standard of care; TEG: Thromboelastography.

60%^[19,22,23]. None of the studies included had missing outcome data or selective outcome reporting.

Effects of Interventions on the primary outcomes

All five studies reported a statistically significant reduction in overall blood product use with TEG guided transfusion^[19-23]. The trials reported different outcomes with regards to the transfusion of specific blood products such as FFP, platelets, cryoprecipitate and red blood cells.

Four of the five studies reported a statistically significant reduction in FFP use^[20-23]. In those presenting with variceal bleeding, 13.3% required FFP in the TEG arm compared to 46.7% in the conventional arm (P = 0.010)^[22]. The absolute volume of FFP transfused was also markedly reduced in the TEG arm where 1345 mL of FFP was transfused compared with 4605 mL in the control arm^[22]. The two studies examining the use of TEG prior to invasive procedures yielded different results with one trial showing a significant reduction in FFP use and the other showing no difference^[19,21]. The two trials used different TEG analysers and methodology. As such, the transfusion thresholds in the TEG arm cannot be compared although the transfusion thresholds in the control group were identical. While not statistically significant, the trial which did not show a difference in FFP use had a higher number of patients with Childs Pugh B and C in the TEG arm than in the control arm (55.2% vs 31%)^[19]. As the haemostatic rebalance is often lost in those with very advanced liver failure, this may have impacted on the results^[26].

A statistically significant reduction in platelet transfusion was also reported in four of the five clinical trials^[19,21-23] with no difference seen in the liver transplant trial^[20]. Both trials that examined the use of TEG in cirrhotic patients requiring invasive







Figure 4 Author-judged risk of bias for each included study.

procedures showed significantly lower rates of platelet use^[19,21]. In one study, 13.3% required platelet transfusion in the TEG group versus 46.7% in the control group (P < 0.001)^[22]. Again, the total volume transfused was markedly lower with 450mL transfused in the TEG group *vs* 3405 mL in the standard of care arm^[22].

The amount of cryoprecipitate transfused was only measured in two trials^[20,23]. In the liver transplant trial, there was no statistically significant difference in cryoprecipitate transfusion between the two groups^[20]. In the study examining the use of TEG in those with non-variceal bleeding a statistically significant reduction was seen where 4 units of cryoprecipitate were used in the TEG group compared with 16 in the standard of care group (where each unit consisted of 5 pooled units of cryoprecipitate)^[23].

Effects of intervention on the secondary outcome

Rates of bleeding in those undergoing an invasive procedure: There was no statistically significant difference in blood loss and/or bleeding events in the three



WJH https://www.wjgnet.com

trials which examined the use of TEG perioperatively or prior to an invasive procedure^[19-21]. One trial measured the haemoglobin levels prior to and following each invasive procedure and found no significant difference in the levels between the TEG group and control group^[19]. In all three studies, periprocedural bleeding rates were low in both [19-21]. Despite several patients having Childs-Pugh B and C disease, one study reported no bleeding complications in either arm following high-risk procedures including percutaneous liver biopsies^[19].

Rates of rebleeding in those presenting with bleeding: Two trials examined the use of TEG in cirrhotic patients presenting with bleeding complications^[22,23]. There was no difference in the ability to control initial bleeding between the TEG and conventional care groups. In those presenting with variceal bleeding, there was a statistically and clinically significant difference in the rate of re-bleeding at 42 d. 10% of those in the TEG group re-bled compared to 26.7% in the standard of care arm $(P = 0.012)^{[22]}$. In the study of patients with non-variceal bleeding, no significant difference in rebleeding was seen at up to 42 d follow up^[23].

Rates of adverse events related to blood transfusion: The rates of transfusion reactions and/or adverse events were reported in four out of the five studies^[19,21-23] with no data available from the liver transplantation study^[20]. Only one trial demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in adverse events related to transfusion where 30.6% had an adverse event in the TEG group versus 74.5% in the control arm^[23]. These reaction rates are much higher than expected even in this highrisk population. The authors report a TRALI rate of 12.2% in the TEG arm versus 48.9% in the conventional arm^[23]. The transfusion reactions were independently assessed by a panel of 3 experts to ensure appropriate classification. There is no mention of whether non-leucodepleted products were used in this trial which could potentially explain these unexpected results. In all other trials, transfusion reactions occurred infrequently with only two patients in all of the control groups and zero patients in the TEG groups experiencing an adverse transfusion event^[19-22].

Overall mortality using the longest follow-up data from each trial: Mortality data was reported at various time points for all five trials with no statistically significant difference reported between the TEG group and control arm in any study^[19-23]. In the liver transplant trial, there was no difference in overall survival at 3 years^[20]. In the variceal bleeding trial, the mortality rate was high in both arms as one might expect in this high-risk population. The mortality rate was 13.3% at 6 wk in the TEG arm versus 26.7% in the control arm with a P value of 0.76^[22]. The small number of participants included in each individual trial means that not all trials were adequately powered to assess a statistically significant difference in mortality.

Length of stay in the intensive care unit: Only one trial reported on length of stay in the intensive care unit. Following a presentation with non-variceal bleeding, there was a statistically significant reduction in the length of ICU stay. This was reported to be an average of 2 d in the TEG group versus 3 days in the control arm $(P = 0.012)^{[23]}$.

Number of days in hospital: Length of hospital stay was only reported in the study examining the use of TEG in non-variceal bleeding^[23]. Length of hospital stay did not differ significantly between the two groups.

DISCUSSION

It is now widely accepted that chronic liver disease results in a state of rebalanced haemostasis where a reduction in procoagulant factors is balanced by a reduction in anticoagulant factors^[3]. While conventional tests of coagulation are commonly used in patients with chronic liver disease, there is no correlation between a prolonged PT or INR and risk of bleeding in this patient group. While a minority of patients with liver disease are at an increased risk of bleeding, the conventional tests of coagulation do not reliably separate those who have a clinically significant coagulopathy from those who do not^[3]. The haemostatic management of cirrhotic patients with a baseline coagulopathy on conventional testing remains difficult with a significant variation in clinical practice. The use of FFP to correct an abnormal PT or INR remains common practice despite a lack of evidence demonstrating clinical benefit^[3,5]. The potential harms of transfusion in this patient group are well documented^[5].

VETs have significant potential to inform and improve the haemostatic management of patients with chronic liver disease. Alteration in TEG parameters have



been shown to correlate with bleeding risk in this patient group. TEG guided transfusion has been shown to reduce allogeneic blood product use in cirrhotic patients who require invasive procedures, including liver transplantation and in those presenting with variceal and non-variceal gastrointestinal bleeding^[19,23]. The reduction in blood product use in five randomised control trials was not associated with an increased risk of bleeding, difference in the ability to control bleeding, morbidity or mortality when compared to standard care. In acute variceal haemorrhage, the rate of re-bleeding at 42 d was significantly lower with TEG guided transfusion^[22]. Although the numbers included in each individual randomised control trial are small and there are differences in methodology and TEG cut-offs, the outcomes suggest a clinical benefit from TEG monitoring in chronic liver disease. The randomised control data available suggests that TEG provides a more accurate assessment of haemostasis, including bleeding risk and provides a more meaningful guide for blood product administration than conventional tests of coagulation in patients with chronic liver disease.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the poor predictive value of conventional coagulation tests in chronic liver disease has led to renewed interest in the use of global measures of haemostasis. Randomised control trials have confirmed earlier observations that VETs are more accurate in assessing bleeding risk and reduce blood product usage in chronic liver disease without compromising safety. While additional prospective randomised trials are needed to establish appropriate transfusion thresholds, VETs may enable consistent and evidence-based guidelines to be developed to ensure that patients with liver disease, are optimally managed.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Conventional coagulation tests do not predict bleeding or thrombosis risk in liver cirrhosis. Viscoelastic tests of coagulation (VETs) such as thrombelastography (TEG) is a point of care test that can predict clinically significant coagulopathy and the need for blood product transfusion. Despite this, VETs have not been widely used in patients with chronic liver disease outside the transplant setting.

Research motivation

The systematic review provides a summary and evaluation of existing clinical evidence for VET guided transfusion in chronic liver disease. This data will be important to improve the haemostatic management in these patients.

Research objectives

To verify the utility of VET guided transfusion in chronic liver disease patients presenting with bleeding or who require an invasive procedure.

Research methods

A comprehensive systematic literature search was performed according to the methodology of evidenced-based medicine. We included randomized controlled trials that compared the use of VET guided transfusion to conventional coagulation tests in the setting of chronic liver disease who presented with bleeding or required an invasive procedure.

Research results

Five studies were included in the analysis examining the use of TEG guided blood product transfusion in cirrhosis prior to invasive procedures, non-variceal haemorrhage, variceal haemorrhage and liver transplantation. TEG guided transfusion reduced overall blood product utilization compared to standard of care in all five studies. No increase in length of stay, mortality or risk of bleeding was observed. In those presenting with variceal bleeding, there was a statistically significant reduction in rate of re-bleeding at 42 d in the TEG arm versus standard of care.

Zaishidene® WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com

Research conclusions

This systematic review highlights the role of VET in reducing blood product utilization in chronic liver disease without compromising safety and may enable guidelines to be developed to ensure patients with liver disease are optimally managed.

Research perspectives

There is an urgent need to develop protocols utilizing VET to guide transfusion in liver cirrhosis outside of the transplant setting in order to optimize haemostatic management of these patients.

REFERENCES

- Saner FH, Bezinover D. Assessment and management of coagulopathy in critically-ill patients with liver 1 failure. Curr Opin Crit Care 2019; 25: 179-186 [PMID: 30855324 DOI: 10.1097/MCC.00000000000591]
- Stravitz RT. Potential applications of thromboelastography in patients with acute and chronic liver disease. 2 Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y) 2012; 8: 513-520 [PMID: 23293564]
- Kujovich JL. Coagulopathy in liver disease: a balancing act. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 3 2015; 2015: 243-249 [PMID: 26637729 DOI: 10.1182/asheducation-2015.1.243]
- Tripodi A, Primignani M, Chantarangkul V, Clerici M, Dell'Era A, Fabris F, Salerno F, Mannucci PM. Thrombin generation in patients with cirrhosis: the role of platelets. Hepatology 2006; 44: 440-445 [PMID: 16871542 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21266]
- Mallett SV, Chowdary P, Burroughs AK. Clinical utility of viscoelastic tests of coagulation in patients with 5 liver disease. Liver Int 2013; 33: 961-974 [PMID: 23638693 DOI: 10.1111/liv.12158]
- Segal JB, Dzik WH; Transfusion Medicine/Hemostasis Clinical Trials Network. Paucity of studies to support that abnormal coagulation test results predict bleeding in the setting of invasive procedures: an evidencebased review. Transfusion 2005; 45: 1413-1425 [PMID: 16131373 DOI: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2005.00546.x]
- Curry NS, Davenport R, Pavord S, Mallett SV, Kitchen D, Klein AA, Maybury H, Collins PW, Laffan M, 7 The use of viscoelastic haemostatic assays in the management of major bleeding: A British Society for Haematology Guideline. Br J Haematol 2018; 182: 789-806 [PMID: 30073664 DOI: 10.1111/bjh.15524]
- Garcia-Tsao G, Abraldes JG, Berzigotti A, Bosch J. Portal hypertensive bleeding in cirrhosis: Risk stratification, diagnosis, and management: 2016 practice guidance by the American Association for the study of liver diseases. Hepatology 2017; 65: 310-335 [PMID: 27786365 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28906]
- Tripathi D, Stanley AJ, Hayes PC, Patch D, Millson C, Mehrzad H, Austin A, Ferguson JW, Olliff SP, Hudson M, Christie JM; Clinical Services and Standards Committee of the British Society of Gastroenterology. U.K. guidelines on the management of variceal haemorrhage in cirrhotic patients. Gut 2015; 64: 1680-1704 [PMID: 25887380 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309262]
- Hwang JH, Shergill AK, Acosta RD, Chandrasekhara V, Chathadi KV, Decker GA, Early DS, Evans JA, 10 Fanelli RD, Fisher DA, Foley KQ, Fonkalsrud L, Jue T, Khashab MA, Lightdale JR, Muthusamy VR, Pasha SF, Saltzman JR, Sharaf R, Cash BD; American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. The role of endoscopy in the management of variceal hemorrhage. Gastrointest Endosc 2014; 80: 221-227 [PMID: 25034836 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2013.07.023]
- Tripodi A, Salerno F, Chantarangkul V, Clerici M, Cazzaniga M, Primignani M, Mannuccio Mannucci P. 11 Evidence of normal thrombin generation in cirrhosis despite abnormal conventional coagulation tests. Hepatology 2005; 41: 553-558 [PMID: 15726661 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20569]
- 12 Gatt A, Riddell A, Calvaruso V, Tuddenham EG, Makris M, Burroughs AK. Enhanced thrombin generation in patients with cirrhosis-induced coagulopathy. J Thromb Haemost 2010; 8: 1994-2000 [PMID: 20546119 DOI: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2010.03937.x]
- 13 Tripodi A, Chantarangkul V, Primignani M, Clerici M, Dell'era A, Aghemo A, Mannucci PM. Thrombin generation in plasma from patients with cirrhosis supplemented with normal plasma: considerations on the efficacy of treatment with fresh-frozen plasma. Intern Emerg Med 2012; 7: 139-144 [PMID: 21298360 DOI: 10.1007/s11739-011-0528-41
- Benson AB, Austin GL, Berg M, McFann KK, Thomas S, Ramirez G, Rosen H, Silliman CC, Moss M. Transfusion-related acute lung injury in ICU patients admitted with gastrointestinal bleeding. Intensive Care Med 2010; 36: 1710-1717 [PMID: 20658125 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-010-1954-x]
- Mannucci PM, Tripodi A. Liver disease, coagulopathies and transfusion therapy. Blood Transfus 2013; 11: 15 32-36 [PMID: 23058863 DOI: 10.2450/2012.0151-12]
- 16 Lawson PJ, Moore HB, Moore EE, Stettler GR, Pshak TJ, Kam I, Silliman CC, Nydam TL. Preoperative thrombelastography maximum amplitude predicts massive transfusion in liver transplantation. J Surg Res 2017; 220: 171-175 [PMID: 29180179 DOI: 10.1016/j.jss.2017.05.115]
- 17 Chau TN, Chan YW, Patch D, Tokunaga S, Greenslade L, Burroughs AK. Thrombelastographic changes and early rebleeding in cirrhotic patients with variceal bleeding. Gut 1998; 43: 267-271 [PMID: 10189856 DOI: 10.1136/gut.43.2.267]
- Thalheimer U, Triantos CK, Samonakis DN, Patch D, Burroughs AK. Infection, coagulation, and variceal 18 bleeding in cirrhosis. Gut 2005; 54: 556-563 [PMID: 15753544 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2004.048181]
- 19 Vuyyuru SK, Singh AD, Gamanagatti SR, Rout G, Gunjan D, Shalimar. A Randomized Control Trial of Thromboelastography-Guided Transfusion in Cirrhosis for High-Risk Invasive Liver-Related Procedures. Dig Dis Sci 2020; 65: 2104-2111 [PMID: 31720889 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-019-05939-2]
- Wang SC, Shieh JF, Chang KY, Chu YC, Liu CS, Loong CC, Chan KH, Mandell S, Tsou MY. 20 Thromboelastography-guided transfusion decreases intraoperative blood transfusion during orthotopic liver



transplantation: randomized clinical trial. Transplant Proc 2010; 42: 2590-2593 [PMID: 20832550 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2010.05.144]

- De Pietri L, Bianchini M, Montalti R, De Maria N, Di Maira T, Begliomini B, Gerunda GE, di Benedetto F, 21 Garcia-Tsao G, Villa E. Thrombelastography-guided blood product use before invasive procedures in cirrhosis with severe coagulopathy: A randomized, controlled trial. Hepatology 2016; 63: 566-573 [PMID: 26340411 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28148]
- 22 Rout G, Shalimar, Gunjan D, Mahapatra SJ, Kedia S, Garg PK, Nayak B. Thromboelastography-guided Blood Product Transfusion in Cirrhosis Patients With Variceal Bleeding: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Clin Gastroenterol 2020; 54: 255-262 [PMID: 31008867 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.00000000001214]
- Kumar M, Ahmad J, Maiwall R, Choudhury A, Bajpai M, Mitra LG, Saluja V, Mohan Agarwal P, Bihari C, 23 Shasthry SM, Jindal A, Bhardwaj A, Kumar G, Sarin SK. Thromboelastography-Guided Blood Component Use in Patients With Cirrhosis With Nonvariceal Bleeding: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Hepatology 2020; 71: 235-246 [PMID: 31148204 DOI: 10.1002/hep.30794]
- 24 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA Statement. Open Med 2009; 3: e123-e130 [PMID: 21603045]
- 25 Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, Cates CJ, Cheng HY, Corbett MS, Eldridge SM, Emberson JR, Hernán MA, Hopewell S, Hróbjartsson A, Junqueira DR, Jüni P, Kirkham JJ, Lasserson T, Li T, McAleenan A, Reeves BC, Shepperd S, Shrier I, Stewart LA, Tilling K, White IR, Whiting PF, Higgins JPT. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2019; **366**: 14898 [PMID: 31462531 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.14898]
- 26 De Pietri L, Bianchini M, Rompianesi G, Bertellini E, Begliomini B. Thromboelastographic reference ranges for a cirrhotic patient population undergoing liver transplantation. World J Transplant 2016; 6: 583-593 [PMID: 27683637 DOI: 10.5500/wjt.v6.i3.583]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

