World Journal of *Orthopedics*

World J Orthop 2023 June 18; 14(6): 369-504





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Orthopedics

Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 6 June 18, 2023

MINIREVIEWS

- 369 Minimally invasive surgeries for insertional Achilles tendinopathy: A commentary review Nakajima K
- 379 Subtalar dislocations: Mechanisms, clinical presentation and methods of reduction Cheruvu MS, Narayana Murthy S, Siddiqui RS

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

387 Automated patellar height assessment on high-resolution radiographs with a novel deep learning-based approach

Kwolek K, Grzelecki D, Kwolek K, Marczak D, Kowalczewski J, Tyrakowski M

Retrospective Cohort Study

399 Two surgical pathways for isolated hip fractures: A comparative study Fokin AA, Wycech Knight J, Darya M, Stalder R, Puente I, Weisz RD

411 Surgical and long-term functional outcomes of patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy following spinal deformity correction

Roberts S, Arshad A, Tsirikos AI

Retrospective Study

427 Incidence of sports-related sternoclavicular joint dislocations in the United States over the last two decades Sandler AB, Baird MD, Scanaliato JP, Harris AL, Raiciulescu S, Green CK, Dunn JC, Parnes N

Observational Study

436 Achieving high union rates after first metatarsophalangeal joint arthrodesis: Radiographic outcomes and technical pitfalls

von Deimling C, Tondelli T, Brunner S, Andronic O, Graf AD

443 Chondroitin sulfate and glucosamine combination in patients with knee and hip osteoarthritis: A longterm observational study in Russia

Lila AM, Alekseeva LI, Baranov AA, Taskina EA, Kashevarova NG, Lapkina NA, Trofimov EA

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

458 Cost-effectiveness of patient specific vs conventional instrumentation for total knee arthroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Dorling IM, Geenen L, Heymans MJLF, Most J, Boonen B, Schotanus MGM



Contents

World Journal of Orthopedics

Monthly Volume 14 Number 6 June 18, 2023

471 Return to sport following toe phalanx fractures: A systematic review Robertson GAJ, Sinha A, Hodkinson T, Koç T

META-ANALYSIS

Effectiveness of platelet-rich plasma in the treatment of Achilles tendon disease 485 Huang D, Vithran DTA, Gong HL, Zeng M, Tang ZW, Rao ZZ, Wen J, Xiao S

CORRECTION

Erratum: Rates of readmission and reoperation after operative management of midshaft clavicle fractures 502 in adolescents

Carrillo LA, Wu HH, Callahan M, Chopra A, Katyal T, Swarup I



Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 6 June 18, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Orthopedics, Dongrim Seol, PhD, Research Assistant Professor, Departments of Orthopedics and Rehabilitation, The University of Iowa, Iowa, IA 52242, United States. dongrimseol@uiowa.edu

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Orthopedics (WJO, World J Orthop) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of orthopedics with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of orthopedics and covering a wide range of topics including arthroscopy, bone trauma, bone tumors, hand and foot surgery, joint surgery, orthopedic trauma, osteoarthropathy, osteoporosis, pediatric orthopedics, spinal diseases, spine surgery, and sports medicine.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

WJO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJO as 0.62. The WJO's CiteScore for 2021 is 2.4 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Orthopedics and Sports Medicine is 139/284.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Ying-Yi Yuan, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS	
World Journal of Orthopedics	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204	
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS	
ISSN 2218-5836 (online)	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287	
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH	
November 18, 2010	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240	
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS	
Monthly	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288	
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT	
Massimiliano Leigheb	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208	
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE	
http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242	
PUBLICATION DATE June 18, 2023	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239	
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION	
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com	

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJD

World Journal of **Orthopedics**

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Orthop 2023 June 18; 14(6): 387-398

DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v14.i6.387

ISSN 2218-5836 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study Automated patellar height assessment on high-resolution radiographs with a novel deep learning-based approach

Kamil Kwolek, Dariusz Grzelecki, Konrad Kwolek, Dariusz Marczak, Jacek Kowalczewski, Marcin Tyrakowski

Specialty type: Orthopedics

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B, B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Mahmoud MZ, Saudi Arabia; Mijwil MM, Iraq

Received: February 15, 2023 Peer-review started: February 15, 2023 First decision: March 24, 2023 Revised: April 6, 2023 Accepted: May 6, 2023 Article in press: May 6, 2023

Published online: June 18, 2023



Kamil Kwolek, Marcin Tyrakowski, Department of Spine Disorders and Orthopaedics, Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education, Gruca Orthopaedic and Trauma Teaching Hospital, Otwock 05-400, Poland

Dariusz Grzelecki, Dariusz Marczak, Jacek Kowalczewski, Department of Orthopaedics and Rheumoorthopedics, Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education, Gruca Orthopaedic and Trauma Teaching Hospital, Otwock 05-400, Poland

Konrad Kwolek, Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, University Hospital, Krakow 30-663, Poland

Corresponding author: Kamil Kwolek, MD, Academic Research, Doctor, Surgeon, Department of Spine Disorders and Orthopaedics, Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education, Gruca Orthopaedic and Trauma Teaching Hospital, Konarskiego 13, Otwock 05-400, Poland. kwolekamil@gmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Artificial intelligence and deep learning have shown promising results in medical imaging and interpreting radiographs. Moreover, medical community shows a gaining interest in automating routine diagnostics issues and orthopedic measurements.

AIM

To verify the accuracy of automated patellar height assessment using deep learning-based bone segmentation and detection approach on high resolution radiographs.

METHODS

218 Lateral knee radiographs were included in the analysis. 82 radiographs were utilized for training and 10 other radiographs for validation of a U-Net neural network to achieve required Dice score. 92 other radiographs were used for automatic (U-Net) and manual measurements of the patellar height, quantified by Caton-Deschamps (CD) and Blackburne-Peel (BP) indexes. The detection of required bones regions on high-resolution images was done using a You Only Look Once (YOLO) neural network. The agreement between manual and automatic measurements was calculated using the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and the standard error for single measurement (SEM). To check U-Net's



generalization the segmentation accuracy on the test set was also calculated.

RESULTS

Proximal tibia and patella was segmented with accuracy 95.9% (Dice score) by U-Net neural network on lateral knee subimages automatically detected by the YOLO network (mean Average Precision mAP greater than 0.96). The mean values of CD and BP indexes calculated by orthopedic surgeons (R#1 and R#2) was 0.93 (± 0.19) and 0.89 (± 0.19) for CD and 0.80 (± 0.17) and 0.78 (± 0.17) for BP. Automatic measurements performed by our algorithm for CD and BP indexes were 0.92 (± (0.21) and (0.75) (± 0.19), respectively. Excellent agreement between the orthopedic surgeons' measurements and results of the algorithm has been achieved (ICC > 0.75, SEM < 0.014).

CONCLUSION

Automatic patellar height assessment can be achieved on high-resolution radiographs with the required accuracy. Determining patellar end-points and the joint line-fitting to the proximal tibia joint surface allows for accurate CD and BP index calculations. The obtained results indicate that this approach can be valuable tool in a medical practice.

Key Words: Medical imaging; Artificial intelligence in orthopedics; Patellar index; Deep learning; Bone segmentation; Region of interest detection

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This study presents an accurate method for automatic assessment of patellar height on highresolution lateral knee radiographs. First, You Only Look Once neural network is used to detect patellar and proximal tibial region. Next, U-Net neural network is utilized to segment bones of the detected region. Then, the Caton-Deschamps and Blackburne-Peel indexes are calculated upon patellar end-points and joint line fitted to proximal tibia joint surface. Experimental results show that our approach has the potential to be used as a pre- and postoperative assessment tool.

Citation: Kwolek K, Grzelecki D, Kwolek K, Marczak D, Kowalczewski J, Tyrakowski M. Automated patellar height assessment on high-resolution radiographs with a novel deep learning-based approach. World J Orthop 2023; 14(6): 387-398

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v14/i6/387.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v14.i6.387

INTRODUCTION

Patellofemoral joint (PFJ) disorders are common structural and functional problems that may cause pain and instability leading to joint degeneration. The knee osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the most common diseases and its incidence is increasing due to the aging and obesity[1]. Overall, the treatment costs related to knee OA are substantial. Recent developments in medical informatics and artificial intelligence have led to an increasing number of studies on medical imaging of knee OA, but most of the research is focused on the tibiofemoral joint[2]. However PFJ, a third articulation has received insufficient attention. The assessment of patellar height (PH) is a fundamental parameter in diagnosing PFJ pathologies, selection of appropriate treatment and postoperative evaluation[3]. The standard approach for PH assessment is achieved by measuring ratios on X-ray images in the lateral view [4]. PH abnormalities can be recognized using Insall-Salvati, Blackburne-Peel (BP), and Caton-Deschamps (CD) indexes measured on lateral knee radiographs^[5]. Patella Baja (PB) and pseudo-Patella Baja are common complications after total knee replacement (TKR) and related to poor outcomes[6]. From a therapeutic point of view, pseudo-Patella Baja with the elevated femorotibial joint line without shortening the patellar tendon can be recognized with the lower BP and CD indexes. The CD and BP ratios are also more important in the diagnosis of PB after TKR[6].

Recently, a substantial attention to reliability of these parameters have been devoted, among others, due to increasing importance of PH in knee replacement surgery, tibial osteotomy, and anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction[3,7,8]. However, such routine tasks are tedious, time-consuming, and prone to considerable inter-observer and intraobserver variability[9]. In recent years, deep-learning (DL) algorithms have gained popularity in medicine, particularly in different aspects of orthopedics[10-12]. They have been used for image classification^[13], fracture detection^[14] or osteoarthritis diagnosis^[15]. Moreover, DL algorithms have also been developed for automatic segmentation of bones on X-ray images to estimate hallux-valgus angles, axial alignment[16,17] and skeletal metastases[18]. Bone



segmentation on X-ray images enables calculation of critical radiological parameters. It is a very difficult task^[19], but some work has been done in this area^[20,21].

To the best of our knowledge, there are no papers describing the application of neural networks for segmentation of lateral knee radiographs and measurements of patellar indexes on high-resolution radiographs. The method is automatic as it detects the region of interest (ROI) with the patella and proximal tibia region on a high-resolution radiograph, performs bone segmentation on the cropped ROI and then calculates the patellar indexes. The rest of the article is organized as follows. In the next Section we present our dataset for training You Only Look Once (YOLO) neural network, our dataset for training U-Net neural network, training procedure to achieve assumed Dice score and our algorithm for automated calculation of patellar indexes using segmented bones. In the following Section we present experimental results. The last part is devoted to discussion and conclusions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The overall pipeline of our method is shown in Figure 1. In order to detect ROI on radiographs we trained a YOLO neural network[22]. We have trained a U-Net model for automatic segmentation of bones of interest. The U-Net segmented the patella and tibia bones. On the segmented patella we extracted two landmark points and then fitted a patellar articular joint line to them. A second line has been fitted to the segmented proximal tibial articular surface. These lines have been used to calculate the CD and the BP indexes. The CD and BP indexes estimated in such a way have been compared with indexes calculated by medical doctors. The YOLO and U-Net networks have been trained on data collected and labelled by us. Radiological images were acquired using Shimadzu BR120 X-Ray Stand (Shimadzu Medical Systems, United States) and CDXI Software (Canon, United States).

Data

In this research, retrospective analysis of prospectively collected images was conducted on radiographs from an electronic database acquired in our institution. Patients whose radiographs were included in this study were examined in the authors' institution from January 2019 to April 2021. We collected a dataset for ROI detection and a dataset for bone segmentation. A dataset used for training the YOLO neural network is described below. The flowchart of data collection and methodology of training a U-Net neural network for bone segmentation is depicted in Figure 2 and described in more detail in subsequent paragraphs.

Dataset for training YOLO

The images in our database are high-resolution images, and only a portion of the radiographs contains pixels belonging to the knee and patella, which are required for calculation of BP and CD indexes. Thus, a YOLO neural network has been used to automatically detect the area of interest[23], i.e., the patella and the proximal tibial artricular surface. One thousand radiographs from our database were selected, anonymized and then manually labeled. On each image we manually determined a rectangle surrounding the patella and a second rectangle surrounding the proximal tibial artricular surface. The YOLO neural network has been trained on 900 images and evaluated on 20 images.

Dataset for U-Net training

For training a U-Net neural network we randomly selected semiflexed lateral knee radiographs from the electronic database of our institution (stage A in Figure 1 and in Figure 2). The exclusion criteria were: Radiographs performed with improper rotational positioning, grade III or more osteoarthritis in Kellgren-Lawrence scale^[24] and/or severe axial knee deformations, visible growth plate, artificial elements distorting the image of the bone outline (e.g., osteosynthesis material or knee prosthesis implant), and heterotopic ossifications around the knee joint. The exported input X-ray images have been anonymized and stored in the lossless .png image format (stage B in Figure 2). Afterward, the bones on radiographs were manually labelled by an orthopedic surgeon (tibia and patella) in Adobe Photoshop ver. 22.0 (Adobe Systems, United States). The labelled images were included in the training and validation set (stage C in Figure 2).

Training and validation of U-Net

Bone segmentation has been performed using a U-Net^[25]. The U-Net has been trained on the training set with verification of the accuracy of automatic segmentation (on validation set). The input images with knee area were automatically cropped on the basis of YOLO detections and then scaled to size 512 × 512 (stage B and C in Figure 1). During training, the resized radiographs were fed to the input of the U-Net, whereas corresponding labeled images were fed to the output of the U-Net. Initially, we manually labelled 50 X-rays of which 10 X-rays were included in the validation set to verify the accuracy of bone segmentation, (stage C in Figure 2). The accuracy was assessed using the Dice score[26] (Figure 3).



WJO https://www.wjgnet.com

Kwolek K et al. Automatic PH assessment on high-resolution radiographs



Figure 1 Flowchart of the proposed method. A: Input radiograph; B: Bones detected by the You Only Look Once; C: Detected region of interest, resized to size 512 × 512; D: Segmented bones by the U-Net; E: Landmarks detected on the patella and line fitted to them (cyan), and line fitted to tibial surface (green). YOLO: You Only Look Once; CD: Caton-Deschamps; ROI: Region of interest.



DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v14.i6.387 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 2 Flow diagram of the methodology of data collection and training of the U-Net for bone segmentation. A: Radiograms from database; B: Labeled radiograms for training and validation; C: Split of radiograms into training and validation subsets; D: Conditional block for stop the training; E: Extended training set; F: Trained U-Net model.

Training procedure to achieve assumed Dice score

The validation set did not change during the training [27]. The U-Net was initially trained on a dataset consisting of 40 X-rays, which was then extended by 10 subjects to achieve the assumed segmentation quality (stage D in Figure 2). After every extension (by 10 radiographs), the U-Net was trained on the extended data from scratch, and the Dice score was calculated (on the validation set). If it was lower than 90%, the extension of the dataset and training of the network were repeated. If Dice score \geq 90% was achieved, single radiographs were added to the training subset to gain a Dice score \geq 95% (with minimal Dice score $\ge 90\%$ for each subject (stage E in Figure 2). After the training, we obtained the final U-Net model and final training set (stage F in Figure 2, stage B in Figure 4). The final U-Net model was utilized to segment bones on the test subset. On the segmented bones we determined the characteristic points as well as necessary lines in order to calculate the patellar height and the indexes (stage D and E in Figure 1, stage C in Figure 4). The person responsible for training and evaluating the neural network has not participated in the manual calculation of the patellar indexes and has not seen the results before the final analysis.



WJO https://www.wjgnet.com



DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v14.i6.387 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 3 Dice score calculation used to asses bone segmentation performance.



DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v14.i6.387 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 4 Flow diagram of patient selection and attaining the required segmentation score. A: Training and evaluation of U-Net; B: Final U-Net model; C: Calculation of blackburne-peel and Caton-Deschamps indexes; D: Statistical analysis; E: Final Dice score. CD: Caton-Deschamps; BP: Blackburne-peel; ICC: Interclass correlation coefficient; SEM: Single measurement.

Algorithm for automated calculation of patellar indexes using segmented bones

The outcomes of the U-Net model (output images with segmented bones - tibia and patella) were used to determine characteristic points and lines (stage E in Figure 1). Articular surface of proximal tibia was automatically determined. Firstly, the anterior corner of the tibial plateau and posterior end-point were determined. Given such boundaries, a line to the points of the proximal tibia joint surface has been fitted. This way the joint line was determined on the basis of many boundary points. An alternative approach would be to determine the joint line. In contrast to the approach mentioned above, in our approach the joint line is determined more robustly, *i.e.*, on the basis of large number of boundary points segmented by the U-Net. Afterwards, the superior and inferior patellar articular surface endpoints have been determined through simple image analysis, and then used to determine the second line. Finally, these characteristic points and joint lines were automatically used to calculate the CD and the BP[28]. The calculation of the characteristic points and joint lines was done on images with height/ with ratio equal to ROI ratio. The novelty of this research is an innovative approach to estimating joint lines based on the line fitting using automatic bone segmentation (more accurate than method based on the characteristic points. c.f. Discussion Section).

Automatic and manual measurements

We used 92 randomly selected another lateral knee radiographs, collected from the authors' institutional electronic database for the automatic and manual calculations of patellar indexes (stage F in Figure 1; stage C in Figure 4). We carefully examined if there is no overlap of patients (or images) in train/valid and test datasets. Like Lee *et al*[27], we did not create a test set until we had developed the final U-Net model (stage F in Figure 2; stage from B to C in Figure 4). According to Zou *et al*[29], we assumed a minimal number of subjects to estimate the agreement of the measurements between the two methods as 46.

Comparison of measurements

Automatic and manual measurements were performed on 92 X-rays. The computer-generated results were compared with manual measurements performed independently by two orthopedic surgeons (6 and 38 years of experience, stage C in Figure 4). According to the guidelines[30,31], two orthopedic surgeons performed manual measurements of the CD and BP patellar height indexes. They used



Carestream Software ver.12.0 (Carestream Health, United States).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistica 13.1 Software (Tibco Software, United States) and Microsoft Excel 2019 (Microsoft, United States). The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and the standard error for a single measurement (SEM) were calculated for statistical assessment of reliability and compliance of the measurements stage (D in Figure 4). The ICC of 0.4 demonstrates poor, between 0.4 and 0.75 good, and more than 0.75 indicates excellent reliability of the measurements[32].

Accuracy of the bone segmentation of the final U-Net model

The accuracy of bone segmentation (of the final U-Net model) was assessed on the test set to check if the neural network had sufficient generalization capability (whether U-Net could achieve satisfactory results on unknown radiographs). For this evaluation, the X-rays in the test set were manually labelled by the orthopedic surgeon. We compared the Dice scores between the automatically and manually segmented bones (stage E in Figure 4).

Details of image pre-processing, architecture of neural network, and training

Image pre-processing: The labelled images were used for training a U-Net neural network. We designed a U-Net neural network that operates on input images of size 512 × 512 and output images of 512×512 with segmented bones. Small holes in automatically segmented bones that can arise from imperfect segmentation were automatically filed.

The architecture and training of neural network

Each U-Net encoder and decoder contained four layers. It has been trained by optimizing Dice loss in 60 epochs using Adam optimizer, learning rate equal to 0.0001, batch size set to 2. During the training a data augmentation consisting of vertical mirroring of images and scaling of images have been executed. The neural network has been trained on the notebook's GPU (RTX3070 GPU, 6GB RAM). Phyton language was utilized to implement the whole algorithm, the U-Net as well as the YOLO (using Keras API).

RESULTS

At the beginning we evaluated the performance of the trained YOLO in detecting the ROI. We determined mean Average Precision (mAP) on 20 test images. The mAP was equal to 0.962. Figure 5 depicts the detected areas on high-resolution radiographs using YOLO. The rectangles representing the bones of interest were then used to calculate the ROIs.

Finally, 184 randomly selected lateral knee radiographs of the 218 (initially prepared database) were included for the analysis. The mean age of patients was $61.9 (\pm 17.8)$ years. There were 65 males (35%)and 119 females (65%). The demographic data of the subgroups of patients are presented in Table 1.

Training and validation of the U-Net

The final training set consisted of 82 X-ray images, as well as 10 X-rays in the validation set (which did not change during the training). To compare the reliability of automatic and manual measurements, 92 randomly selected lateral radiographs of the knee in the test set were used.

Comparison of automated and manual measurements

The mean value of CD indexes calculated by orthopedic surgeon (R#1 and R#2) was 0.93 (± 0.19) and $0.89 (\pm 0.19)$. For BP indexes it was $0.80 (\pm 0.17)$ and $0.78 (\pm 0.17)$. Automatic measurements performed by the artificial intelligence (AI) for CD index was 0.92 (\pm 0.21) and for BP index was 0.75 (\pm 0.19) (Table 2).

The accuracy of image segmentation performed on the test set was 95.9% (± 1.26). The range of Dice score for individual X-ray images varied from 90% to 97.8% (Figure 6). This result demonstrates that even on a small amount of training data, it is possible to achieve a satisfactory segmentation quality with good generalization on unknown test radiographs that can be used in everyday clinical practice. Our research findings align with Ronneberger et al's conclusions, which pointed out that it is possible to train the U-Net model on very few annotated images[25]. On Google Colab (using CPU) the time needed for the measurement of the patella height on 20 radiographs was equal to about 240 s.

DISCUSSION

This proposed method uses a U-Net to segment bones making the patellofemoral joint and subsequently measures the Caton-Deshamps and Blackburne-Peel patellar height indexes. Our study revealed that



Table 1 Demographic information among the training and testing groups						
	Total (<i>n</i> = 184)	Training group (<i>n</i> = 82)	Validation group (<i>n</i> = 10)	Testing group (<i>n</i> = 92)		
Males/Females	65/119	26/56	4/6	35/57		
Left/Right knee	99/85	47/35	3/7	49/43		
Age (yr)	61.9 ± 17.83	64.0 ± 17.62	53.7 ± 11.21	61.1 ± 18.37		

Table 2 The results of patellar height indexes calculated by two orthopedic surgeons and those achieved by artificial intelligence (based on a deep learning algorithm and neural network)

	CD index	BP index
Orthopedic surgeon (R#1)	0.93 ± 0.19	0.80 ± 0.17
Orthopedic surgeon (R#2)	0.89 ± 0.19	0.78 ± 0.17
Artificial intelligence	0.92 ± 0.21	0.75 ± 0.19

R#1: Senior specialist; R#2: Younger specialist; CD: Caton-deschamps; BP: Blackburne-Peel. Results of indexes are presented as means ± SD.



DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v14.i6.387 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 5 Detected bones (patella and proximal tibia) on high-resolution radiographs using You Only Look Once.

automatic bone segmentation of lateral knee radiographs can be performed with the Dice score of 95.9% (\pm 1.26). Additionally, a novel approach to automatic estimation of patellar indexes has been proposed. The key points and lines needed for measuring the patellar height indexes were automatically determined based on automatically segmented patella and tibia. Excellent reliability between AI calculations and those performed by orthopedic surgeons for CD [R#1 *vs* AI: ICC = 0.86 (\pm 0.38), SEM = 0.015; R#2 *vs* AI: ICC = 0.80 (\pm 0.33), SEM = 0.013] and BP [R#1 *vs* AI: ICC = 0.88 (\pm 0.38), SEM = 0.015; R#2 *vs* AI: ICC = 0.79 (\pm 0.32), SEM = 0.014] were obtained (Table 3, Figure 7).

For comparison, a method for patella segmentation[20] uses the principal component analysis to construct a patella shape model and a dual-optimization approach with genetic algorithm and Active Shape Model to fit the model to the patella boundary. The method has been tested on 20 images. However, this method segments only the patella and requires manually cropped images in which the patella occupies considerable part of the images. In a recently proposed method[21], a convolutional neural network was used to detect landmark points to measure patellar indexes. The detection was performed by a pretrained VGG-16 neural network. It has been trained and evaluated on 916 and 102 images, respectively, with manually annotated landmarks. The method has been evaluated on 400 radiographs. The ICC, Pearson correlation coefficient, mean absolute difference, Root Mean Square and Bland-Altman plots have been calculated to compare this method with manual measurements. However, the method operates on images with the patella and the proximal tibial articular occupying considerable part of the images, *i.e.* it is not fully automatic as the ROI was manually cropped from the high-resolution x-ray images. Moreover, only radiographs with clear patellar height landmarks have been utilized in experiments.

Table 3 Reliability assessed with the use of interclass correlation coefficient (± SD) and single measurement among the interobserver measurements

	ICC	SEM
CD index		
R#1 vs AI	0.86 ± 0.38	0.015
R#2 vs AI	0.88 ± 0.38	0.015
BP index		
R#1 vs AI	0.80 ± 0.33	0.013
R#2 vs AI	0.79 ± 0.32	0.014

R#1: Senior specialist; R#2: Younger specialist; AI: Artificial intelligence; SEM: Single measurement; CD: Caton-deschamps.



Figure 6 Distribution of dice score results for test images (92 subjects).

The method of Ye *et al*[21] relies on keypoints, which needs far bigger number of annotated radiographs for training the network. In contrast, our work is in line with recent research direction which focuses on training deep models on small datasets. It is worth noting that this is an important aspect as acquiring and labeling medical data is expensive, among others due to privacy concerns. In contrast to keypoint-based methods, our method relies on a large number of boundary points, making it more resistant to outliers and errors (through boundary-aware analysis). Our initial experimental results show that even in the case of training a neural network for keypoints regression on several times larger number of labeled images, the errors are much larger in comparison to the errors of our method. The method is fully automatic as the YOLO detects bones making the patellofemoral joint on high-resolution images, U-Net segments the bones, a line is fitted to the segmented proximal tibial articular surface, and a second line passing through two landmarks detected on the segmented patella are determined. Then they are used to calculate the patellar height indexes. However, good technical execution and sufficient quality of the input images are mandatory to obtain reliable results for automatic measurements. In some specific cases, additional hardware (screws, plates), heterotopic ossifications, large osteophytes that distort the bone outline and oblique view (instead of proper lateral), may slightly reduce quality of bone segmentation, which is the main limitation of this method. We agree with Zheng *et al*[33], who emphasized that absolute errors may be reduced by increasing the number of subjects in the training group.

Strengths, limitations and future work

Our algorithm for automatic measurements of patellar indexes permits the evaluation of indexes on



WJO https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 7 Bland-Altman plots illustrating the differences between R#1 vs artificial intelligence (AI) and R#2 vs AI for Caton-Deschamps and Blackburne-Peel indexes. Continuous lines represent a mean (bias), dashed lines represent +2 and -2 SD values. BP: Blackburne-peel; CD: Catondeschamps; AI: Artificial intelligence.

high data volume. Taking into account that the proposed algorithm allows measurements on highresolution radiographs, low effort is needed to get patellar indexes, *i.e.* no manual cropping of knee region is required in contrast to the previous methods. One of the advantage of the proposed method is that relatively small amount of manually labelled bones on images is needed to achieve reliable bone segmentation. Although, higher number of manual annotations for training YOLO responsible for knee detection is needed, the labelling of knee regions can be done in relatively short time. This study has some limitations. Firstly, in the current study, the ROIs that were determined on the basis of YOLO detections were resized to size required by the U-Net, *i.e.*, 512 × 512. This means that the keypoints and lines were determined on images with somewhat smaller resolution than the original radiographs. Secondly, the accuracy of the results depends on: The manual segmentation performed by the researcher during the training phase; the amount and quality of training data, and the architecture of the applied neural network. In current work, the training of neural networks and evaluation of the algorithm was performed on images acquired in a single institution, *i.e.* our hospital. Thus, further work is needed to collect radiographs from various hospitals to train networks and asses accuracy of the algorithm on radiograms acquired by different devices. Moreover, the input images may have different levels of intensity and quality. These technical aspects should be emphasized and resolved in medical centers that will implement the algorithm for automatic measurement of patellar indexes. In future work we are planning to combine boundary-aware analysis with landmark-based DL measurements. We also plan to extend the U-Net and compare it with recent networks for image segmentation. Additionally images from different hospitals will be used in the research.

CONCLUSION

The aim of this study was to investigate the reliability of automated patellar height estimation using DLbased bone segmentation and detection on high-resolution images. It showed that reliable automatic patellar height measurements can be achieved on lateral knee radiographs with the accuracy required for the clinical practice. We demonstrated that proximal tibia and patella bones can be segmented precisely (Dice score greater than 95%) by U-Net neural network on knee regions automatically detected by the YOLO network (mean Average Precision mAP greater than 0.96). Determining patellar endpoints and the joint line by fitting to points of the proximal tibia joint surface enables calculating the



Caton-Deschamps and Blackburne-Peel indexes with very good reliability. Automated measurements are comparable to measurements performed by orthopedic surgeons (SEM greater than 0.75). Experimental results indicate that our approach can be valuable as a pre-operative and potentially as a postoperative assessment tool for big volume data analysis in medical practice.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Recent advancements in artificial intelligence and deep learning have contributed to the development of medical imaging techniques, leading to better interpretation of radiographs. Moreover, there is an increasing interest in automating routine diagnostic activities and orthopedic measurements.

Research motivation

The automation of patellar height assessment using deep learning-based bone segmentation and detection on high-resolution radiographs could provide a valuable tool in medical practice.

Research objectives

The aim of this study was to verify the accuracy of automated patellar height assessment using a U-Net neural network and to determine the agreement between manual and automatic measurements.

Research methods

Proximal tibia and patella was segmented by U-Net neural network on lateral knee subimages automatically detected by the You Only Look Once (YOLO) network. The patellar height was quantified by Caton-Deschamps and Blackburne-Peel indexes. The interclass correlation coefficient and standard error for single measurement were used to calculate agreement between manual and automatic measurements

Research results

Proximal tibia and patella were segmented with 95.9% accuracy by the U-Net neural network on lateral knee subimages automatically detected by the YOLO network (mean Average Precision mAP greater than 0.96). Excellent agreement achieved between manual and automatic measurements for both indexes (interclass correlation coefficient > 0.75, SEM < 0.014).

Research conclusions

Automatic patellar height assessment can be achieved with high accuracy on high-resolution radiographs. Proximal tibia and patella can be segmented precisely by U-Net neural network on lateral knee subimages automatically detected by the YOLO network. Determining patellar endpoints and fitting the line to the proximal tibia joint surface enables accurate Caton-Deschamps and BP index calculations, making it a valuable tool in medical practice.

Research perspectives

Future research can focus on the clinical implementation of this automated method, which has the potential to enhance diagnostic accuracy, reduce human error, and improve patient outcomes.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Kwolek Ka, Grzelecki D, Tyrakowski M designed research; Kwolek Ka, Kwolek Ko performed research; Kwolek Ka, Kwolek Ko elaborated analytic tools, Kwolek Ka, Tyrakowski M, Kowalczewski J, Marczak D analyzed data; Kwolek Ka, Dariusz G, Kwolek Ko, Tyrakowski M wrote the paper.

Institutional review board statement: This study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Bioethics Committee of the authors' institution (No.133/PB/2020).

Institutional animal care and use committee statement: No animals were used in the study.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflict of interest concerning the materials or methods used in this study or the findings specified in this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

ARRIVE guidelines statement: The authors have read the ARRIVE guidelines, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the ARRIVE guidelines.



Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Poland

ORCID number: Kamil Kwolek 0000-0003-3766-425X; Dariusz Grzelecki 0000-0003-1812-7061; Konrad Kwolek 0000-0002-7264-402X; Dariusz Marczak 0000-0002-4652-9837; Jacek Kowalczewski 0000-0002-4977-3923; Marcin Tyrakowski 0000-0001-9781-8754.

S-Editor: Ma YJ L-Editor: A P-Editor: Ma YJ

REFERENCES

- Louati K, Vidal C, Berenbaum F, Sellam J. Association between diabetes mellitus and osteoarthritis: systematic literature 1 review and meta-analysis. RMD Open 2015; 1: e000077 [PMID: 26535137 DOI: 10.1136/rmdopen-2015-000077]
- Kokkotis C, Moustakidis S, Papageorgiou E, Giakas G, Tsaopoulos DE. Machine learning in knee osteoarthritis: A 2 review. Osteoarthr Cartil Open 2020; 2: 100069 [PMID: 36474688 DOI: 10.1016/j.ocarto.2020.100069]
- Salem KH, Sheth MR. Variables affecting patellar height in patients undergoing primary total knee replacement. Int 3 Orthop 2021; 45: 1477-1482 [PMID: 33277664 DOI: 10.1007/s00264-020-04890-6]
- Cabral F, Sousa-Pinto B, Pinto R, Torres J. Patellar Height After Total Knee Arthroplasty: Comparison of 3 Methods. J 4 Arthroplasty 2017; 32: 552-557.e2 [PMID: 27642043 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2016.07.013]
- Yue RA, Arendt EA, Tompkins MA. Patellar Height Measurements on Radiograph and Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Patellar Instability and Control Patients. J Knee Surg 2017; 30: 943-950 [PMID: 28282674 DOI: 10.1055/s-0037-15992491
- 6 Xu B, Xu WX, Lu D, Sheng HF, Xu XW, Ding WG. Application of different patella height indices in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. J Orthop Surg Res 2017; 12: 191 [PMID: 29233153 DOI: 10.1186/s13018-017-0694-9]
- Degnan AJ, Maldjian C, Adam RJ, Fu FH, Di Domenica M. Comparison of Insall-Salvati ratios in children with an acute anterior cruciate ligament tear and a matched control population. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2015; 204: 161-166 [PMID: 25539252 DOI: 10.2214/AJR.13.12435]
- Portner O. High tibial valgus osteotomy: closing, opening or combined? Patellar height as a determining factor. Clin 8 Orthop Relat Res 2014; 472: 3432-3440 [PMID: 25070919 DOI: 10.1007/s11999-014-3821-5]
- Picken S, Summers H, Al-Dadah O. Inter- and intra-observer reliability of patellar height measurements in patients with 9 and without patellar instability on plain radiographs and magnetic resonance imaging. Skeletal Radiol 2022; 51: 1201-1214 [PMID: 34718849 DOI: 10.1007/s00256-021-03937-y]
- 10 Larson DB, Chen MC, Lungren MP, Halabi SS, Stence NV, Langlotz CP. Performance of a Deep-Learning Neural Network Model in Assessing Skeletal Maturity on Pediatric Hand Radiographs. Radiology 2018; 287: 313-322 [PMID: 29095675 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2017170236]
- Tiulpin A, Thevenot J, Rahtu E, Lehenkari P, Saarakkala S. Automatic Knee Osteoarthritis Diagnosis from Plain 11 Radiographs: A Deep Learning-Based Approach. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 1727 [PMID: 29379060 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-20132-7]
- 12 Aggarwal K, Mijwil MM, Grag S, Al-Mistarehi AH, Alomari S, Gök M, Zein Alaabdin AM, Abdulrhman SH. Has the Future Started? The Current Growth of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning. Iraqi Journal for Computer Science and Mathematics3: 115-123 [DOI: 10.52866/ijcsm.2022.01.01.013]
- Tanzi L, Vezzetti E, Moreno R, Aprato A, Audisio A, Massè A. Hierarchical fracture classification of proximal femur X-13 Ray images using a multistage Deep Learning approach. Eur J Radiol 2020; 133: 109373 [PMID: 33126175 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2020.109373]
- Kuo RYL, Harrison C, Curran TA, Jones B, Freethy A, Cussons D, Stewart M, Collins GS, Furniss D. Artificial 14 Intelligence in Fracture Detection: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Radiology 2022; 304: 50-62 [PMID: 35348381 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.211785]
- Tiwari A, Poduval M, Bagaria V. Evaluation of artificial intelligence models for osteoarthritis of the knee using deep 15 learning algorithms for orthopedic radiographs. World J Orthop 2022; 13: 603-614 [PMID: 35949704 DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v13.i6.603]
- Kwolek K, Liszka H, Kwolek B, Gadek A. Measuring the Angle of Hallux Valgus Using Segmentation of Bones on X-16 Ray Images. Artificial Neural Networks and Machine Learning. ICANN 2019. In: Munich, Germany, 11731: 313-325
- Kwolek K, Brychcy A, Kwolek B, Marczynski W. Measuring Lower Limb Alignment and Joint Orientation Using Deep 17 Learning Based Segmentation of Bones. Hybrid Artificial Intelligent Systems. HAIS 2019 In: Leon, Spain 2019; 11734: 514-525
- Lindgren Belal S, Sadik M, Kaboteh R, Enqvist O, Ulén J, Poulsen MH, Simonsen J, Høilund-Carlsen PF, Edenbrandt L, 18 Trägårdh E. Deep learning for segmentation of 49 selected bones in CT scans: First step in automated PET/CT-based 3D quantification of skeletal metastases. Eur J Radiol 2019; 113: 89-95 [PMID: 30927965 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2019.01.028]



- Wu J, Mahfouz MR. Robust x-ray image segmentation by spectral clustering and active shape model. J Med Imaging 19 (Bellingham) 2016; 3: 034005 [PMID: 27660806 DOI: 10.1117/1.JMI.3.3.034005]
- Chen HC, Wu CH, Lin CJ, Liu YH, Sun YN. Automated segmentation for patella from lateral knee X-ray images. Annu 20 Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc 2009; 2009: 3553-3556 [PMID: 19963588 DOI: 10.1109/IEMBS.2009.5332588]
- 21 Ye Q, Shen Q, Yang W, Huang S, Jiang Z, He L, Gong X. Development of automatic measurement for patellar height based on deep learning and knee radiographs. Eur Radiol 2020; 30: 4974-4984 [PMID: 32328760 DOI: 10.1007/s00330-020-06856-z
- Floyd C, Ni H, Gunaratne RS, Erban R, Papoian GA. On Stretching, Bending, Shearing, and Twisting of Actin Filaments 22 I: Variational Models. J Chem Theory Comput 2022; 18: 4865-4878 [PMID: 35895330 DOI: 10.1021/acs.jctc.2c00318]
- Lawal MO. Tomato detection based on modified YOLOv3 framework. Sci Rep 2021; 11: 1447 [PMID: 33446897 DOI: 23 10.1038/s41598-021-81216-5
- Kohn MD, Sassoon AA, Fernando ND. Classifications in Brief: Kellgren-Lawrence Classification of Osteoarthritis. Clin 24 Orthop Relat Res 2016; 474: 1886-1893 [PMID: 26872913 DOI: 10.1007/s11999-016-4732-4]
- Ronneberger O, Fischer P, Brox T. U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation. Proceedings 25 Paper. Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention - MICCAI 2015, 2015; Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, Thomas Brox 9351: 234-241
- Baskaran L, Al'Aref SJ, Maliakal G, Lee BC, Xu Z, Choi JW, Lee SE, Sung JM, Lin FY, Dunham S, Mosadegh B, Kim 26 YJ, Gottlieb I, Lee BK, Chun EJ, Cademartiri F, Maffei E, Marques H, Shin S, Choi JH, Chinnaiyan K, Hadamitzky M, Conte E, Andreini D, Pontone G, Budoff MJ, Leipsic JA, Raff GL, Virmani R, Samady H, Stone PH, Berman DS, Narula J, Bax JJ, Chang HJ, Min JK, Shaw LJ. Automatic segmentation of multiple cardiovascular structures from cardiac computed tomography angiography images using deep learning. PLoS One 2020; 15: e0232573 [PMID: 32374784 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0232573]
- Lee H, Yune S, Mansouri M, Kim M, Tajmir SH, Guerrier CE, Ebert SA, Pomerantz SR, Romero JM, Kamalian S, 27 Gonzalez RG, Lev MH, Do S. An explainable deep-learning algorithm for the detection of acute intracranial haemorrhage from small datasets. Nat Biomed Eng 2019; 3: 173-182 [PMID: 30948806 DOI: 10.1038/s41551-018-0324-9]
- White AE, Otlans PT, Horan DP, Calem DB, Emper WD, Freedman KB, Tjoumakaris FP. Radiologic Measurements in 28 the Assessment of Patellar Instability: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Orthop J Sports Med 2021; 9: 2325967121993179 [PMID: 34095324 DOI: 10.1177/2325967121993179]
- Zou KH, Warfield SK, Bharatha A, Tempany CM, Kaus MR, Haker SJ, Wells WM 3rd, Jolesz FA, Kikinis R. Statistical 29 validation of image segmentation quality based on a spatial overlap index. Acad Radiol 2004; 11: 178-189 [PMID: 14974593 DOI: 10.1016/s1076-6332(03)00671-8]
- 30 Portner O, Pakzad H. The evaluation of patellar height: a simple method. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2011; 93: 73-80 [PMID: 21209271 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.I.01689]
- Caton J, Deschamps G, Chambat P, Lerat JL, Dejour H. [Patella infera. Apropos of 128 cases]. Rev Chir Orthop 31 Reparatrice Appar Mot 1982; 68: 317-325 [PMID: 6216535]
- Zou GY. Sample size formulas for estimating intraclass correlation coefficients with precision and assurance. Stat Med 32 2012; 31: 3972-3981 [PMID: 22764084 DOI: 10.1002/sim.5466]
- 33 Zheng Q, Shellikeri S, Huang H, Hwang M, Sze RW. Deep Learning Measurement of Leg Length Discrepancy in Children Based on Radiographs. Radiology 2020; 296: 152-158 [PMID: 32315267 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2020192003]



WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

