World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics*

World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther 2023 July 5; 14(4): 33-38





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J G P T

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

Contents

Bimonthly Volume 14 Number 4 July 5, 2023

CASE REPORT

Hepatitis C virus treatment with glecaprevir and pibrentasvir in patients co-prescribed carbamazepine: 33 Three case reports

Braude M, Ratnam DT, Marsh L, Abasszade JH, Dev AT



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

Bimonthly Volume 14 Number 4 July 5, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Neil L Julie, MD, BSc, Doctor, Department of Gastroenterology, 4801 Hampden Lane, Bethesda, MD 20814, United States. neilljulie@aol.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics (WJGPT, World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal pharmacology and therapeutics with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGPT mainly publishes articles reporting research results obtained in the field of gastrointestinal pharmacology and therapeutics and covering a wide range of topics including acid-related disorders, functional gastrointestinal disorders, fundamentals of gastrointestinal pharmacology, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGPT is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Zi-Hang Xu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS			
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204			
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS			
ISSN 2150-5349 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287			
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH			
May 6, 2010	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240			
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS			
Bimonthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288			
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT			
Emanuele Sinagra	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208			
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE			
https://www.wjgnet.com/2150-5349/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242			
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS			
July 5, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239			
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION			
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com			

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



N J

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther 2023 July 5; 14(4): 33-38

DOI: 10.4292/wjgpt.v14.i4.33

ISSN 2150-5349 (online)

CASE REPORT

Hepatitis C virus treatment with glecaprevir and pibrentasvir in patients co-prescribed carbamazepine: Three case reports

Michael Braude, Dilip T Ratnam, Louise Marsh, Joshua H Abasszade, Anouk T Dev

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Villela-Nogueira CA, Brazil; Yang SS, Taiwan

Received: April 2, 2023 Peer-review started: April 2, 2023 First decision: April 20, 2023 Revised: May 26, 2023 Accepted: June 19, 2023 Article in press: June 19, 2023 Published online: July 5, 2023



Michael Braude, Dilip T Ratnam, Joshua H Abasszade, Anouk T Dev, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Monash Health, Clayton 3168, VIC, Australia

Louise Marsh, General Practice, Margaret River Medical Centre, Margaret River 6285, Australia

Corresponding author: Michael Braude, MBBS, PhD, FRACP, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Monash Health, 246 Clayton Road, Clayton 3168, VIC, Australia. mrh.braude@gmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Highly effective and well-tolerated direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapies have revolutionised the management of hepatitis C virus (HCV); however, niche populations face treatment barriers. DAAs co-prescribed with several firstgeneration anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs) are contraindicated due to drug-drug interactions. A common example is carbamazepine whereby steady-state carbamazepine reduces the maximum concentration and area under the curve of velpatasvir, glecaprevir and pibrentasvir due to potent cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 induction. Carbamazepine also induces P-glycoprotein which reduces glecaprevir and pibrentasvir's area under curve to infinite time. Sofosbuvirvelpatasvir and glecaprevir-pibrentasvir are contraindicated in patients who are co-prescribed carbamazepine due to the risk of reduced DAA therapeutic effect and consequently, virological treatment failure. This presents a challenge for patients in whom carbamazepine substitution is medically unfeasible, impractical or unacceptable. However, the properties of current generation DAA therapies, including high-potency non-structural protein 5A inhibitory effect, may be sufficient to overcome reduced bioavailability arising from carbamazepine related CYP 3A4 and P-glycoprotein induction.

CASE SUMMARY

We present a case series of three patients with non-cirrhotic, treatment-naïve, genotype 1a, 1b, and 3a HCV who were treated with a 12 wk course of glecaprevir-pibrentasvir, while co-prescribed carbamazepine for seizure disorders. Glecaprevir-pibrentasvir combination therapy was chosen due to its potent in vitro activity and low barrier to pan-genotypic resistance associated variants. DAA therapy was dose-separated from carbamazepine to maximise time to peak concentration, and taken with meals to improve absorption. Sustained virological



Braude M et al. Glecaprevir-pibrentasvir with co-prescribed carbamazepine

response at 12 wk was achieved in each patient with no adverse outcomes.

CONCLUSION

DAA therapies, including glecaprevir-pibrentasvir, warrant consideration as a therapeutic agent in people with HCV who are co-prescribed carbamazepine, particularly if AED substitution is not feasible.

Key Words: Antiepileptic drugs; Drug interactions; Hepatitis C virus; Sustained virological response; Health care access; Case report

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Current hepatitis C virus (HCV) direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapies are not recommended in patients who are co-prescribed carbamazepine. For glecaprevir-pibrentasvir, this is primarily due to carbamazepine's potent induction of cytochrome P450 3A4 and P-glycoprotein which reduces DAA plasma concentration and may therefore lead to virological failure. Despite theoretical reduction in DAA bioavailability, glecaprevir-pibrentasvir, prescribed over 12-wk, may be an effective treatment for non-cirrhotic patients with HCV who are co-prescribed carbamazepine. Glecaprevir-pibrentasvir should be considered for management of HCV in non-cirrhotic patients who are unable to substitute carbamazepine therapy. Further pharmacokinetic and potency data are required, in addition to further data in patients with cirrhosis.

Citation: Braude M, Ratnam DT, Marsh L, Abasszade JH, Dev AT. Hepatitis C virus treatment with glecaprevir and pibrentasvir in patients co-prescribed carbamazepine: Three case reports. *World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther* 2023; 14(4): 33-38 **URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/2150-5349/full/v14/i4/33.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.4292/wjgpt.v14.i4.33

INTRODUCTION

Highly effective and well tolerated direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapies have revolutionised the management of hepatitis C virus (HCV). Despite this, multiple barriers to HCV elimination exist, including niche drug-drug interactions. One such example pertains to carbamazepine. Carbamazepine is an anti-epileptic drug (AED) which is commonly prescribed for management of epilepsy, including stabilised and difficult-to-manage cases. Carbamazepine may also be prescribed for management of bipolar affective disorder and neuropathic disorders[1,2]. Neither sofosbuvir/velpatasvir or glecaprevir-pibrentasvir is recommended in patients treated with carbamazepine[3]. This is reflected in the product information statements for sofosbuvir/velpatasvir and glecaprevir-pibrentasvir (last revised July 2021 and November 2021, respectively). The reason for this recommendation is based on evidence that carbamazepine induces both cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 and intestinal P-glycoprotein (P-gp). Induction of these pathways can reduce DAA bioavailability, thereby increasing the risk of HCV treatment failure. Importantly, neither sofosbuvir/velpatasvir or glecaprevir-pibrentasvir significantly impacts the bioavailability or efficacy of carbamazepine[4].

CYP 3A4 induction reduces the maximum concentration of glecaprevir, pibrentasvir and velpatasvir by approximately two-thirds and the area under the curve by one-half[5]. Induction of P-gp by carbamazepine additionally effects glecaprevir and pibrentasvir metabolism. In a phase one, healthy human study, Kosloski *et al*[4] attributed carbamazepine P-gp induction to a 66% and 51% reduction in area under curve to infinite time of glecaprevir and pibrentasvir. Furthermore, sofosbuvir's intestinal absorption (but not the active metabolite, GS-331007)[6] may be halved by induction of intestinal P-gp[7]. This does not appear to be significant at carbamazepine doses of less than or equal to 400 mg/d[7]; however, patients on higher doses of carbamazepine have been successfully treated for HCV without sofosbuvir dose adjustment[8].

There are emerging clinical data which demonstrate successful HCV treatment using DAAs in patients co-prescribed carbamazepine. van Seyen *et al*[8] described four carbamazepine-treated patients with HCV who achieved sustained viral response after 12 wk of treatment (SVR12) with sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) plus dose-escalated daclatasvir (90 mg daily, 60 mg twice daily, or 60 mg three times daily) \pm ribavirin. Three of the patients, one of whom was cirrhotic, were managed with a daily carbamazepine dose of greater than or equal to 1000 mg. A retrospective audit by Natali *et al*[9] described a non-cirrhotic patient with genotype 1a HCV on carbamazepine who was successfully treated with an 8 wk course of glecaprevir-pibrentasvir. Data pertaining to DAAs in patients co-prescribed with carbamazepine analogues are also emerging. Sofusbuvir together with dose-escalated daclatasvir has been shown to successfully treat a patient co-prescribed oxcarbazepine[6]. Recently, Marcos-Fosch *et al*[10], reported a case series which included four patients with non-cirrhotic HCV who were successfully treated with DAAs whilst co-prescribed oxcarbazepine and eslicarbazepine. Two of the patients were treated with an 8 wk course of glecaprevir-pibrentasvir (genotypes 1b and 3), another with sofosbuvir-ledipasvir (genotype 1b), and the fourth with 12 wk of sofosbuvir-velpatasvir.

In this case series, we report glecaprevir-pibrentasvir HCV treatment in three patients with non-cirrhotic, treatmentnaïve HCV, in whom AED substitution was either not tolerated or accepted. This case series adds to the relative paucity of literature supporting the use of DAA therapies in people with co-prescribed carbamazepine.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

Case 1: A 43-year-old lady with genotype 1b, non-cirrhotic HCV required antiviral therapy. However, she was on carbamazepine which is contraindicated with DAA therapy.

Case 2: A 72-year-old man with long-standing genotype 1a, non-cirrhotic HCV required antiviral therapy. He was on carbamazepine which is contraindicated with DAA therapy.

Case 3: A 52-year-old lady presented to her general practitioner for management of treatment-naïve, non-cirrhotic genotype 3a HCV. She was on carbamazepine which is contraindicated with DAA therapies.

History of present illness

Case 1: The patient had medically acquired, treatment-naive, non-cirrhotic genotype 1b HCV. She was a long-term patient in our hepatology clinic.

Case 2: The patient had been monitored in our hepatology clinic for 10-years, but had remained untreated for HCV due co-prescribed carbamazepine. He had stable, non-cirrhotic liver disease.

Case 3: The patient, who had been diagnosed with HCV, lived in a regional area, 250 kilometres from the nearest tertiary medical centre, and received all medical care from her primary care practitioner.

History of past illness

Case 1: The patient was managed with 300 mg twice daily immediate-release carbamazepine for secondary seizure disorder following a childhood intracranial haemorrhage in the setting of congenital factor VIII deficiency.

Case 2: The patient had been managed with immediate-release carbamazepine, 200 mg twice daily for nocturnal seizures. He had been seizure-free for 20 years. Substitution to an alternative AED had been discussed with the patient. However, AED substitution would have required a 12-wk driving moratorium. The patient declined this as he was the primary carer for his partner, and given their remote geographic living situation, required his car to attend medical appointments and other care responsibilities.

Case 3: The patient was managed with 400 mg/600 mg twice daily carbamazepine for generalised seizure disorder. She had been seizure-free for many years and did not wish to trial AED substitution to facilitate DAA therapy.

Personal and family history

Case 1: She had no additional personal or relevant family history.

Case 2: He had a history of dyslipidaemia, hypertension, and depression. Other medications included rosuvastatin and fluoxetine. There was no relevant family history.

Case 3: She had no additional relevant personal or family history.

Physical examination

Three patients had no signs or features of chronic liver disease, nor any extrahepatic manifestations of HCV.

Laboratory examinations

Case 1: The patient's pre-treatment viral load was log₁₀ 5.21 IU/mL.

Case 2: The patient's pre-treatment viral load was $\log_{10} 6.81 \text{ IU/mL}$. His pre-treatment alanine aminotransferase was 31 U/L (< 35).

Case 3: The patient's pre-treatment viral load was $\log_{10} 6.1 \text{ IU/mL}$. The aspartate aminotransferase to platelet ratio index was 0.21, indicating a high negative predictive value for ruling out cirrhosis.

Imaging examinations

Case 1: The patient was non-cirrhotic based on ultrasonography. The liver stiffness was 2.3 kPa on Fibroscan, which confers a high negative predictive value for ruling out cirrhosis.

Case 2: The patient had no sonographic features of cirrhosis. The liver stiffness was 5.9 kPa on Fibroscan, with a high negative predictive value for ruling out cirrhosis.

Case 3: The patient was non-cirrhotic based on ultrasonography.



MULTIDISCIPLINARY EXPERT CONSULTATION

Case 1: When DAA therapies became available a decision was made to substitute carbamazepine to levetiracetam to facilitate HCV treatment. Levetiracetam 500 mg twice a day was commenced with a plan to wean carbamazepine by 200 mg per week. However, the patient experienced seizure recurrence within two weeks of carbamazepine withdrawal. Alternative AED substitution strategies were declined by the patient. A discussion between the hepatology, pharmacy and neurology teams was coordinated. The recommendation was to consider co-prescribed glecaprevir-pibrentasvir without adjusting the patient's carbamazepine therapy.

Case 2: Careful consideration was given to this case, and given the patient's situation, a recommendation was made to trial co-prescribed glecaprevir-pibrentasvir without changing the patient's carbamazepine therapy. This followed a discussion between our hepatology and pharmacy teams, as well as a risk vs benefit discussion with the patient.

Case 3: The medical practitioner prescribed glecaprevir-pibrentasvir treatment together with co-prescribed carbamazepine following a risk vs benefit discussion with the patient. The case was subsequently discussed with a tertiary health centre given our experience with previous similar cases. Based on further discussion, glecaprevirpibrentasvir treatment was extended to a 12 wk course and was dose-separated from carbamazepine.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

Case 1: HCV with reduced treatment options due to contraindication of carbamazepine with co-prescribed DAA therapies, and seizure recurrence with AED substitution therapy.

Case 2: HCV with reduced treatment options due to contraindication of carbamazepine with co-prescribed DAA therapies, and inability to substitute AED therapy due to extenuating social factors.

Case 3: HCV with reduced treatment options due to contraindication of carbamazepine with co-prescribed DAA therapies, and patient preference to avoid AED substitution.

TREATMENT

Case 1: Several years after the initial attempt at AED substitution, a decision was made to prescribe a 12 wk course of glecaprevir-pibrentasvir without changing the patient's carbamazepine therapy. DAA therapy was administered with food and was taken between morning (07:00) and evening (19:00) carbamazepine doses.

Case 2 and case 3: The patient accepted and completed treatment with 12-wk of glecaprevir-pibrentasvir, dose-separated from carbamazepine.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Case 1: The patient's HCV viral load was log 1.61 IU/mL by week four of DAA therapy. The HCV viral load was undetectable at the end of treatment (EOT) and remained undetectable 12-wk following the EOT. No treatment adverse effects were reported. The carbamazepine level remained unchanged at 28 μ mol/L (range 17-51 μ mol/L) at the EOT. The patient was subsequently discharged back to her primary care practitioner (Table 1).

Case 2: HCV viral load was undetectable at four weeks, eight weeks, EOT, and at 12 wk post treatment. There were no adverse drug reactions and the patient was discharged from hepatology follow-up (Table 1).

Case 3: DAA treatment was well-tolerated and HCV viral load was undetectable at the EOT and at 12 wk post treatment (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Drug-drug interactions remain an issue in the management of HCV[11], particularly in circumstances where substitution therapy or drug holidays are not feasible, practical or acceptable. However, current DAA therapies are highly potent and evidence is accumulating that SVR12 can be achieved despite carbamazepine induced reduction in DAA bioavailability[6, 8-101.

We report HCV SVR12 with glecaprevir-pibrentasvir in three non-cirrhotic patients who were managed with carbamazepine for seizure disorders. We chose pibrentasvir over a velpatasvir-containing regimen due to its potent in vitro activity against pan-genotypic resistance associated variants, including Y93H, which may confer resistance to other non-structural protein 5A inhibitors[12,13]. We acknowledge that sofosbuvir-based regimens may also be beneficial given the limited metabolism of GS-331007 by carbamazepine^[6].



Table 1 Overview of 3 patients treated with a 12-wk course of carbamazepine whilst co-prescribed carbamazepine for seizure disorders								
Patient	Age	Gender	HCV genotype	Treatment-experienced	Cirrhotic	CBZ dose	SVR12	
1	43	Female	1b	No	No	300 mg BD	Yes	
2	72	Male	1a	No	No	200 mg BD	Yes	
3	52	Female	3a	No	No	400/600 mg BD	Yes	

HCV: Hepatitis C virus; CBZ: Carbamazepine; SVR 12: Sustained virological response at 12-wk.

Our treatment strategy relied on a triad of: (1) Dose-separating glecaprevir-pibrentasvir from carbamazepine; (2) Administering glecaprevir-pibrentasvir with food; and (3) Treatment extension of glecaprevir-pibrentasvir to 12 wk. To outline each of these in turn, dose separation was hypothesised to optimise first pass bioavailability of glecaprevir and pibrentasvir. This is because glecaprevir and pibrentasvir each has a time to peak concentration of five hours, which is similar to that of immediate-release carbamazepine. Dose-separation of carbamazepine and DAA therapy may result in greater peak DAA bioavailability. The absolute benefit of this is not known given that the area under the curve for minimum effective concentration of carbamazepine is in the order of 12-17 h and would therefore overlap with dose separated DAA therapy. In addition to dose separation, we recommended co-administration of DAA therapy with food to enhance glecaprevir-pibrentasvir absorption. Finally, we recommended DAA treatment extension to bolster suppression of HCV viral replication.

A limitation of this study is the small sample size. Our findings are however, mirrored by other small case series, as outlined previously. Another limitation is that we did not monitor drug levels during treatment. The decision against ontreatment drug monitoring was made due to: (1) The excellent in vivo potency of glecaprevir-pibrentasvir[14]; (2) The availability of salvage therapies; and (3) The minimal effect of glecaprevir-pibrentasvir on carbamazepine metabolism[4]. We suggest that on-treatment DAA levels and viral titres may not be requisites in treatment-naïve, non-cirrhotic patients, but should be considered in people with previous treatment failure and/or compensated cirrhosis until further data are available

CONCLUSION

Glecaprevir-pibrentasvir over a 12 wk course administered with food appears to overcome reduced DAA plasma concentrations resulting from carbamazepine-related CYP 3A4 and P-gp induction. This treatment regimen should be considered in non-cirrhotic HCV in whom carbamazepine substitution, or a drug holiday is not possible, particularly given the potency of pibrentasvir and low likelihood of pan-genotypic resistance associated variants. Further pharmacokinetic and controlled studies are required to bolster this contention. Until further data are available, close on-treatment monitoring should be provided with specialist involvement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A sincere thank you to Shirley Ng from the Pharmacy Department at Monash Health who worked closely with both the hepatology service and provided invaluable pharmacology support and patient advocacy.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Braude M designed and drafted the manuscript; Ratnam DT and Dev AT provided hypothesis generation, patient care and hepatology expertise; Marsh L provided patient data and clinical support; Braude M and Abasszade JH edited the manuscript; and all authors contributed to drafting of the manuscript.

Informed consent statement: Informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

CARE Checklist (2016) statement: The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/



Country/Territory of origin: Australia

ORCID number: Michael Braude 0000-0002-6641-0032; Dilip T Ratnam 0000-0002-6507-8154; Louise Marsh 0000-0001-8747-8987; Joshua H Abasszade 0000-0001-5469-4317; Anouk T Dev 0000-0001-9339-8510.

S-Editor: Wang JJ L-Editor: Webster JR P-Editor: Wang JJ

REFERENCES

- Landmark CJ, Fossmark H, Larsson PG, Rytter E, Johannessen SI. Prescription patterns of antiepileptic drugs in patients with epilepsy in a 1 nation-wide population. Epilepsy Res 2011; 95: 51-59 [PMID: 21435840 DOI: 10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2011.02.012]
- Hamer HM, Dodel R, Strzelczyk A, Balzer-Geldsetzer M, Reese JP, Schöffski O, Graf W, Schwab S, Knake S, Oertel WH, Rosenow F, 2 Kostev K. Prevalence, utilization, and costs of antiepileptic drugs for epilepsy in Germany -a nationwide population-based study in children and adults. J Neurol 2012; 259: 2376-2384 [PMID: 22544296 DOI: 10.1007/s00415-012-6509-3]
- European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Recommendations on Treatment of Hepatitis C 2018. J Hepatol 2018; 69: 461-511 3 [PMID: 29650333 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.026]
- Kosloski MP, Li H, Wang S, Mensa F, Kort J, Liu W. Characterizing complex and competing drug-drug interactions between the antiviral 4 regimen of glecaprevir and pibrentasvir with rifampin or carbamazepine. Clin Transl Sci 2023; 16: 593-605 [PMID: 36597378 DOI: 10.1111/cts.13471]
- Administration AGDooHTG. Australian Public Assessment Report for Glecaprevir/pibrentasvir. 2018. [cited 10 February 2023]. Available 5 from: https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/auspar-glecaprevir-pibrentasvir-181106.pdf
- Smolders EJ, de Kanter CT, van 't Veer N, D'avolio A, Di Perri G, Burger DM, van Wijngaarden P. Effective treatment of hepatitis C virus 6 infection with sofosbuvir and daclatasvir 90 mg in a patient with severe epilepsy on oxcarbazepine. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2016; 48: 347-348 [PMID: 27516229 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.07.002]
- European Medicines Agency. Summary of product characteristics. [cited 20 June 2022]. Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/ 7 documents/product-information/sovaldi-epar-product-information en.pdf
- 8 van Seyen M, Smolders EJ, van Wijngaarden P, Drenth JPH, Wouthuyzen-Bakker M, de Knegt RJ, Honkoop P, El-Sherif O, Colbers A, Back DJ, Burger DM. Successful HCV treatment of patients on contraindicated anti-epileptic drugs: Role of drug level monitoring. J Hepatol 2019; 70: 552-554 [PMID: 30473264 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.10.010]
- Natali KM, Jimenez HR, Slim J. When Coadministration Cannot Be Avoided: Real World Experience of Direct Acting Antivirals for the 9 Treatment of Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Patients on First Generation Anticonvulsants. J Pharm Pract 2022; 35: 495-499 [PMID: 33317381 DOI: 10.1177/0897190020977762]
- Marcos-Fosch C, Cabezas J, Crespo J, Buti M. Anti-epileptic drugs and hepatitis C therapy: Real-world experience. J Hepatol 2021; 75: 984-10 985 [PMID: 34126106 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.05.040]
- Taherkhani R, Farshadpour F. Global elimination of hepatitis C virus infection: Progresses and the remaining challenges. World J Hepatol 11 2017; 9: 1239-1252 [PMID: 29312527 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v9.i33.1239]
- 12 Ng TI, Krishnan P, Pilot-Matias T, Kati W, Schnell G, Beyer J, Reisch T, Lu L, Dekhtyar T, Irvin M, Tripathi R, Maring C, Randolph JT, Wagner R, Collins C. In Vitro Antiviral Activity and Resistance Profile of the Next-Generation Hepatitis C Virus NS5A Inhibitor Pibrentasvir. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2017; 61 [PMID: 28193664 DOI: 10.1128/AAC.02558-16]
- Ng TI, Tripathi R, Reisch T, Lu L, Middleton T, Hopkins TA, Pithawalla R, Irvin M, Dekhtyar T, Krishnan P, Schnell G, Beyer J, McDaniel 13 KF, Ma J, Wang G, Jiang LJ, Or YS, Kempf D, Pilot-Matias T, Collins C. In Vitro Antiviral Activity and Resistance Profile of the Next-Generation Hepatitis C Virus NS3/4A Protease Inhibitor Glecaprevir. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2018; 62 [PMID: 29084747 DOI: 10.1128/AAC.01620-17]
- 14 Poordad F, Felizarta F, Asatryan A, Sulkowski MS, Reindollar RW, Landis CS, Gordon SC, Flamm SL, Fried MW, Bernstein DE, Lin CW, Liu R, Lovell SS, Ng TI, Kort J, Mensa FJ. Glecaprevir and pibrentasvir for 12 weeks for hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection and prior direct-acting antiviral treatment. Hepatology 2017; 66: 389-397 [PMID: 28128852 DOI: 10.1002/hep.29081]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

