

## Temozolomide for treatment of brain metastases: A review of 21 clinical trials

Wei Zhu, Li Zhou, Jia-Qi Qian, Tian-Zhu Qiu, Yong-Qian Shu, Ping Liu

Wei Zhu, Li Zhou, Jia-Qi Qian, Tian-Zhu Qiu, Yong-Qian Shu, Ping Liu, Department of Oncology, First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu Province, China

Author contributions: Zhu W and Zhou L contributed equally to this work; Zhu W and Liu P designed the research; Zhu W, Zhou L, Qian JQ, Qiu TZ, Shu YQ and Liu P performed the research; Zhu W and Zhou L contributed new reagents/analytic tools, analyzed the data and wrote the paper.

Correspondence to: Ping Liu, MD, PhD, Chief Physician, Professor, Department of Oncology, First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, 300 Guangzhou Road, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu Province, China. [belliwether@163.com](mailto:belliwether@163.com)  
Telephone: +86-25-83718836 Fax: +86-25-83780826

Received: September 7, 2013 Revised: November 2, 2013

Accepted: November 15, 2013

Published online: February 10, 2014

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited. All rights reserved.

**Key words:** Temozolomide; Solid tumours; Brain metastases; Clinical trials; Clinical outcomes

**Core tip:** Temozolomide has been used in glioblastoma multiforme as well as melanoma. Recently, studies showed that it might be also effective in patients with brain metastases from various malignancies. In this study, we carried out a review of 21 published clinical trials to determine whether temozolomide would benefit patients with brain metastases from solid tumours. As a result, a modest therapeutic effect was observed when temozolomide was used as a single agent, however, the combination of temozolomide with whole-brain radiotherapy and/or other anticancer drugs exhibited encouraging activity. This study for the first time provided a systematic evaluation of temozolomide in the treatment of brain metastases.

### Abstract

Brain metastases from solid tumours are associated with poor prognosis despite aggressive treatment. Temozolomide can be used for the treatment of glioblastoma multiforme as well as melanoma. It has also been shown to have activity in patients with brain metastases from various malignancies, since it can cross the blood-brain barrier. To better understand the efficacy of temozolomide in the treatment of brain metastases, we carried out a review of 21 published clinical trials to determine whether temozolomide would benefit patients with brain metastases from solid tumours. Information regarding complete response, partial response, stable disease, objective response and objective response rate were collected to assess clinical outcomes. A modest therapeutic effect was observed when temozolomide was used as a single agent, however, the combination of temozolomide with whole-brain radiotherapy and/or other anticancer drugs exhibited encouraging activity. Thus, future high quality studies are warranted to confirm our findings.

Zhu W, Zhou L, Qian JQ, Qiu TZ, Shu YQ, Liu P. Temozolomide for treatment of brain metastases: A review of 21 clinical trials. *World J Clin Oncol* 2013; 5(1): 19-27 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/full/v5/i1/19.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v5.i1.19>

### INTRODUCTION

Brain metastases are a common complication in patients with cancer, occurring in approximately 25% of patients with disseminated diseases. The most common primary tumours, which metastasize to the brain, are lung cancer (25%-30%)<sup>[1,2]</sup>, breast cancer (10%-15%)<sup>[3,4]</sup> and melanoma (12%-20%)<sup>[5]</sup>. Over two thirds of patients with brain metastases suffer debilitating neurologic symptoms, including headaches, focal weakness, cognitive dysfunction, and seizures. Prognosis in these patients is particularly

grim, and without treatment, median survival period is 1-2 mo, depending on the extent of cranial disease and the degree to which it can be controlled.

No standard systemic therapy exists for patients with brain metastases. Researchers continue looking for optimal treatments. Whole-brain radiotherapy was the standard of care in patients with multiple or inoperable brain metastases. Nevertheless, radiosurgery was becoming an increasingly attractive option for patients with up to four lesions<sup>[6,7]</sup>, especially young patients with good performance status and satisfactory control of extra-cranial disease. Chemotherapy options were limited and generally used as salvage therapy in patients who failed to respond to whole-brain radiotherapy or radiosurgery<sup>[8,9]</sup>. However, the assumption that the blood-brain barrier prevented the passage of chemotherapy agents into the brain discouraged the use of systemic chemotherapy in treating brain metastases, even though the blood-brain barrier might already be disrupted by the presence of brain metastases and/or the treatment with whole-brain radiotherapy<sup>[10,11]</sup>.

Temozolomide is an orally administered alkylating agent. It belongs to the imidazotetrazines and reaches the central nervous system in therapeutic concentrations. It can be rapidly absorbed following oral administration and undergoes spontaneous conversion at physiological pH to the active metabolite (3-methyltriazene-1-yl) imidazole-4-carboxamide in the tissues<sup>[12]</sup>. Clinical activity of temozolomide is closely linked to the activity of O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase, a DNA repair protein which removes O6-alkylguanine adducts in DNA<sup>[13]</sup>. More importantly, temozolomide's ability to cross the blood-brain barrier has been demonstrated<sup>[14]</sup>. Temozolomide also has a good toxicity profile. The dose-limiting toxicity is non-cumulative myelosuppression that rarely requires treatment delay or dose reduction and may improve patients' life quality. Currently, temozolomide is a standard therapy in patients with refractory anaplastic astrocytomas. However, the optimal treatment of brain metastases is still under investigation. Theoretically, an efficacious and well-tolerated chemotherapeutic, such as temozolomide, might also show great potential to treat brain metastases. Therefore, we carried out a review of published clinical trials to better understand the efficacy of temozolomide in the treatment of brain metastases from solid tumours.

## IDENTIFICATION OF ELIGIBLE STUDIES

We searched the PubMed database (until 5 April 2012) for the relevant articles by using the term "Temozolomide" with no limitations. In addition, another search strategy was employed by using the key term "Temozolomide", limited to "Humans", "Clinical Trial" and "Cancer". All relevant publications were reviewed and repetitive articles were eliminated. The articles in reference lists were also hand-searched for potentially relevant publications.

## INCLUSION CRITERIA

All human-associated studies, regardless of tumour type, were included once they met the following criteria: (1)

solid tumour with brain metastases (except primary brain tumour); (2) monotherapy or combination therapy with temozolomide; (3) histological confirmation; (4) relatively stable administration dosage of temozolomide; and (5) sufficient data of clinical outcomes.

## DATA EXTRACTION

For each study, the following information was collected: first author, year of publication, country of the first author, the number of total and evaluable patients, median age, gender, cancer type, prior treatment, name of drugs, dose regimen, median cycle of treatment, clinical outcomes including the number of patients who achieved complete response, partial response, stable disease, objective response and progressive disease. For studies including different types of tumour, data were separately extracted by tumour type if information was enough.

## LITERATURE SEARCH

A total of 2448 articles were identified from the PubMed database, of which 1987 were excluded due to repeated and unrelated contents. Among the rest, phase I studies were excluded as the administration dosage of temozolomide was not relatively stable. In addition, only trials on solid tumours with brain metastases were included in this review. Therefore, 21 papers were chosen from the remaining 461 articles for this study. Among these 21 articles, seven used temozolomide as a single agent while the others combined temozolomide with other anti-tumour drugs and/or radiotherapy.

Because of the heterogeneity of patients, regimens, clinical settings and a variety of outcome measurement in these trials, conducting a meta-analysis was inappropriate. Results were therefore analyzed qualitatively.

## STUDY CHARACTERISTICS

Study characteristics information was summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Among all first authors, seven were from United States, five from Greece, four from Italy, two from Germany and one from each of United Kingdom, France, and Poland. The number of patients in these trials ranged from 11 to 157, with median age from 48 to 66. There were 12 cancer types described in these 21 trials, including non-small cell lung cancer, breast cancer, melanoma, small cell lung cancer, colorectal cancer, ovarian cancer, endometrial cancer, oral cavity cancer, renal cancer, bladder cancer, gastric cancer and carcinoma of the head and neck. Besides, there were five studies including unknown primary tumours. Most patients had received prior therapies and had metastatic or recurrent diseases at baseline.

## TREATMENT ADMINISTRATION

In Tables 3 and 4, treatment administration information for each therapy type was recorded. In trials of mono-

**Table 1 Study characteristics of the studies that used temozolomide as a single agent**

Trial	Publication information (author/yr/country)	Patient characteristic			Cancer	Prior treatment
		Total number	Median age	Gender (male/female)		
1	Abrey <i>et al</i> <sup>[16]</sup> /2001/United States	41 (34 <sup>1</sup> )	60	11/30	NSCLC ( <i>n</i> = 22) Breast ( <i>n</i> = 10) Melanoma ( <i>n</i> = 3) SCLC ( <i>n</i> = 2) Rectal ( <i>n</i> = 2) Ovarian ( <i>n</i> = 1) Endometrial ( <i>n</i> = 1)	WBRT ( <i>n</i> = 41) Stereotactic RT ( <i>n</i> = 9) Chemotherapy ( <i>n</i> = 35) Surgery ( <i>n</i> = 11)
2	Siena <i>et al</i> <sup>[20]</sup> /2009/Italy	157	51.1 <sup>4</sup> /53.9 <sup>3</sup> /59.1 <sup>2</sup>	72/85	Melanoma ( <i>n</i> = 53) Breast cancer ( <i>n</i> = 51) NSCLC ( <i>n</i> = 53)	WBRT ( <i>n</i> = 41) Chemotherapy ( <i>n</i> = 98) Radiotherapy ( <i>n</i> = 34) Chemotherapy ( <i>n</i> = 21)
3	Schadendorf <i>et al</i> <sup>[21]</sup> /2006/Germany	45 (40 <sup>5</sup> /37 <sup>6</sup> )	54.5	29/16	Melanoma	Chemotherapy ( <i>n</i> = 21)
4	Giorgio <i>et al</i> <sup>[19]</sup> /2005/Italy	30	65	23/7	NSCLC	WBRT ( <i>n</i> = 30) Stereotactic radio surgery ( <i>n</i> = 1) Chemotherapy ( <i>n</i> = 30)
5	Christodoulou <i>et al</i> <sup>[17]</sup> /2000/Greece	28 (24 <sup>1</sup> )	56	19/9	NSCLC ( <i>n</i> = 12) SCLC ( <i>n</i> = 5) Breast ( <i>n</i> = 4) Other ( <i>n</i> = 7)	WBRT ( <i>n</i> = 23) Radiation(other sites) ( <i>n</i> = 5) Surgery ( <i>n</i> = 4) Chemotherapy ( <i>n</i> = 22) Biologic therapy( <i>n</i> = 1)
6	Agarwala <i>et al</i> <sup>[15]</sup> /2004/United States	151 (122 <sup>1</sup> )	53 <sup>7</sup> /46.5 <sup>8</sup>	95/56	Melanoma	Chemotherapy ( <i>n</i> = 34) Immunotherapy ( <i>n</i> = 23 <sup>7</sup> /21 <sup>8</sup> )
7	Dziadziuszko <i>et al</i> <sup>[18]</sup> /2003/Poland	12 (11 <sup>1</sup> )	57	6/6	NSCLC	WBRT ( <i>n</i> = 4) Chemotherapy ( <i>n</i> = 1) Surgery (NA) Radiotherapy (NA)

<sup>1</sup>The number of patients eligible for the assessment of clinical outcomes; <sup>2</sup>Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC); <sup>3</sup>Breast cancer; <sup>4</sup>Melanoma; <sup>5</sup>The number of patients eligible for the assessment of brain lesion response; <sup>6</sup>The number of patients eligible for the assessment of extracerebral response; <sup>7</sup>No prior chemotherapy; <sup>8</sup>Prior chemotherapy. NA: Not available; SCLC: Small cell lung cancer; WBRT: Whole-brain radiotherapy.

therapy, temozolomide was administered at a dose of 150-200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day on days 1-5 of a 28-d cycle<sup>[15-19]</sup> or 125-150 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day on days 1-7, 15-21 of a 28- or 35-d cycle<sup>[20,21]</sup>. The median cycle of each treatment is summarized in Tables 1 and 3.

In trials that combined temozolomide with radiotherapy, patients were treated as follows: (1) 30 Gy of whole-brain radiotherapy with concomitant temozolomide (75 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day) for 10 d, and subsequent temozolomide at a dose of 75 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day for 21 d every 4 wk<sup>[22]</sup>; (2) A total dose of 30 Gy with ten daily fractions of 3.0 Gy was given 5 d per week over 2 wk, and temozolomide was administered at a dose of 95 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day for the entire radiation treatment duration including days without radiation treatment<sup>[23]</sup>; (3) temozolomide was given at 60 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day (days 1-16) concomitantly with whole-brain radiotherapy (36 Gy/12 fractions given in 16 d), and subsequent temozolomide at a dose of 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day for 5 consecutive days every 28 d<sup>[24]</sup>; (4) temozolomide was given at 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day on days 1-5 every 28 d. This therapy regimen was combined with stereotactic radiotherapy (20 Gy) or whole-brain radiotherapy (30 Gy)<sup>[25]</sup>; and (5) temozolomide was administered at a dose of 75 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day concurrent with 40 Gy fractionated conventional external-beam radiotherapy (2 Gy, 5 d/wk) for 4 wk, and subsequent temozolomide

at a dose of 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per day for 5 consecutive days every 28 d<sup>[26]</sup>.

Among those studies that combined temozolomide with other drugs and radiotherapy or with other drugs alone, three added cisplatin<sup>[17,27,28]</sup>, two combined thalidomide<sup>[29,30]</sup>, and the rest used vinorelbine<sup>[31]</sup>, lomustine<sup>[32]</sup>, doxorubicin<sup>[33]</sup>, arsenic trioxide<sup>[34]</sup> or docetaxel<sup>[27]</sup> as a part of chemotherapy protocol or radiation. The median cycle of each treatment is summarized in Tables 2 and 4.

## CLINICAL OUTCOMES

Response criteria were used as defined by World Health Organization criteria, response evaluation criteria in solid tumors criteria, Macdonald criteria, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group criteria or Standard response criteria. Objective response was based on the total number of patients who achieved complete response or partial response. Objective response rate was defined as the proportion of patients who got complete response or partial response. There were seven studies mentioning extracerebral or global responses as an endpoint<sup>[17,21,25,27,28,30,32]</sup>. The extracerebral and global objective response rates ranged from 0.027 to 0.291, and 0.088 to 0.428, respectively. Seventeen trials evaluated cerebral response. Interestingly, efficacy of monotherapy and combination therapy was

**Table 2 Study characteristics of the studies that combined temozolomide with radiotherapy and/or other agents**

Trial	Publication information (author/yr/country)	Patient characteristic			Cancer	Prior treatment
		Total number	Median age	Gender (male/female)		
8	Addeo <i>et al</i> <sup>[22]</sup> /2008/Italy	27	55	13/14	NSCLC (n = 15) Breast (n = 12)	Chemotherapy (n = 20) Surgery (n = 20) Radiotherapy (n = 12)
9	Mikkelsen <i>et al</i> <sup>[23]</sup> /2010/United States	17	65.4	10/7	Lung (n = 13) Colon (n=1) Melanoma (n = 1) Mixed (prostate, bladder, lung) (n = 1) Unknown (probably lung) (n = 1)	Chemotherapy (n = 7) Surgery (n = 1) Stereotactic radiosurgery (n = 2)
10	Kouvaris <i>et al</i> <sup>[24]</sup> /2007/Greece	33	66	22/11	SCLC (n = 4) NSCLC (n = 10) Breast (n = 7) Rectal (n =5) Melanoma (n = 5) Oral cavity (n = 1) Unknown (n = 1)	NA
11	Hofmann <i>et al</i> <sup>[25]</sup> /2006/Germany	35 (34 <sup>1</sup> )	53	19/16	Melanoma	Chemotherapy (n = 7) Immunotherapy (n = 4) Chemoimmunotherapy (n = 3) Surgery/radiosurgery (n = 4)
12	Antonadou <i>et al</i> <sup>[26]</sup> /2002/Greece	25 (24 <sup>1</sup> )	49	25/14	Melanoma	NA
13	Atkins <i>et al</i> <sup>[29]</sup> /2008/United States	39	61	29/10	Melanoma	Immunotherapy (n = 12) Radiotherapy (n = 7) Surgery (n = 40)
14	Cortot <i>et al</i> <sup>[28]</sup> /2006/France	50 (47 <sup>2</sup> /33 <sup>3</sup> /47 <sup>4</sup> )	57	40/10	NSCLC	Radiotherapy (n = 3) Chemotherapy (n = 37)
15	Iwamoto <i>et al</i> <sup>[31]</sup> /2007/United States	38 (36 <sup>1</sup> )	57	15/23	NSCLC (n = 17) SCLC (n = 3) Breast (n = 11) Colon (n = 2) Renal (n = 2) Endometrial (n = 1) Bladder (n = 1) Head and neck (n = 1)	WBRT (n = 30) Surgery (n = 20) Stereotactic radiosurgery (n = 18)
16	Larkin <i>et al</i> <sup>[32]</sup> /2006/United Kingdom	26 (14 <sup>1</sup> )	50	14/12	Melanoma	Immunotherapy (n = 7) Radiosurgery (n = 1) Surgery (n = 1)
17	Caraglia <i>et al</i> <sup>[33]</sup> /2005/Italy	19	63	7/12	Breast (n = 8) NSCLC (n = 6) Colo-rectal (n = 3) Melanoma (n = 1) Ovarian (n = 1)	Systemic treatment (n = 12 ) Radiotherapy(out of brain) (n = 3) WBRT (n = 13)
18	Bael <i>et al</i> <sup>[34]</sup> /2007/United States	11 (5 <sup>1</sup> )	50	8/3	Melanoma	Immunotherapy (n = 3)
19	Christodoulou <i>et al</i> <sup>[35]</sup> /2005/Greece	32 (21 <sup>1</sup> )	53	11/21	Breast (n = 15) NSCLC (n = 11) SCLC (n = 1) Gastric (n = 1) Melanoma (n = 3) Unknown (n = 1)	Chemotherapy (n = 27) Radiotherapy (n = 17) Surgery (n = 1)
20	Hwu <i>et al</i> <sup>[30]</sup> /2005/United States	26 (14 <sup>2</sup> /15 <sup>3</sup> )	60	14/12	Melanoma	WBRT (n = 8) Stereotactic radiosurgery (n = 4) Surgery (n = 4)
21	Bafaloukos <i>et al</i> <sup>[27]</sup> /2004/Greece	25 (24 <sup>1</sup> )	48	15/10	Melanoma	NA

<sup>1</sup>The number of patients eligible for the assessment of clinical outcomes; <sup>2</sup>The number of patients eligible for the assessment of brain lesion response; <sup>3</sup>The number of patients eligible for the assessment of extracerebral response; <sup>4</sup>The number of patients eligible for the assessment of global response. TMZ: Temozolomide; NA: Not available; NSCLC: Non-small cell lung cancer; WBRT: Whole-brain radiotherapy.

quite different among these studies.

Out of the seven trials using temozolomide as a single agent and cerebral response as an endpoint, objective responses were observed in six studies with objective

response rates ranging from 0.042 to 0.1. In the trial conducted by Giorgio *et al*<sup>[19]</sup>, a total of 30 patients who had brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer were included, and 2 patients got complete response, 1 partial

**Table 3** Treatment administration and clinical outcomes of the studies that used temozolomide as a single agent

Trial	Cancer	Treatment administration			Clinical outcomes						
		Drug	Dose regimen	Median cycles	CR	PR	OR	ORR	SD	PD	Other (median <sup>1</sup> )
1	NSCLC ( <i>n</i> = 22) Breast ( <i>n</i> = 10) Melanoma ( <i>n</i> = 3) SCLC ( <i>n</i> = 2) Rectal ( <i>n</i> = 2) Ovarian ( <i>n</i> = 1) Endometrial ( <i>n</i> = 1)	TMZ	150-200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle	NA	0	2 <sup>2</sup>	2	0.059	15 (8 <sup>2</sup> /4 <sup>3</sup> /3 <sup>4</sup> )	17 (9 <sup>2</sup> /3 <sup>3</sup> /5 <sup>4</sup> )	TTP: 1.97 mo OS: 6.62 mo
2	Melanoma ( <i>n</i> = 53) Breast cancer ( <i>n</i> = 51) NSCLC ( <i>n</i> = 53)	TMZ	150 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-7, 15-21/28- or 35-d cycle	NA	1 <sup>2</sup>	9 (5 <sup>5</sup> /2 <sup>2</sup> /2 <sup>2</sup> )	10	0.064	31 (12 <sup>5</sup> /8 <sup>3</sup> /11 <sup>2</sup> )	116 (36 <sup>5</sup> /41 <sup>3</sup> /39 <sup>2</sup> )	PFS: 56 d <sup>5</sup> /58 d <sup>3</sup> /66 d <sup>2</sup> OS: 100 d <sup>5</sup> /172 d <sup>2</sup> OS: 4.1 mo (3.6 mo <sup>5</sup> /4.3 mo <sup>7</sup> )
3	Melanoma	TMZ	125-150 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-7, 15-21/28-d cycle	48 d	0/0 <sup>6</sup>	2/1 <sup>6</sup>	2/1 <sup>6</sup>	0.044/ 0.027 <sup>6</sup>	5/5 <sup>6</sup>	33/31 <sup>6</sup>	OS: 4.1 mo (3.6 mo <sup>5</sup> /4.3 mo <sup>7</sup> )
4	NSCLC	TMZ	150-200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle	6	2	1	3	0.1	3	24	TTP: 3.6 mo OS: 6 mo
5	NSCLC ( <i>n</i> = 12) SCLC ( <i>n</i> = 5) Breast ( <i>n</i> = 4) Other ( <i>n</i> = 7)	TMZ	150 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle	NA	0	1	1	0.042	4	19	TTP: 3 mo OS: 4.5 mo
6	Melanoma	TMZ	150-200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle	NA	1	8	9	0.074	40	73	PFS: 1.2 mo <sup>7</sup> /1.0 mo <sup>8</sup> OS: 3.5 mo <sup>7</sup> /2.2 mo <sup>8</sup>
7	NSCLC	TMZ	200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle	1	0	0	0	0	3	8	NA

<sup>1</sup>Median: Data here are median values; <sup>2</sup>NSCLC; <sup>3</sup>Breast cancer; <sup>4</sup>Other cancer; <sup>5</sup>Melanoma; <sup>6</sup>Extracerebral response; <sup>7</sup>No prior chemotherapy; <sup>8</sup>Prior chemotherapy. TMZ: Temozolomide; NA: Not available; NSCLC: Non-small cell lung cancer; SCLC: Small cell lung cancer; SD: Stable disease; PR: Partial response; CR: Complete response; OR: Objective response; ORR: Objective response rate; PD: Progressive disease; PFS: Progression-free survival; TTP: Time to progression; OS: Overall survival.

response, and 3 stable disease. However, in another study of advanced non-small cell lung cancer with brain metastases<sup>[18]</sup>, no objective response was achieved.

In trials that used combination therapy, objective response was observed in all studies with objective response rates greater than 0.1 except two studies. One trial evaluated vinorelbine and intensive temozolomide in patients with recurrent or progressive brain metastases with an objective response rate of 0.055<sup>[31]</sup>. Another one was temozolomide, thalidomide, and whole brain radiation therapy for patients with brain metastasis from metastatic melanoma with an objective response rate of 0.077<sup>[29]</sup>. The highest objective response rate in trials that combined temozolomide with radiotherapy was 0.959, achieved in the study of temozolomide and concurrent radiotherapy in patients with brain metastases from advanced lung cancer and breast cancer<sup>[26]</sup>. Whereas, in the trial of concurrent temozolomide and whole brain radiation therapy for multiple brain metastases which was conducted by Mikkelsen *et al.*<sup>[23]</sup>, objective response rate was 0.176 with 3 patients achieving partial response. In two studies that combined temozolomide with other drugs and radiotherapy, objective response rates were 0.077<sup>[29]</sup> and 0.128<sup>[28]</sup>. Among those studies that used combination chemotherapy, the highest objective response rate was observed in the trial of temozolomide plus pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in the treatment of brain metastases from solid tumours<sup>[35,36]</sup>. Stable disease was achieved in all studies. Other evaluation data,

such as median overall survival, progression-free survival and time to progression, were also collected, if available and summarized in Tables 1-4.

## DISCUSSION

Brain metastases from solid tumours are associated with poor prognosis despite aggressive treatment. Also, the majority of patients have suffered debilitating neurological symptoms. Standard systemic therapy for patients with brain metastases is still under investigation. However, many clinical investigations had been discouraged by the concern that although chemotherapy drugs would have efficacy against the primary tumour (*e.g.*, lung cancer), they would not cross the blood-brain barrier. Therefore, chemotherapy would not be active against the metastatic brain disease. Even though data suggested that the blood-brain barrier was disrupted when brain metastases were present and chemotherapy could be effective against brain metastases from chemosensitive solid tumours<sup>[37]</sup>, unfortunately, the severe adverse events would be very difficult for pre-treated patients who had already received radiation and multiple regimens of myelosuppressive chemotherapy to tolerate. Temozolomide, a derivative of imidazotetrazine, is the prodrug of 3-methyl-(triazen-1-yl) imidazole-4-carboxamide. The therapeutic benefit of temozolomide depends on its ability to alkylate/methylate DNA, which most often occurs at the N-7 or O-6 positions of guanine residues. This methylation

**Table 4 Treatment administration and clinical outcomes of the studies that combined temozolomide with radiotherapy and/or other agents**

Trial	Cancer	Treatment administration			Clinical outcomes						
		Drug	Dose regimen	Median cycles	CR	PR	OR	ORR	SD	PD	Other (Median <sup>1</sup> )
8	NSCLC (n = 15) Breast (n = 12)	TMZ, WBRT	WBRT 30 Gy, TMZ 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-10; subsequent TMZ 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-21/28-d cycle	4.2	2 (1 <sup>2</sup> /1 <sup>3</sup> )	11 (5 <sup>2</sup> /6 <sup>3</sup> )	13	0.481	6 (3 <sup>2</sup> /3 <sup>3</sup> )	8 (6 <sup>2</sup> /2 <sup>3</sup> )	PFS: 6 mo OS: 8.8 mo
9	Lung (n = 13) Colon (n = 1) Melanoma (n = 1) Mixed (prostate, bladder, lung) (n = 1) Unknown (probably lung) (n = 1)	TMZ, WBRT	WBRT 30 Gy, TMZ 95 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-14	NA	0	3	3	0.176	10	4	PFS: 2.4 mo OS: 4.1 mo
10	SCLC (n = 4) NSCLC (n = 10) Breast (n = 7) Rectal (n = 5) Melanoma (n = 5) Oral cavity (n = 1) Unknown (n = 1)	TMZ, WBRT	WBRT 36Gy, TMZ 60 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-16; subsequent TMZ 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle	NA	8 (3 <sup>2</sup> /2 <sup>4</sup> / 1 <sup>3</sup> /2 <sup>5</sup> )	11 (5 <sup>2</sup> /2 <sup>6</sup> / 1 <sup>3</sup> / 1 <sup>5</sup> /1 <sup>7</sup> /1 <sup>8</sup> )	19	0.545	2 (1 <sup>5</sup> /1 <sup>6</sup> )	12 (3 <sup>2</sup> /1 <sup>4</sup> / 2 <sup>6</sup> /5 <sup>3</sup> / 1 <sup>5</sup> )	PFS: 11 mo OS: 12 mo
11	Melanoma	TMZ, WBRT	WBRT 20 or 30 Gy, TMZ 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle	NA	1 <sup>9</sup>	2 <sup>9</sup>	3 <sup>9</sup>	0.088 <sup>9</sup>	9 <sup>9</sup>	17 <sup>9</sup>	OS: 8 mo mixed response <sup>10</sup> : 5
12	NSCLC (n = 16) SCLC (n = 5) Breast (n = 2) Unknown (n = 2)	TMZ, WBRT	WBRT 40 Gy 5 d/wk, TMZ 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-28; subsequent TMZ 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle	NA	9	14	23	0.959	1	0	OS: 8.6 mo
13	Melanoma	TMZ, WBRT, Thalidomide	WBRT 30 Gy, days 1-5/8-12; TMZ 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, Weeks 1-6; thalidomide 100 mg/d, Weeks 1-4, 100-400 mg/d Weeks 5, 7, 9	NA	1	2	3	0.077	7	29	TTP: 7 wk OS: 4 mo
14	NSCLC	TMZ, WBRT, Cisplatin	WBRT, TMZ 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle, cisplatin 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> , day 1/28-d cycle	NA	1 <sup>2</sup> /0 <sup>3</sup> /0 <sup>4</sup>	5 <sup>2</sup> /6 <sup>3</sup> /8 <sup>4</sup>	6 <sup>2</sup> /6 <sup>3</sup> /8 <sup>4</sup>	0.128 <sup>2</sup> / 0.181 <sup>3</sup> / 0.17 <sup>4</sup>	21 <sup>2</sup> /16 <sup>3</sup> / 10 <sup>4</sup>	20 <sup>2</sup> /11 <sup>3</sup> / 29 <sup>4</sup>	TTP: 2.3 mo OS: 5 mo
15	NSCLC (n = 17) SCLC (n = 3) Breast (n = 11) Colon (n = 2) Renal (n = 2) Endometrial (n = 1) Bladder (n = 1) Head and neck (n = 1)	TMZ, Vinorelbine	TMZ 150 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-7, 15-21/28-d cycle; vinorelbine 25 or 30 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1, 8/28-d cycle	2	1 (NSCLC)	1 (breast)	2	0.055	5	29	PFS: 1.9 mo OS: 5 mo
16	Melanoma	TMZ, Lomustine	TMZ 150 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle; lomustine 60 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, day 5/56-d cycle	NA	0 <sup>9</sup>	0 <sup>9</sup>	0 <sup>9</sup>	0 <sup>9</sup>	1 <sup>9</sup>	13 <sup>9</sup>	OS: 2 mo
17	Breast (n = 8) NSCLC (n = 6) Colo-rectal (n = 3) Melanoma (n = 1) Ovarian (n = 1)	TMZ, Doxorubicin	TMZ 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle; pegylated liposomal doxorubicin 35 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, day 1/28-d cycle	NA	3	4	7	0.368	8	4	PFS: 5.5 mo OS: 10.0 mo
18	Melanoma	TMZ, arsenic trioxide (ATO)	ATO 0.25 mg/kg per day, days 1-5 in week 0 + 0.35 mg/kg twice weekly/8-wk cycle; TMZ 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5 in weeks 1, 5/8-wk cycle	NA	0	0	0	0	0	5	NA
19	Breast (n = 15) NSCLC (n = 11) SCLC (n = 1) Gastric (n = 1) Melanoma (n = 3) Unknown (n = 1)	TMZ, Cisplatin	TMZ 150-200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle; cisplatin 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, day 1/28-d cycle	3	1 <sup>9</sup> (NSCLC)	1/8 <sup>9</sup>	9 <sup>9</sup>	0.428	5 <sup>9</sup>	6 <sup>9</sup>	TTP: 2.9 mo OS: 5.5 mo

20	Melanoma	TMZ, Thalidomide	TMZ 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-42/8-wk cycle; thalidomide 200-400 or 100-250 mg/d, days 1-42/8-wk cycle	1	2/0 <sup>11</sup>	1/0 <sup>11</sup>	3/0 <sup>11</sup>	0.214 /0 <sup>11</sup>	7/5 <sup>11</sup>	4/10 <sup>11</sup>	OS: 6 mo
21	Melanoma	A: TMZ, Docetaxel B: TMZ C: TMZ, Cisplatin	A: TMZ 150 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle, docetaxel 80 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, day 1/28-d cycle; B: TMZ200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle; C: TMZ 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, days 1-5/28-d cycle, CDDP 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> per day, day 1/28-d cycle	NA	0/2 <sup>11</sup>	6/5 <sup>11</sup>	6/7 <sup>11</sup>	0.25/ 0.291 <sup>11</sup>	5	13	TTP: 2 mo OS: 4.7 mo

<sup>1</sup>Median: Data here are median values; Drugs: Chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy; <sup>2</sup>NSCLC; <sup>3</sup>Breast cancer; <sup>4</sup>SCLC; <sup>5</sup>Melanoma; <sup>6</sup>Rectal cancer; <sup>7</sup>Unknown; <sup>8</sup>Oral cavity cancer; <sup>9</sup>Global response; <sup>10</sup>Mixed response: PR or SD in the brain and PD at other locations; <sup>11</sup>Extracerebral response. TMZ: Temozolomide; NA: Not available; NSCLC: Non-small cell lung cancer; SD: Stable disease; PR: Partial response; CR: Complete response; OR: Objective response; ORR: Objective response rate; PD: Progressive disease; PFS: Progression-free survival; TTP: Time to progression; OS: Overall survival.

agents as treatment for brain metastases. Studies combining temozolomide with whole-brain radiotherapy reported more favourable response rates ranging from 0.176 to 0.959 with median overall survival ranging from 4.1 to 12 mo. In these trials, temozolomide might be shown to possess a radiosensitizing effect<sup>[37,38]</sup>. In a large review of 1292 patients to define the prognostic factors in patients with brain metastases, Lagerwaard *et al.*<sup>[39]</sup> concluded that the three strongest prognostic factors were performance status, response to steroids, and evidence of systemic disease<sup>[40]</sup>. In the trial conducted by Addeo *et al.*<sup>[22]</sup>, a promising objective response rate of 0.48 (13 of 27 patients) was observed. A possible explanation would be that in this study, 11 of 27 patients were included in first RPA class according to RTOG classification. An objective response rate of 0.82 and two (8%) cases of stable disease were obtained in this group. In contrast, among the 6 patients (22%) included in the third RPA class, no objective response was observed. Besides, the proportion of metastases of lung origin was significantly higher in several studies which got favourable outcomes. Selection bias could have occurred in these trials.

Studies that combined temozolomide with other drugs had also been reported to yield high response rates in patients with brain metastases. The difference between monotherapy and combination therapy could be attributed to the efficacy of other agents. For example, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin had the ability to accumulate in both brain tissue and tumour tissue within the brain<sup>[41,42]</sup>. Cisplatin, an active cytotoxic drug in solid tumours, might enhance the anti-tumour activity of temozolomide by reducing the activity of the DNA repair enzyme. However, most of patients involved in the studies were heavily pre-treated and failed prior therapy. Perhaps, the chemotherapeutic sensitization of temozolomide could be attributed to the improvement of the therapeutic effect in combination therapy. In addition, the dosage of other chemotherapeutic agents might be reduced to alleviate toxic reaction and improve patients' quality of life. The trial of temozolomide plus pegylated liposomal doxorubicin indicated that this chemotherapy regimen was well tolerated in elderly patients. This implied that temozolomide/pegylated liposomal doxorubicin could

be an effective therapeutic strategy for patients who were not suitable for conventional treatments because of the presence of brain metastases or old age.

In conclusion, since the studies in which temozolomide was used as a single agent usually achieved minimal outcomes, monotherapy might not be an optimal therapeutic strategy. However, the combination of temozolomide with whole-brain radiotherapy or other agents showed the potential to improve clinical outcomes of patients with brain metastases. It is worth re-examining the effects of temozolomide combined with other drugs or whole-brain radiotherapy on survival of patients with brain metastases from solid tumours in a randomized phase III study.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Ellis R, Gregor A. The treatment of brain metastases from lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 1998; **20**: 81-84 [PMID: 9711525 DOI: 10.1016/S0169-5002(98)00009-9]
- 2 Kelly K, Bunn PA. Is it time to reevaluate our approach to the treatment of brain metastases in patients with non-small cell lung cancer? *Lung Cancer* 1998; **20**: 85-91 [PMID: 9711526 DOI: 10.1016/S0169-5002(98)00020-8]
- 3 Lentzsch S, Reichardt P, Weber F, Budach V, Dörken B. Brain metastases in breast cancer: prognostic factors and management. *Eur J Cancer* 1999; **35**: 580-585 [PMID: 10492631 DOI: 10.1016/S0959-8049(98)00421-3]
- 4 Wroński M, Arbit E, McCormick B. Surgical treatment of 70 patients with brain metastases from breast carcinoma. *Cancer* 1997; **80**: 1746-1754 [PMID: 9351543 DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19971101)80:9<1746::AID-CNCR8>3.0.CO;2-C]
- 5 Shaw HM, Balch CM, Soong SJ, Milton GW, McCarthy WH. Prognostic histopathological factors in malignant melanoma. *Pathology* 1985; **17**: 271-274 [PMID: 4047730 DOI: 10.3109/0013028509063766]
- 6 Hasegawa T, Kondziolka D, Flickinger JC, Germanwala A, Lunsford LD. Brain metastases treated with radiosurgery alone: an alternative to whole brain radiotherapy? *Neurosurgery* 2003; **52**: 1318-1326; discussion 1326 [PMID: 12762877 DOI: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000064569.18914.DE]
- 7 Kondziolka D, Patel A, Lunsford LD, Kassam A, Flickinger JC. Stereotactic radiosurgery plus whole brain radiotherapy versus radiotherapy alone for patients with multiple brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1999; **45**: 427-434 [PMID: 10487566 DOI: 10.1016/S0360-3016(99)00198-4]
- 8 Lee SM, Betticher DC, Thatcher N. Melanoma: chemotherapy. *Br Med Bull* 1995; **51**: 609-630 [PMID: 7552084]

- 9 **Quantin X**, Khial F, Reme-Saumon M, Michel FB, Pujol JL. Concomitant brain radiotherapy and vinorelbine-ifosfamide-cisplatin chemotherapy in brain metastases of non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 1999; **26**: 35-39 [PMID: 10574679 DOI: 10.1016/S0169-5002(99)00071-9]
- 10 **Postmus PE**, Smit EF. Chemotherapy for brain metastases of lung cancer: a review. *Ann Oncol* 1999; **10**: 753-759 [PMID: 10470420 DOI: 10.1023/A:1008318515795]
- 11 **van Vulpen M**, Kal HB, Taphoorn MJ, El-Sharouni SY. Changes in blood-brain barrier permeability induced by radiotherapy: implications for timing of chemotherapy? (Review). *Oncol Rep* 2002; **9**: 683-688 [PMID: 12066192]
- 12 **Stevens MF**, Hickman JA, Langdon SP, Chubb D, Vickers L, Stone R, Baig G, Goddard C, Gibson NW, Slack JA. Antitumor activity and pharmacokinetics in mice of 8-carbamoyl-3-methyl-imidazo[5,1-d]-1,2,3,5-tetrazin-4(3H)-one (CCRG 81045; M & amp; B 39831), a novel drug with potential as an alternative to dacarbazine. *Cancer Res* 1987; **47**: 5846-5852 [PMID: 3664486]
- 13 **Tolcher AW**, Gerson SL, Denis L, Geyer C, Hammond LA, Patnaik A, Goetz AD, Schwartz G, Edwards T, Reyderman L, Statkevich P, Cutler DL, Rowinsky EK. Marked inactivation of O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase activity with protracted temozolomide schedules. *Br J Cancer* 2003; **88**: 1004-1011 [PMID: 12671695 DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6600827]
- 14 **Baker SD**, Wirth M, Statkevich P, Reidenberg P, Alton K, Sartorius SE, Dugan M, Cutler D, Batra V, Grochow LB, Donehower RC, Rowinsky EK. Absorption, metabolism, and excretion of 14C-temozolomide following oral administration to patients with advanced cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 1999; **5**: 309-317 [PMID: 10037179]
- 15 **Agarwala SS**, Kirkwood JM, Gore M, Dreno B, Thatcher N, Czarnetski B, Atkins M, Buzaid A, Skarlos D, Rankin EM. Temozolomide for the treatment of brain metastases associated with metastatic melanoma: a phase II study. *J Clin Oncol* 2004; **22**: 2101-2107 [PMID: 15169796 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2004.11.044]
- 16 **Abrey LE**, Olson JD, Raizer JJ, Mack M, Rodavitch A, Boutros DY, Malkin MG. A phase II trial of temozolomide for patients with recurrent or progressive brain metastases. *J Neurooncol* 2001; **53**: 259-265 [PMID: 11718258 DOI: 10.1023/A:1012226718323]
- 17 **Christodoulou C**, Bafaloukos D, Linardou H, Aravantinos G, Bamias A, Carina M, Klouvas G, Skarlos D. Temozolomide (TMZ) combined with cisplatin (CDDP) in patients with brain metastases from solid tumors: a Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group (HeCOG) Phase II study. *J Neurooncol* 2005; **71**: 61-65 [PMID: 15719277 DOI: 10.1007/s11060-004-9176-0]
- 18 **Dziadziuszko R**, Ardizzone A, Postmus PE, Smit EF, Price A, Debruyne C, Legrand C, Giaccone G. Temozolomide in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer with and without brain metastases. a phase II study of the EORTC Lung Cancer Group (08965). *Eur J Cancer* 2003; **39**: 1271-1276 [PMID: 12763216 DOI: 10.1016/S0959-8049(03)00234-X]
- 19 **Giorgio CG**, Giuffrida D, Pappalardo A, Russo A, Santini D, Salice P, Blanco G, Castorina S, Failla G, Bordonaro R. Oral temozolomide in heavily pre-treated brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer: phase II study. *Lung Cancer* 2005; **50**: 247-254 [PMID: 16039010 DOI: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2005.05.026]
- 20 **Siena S**, Crinò L, Danova M, Del Prete S, Cascinu S, Salvagni S, Schiavetto I, Vitali M, Bajetta E. Dose-dense temozolomide regimen for the treatment of brain metastases from melanoma, breast cancer, or lung cancer not amenable to surgery or radiosurgery: a multicenter phase II study. *Ann Oncol* 2010; **21**: 655-661 [PMID: 19767314 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdp343]
- 21 **Schadendorf D**, Hauschild A, Ugurel S, Thielke A, Egberts F, Kreissig M, Linse R, Trefzer U, Vogt T, Tilgen W, Mohr P, Garbe C. Dose-intensified bi-weekly temozolomide in patients with asymptomatic brain metastases from malignant melanoma: a phase II DeCOG/ADO study. *Ann Oncol* 2006; **17**: 1592-1597 [PMID: 17005632 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdl148]
- 22 **Addeo R**, De Rosa C, Faiola V, Leo L, Cennamo G, Montella L, Guarrasi R, Vincenzi B, Caraglia M, Del Prete S. Phase 2 trial of temozolomide using protracted low-dose and whole-brain radiotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer and breast cancer patients with brain metastases. *Cancer* 2008; **113**: 2524-2531 [PMID: 18798231 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.23859]
- 23 **Mikkelsen T**, Anderson J, Doyle TJ, Croteau D, Avedissian R, Ryu S, Schultz L. Phase I/II dose escalation trial of concurrent temozolomide and whole brain radiation therapy for multiple brain metastasis. *J Neurooncol* 2010; **100**: 241-247 [PMID: 20431907 DOI: 10.1007/s11060-010-0187-8]
- 24 **Kouvaris JR**, Miliadou A, Kouloulis VE, Kolokouris D, Balaouta MJ, Papacharalampous XN, Vlahos LJ. Phase II study of temozolomide and concomitant whole-brain radiotherapy in patients with brain metastases from solid tumors. *Onkologie* 2007; **30**: 361-366 [PMID: 17596744]
- 25 **Hofmann M**, Kiecker F, Wurm R, Schlenger L, Budach V, Sterry W, Trefzer U. Temozolomide with or without radiotherapy in melanoma with unresectable brain metastases. *J Neurooncol* 2006; **76**: 59-64 [PMID: 16132502 DOI: 10.1007/s11060-005-2914-0]
- 26 **Antonadou D**, Paraskevaidis M, Sarris G, Coliarakis N, Economou I, Karageorgis P, Throuvalas N. Phase II randomized trial of temozolomide and concurrent radiotherapy in patients with brain metastases. *J Clin Oncol* 2002; **20**: 3644-3650 [PMID: 12202665]
- 27 **Bafaloukos D**, Tsoutsos D, Fountzilias G, Linardou H, Christodoulou C, Kalofonos HP, Briassoulis E, Panagiotou P, Hatzichristou H, Gogas H. The effect of temozolomide-based chemotherapy in patients with cerebral metastases from melanoma. *Melanoma Res* 2004; **14**: 289-294 [PMID: 15305160]
- 28 **Cortot AB**, Gerinière L, Robinet G, Breton JL, Corre R, Falchero L, Berard H, Gimenez C, Chavaillon JM, Perol M, Bombaron P, Mercier C, Souquet PJ. Phase II trial of temozolomide and cisplatin followed by whole brain radiotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer patients with brain metastases: a GLOT-GFPC study. *Ann Oncol* 2006; **17**: 1412-1417 [PMID: 16790516 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdl146]
- 29 **Atkins MB**, Sosman JA, Agarwala S, Logan T, Clark JJ, Ernstoff MS, Lawson D, Dutcher JP, Weiss G, Curti B, Margolin KA. Temozolomide, thalidomide, and whole brain radiation therapy for patients with brain metastasis from metastatic melanoma: a phase II Cytokine Working Group study. *Cancer* 2008; **113**: 2139-2145 [PMID: 18792064 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.23805]
- 30 **Hwu WJ**, Lis E, Menell JH, Panageas KS, Lamb LA, Merrell J, Williams LJ, Krown SE, Chapman PB, Livingston PO, Wolchok JD, Houghton AN. Temozolomide plus thalidomide in patients with brain metastases from melanoma: a phase II study. *Cancer* 2005; **103**: 2590-2597 [PMID: 15861414 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.21081]
- 31 **Iwamoto FM**, Omuro AM, Raizer JJ, Nolan CP, Hormigo A, Lassman AB, Gavrilovic IT, Abrey LE. A phase II trial of vinorelbine and intensive temozolomide for patients with recurrent or progressive brain metastases. *J Neurooncol* 2008; **87**: 85-90 [PMID: 17987262 DOI: 10.1007/s11060-007-9491-3]
- 32 **Larkin JM**, Hughes SA, Beirne DA, Patel PM, Gibbens IM, Bate SC, Thomas K, Eisen TG, Gore ME. A phase I/II study of lomustine and temozolomide in patients with cerebral metastases from malignant melanoma. *Br J Cancer* 2007; **96**: 44-48 [PMID: 17146474 DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6603503]
- 33 **Caraglia M**, Addeo R, Costanzo R, Montella L, Faiola V, Marra M, Abbruzzese A, Palmieri G, Budillon A, Grillone F, Venuta S, Tagliaferri P, Del Prete S. Phase II study of temozolomide plus pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in the treatment of brain metastases from solid tumours. *Cancer*

- Chemother Pharmacol* 2006; **57**: 34-39 [PMID: 16010592 DOI: 10.1007/s00280-005-0001-z]
- 34 **Bael TE**, Peterson BL, Gollob JA. Phase II trial of arsenic trioxide and ascorbic acid with temozolomide in patients with metastatic melanoma with or without central nervous system metastases. *Melanoma Res* 2008; **18**: 147-151 [PMID: 18337652 DOI: 10.1097/CMR.0b013e3282f2a7ae]
- 35 **Christodoulou C**, Bafaloukos D, Kosmidis P, Samantas E, Bamias A, Papakostas P, Karabelis A, Bacoyiannis C, Skarlos DV. Phase II study of temozolomide in heavily pretreated cancer patients with brain metastases. *Ann Oncol* 2001; **12**: 249-254 [PMID: 11300333 DOI: 10.1023/A:1008354323167]
- 36 **Minotti V**, Crinò L, Meacci ML, Corgna E, Darwish S, Paladino MA, Betti M, Tonato M. Chemotherapy with cisplatin and teniposide for cerebral metastases in non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 1998; **20**: 93-98 [PMID: 9711527 DOI: 10.1016/S0169-5002(98)00021-X]
- 37 **Chang JE**, Khuntia D, Robins HI, Mehta MP. Radiotherapy and radiosensitizers in the treatment of glioblastoma multiforme. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol* 2007; **5**: 894-902, 907-915 [PMID: 18185489]
- 38 **van Niftherik KA**, van den Berg J, Stalpers LJ, Lafleur MV, Leenstra S, Slotman BJ, Hulsebos TJ, Sminia P. Differential radiosensitizing potential of temozolomide in MGMT promoter methylated glioblastoma multiforme cell lines. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007; **69**: 1246-1253 [PMID: 17967314 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.07.2366]
- 39 **Lagerwaard FJ**, Levendag PC, Nowak PJ, Eijkenboom WM, Hanssens PE, Schmitz PI. Identification of prognostic factors in patients with brain metastases: a review of 1292 patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1999; **43**: 795-803 [PMID: 10098435 DOI: 10.1016/S0360-3016(98)00442-8]
- 40 **Gaspar L**, Scott C, Rotman M, Asbell S, Phillips T, Wasserman T, McKenna WG, Byhardt R. Recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) of prognostic factors in three Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) brain metastases trials. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1997; **37**: 745-751 [PMID: 9128946 DOI: 10.1016/S0360-3016(96)00619-0]
- 41 **Koukourakis MI**, Romanidis K, Froudarakis M, Kyrgias G, Koukourakis GV, Retalis G, Bahlitzanakis N. Concurrent administration of Docetaxel and Stealth liposomal doxorubicin with radiotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer : excellent tolerance using subcutaneous amifostine for cytoprotection. *Br J Cancer* 2002; **87**: 385-392 [PMID: 12177774 DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6600486]
- 42 **Danson SJ**, Middleton MR. Temozolomide: a novel oral alkylating agent. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2001; **1**: 13-19 [PMID: 12113120 DOI: 10.1586/14737140.1.1.13]

**P- Reviewers:** Brufsky A, Yen TC **S- Editor:** Cui XM  
**L- Editor:** Wang TQ **E- Editor:** Wang CH





百世登

**Baishideng**®

Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited**

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road,

Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

Fax: +852-31158812

Telephone: +852-58042046

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

