

World Journal of *Cardiology*

World J Cardiol 2023 April 26; 15(4): 116-204



EDITORIAL

- 116 Role of artificial intelligence in cardiology
Vidal-Perez R, Vazquez-Rodriguez JM

REVIEW

- 119 Arrhythmic syncope: From diagnosis to management
Francisco Pascual J, Jordan Marchite P, Rodríguez Silva J, Rivas Gándara N

MINIREVIEWS

- 142 Optimization of the pharmacological therapy in patients with poly-vascular disease: A multidisciplinary approach
Gioscia R, Castagno C, Verdoia M, Conti B, Forliti E, Rognoni A

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**Retrospective Study**

- 154 Vasospastic angina in women: Clinical backgrounds and prognoses of patients younger than and older than 60 years
Teragawa H, Oshita C, Uchimura Y

Observational Study

- 165 Right ventricle dysfunction does not predict mortality in patients with SARS-CoV-2-related acute respiratory distress syndrome on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support
Lazzeri C, Bonizzoli M, Batacchi S, Cianchi G, Franci A, Socci F, Chiostrì M, Peris A
- 174 Perioperative coagulation activation after permanent pacemaker placement
Kalinin R, Suchkov I, Povarov V, Mzhavanadze N, Zhurina O

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 184 Effectiveness of high intensity interval training on cardiorespiratory fitness and endothelial function in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review
Kourek C, Karatzanos E, Raidou V, Papazachou O, Philippou A, Nanas S, Dimopoulos S

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 200 New scoring system for acute chest pain risk stratification: Is it worth SVEAT-ing it?
Dasari M, Arun Kumar P, Singh Y, Ramsaran E

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Cardiology*, Yu-Li Huang, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Cardiology, Shunde Hospital, Southern Medical University, Foshan, 528300, China. hyuli821@smu.edu.cn

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Cardiology* (WJC, *World J Cardiol*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of cardiology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of cardiology and covering a wide range of topics including acute coronary syndromes, aneurysm, angina, arrhythmias, atherosclerosis, atrial fibrillation, cardiomyopathy, congenital heart disease, coronary artery disease, heart failure, hypertension, imaging, infection, myocardial infarction, pathology, peripheral vessels, public health, Raynaud's syndrome, stroke, thrombosis, and valvular disease.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJC is now abstracted and indexed in Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJC as 0.35. The WJC's CiteScore for 2021 is 0.9, and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Cardiology and Cardiovascular Medicine is 260/336.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Hua-Ge Yin, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Editorial Office Director: Yun-Xiao Jiao Wu.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Cardiology

ISSN

ISSN 1949-8462 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

December 31, 2009

FREQUENCY

Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Ramdas G Pai, Dimitrios Tousoulis, Marco Matteo Ciccone, Pal Pacher

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjnet.com/1949-8462/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

April 26, 2023

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Observational Study

Right ventricle dysfunction does not predict mortality in patients with SARS-CoV-2-related acute respiratory distress syndrome on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support

Chiara Lazzeri, Manuela Bonizzoli, Stefano Batacchi, Giovanni Cianchi, Andrea Franci, Filippo Socci, Marco Chiostrì, Adriano Peris

Specialty type: Cardiac and cardiovascular systems

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): 0
Grade C (Good): C, C
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Lakusic N, Croatia;
Lee S, South Korea

Received: November 19, 2022

Peer-review started: November 19, 2022

First decision: December 13, 2022

Revised: December 15, 2022

Accepted: March 17, 2023

Article in press: March 17, 2023

Published online: April 26, 2023



Chiara Lazzeri, Manuela Bonizzoli, Stefano Batacchi, Giovanni Cianchi, Andrea Franci, Filippo Socci, Marco Chiostrì, Adriano Peris, ICU and ECMO Center, Florence 50134, Italy

Corresponding author: Chiara Lazzeri, MD, Chief Physician, Senior Researcher, ICU and ECMO Center, Largo brambilla 3, Florence 50134, Italy. lazzeri.ch@gmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

The prognostic role of right ventricle dilatation and dysfunction (RVDD) has not been elucidated in patients with coronavirus disease (COVID)-related respiratory failure refractory to standard treatment needing extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) support.

AIM

To assess whether pre veno-venous (VV) ECMO RVDD were related to in-intensive care unit (ICU) mortality.

METHODS

We enrolled 61 patients with COVID-related acute respiratory distress syndrome refractory to conventional treatment submitted to VV ECMO and consecutively admitted to our ICU (an ECMO referral center) from 31st March 2020 to 31st August 2021. An echocardiographic exam was performed immediately before VV ECMO implantation.

RESULTS

Males were prevalent (73.8%) and patients with a body mass index > 30 kg/m² were the majority (46/61, 75%). The overall in-ICU mortality rate was 54.1% (33/61). RVDD was detectable in more than half of the population (34/61, 55.7%) and associated with higher simplified organ functional assessment (SOFA) values ($P = 0.029$) and a longer mechanical ventilation duration prior to ECMO support ($P = 0.046$). Renal replacement therapy was more frequently needed in RVDD patients ($P = 0.002$). A higher in-ICU mortality ($P = 0.024$) was observed in RVDD patients. No echo variables were independent predictors of in-ICU death.

CONCLUSION

In patients with COVID-related respiratory failure on ECMO support, RVDD (dilatation and dysfunction) is a common finding and identifies a subset of patients characterized by a more severe disease (as indicated by higher SOFA values and need of renal replacement therapy) and by a higher in-ICU mortality. RVDD (also when considered separately) did not result independently associated with in-ICU mortality in these patients.

Key Words: Right ventricle; Echocardiography; Mortality; COVID; Acute respiratory distress syndrome; Right ventricle-pulmonary circulation coupling

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: In coronavirus disease-related respiratory failure on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support right ventricle dilatation and dysfunction (defined as the coexistence of dilatation and dysfunction) is a common finding and identifies a subset of patients characterized by a more severe disease (as indicated by higher Sequential Organ Failure Assessment values and need of renal replacement therapy) and by a higher in-intensive care unit (ICU) mortality. However, at logistic regression analysis, right ventricle dilatation and dysfunction (even when considered separately) did not result independently associated with in-ICU mortality in these patients.

Citation: Lazzeri C, Bonizzoli M, Batacchi S, Cianchi G, Franci A, Socci F, Chiostrì M, Peris A. Right ventricle dysfunction does not predict mortality in patients with SARS-CoV-2-related acute respiratory distress syndrome on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support. *World J Cardiol* 2023; 15(4): 165-173

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1949-8462/full/v15/i4/165.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4330/wjc.v15.i4.165>

INTRODUCTION

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) disease can evolve in some cases in severe respiratory failure, refractory to conventional therapies, which requires veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VV ECMO) support, possibly in experienced centers[1-3]. In coronavirus disease (COVID) respiratory disease, right ventricular (RV) dilatation is frequently encountered especially in severe disease[4,5], but, to date, the prognostic role of RV dilatation has not been completely elucidated. In acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) from different etiologies on ECMO support[3] RV dilatation and dysfunction (RVDD) were negatively associated with early outcome, while the prognostic role of RVDD has not been elucidated in patients with COVID-related respiratory failure refractory to standard treatment needing ECMO. We hypothesize that pre ECMO RVDD is related to in-intensive care unit (ICU) mortality, and we tested this hypothesis in 61 consecutive patients with COVID-related ARDS on ECMO support.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In our prospective observational study, we enrolled 61 patients with COVID-related ARDS refractory to conventional treatment submitted to VV ECMO and consecutively admitted to our ICU (an ECMO referral center) from 31st March 2020 to 31st August 2021. No exclusion criteria. The study protocol was approved by our Ethical Committee ("Comitato Etico Area Vasta Centro" n.17024, approved on March 31st 2020) ("Florence COVID ICU Registry"). The written informed consent for each patient was waived for emerging infectious disease. The need for ECMO support was communicated to the patient's relatives by phone before implantation.

On ICU admission we measured: Troponin (pg/mL), N-terminal-pro brain natriuretic peptide (NT-BNP, pg/mL), C-reactive protein (mg/dL) creatinine (mg/dL), lactate dehydrogenase (UI/L), D-dimer (ng/mL), and interleukin 6 (pg/mL). According to our echocardiography protocol[3,5], an echocardiographic exam was performed immediately before ECMO implantation. Systolic pulmonary artery pressure (sPAP) is obtained using the simplified Bernoulli's equation. RVDD was defined in presence of RVEDA/LVEDA > 0.6 and tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) < 15 mm (M-mode). Coupling of RV function to the pulmonary circulation was evaluated as the TAPSE to sPAP ratio. Each echo measure is performed three times, and the mean value was recorded[4,6].

All ultrasound cardiac procedures were performed using the necessary protective equipment for professionals. Dedicated machines (Ge HealthCare machine) were used in the COVID ICU and transducers are wrapped in single-use plastic covers. We considered VV ECMO in COVID when respiratory failure persisted despite optimum management including controlled ventilation with tidal volume 6 mL/kg, plateau pressure < 30 cm H₂O, use of neuromuscular blockers, high-positive end-expiratory pressure, and repeated prone positioning sessions[1-3,7,8]. All patients were encouraged to mobilize early[3]. Outcome was death in the ICU.

Statistical analysis

Data have been stored in a dedicated database and analyzed with SPSS for Windows 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). *P* value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Categorical variables are reported as frequencies and percentages; continuous variables are reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) or median (range), as needed. Comparisons between the groups were performed using Chi square for categorical data, and student's *t* test and Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous data. Logistic regression backwards models have been developed to detect predictor(s) for ICU-death. Variables were selected based on univariate analysis and on clinical criteria. To avoid overfitting, each model included three variables. Receiver operating curve (ROC) was constructed to identify the cut-off for age and duration of pre-ECMO mechanical ventilation in relation to ICU-death.

RESULTS

Our population comprised 61 consecutive patients with COVID-related respiratory failure on ECMO support (Table 1). Patients transferred from peripheral hospitals accounted for the 62% of our population. Males were prevalent (73.8%) and patients with a body mass index > 30 kg/m² were the majority (46/61, 75%). Renal replacement therapy was needed in almost half of the entire population (48%). Renal replacement therapy was started on ICU admission in five patients (17%) and after ECMO start in the remaining 24 patients (83%). The overall in-ICU mortality rate was 54.1% (33/61). At echocardiography left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was normal in all but three patients who had LVEF < 45% because of previously known heart disease.

RVDD vs no RVDD

Table 1 shows the comparison between patients with RVDD and those without. In the entire population, RVDD was detectable in more than half of the population (34/61, 55.7%). In the comparison between the two subgroups, patients with RVDD showed higher values of simplified organ functional assessment (SOFA) (*P* = 0.029) and a longer mechanical ventilation duration prior to ECMO support (*P* = 0.046). Renal replacement therapy was more frequently needed in RVDD patients (*P* = 0.002). A higher in-ICU mortality (*P* = 0.024) was shown in RVDD patients. Higher values of NT-pro BNP were observed in RVDD patients (*P* = 0.014). At echocardiography, RVDD patients exhibited higher values of sPAP (*P* = 0.015), E/e1 (*P* = 0.0003) and lower TAPSE/sPAP (*P* = 0.001). Higher doses of norepinephrine were needed in patients with RVDD (*P* = 0.011) when compared with those without. No differences were detectable in ventilatory parameters between the two subgroups.

Survivors vs no survivors

Table 2 shows the comparison between survivors and no survivors. No survivors were older (*P* = 0.003) and showed a higher SOFA (*P* = 0.010) and a longer mechanical ventilation duration before ECMO implantation (*P* = 0.006). Among biohumoral data, creatinine values were significantly higher in no survivors (*P* = 0.030), with no other significant difference between the two subgroups. Echocardiography, performed before ECMO implantation, did not show any significant difference between survivors and no survivors.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis

Different models were calculated (Table 3). The following parameters resulted independent predictors of in-ICU death: Age, SOFA, time from symptoms' onset, mechanical ventilation preECMO $\geq$ 10 d and creatinine. RV dilatation, RV dysfunction and RVDD (dilatation and dysfunction) were not independently associated with in-ICU mortality. At ROC analysis, the age cut-off was $\geq$ 57 years [area under the curve: 70.5% (95% confidence interval: 57.3- 83.7%), *P* = 0.006, sensitivity 72.7%, specificity 58.0%].

DISCUSSION

The main finding of the present investigation is that, in COVID-related respiratory failure on ECMO support, RVDD (defined as the coexistence of dilatation and dysfunction) is a common finding. The

Table 1 Comparison between patients with right ventricle dilatation and dysfunction and those without, *n* %

Variable	All patients	RVDD (No. 34)	No RVDD (No. 27)	<i>P</i> value
Clinical data				
Age (yr), mean $\pm$ SD	54.3 $\pm$ 10.3	53.8 $\pm$ 9.7	52.9 $\pm$ 11	0.735
Gender, M/F	45/16 (74/26)	28/6 (82/18)	17/10 (63/37)	0.087
BMI (kg/m ²), mean $\pm$ SD	32.9 $\pm$ 5.3	32.4 $\pm$ 5.6	32.7 $\pm$ 4.9	0.836
Charlson index, median (IQR)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	0.772
Transferred from peripheral hospitals	38 (62)	18 (52)	20 (74)	0.9
Time from symptoms' onset to ICU (d), median (IQR)	13 (10-13)	9 (8-12)	12 (10-14)	0.075
SOFA, median (IQR)	8.0 (7.0-10.0)	10 (8-10)	7 (6-10)	0.029
Mechanical ventilation length to ECMO support (d), median (IQR)	6.0 (8.0-11.0)	8.5 (6-12)	7 (4-10)	0.046
ECMO duration (d), median (IQR)	22 (13-42)	24.5 (9-44)	21 (15-35)	0.765
Renal replacement therapy	29 (48)	22 (65)	7 (26)	0.002
ICU death	33 (54.1)	21 (62)	12 (44)	0.024
Biohumoral data				
Creatinine (mg/dL), median (IQR)	1.00 (0.72-1.86)	0.83 (0.61-1.34)	1.65 (0.78-2.00)	0.025
D-dimer (ng/mL), median (IQR)	3594 (2252-7214)	3748 (1740-12085)	3550 (2368-5007)	0.425
CRP (mg/dL), median (IQR)	142 (88-144)	121 (87-174)	165 (98-212)	0.55
IL-6 (pg/mL), median (IQR)	34 (7.4-70.0)	45 (6.9-105.0)	34 (21-58.0)	0.772
Troponin (pg/mL), median (IQR)	21 (14.0-38.0)	33.0 (14.0-112.0)	21.0 (8.5-48.0)	0.253
NT-pro BNP (pg/mL), median (IQR)	874 (345-1654)	735 (252-1467)	1273 (585-1868)	0.058
LDH IU/L, median (IQR)	465 (375-538)	421 (363-510)	473 (424-542)	0.078
Echocardiographic data				
LVEF (%), mean $\pm$ SD	63.9 $\pm$ 7.6	64.3 $\pm$ 7.9	64.5 $\pm$ 7.5	0.113
RV/LV, mean $\pm$ SD	0.58 $\pm$ 0.17	0.69 $\pm$ 0.08	0.45 $\pm$ 0.14	0.0001
TAPSE (mm), mean $\pm$ SD	18.0 (10.0-21.0)	13.9 (10.0 $\pm$ 14.5)	17.4 (16.0-22.5)	0.015
sPAP (mmHg), mean $\pm$ SD	58.4 $\pm$ 9.4	64 $\pm$ 11	59.1 $\pm$ 7.7	0.015
e/e1	11 $\pm$ 3	12 $\pm$ 3	9 $\pm$ 3	0.0003
TAPSE/sPAP (mm/mmHg), mean $\pm$ SD	0.28 $\pm$ 0.13	0.19 $\pm$ 0.10	0.28 $\pm$ 0.11	0.001
Ventilatory parameters				
PEEP (cm H ₂ O), mean $\pm$ SD	12.2 $\pm$ 2.3	12.4 $\pm$ -2.4	12.1 $\pm$ 2.6	0.64
PO ₂ /FiO ₂ , mean $\pm$ SD	62 (50-88)	66 (54-88)	60 (50-88)	0.442
Norepinephrine, mean $\pm$ SD	0.34 $\pm$ 0.22	0.39 $\pm$ 0.26	0.24 $\pm$ 0.10	0.011

IQR: Interquartile range; RVDD: Right ventricle dilatation and dysfunction; BMI: Body mass index; ICU: Intensive care unit; LOS: Length of stay; CRP: C-reactive protein; IL-6: Interleukin 6, SOFA: Simplified organ functional assessment; ECMO: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; NT pro BNP: N terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide; RV: Right ventricle; LV: Left ventricle; EF: Ejection fraction; TAPSE: Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; sPAP: Systolic pulmonary arterial pressure; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; LVEF: Left ventricular ejection fraction; PEEP: Positive end-expiratory pressure.

presence of RVDD identifies a subset of patients characterized by a more severe disease (as indicated by higher SOFA values and need of renal replacement therapy) and by a higher in-ICU mortality. However, at logistic regression analysis, RVDD (even when considered separately) did not result independently associated with in-ICU mortality in these patients.

Growing evidence suggests that, in COVID respiratory failure, varEchoious echocardiographic patterns may be observed across disease severity progression, ranging from isolated systolic pulmonary hypertension to RVDD. Studies are quite often heterogeneous, especially in respect to selected echo parameters and definition of RV dysfunction and dilatation. In a small series of mechanically ventilated

Table 2 Comparison between survivors and no survivors, n %

Variable	All patients	Survivors (No. 28)	No survivors (No. 33)	P value
Clinical data				
Age (yr), mean $\pm$ SD	54.3 $\pm$ 10.3	50.1 $\pm$ 11.6	58.0 $\pm$ 7.3	0.003
Gender, M/F	45/16 (73.8/26.2)	18/10 (40.0/62.5)	6/27 (60.0/37.5)	0.121
BMI (kg/m ²), mean $\pm$ SD	32.9 $\pm$ 5.3	31.8 $\pm$ 4.0	33.8 $\pm$ 6.1	0.138
Charlson index, median (IQR)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	0.772
Time from symptoms' onset to ICU (d), median (IQR)	13 (10-13)	10 (8-13)	13 (10-15)	0.066
SOFA, median (IQR)	8.0 (7.0-10.0)	7.5 (5.5-9.0)	10.0 (8.0-10.0)	0.01
Mechanical ventilation length to ECMO support (d), median (IQR)	6.0 (8.0-11.0)	6.5 (4.5-9.5)	10.0 (7.0-12.0)	0.006
ECMO duration (d), median (IQR)	22 (13-42)	35 (19-48)	18 (10-30)	0.015
ICU death	33 (54.1)	-	-	-
Biohumoral data				
Creatinine (mg/dL), median (IQR)	1.00 (0.70-1.88)	0.82 (0.60-1.35)	1.60 (0.77-2.00)	0.03
D-dimer (ng/mL), median (IQR)	3694 (2153-7326)	3948 (1740-13095)	3600 (2378-5007)	0.418
CRP (mg/dL), median (IQR)	140 (86-143)	120 (85-172)	164 (97-215)	0.553
IL-6 (pg/mL), median (IQR)	35.5 (7.9-71.0)	46.5 (6.8-107.0)	35.5 (20.5-59.0)	0.851
Troponin (pg/mL), median (IQR)	20.5 (15.0-39.0)	34.0 (15.0-115.0)	22.0 (9.0-50.0)	0.281
NT-pro BNP (pg/mL), median (IQR)	875 (355-1754)	734 (254-1542)	1272 (586-1870)	0.064
LDH IU/L, median (IQR)	466 (378-540)	423 (367-513)	475 (426-543)	0.089
Echocardiographic data				
LVEF (%)	63.9 $\pm$ 7.6	65.6 $\pm$ 8.1	62.5 $\pm$ 6.9	0.113
RV/LV	0.58 $\pm$ 0.17	0.58 $\pm$ 0.19	0.58 $\pm$ 0.16	0.945
TAPSE (mm)	18.0 (10.0-21.0)	19.0 (10.0 $\pm$ 22.5)	18.0 (10.0-21.0)	0.375
RVDD	34 (55.7)	13	21	0.275
sPAP (mmHg)	58.4 $\pm$ 9.4	60.2 $\pm$ 7.6	61.3 $\pm$ 10.7	0.638
E/e1	11 $\pm$ 3	10.7 $\pm$ 2.9	11.6 $\pm$ 3.7	0.34
TAPSE/sPAP (mm/mmHg)	0.28 $\pm$ 0.13	0.29 $\pm$ 0.13	0.27 $\pm$ 0.13	0.692
Ventilatory parameters				
PEEP (cm H ₂ O)	12.2 $\pm$ 2.3	12.3 $\pm$ 2.3	12.2 $\pm$ 2.4	0.91
PO ₂ /FiO ₂	62 (50-88)	66 (54-88)	60 (50-88)	0.442
Norepinephrine (μ g/kg/min), mean $\pm$ SD	0.34 $\pm$ 0.22	0.30 $\pm$ 0.20	0.35 $\pm$ 0.24	0.388

IQR: Interquartile range; RVDD: Right ventricle dilatation and dysfunction; BMI: Body mass index; ICU: Intensive care unit; LOS: Length of stay; CRP: C-reactive protein; IL-6: Interleukin 6; SOFA: Simplified organ functional assessment; ECMO: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; NT pro BNP: N terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide; RV: Right ventricle; LV: Left ventricle; EF: Ejection fraction; TAPSE: Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; sPAP: Systolic pulmonary arterial pressure; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; LVEF: Left ventricular ejection fraction; PEEP: Positive end-expiratory pressure.

COVID patients, acute pulmonary hypertension (observed in the 39%) was associated with higher 30-d mortality[9]. Likewise, in a retrospective investigation including 214 patients, RV dysfunction, pulmonary hypertension, and moderate to severe tricuspid regurgitation were associated with increased odds for 30-d mortality[10]. In 98 consecutive COVID-related respiratory failure, three different subgroups were identified at serial echocardiograms according to the presence/occurrence and timing of RVDD (defined as the association of RVDD), that is admission RVDD, new onset RVDD, no RV changes. Admission and newly developed RVDD subgroups identified severe COVID respiratory disease which in a high percentage of cases needed ECMO support[11]. In the present investigation, the

Table 3 Multivariate logistic regression analysis (intensive care unit death outcome)

Model	OR	95%CI	P value
Model 1			
Age (yr)	1.09	1.02-1.16	0.015
SOFA	1.26	0.99-1.62	0.062
Admission RV/LV	0.64	0.02-21.42	0.805
Model 2			
SOFA	1.24	0.98-1.56	0.062
RV DYS	0.98	0.31-3.84	0.985
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1.78	0.82-3.11	0.14
Model 3			
Age (yr)	1.1	1.03-1.18	0.005
BMI (kg/m ²)	1.09	0.97-1.22	0.138
TAPSE (mm)	0.97	0.89-1.06	0.544
Model 4			
SOFA	1.29	1.03-1.61	0.026
BMI (kg/m ²)	1.06	0.95-1.19	0.278
TAPSE/SPAP (mm/mmHg)	2.53	0.03-20.92	0.68
Model 5			
BMI (kg/m ²)	1.1	0.98-1.24	0.107
Charlson index	0.59	0.29-1.18	0.133
Time from symptom's onset (d)	1.26	1.02-1.56	0.032
Model 6			
NT pro BNP (pg/mL)	0.99	0.97-1.02	0.517
Mechanical ventilation to ECMO ≥ 10 (d)	4.64	1.42-15.12	0.011
Creatinine (mg/dL)	2.56	1.16-5.67	0.02

BMI: Body mass index; SOFA: Symplified organ functional assessment; RV: Right ventricle; TAPSE: Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; sPAP: Systolic pulmonary arterial pressure; ECMO: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; NT-pro BNP: N terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide; OR: Odd ratio; CI: Confidence index.

study population comprises the most severe state of COVID-related respiratory failure, refractory to standard treatment and requiring ECMO support in whom RVDD (dilatation and dysfunction) is quite common being detectable in more than a half of the entire population. Few data are available on echocardiographic data in patients with COVID-related respiratory failure on ECMO support.

In the series by Bleakley *et al*[11] quite a large proportion of enrolled patients (38/90, 42%) were on ECMO support, but they were not analysed separately. Kopanczyk *et al*[12] performed echocardiography in 11 consecutive patients on ECMO and observed that RV dysfunction (as indicated by abnormal free wall longitudinal strain and fractional area change) was present in the majority (9/11 patients). RV dysfunction was defined as RV dilatation (visual assessment) and abnormal septal motion in the study by Ortiz *et al*[13] who documented that no echo variable was predictor of outcomes (survival to discharge and survival to decannulation) in 64 COVID patients on ECMO (echocardiography performed post cannulation). In a small series of COVID patients on ECMO, we observed, by means of serial echocardiographic exams, that RVDD (defined as the coexistence of dilatation and dysfunction) may be reversible, especially in survivors[13]. We confirm and extend previous findings in a larger series, focusing on the prognostic role (if any) of RVDD for in-ICU death. According to our data, patients with RVDD showed a more severe disease (as indicated by SOFA) and a higher incidence of renal impairment (as inferred by the higher use of renal replacement therapy). Higher values of systolic pulmonary arterial pressure and of NT-pro BNP, observed in RVDD patients, suggest increased RV pressure which might contribute to renal impairment. The lack of differences in creatinine serum values between patients with RVDD and those without can be due to renal replacement therapy itself which does affect creatinine levels. Despite the higher in-ICU mortality observed in patients with RVDD,

RVDD (even when considered separately) are not independent predictor of early death in our population. This might be due to several factors. Firstly, the high incidence of RVDD in these patients, in agreement with previous investigations[3,12,13]. Secondly, at serial echocardiographic assessments, RVDD may be reversible in COVID-related respiratory failure on ECMO support, though a percentage of critically ill COVID patients has been reported to develop RVDD during ICU course[13].

Finally, factors other than RV echo variables can independently predict in-ICU death in COVID-related refractory respiratory failure on ECMO support, such as age and duration of mechanical ventilation. The high frequency of RVDD may be responsible for the lack of association between echocardiographic data and mortality in our patients. Our results are in keeping with those reported by investigations enrolling only critically ill COVID patients who, similarly, were not able to detect a relation between mortality and RV dilatation[13].

In our series, multivariate logistic regression analysis identified the following predictors of in-ICU analysis: Age, severity of disease (as inferred by SOFA and creatinine values) and COVID-disease duration (indicated by time from symptoms' onset) and mechanical ventilation pre-ECMO. A longer time from symptoms' onset to ICU suggests more severe forms of disease, characterized by more pronounced pulmonary derangements, often unresponsive to therapy. Age is a well-known strong predictor in COVID respiratory failure, in line with recent evidence[1] and, though we enrolled patients aged < 65 years according to guidelines, the ROC-determined cut-off was 57 years in our series. Regarding the duration of pre-ECMO mechanical ventilation to date there is no clear indication on the optimal duration of mechanical ventilation before ECMO implantation in COVID disease. Extracorporeal Life Support Organization guidelines report that a period of more than 10 d of mechanical ventilation should be considered a contraindication for ECMO support, while a period of 7 d is reported as a cut-off by other studies[1,2].

Limitations of the study

This is a single centre investigation, including a limited number of patients. On the other hand, ours is a high-volume ECMO centre. Indeed 61 COVID patients on ECMO support were managed at our center in a 15-mo period, treated by the same intensive care team.

CONCLUSION

In patients with COVID-related respiratory failure on ECMO support RVDD (dilatation and dysfunction) is a common finding and identifies a subset of patients characterized by a more severe disease (as indicated by higher SOFA values and need of renal replacement therapy) by a higher in-ICU mortality. RVDD (also when considered separately) did not result independently associated with in-ICU mortality in these patients.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Echocardiography is recognized as a clinical tool in coronavirus disease (COVID)-related respiratory failure needing veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VV ECMO).

Research motivation

The assessment of the prognostic role of right ventricle dilatation and dysfunction (RVDD) in COVID-related respiratory failure refractory to standard treatment requesting ECMO.

Research objectives

In COVID-related respiratory failure on ECMO RVDD is a common finding and identifies a subset of patients characterized by a more severe disease (as indicated by higher sequential organ failure assessment values and need of renal replacement therapy) by a higher in-intensive care unit (ICU) mortality. RVDD (also when considered separately) did not result independently associated with in-ICU mortality in these patients.

Research methods

Observational single center study.

Research results

An echocardiographic examination was performed before ECMO implantation.

Research conclusions

In patients with COVID-related respiratory failure on ECMO support, RVDD is a common finding and identifies a subset of patients characterized by a more severe disease and by a higher in-ICU mortality. RVDD (also when considered separately) did not result independently associated with in-ICU mortality in these patients.

Research perspectives

Risk stratification in COVID-related refractory respiratory failure.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Lazzeri C was the guarantor and designed the study; Batacchi S, Cianchi G, Franci A and Socci F participated in the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data; Bonizzoli M, Chiostrì M and Peris A drafted the initial manuscript; and all authors revised the article critically for important intellectual content.

Institutional review board statement: The study protocol was approved by our Ethical Committee ("Comitato Etico Area Vasta Centro" n.17024, approved on March 31th 2020) ("Florence COVID ICU Registry").

Informed consent statement: Patient's consent was waived.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No data sharing.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement-checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: Italy

ORCID number: Chiara Lazzeri 0000-0003-0131-4450; Manuela Bonizzoli 0000-0002-6435-5754; Stefano Batacchi 0000-0002-6682-047X; Giovanni Cianchi 0000-0002-5744-5153; Filippo Socci 0000-0001-9627-904X; Marco Chiostrì 0000-0001-7246-6107; Adriano Peris 0000-0003-0724-4422.

S-Editor: Wang JJ

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Wang JJ

REFERENCES

- 1 **Barbaro RP**, MacLaren G, Boonstra PS, Iwashyna TJ, Slutsky AS, Fan E, Bartlett RH, Tonna JE, Hyslop R, Fanning JJ, Rycus PT, Hyer SJ, Anders MM, Agerstrand CL, Hryniewicz K, Diaz R, Lorusso R, Combes A, Brodie D; Extracorporeal Life Support Organization. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support in COVID-19: an international cohort study of the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization registry. *Lancet* 2020; **396**: 1071-1078 [PMID: 32987008 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32008-0]
- 2 **Shekar K**, Badulak J, Peek G, Boeken U, Dalton HJ, Arora L, Zakhary B, Ramanathan K, Starr J, Akkanti B, Antonini MV, Ogino MT, Raman L, Barret N, Brodie D, Combes A, Lorusso R, MacLaren G, Müller T, Paden M, Pellegrino V; ELSO Guideline Working Group. Extracorporeal Life Support Organization Coronavirus Disease 2019 Interim Guidelines: A Consensus Document from an International Group of Interdisciplinary Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Providers. *ASAIO J* 2020; **66**: 707-721 [PMID: 32604322 DOI: 10.1097/MAT.0000000000001193]
- 3 **Lazzeri C**, Bonizzoli M, Batacchi S, Cianchi G, Franci N, Socci F, Peris A. Persistent Right Ventricle Dilatation in SARS-CoV-2-Related Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome on Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Support. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2022; **36**: 1956-1961 [PMID: 34538743 DOI: 10.1053/j.jvca.2021.08.028]
- 4 **Dandel M**. Heart-lung interactions in COVID-19: prognostic impact and usefulness of bedside echocardiography for monitoring of the right ventricle involvement. *Heart Fail Rev* 2022; **27**: 1325-1339 [PMID: 33864580 DOI: 10.1007/s10741-021-10108-7]
- 5 **Isgro G**, Yusuff HO, Zochios V; Protecting the Right Ventricle Network. The Right Ventricle in COVID-19 Lung Injury: Proposed Mechanisms, Management, and Research Gaps. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2021; **35**: 1568-1572 [PMID: 33546967 DOI: 10.1053/j.jvca.2021.01.014]

- 6 **D'Alto M**, Marra AM, Severino S, Salzano A, Romeo E, De Rosa R, Stagnaro FM, Pagnano G, Verde R, Murino P, Farro A, Ciccarelli G, Vargas M, Fiorentino G, Servillo G, Gentile I, Corcione A, Cittadini A, Naeije R, Golino P. Right ventricular-arterial uncoupling independently predicts survival in COVID-19 ARDS. *Crit Care* 2020; **24**: 670 [PMID: 33256813 DOI: 10.1186/s13054-020-03385-5]
- 7 **Cho HJ**, Heinsar S, Jeong IS, Shekar K, Li Bassi G, Jung JS, Suen JY, Fraser JF. ECMO use in COVID-19: lessons from past respiratory virus outbreaks-a narrative review. *Crit Care* 2020; **24**: 301 [PMID: 32505217 DOI: 10.1186/s13054-020-02979-3]
- 8 **Kon ZN**, Smith DE, Chang SH, Goldenberg RM, Angel LF, Carillo JA, Geraci TC, Cerfolio RJ, Montgomery RA, Moazami N, Galloway AC. Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Support in Severe COVID-19. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2021; **111**: 537-543 [PMID: 32687823 DOI: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2020.07.002]
- 9 **Norderfeldt J**, Liliequist A, Frostell C, Adding C, Agvald P, Eriksson M, Lönnqvist PA. Acute pulmonary hypertension and short-term outcomes in severe Covid-19 patients needing intensive care. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2021; **65**: 761-769 [PMID: 33728633 DOI: 10.1111/aas.13819]
- 10 **Wats K**, Rodriguez D, Prins KW, Sadiq A, Fogel J, Goldberger M, Moskovits M, Tootkaboni MP, Shani J, Jacob J. Association of right ventricular dysfunction and pulmonary hypertension with adverse 30-day outcomes in COVID-19 patients. *Pulm Circ* 2021; **11**: 20458940211007040 [PMID: 33959257 DOI: 10.1177/20458940211007040]
- 11 **Bleakley C**, Singh S, Garfield B, Morosin M, Surkova E, Mandalia MS, Dias B, Androulakis E, Price LC, McCabe C, Wort SJ, West C, Li W, Khattar R, Senior R, Patel BV, Price S. Right ventricular dysfunction in critically ill COVID-19 ARDS. *Int J Cardiol* 2021; **327**: 251-258 [PMID: 33242508 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2020.11.043]
- 12 **Kopanczyk R**, Al-Qudsi OH, Uribe A, Periel L, Fiorda-Diaz J, Abdel-Rasoul M, Kumar N, Bhatt AM. Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Supported with Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2022; **36**: 629-631 [PMID: 34116924 DOI: 10.1053/j.jvca.2021.05.019]
- 13 **Ortiz F**, Brunsvold ME, Bartos JA. Right Ventricular Dysfunction and Mortality After Cannulation for Venovenous Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation. *Crit Care Explor* 2020; **2**: e0268 [PMID: 33196050 DOI: 10.1097/CCE.0000000000000268]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

