World Journal of *Cardiology*

World J Cardiol 2023 April 26; 15(4): 116-204





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Cardiology

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 4 April 26, 2023

EDITORIAL

116 Role of artificial intelligence in cardiology

Vidal-Perez R, Vazquez-Rodriguez JM

REVIEW

119 Arrhythmic syncope: From diagnosis to management

Francisco Pascual J, Jordan Marchite P, Rodríguez Silva J, Rivas Gándara N

MINIREVIEWS

142 Optimization of the pharmacological therapy in patients with poly-vascular disease: A multidisciplinary approach

Gioscia R, Castagno C, Verdoia M, Conti B, Forliti E, Rognoni A

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

154 Vasospastic angina in women: Clinical backgrounds and prognoses of patients younger than and older than 60 years

Teragawa H, Oshita C, Uchimura Y

Observational Study

165 Right ventricle dysfunction does not predict mortality in patients with SARS-CoV-2-related acute respiratory distress syndrome on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support

Lazzeri C, Bonizzoli M, Batacchi S, Cianchi G, Franci A, Socci F, Chiostri M, Peris A

174 Perioperative coagulation activation after permanent pacemaker placement

Kalinin R. Suchkov I. Povarov V. Mzhavanadze N. Zhurina O

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

Effectiveness of high intensity interval training on cardiorespiratory fitness and endothelial function in 184 type 2 diabetes: A systematic review

Kourek C, Karatzanos E, Raidou V, Papazachou O, Philippou A, Nanas S, Dimopoulos S

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

200 New scoring system for acute chest pain risk stratification: Is it worth SVEAT-ing it?

Dasari M, Arun Kumar P, Singh Y, Ramsaran E



Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 4 April 26, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Cardiology, Yu-Li Huang, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Cardiology, Shunde Hospital, Southern Medical University, Foshan, 528300, China. hyuli821@smu.edu.cn

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Cardiology (WJC, World J Cardiol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of cardiology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of cardiology and covering a wide range of topics including acute coronary syndromes, aneurysm, angina, arrhythmias, atherosclerosis, atrial fibrillation, cardiomyopathy, congenital heart disease, coronary artery disease, heart failure, hypertension, imaging, infection, myocardial infarction, pathology, peripheral vessels, public health, Raynaud's syndrome, stroke, thrombosis, and valvular disease.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJC is now abstracted and indexed in Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJC as 0.35. The WJC's CiteScore for 2021 is 0.9, and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Cardiology and Cardiovascular Medicine is 260/336.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Hua-Ge Yu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Yun-Xiaojiao Wu.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Cardiology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1949-8462 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
December 31, 2009	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Ramdas G Pai, Dimitrios Tousoulis, Marco Matteo Ciccone, Pal Pacher	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1949-8462/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
April 26, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJC World Journal of Cardiology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Cardiol 2023 April 26; 15(4): 174-183

DOI: 10.4330/wjc.v15.i4.174

ISSN 1949-8462 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Observational Study Perioperative coagulation activation after permanent pacemaker placement

Roman Kalinin, Igor Suchkov, Vladislav Povarov, Nina Mzhavanadze, Olga Zhurina

Specialty type: Cardiac and cardiovascular systems

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Abrignani MG, Italy; Shen F, China

Received: December 27, 2022 Peer-review started: December 27, 2022 First decision: February 20, 2023 Revised: March 5, 2023 Accepted: April 12, 2023 Article in press: April 12, 2023 Published online: April 26, 2023



Roman Kalinin, Igor Suchkov, Vladislav Povarov, Nina Mzhavanadze, Department of Cardiovascular, Endovascular Surgery and Diagnostic Radiology, Ryazan State Medical University, Ryazan 390026, Russia

Vladislav Povarov, Department of Surgical Treatment of Cardiac Arrhythmias and Cardiac Pacing, Ryazan State "Regional Clinical Cardiology Dispensary", Ryazan 390026, Russia

Olga Zhurina, Scientific and Clinical Center for Hematology, Oncology and Immunology, Ryazan State Medical University, Ryazan 390026, Russia

Corresponding author: Nina Mzhavanadze, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Cardiovascular, Endovascular Surgery and Diagnostic Radiology, Ryazan State Medical University, Vysokovoltnaya 9, Ryazan 390026, Russia. nina mzhavanadze@mail.ru

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Bradyarrhythmias are typically treated with permanent pacemakers (PM). The elimination of bradyarrhythmia by PM implantation improves the patient's quality of life and prognosis, but it can also result in a number of sequalae. It is still unclear how PM implantation affects the hemostasis system's parameters and how such parameters relate to different consequences after PM placement.

AIM

To assess the blood coagulation factor activity in PM patients throughout the perioperative period.

METHODS

Patients treated in the Department of Surgical Therapy of Cardiac Arrhythmias and Pacing at the Ryazan State "Regional Clinical Cardiology Dispensary" from April 2020 to December 2021 were included in the study. Before surgery, 7 and 30 d after PM placement, peripheral venous blood samples were withdrawn to measure the level of blood coagulation factor I (FI) and the activity of blood coagulation factors II (FII), V (FV), VII (FVII), VIII (FVIII), IX (FIX), X (FX), XI (FXI), XII (FXII). We used an automatic coagulometer Sysmex CA 660 (Sysmex Europe, Germany) and reagents from Siemens (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Products GmbH, Germany).

RESULTS



The study included 146 patients. The activity of factors FV [147.7 (102.1-247.55)% vs 103.85 (60-161.6)% vs 81.8 (67.15-130.65)%, P = 0.002], FVIII [80.4 (60.15-106.25)% vs 70.3 (48.5-89.1)% vs 63.7 (41.6-88.25)%, P = 0.039], FIX [86.2 (70.75-102.95)% vs 75.4 (59.2-88.3)% vs 73.9 (56.45-93.05)%, P = 0.014], FX [188.9 (99.3-308.18)% vs 158.9 (83.3-230)% vs 127.2 (95.25-209.35)%, P = 0.022], FXI [82.6 (63.9-103.6)% vs 69.75 (53.8-97.6)% vs 67.3 (54.25-98.05)%, P = 0.002], FXII [87.6 (67.15-102.3)% vs 78.9 (63.4-97.05)% vs 81.2 (62.15-97.4)%, P < 0.001] decreased at 7 and 30 d after surgery; FII activity [157.9 (109.7-245.25)% vs 130 (86.8-192.5)% vs 144.8 (103.31-185.6)%, P = 0.021] decreased at 7 d and increased at 30 d postoperatively. There were no statistically significant changes in the FVII activity within 30 d after PM placement [182.2 (85.1-344.8)% vs 157.2 (99.1-259)% vs 108.9 (74.9-219.8)%, P = 0.128]. Subgroup analysis revealed similar changes only in patients on anticoagulant therapy. FXII activity decreased in patients on antiplatelet therapy [82 (65.8-101.9)% vs 79.9 (63.3-97.1)% vs 89.7 (75.7-102.5)%, P = 0.01] 7 d after surgery, returning to baseline values at 30 d postoperatively.

CONCLUSION

PM placement and anticoagulant therapy were associated with decreased activity of clotting factors FV, FVIII, FIX, FX, FXI, FXII in the postoperative period. FVII activity did not decrease within 30 d after PM placement, which may indicate endothelial injury caused by lead placement.

Key Words: Hemostasis; Blood coagulation; Cardiac pacemaker; Anticoagulants; Postoperative complications

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Permanent pacemaker placement and anticoagulant therapy are associated with decreased activity of factors V (FV), FVIII, FIX, FX, FXI, FXII in the postoperative period. FVII activity does not decrease within 30 d after PM placement, which may be suggestive of ongoing endothelial injury.

Citation: Kalinin R, Suchkov I, Povarov V, Mzhavanadze N, Zhurina O. Perioperative coagulation activation after permanent pacemaker placement. *World J Cardiol* 2023; 15(4): 174-183 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1949-8462/full/v15/i4/174.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4330/wjc.v15.i4.174

INTRODUCTION

Cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIED), which include pacemakers (PM), are widely used to treat patients with arrhythmias and chronic heart failure[1,2]. Bradyarrhythmias are typically treated with permanent PM. The elimination of bradyarrhythmia by PM implantation improves the patient's quality of life and prognosis, but it can also result in a number of sequalae[1,3,4]. It is still unclear how PM implantation affects the hemostasis system's parameters and how those parameters relate to different problems and other consequences. The aim of our study was to assess the blood coagulation factor activity in PM patients throughout the perioperative period.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population and data collection

The study included patients treated in the Department of Surgical Treatment of Cardiac Arrhythmias and Cardiac Pacing at the Ryazan State "Regional Clinical Cardiology Dispensary" from April 2020 to December 2021. Inclusion criteria for the study were indications for pacemaker implantation and age over 40 years; non-inclusion criteria were presence of a previously implanted pacemaker, contraindications to antithrombotic therapy, pregnancy or breastfeeding, and active cancer or remission for less than 5 years. After the patient consented to participate in the study and signed the informed consent form, the following data were collected: Age, sex, height, weight, underlying disease, comorbidities, history of surgical interventions, the type of antithrombotic therapy used. Peripheral venous blood samples were taken to analyze the activity of the studied blood coagulation factors on the day of surgery.

Zaisbidena® WJC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Operative techniques

PM placement was carried out in accordance with the "European Heart Rhythm Association expert consensus statement and practical guide on optimal implantation technique for conventional PM and implantable cardioverter-defibrillators"[1]. Endocardial leads were implanted via cephalic vein; subclavian vein was used as vascular access only when the cephalic vein was not suitable. All patients had the same PM models, single- and dual-chamber, and leads. Atrial leads with active-fixation systems were implanted in the right atrial appendage, whereas all ventricular leads with passive-fixation systems were placed in the right ventricle's apex. The PM was placed either in the pectoralis major muscle or in the subcutaneous tissues above the fascia of the muscle.

Postoperative follow-up period

After the PM placement, the patients were allowed to stay in bed for 12 h. Moreover, an ice load was administered to the surgical site for 2 h in order to prevent PM pocket hematoma. The patients spent an average of 6 d at the hospital. Venous blood sampling was repeated on the 7th and 30th days after PM placement.

Coagulation factors assessment

Venous blood samples were centrifuged; the resulting plasma was used to assess the studied parameters: The level of blood coagulation factor I (FI) and the activity of blood coagulation factors II (FII), V (FV), VII (FVII), VIII (FVIII), IX (FIX), X (FX), XI (FXI), XII (FXII). We used an automatic coagulometer Sysmex CA 660 (Sysmex Europe, Germany) and reagents from Siemens (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Products GmbH, Germany).

Biostatistics

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 26.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, United States). Numbers and percentages were used to express categorical data. The χ^2 test and Fisher exact test were used to analyze categorical data. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess normality. Most data were expressed as medians since they were not normally distributed. Wilcoxon test, Mann-Whitney test, Friedman test, Kruskal-Wallis test, and post-hoc tests were used as non-parametric tests for data comparison between two groups. Several cases with normal distribution were analyzed using parametric statistical analysis techniques. P values less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

Patients' characteristics

A total of 213 patients were screened to participate in the study. At the screening stage, 57 (26.7%) patients were withdrawn from the study: 38 (17.8%) refused to participate in the study, 19 (8.9%) had indications for placement of the other types CIED rather than a PM. As a result, 156 patients were included in the study, and 146 patients successfully completed the trial. Among 10 patients who dropped out of the study, 6 died and 4 withdrew their consent (Figure 1).

All patients signed an informed consent. This study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of the Ryazan State Medical University. The clinical characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1.

Antithrombotic therapy

All patients in the study received antithrombotic therapy (Table 1). All patients with atrial fibrillation received anticoagulants in accordance with clinical guidelines. Dabigatran etexilate was provided at a dose of 150 (110) mg twice day, apixaban at a dose of 5 (2.5) mg twice daily, and rivaroxaban at a dose of 20 (15) mg once daily. Warfarin was provided once daily; warfarin dosage was adjusted to achieve international normalized ratio of 2 to 3. The rest of the patients received acetylsalicylic acid at a dose of 100 mg once daily due to ischemic heart disease. None of the patients received anticoagulants and antiplatelets simultaneously. Antithrombotic therapy was not canceled or changed during the perioperative period.

Perioperative assessment of coagulation parameters

There was a decrease in the activity of factors FV, FVIII, FIX, FX, FXI, and FXII at 7 and 30 d after the procedure, while the activity of FII decreased after 7 d and increased after 30 d. During the observation period, changes in FI levels and FVII activity were not statistically significant (Table 2).

Subgroup analysis

A subgroup analysis was conducted in order to identify the variables impacting the investigated parameters. The type of antithrombotic medication the patient received had the greatest impact on the variables in this study (Table 3).



Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients included in the study, n (%)			
Variable (<i>n</i> = 146)		Data	
Age, years		73 (67-81)	
Body mass index, kg/m	1 ²	27.5 (25-31)	
Gender			
Male		77 (52.7)	
Female		69 (47.3)	
Pacemaker placement	indication		
Atrioventricular block		49 (33.6)	
Sick sinus syndrome		47 (32.2)	
Atrial fibrillation with i	mpaired atrioventricular conduction	50 (34.2)	
Comorbidity			
Ischemic heart disease		146 (100)	
Exertional angina		44 (30.1)	
Arterial hypertension		143 (97.9)	
Atrial fibrillation		96 (65.8)	
Congestive heart failure	2	146 (100)	
	NYHA Class I	7 (4.8)	
	NYHA Class II	60 (41.1)	
	NYHA Class III	79 (54.1)	
	NYHA Class IV	0 (0)	
History of myocardial i	nfarction	28 (19.2)	
History of stroke		12 (8.2)	
Atherosclerotic periphe	ral arterial disease	4 (2.7)	
Varicose veins		31 (21.2)	
History of venous thron	nboembolism	8 (5.5)	
Type 2 diabetes mellitu	S	39 (21.2)	
History of coronavirus	disease	4 (2.7)	
Antithrombotic therap	у		
Antiplatelet therapy (as	pirin)	55 (37.7)	
Oral anticoagulants		91 (62.3)	
	Rivaroxaban	57 (39)	
	Apixaban	20 (13.7)	
	Dabigatran etexilate	7 (4.8)	
	Warfarin	7 (4.8)	
Surgery features			
Pacemaker			
	Single-chamber	50 (34.2)	
	Dual-chamber	96 (65.8)	
Pacemaker placement side			
	Left side	142 (97.3)	
	Right side	4 (2.7)	
Vascular access			

Baisbideng® WJC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Kalinin R et al. Coagulation parameters after permanent pacemaker placement

	Cephalic vein (section)	132 (90.4)	
	Subclavian vein (puncture)	14 (9.6)	
Pacemaker pocket localization			
	Above the pectoral fascia	133 (91.1)	
	Inside the pectoralis major muscle	13 (8.9)	
Mean surgery time, min		54 (41-60)	

NYHA: New York Heart Association.

Table 2 Perioperative coagulation parameters (n = 146)					
Variable	Before implantation	7 d after implantation 30 d after implantation		<i>P</i> value	
FI	2.61 (2.05-3.11)	2.76 (2.08-3.42)	2.54 (2.16-2.91)	0.669	
FII	157.9 (109.7-245.25) ^a	130 (86.8-192.5)	144.8 (103.31-185.6)	0.021	
FV	147.7 (102.1-247.55) ^{a,b}	103.85 (60-161.6)	81.8 (67.15-130.65)	0.002	
FVII	182.2 (85.1-344.8)	157.2 (99.1-259)	108.9 (74.9-219.8)	0.128	
FVIII	80.4 (60.15-106.25) ^{a,b}	70.3 (48.5-89.1)	63.7 (41.6-88.25)	0.039	
FIX	86.2 (70.75-102.95) ^{a,b}	75.4 (59.2-88.3)	73.9 (56.45-93.05)	0.014	
FX	188.9 (99.3-308.18) ^{a,b}	158.9 (83.3-230)	127.2 (95.25-209.35)	0.022	
FXI	82.6 (63.9-103.6) ^{a,b}	69.75 (53.8-97.6)	67.3 (54.25-98.05)	0.002	
FXII	87.6 (67.15-102.3) ^{a,b}	78.9 (63.4-97.05)	81.2 (62.15-97.4)	< 0.001	

 $^{\mathrm{a}}P$ < 0.05 vs 7 d after pacemaker implantation.

 ${}^{b}P < 0.05 vs 30 d$ after pacemaker implantation.

FI: Factor I; FII: Factor V; FVII: Factor VII; FVIII: Factor VIII; FIX: Factor IX; FX: Factor X; FXI: Factor XI; FXII: Factor XII.



DOI: 10.4330/wjc.v15.i4.174 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 1 Flowchart diagram providing patients included in the study. ICD: Implanted cardioverter-defibrillator; CRT: Cardiac resynchronization therapy.

Patients with dual-chamber PMs on anticoagulant therapy 7 d after surgery had lower values of FI (P = 0.033), and lower activity of FV (P = 0.045), FVIII (P < 0.001), FIX (P < 0.001), FXI (P = 0.004); lower activity of FVIII (P = 0.049), FIX (P < 0.001), FXI (P = 0.003) was seen at 30 d after surgery as compared with patients on antiplatelet therapy. There were no differences in the studied parameters between patients receiving anticoagulant therapy with single-chamber and dual-chamber PM as well as different indications for PM placement (P > 0.05).

Baishideon WJC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 3 Perioperative coagulation parameters in patients on antiplatelet (<i>n</i> = 55) and anticoagulant (<i>n</i> = 91) therapy					
Variable	Antithrombotic therapy	Before implantation	7 d after implantation	30 d after implantation	P value
FI	Antiplatelet	2.66 (2.13-2.99)	2.85 (2.47-3.3)	2.56 (2.19-3.16)	0.513
	Anticoagulant	2.55 (1.9-3.19)	2.58 (1.93-3.44)	2.49 (2.16-2.85)	0.957
	P value	0.675	0.092	0.599	-
FII	Antiplatelet	156.9 (94.5-237.3)	139 (86.8-192.5)	163.5 (112.4-203)	0.289
	Anticoagulant	186.2 (113.8-256.8)	118.5 (86.8 -173)	128.4 (99.6-170.5)	0.067
	<i>P</i> value	0.458	0.609	0.263	-
FV	Antiplatelet	164.9 (103.4-267.5)	115.5 (92.8-198.9)	98.6 (82.6-155.3)	0.245
	Anticoagulant	133.3 (96.6-187.9) ^{a,b}	80.1 (45.9-152.8)	73.4 (55.4-84.3)	0.005
	<i>P</i> value	0.196	0.033	0.004	-
FVII	Antiplatelet	203.4 (113-352.1)	200 (116.4-438.3)	223 (107.9-376.6)	0.683
	Anticoagulant	182.1 (87.3-384.6)	122.3 (80.3-209.9)	83.7 (64.6-154.8)	0.153
	<i>P</i> value	0.691	0.024	0.002	-
FVIII	Antiplatelet	82.1 (62.5-114.3)	81.6 (63.8-97.5)	75.5 (59.1-100.5)	0.104
	Anticoagulant	78.5 (58.7-99.7) ^{a,b}	59.6 (41.7-82)	55.1 (40.8-84.4)	0.001
	<i>P</i> value	0.21	0.001	0.033	-
FIX	Antiplatelet	85.4 (74.8-106.9)	84.2 (78-105.8)	96.7 (87.2-104)	0.438
	Anticoagulant	87 (68.4-99.6) ^{a,b}	69.6 (55.6-81.8)	63.2 (45.5-76.8)	0.004
	P value	0.331	<0.001	<0.001	-
FX	Antiplatelet	200 (105.8-308.2)	163.8 (81.7-228.4)	171.6 (120.2-240)	0.708
	Anticoagulant	187.8 (98.6-286.1) ^{a,b}	152.6 (89-248.2)	109.8 (82-163.5)	0.007
	P value	0.837	0.983	0.03	-
FXI	Antiplatelet	87.2 (69.8-100.8)	93.8 (63.1-108.2)	96.7 (84.2-108)	0.957
	Anticoagulant	74.8 (62.5-106.9) ^{a,b}	61 (49.9-82.6)	59.5 (47.5-86.5)	< 0.001
	P value	0.377	0.001	< 0.001	-
FXII	Antiplatelet	82 (65.8-101.9) ^a	79.9 (63.3-97.1)	89.7 (75.7-102.5)	0.01
	Anticoagulant	80.7 (69.4-110.2) ^{a,b}	78.9 (63.4-97)	73.8 (69.8-90.3)	0.001
	P value	0.989	0.629	0.027	-

 $^{a}P < 0.05 vs 7 d$ after pacemaker implantation.

 ${}^{\mathrm{b}}P$ < 0.05 vs 30 d after pacemaker implantation.

FI: Factor I; FII: Factor I; FVI: Factor V; FVII: Factor VII; FVIII: Factor VIII; FIX: Factor IX; FX: Factor X; FXI: Factor XI; FXII: Factor XII.

When evaluating the effect of each individual anticoagulant on the studied parameters, we found that patients who took apixaban had lower FIX (P = 0.049) activity at 7 d after surgery, and lower activity of FV (P = 0.046), FIX (P = 0.015), and FXI (P = 0.014) at 30 d after surgery as compared with patients who received acetylsalicylic acid. Patients who took rivaroxaban had lower activity of FIX (P = 0.004), FXI (P = 0.004), FXI (P = 0.02) at 7 d after surgery, and lower activity of FIX (P = 0.006), FXI (P = 0.004) at 30 d after surgery as compared with patients who took acetylsalicylic acid. Patients who took dabigatran etexilate had lower FIX activity at 7 d (P = 0.023) and 30 d (P = 0.024) after surgery as compared with patients who took warfarin had lower FIX activity at 7 d (P = 0.023) and 30 d (P = 0.024) after surgery as 7 d (P = 0.023) and 30 d (P = 0.024) after surgery as compared with patients who took warfarin had lower FIX activity at 7 d (P = 0.023) and 30 d (P = 0.024) after surgery as compared with patients who took warfarin had lower FIX activity at 7 d (P = 0.023) and 30 d (P = 0.024) after surgery as compared with patients who took warfarin had lower FIX activity at 7 d (P = 0.023) and 30 d (P = 0.004) after surgery as compared to patients who received acetylsalicylic acid.

Female patients had higher baseline FVII (P = 0.001) and FIX (P = 0.003) activity, regardless of antithrombotic therapy type as compared with males.

Raishideng® WJC | https://www.wjgnet.com

DISCUSSION

Our aim was to study coagulation in patients with PM in the perioperative period. As a result, we discovered that at 7 and 30 d following surgery, the activity of coagulation factors V, VIII, IX, X, XI, and XII diminished. A more extensive statistical analysis revealed that patients on anticoagulant therapy experienced such changes more frequently. FXII activity in individuals who received acetylsalicylic acid decreased at 7 d after surgery before returning to baseline levels at 30 d after surgery. Patients undergoing antiplatelet and anticoagulant therapy did not show statistically significant changes in FVII activity or FI levels within 30 d of PM implantation.

Coagulation is one of the components of the human hemostasis system. Blood coagulation factors such as transglutaminases, glycoproteins, and serine proteases are part of the coagulation system[5]. The cascade model was once regarded as the primary coagulation model. This paradigm distinguishes between intrinsic and extrinsic coagulation pathways, which include the successive activation of blood coagulation components. Both pathways merge into a common coagulation pathway, which results in the formation of fibrin, which strengthens the thrombus[6,7].

The modern concept of coagulation is a cell-based model that describes the close relationship between the blood coagulation factors, platelets and endothelial cells. The coagulation process is broken down into three parts by the cell model: initiation, amplification, and propagation. When the vascular endothelium is injured during the initiation phase, cells that express tissue factor (such as smooth muscle cells) interact with FVII (initiation phase). This complex triggers the activation of FII, FIX, and FX. In the amplification phase, FII interfaces with the platelet membrane, where FXI, FVIII, and FV activation start. The propagation phase starts when activated FVIII and FIX combine to generate a complex capable of activating a significant amount of FX. Afterwards, FII and FI are activated, much like in the cascade model. Other interactions of blood coagulation factors in the cell-based model of hemostasis are also described, in addition to those described above. Coagulation is controlled by the anticoagulant system of blood. A cell-based model of hemostasis shifts our understanding of the blood clotting process to a different level, not excluding the cascade model [5,6,8].

The majority of PM patients are elderly people who frequently have a variety of comorbidities and illnesses linked to a hypercoagulable state of the hemostasis system. Atrial fibrillation, arterial hypertension, coronary heart disease, chronic heart failure, obesity, and other disorders fall under this category. Prior to PM implantation, bradyarrhythmia significantly influences the development of chronic heart failure and hypercoagulability in such patients [9,10]. Participants in the study who received anticoagulants displayed a decrease in the activity of intrinsic pathway factors such as FV, FVIII, FIX, FX, FXI, or amplification and propagation phases factors (according to the cell-based model). The baseline values of the examined parameters in these patients would likewise be lower than in patients receiving antiplatelet medications, but this was not the case in our study. In this instance, the use of anticoagulants and the elimination of bradyarrhythmia by PM implantation both likely contributed to the decline in the activity of the examined parameters. Elimination of bradyarrhythmia in patients receiving antiplatelets only temporarily reduced FXII activity.

Vascular access to the right ventricle of the heart is necessary for PM implantation operation. The lead is passed through the venous system after the subclavian vein is punctured or the cephalic vein is sectioned during surgery. Conditions are produced at the damaged area to enable the hemostasis system to function. A rise in tissue factor and von Willebrand factor in patients following pacemaker implantation supports this idea[3,9,11]. The second place of activation of the hemostasis system is the area of contact of the lead with endocardium. Gjesdal et al[12] showed high platelet activity in vitro when stimulated with PM bipolar leads. Palatianos et al[13] in an experiment on pigs noted that the largest accumulation of platelets was detected at the distal end of the PM lead. Although it is thought that lead has a low thrombogenicity, blood clots could still form for a variety of reasons, including a disruption of the laminar blood flow through the vein[13,14]. In our work, FVII activity does not decline in patients throughout the course of the 30-d observation period. This might be because tissue factor continues to activate FVII at the locations where the electrode caused endothelium damage. The persistence of FII activity shows that this coagulation route (extrinsic pathway of the cascade model, initiation phase of the cell-based model) is active during the entire observation time.

Our study did not aim to assess each individual anticoagulant medication's effect on the coagulation hemostasis measures. Amplification phase factors FV, FIX, and FXI's activity was shown to be decreased by apixaban and rivaroxaban when compared to acetylsalicylic acid due to FX's inhibition. Patients taking dabigatran etexilate had lower FIX activity because FII was inhibited as compared to patients receiving acetylsalicylic acid[4,5,15].

Many studies on the topic of coagulation in PM patients have been published in the international literature. The majority of these studies focus on how these patients' coagulation patterns relate to deep vein thrombosis (DVT) of the upper extremities and venous thromboembolism in general[3,11,14,16,17]. Zhang et al^[3] noted an increase in FVIII activity 7 d after surgery. Lelakowski et al^[11] observed an increase in FVII activity at the same period. The findings of our previous studies have demonstrated the predictive value of D-dimer levels in relation to the occurrence of DVT in the upper extremities following the initial implantation of the PM and the association between a high level of D-dimer and impaired patency of the veins in the upper extremities in patients with already implanted PM[16,18].



WJC | https://www.wjgnet.com

One of the limitations of our study was inability to assess the changes of the studied parameters in patients with single-chamber PM who require antiplatelet therapy. Currently, single-chamber PMs in the vast majority of cases are implanted in patients with permanent atrial fibrillation who require anticoagulant therapy. Placement of a single-chamber PM in the atrial position in patients with sick sinus syndrome, who could potentially receive antiplatelet agents and be investigated in this regard, is not common these days[1,19]. The study was also characterized by a limited number of postoperative visits and a certain choice of antiplatelet therapy (acetylsalicylic acid), non-inclusion of certain categories of patients such as younger patients, children, patients with leadless PM, *etc.*

CONCLUSION

PM placement and anticoagulant therapy were associated with decreased activity of clotting factors FV, FVIII, FIX, FX, FXI, FXII in the postoperative period. FVII activity did not decrease within 30 d after PM placement, which may indicate endothelial injury caused by lead placement. We think that further investigation of hemostasis system will contribute to the creation of newer approaches to the detection, prognosis, management, and prevention of numerous hemorrhagic and thromboembolic complications in patients requiring PM implantation.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Bradyarrhythmias are typically treated with permanent pacemakers (PM). The elimination of bradyarrhythmia by PM implantation improves the patient's quality of life and prognosis, but it can also result in a number of sequalae.

Research motivation

It is still unclear how PM implantation affects the hemostasis system's parameters and how such parameters relate to different complications after PM placement.

Research objectives

To assess the blood coagulation factor activity in PM patients throughout the perioperative period.

Research methods

Patients treated in the Department of Surgical Therapy of Cardiac Arrhythmias and Pacing at the Ryazan State "Regional Clinical Cardiology Dispensary" from April 2020 to December 2021 were included in the study. Before surgery, 7 and 30 d after PM placement, peripheral venous blood samples were withdrawn to measure the level of blood coagulation factor I (FI) and the activity of blood coagulation factors II (FII), V (FV), VII (FVII), VIII (FVIII), IX (FIX), X (FX), XI (FXI), XII (FXII). We used an automatic coagulometer Sysmex CA 660 (Sysmex Europe, Germany) and reagents from Siemens (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Products GmbH, Germany).

Research results

The study included 146 patients. The activity of factors FV [147.7 (102.1-247.55)% vs 103.85 (60-161.6)% vs 81.8 (67.15-130.65)%, P = 0.002], FVIII [80.4 (60.15-106.25)% vs 70.3 (48.5-89.1)% vs 63.7 (41.6-88.25)%, P = 0.039], FIX [86.2 (70.75-102.95)% vs 75.4 (59.2-88.3)% vs 73.9 (56.45-93.05)%, P = 0.014], FX [188.9 (99.3-308.18)% vs 158.9 (83.3-230)% vs 127.2 (95.25-209.35)%, P = 0.022], FXI [82.6 (63.9-103.6)% vs 69.75 (53.8-97.6)% vs 67.3 (54.25-98.05)%, P = 0.002], FXII [87.6 (67.15-102.3)% vs 78.9 (63.4-97.05)% vs 81.2 (62.15-97.4)%, P < 0.001] decreased at 7 and 30 d after surgery; FII activity [157.9 (109.7-245.25)% vs 130 (86.8-192.5)% vs 144.8 (103.31-185.6)%, P = 0.021] decreased at 7 d and increased at 30 d postoperatively. There were no statistically significant changes in the FVII activity within 30 d after PM placement [182.2 (85.1-344.8)% vs 157.2 (99.1-259)% vs 108.9 (74.9-219.8)%, P = 0.128]. Subgroup analysis revealed similar changes only in patients on anticoagulant therapy. FXII activity decreased in patients on antiplatelet therapy [82 (65.8-101.9)% vs 79.9 (63.3-97.1)% vs 89.7 (75.7-102.5)%, P = 0.01] 7 d after surgery, returning to baseline values at 30 d postoperatively.

Research conclusions

PM placement and anticoagulant therapy were associated with decreased activity of clotting factors FV, FVIII, FIX, FX, FXI, FXII in the postoperative period. FVII activity did not decrease within 30 d after PM placement, which may indicate endothelial injury caused by lead placement.

Zaishideng® WJC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Research perspectives

We think that further investigation of hemostasis system will contribute to the creation of newer approaches to the detection, prognosis, management, and prevention of numerous hemorrhagic and thromboembolic complications in patients requiring PM implantation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the members of the Scientific and Clinical Center of Hematology, Oncology and Immunology, Ryazan State Medical University for their technical support.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Kalinin R and Suchkov I were the guarantors and designed the study; Povarov V, Mzhavanadze N and Zhurina O participated in the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of the data, and drafted the initial manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: This study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of the Ryazan State Medical University.

Informed consent statement: All patients signed an informed consent.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Russia

ORCID number: Roman Kalinin 0000-0002-0817-9573; Igor Suchkov 0000-0002-1292-5452; Vladislav Povarov 0000-0001-8810-9518; Nina Mzhavanadze 0000-0001-5437-1112; Olga Zhurina 0000-0002-2159-582X.

S-Editor: Liu XF L-Editor: A P-Editor: Yu HG

REFERENCES

- Burri H, Starck C, Auricchio A, Biffi M, Burri M, D'Avila A, Deharo JC, Glikson M, Israel C, Lau CP, Leclercq C, Love 1 CJ, Nielsen JC, Vernooy K; Reviewers:, Dagres N, Boveda S, Butter C, Marijon E, Braunschweig F, Mairesse GH, Gleva M, Defaye P, Zanon F, Lopez-Cabanillas N, Guerra JM, Vassilikos VP, Martins Oliveira M. EHRA expert consensus statement and practical guide on optimal implantation technique for conventional pacemakers and implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS), and the Latin-American Heart Rhythm Society (LAHRS). Europace 2021; 23: 983-1008 [PMID: 33878762 DOI: 10.1093/europace/euaa367]
- Mond HG, Proclemer A. The 11th world survey of cardiac pacing and implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: calendar 2 year 2009--a World Society of Arrhythmia's project. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2011; 34: 1013-1027 [PMID: 21707667 DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-8159.2011.03150.x]
- Zhang X, Li Y, Wang N, Zhang C, Zhang D, Li Q. Effects of permanent cardiac pacemaker implantation on vascular 3 endothelial function, blood coagulation and cardiac function in patients with bradycardia. Exp Ther Med 2018; 16: 4717-4721 [PMID: 30542426 DOI: 10.3892/etm.2018.6808]
- Creta A, Finlay M, Hunter RJ, Chow A, Sporton S, Muthumala A, Dhillon G, Papageorgiou N, Waddingham P, Ahsan S, 4 Dhinoja M, Earley MJ, Khan F, Lowe M, Ahmad M, Ricciardi D, Grigioni F, Di Sciascio G, Lambiase PD, Schilling RJ, Providência R. Non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants at the time of cardiac rhythm device surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Thromb Res 2020; 188: 90-96 [PMID: 32113073 DOI: 10.1016/j.thromres.2020.02.007]
- 5 Sang Y, Roest M, de Laat B, de Groot PG, Huskens D. Interplay between platelets and coagulation. Blood Rev 2021; 46: 100733 [PMID: 32682574 DOI: 10.1016/j.blre.2020.100733]
- Versteeg HH, Heemskerk JW, Levi M, Reitsma PH. New fundamentals in hemostasis. Physiol Rev 2013; 93: 327-358 6 [PMID: 23303912 DOI: 10.1152/physrev.00016.2011]



- Davie EW, Ratnoff OD. Waterfall sequence for intrinsic blood clotting. Science 1964; 145: 1310-1312 [PMID: 14173416 DOI: 10.1126/science.145.3638.1310]
- Podoplelova NA, Sveshnikova AN, Kotova YN, Eckly A, Receveur N, Nechipurenko DY, Obydennyi SI, Kireev II, 8 Gachet C, Ataullakhanov FI, Mangin PH, Panteleev MA. Coagulation factors bound to procoagulant platelets concentrate in cap structures to promote clotting. Blood 2016; 128: 1745-1755 [PMID: 27432876 DOI: 10.1182/blood-2016-02-696898]
- 9 Cacko A, Kozyra-Pydyś E, Gawałko M, Opolski G, Grabowski M. The role of hemostatic markers as venous stenosis or occlusion predictors following first transvenous cardiac device implantation. Cardiol J 2021; 28: 690-696 [PMID: 30912577 DOI: 10.5603/CJ.a2019.0030]
- Cugno M, Mari D, Meroni PL, Gronda E, Vicari F, Frigerio M, Coppola R, Bottasso B, Borghi MO, Gregorini L. 10 Haemostatic and inflammatory biomarkers in advanced chronic heart failure: role of oral anticoagulants and successful heart transplantation. Br J Haematol 2004; 126: 85-92 [PMID: 15198737 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2004.04977.x]
- Lelakowski J, Domagała TB, Rydlewska A, Januszek R, Kotula Horowitz K, Majewski J, Ząbek A, Małecka B, Musiał J. 11 Effect of selected prothrombotic and proinflammatory factors on the incidence of venous thrombosis after pacemaker implantation. Kardiol Pol 2012; 70: 260-267 [PMID: 22430407]
- Gjesdal G, Hansen AB, Brandes A. Does bipolar pacemaker current activate blood platelets? Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 12 2009; **32**: 627-631 [PMID: 19422584 DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-8159.2009.02336.x]
- 13 Palatianos GM, Dewanjee MK, Panoutsopoulos G, Kapadvanjwala M, Novak S, Sfakianakis GN. Comparative thrombogenicity of pacemaker leads. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1994; 17: 141-145 [PMID: 7513397 DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-8159.1994.tb01364.x]
- Safi M, Akbarzadeh MA, Azinfar A, Namazi MH, Khaheshi I. Upper extremity deep venous thrombosis and stenosis after 14 implantation of pacemakers and defibrillators; A prospective study. Rom J Intern Med 2017; 55: 139-144 [PMID: 28432849 DOI: 10.1515/rjim-2017-0018]
- 15 Altiok E, Marx N. Oral Anticoagulation. Dtsch Arztebl Int 2018; 115: 776-783 [PMID: 30602410 DOI: 10.3238/arztebl.2018.0776
- Kalinin RE, Suchkov IA, Mzhavanadze ND, Povarov VO. Dynamics of coagulation parameters and its relationship with 16 venous thromboembolism in patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices. Flebologiya 2019; 13: 21-27 (In Russ.) [DOI: 10.17116/flebo20191301121]
- Ma J, Cui L, Huo W, Wang G, Quan X, Zhang J. Correlation between Deep Venous Thrombosis and Inflammation in 17 Patients after Implantation of Permanent Pacemaker. Iran J Public Health 2020; 49: 30-36 [PMID: 32309221]
- Kalinin RE, Suchkov IA, Povarov VO, Mzhavanadze ND, Zhurina ON. Venous obstruction of the upper extremities in 18 patients with pacemakers: D-dimer testing. Flebologiya 2022; 16: 262 269 (In Russ.) [DOI: 10.17116/flebo202216041262]
- 19 Vogler J, Keelani A, Traub A, Tilz RR. [ESC guidelines 2021 on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy: What's new? Herz 2022; 47: 31-40 [PMID: 35006289 DOI: 10.1007/s00059-021-05089-0]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

