# World Journal of *Cardiology*

World J Cardiol 2022 October 26; 14(10): 522-564





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

# W J C World Journal of Cardiology

#### Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 10 October 26, 2022

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: A review of diagnostic methods and management strategies 522 Lionakis N, Briasoulis A, Zouganeli V, Dimopoulos S, Kalpakos D, Kourek C

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Retrospective Study**

Role of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers in cryoballoon 537 ablation outcomes for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation

Al-Seykal I, Bose A, Chevli PA, Hashmath Z, Sharma N, Mishra AK, Laidlaw D

#### SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

546 Virulent endocarditis due to Haemophilus parainfluenzae: A systematic review of the literature Olagunju A, Martinez J, Kenny D, Gideon P, Mookadam F, Unzek S

#### **LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

- Is Takotsubo cardiomyopathy still looking for its own nosological identity? 557 Scagliola R, Rosa GM
- 561 Euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis: A rare but serious side effect of sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors

Lakušić N, Sopek Merkaš I, Slišković AM, Cerovec D



#### Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 10 October 26, 2022

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Cardiology, Akshyaya Pradhan, MD, DM, FACC, FSCAI, FESC, FAPSIC, Professor (Jr), Department of Cardiology, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh 226003, India. akshyaya33@gmail.com

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Cardiology (WJC, World J Cardiol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of cardiology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of cardiology and covering a wide range of topics including acute coronary syndromes, aneurysm, angina, arrhythmias, atherosclerosis, atrial fibrillation, cardiomyopathy, congenital heart disease, coronary artery disease, heart failure, hypertension, imaging, infection, myocardial infarction, pathology, peripheral vessels, public health, Raynaud's syndrome, stroke, thrombosis, and valvular disease.

#### **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJC is now abstracted and indexed in Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJC as 0.35. The WJC's CiteScore for 2021 is 0.9, and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Cardiology and Cardiovascular Medicine is 260/336.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Hua-Ge Yu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Yun-Xiaojiao Wu.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Cardiology	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1949-8462 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
December 31, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Ramdas G Pai, Dimitrios Tousoulis, Marco Matteo Ciccone, Pal Pacher	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1949-8462/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
October 26, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJC

# World Journal of Cardiology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Cardiol 2022 October 26; 14(10): 522-536

DOI: 10.4330/wjc.v14.i10.522

ISSN 1949-8462 (online)

MINIREVIEWS

## Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: A review of diagnostic methods and management strategies

Nikolaos Lionakis, Alexandros Briasoulis, Virginia Zouganeli, Stavros Dimopoulos, Dionisios Kalpakos, Christos Kourek

Specialty type: Cardiac and cardiovascular systems

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Guo L, China; Shanmugasundaram M, United States

Received: August 10, 2022 Peer-review started: August 10, 2022 First decision: September 5, 2022 Revised: September 14, 2022 Accepted: October 5, 2022

Article in press: October 5, 2022 Published online: October 26, 2022



Nikolaos Lionakis, Dionisios Kalpakos, Christos Kourek, Department of Cardiology, 417 Army Share Fund Hospital of Athens (NIMTS), Athens 11521, Greece

Alexandros Briasoulis, Department of Clinical Therapeutics, Alexandra Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens 11528, Greece

Alexandros Briasoulis, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Section of Heart Failure and Transplantation, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, IA 52242, United States

Virginia Zouganeli, Second Cardiology Department, Attikon University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens 12462, Greece

Stavros Dimopoulos, Christos Kourek, Clinical Ergospirometry, Exercise & Rehabilitation Laboratory, 1st Department of Critical Care Medicine, Evangelismos Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens 10676, Greece

Stavros Dimopoulos, Cardiac Surgery Intensive Care Unit, Onassis Cardiac Surgery Center, Athens 17674, Greece

Corresponding author: Stavros Dimopoulos, MD, PhD, Director, Cardiac Surgery Intensive Care Unit, Onassis Cardiac Surgery Center, 356 Sygrou Avenue Kallithea GR, Athens 17674, Greece. stdimop@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) is a rare non-atherosclerotic cause of acute coronary syndromes defined as non-iatrogenic, non-traumatic separation of the coronary artery wall. The most common profile is a middle-aged woman between 44 and 53 years with few cardiovascular risk factors. SCAD is frequently linked with predisposing factors, such as postpartum, fibromuscular dysplasia or other vasculopathies, connective tissue disease and hormonal therapy, and it is often triggered by intense physical or emotional stress, sympathomimetic drugs, childbirth and activities increasing shear stress of the coronary artery walls. Patients with SCAD usually present at the emergency department with chest discomfort, chest pain, and rapid heartbeat or fluttery. During the last decades, the most common problem of SCAD was the lack of awareness about this condition which has led to significant underdiagnosis and misdiagnosis. However, modern imaging techniques such as optical coherence tomography, intravascular ultrasound, coronary angiography or magnetic resonance imaging



have contributed to the early diagnosis of the disease. Treatment of SCAD remains controversial, especially during the last years, where invasive techniques are being used more often and in more emergent cardiac syndromes. Although conservative treatment combining aspirin and betablocker remains the recommended strategy in most cases, revascularization could also be suggested as a method of treatment in specific indications, but with a higher risk of complications. The prognosis of SCAD is usually good and long-term mortality seems to be low in these patients. Follow-up should be performed on a regular basis.

Key Words: Spontaneous coronary artery dissection; Non-atherosclerotic coronary artery disease; Angiographic classification; Percutaneous coronary intervention

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** Spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) is a non-atherosclerotic cause of acute coronary syndromes defined as non-iatrogenic, non-traumatic separation of the coronary artery wall. During the last decades, the most common problem in SCAD was the lack of awareness of the disease which led to significant underdiagnosis and misdiagnosis. However, modern imaging techniques such as optical coherence tomography, intravascular ultrasound, coronary angiography or magnetic resonance imaging have contributed to the early diagnosis of SCAD. Although conservative treatment combining aspirin and beta-blocker remains the recommended strategy in most cases, revascularization could also be suggested as a method of treatment in specific indications.

Citation: Lionakis N, Briasoulis A, Zouganeli V, Dimopoulos S, Kalpakos D, Kourek C. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: A review of diagnostic methods and management strategies. World J Cardiol 2022; 14(10): 522-536

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1949-8462/full/v14/i10/522.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.4330/wjc.v14.i10.522

#### INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) is a rare non-atherosclerotic cause of acute coronary syndromes (ACS) defined as non-iatrogenic, non-traumatic separation of the coronary artery wall[1]. It usually occurs in young and middle-aged women with few cardiovascular risk factors. Recent studies have shown SCAD to be the underlying cause of myocardial infarction (MI) in 22%-43% of women < 50 years and approximately 21%-27% of pregnancy-associated MI[2-4]. In Canada, SCAD was responsible for 24% of women < 50 years with MI[5] while in Japan this percentage was 35%[3]. In a French series, SCAD was reported in 36% of women under 60 years with ACS and one or few conventional cardiovascular risk factors[6]. Finally, a smaller Australian registry found a prevalence of SCAD of 23% in women under 60 years presenting with ACS[7].

During the last decades, the most common problem in SCAD is the lack of awareness about this condition among healthcare providers, despite the fact that the first case report of this syndrome was a female patient back in 1931[8]. This awareness led to significant underdiagnosis and misdiagnosis in cardiology departments. However, more and more cases are now being identified due to the increased awareness and earlier use of invasive angiographic methods in patients with acute chest pain presenting to emergency departments. Modern imaging techniques such as optical coherence tomography (OCT), coronary angiography or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have also contributed to the early diagnosis of the disease. SCAD is not as rare as previously thought. It was supposed to be the main cause for 0.1% to 0.4% of all ACS but these percentages have increased up to 4% over the last years [2,9]. In sudden cardiac deaths, SCAD was reported in 0.5% of cases after autopsy<sup>[10]</sup>. It is frequently linked with predisposing factors, such as postpartum, fibromuscular dysplasia (FMD) or other vasculopathies, connective tissue disease and hormonal therapy, and it is often triggered by intense physical or emotional stress, sympathomimetic drugs (cocaine, amphetamines), childbirth and activities increasing the intra-abdominal and intra-thoracic pressure (coughing, retching, vomiting) and, thus, shear stress of the coronary artery walls[11].

Treatment of SCAD remains controversial, especially during the last years, where invasive techniques are being used more often and in more emergent cardiac syndromes. Conservative treatment combining aspirin and beta-blocker remains the recommended strategy in most cases. However, the high rate of technical complications in SCAD treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), has been shown to be associated with adverse clinical outcomes[12,13].



The aim of the present review was to demonstrate the existing knowledge regarding the diagnostic methods and the treatment strategies of the underdiagnosed syndrome of SCAD, and highlight the role of primary PCI.

#### EPIDEMIOLOGY AND PATHOGENESIS OF SCAD

Although SCAD was primarily considered a disease of young adults, nowadays, the most usual patient is a middle-aged woman between 44 and 53 years with few cardiovascular risk factors[14,15]. There is evidence from a Canadian series that female patients with SCAD are older, with less isometric exercise and higher emotional stress compared to male patients[16]. Clinical presentation and outcomes of SCAD may be similar, not only in white patients, but also in other populations such as Hispanic Americans and Blacks<sup>[17]</sup>. The fact that most case reports include white patients could be explained by the fact that it may be underestimated in other populations or patients belonging in these populations may not present at the emergency department on time. Patients with SCAD usually have lower levels of hypertension, hyperlipidemia or tobacco use compared with patients who have atherosclerotic acute MI [18]. However, the prevalence of these factors is similar between different ages and sex[18]. Hypertension ranges from 32% to 37% while hyperlipidemia from 20% to 35% [14,15]. The prevalence of SCAD in patients presenting with ACS has risen up to 4% and is the underlying cause of up to 35% of all ACS cases in women  $\leq 50$  years[2,9].

Pregnancy has a strong association with SCAD. In pregnant women, where the percentage of SCADassociated MI is up to 27% [4,19], dissection may be a consequence of increased physiological hemodynamic stress or high progesterone levels, leading to weakening of the coronary arterial wall[20]. SCAD episodes are presented either antepartum or post-partum, and specifically, early post-partum (within the first 6 wk of childbirth), late post-partum (from 6 wk to 1 year), and very late post-partum (1 to 2 years)[20]. The most common period of an episode is the first week after childbirth[21]. Women with post-partum SCAD tend to be older at first childbirth and multigravidas compared to non-postpartum SCAD women[21]. Hemodynamic changes affecting cardiac parameters could lead to higher levels of shear stress and, as a result, vessels including the aorta and coronary arteries present structural alterations<sup>[22]</sup>.

Secondary iatrogenic dissection is also a major cause of additional risk in patients with already established SCAD undergoing invasive treatment. Increased risk of secondary iatrogenic dissections during coronary angiography (2%), non-SCAD angiography (0.2%) and PCI (14.3%) in SCAD patients has been previously observed in case series<sup>[23]</sup>.

There is still a gap in the literature regarding the understanding of the potential underlying mechanism of non-atherosclerotic SCAD. Two potential theories regarding the initiation of the coronary artery wall have been proposed. The first theory indicates sudden disruption of the coronary artery wall caused by an intimal tear [24,25]. Specifically, the intimal tear results in separation of the inner intimal lining from the outer vessel wall, allowing blood to enter into the false lumen and create an intramural hematoma within or between one of the three layers (intima, media, or adventitia)[24,26]. Pressuredriven expansion of the hematoma causes propagation of the dissection plane with formation of a true lumen, and a thrombus containing a false lumen. The second theory suggests spontaneous rupture and bleeding of the vasa vasorum within the vessel wall, followed by intramedial hemorrhage[24,25,27]. Intramural hematoma (IMH), which compresses the true lumen, causes ischemia of the myocardium, being thus, the primary cause of ACS with SCAD[1]. Occlusion of the lumen may also be worsened by thrombi in the true or false lumen having, however, a small pathophysiologic role compared to IMH [28].

SCAD may affect either normal vessels or vessels with weakened arterial wall architecture due to predisposing arteriopathies. As a result, dissection of the coronary artery walls could be extensive both anterograde and retrograde [1,29,30].

#### **RISK FACTORS**

This syndrome is mainly caused by a combination of factors including sex, hormonal fluctuations, underlying arteriopathies, genetics, and environmental, physical and emotional precipitants. As already mentioned above, pregnancy is a strong predisposing factor of SCAD in women[21]. However, a number of additional factors have been associated with the onset of SCAD in more than half of patients. Extreme physical or emotional stress is probably the most important predisposing factor. Triggering factors leading to a Valsalva-like increase in thoracoabdominal pressure or raising catecholamines, including cocaine exposure or sympathomimetic drugs, intense physical activity, coughing, retching, vomiting and bowel movement, in combination with underlying predisposing arteriopathies, can increase cardiocirculatory shear stress resulting in SCAD[11,15,31,32]. Specifically, emotional stressors seem to appear more often in women, whereas physical stressors have been reported in men among precipitants[16,29].



A significant risk factor of SCAD is FMD. The first case series of concomitant SCAD and extracoronary FMD was reported back in 2011[33]. Since then, high prevalence of FMD has been observed in 72% to 86% of SCAD patients who were routinely screened[11,34]. FMD is an idiopathic, nonatherosclerotic and noninflammatory systemic vasculopathy characterized by unique angiographic findings reflective of perturbations in the structure of the arterial wall leading to luminal stenosis, especially in small and medium-sized arteries[35]. Although the pathophysiology of FMD causing SCAD remains unknown, it is suggested that fibrosis of the vasa vasorum leads to coronary vessel wall ischemia and proliferation of myofibroblasts resulting in dissection[36]. Apart for stenoses, FMD has variable arterial manifestations including aneurysms and dissections. Multifocal FMD, characterized by multiple stenoses in an artery, is the most common type in adults, mainly affecting women. The difference between multifocal and focal FMD is that the first corresponds with medial fibroplasia, perimedial fibroplasia, and medial hyperplasia on histopathology while the second with adventitial and intimal disease[35,37]. A previous study[38] showed that the predominant arteries involved in FMD are renal (66.67%), iliac (44.44%), carotid (37.04%), and vertebral (33.33%). As a result, the prevalence of FMD may be even higher when screening is performed in patients with hypertension[38,39]. FMD and SCAD are directly associated, as prevalence of FMD among patients with SCAD is > 50%[40,41].

Long-term exposure to exogenous estrogen or progesterone has been shown to cause long-term changes in coronary arterial architecture, being thus, a significant risk factor for SCAD[11].

A relation between systemic inflammation and SCAD seems to exist[42]. Frequent chronic inflammatory systemic diseases including systemic lupus erythematosus, inflammatory bowel disease and sarcoidosis are suggested to have a potential relationship with SCAD[43-45]. A potential vasculitic inflammatory mechanism could be the activation of eosinophils from the adventitial and periadventitial layers a mechanism which leads to the establishment of SCAD[46]. There are data supporting this hypothesis which shows the presence of peri-arterial eosinophils in patients with SCAD compared to patients with iatrogenic or traumatic dissections where eosinophils are absent[47].

Specific connective tissue disorders such as Marfan and Ehler-Danlos type 4 syndromes also seem to have a relation with SCAD, with a reported frequency between 1% and 2%[11]. Some connective tissue disorders such as Marfan syndrome are associated with mutations in a single gene (FBN1), whereas others such as hypermobility type Ehlers-Danlos syndrome are thought to be multifactorial[48]. Defective fibrillin protein caused by mutations in the FBN1 gene causes structural and functional perturbation of connective tissues may predispose individuals to SCAD[49]. However, no specific connective tissue disorders or extracoronary vascular phenotypes in patients with SCAD have been observed[48]. A recent study[50] highlighted the role of extracellular matrix dysfunction in SCAD. Specifically, in this large cohort, across all patients with SCAD, rare disruptive variants were found within 10 collagen genes among individuals with SCAD compared with 2506 constrained genes expressed in the coronary artery<sup>[50]</sup>. Furthermore, patients with SCAD were 1.75-fold more likely to carry disruptive rare variants within fibrillar collagen genes[50]. Other collagen vascular disorders that have been proposed to be associated with SCAD are Alport syndrome and Nail-patella syndrome[51, 52].

Thyroid dysfunction could be another possible risk factor for SCAD. In hypothyroidism, the lack and impairment of thyroid hormones might lead to impairments in the structure of the artery wall, making coronary arteries more prone to SCAD[46]. In a previous study, investigators showed that the prevalence of hypothyroidism was significantly higher (26%) in patients with SCAD compared to patients with ACS<sup>[53]</sup>. In the same study, patients with both SCAD and hypothyroidism had more distal lesions and more tortuous coronary arteries. However, more data is required to support this finding.

Finally, the role of genetics in SCAD is a complex issue. Most recent studies have involved genetics as a predisposing factor in SCAD. SCAD has not been shown to be strongly familial, but it is more likely that cases within families are scattered and independent of specific genes. However, a recent study[54] highlighted the PHACTR1/EDN1 gene as directly associated with SCAD and other cardiovascular conditions such as coronary artery disease. The authors showed that patients who carried the rs9349379-A allele had an increased risk of FMD and SCAD[54]. This association requires further investigation.

#### **CLINICAL SYMPTOMS**

There is a wide spectrum of clinical presentation and severity of SCAD. Patients with SCAD usually present at the emergency department with chest discomfort as the most common symptom<sup>[55]</sup>, chest pain, and rapid heartbeat or fluttery. Less frequent symptoms include pain to the arms or neck, nausea or vomiting, unusual or extreme tiredness, shortness of breath and back pain[16]. A percentage between 24% and 87% of patients with SCAD demonstrate STEMI alterations in the ECG and elevation of cardiac enzymes[7,13,56,57]. A smaller percentage of patients, present complications such as ventricular arrhythmias (3% to 10%), cardiogenic shock (< 3%) and sudden cardiac death (< 1%)[11,13,30]. Another characteristic of SCAD is reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (EF) (< 50%), observed in almost 44%-49% of patients. However, there are usually significant improvements in the EF after treatment of



the arterial dissection[13,58]. In Canada, patients with SCAD expressed their chest pain either as radiation to the arm (approximately 50%) and/or neck (approximately 22%), dyspnea (approximately 19%) and pain in the back (approximately 12%) or as nausea and vomiting (approximately 23%) and hyperhidrosis (approximately 21%)[55]. However, in a minority of patients, chest pain could also be atypical[55].

#### DIAGNOSTIC METHODS AND ANGIOGRAPHIC CLASSIFICATION

Accuracy and early diagnosis are the most important factors for the management of SCAD. Coronary angiography is the gold standard and the first-line imaging technique for patients presenting with ACS. Administration of intracoronary nitrates, intracoronary imaging when safe and available, and/or follow-up noninvasive or invasive coronary imaging may be helpful in order to distinguish SCAD from other etiologies of ACS[18].

The main limitation of coronary angiography is that the 2-dimensional luminogram does not allow us to display the arterial wall[24,26]. As a result, further imaging techniques are required in order to set a definitive diagnosis. Intracoronary imaging, including OCT and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) (Figure 1), is the supplementary method that improves SCAD diagnosis and has the possibility to display the arterial wall layers compared to coronary angiography[24,26]. Important disadvantages are that it is not widely available, it is often associated with additional risks and higher costs and, it requires instrumentation of the coronary artery, a situation which in SCAD could pose a challenge. Coronary computed tomography angiography has lower spatial resolution compared with conventional angiography and presents challenges in the evaluation of lumens and walls of small coronary arteries [9].

Optical coherence tomography, with an axial resolution of 15 µm, is a supplementary intracoronary imaging method for the diagnosis of SCAD that provides higher spatial resolution[40]. Despite this important advantage, OCT requires blood clearance using a high-pressure contrast injection, a situation that could lead to a further extension of the dissection, and particularly of the false lumen[59]. Nevertheless, the reduction of depth penetration, which is by definition limited in OCT, could lead to safe and accurate diagnosis[6,60]. OCT could also provide specialists with very significant information regarding the true lumen, characteristics related to the false lumen such as the type, size, and the point of extension, as well as its relation with side-branches[60]. In order to locate the characteristic crescentic shape of the false lumen, meticulous image analysis and evaluation are necessary. The practical usefulness of OCT in SCAD patients requiring coronary revascularization is to assure us, before any intervention, the location of the guidewire in the right lumen; thus, avoiding stenting of the false lumen that could cause hazardous complications[61,62].

IVUS, with an axial resolution of 150 µm, is also a supplementary method in SCAD diagnosis, and is able to differentiate atherosclerotic plaques from SCAD[27,59,63,64]. This method clearly illustrates and differentiates the two lumens and can show the severity of false lumen thrombosis. This technique readily depicts the true and the false coronary lumens and is also able to demonstrate the extent of false lumen thrombosis. IVUS presents great advantages over OCT. The major advantages are that pressurized contrast injection to clear blood from the lumen is not required and depth penetration is superior, enabling full imaging through the thrombus of the vessel wall up to the exterior elastic lamina [59]. The primary drawback of IVUS is its poor spatial resolution, making it difficult to identify small structures related to the disease, such as the intimal-medial membrane and localized fenestrations linking the two lumens[65,66].

MRI is another new diagnostic method for patients with SCAD. Specifically, MRI can diagnose SCAD when its underlying diagnosis cannot be initially recognized on angiography[67]. The characteristic findings of MRI in patients with SCAD are (1) late gadolinium enhancement which could be transmural, affected by myocardium, subendocardial, and with patchy enhancement; (2) microvascular obstruction; and (3) IMH[67,68]. Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging demonstrating delayed gadolinium enhancement in an area with suspected dissection could help in the confirmation of SCAD. However, normal CMR imaging may not exclude SCAD. A substantial minority of patients with angiographically-confirmed SCAD do not have CMR evidence of infarction[18,68].

An important key-point in the differential diagnosis of SCAD would be the discrimination between non-atherosclerotic SCAD and coronary artery dissection caused by atheromatic plaque rupture in patients with atherosclerosis or catheter-induced iatrogenic dissections. The absence of atheroma or calcification in patients with non-atherosclerotic SCAD causes more fragile arterial walls and it is difficult to limit the expansion of the dissection[24]. Therefore, patients in this category often present more extensive dissections, while non-affected coronary artery segments appear smooth on angiography[24]. A proposed diagnostic algorithm from our Institution is demonstrated in Figure 2.

The classification of SCAD is based on angiographic imaging techniques. There are 3 widely approved types of SCAD[7] (Figure 3): Type 1 describes the pathognomonic appearance of arterial wall contrast staining with multiple radiolucent lumens with or without dye hang-up or slow contrast clearing[30]. Type 2 describes diffuse smooth stenoses of varying severity and length (typically > 20

Raisbideng® WJC | https://www.wjgnet.com



DOI: 10.4330/wjc.v14.i10.522 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 1 Intravascular imaging techniques in spontaneous coronary artery dissection. A: Optical coherence tomography, B: Intravascular ultrasound.



DOI: 10.4330/wjc.v14.i10.522 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 2 Flowchart diagram for the diagnosis of spontaneous coronary artery dissection. OCT: Optical coherence tomography; IVUS: Intravascular ultrasound; SCAD: Spontaneous coronary artery dissection.

> mm). It usually has abrupt changes in the arterial caliber from the normal diameter to diffuse smooth narrowing. The diffuse narrowing is bordered by normal artery segments proximally and distally to the IMH in type-2A variant, while it extends to the apical tip of the artery in type-2B variant[24]. Type 3 describes a focal or tubular (typically < 20 mm) stenosis mimicking atherosclerosis requiring supplementary techniques to diagnose IMH or double-lumen, such as OCT and IVUS[24].

> The most common angiographic type of SCAD is type 2, observed in approximately 67% of dissected arteries[7,11,40,69]. The left anterior descending artery is the most frequently affected (32%-46%)[7,11, 40,69].

#### THERAPEUTIC STRATEGIES

One of the most controversary issues of modern cardiology is the management strategy of SCAD. There





DOI: 10.4330/wjc.v14.i10.522 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.



are no randomized controlled trials to compare the effect of medical therapies and revascularization strategies in these patients in order to contribute to the determination of the optimal management of SCAD. It also remains unclear whether guideline-indicated medical therapies administered for ACS would be beneficial in SCAD[70]. Therefore, management strategies are usually based on expert opinions. There is a special category of patients with SCAD, often presenting with cardiogenic shock. Pregnancy-associated SCAD is associated with dissection of more prominent epicardial vessels, leading to extensive myocardial injury and life-threatening arrhythmias[21]. As a result, these patients typically present with ACS complicated by acute heart failure or cardiogenic shock[21]. The therapeutic approach in these patients may include immediate hemodynamic support with mechanical circulatory support, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP), and left ventricular assist device (LVAD), in combination with revascularization or even cardiac transplantation[71,72]. Case reports have demonstrated beneficial effects of these therapeutic approaches in pregnant women with SCAD and cardiogenic shock[73].

#### Conservative management

The aim of conservative management in the acute phase of SCAD is to restore or preserve myocardial perfusion and cardiac function. Medical therapy includes beta-blockers and aspirin. The beneficial effect of beta-blockers in reducing shear stress of the coronary artery walls is associated with lower risk of recurrence, especially in patients with significant impairment of left ventricular systolic function [1,40]. Other medical therapies related to the reduction of arterial pressure and protection of the myocardium, such as angiotensin converting enzyme, angiotensin receptor blocker or mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist, are also indicated in SCAD[74,75].

The use of antiplatelets and anticoagulants, as well as the duration of treatment, remain controversial in SCAD. Patients who undergo stenting have an indication to receive dual antiplatelet therapy for 12 mo and lifelong monotherapy (usually aspirin) while acute dual antiplatelet therapy (usually with aspirin and clopidogrel) is suggested for patients managed conservatively[34,40,74,75]. The indication for acute administration of anticoagulants is only during revascularization while the indication for chronic use is in the case of left ventricular thrombus or thromboembolism[65]. However, all the above approaches remain questionable and further evidence is required.

The role of lipid-lower therapies is unclear and controversial. Statins could be recommended for routinely use after MI and in patients with pre-existing dyslipidemia[40,76]. SCAD is characterized by a general lack of atherosclerosis, and, therefore, statins may not be effective in patients with a normal lipidemic profile.

Thrombolysis is contraindicated in the acute management of SCAD as it might extend dissection and cause coronary rupture, leading to cardiac tamponade [77,78]. Adverse outcomes related to thrombolytics, including extension of dissection or hematoma, have been reported in SCAD[79].

#### Revascularization

Percutaneous coronary intervention is associated with poor success rates (50%-70%) due to the



increased risk of potential coronary complications including iatrogenic catheter-induced dissections, extension of dissections, and failure to enter into the true lumen[61,80]. Furthermore, there is an increased risk of proximal and/or distal false lumen propagation during stent deployment[44,81] and major side branch restriction or occlusion by propagation of the hematoma<sup>[59]</sup>. Secondary iatrogenic dissection is a major risk for patients undergoing invasive treatment. Increased risk of secondary iatrogenic dissections during coronary angiography (2%), non-SCAD angiography (0.2%) and PCI (14.3%) has been reported in SCAD patients[23]. Another study also demonstrated an increased percentage of secondary dissections related to catheterization maneuvers in patients with SCAD (5%) [12]. Taking all these factors into consideration, meticulous co-axial catheter technique and avoidance of aggressive catheterization maneuvers are suggested for patients with an indication for revascularization, while a conservative approach would be the preferred strategy in clinically stable SCAD patients with no evidence of ongoing ischemia[11,13]. Moreover, in SCAD patients, catheterization through femoral access would be preferable than radial access. Data have shown a 3-fold higher risk for catheter-induced iatrogenic dissection in patients with SCAD undergoing coronary angiography by radial access compared to femoral access[11,23].

There are specific indications for revascularization. Patients with hemodynamic instability, ongoing or recurrent ischemia, ventricular arrhythmias, or left main dissection should be considered for PCI[1, 9]. A necessary condition for PCI is the suitable anatomy of the coronary arteries. Meticulous catheter wire and guide-wire manipulation, avoidance of deep catheter engagement, noncoaxial positioning of the catheter tip, catheter dampening, and/or strong contrast injections are measures that should be implemented in order to minimize complications[13,82]. All medical procedures should be undertaken with extreme attention in order to prevent catheter-induced dissection. Less conventional interventional approaches include minimal plain old balloon angioplasty to restore flow[83], longer stents to lower the possibility of further extension of the hematoma[59], and other similar techniques[84-87].

Coronary artery by-pass grafting (CABG) could be considered for patients with left main dissections with ongoing ischemia, extensive dissections, in cases where PCI failed and in patients who are not anatomically suitable for PCI[1,59]. CABG as a treatment strategy for SCAD is usually associated with promising in-hospital outcomes[12]. However, there are no clinical trials to prove the beneficial effect of CABG compared to PCI. In contrast, retrospective studies have shown high rates of graft failure at follow-up[12]. There are only case reports suggesting CABG over PCI in peripartum SCAD in order to avoid complications or sudden cardiac death due to extension of the dissection and aneurysm formation [88]. Although CABG has not been shown to prevent recurrent SCAD[12], it still remains an important therapeutic strategy, providing coronary blood flow and myocardial perfusion in critically ill patients. Despite concern for inadequacy of distal targets in diffusely dissected vessels, successful graft anastomoses are usually achieved in every primary vessel and almost all secondary vessels in all patients treated with CABG during index hospitalization, including patients initially managed conservatively or with PCI[12]. A potential risk of CABG is the fact that dissected coronary artery tissues are profoundly fragile, unlikely to hold suture, and prone to anastomotic complications[18]. A medical history of connective tissue disorders may increase the risk. Another possible complication of CABG in patients with SCAD is the high rates of bypass conduit failure due to the fact that healing of the native coronary arteries results in increasing competitive flow [12,18]. There is still not enough evidence on whether arterial or venous grafting demonstrates better outcomes in patients with SCAD. Thus, the use of reliable and immediately high-flow venous conduits could be suggested, particularly in hemodynamically unstable patients with large territories of myocardium on ongoing ischemia[18].

A proposed management algorithm for SCAD is shown in Figure 4.

#### PROGNOSIS AND FOLLOW-UP

The prognosis of SCAD is usually good and patients surviving SCAD demonstrate low long-term mortality[11,12,13]. Specifically, 49.7% to 89.7% of patients with SCAD received medical therapy as initial treatment, and 2.6% to 8.5% of conservatively treated patients eventually required revascularization during the index hospitalization[11,12,13]. Revascularization with PCI is the initial treatment for SCAD in 16.7% to 47.1% of patients[11,12,13]. Reported PCI success rates vary from 36.4% to 72.5%, which is significantly lower than the success rates in control subjects with atherosclerotic ACS[11,12,13]. Emergency CABG is required in 2.2% to 7.4% of patients who initially received medical therapy or PCI. An initial CABG revascularization approach was used in 0.6% to 3.7%, and initial success was high in this small group of patients, ranging from 87.5% to 100% [11,12,13]. During a follow-up of 2 to 3 years, major adverse cardiac events related to recurrent SCAD were reported in 10% to 30% of cases[3,11,29, 40]. At longer-term follow-up, major adverse cardiac events predominantly related to recurrent SCAD were reported in 15% to 37% at 5 to 7 years, while the estimated rate of major adverse cardiac events was approximately 50% at 10 years[3,11-13,29]. Conservative therapeutic strategies including medical therapy have shown excellent long-term prognosis at 6 years with event-free survival rates between 88% and 94% [89]. Another case series in United States reported a 10-year survival of 92% [29]. Similarly, a case series in Italy reported a 94.4% 6-year survival<sup>[13]</sup> while, in Canada, mortality was estimated at





Figure 4 Proposed management algorithm for spontaneous coronary artery dissection. VF: Ventricular fibrillation; ACE: Angiotensin converting enzyme; ADP: Adenosine diphosphate; CABG: Coronary artery by-pass grafting; VT: Ventricular tachycardia; PCI: Percutaneous coronary intervention; LAD: Left anterior descending artery; LCx: Left circumflex coronary; RCA: Right coronary artery; SCAD: Spontaneous coronary artery dissection.

> 1.2% in 3.1 years[40]. Studies with a small number of SCAD patients, such as the Swiss series and the Japanese series, either did not demonstrate deaths in 63 patients at a median 4.5-year follow-up[56] or demonstrated a single death among 63 patients who were followed up for 34 mo[3], respectively. However, recurrent dissections and high rates of target vessel failure are significant factors that caused major adverse cardiac events ranging from 14.6% to 47.4% in SCAD patients who underwent PCI in the above case series[3,13,29,40]. SCAD recurrence was 17% in United States patients within a 4-year followup, approximately 29% within 10 years, and the period between the two events was at 2.8 years[29]. The corresponding percentage in the Canadian case series was 10.4% within 3.1 years, while the percentage of a new MI event was approximately 17% [40]. The Japanese series study showed recurrent SCAD of 11% after 1 mo from the first episode, during their 34-mo follow-up[3]. In the Swiss series study, 3 patients had recurrent SCAD out of 63 patients followed-up for a median of 4.5 years [56]. Finally, the Italian series study reported a 4.7% recurrence rate over a median 22-mo follow-up[13].

> Follow-up of SCAD patients should be performed every year with imaging methods including simple techniques such as echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, more complex techniques such as computed tomography-peripheral angiography or magnetic resonance-angiography, and invasive techniques such as coronary angiography [59]. Coronary computed tomography angiography was shown to be a valuable and useful method, without complications, for noninvasive follow-up of patients with SCAD, especially in those with large-caliber coronary arteries[57,90,91]. A significant limitation of coronary computed tomography angiography is the poor visualization of SCAD lesions in distal coronary arteries or side branches, or of vessel caliber < 2.5 mm[11,92]. For all patients, either treated conservatively or those who underwent PCI, a follow-up angiography in the catheter laboratory is suggested 6 mo after the event, in cases where coronary computed tomography angiography is not feasible or misdiagnosing. Repeat angiograms can be performed by the femoral or radial approach during a short hospital stay or on an ambulatory basis[93]. Patients should also have outpatient appointments at the referring hospital or with cardiologists in private practice twice within the first year of the event; typically, 1 to 3 mo and 12 mo after the event. Thereafter the appointments depend on the clinical status of each patient[93]. Other non-invasive follow-up techniques including cardiopulmonary exercise stress test and echocardiography should be conducted every year. In pregnancy, pregnant women with a medical history of SCAD should be referred to specialized medical centers for assessment, counseling for the potential increased risk of dissection and complications during pregnancy, and follow-up by a multidisciplinary team that includes maternal-fetal medicine specialists, cardiologists with experience managing SCAD, and obstetric anesthesiologists[83]. In patients with systemic arteriopathy, an extracoronary dissection or an aneurysm, subsequent use of alternative imaging methods that do not expose the patient to ionizing radiation such as magnetic



resonance angiography and duplex ultrasonography should be considered [94]. Major adverse cardiac events should be registered in all patients with SCAD at follow-up, especially in the first 5 years.

#### EXERCISE AND LIFESTYLE AFTER SCAD

In most SCAD patients, especially those with recurrence or noncoronary aneurysms or dissections, it is suggested thay they avoid extreme endurance training, exercise until exhaustion, elite competitive sports, or vigorous exertion in extremes of ambient temperature. Additionally, patients should avoid lifting or carrying heavy objects that require straining or prolonged Valsalva maneuvers[18]. The onset of SCAD symptoms has been correlated with physical activity in up to 32% of patients after SCAD[95]. After the onset of chest pain, patients should be immediately evaluated according to proposed algorithms in previous articles[18].

High levels of psychological distress including depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder are associated with post-SCAD patients, especially women. Psychological support is always recommended in patients with cardiovascular diseases[96].

#### CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The current progress in early recognition and appropriate therapeutic management of SCAD has improved the understanding of the pathophysiology background of the disease, its association with potential risk factors and the role of genetics. Diagnostic management includes high-resolution diagnostic methods such as OCT, IVUS, cardiac MRI and duplex ultrasonography. Progress has also been noted in the therapeutic strategies of the disease. Life-saving technologies, especially in patients with hemodynamic instability, including mechanical circulatory support systems, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, IABP, and LVADs, as well as new revascularization techniques and progress in cardiac transplantation have increased survival rates and reduced complications in these patients.

However, data remain retrospective, observational, and often based on case reports. Collaborative evaluations across medical centers are further required. National registries and continuous follow-up programs at 1, 3, 6 and 12 mo, with or without intravascular imaging, would provide more evidence for the disease, leading thus, to more comprehensive points of view regarding accurate diagnosis of SCAD among AMI and chest pain, appropriate indications and optimal techniques for revascularization, optimization of medical therapy, individualization of risk factors for recurrent SCAD and better development of genetic model systems for better understanding of genetic variations of SCAD. Invasive approaches with the use of bioresorbable vascular scaffolds should be encouraged in proximal/middle vessel lesions, occurring in larger vessels (> 3.0 mm vessel diameter) and in cases where patients are still symptomatic or hemodynamically unstable[97,98]. Finally, the establishment of new accurate scores for the diagnosis and therapeutic management of SCAD is mandatory.

#### CONCLUSION

SCAD is a rare cardiovascular disease which mostly affect women (approximately 90%), but there are limited data regarding diagnosis and treatment. The increased awareness of SCAD during the last decade has improved our knowledge regarding the potential mechanisms of the disease. Alertness and education are the most important alliances of the medical community in the early and accurate diagnosis of SCAD, especially among women, and its treatment strategies. Coronary angiography is the gold standard treatment method in unstable patients with symptoms of acute ischemia. OCT and IVUS are supplementary intracoronary imaging techniques in the diagnosis of SCAD, and they should be performed before each intervention in order to increase safety and reduce secondary iatrogenic dissections related to stenting. Further randomized controlled trials in medical centers, investigating different diagnostic methods and different therapeutic approaches, are required.

#### FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Kourek C performed the majority of the writing and prepared the figures; Lionakis N, Briasoulis A, Zouganeli V and Dimopoulos S provided the input in writing the paper; Lionakis N, Kourek C and Kalpakos D designed the outline and coordinated the writing of the paper; all authors revised and approved the final draft. Lionakis N and Kourek C contributed equally to this work.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors declare no conflict of interests for this article.



Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Country/Territory of origin: Greece

ORCID number: Christos Kourek 0000-0003-4348-2153; Stavros Dimopoulos 0000-0003-2199-3788.

S-Editor: Liu JH L-Editor: Webster JR P-Editor: Liu JH

#### REFERENCES

- Saw J, Mancini GBJ, Humphries KH. Contemporary Review on Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. J Am Coll 1 Cardiol 2016; 68: 297-312 [PMID: 27417009 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.05.034]
- Nishiguchi T, Tanaka A, Ozaki Y, Taruya A, Fukuda S, Taguchi H, Iwaguro T, Ueno S, Okumoto Y, Akasaka T. 2 Prevalence of spontaneous coronary artery dissection in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care 2016; 5: 263-270 [PMID: 24585938 DOI: 10.1177/2048872613504310]
- Nakashima T, Noguchi T, Haruta S, Yamamoto Y, Oshima S, Nakao K, Taniguchi Y, Yamaguchi J, Tsuchihashi K, Seki 3 A, Kawasaki T, Uchida T, Omura N, Kikuchi M, Kimura K, Ogawa H, Miyazaki S, Yasuda S. Prognostic impact of spontaneous coronary artery dissection in young female patients with acute myocardial infarction: A report from the Angina Pectoris-Myocardial Infarction Multicenter Investigators in Japan. Int J Cardiol 2016; 207: 341-348 [PMID: 26820364 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.01.188]
- Bush N, Nelson-Piercy C, Spark P, Kurinczuk JJ, Brocklehurst P, Knight M; UKOSS. Myocardial infarction in pregnancy and postpartum in the UK. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2013; 20: 12-20 [PMID: 22127355 DOI: 10.1177/1741826711432117]
- Saw J, Aymong E, Mancini GB, Sedlak T, Starovoytov A, Ricci D. Nonatherosclerotic coronary artery disease in young women. Can J Cardiol 2014; 30: 814-819 [PMID: 24726091 DOI: 10.1016/j.cjca.2014.01.011]
- Motreff P, Malcles G, Combaret N, Barber-Chamoux N, Bouajila S, Pereira B, Amonchot A, Citron B, Lusson JR, Eschalier R, Souteyrand G. How and when to suspect spontaneous coronary artery dissection: novel insights from a singlecentre series on prevalence and angiographic appearance. EuroIntervention 2017; 12: e2236-e2243 [PMID: 27973331 DOI: 10.4244/EIJ-D-16-00187]
- Rashid HN, Wong DT, Wijesekera H, Gutman SJ, Shanmugam VB, Gulati R, Malaipan Y, Meredith IT, Psaltis PJ. 7 Incidence and characterisation of spontaneous coronary artery dissection as a cause of acute coronary syndrome--A singlecentre Australian experience. Int J Cardiol 2016; 202: 336-338 [PMID: 26426273 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.09.072]
- Pretty H. Dissecting aneurysm of coronary artery in a woman aged 42: rupture. BMJ 1931; 1: 667
- Gilhofer TS, Saw J. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: update 2019. Curr Opin Cardiol 2019; 34: 594-602 [PMID: 31567442 DOI: 10.1097/HCO.000000000000671]
- 10 Hill SF, Sheppard MN. Non-atherosclerotic coronary artery disease associated with sudden cardiac death. Heart 2010; 96: 1119-1125 [PMID: 20511306 DOI: 10.1136/hrt.2009.185157]
- 11 Saw J, Aymong E, Sedlak T, Buller CE, Starovoytov A, Ricci D, Robinson S, Vuurmans T, Gao M, Humphries K, Mancini GB. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: association with predisposing arteriopathies and precipitating stressors and cardiovascular outcomes. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2014; 7: 645-655 [PMID: 25294399 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.001760]
- 12 Tweet MS, Eleid MF, Best PJ, Lennon RJ, Lerman A, Rihal CS, Holmes DR Jr, Hayes SN, Gulati R. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: revascularization vs conservative therapy. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2014; 7: 777-786 [PMID: 25406203 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.001659]
- Lettieri C, Zavalloni D, Rossini R, Morici N, Ettori F, Leonzi O, Latib A, Ferlini M, Trabattoni D, Colombo P, Galli M, 13 Tarantini G, Napodano M, Piccaluga E, Passamonti E, Sganzerla P, Ielasi A, Coccato M, Martinoni A, Musumeci G, Zanini R, Castiglioni B. Management and Long-Term Prognosis of Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. Am J Cardiol 2015; 116: 66-73 [PMID: 25937347 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.03.039]
- 14 Kok SN, Hayes SN, Cutrer FM, Raphael CE, Gulati R, Best PJM, Tweet MS. Prevalence and Clinical Factors of Migraine in Patients With Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. J Am Heart Assoc 2018; 7: e010140 [PMID: 30561271 DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.118.010140
- 15 Saw J, Starovoytov A, Humphries K, Sheth T, So D, Minhas K, Brass N, Lavoie A, Bishop H, Lavi S, Pearce C, Renner S, Madan M, Welsh RC, Lutchmedial S, Vijayaraghavan R, Aymong E, Har B, Ibrahim R, Gornik HL, Ganesh S, Buller C, Matteau A, Martucci G, Ko D, Mancini GBJ. Canadian spontaneous coronary artery dissection cohort study: in-hospital and 30-day outcomes. Eur Heart J 2019; 40: 1188-1197 [PMID: 30698711 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz007]
- 16 Fahmy P, Prakash R, Starovoytov A, Boone R, Saw J. Pre-Disposing and Precipitating Factors in Men With Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2016; 9: 866-868 [PMID: 27101917 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcin.2016.02.024
- Clare R, Duan L, Phan D, Moore N, Jorgensen M, Ichiuji A, Shen AY, Lee MS. Characteristics and Clinical Outcomes of 17 Patients With Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. J Am Heart Assoc 2019; 8: e012570 [PMID: 31084345 DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.119.012570



- 18 Hayes SN, Tweet MS, Adlam D, Kim ESH, Gulati R, Price JE, Rose CH. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020; 76: 961-984 [PMID: 32819471 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.05.084]
- 19 Elkayam U, Jalnapurkar S, Barakkat MN, Khatri N, Kealey AJ, Mehra A, Roth A. Pregnancy-associated acute myocardial infarction: a review of contemporary experience in 150 cases between 2006 and 2011. Circulation 2014; 129: 1695-1702 [PMID: 24753549 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.002054]
- 20 Vijayaraghavan R, Verma S, Gupta N, Saw J. Pregnancy-related spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Circulation 2014; 130: 1915-1920 [PMID: 25403597 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.011422]
- 21 Tweet MS, Hayes SN, Codsi E, Gulati R, Rose CH, Best PJM. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection Associated With Pregnancy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017; 70: 426-435 [PMID: 28728686 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.05.055]
- 22 Manalo-Estrella P, Barker AE. Histopathologic findings in human aortic media associated with pregnancy. Arch Pathol 1967; 83: 336-341 [PMID: 4225694]
- Prakash R, Starovoytov A, Heydari M, Mancini GB, Saw J. Catheter-Induced Iatrogenic Coronary Artery Dissection in 23 Patients With Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2016; 9: 1851-1853 [PMID: 27609262 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcin.2016.06.026]
- 24 Saw J, Mancini GB, Humphries K, Fung A, Boone R, Starovoytov A, Aymong E. Angiographic appearance of spontaneous coronary artery dissection with intramural hematoma proven on intracoronary imaging. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2016; 87: E54-E61 [PMID: 26198289 DOI: 10.1002/ccd.26022]
- Alfonso F. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: new insights from the tip of the iceberg? Circulation 2012; 126: 667-25 670 [PMID: 22800852 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.122093]
- 26 Alfonso F, Bastante T. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: novel diagnostic insights from large series of patients. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2014; 7: 638-641 [PMID: 25336602 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.001984]
- 27 Maehara A, Mintz GS, Castagna MT, Pichard AD, Satler LF, Waksman R, Suddath WO, Kent KM, Weissman NJ. Intravascular ultrasound assessment of spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Am J Cardiol 2002; 89: 466-468 [PMID: 11835932 DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9149(01)02272-x]
- Saw J. Pregnancy-Associated Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection Represents an Exceptionally High-Risk 28 Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection Cohort. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2017; 10 [PMID: 28302643 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.117.005119
- 29 Tweet MS, Hayes SN, Pitta SR, Simari RD, Lerman A, Lennon RJ, Gersh BJ, Khambatta S, Best PJ, Rihal CS, Gulati R. Clinical features, management, and prognosis of spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Circulation 2012; 126: 579-588 [PMID: 22800851 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.105718]
- 30 Gilhofer TS, Saw J. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: a review of complications and management strategies. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther 2019; 17: 275-291 [PMID: 30957570 DOI: 10.1080/14779072.2019.1598261]
- 31 Tofler GH, Kopel E, Klempfner R, Eldar M, Buckley T, Goldenberg I; National Israel Survey of Acute Coronary Syndrome Investigators. Triggers and Timing of Acute Coronary Syndromes. Am J Cardiol 2017; 119: 1560-1565 [PMID: 28377021 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2017.02.022]
- Hart K, Patel S, Kovoor J. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection Associated with Anal Cancer Management with 32 Fluorouracil and Radiotherapy. Cureus 2019; 11: e4979 [PMID: 31467813 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.4979]
- 33 Saw J, Poulter R, Fung A, Wood D, Hamburger J, Buller CE. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection in patients with fibromuscular dysplasia: a case series. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2012; 5: 134-137 [PMID: 22338003 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.111.966630]
- Saw J, Ricci D, Starovoytov A, Fox R, Buller CE. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: prevalence of predisposing 34 conditions including fibromuscular dysplasia in a tertiary center cohort. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2013; 6: 44-52 [PMID: 23266235 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcin.2012.08.017]
- 35 Gornik HL, Persu A, Adlam D, Aparicio LS, Azizi M, Boulanger M, Bruno RM, de Leeuw P, Fendrikova-Mahlay N, Froehlich J, Ganesh SK, Gray BH, Jamison C, Januszewicz A, Jeunemaitre X, Kadian-Dodov D, Kim ES, Kovacic JC, Mace P, Morganti A, Sharma A, Southerland AM, Touzé E, van der Niepen P, Wang J, Weinberg I, Wilson S, Olin JW, Plouin PF. First International Consensus on the diagnosis and management of fibromuscular dysplasia. Vasc Med 2019; 24: 164-189 [PMID: 30648921 DOI: 10.1177/1358863X18821816]
- Michelis KC, Olin JW, Kadian-Dodov D, d'Escamard V, Kovacic JC. Coronary artery manifestations of fibromuscular dysplasia. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 64: 1033-1046 [PMID: 25190240 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.07.014]
- 37 Olin JW, Gornik HL, Bacharach JM, Biller J, Fine LJ, Gray BH, Gray WA, Gupta R, Hamburg NM, Katzen BT, Lookstein RA, Lumsden AB, Newburger JW, Rundek T, Sperati CJ, Stanley JC; American Heart Association Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease; American Heart Association Council on Clinical Cardiology; American Heart Association Council on Cardiopulmonary, Critical Care, Perioperative and Resuscitation; American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young; American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention; American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; American Heart Association Council on Functional Genomics and Translational Biology; American Heart Association Council for High Blood Pressure Research; American Heart Association Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease; American Heart Association Stroke Council. Fibromuscular dysplasia: state of the science and critical unanswered questions: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2014; 129: 1048-1078 [PMID: 24548843 DOI: 10.1161/01.cir.0000442577.96802.8c]
- 38 Alam M, Akah O, Khan T, Zarrar R. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection in Patients With Fibromuscular Dysplasia. Cureus 2021; 13: e13696 [PMID: 33824836 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.13696]
- Kim ESH, Saw J, Kadian-Dodov D, Wood M, Ganesh SK. FMD and SCAD: Sex-Biased Arterial Diseases With Clinical 39 and Genetic Pleiotropy. Circ Res 2021; 128: 1958-1972 [PMID: 34110898 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318300]
- 40 Saw J, Humphries K, Aymong E, Sedlak T, Prakash R, Starovoytov A, Mancini GBJ. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection: Clinical Outcomes and Risk of Recurrence. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017; 70: 1148-1158 [PMID: 28838364 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.06.053
- Prasad M, Tweet MS, Hayes SN, Leng S, Liang JJ, Eleid MF, Gulati R, Vrtiska TJ. Prevalence of extracoronary vascular 41 abnormalities and fibromuscular dysplasia in patients with spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Am J Cardiol 2015;



115: 1672-1677 [PMID: 25929580 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.03.011]

- 42 Kamran M, Guptan A, Bogal M. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: case series and review. J Invasive Cardiol 2008; 20: 553-559 [PMID: 18830003]
- Reddy S, Vaid T, Ganiga Sanjeeva NC, Shetty RK. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection as the first presentation of 43 systemic lupus erythematosus. BMJ Case Rep 2016; 2016 [PMID: 27558190 DOI: 10.1136/bcr-2016-216344]
- 44 Kanaroglou S, Nair V, Fernandes JR. Sudden cardiac death due to coronary artery dissection as a complication of cardiac sarcoidosis. Cardiovasc Pathol 2015; 24: 244-246 [PMID: 25638512 DOI: 10.1016/j.carpath.2015.01.001]
- Srinivas M, Basumani P, Muthusamy R, Wheeldon N. Active inflammatory bowel disease and coronary artery dissection. 45 Postgrad Med J 2005; 81: 68-70 [PMID: 15640436 DOI: 10.1136/pgmj.2004.018952]
- Garcia-Guimarães M, Bastante T, Antuña P, Jimenez C, de la Cuerda F, Cuesta J, Rivero F, Premawardhana D, Adlam D, 46 Alfonso F. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection: Mechanisms, Diagnosis and Management. Eur Cardiol 2020; 15: 1-8 [PMID: 32256714 DOI: 10.15420/ecr.2019.01]
- 47 Pitliya A, Datta S, Kalayci A, Kahe F, Sharfaei S, Jafarizade M, Goudarzi S, Chi G. Eosinophilic inflammation in spontaneous coronary artery dissection: A potential therapeutic target? Med Hypotheses 2018; 121: 91-94 [PMID: 30396503 DOI: 10.1016/j.mehy.2018.09.039]
- 48 Henkin S, Negrotto SM, Tweet MS, Kirmani S, Deyle DR, Gulati R, Olson TM, Hayes SN. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection and its association with heritable connective tissue disorders. Heart 2016; 102: 876-881 [PMID: 26864667 DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2015-308645]
- Becker AE, van Mantgem JP. The coronary arteries in Marfan's syndrome. A morphologic study. Am J Cardiol 1975; 36: 315-321 [PMID: 126642 DOI: 10.1016/0002-9149(75)90482-8]
- 50 Zekavat SM, Chou EL, Zekavat M, Pampana A, Paruchuri K, Lino Cardenas CL, Koyama S, Ghazzawi Y, Kii E, Uddin MM, Pirruccello J, Zhao H, Wood M, Natarajan P, Lindsay ME. Fibrillar Collagen Variants in Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. JAMA Cardiol 2022; 7: 396-406 [PMID: 35234813 DOI: 10.1001/jamacardio.2022.0001]
- Anuwatworn A, Sethi P, Steffen K, Jonsson O, Petrasko M. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection: A Rare 51 Manifestation of Alport Syndrome. Case Rep Cardiol 2017; 2017: 1705927 [PMID: 28884028 DOI: 10.1155/2017/17059271
- 52 Nizamuddin SL, Broderick DK, Minehart RD, Kamdar BB, Spontaneous coronary artery dissection in a parturient with Nail-Patella syndrome. Int J Obstet Anesth 2015; 24: 69-73 [PMID: 25433575 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijoa.2014.07.010]
- 53 Camacho Freire SJ, Díaz Fernández JF, Gheorghe LL, Gómez Menchero AE, León Jiménez J, Roa Garrido J, Cardenal Piris R, Pedregal González M, Bastante T, García Guimaraes M, Vera A, Cuesta J, Rivero F, Alfonso F. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection and Hypothyroidism. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed) 2019; 72: 625-633 [PMID: 30097393 DOI: 10.1016/j.rec.2018.06.031]
- 54 Adlam D, Olson TM, Combaret N, Kovacic JC, Iismaa SE, Al-Hussaini A, O'Byrne MM, Bouajila S, Georges A, Mishra K, Braund PS, d'Escamard V, Huang S, Margaritis M, Nelson CP, de Andrade M, Kadian-Dodov D, Welch CA, Mazurkiewicz S, Jeunemaitre X; DISCO Consortium, Wong CMY, Giannoulatou E, Sweeting M, Muller D, Wood A, McGrath-Cadell L, Fatkin D, Dunwoodie SL, Harvey R, Holloway C, Empana JP, Jouven X; CARDIoGRAMPlusC4D Study Group, Olin JW, Gulati R, Tweet MS, Hayes SN, Samani NJ, Graham RM, Motreff P, Bouatia-Naji N. Association of the PHACTR1/EDN1 Genetic Locus With Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019; 73: 58-66 [PMID: 30621952 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.09.085]
- 55 Luong C, Starovoytov A, Heydari M, Sedlak T, Aymong E, Saw J. Clinical presentation of patients with spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2017; 89: 1149-1154 [PMID: 28244197 DOI: 10.1002/ccd.26977]
- Rogowski S, Maeder MT, Weilenmann D, Haager PK, Ammann P, Rohner F, Joerg L, Rickli H. Spontaneous Coronary 56 Artery Dissection: Angiographic Follow-Up and Long-Term Clinical Outcome in a Predominantly Medically Treated Population. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2017; 89: 59-68 [PMID: 26708825 DOI: 10.1002/ccd.26383]
- 57 Roura G, Ariza-Solé A, Rodriguez-Caballero IF, Gomez-Lara J, Ferreiro JL, Romaguera R, Teruel L, de Albert M, Gomez-Hospital JA, Cequier A. Noninvasive Follow-Up of Patients With Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection With CT Angiography. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2016; 9: 896-897 [PMID: 26476501 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.06.011]
- Franco C, Starovoytov A, Heydari M, Mancini GB, Aymong E, Saw J. Changes in left ventricular function after 58 spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Clin Cardiol 2017; 40: 149-154 [PMID: 28218398 DOI: 10.1002/clc.22640]
- Adlam D, Alfonso F, Maas A, Vrints C; Writing Committee. European Society of Cardiology, acute cardiovascular care 59 association, SCAD study group: a position paper on spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Eur Heart J 2018; 39: 3353-3368 [PMID: 29481627 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy080]
- 60 Alfonso F, Paulo M, Gonzalo N, Dutary J, Jimenez-Quevedo P, Lennie V, Escaned J, Bañuelos C, Hernandez R, Macaya C. Diagnosis of spontaneous coronary artery dissection by optical coherence tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012; 59: 1073-1079 [PMID: 22421300 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.08.082]
- Mori H, Kutys R, Romero M, Virmani R, Finn AV. Stenting of Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection From a 61 Pathological Point of View. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2016; 9 [PMID: 27895071 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.116.004549]
- Combaret N, Souteyrand G, Amonchot A, Coupez E, Motreff P. Contribution of guidance by optical coherence 62 tomography (OCT) in rescue management of spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2013; 14: 714 [PMID: 23384755 DOI: 10.1093/ehjci/jet015]
- Paulo M, Sandoval J, Lennie V, Dutary J, Medina M, Gonzalo N, Jimenez-Quevedo P, Escaned J, Bañuelos C, Hernandez 63 R, Macaya C, Alfonso F. Combined use of OCT and IVUS in spontaneous coronary artery dissection. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2013; 6: 830-832 [PMID: 23747066 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2013.02.010]
- Auer J, Punzengruber C, Berent R, Weber T, Lamm G, Hartl P, Eber B. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection involving the left main stem: assessment by intravascular ultrasound. Heart 2004; 90: e39 [PMID: 15201265 DOI: 10.1136/hrt.2004.035659
- 65 Al-Hussaini A, Adlam D. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Heart 2017; 103: 1043-1051 [PMID: 28363899 DOI: 10.1136/heartinl-2016-310320]



- 66 Macaya F, Salinas P, Gonzalo N, Fernández-Ortiz A, Macaya C, Escaned J. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: contemporary aspects of diagnosis and patient management. Open Heart 2018; 5: e000884 [PMID: 30487978 DOI: 10.1136/openhrt-2018-000884]
- 67 Chandrasekhar J, Thakkar J, Starovoytov A, Mayo J, Saw J. Characteristics of spontaneous coronary artery dissection on cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther 2020; 10: 636-638 [PMID: 32695643 DOI: 10.21037/cdt.2020.02.01]
- Tan NY, Hayes SN, Young PM, Gulati R, Tweet MS. Usefulness of Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Patients With Acute Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. Am J Cardiol 2018; 122: 1624-1629 [PMID: 30220414 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2018.07.043]
- Alfonso F, Paulo M, Dutary J. Endovascular imaging of angiographically invisible spontaneous coronary artery dissection. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2012; 5: 452-453 [PMID: 22516405 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcin.2012.01.016]
- Mehta LS, Beckie TM, DeVon HA, Grines CL, Krumholz HM, Johnson MN, Lindley KJ, Vaccarino V, Wang TY, Watson 70 KE, Wenger NK; American Heart Association Cardiovascular Disease in Women and Special Populations Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Acute Myocardial Infarction in Women: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation 2016; 133: 916-947 [PMID: 26811316 DOI: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000351]
- Patel A, Asopa S, Tang AT, Ohri SK. Cardiac surgery during pregnancy. Tex Heart Inst J 2008; 35: 307-312 [PMID: 71 189416091
- 72 Scott NS. Management of cardiovascular disease during pregnancy. US Cardiol Rev 2018; 12: 119-123 [DOI: 10.15420/usc.2018.8.1]
- Wingerter KE, O'Dell KR, Anglim AJ, Bailey AL. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection with cardiogenic shock in the 73 third trimester, and a successful early-term delivery: a case report. Eur Heart J Case Rep 2021; 5: ytab080 [PMID: 33733048 DOI: 10.1093/ehjcr/ytab080]
- 74 Roffi M, Patrono C, Collet JP, Mueller C, Valgimigli M, Andreotti F, Bax JJ, Borger MA, Brotons C, Chew DP, Gencer B, Hasenfuss G, Kjeldsen K, Lancellotti P, Landmesser U, Mehilli J, Mukherjee D, Storey RF, Windecker S; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: Task Force for the Management of Acute Coronary Syndromes in Patients Presenting without Persistent ST-Segment Elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2016; 37: 267-315 [PMID: 26320110 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv320]
- 75 Ibánez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, Caforio ALP, Crea F, Goudevenos JA, Halvorsen S, Hindricks G, Kastrati A, Lenzen MJ, Prescott E, Roffi M, Valgimigli M, Varenhorst C, Vranckx P, Widimský P. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed) 2017; 70: 1082 [PMID: 29198432 DOI: 10.1016/j.rec.2017.11.010]
- Amsterdam EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, Casey DE Jr, Ganiats TG, Holmes DR Jr, Jaffe AS, Jneid H, Kelly RF, Kontos MC, Levine GN, Liebson PR, Mukherjee D, Peterson ED, Sabatine MS, Smalling RW, Zieman SJ. 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients with Non-ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 64: e139e228 [PMID: 25260718 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.09.017]
- 77 Zupan I, Noc M, Trinkaus D, Popovic M. Double vessel extension of spontaneous left main coronary artery dissection in young women treated with thrombolytics. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2001; 52: 226-230 [PMID: 11170335 DOI: 10.1002/1522-726x(200102)52:2<226::aid-ccd1054>3.0.co;2-r]
- 78 Andreou AY, Georgiou PA, Georgiou GM. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: Report of two unsuspected cases initially treated with thrombolysis. Exp Clin Cardiol 2009; 14: e89-e92 [PMID: 20198198]
- 79 Shamloo BK, Chintala RS, Nasur A, Ghazvini M, Shariat P, Diggs JA, Singh SN. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: aggressive vs. conservative therapy. J Invasive Cardiol 2010; 22: 222-228 [PMID: 20440039]
- 80 Bento AP, Fernandes RG, Neves DC, Patrício LM, de Aguiar JE. Spontaneous Coronary Dissection: "Live Flash" Optical Coherence Tomography Guided Angioplasty. Case Rep Cardiol 2016; 2016: 5643819 [PMID: 26989520 DOI: 10.1155/2016/5643819
- Mortensen KH, Thuesen L, Kristensen IB, Christiansen EH. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: a Western Denmark 81 Heart Registry study. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2009; 74: 710-717 [PMID: 19496145 DOI: 10.1002/ccd.22115]
- 82 Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, Adlam D, Arslanian-Engoren C, Economy KE, Ganesh SK, Gulati R, Lindsay ME, Mieres JH, Naderi S, Shah S, Thaler DE, Tweet MS, Wood MJ; American Heart Association Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease; Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Genomic and Precision Medicine; and Stroke Council. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection: Current State of the Science: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation 2018; 137: e523-e557 [PMID: 29472380 DOI: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000564]
- 83 Arrivi A, Milici C, Bock C, Placanica A, Boschetti E, Dominici M. Idiopathic, serial coronary vessels dissection in a young woman with psychological stress: a case report and review of the literature. Case Rep Vasc Med 2012; 2012: 498465 [PMID: 23133786 DOI: 10.1155/2012/498465]
- 84 Dashwood AM, Saw J, Dhillon P, Murdoch D. Use of a Three-Stent Technique for a Case of Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. Can J Cardiol 2017; 33: 830.e13-830.e15 [PMID: 28461002 DOI: 10.1016/j.cjca.2017.02.007]
- 85 Alfonso F, Bastante T, García-Guimaraes M, Pozo E, Cuesta J, Rivero F, Benedicto A, Antuña P, Alvarado T, Gulati R, Saw J. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: new insights into diagnosis and treatment. Coron Artery Dis 2016; 27: 696-706 [PMID: 27404579 DOI: 10.1097/MCA.00000000000412]
- 86 Ito T, Shintani Y, Ichihashi T, Fujita H, Ohte N. Non-atherosclerotic spontaneous coronary artery dissection revascularized by intravascular ultrasonography-guided fenestration with cutting balloon angioplasty. Cardiovasc Interv Ther 2017; 32: 241-243 [PMID: 27142197 DOI: 10.1007/s12928-016-0397-x]
- Watt J, Egred M, Khurana A, Bagnall AJ, Zaman AG. 1-Year Follow-Up Optical Frequency Domain Imaging of Multiple 87



Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffolds for the Treatment of Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2016; 9: 389-391 [PMID: 26892086 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcin.2015.11.030]

- 88 Moghadam R, Rahman T, Reiss CK. Complicated Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection (SCAD) Culminating in Aneurysm Formation: Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery Is Preferable Over Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Peripartum SCAD. Cureus 2021; 13: e14145 [PMID: 33927947 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.14145]
- 89 Alfonso F, Paulo M, Lennie V, Dutary J, Bernardo E, Jiménez-Quevedo P, Gonzalo N, Escaned J, Bañuelos C, Pérez-Vizcayno MJ, Hernández R, Macaya C. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: long-term follow-up of a large series of patients prospectively managed with a "conservative" therapeutic strategy. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2012; 5: 1062-1070 [PMID: 23078737 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcin.2012.06.014]
- 90 Tweet MS, Gulati R, Williamson EE, Vrtiska TJ, Hayes SN. Multimodality Imaging for Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection in Women. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2016; 9: 436-450 [PMID: 27056163 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.01.009]
- 91 Guo LQ, Wasfy MM, Hedgire S, Kalra M, Wood M, Prabhakar AM, Ghoshhajra BB. Multimodality imaging of spontaneous coronary artery dissection: case studies of the Massachusetts General Hospital. Coron Artery Dis 2016; 27: 70-71 [PMID: 26554663 DOI: 10.1097/MCA.00000000000320]
- Saw J. Coronary angiogram classification of spontaneous coronary artery dissection. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2014; 84: 92 1115-1122 [PMID: 24227590 DOI: 10.1002/ccd.25293]
- 93 Seidl S, Rickli H, Rogowski S, Weilenmann D, Ammann P, Haager PK, Joerg L, Rohner F, Chronis J, Rigger J, Maeder MT. Long-term follow-up of medically treated patients with spontaneous coronary artery dissection: a prospective, Swiss single-centre cohort study. Swiss Med Wkly 2021; 151: w30067 [PMID: 34964588 DOI: 10.4414/smw.2021.w30067]
- 94 Kadian-Dodov D, Gornik HL, Gu X, Froehlich J, Bacharach JM, Chi YW, Gray BH, Jaff MR, Kim ES, Mace P, Sharma A, Kline-Rogers E, White C, Olin JW. Dissection and Aneurysm in Patients With Fibromuscular Dysplasia: Findings From the U.S. Registry for FMD. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016; 68: 176-185 [PMID: 27386771 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.04.044]
- Wagers TP, Stevens CJ, Ross KV, Leon KK, Masters KS. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection (SCAD): FEMALE 95 SURVIVORS' EXPERIENCES OF STRESS AND SUPPORT. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev 2018; 38: 374-379 [PMID: 29939880 DOI: 10.1097/HCR.00000000000330]
- Johnson AK, Hayes SN, Sawchuk C, Johnson MP, Best PJ, Gulati R, Tweet MS. Analysis of Posttraumatic Stress 96 Disorder, Depression, Anxiety, and Resiliency Within the Unique Population of Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection Survivors. J Am Heart Assoc 2020; 9: e014372 [PMID: 32342736 DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.119.014372]
- 97 Buccheri D, Piraino D, Latini RA, Andolina G, Cortese B. Spontaneous coronary artery dissections: A call for action for an underestimated entity. Int J Cardiol 2016; 214: 333-335 [PMID: 27085122 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.03.131]
- 98 Panoulas VF, Ielasi A. Bioresorbable scaffolds and drug-eluting balloons for the management of spontaneous coronary artery dissections. J Thorac Dis 2016; 8: E1328-E1330 [PMID: 27867618 DOI: 10.21037/jtd.2016.10.54]





### Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

