### World Journal of *Orthopedics*

World J Orthop 2023 April 18; 14(4): 171-267





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

# World Journal of Orthopedics

#### Contents

#### Monthly Volume 14 Number 4 April 18, 2023

#### **REVIEW**

171 Meniscus tears treatment: The good, the bad and the ugly-patterns classification and practical guide Simonetta R, Russo A, Palco M, Costa GG, Mariani PP

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

186 Effects of different pelvic osteotomies on acetabular morphology in developmental dysplasia of hip in children

Wen Z, Wu YY, Kuang GY, Wen J, Lu M

197 Background, techniques, applications, current trends, and future directions of minimally invasive endoscopic spine surgery: A review of literature

Tang K, Goldman S, Avrumova F, Lebl DR

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Basic Study**

Bridge plating in the setting of radiocarpal instability: Does distal fixation to the second or third 207 metacarpal matter? A cadaveric study

Tabeayo E, Saucedo JM, Srinivasan RC, Shah AR, Karamanos E, Rockwood J, Rodriguez-Merchan EC

#### **Retrospective Cohort Study**

218 Clinical outcomes of cemented distal femur replacements with all-polyethylene tibial components for oncologic indications

Christ AB, Chung BC, Urness M, Mayer LW, Gettleman BS, Heckmann ND, Menendez LR

231 Acute hospital-community hospital care bundle for elderly orthopedic surgery patients: A propensity score-matched economic analysis

Tan IEH, Chok AY, Zhao Y, Chen Y, Koo CH, Aw J, Soh MHT, Foo CH, Ang KA, Tan EJKW, Tan AHC, Au MKH

#### **Retrospective Study**

240 Knowledge and attitudes of orthopedic surgeons regarding prosthesis joint infection

Aytekin MN, Hasanoglu I, Öztürk R, Tosun N

#### **Observational Study**

Perceived barriers and facilitators of day-case surgery for major foot and ankle procedures? A cross-248 sectional survey of United Kingdom surgeons

Berry A, Houchen-Wolloff L, Crane N, Townshend D, Clayton R, Mangwani J

#### **CASE REPORT**

260 Subclinical ankle joint tuberculous arthritis - The role of scintigraphy: A case series

Primadhi RA, Kartamihardja AHS



#### Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 4 April 18, 2023

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Orthopedics, Saubhik Das, MS, Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences, Ranchi 834009, Jharkhand, India. drsaubhikk@hotmail.com

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Orthopedics (WJO, World J Orthop) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of orthopedics with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of orthopedics and covering a wide range of topics including arthroscopy, bone trauma, bone tumors, hand and foot surgery, joint surgery, orthopedic trauma, osteoarthropathy, osteoporosis, pediatric orthopedics, spinal diseases, spine surgery, and sports medicine.

#### **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

WJO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJO as 0.62. The WJO's CiteScore for 2021 is 2.4 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Orthopedics and Sports Medicine is 139/284.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Ying-Yi Yuan, Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS	
World Journal of Orthopedics	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204	
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS	
ISSN 2218-5836 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287	
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH	
November 18, 2010	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240	
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS	
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288	
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT	
Massimiliano Leigheb	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208	
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE	
http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242	
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS	
April 18, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239	
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION	
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com	

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJD

## World Journal of **Orthopedics**

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Orthop 2023 April 18; 14(4): 231-239

DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v14.i4.231

ISSN 2218-5836 (online) ORIGINAL ARTICLE

**Retrospective Cohort Study** 

### Acute hospital-community hospital care bundle for elderly orthopedic surgery patients: A propensity score-matched economic analysis

Ivan En-Howe Tan, Aik Yong Chok, Yun Zhao, Yonghui Chen, Chee Hoe Koo, Junjie Aw, Mave Hean Teng Soh, Chek Hun Foo, Kwok Ann Ang, Emile John Kwong Wei Tan, Andrew Hwee Chye Tan, Marianne Kit Har Au

Specialty type: Health care sciences Iv and services H

#### Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Lin L, China; Primadhi RA, Indonesia

Received: January 24, 2023 Peer-review started: January 24, 2023 First decision: February 8, 2023 Revised: February 18, 2023 Accepted: March 27, 2023 Article in press: March 27, 2023 Published online: April 18, 2023



Ivan En-Howe Tan, Yun Zhao, Yonghui Chen, Mave Hean Teng Soh, Chek Hun Foo, Marianne Kit Har Au, Group Finance, Singapore Health Services, Singapore 168582, Singapore

Aik Yong Chok, Yun Zhao, Chee Hoe Koo, Emile John Kwong Wei Tan, Department of Colorectal Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore 169608, Singapore

Junjie Aw, Post-Acute and Continuing Care, Family Medicine, Outram Community Hospital, Singapore 168582, Singapore

Kwok Ann Ang, Finance, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore 169608, Singapore

Andrew Hwee Chye Tan, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore 169856, Singapore

Marianne Kit Har Au, Singhealth Community Hospital, Singapore 168582, Singapore

**Corresponding author:** Aik Yong Chok, FRCS (Ed), MBBS, MMed, Surgeon, Department of Colorectal Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, 20 College Road, Singapore 169608, Singapore 169608, Singapore. chokaikyong@gmail.com

#### Abstract

#### BACKGROUND

While Singapore attains good health outcomes, Singapore's healthcare system is confronted with bed shortages and prolonged stays for elderly people recovering from surgery in acute hospitals. An Acute Hospital-Community Hospital (AH-CH) care bundle has been developed to assist patients in postoperative rehabilitation. The core concept is to transfer patients out of AHs when clinically recommended and into CHs, where they can receive more beneficial dedicated care to aid in their recovery, while freeing up bed capacities in AHs.

#### AIM

To analyze the AH length of stay (LOS), costs, and savings associated with the AH-CH care bundle intervention initiated and implemented in elderly patients aged 75 years and above undergoing elective orthopedic surgery.



» WJO https://www.wjgnet.com

#### **METHODS**

A total of 862 1:1 propensity score-matched patients aged 75 years and above who underwent elective orthopedic surgery in Singapore General Hospital (SGH) before (2017-2018) and after (2019-2021) the care bundle intervention period was analyzed. Outcome measures were AH LOS, CH LOS, hospitalization metrics, postoperative 30-d mortality, and modified Barthel Index (MBI) scores. The costs of AH inpatient hospital stay in the matched cohorts were compared using cost data in Singapore dollars.

#### RESULTS

Of the 862 matched elderly patients undergoing elective orthopedic surgery before and after the care bundle intervention, the age distribution, sex, American Society of Anesthesiologists classification, Charlson Comorbidity Index, and surgical approach were comparable between both groups. Patients transferred to CHs after the surgery had a shorter median AH LOS (7 d vs 9 d, P < 0.001). The mean total AH inpatient cost per patient was 14.9% less for the elderly group transferred to CHs (S\$24497.3 vs S\$28772.8, P < 0.001). The overall AH U-turn rates for elderly patients within the care bundle were low, with a 0% mortality rate following orthopedic surgery. When elderly patients were discharged from CHs, their MBI scores increased significantly (50.9 vs71.9, *P* < 0.001).

#### **CONCLUSION**

The AH-CH care bundle initiated and implemented in the Department of Orthopedic Surgery appears to be effective and cost-saving for SGH. Our results indicate that transitioning care between acute and community hospitals using this care bundle effectively reduces AH LOS in elderly patients receiving orthopedic surgery. Collaboration between acute and community care providers can assist in closing the care delivery gap and enhancing service quality.

Key Words: Care bundle; Community hospital; Orthopedic surgery; Cost-effectiveness; Care transition; Intervention

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** This study evaluated the cost-effectiveness of an intervention that bundled Acute Hospital-Community Hospital (AH-CH) care. The AH-CH care bundle intervention effectively reduced AH length of stay (LOS) and costs for elderly patients aged 75 and above undergoing elective orthopedic surgery in Singapore General Hospital. Our findings indicate that systematically transitioning care between AH and CH using this care bundle reduces AH LOS and achieves cost savings. This intervention increases public hospital bed capacity and reduces inpatient hospitalization costs.

Citation: Tan IEH, Chok AY, Zhao Y, Chen Y, Koo CH, Aw J, Soh MHT, Foo CH, Ang KA, Tan EJKW, Tan AHC, Au MKH. Acute hospital-community hospital care bundle for elderly orthopedic surgery patients: A propensity score-matched economic analysis. World J Orthop 2023; 14(4): 231-239 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v14/i4/231.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v14.i4.231

#### INTRODUCTION

Singapore is one of the world's fastest-aging countries, with a total population of 5.7 million people[1]. According to the forecasts of demographic statistics<sup>[1]</sup>, the proportion of people aged 65 and beyond is anticipated to quadruple from 8% in 2005 to 20% in 2030 and 38% by 2050[2]. This demographic transition puts Singapore's healthcare system under strain, as an aging population presents a mix of issues. For instance, an aging population increases the demand for joint replacement surgery, particularly among those aged 65 years and above[3]. Orthopedic surgery is sometimes associated with increased mortality and morbidity in the elderly patient population compared to the general population [4-7].

Additionally, the risk of hospitalization for those aged 65 years and above is higher and is associated with a longer length of stay (LOS) in acute hospitals (AHs)[8], resulting in an increased socioeconomic burden. In Singapore, age-adjusted per capita bed days in AHs have been gradually increasing since 2006, primarily due to an increase in admissions<sup>[9]</sup>. The proportion of patients aged 65 years and older admitted to public healthcare institutions has climbed from 28.6% in 2006 to 39.0% in 2020[9]. The



WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

requirement for postoperative rehabilitation and continuing care following discharge from AHs is also projected to increase as the elderly require a more extended recovery period. Therefore, any interventions that may be utilized to lower inpatient stay costs and shorten LOS in AHs benefit Singapore's public healthcare providers.

Several care bundles or interventions have been adopted to improve patient care and decrease AH LOS in a general population undergoing surgery [10-12]. Most of them are interdisciplinary in nature and focus on optimizing all aspects of the patient's perioperative management while also encouraging the patient to participate actively in their own recovery and rehabilitation. Similarly, Singapore's Ministry of Health (MOH) has developed an AH-CH care bundle to improve the quality of care and provide ongoing postoperative care to assist patients in rehabilitating and reintegrating into their communities[13]. The core concept of this care bundle is to transfer patients out of AHs at the point when clinically necessary and into CHs, where they can receive more beneficial dedicated postoperative care to aid in their recovery while freeing up scarcer capacity in AHs. The AH-CH care bundle aims to recognize CH as an integral aspect of inpatient care by treating the AH and CH stay as a single episode. The workstream of this care bundle collaborates closely with inpatient teams at AHs to facilitate discharge planning and a smooth transfer to CHs.

This study aimed to evaluate the potential benefits and analyze the AH inpatient hospitalization costs and savings associated with this AH-CH care bundle in elderly patients undergoing orthopedic surgery in Singapore General Hospital (SGH).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was approved by our institutional review board (IRB No. 2022/2178) and reported following Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS 2022) guidelines[14]. It was a retrospective single-center analysis of outcomes for elderly patients  $\geq$  75 years admitted to the orthopedic surgery department in SGH between two study periods: 2017-2018 (before the bundled-care period) vs 2019-2021 (after the bundled-care period). The inclusion criteria were all elderly patients aged 75 and above undergoing elective major orthopedic surgery between 2017 and 2021. Data was collected from our electronic health intelligence system and finance database. Patients who underwent emergency surgery were excluded from the evaluation.

Propensity score matching was performed to balance the comparison of elderly patients receiving orthopedic surgery in a 1:1 ratio before and after the care bundle periods based on age, sex, American Society of Anesthesiologists classification, Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), and surgical approach. The matched cohorts were examined for discharge deposition, AH LOS, and postoperative 30-d mortality. The CCI was calculated based on a patient's diagnosis using the 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Disease and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) codes. Patients within the care bundle were further analyzed for CH LOS, total AH-CH LOS, referral waiting time from AH to CH, U-turn rates, and Modified Barthel Index (MBI) scores, which employs a 100-point rating scale that evaluates a patient's capacity to execute ten distinct activities of daily living[15].

Costs were derived from inpatient billing data and indicated the total cost of the inpatient stay per case prior to the subsidy. Cost data were adjusted to 2021 Singapore dollars to account for healthcare inflation (approximately 1.02%-1.08% over the study period; Monetary Authority of Singapore, https:// www.mas.gov.sg/). Cost breakdown was calculated and compared between the two matched cohorts.

Statistical analyses were performed in R Statistical Software (version 4.2.1). Continuous variables were reported as median (range) or mean ± SD. Categorical variables were reported as frequency (percentage). The Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test was used to analyze continuous variables, and  $\chi^2$  or Fisher's exact tests were used to analyze categorical variables. Cost data were presented as mean ± SD following CHEERS guidelines[14]. Statistical significance was set at a *P* value < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

Following the AH-CH care bundle intervention, we have developed a rapid transfer pathway from AH to CH for elderly patients undergoing orthopedic surgery (Figure 1). Briefly, once patients have completed surgery at the AH site, they are screened for fast-track transfer to CH sites by nursing professionals from the orthopedic surgery department. Patients who consent to be treated in CH facilities are entitled to financial counseling for an estimated cost of their entire AH-CH stay. Afterward, surgeons initiate CH referral letters, and patients are transported and admitted to CH sites. We analyzed 862 elderly patients in total who underwent elective orthopedic surgery before and after the AH-CH care bundle intervention.

Patient demographics, clinical and surgical characteristics, and hospitalization metrics between the two matched cohorts are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in patient demographics, baseline characteristics, and discharge deposition. Elderly patients within the care bundle transferred to CHs after the surgery had a shorter median AH LOS of 7 d (range: 1-44; mean 8.83) compared to 9 d



Table 1 Comparison of patient demographics, clinical characteristics, and hospitalization metrics between elderly patients who
underwent elective orthopedic surgery before and after the care bundle intervention

Variable	Before care bundle period (2017- 2018)	After care bundle period (2019-2021)	P value	
	n (%)	n (%)		
Matched patients	431	431		
Age (yr), median (range)	79 (75-97)	80 (75-99)	0.184	
Gender				
Male	111 (25.8)	123 (28.5)	0.400	
Female	320 (74.2)	308 (71.5)		
ASA classification				
1	3 (0.7)	8 (1.9)	0.074	
2	336 (78.0)	311 (72.2)		
3	92 (21.3)	112 (26.0)		
4	0	0		
CCI				
0	3 (0.7)	8 (1.9)	0.200	
1	12 (2.8)	21 (4.9)		
2	316 (73.3)	292 (67.7)		
3	16 (3.7)	18 (4.2)		
≥4	84 (19.5)	92 (21.3)		
Surgical approach				
Open	346 (80.3)	337 (78.2)	0.502	
MIS	85 (19.7)	94 (21.8)		
AH LOS (d)				
Median (range)	9 (7-73)	7 (1-44)	< 0.001	
Mean (SD)	12.5 (13.8)	8.83 (7.02)	< 0.001	
Discharged home	431 (100)	431 (100)	-	
Postoperative mortality	0	0	-	

Continuous variables were presented as median (range) or mean ± SD; categorical variables were presented as n (%). ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists classification; CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; MIS: Minimally Invasive Surgery; AH: Acute hospital; LOS: Length of stay.

> (range: 7-73; mean 12.5) in patients before the care bundle period (P < 0.001). The mortality rate for all included patients was 0% following orthopedic surgery.

> The AH inpatient stay of both groups is shown in Table 2. Elderly patients within the care bundle group had a significantly lower mean total AH inpatient hospitalization cost of S\$24497.3 per patient, 14.9% lower than patients before the care bundle period of S28772.8 per patient (P < 0.001). The detailed cost breakdown analysis revealed that the care bundle group had much lower costs for ward accommodation (\$\$5924.4 vs \$\$8748.8, P < 0.001), daily medical treatment (\$\$2932.4 vs \$\$3980.9, P < 0.001), nursing care (\$\$1379.9 vs \$\$1574.3, P = 0.040), investigation (\$\$1847.1 vs \$\$2173.2, P = 0.003), and rehabilitation (S\$634.0 vs S\$905.0, P < 0.001) services. There was no significant difference in the costs of surgery procedure, pharmacy, and consumables between the two groups.

> The CH hospitalization metrics are shown in Table 3. Our first CH was officially opened in August 2018, followed by the second in January 2019. There was no CH data available prior to the implementation of the AH-CH care bundle. The median CH LOS was 23 d, 24 d, and 22 d within the care bundle group from 2019 to 2021. The median total AH-CH LOS was reduced from 35 d in 2019 to 33 d in 2021. The overall referral time from AH to CH after surgery was around 3 d to 5 d. A U-turn occurs when a patient is readmitted to AH within 8 h of being transferred to CH. The overall U-turn was almost 0, with only one case in 2021 readmitted to AH. MBI scores of elderly patients were significantly increased at the time of being discharged from CHs. The average MBI score for all elderly

WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Comparison of inpatient hospitalization costs between elderly patients receiving elective orthopedic surgery before and after the care bundle intervention

AH hospitalization cost (S\$)	Before care bundle period ( <i>n</i> = 431)	After care bundle period ( <i>n</i> = 431)	% Difference	P value
Total inpatient cost per case	28772.8 (28581.6)	24497.3 (15281.8)	14.9% decrease	< 0.001
Cost breakdown				
Surgery procedure	4861.8 (2076.2)	4594.6 (1847.1)	5.5% decrease	0.561
Ward accommodation	8748.8 (15164.3)	5924.4 (6277.9)	32.3% decrease	< 0.001
Daily medical treatment	3980.9 (4875.8)	2932.4 (2371.8)	26.3% decrease	< 0.001
Nursing	1574.3 (1715.5)	1379.9 (959.5)	12.4% decrease	0.040
Investigation	2173.2 (2086.3)	1847.1 (1828.1)	15.0% decrease	0.003
Rehabilitation	905.0 (1474.5)	634.0 (490.7)	29.9% decrease	< 0.001
Pharmacy	479.6 (1149.3)	414.8 (988.2)	13.5% decrease	0.369
Consumables	2231.4 (1883.8)	2412.2 (1631.4)	8.1% increase	0.132

Cost data were presented as mean ± SD in 2021 Singapore dollars (S\$), adjusted for inflation. 1 Singapore dollar (S\$) = 0.722 United States dollar (US\$).

Table 3 Community hospitalization metrics in elderly patients undergoing elective orthopedic surgery within the care bundle intervention

Item	2019	2020	2021
Total case	135	170	126
CH LOS (d) (median, range)	23 (4-98)	24 (2-175)	22 (2-87)
Total AH-CH LOS (d) (median, range)	35 (8-122)	33 (5-184)	33 (9-114)
Referral time from AH to CH (d) (median, range)	4 (1-20)	5 (1-14)	3 (1-12)
U-turn from CH to AH within 8 h (%)	0	0	1 (0.79)
MBI score (median, range)			
Admission	58 (11-98)	47 (5-94)	37 (10-97)
Discharge	74 (14-100)	65 (12-100)	68 (13-99)

Continuous variables were presented as median (range); categorical variables were presented as n (%). CH: Community hospital; LOS: Length of stay; AH: Acute hospital; MBI: Modified Barthel Index.

> patients within the care bundle group improved significantly from 50.9 (SD: 23.9) at admission to 71.9 (SD: 23.4) at discharge (*P* < 0.001).

#### DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that the AH-CH care bundle intervention can directly reduce AH LOS in elderly patients undergoing orthopedic surgery. Regaining movement and strength cannot occur quickly enough for an elderly patient recovering after orthopedic surgery. Physical and occupational therapy are the most effective strategies for resuming normal activities as quickly as possible[16]. Postoperative rehabilitation assists elderly patients in learning to perform their exercise appropriately, monitor their performance, and identify potential problems that the clinician should be aware of. However, rehabilitation and physiotherapy are typically time-consuming, and AH LOS is a quality indicator used by healthcare systems to assess the efficiency of their hospital operation. Reduced AH LOS increases bed turnover, enabling AHs to meet the demand for acute admission and interhospital transfers with an available capacity [17,18]. In Singapore, it has been observed a growth in AH admissions that was disproportionate to the growth of the general population. The mean AH LOS for elderly patients increased from 7.8 d in 2010 to 8.2 d in 2013[19]. As a result, Singapore is implementing system-level strategies to provide excellent care and a safe discharge while preventing prolonged AH



WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Tan IEH et al. Care bundle for elderly orthopedic patients



DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v14.i4.231 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 1 Fast transfer pathway from acute hospital to community hospitals for elective elderly orthopedic patients. Once patients have completed surgery at the acute hospital (AH) site, they are screened for fast-track transfer to community hospital (CH) sites by nursing professionals from the orthopedic surgery department. Patients who agree to be treated in CH facilities are entitled to financial counseling and an estimate of the total cost of their AH-CH stay. Afterward, surgeons initiate CH referral letters, and patients are transported and admitted to CH sites. AH: Acute hospital; CH: Community hospital.

stays. Our results identified potential benefits of this care bundle in shortening median AH LOS by two days in elderly patients undergoing orthopedic surgery, without sacrificing postoperative complications, readmissions, and mortality.

The total costs of AH inpatient stay were consequently reduced. Cost savings were achieved from cost buckets associated with prolonged AH LOS. Applying the observed decrease in AH LOS to our elderly patient cohort would have resulted in an estimated bed day savings of S\$184440.0 between 2019 and 2021 (200 beds reserved for the orthopedic surgery department with an estimated bed day cost of S\$461.1). Furthermore, the reduced LOS would improve AH's capacity due to cost savings. As a result, there would be substantial advantages for both patients and the hospital because the increased capacity may boost utilization and cut down on waiting lists.

Compared to AH LOS, a CH stay might last from a few days to a few weeks, depending on the patient's condition. CH services are designed to enhance patient functional impairment statuses and maximize their physical capacities in preparation for discharge home or continuation of treatment at other community-based step-down care facilities. The benefits of admission to CHs for rehabilitation care include the following: (1) A good chance for patients, particularly elderly patients, to improve physical functions; (2) patients can participate in at least two hours of therapy daily; and (3) patients and caregiver can participate in rehabilitation and discharge planning. Patients undergoing orthopedic surgery in this care bundle had a median CH LOS of 22-24 d. One constraint of this study was the limited bed capacity of our two newly-constructed CHs, which resulted in a slower bed turnover rate. The median duration between AH site referral and CH site acceptance was observed to be approximately 3-5 d. To fulfill the demand for intermediate residential care, Singapore's MOH is expanding its network of CHs that will be co-located with their cluster-run AHs to complement acute care services and assist patient care continuums.

Our results demonstrated that CH is critical in providing rehabilitation and continuing care to assist elderly patients in rehabilitation. When elderly patients were discharged from CHs, their MBI scores increased significantly. The second constraint was that we were unable to get MBI scores for the matched cohort before the care bundle period. As such, we were unable to compare MBI scores between matched elderly patients discharged directly from AH and those transferred to CHs for further rehabilitation. Even if patients are discharged immediately from AH following orthopedic surgery, many of them will most likely require weekly postoperative rehabilitation at AH. In comparison, support from CH care professionals is another factor related to positive results for patients referred to CHs for postoperative rehabilitation. CH care teams will work with patients, particularly the elderly, to establish daily, achievable goals for participating in a function-specific exercise to regain strength. This is of great benefit to both patients and healthcare providers.

This study has a few limitations. First, the AH-CH care bundle is designed for a specific patient population and may not be applicable to other populations or healthcare settings. Second, the exclusion of emergency surgery patients may limit the generalizability of the study's findings to a broader patient



WJO https://www.wjgnet.com

population. Third, this care bundle may not capture the unique characteristics and complexities of each specific orthopedic surgery procedure. However, there are a few perspectives on our findings. The AH-CH care bundle is a promising approach to improving the quality and efficiency of care for elderly patients undergoing elective orthopedic surgery. It provides a standardized and evidence-based practice, which may lead to enhanced patient outcomes and reduced costs, as well as promote a multidisciplinary approach to improve communication and collaboration between healthcare providers.

#### CONCLUSION

Our results show that transitioning care between acute and community hospitals via the AH-CH care bundle effectively reduces AH LOS in elderly patients undergoing orthopedic surgery. A well-designed postoperative rehabilitation program at CH sites can help prevent complications that may have a lasting effect on the quality of life.

#### ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

#### Research background

Singapore's healthcare system has faced bed shortages and extended stays for elderly patients recovering from surgery in acute hospitals.

#### Research motivation

An Acute Hospital-Community Hospital (AH-CH) care bundle was created to transfer patients to CHs where they can receive specialized care and free up beds in AHs.

#### Research objectives

To evaluate the impact of the AH-CH care bundle on AH length of stay (LOS), costs, and savings for elderly patients aged 75 years and above who underwent elective orthopedic surgery.

#### Research methods

The study examined a cohort of 862 patients aged 75 years and above undergoing elective orthopedic surgery at Singapore General Hospital before (2017-2018) and after (2019-2021) the implementation of the AH-CH care bundle intervention. Patients were matched 1:1 based on their propensity scores and compared for AH LOS, CH LOS, hospitalization metrics, postoperative 30-d mortality, modified Barthel Index (MBI) scores, and costs.

#### Research results

Elderly patients transferred to CHs had a significantly shorter median AH LOS (7 d vs 9 d, P < 0.001), and the mean total AH inpatient cost per patient was 14.9% less for the elderly group transferred to CHs (S\$24497.3 vs S\$28772.8, P < 0.001). The overall AH U-turn rates for patients within the care bundle were low, with no mortality rate following orthopedic surgery. When elderly patients were discharged from CHs, their MBI scores improved significantly.

#### Research conclusions

The AH-CH care bundle was found to be effective and cost-saving for elderly patients undergoing elective orthopedic surgery.

#### Research perspectives

Collaboration between acute and community care providers can help to improve clinical service quality and achieve cost savings.

#### FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Au MKH, Ang KA, Tan AHC, and Tan EJKW conceived and planned the study; Tan IEH and Chok AY supervised the study and wrote the manuscript; Chok AY and Zhao Y performed the analysis and interpreted the results; Chen YH, Soh MHT, and Foo CH collected and verified data; Koo CH and Aw JJ participated in the review of the manuscript; Chok AY, Tan EJKW, and Au MKH provided critical revisions for final approval; all authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: This study was approved by Singapore Health Services (SingHealth) Institutional Review Board (approval No. 2022/2178).



Informed consent statement: Due to the study's retrospective design using de-identified data, written informed consent collection was waived by SingHealth Centralised Institutional Review Board.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors declare that they have no relevant or material financial interests related to the research described in this paper.

Data sharing statement: The data supporting this study's findings are not publicly available due to privacy and ethical restrictions.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement - checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Country/Territory of origin: Singapore

**ORCID number:** Ivan En-Howe Tan 0000-0002-0000-1367; Aik Yong Chok 0000-0003-4077-9664; Yun Zhao 0000-0003-4093-4695; Yonghui Chen 0000-0002-3836-2782; Chee Hoe Koo 0000-0003-3336-9915; Junjie Aw 0000-0002-9169-1702; Mave Hean Teng Soh 0000-0003-2273-880X; Chek Hun Foo 0000-0003-1222-5605; Kwok Ann Ang 0000-0001-7465-841X; Emile John Kwong Wei Tan 0000-0002-0771-5063; Andrew Hwee Chye Tan 0000-0003-4395-3322; Marianne Kit Har Au 0000-0003-3653-0962.

S-Editor: Chen YL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Yuan YY

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Singapore S. Yearbook of statistics. Singapore: Department of Statistics, Ministry of Trade and Industry, 2009 [DOI: 10.18356/9789210058018c172]
- 2 Ministry of Community Development. Committee on Ageing Issues: Report on the Ageing Population. 2006. [cited 1 March 2023]. Available from: https://www.moh.gov.sg/docs/librariesprovider5/resources-statistics/reports/committee-onageing-issues-report-on-ageing-population.pdf
- Birrell F, Johnell O, Silman A. Projecting the need for hip replacement over the next three decades: influence of changing 3 demography and threshold for surgery. Ann Rheum Dis 1999; 58: 569-572 [PMID: 10460191 DOI: 10.1136/ard.58.9.569]
- 4 Aynardi M, Pulido L, Parvizi J, Sharkey PF, Rothman RH. Early mortality after modern total hip arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2009; 467: 213-218 [PMID: 18846410 DOI: 10.1007/s11999-008-0528-5]
- 5 Gregory J, Starks I, Phillips S. Five-year survival of patients in their 90th year and beyond undergoing primary hip arthroplasty in the UK. J Bone Joint Surg 2010; 92-B: 1227-1230 [DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.92B9.24432]
- Berend ME, Thong AE, Faris GW, Newbern G, Pierson JL, Ritter MA. Total joint arthroplasty in the extremely elderly: 6 hip and knee arthroplasty after entering the 89th year of life. J Arthroplasty 2003; 18: 817-821 [PMID: 14566733 DOI: 10.1016/s0883-5403(03)00338-3]
- Wurtz LD, Feinberg JR, Capello WN, Meldrum R, Kay PJ. Elective primary total hip arthroplasty in octogenarians. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2003; 58: M468-M471 [PMID: 12730258 DOI: 10.1093/gerona/58.5.m468]
- Rahman N, Ng SH, Ramachandran S, Wang DD, Sridharan S, Tan CS, Khoo A, Tan XQ. Drivers of hospital expenditure and length of stay in an academic medical centre: a retrospective cross-sectional study. BMC Health Serv Res 2019; 19: 442 [PMID: 31266515 DOI: 10.1186/s12913-019-4248-1]
- Tan CC, Lam CSP, Matchar DB, Zee YK, Wong JEL. Singapore's health-care system: key features, challenges, and shifts. Lancet 2021; 398: 1091-1104 [PMID: 34481560 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00252-X]
- 10 Zarain-Obrador L, Alonso-García M, Gil-Yonte P, Hijas-Gómez AI, Rodríguez-Villar D, Martínez-Amores B, Gil-de-Miguel Á, Ruiz-Tovar J, Rodríguez-Caravaca G, Durán-Poveda M. Effect of a Surgical Care Bundle on the Incidence of Surgical Site Infection in Colorectal Surgery: A Quasi-Experimental Intervention. Rev Invest Clin 2021; 73: 251-258 [PMID: 33944860 DOI: 10.24875/RIC.21000067]
- Weiser MR, Gonen M, Usiak S, Pottinger T, Samedy P, Patel D, Seo S, Smith JJ, Guillem JG, Temple L, Nash GM, Paty 11 PB, Baldwin-Medsker A, Cheavers CE, Eagan J, Garcia-Aguilar J; Memorial Sloan Kettering Multidisciplinary Surgical-Site Infection Reduction Team. Effectiveness of a multidisciplinary patient care bundle for reducing surgical-site infections. Br J Surg 2018; 105: 1680-1687 [PMID: 29974946 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.10896]
- 12 Bolshinsky V, Li MH, Ismail H, Burbury K, Riedel B, Heriot A. Multimodal Prehabilitation Programs as a Bundle of Care in Gastrointestinal Cancer Surgery: A Systematic Review. Dis Colon Rectum 2018; 61: 124-138 [PMID: 29219922 DOI: 10.1097/DCR.000000000000987]
- Gross PA, Neu HC, Aswapokee P, Van Antwerpen C, Aswapokee N. Deaths from nosocomial infections: experience in a 13



university hospital and a community hospital. Am J Med 1980; 68: 219-223 [PMID: 7355892 DOI: 10.1016/0002-9343(80)90357-5]

- Husereau D, Drummond M, Augustovski F, de Bekker-Grob E, Briggs AH, Carswell C, Caulley L, Chaiyakunapruk N, 14 Greenberg D, Loder E, Mauskopf J, Mullins CD, Petrou S, Pwu RF, Staniszewska S; CHEERS 2022 ISPOR Good Research Practices Task Force. Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. Int J Technol Assess Health Care 2022; 38: e13 [PMID: 35007499 DOI: 10.1017/S0266462321001732]
- Collin C, Wade DT, Davies S, Horne V. The Barthel ADL Index: a reliability study. Int Disabil Stud 1988; 10: 61-63 15 [PMID: 3403500 DOI: 10.3109/09638288809164103]
- 16 Désiron HA, de Rijk A, Van Hoof E, Donceel P. Occupational therapy and return to work: a systematic literature review. BMC Public Health 2011; 11: 615 [PMID: 21810228 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-11-615]
- 17 Buttigieg SC, Abela L, Pace A. Variables affecting hospital length of stay: a scoping review. J Health Organ Manag 2018; **32**: 463-493 [PMID: 29771210 DOI: 10.1108/JHOM-10-2017-0275]
- 18 Schmidt R, Geisler S, Spreckelsen C. Decision support for hospital bed management using adaptable individual length of stay estimations and shared resources. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 2013; 13: 3 [PMID: 23289448 DOI: 10.1186/1472-6947-13-3]
- Chia NC, Loh SP. Using the stochastic health state function to forecast healthcare demand and healthcare financing: 19 Evidence from Singapore. Rev Dev Econ 2018; 22: 1081-1104 [DOI: 10.1111/rode.12528]





### Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

