World Journal of *Orthopedics*

World J Orthop 2022 January 18; 13(1): 1-121





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Orthopedics

Contents

Monthly Volume 13 Number 1 January 18, 2022

EDITORIAL

1 Three-dimensional printing in paediatric orthopaedic surgery Goetstouwers S, Kempink D, The B, Eygendaal D, van Oirschot B, van Bergen CJ

REVIEW

- 11 Regional anesthesia for orthopedic procedures: What orthopedic surgeons need to know Kamel I, Ahmed MF, Sethi A
- Management of proximal biceps tendon pathology 36

Lalehzarian SP, Agarwalla A, Liu JN

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

58 Should we use similar perioperative protocols in patients undergoing unilateral and bilateral one-stage total knee arthroplasty?

Laoruengthana A, Rattanaprichavej P, Samapath P, Chinwatanawongwan B, Chompoonutprapa P, Pongpirul K

Retrospective Study

Epidemiology and incidence of paediatric orthopaedic trauma workload during the COVID-19 pandemic: 70 A multicenter cohort study of 3171 patients

Rasmussen MK, Larsen P, Rölfing JD, Kirkegaard BL, Thorninger R, Elsoe R

Clinical Trials Study

Can bedside needle arthroscopy of the ankle be an accurate option for intra-articular delivery of injectable 78 agents?

Stornebrink T, Stufkens SAS, Mercer NP, Kennedy JG, Kerkhoffs GMMJ

Observational Study

87 High-resolution, three-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging axial load dynamic study improves diagnostics of the lumbar spine in clinical practice

Lorenc T, Gołębiowski M, Michalski W, Glinkowski W

Prospective Study

102 Comparing shoulder maneuvers to magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopic findings in patients with supraspinatus tears

Anauate Nicolao F, Yazigi Junior JA, Matsunaga FT, Archetti Netto N, Belloti JC, Tamaoki MJS



Contents

World Journal of Orthopedics

Monthly Volume 13 Number 1 January 18, 2022

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

Long-term outcomes of the four-corner fusion of the wrist: A systematic review 112 Andronic O, Nagy L, Burkhard MD, Casari FA, Karczewski D, Kriechling P, Schweizer A, Jud L



Contents

Monthly Volume 13 Number 1 January 18, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Orthopedics, Stuart Adam Callary, BSc, PhD, Postdoctoral Fellow, Research Scientist, Senior Lecturer, Department of Orthopaedics and Trauma, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide 5000, Australia. stuart.callary@sa.gov.au

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Orthopedics (WJO, World J Orthop) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of orthopedics with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of orthopedics and covering a wide range of topics including arthroscopy, bone trauma, bone tumors, hand and foot surgery, joint surgery, orthopedic trauma, osteoarthropathy, osteoporosis, pediatric orthopedics, spinal diseases, spine surgery, and sports medicine.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Science and Technology Journal Database (CSTJ), and Superstar Journals Database. The 2021 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJO as 0.66. The WJO's CiteScore for 2020 is 3.2 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: Orthopedics and Sports Medicine is 87/262.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Ying-Yi Yuan, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Orthopedics	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2218-5836 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
November 18, 2010	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Massimiliano Leigheb	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
January 18, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJD

World Journal of **Orthopedics**

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Orthop 2022 January 18; 13(1): 102-111

DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v13.i1.102

Prospective Study

ISSN 2218-5836 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparing shoulder maneuvers to magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopic findings in patients with supraspinatus tears

Fabio Anauate Nicolao, Joao Alberto Yazigi Junior, Fabio Teruo Matsunaga, Nicola Archetti Netto, Joao Carlos Belloti, Marcel Jun Sugawara Tamaoki

ORCID number: Fabio Anauate Nicolao 0000-0002-1347-346X; Joao Alberto Yazigi Junior 0000-0001-9383-2567; Fabio Teruo Matsunaga 0000-0001-7328-1446; Nicola Archetti Netto 0000-0002-9494-930X; Joao Carlos Belloti 000-0003-3396-479X; Marcel Jun Sugawara Tamaoki 0000-0002-9539-4545.

Author contributions: Anauate

Nicolao F assisted with data analysis; Anauate Nicolao F, Yazigi Junior JA, Archetti Netto N, and Tamaoki MJS were involved in data collection; Yazigi Junior JA drafted the manuscript; Anauate Nicolao F, Matsunaga FT, Belloti JC participated in the design of the study; Tamaoki MJS, masterminded the study and performed the final review.

Institutional review board

statement: The study was approved by institutional review board of the Universidade Federal de São Paulo - UNIFESP under registration number 1662/2016.

Clinical trial registration statement: This study is registered at https:// doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN13083925. The registration identification number is ISRCTN13083925.

Informed consent statement: All study participants, or their legal

Fabio Anauate Nicolao, Joao Alberto Yazigi Junior, Fabio Teruo Matsunaga, Nicola Archetti Netto, Joao Carlos Belloti, Marcel Jun Sugawara Tamaoki, Orthopedics and Traumatology Department, Escola Paulista de Medicina - Universidade Federal de São Paulo - UNIFESP, Sao Paulo 04038-001, Brazil

Fabio Anauate Nicolao, Joao Alberto Yazigi Junior, Orthopedics and Traumatology Discipline, Universidade de Santo Amaro - UNISA, Sao Paulo 04829-300, Brazil

Corresponding author: Joao Alberto Yazigi Junior, PhD, Doctor, Orthopedics and Traumatology Department, Escola Paulista de Medicina - Universidade Federal de São Paulo -UNIFESP, 778 Borges Lagoa Road, Sao Paulo 04038-001, Brazil. junioryazigi73@yahoo.com.br

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Shoulder maneuvers and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are performed to diagnose supraspinatus tendon tears regardless of arthroscopy exam. Although there are many studies on this subject, there is a lack of studies comparing the sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp) of shoulder maneuvers and MRI to arthroscopic findings (intact, partial, or full thickness supraspinatus tendon tear).

AIM

To compare the diagnostic values of shoulder maneuvers with MRI for supraspinatus tendon tears in patients undergoing shoulder arthroscopy.

METHODS

A total of 199 consecutive patients from four orthopedic centers met the eligibility criteria of shoulder pain persisting for at least four weeks. They were prospectively enrolled in this study from April 2017 to April 2019. Seven clinical tests (full can, empty can, drop arm, Hawkins', painful arc, Neer's sign and resisted external rotation) and MRI were performed, and all were compared with surgical findings. Full can, empty can and resisted external rotation tests were interpreted as positive in the case of pain and/or weakness. We assessed the Se, Sp, accuracy, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV), positive and negative likelihood ratio and diagnostic odds ratio for overall, partial and fullthickness supraspinatus tears.



guardian, provided written consent prior to study enrollment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The

authors declare any conflicting interests related to this study.

Data sharing statement: Technical appendix, statistical code, and dataset available from the corresponding author at junioryazigi73@yahoo.com.br.

CONSORT 2010 statement: The

authors have read the CONSORT 2010 Statement, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CONSORT 2010 Statement.

Country/Territory of origin: Brazil

Specialty type: Orthopedics

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Received: March 11, 2021 Peer-review started: March 11, 2021 First decision: July 28, 2021 Revised: August 9, 2021 Accepted: December 21, 2021 Article in press: December 21, 2021 Published online: January 18, 2022

RESULTS

MRI had the highest Se for overall (0.97), partial (0.91) and full-thickness (0.99) tears; moreover, MRI had the highest NPV: 0.90, 0.88 and 0.98 for overall, partial and full-thickness tears, respectively. For overall supraspinatus tears, the Se and PPV were: Painful arc (Se = 0.85/PPV = 0.91), empty can (pain) (Se = 0.80/PPV = (0.89), full can (pain) (Se = 0.78/PPV = 0.90), resisted external rotation (pain) (Se = 0.48/PPV = 0.87), drop arm (Se = 0.19/PPV = 0.97), Neer's sign (Se = 0.78/PPV = 0.93) and Hawkins' (Se = 0.80/PPV = 0.88). MRI had the highest PPV (0.99). The Hawkin's test had the highest false positive rate in patients with intact tendons (0.36). The Sp of the empty can and full can (both tests positive for pain and weakness), drop arm and MRI were: 0.93, 0.91, 0.98 and 0.96, respectively. For partial and full-thickness tears, the empty can test (positive for pain and weakness) had a Sp of 0.93, and the drop arm and MRI had the same Sp (0.98).

CONCLUSION

Physical examination demonstrated good diagnostic value, the drop arm test had a Sp as good as MRI for supraspinatus tears; however, MRI was more accurate in ruling out tears. The Hawkins' test had high false-positive findings in patients with intact tendons.

Key Words: Rotator cuff injuries; Physical examination; Magnetic resonance imaging; Arthroscopy

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Shoulder maneuvers and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are performed to diagnose supraspinatus tendon tears regardless of arthroscopy exam. The shoulder maneuvers are useful for diagnosing supraspinatus tears in patients for whom surgery is being considered; however, they showed limited values in ruling out tears compared with MRI. Moreover, some shoulder maneuvers had high false-positive findings in patients with intact tendons.

Citation: Anauate Nicolao F, Yazigi Junior JA, Matsunaga FT, Archetti Netto N, Belloti JC, Tamaoki MJS. Comparing shoulder maneuvers to magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopic findings in patients with supraspinatus tears. World J Orthop 2022; 13(1): 102-111 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v13/i1/102.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v13.i1.102

INTRODUCTION

Several shoulder maneuvers have been described and performed on patients undergoing shoulder arthroscopy; however, previous studies have shown that only the empty can and full can tests accurately diagnose supraspinatus tears[1]. Moreover, other studies demonstrated that the drop arm test has the highest specificity (Sp) for supraspinatus tears[2].

For diagnostic confirmation of clinical findings, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has been used to evaluate rotator cuff tears (RCTs). MRI showed high sensitivity (Se) and Sp for full thickness tears; however, poor Se for detecting partial tears[3]. Moreover, MRI is valuable in surgical planning of RCTs, allowing a detailed assessment of the tear size and muscle atrophy[4-7].

Systematic reviews point out limitations in the accuracy studies of clinical tests and imaging exams for diagnosing RCTs, and these reviews suggested new research with improved methodological standards[3,8-10]. The main weakness identified was the lack of standardization of the clinical tests, small sample size, absence of blinded evaluators, long time interval between the index tests and arthroscopy, retrospective method evaluation and the use of MRI as a reference standard instead of arthroscopy [3,9].



P-Reviewer: Yale SH S-Editor: Wang JJ L-Editor: Webster JR P-Editor: Wang JJ



Although the literature is extensive on this subject, there is a lack of studies that compared the Se and Sp of shoulder maneuvers and MRI for supraspinatus tears. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic values of seven clinical tests and MRI for supraspinatus tears in patients undergoing shoulder arthroscopy. We hypothesized that clinical tests will be as specific as MRI in diagnosing supraspinatus tendon tears.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

We carried out a prospective multicenter accuracy study at four orthopedic centers (Sao Paulo Hospital, Christóvão da Gama Hospital and Maternity, Wladimir Arruda Hospital and the Japanese-Brazilian Beneficent Hospital of São Paulo) from April 2017 to April 2019. The study was approved by an institutional review board under registration number 1662/2016 and registered on the ISRCTN registry platform (ID: ISRCTN13083925 - https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN13083925)[11,12].

The inclusion criteria were patients who had an indication of arthroscopy for RCTs with symptoms of shoulder pain for at least 4 wk. The patients included in this study underwent shoulder maneuvers, MRI and arthroscopy; some of these patients were treated with physiotherapy for RCTs between the physical examination and arthroscopy. Patients excluded were those with adhesive capsulitis, glenohumeral osteoarthritis, or shoulder instability, three months after the physical examination, MRI and arthroscopy.

The following demographic information was obtained: Gender, age, symptoms duration (months), side involved, dominance of the affected limb, and history of previous shoulder trauma. Moreover, the time between the index tests (shoulder maneuvers and MRI) and the reference standard (arthroscopy) was evaluated.

Physical examination

Seven clinical tests (full can, empty can, drop arm, resisted external rotation, Hawkins', painful arc, and Neer's sign) were independently performed by four experienced orthopedic shoulder surgeons, in all patients sequentially, following a random order according to the choice of each evaluator and were not always performed in the same order. These tests were chosen based on previously published studies that evaluated the diagnostic values of the shoulder maneuvers for RCTs. The empty can, full can, Hawkin's painful arc and Neer's sign were standardized according to their original description; the drop arm and resisted external rotation tests, according to the description in the study by Hanchard et al[9]. Before the start of the study, all four evaluators underwent training to standardize the technique and the positivity criteria of the shoulder maneuvers, in a sample of patients who were not included in this study. Clinical tests were conducted following an average interval of two minutes between each maneuver and a goniometer was used to measure the angles in the limb assessed. The evaluators were blinded to any previous clinical examination and imaging exams but had access to the history and demographic data of the patients. Only one shoulder surgeon assessed each patient.

The seven clinical tests were performed as described below:

Empty can test: With the arm at a position of 90° of abduction in the scapula plane and internal rotation (thumb pointing down), the patient was asked to isometrically resist a downward pressure applied by the examiner^[13].

Full can test: With the arm at 90° of abduction in the plane of the scapula and external rotation (thumb pointing up), the patient was asked to resist a downward pressure applied by the examiner isometrically^[14].

Drop arm test: The patient elevated the arm above 90° of abduction, using a goniometer, passively by the examiner; the support was removed, and the patient attempted to lower the arm actively in the plane of abduction. This maneuver was considered positive if the patient did not hold the position or if the arm dropped abruptly when lowering the arm in the coronal plane [9,15].

Resisted external rotation test: The patient stands, elbow at side and flexed at 90°, shoulder in neutral rotation, and then asked to externally rotate the shoulder maximally against the tester's isometric resistance, applied at the wrist[9].

Hawkins' test: The upright patient's arm was passively positioned at 90° of shoulder and 90° of elbow flexion. The examiner then forced an internal rotation of the patient's shoulder. The test was considered positive if pain was reported[16].



Neer's sign: The tester passively elevated the patient's arm in the plane of the scapula, preventing scapular movement by holding with the other hand. The test was considered positive if pain occurred during the elevation[17].

Painful arc: The patient actively elevates the shoulder to full elevation, and then lowers it in the scapula plane. The test was interpreted positively if pain was reported during elevation, lowering, or both, between 60° and 120°[18].

The muscle strength of empty can, full can and resisted external rotation was manually measured and interpreted positively if the patient was unable to overcome the resistance imposed by the examiner or if the strength decreased in relation to the contralateral side[1]. If weakness was observed, the test was interpreted as positive. The pain was not graduated, and any level of pain when performing the maneuver was considered positive. The empty can, full can and resisted external rotation tests were interpreted as positive in the case of pain and/or weakness.

MRI

MRI results were evaluated by two blinded musculoskeletal radiologists, who had no prior information on the patient's physical examination. The radiologists evaluated each MRI together and there was a consensus on diagnosis of the lesions. MRI was performed using 3.0 Tesla devices, and the shoulder was placed in a dedicated receiveonly shoulder coil. The supraspinatus was evaluated in the axial, oblique coronal, and oblique sagittal planes, at 4 to 5-mm section thickness. The sequences performed were two T1-weighted planes centered on the rotator cuff muscles: The axial plane, covering from the greater tubercle of the humerus to the spinal edge of the scapula, and the oblique sagittal plane, covering the tuberosity to the medial third of the scapula. In T2weighted imaging, three acquisition planes were chosen: The axial plane, from the top of the acromioclavicular joint to the lower recess of the glenohumeral joint; oblique coronal plane, parallel to the supraspinatus and covering the entire scapular-humeral joint; and the oblique sagittal plane, perpendicular to the supraspinatus, from the distal end of the tendon to the middle part of the rotator cuff muscle belly. The supraspinatus was classified as intact tendon, partial or full-thickness tears according to the fluid signal intensity in T2-weighted coronal and sagittal scans.

Arthroscopy

Arthroscopy was the reference standard and was performed by two experienced orthopedic shoulder surgeons. The principal surgeon was involved in the clinical history and the preoperative physical examination; the assistant was blinded to all the clinical tests and MRI. All patients were placed in the lateral decubitus position with an anterior pad and another in the back, under general anesthesia, and a brachial plexus block. Eleven pounds of balanced suspension was used with the arm in 30° to 45° of abduction and 30° to 45° of forward flexion, and posterior inclination of the back to leave the glenoid parallel with the horizontal. The standard posterior portal was used to evaluate the supraspinatus tendon from the articular side. Through the lateral portal, the tendon was assessed from the subacromial space with a 30° arthroscope. A probe was used to identify tears, and the supraspinatus tendon was classified as intact, partial or full-thickness tears.

Sample size

The sample size was calculated assuming that the Se was 0.90, the prevalence of RCTs in the general population was 22%, a confidence interval (CI) of 95% with a marginal error of 0.10, resulting in a sample size of at least 157 patients[19-21].

Statistical analysis

The clinical tests and MRI results were compared with the surgical findings of arthroscopy to analyze the diagnostic values. Statistical analysis included the Se, Sp, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), accuracy, diagnostic odds ratio (DOR), and likelihood ratio[22]. These ratios were used to predict overall, partial and full-thickness tears. The Se and Sp are presented with the 95% CI. Tests were performed using SPSS software (ver. 25 for Mac; IBM Corp., New York, United States).

RESULTS

A total of 720 patients were consecutively seen at four orthopedic centers, 213 had an indication for shoulder arthroscopy and were included. Fourteen patients were



excluded because the period between the performance of the index tests (shoulder maneuvers and MRI) and arthroscopy was greater than three months, and 199 patients met enrollment criteria for the final analysis. Demographic data were collected and are shown in Table 1.

A total of 47 intact tendons, 62 partial tears (32 bursal-side and 30 articular-side tears) and 90 full-thickness tears (70 supraspinatus, 20 supraspinatus and infraspinatus tears) were found during arthroscopy. The arthroscopy was performed within a mean of 37 d (range, 1 to 83 d) after the physical examination and within 55 d (range, 4 to 89 d) after MRI.

MRI had the highest Se for overall tears (Table 2) (Se = 0.97). Among the clinical tests, the painful arc had the highest Se (Se = 0.85) and the empty can (positive for pain and weakness) had the best performance (DOR = 40). The drop arm test had the highest Sp (0.98), whereas the Sp for MRI for diagnosis of supraspinatus tears was 0.96. The shoulder maneuvers presented low values to rule out tears and the empty can test had the highest NPV between the physical examinations (0.70). The drop arm test and MRI had the highest PPV (0.97 and 0.99, respectively).

The false positive results for overall tears were: Painful arc (0.28), empty can (pain = 0.19/pain and weakness = 0.08), full can (pain = 0.19/pain and weakness = 0.11), resisted external rotation (pain = 0.20/pain and weakness = 0.06), drop arm (0.04), Neer's sign (0.19), Hawkin's (0.36), and MRI (0.02).

For partial tears (Table 3), MRI had the highest Se (0.91); however, MRI had the same Sp (0.98) as the drop arm test. For full thickness tears (Table 4), the empty can test (positive for pain and weakness) had a Se = 0.84; Sp of the drop arm was 0.98, and the MRI had a Se = 0.99 and Sp = 0.98.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated the significance of clinical tests for the diagnosis of supraspinatus tears in patients with an indication for shoulder arthroscopy. The Sp of the drop arm test for supraspinatus tears was similar to that of MRI. On the other hand, the physical examination demonstrated limited diagnostic value in ruling out tears when compared to MRI.

The strengths of this study were the inclusion of a consecutive and representative sample of patients; experienced shoulder surgeons performed the physical examination; the technique was standardized, and the positivity criterion for each clinical test was fulfilled. Therefore, we demonstrated that trained orthopedists could perform clinical tests with high Sp for the diagnosis of supraspinatus tears[3,23-27]. Moreover, arthroscopy was utilized as a reference standard, a minimally invasive surgical procedure that is the gold standard for diagnosis and is widely used to treat RCTs[1]. Through arthroscopy, the evaluator can inspect and probe the partial articular and bursal-side tears, assess the rotator cuff footprint accurately and perform a general examination of the shoulder joint in order to identify and treat associated rotator cuff lesions[3].

To standardize shoulder maneuvers is challenging due to the high variability in performance and interpretation. The empty can test, for example, adopts the interpretative criteria of pain, muscle weakness, or both, affecting Se and Sp, as demonstrated in this study[8,9]. Muscle weakness was previously demonstrated in other studies as a reliable criterion, and in our study, the pain associated with weakness obtained the highest Sp[1,28]. We observed that many patients with supraspinatus tears had pain associated with weakness, demonstrating that pain can be a cause for functional disability when performing the test[1,28], as described by Jobe *et al*[13,29].

The empty can, full can and resisted external rotation tests showed improved results when positive for pain and weakness. Positivity only for pain in these tests had better Se, but less Sp; the positivity only for muscle weakness occurred in just a few cases and it was not possible to perform statistical analysis for this specific positivity criterion. The diagnostic values of these three clinical tests were calculated according to positivity only for pain and pain associated with weakness.

Another methodological criterion adopted here was establishing a time limit between physical examination, MRI and arthroscopy, a criterion little used in other accuracy studies and cited as one of the weaknesses in systematic reviews[3,9]. In this study, we choose a three-month interval between the index tests and arthroscopy, to reduce the interpretation bias, different to that in other studies [5,30-33]. The ideal would be to carry out the index tests and arthroscopy in the same day or week;



WJO https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Demographic data of the patients studied, median and standard deviation are shown						
Patient variables (<i>n</i> = 213)	Statistic n (%)					
Median age (yr)	47.4; SD = 13.2; range 19 to 76					
Symptoms duration (mo)	21.2; range 1 to 144					
Gender						
Male	123 (57.7)					
Female	90 (42.3)					
Laterality						
Right	131 (61.5)					
Left	82 (38.5)					
Dominant arm						
Dominant	129 (60.5)					
Non-dominant	84 (39.4)					
History of previous trauma						
Yes	73 (34.3)					
No	140 (65.7)					

Table 2 Diagnostic values for overall tears										
Test	Se	95%CI	Sp	95%CI	Ac	PPV	NPV	LR +	LR -	DOR
Painful arc	0.85	0.79-0.90	0.73	0.60-0.84	0.83	0.91	0.60	3.22	0.20	16.27
Empty can										
Р	0.80	0.71-0.87	0.82	0.69-0.90	0.81	0.89	0.68	4.35	0.24	17.78
P and W	0.75	0.63-0.84	0.93	0.81-0.98	0.82	0.94	0.70	10.75	0.27	40.00
Full can										
Р	0.78	0.69-0.85	0.81	0.68-0.90	0.79	0.90	0.63	4.15	0.27	15.26
P and W	0.63	0.50-0.74	0.91	0.78-0.96	0.74	0.91	0.63	6.76	0.41	16.53
Resisted external	l rotation									
Р	0.48	0.39-0.57	0.84	0.71-0.92	0.59	0.87	0.43	3.01	0.62	4.87
P and W	0.40	0.31-0.50	0.95	0.85-0.99	0.58	0.95	0.43	8.89	0.62	14.25
Drop arm	0.19	0.13-0.25	0.98	0.89-1.00	0.37	0.97	0.26	9.21	0.83	11.10
Neer's sign	0.78	0.71-0.84	0.82	0.69-0.90	0.79	0.93	0.53	4.26	0.27	15.92
Hawkins'	0.80	0.73-0.85	0.65	0.51-0.77	0.77	0.88	0.49	2.30	0.31	7.53
MRI	0.97	0.93-0.99	0.96	0.86-0.99	0.97	0.99	0.90	23.76	0.03	752

Se: Sensitivity; Sp: Specificity; Ac: Accuracy; PPV: Positive predictive value; NPV: Negative predictive value; LR +: Positive likelihood ratio; LR -: Negative likelihood ratio; DOR: Diagnostic odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; P: Positive for pain; W: Positive for weakness; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging.

however, we chose the limit of three months, mainly due to the logistics of the orthopedic centers included in the study, as there is a waiting list for these procedures [34].

We demonstrated high Sp and PPV of the drop arm test, unlike Somerville *et al*[33], who mentioned that no clinical test in isolation is sufficient to diagnose RCTs. Our results showed that the drop arm test is valuable for confirming overall supraspinatus tears (PPV = 0.97). The drop arm test had a similar Sp to MRI for supraspinatus tears; however, one factor contributing to the high Sp of these clinical tests was the possible association of supraspinatus and infraspinatus tears in patients included in this study.

Baishidena® WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Anauate Nicolao F et al. Accuracy for supraspinatus tears

Table 3 Diagnostic values for partial tears										
Test	Se	95%CI	Sp	95%CI	Ac	PPV	NPV	LR +	LR -	DOR
Painful arc	0.78	0.66-0.86	0.75	0.61-0.85	0.76	0.81	0.70	3.10	0.30	10.40
Empty can										
Р	0.74	0.61-0.84	0.82	0.69-0.90	0.78	0.81	0.75	4.06	0.31	12.99
P and W	0.70	0.55-0.81	0.93	0.81-0.98	0.81	0.91	0.75	10.00	0.32	30.77
Full can										
Р	0.72	0.59-0.82	0.81	0.68-0.90	0.76	0.82	0.71	3.84	0.34	11.10
P and W	0.57	0.41-0.71	0.91	0.79-0.96	0.75	0.84	0.71	6.12	0.48	12.80
Resisted externa	l rotation									
Р	0.43	0.32-0.55	0.84	0.71-0.92	0.61	0.78	0.52	2.70	0.67	4.01
P and W	0.25	0.15-0.39	0.95	0.85-0.99	0.58	0.87	0.52	5.61	0.78	7.18
Drop arm	0.09	0.04-0.18	0.98	0.89-1.00	0.46	0.86	0.43	4.30	0.93	4.62
Neer's sign	0.72	0.60-0.81	0.83	0.70-0.91	0.76	0.86	0.68	4.30	0.34	12.63
Hawkins'	0.73	0.61-0.82	0.67	0.52-0.78	0.70	0.75	0.64	2.19	0.40	5.44
MRI	0.91	0.81-0.96	0.98	0.89-1.00	0.94	0.98	0.88	42.59	0.09	444.67

Se: Sensitivity; Sp: Specificity; Ac: Accuracy; PPV: Positive predictive value; NPV: Negative predictive value; LR +: Positive likelihood ratio; LR -: Negative likelihood ratio; DOR: Diagnostic odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; P: Positive for pain; W: Positive for weakness; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging.

Table 4 Diagnostic values for full-thickness tears										
Test	Se	95%CI	Sp	95%CI	Ac	PPV	NPV	LR +	LR -	DOR
Painful arc	0.91	0.84-0.95	0.75	0.61-0.85	0.86	0.91	0.75	3.64	0.12	30.00
Empty can										
Р	0.86	0.73-0.94	0.82	0.69-0.90	0.84	0.81	0.87	4.70	0.17	28.15
P and W	0.84	0.65-0.94	0.93	0.81-0.98	0.90	0.87	0.91	12.04	0.17	70
Full can										
Р	0.85	0.72-0.92	0.81	0.68-0.90	0.83	0.82	0.85	4.54	0.18	24.76
P and W	0.72	0.52-0.86	0.91	0.78-0.96	0.84	0.82	0.85	7.74	0.31	25.07
Resisted extern	al rotation									
Р	0.56	0.41-0.70	0.84	0.71-0.92	0.71	0.74	0.70	3.51	0.52	6.71
P and W	0.58	0.43-0.72	0.95	0.85-0.99	0.77	0.92	0.70	12.79	0.44	29.17
Drop arm	0.25	0.18-0.35	0.98	0.89-1.00	0.49	0.96	0.39	12.12	0.76	15.88
Neer's sign	0.83	0.74-0.89	0.83	0.70-0.91	0.83	0.91	0.70	4.97	0.21	24.12
Hawkins'	0.85	0.76-0.91	0.67	0.52-0.78	0.79	0.84	0.68	2.54	0.23	11.20
MRI	0.99	0.95-1.00	0.98	0.89-1.00	0.98	0.99	0.98	46.35	0.01	3266

Se: Sensitivity; Sp: Specificity; Ac: Accuracy; PPV: Positive predictive value; NPV: Negative predictive value; LR +: Positive likelihood ratio; LR -: Negative likelihood ratio; DOR: Diagnostic odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; P: Positive for pain; W: Positive for weakness; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging.

> Physical examination has already been demonstrated in previous studies as limited in ruling out RCTs[1,33]. The limitations of the shoulder maneuvers for excluding supraspinatus tears were also shown in our study; moreover, the painful arc and Hawkins' tests had the highest false-positive rates in patients with intact tendons.

> Physical examination alone cannot quantify the size and extension of the supraspinatus tear, muscle atrophy, and associated rotator cuff lesions (biceps tendon pathologies and acromioclavicular joint osteoarthritis). Therefore, imaging exams,



WJO https://www.wjgnet.com

such as radiography, ultrasonography, or MRI, are essential to determine surgical indication[33].

Limitations

First, the reliability of the clinical tests, MRI and arthroscopy was not evaluated. Previous studies demonstrated a moderate to substantial agreement of the empty can, painful arc and external rotation resistance tests, but a fair agreement for the Hawkins' and the Neer's sign[35]. We suggest that future studies should evaluate mainly the reliability and analysis of Se and Sp of the physical examination.

Second, muscle weakness was evaluated manually using subjective criteria according to each tester. We did not use a dynamometer for objective data collection, as some studies considered a 20% decrease in strength in relation to the contralateral side, a positivity criterion for weakness[36]. Moreover, we did not quantify pain when performing each maneuver, with a visual analogue scale, for example, and any shoulder pain during the test was considered positive.

Third, the principal surgeon performing the arthroscopy was not blinded to the shoulder maneuvers and MRI; however, to reduce this bias, we included a second surgeon's evaluation, blinded to physical examination and MRI.

CONCLUSION

Physical examination demonstrated good diagnostic value, showing that the drop arm test had a similar Sp to MRI for supraspinatus tears. However, MRI had higher Se compared with the shoulder maneuvers and was more accurate in ruling out supraspinatus tears.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Shoulder maneuvers and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are performed in current practice in patients with rotator cuff tears (RCTs); however, there is insufficient evidence as to which clinical test is efficient for diagnosing supraspinatus tears.

Research motivation

The motivation for this study was the exponential increase in MRI requests and little appreciation of physical examination in patients with RCTs.

Research objectives

The objective of this study was to compare the accuracy of clinical tests with MRI for diagnosing supraspinatus tears.

Research methods

A prospective multicenter accuracy study of seven shoulder maneuvers and MRI for supraspinatus tears in patients undergoing arthroscopy was performed.

Research results

MRI and the drop arm test had the highest specificity (0.99 and 0.97, respectively) for overall supraspinatus tears; the Hawkin's test had the highest rate of false-positive findings (0.36) in patients with intact tendons.

Research conclusions

Shoulder maneuvers had good diagnostic value for supraspinatus tears; however, MRI had the highest diagnostic value for ruling out tears.

Research perspectives

Futures studies are necessary to analyze the accuracy of clinical tests and MRI for infraspinatus and subscapularis tears.

Zaishidena® WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the contribution of the Orthopedics and Traumatology Department of Escola Paulista de Medicina - Universidade Federal de São Paulo - UNIFESP, for supporting the realization of this study; without their support, this project would not have been completed.

REFERENCES

- Sgroi M, Loitsch T, Reichel H, Kappe T. Diagnostic Value of Clinical Tests for Supraspinatus 1 Tendon Tears. Arthroscopy 2018; 34: 2326-2333 [PMID: 29802066 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2018.03.030
- Jain NB, Luz J, Higgins LD, Dong Y, Warner JJ, Matzkin E, Katz JN. The Diagnostic Accuracy of 2 Special Tests for Rotator Cuff Tear: The ROW Cohort Study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2017; 96: 176-183 [PMID: 27386812 DOI: 10.1097/PHM.000000000000566]
- 3 Lenza M, Buchbinder R, Takwoingi Y, Johnston RV, Hanchard NC, Faloppa F. Magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance arthrography and ultrasonography for assessing rotator cuff tears in people with shoulder pain for whom surgery is being considered. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; CD009020 [PMID: 24065456 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009020.pub2]
- Magee T, Williams D. 3.0-T MRI of the supraspinatus tendon. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2006; 187: 881-4 886 [PMID: 16985129 DOI: 10.2214/AJR.05.1047]
- Sharma G, Bhandary S, Khandige G, Kabra U. MR Imaging of Rotator Cuff Tears: Correlation with Arthroscopy. J Clin Diagn Res 2017; 11: TC24-TC27 [PMID: 28658874 DOI: 10.7860/JCDR/2017/27714.9911]
- Parker L, Nazarian LN, Carrino JA, Morrison WB, Grimaldi G, Frangos AJ, Levin DC, Rao VM. Musculoskeletal imaging: medicare use, costs, and potential for cost substitution. J Am Coll Radiol 2008; 5: 182-188 [PMID: 18312965 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacr.2007.07.016]
- Tawfik AM, El-Morsy A, Badran MA. Rotator cuff disorders: How to write a surgically relevant 7 magnetic resonance imaging report? World J Radiol 2014; 6: 274-283 [PMID: 24976930 DOI: 10.4329/wjr.v6.i6.274]
- 8 Gismervik SØ, Drogset JO, Granviken F, Rø M, Leivseth G. Physical examination tests of the shoulder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test performance. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2017; 18: 41 [PMID: 28122541 DOI: 10.1186/s12891-017-1400-0]
- Hanchard NC, Lenza M, Handoll HH, Takwoingi Y. Physical tests for shoulder impingements and local lesions of bursa, tendon or labrum that may accompany impingement. Cochrane Database Syst *Rev* 2013; CD007427 [PMID: 23633343 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007427.pub2]
- Hegedus EJ, Goode A, Campbell S, Morin A, Tamaddoni M, Moorman CT 3rd, Cook C. Physical 10 examination tests of the shoulder: a systematic review with meta-analysis of individual tests. Br J Sports Med 2008; 42: 80-92; discussion 92 [PMID: 17720798 DOI: 10.1136/bjsm.2007.038406]
- Cohen JF, Korevaar DA, Altman DG, Bruns DE, Gatsonis CA, Hooft L, Irwig L, Levine D, Reitsma 11 JB, de Vet HC, Bossuyt PM. STARD 2015 guidelines for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: explanation and elaboration. BMJ Open 2016; 6: e012799 [PMID: 28137831 DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012799]
- 12 Korevaar DA, Cohen JF, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, Gatsonis CA, Glasziou PP, Irwig L, Moher D, de Vet HCW, Altman DG, Hooft L, Bossuyt PMM. Updating standards for reporting diagnostic accuracy: the development of STARD 2015. Res Integr Peer Rev 2016; 1: 7 [PMID: 29451535 DOI: 10.1186/s41073-016-0014-7]
- 13 Jobe FW, Moynes DR. Delineation of diagnostic criteria and a rehabilitation program for rotator cuff injuries. Am J Sports Med 1982; 10: 336-339 [PMID: 7180952 DOI: 10.1177/036354658201000602]
- Kelly BT, Kadrmas WR, Speer KP. The manual muscle examination for rotator cuff strength. An 14 electromyographic investigation. Am J Sports Med 1996; 24: 581-588 [PMID: 8883676 DOI: 10.1177/036354659602400504
- 15 Codman E. The shoulder: Ruptures of the supraspinatus tendon and other lesions in or about the subacromial bursa. 1934: 1869-1940
- Naredo E, Aguado P, De Miguel E, Uson J, Mayordomo L, Gijon-Baños J, Martin-Mola E. Painful 16 shoulder: comparison of physical examination and ultrasonographic findings. Ann Rheum Dis 2002; 61: 132-136 [PMID: 11796399 DOI: 10.1136/ard.61.2.132]
- 17 Neer CS 2nd. Impingement lesions. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1983; 70-77 [PMID: 6825348]
- Kessel L, Watson M. The painful arc syndrome. Clinical classification as a guide to management. J 18 Bone Joint Surg Br 1977; 59: 166-172 [PMID: 873977 DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.59B2.873977]
- 19 Liu F, Cheng X, Dong J, Zhou D, Han S, Yang Y. Comparison of MRI and MRA for the diagnosis of rotator cuff tears: A meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2020; 99 (12): e19579 [DOI: 10.1097/md.000000000019579]
- Hajian-Tilaki K. Sample size estimation in diagnostic test studies of biomedical informatics. J 20 Biomed Inform 2014; 48: 193-204 [PMID: 24582925 DOI: 10.1016/j.jbi.2014.02.013]
- 21 Minagawa H, Yamamoto N, Abe H, Fukuda M, Seki N, Kikuchi K, Kijima H, Itoi E. Prevalence of symptomatic and asymptomatic rotator cuff tears in the general population: From mass-screening in



one village. J Orthop 2013; 10: 8-12 [PMID: 24403741 DOI: 10.1016/j.jor.2013.01.008]

- Glas AS, Lijmer JG, Prins MH, Bonsel GJ, Bossuyt PM. The diagnostic odds ratio: a single indicator 22 of test performance. J Clin Epidemiol 2003; 56: 1129-1135 [PMID: 14615004 DOI: 10.1016/s0895-4356(03)00177-x]
- 23 Bhatnagar A, Bhonsle S, Mehta S. Correlation between MRI and Arthroscopy in Diagnosis of Shoulder Pathology. J Clin Diagn Res 2016; 10: RC18-RC21 [PMID: 27042543 DOI: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/14867.7309]
- Iannotti JP, Ciccone J, Buss DD, Visotsky JL, Mascha E, Cotman K, Rawool NM. Accuracy of 24 office-based ultrasonography of the shoulder for the diagnosis of rotator cuff tears. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005; 87 (6): 1305-1311 [DOI: 10.2106/00004623-200506000-00017]
- Saqib R, Harris J, Funk L. Comparison of magnetic resonance arthrography with arthroscopy for 25 imaging of shoulder injuries: retrospective study. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2017; 99: 271-274 [PMID: 27652790 DOI: 10.1308/rcsann.2016.0249]
- Nanda R, Gupta S, Kanapathipillai P, Liow RYL, Rangan A. An assessment of the inter examiner 26 reliability of clinical tests for subacromial impingement and rotator cuff integrity. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol 2008; 18: 495-500 [DOI: 10.1007/s00590-008-0341-6]
- Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Brozek J, Glasziou P, Jaeschke R, Vist GE, Williams JW Jr, Kunz R, 27 Craig J, Montori VM, Bossuyt P, Guyatt GH; GRADE Working Group. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations for diagnostic tests and strategies. BMJ 2008; 336: 1106-1110 [PMID: 18483053 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.39500.677199.AE]
- Kim E, Jeong HJ, Lee KW, Song JS. Interpreting positive signs of the supraspinatus test in screening 28 for torn rotator cuff. Acta Med Okayama 2006; 60: 223-228 [PMID: 16943859 DOI: 10.18926/AMO/30715]
- 29 Jobe FW, Jobe CM. Painful athletic injuries of the shoulder. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1983; 117-124 [PMID: 6825323]
- 30 Park HB, Yokota A, Gill HS, El Rassi G, McFarland EG. Diagnostic accuracy of clinical tests for the different degrees of subacromial impingement syndrome. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005; 87: 1446-1455 [PMID: 15995110 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.D.02335]
- Cardoso A, Amaro P, Barbosa L, Coelho AM, Alonso R, Pires L. Diagnostic accuracy of clinical 31 tests directed to the long head of biceps tendon in a surgical population: a combination of old and new tests. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2019; 28: 2272-2278 [PMID: 31500987 DOI: 10.1016/i.jse.2019.07.007
- 32 Bak K, Sørensen AK, Jørgensen U, Nygaard M, Krarup AL, Thune C, Sloth C, Pedersen ST. The value of clinical tests in acute full-thickness tears of the supraspinatus tendon: does a subacromial lidocaine injection help in the clinical diagnosis? Arthroscopy 2010; 26: 734-742 [PMID: 20511030 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2009.11.005]
- 33 Somerville LE, Willits K, Johnson AM, Litchfield R, LeBel ME, Moro J, Bryant D. Clinical Assessment of Physical Examination Maneuvers for Rotator Cuff Lesions. Am J Sports Med 2014; 42: 1911-1919 [PMID: 24936584 DOI: 10.1177/0363546514538390]
- Moosmayer S, Lund G, Seljom US, Haldorsen B, Svege IC, Hennig T, Pripp AH, Smith HJ. Tendon 34 repair compared with physiotherapy in the treatment of rotator cuff tears: a randomized controlled study in 103 cases with a five-year follow-up. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2014; 96: 1504-1514 [PMID: 25232074 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.M.01393]
- 35 Michener LA, Walsworth MK, Doukas WC, Murphy KP. Reliability and diagnostic accuracy of 5 physical examination tests and combination of tests for subacromial impingement. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2009; 90: 1898-1903 [PMID: 19887215 DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2009.05.015]
- Barth JR, Burkhart SS, De Beer JF. The bear-hug test: a new and sensitive test for diagnosing a 36 subscapularis tear. Arthroscopy 2006; 22: 1076-1084 [PMID: 17027405 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2006.05.005]



WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

