

## Anaesthesia and pancreatic surgery: Techniques, clinical practice and pain management

Maurizio Marandola, Alida Albante

Maurizio Marandola, Alida Albante, Department of Cardiovascular, Respiratory, Nephrologic, Anesthesiologic and Geriatric Sciences, "Sapienza" University, 00161 Rome, Italy

Author contributions: All authors contributed to this work.

Correspondence to: Maurizio Marandola, MD, Department of Cardiovascular, Respiratory, Nephrologic, Anesthesiologic and Geriatric Sciences, "Sapienza" University, Policlinico Umberto I, Viale del Policlinico 155, 00161 Rome, Italy. [maurizio.marandola@uniroma1.it](mailto:maurizio.marandola@uniroma1.it)

Telephone: +39-6-49972692 Fax: +39-6-49972595

Received: June 23, 2013 Revised: August 12, 2013

Accepted: August 28, 2013

Published online: March 27, 2014

### Abstract

Pancreatic cancer continues to pose a major public health concern. The incidence of the disease is nearly equivalent to the death rate associated with the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. Thus, there exists a need for continued improvement in the diagnostic, therapeutic and palliative care of these patients. There have been significant advances made over the years in the areas of critical care, anesthesia, and surgical technique, which have led to improved mortality rates and survival after resection for pancreatic cancer. Resections are performed with the goals of negative margins and minimal blood loss and referral to high-volume centers and surgeons is encouraged. However, 5-year survival rate after curative resection still remains at less than 20%. Perioperative management of pancreatic and periampullary cancer poses a considerable challenge to the pancreatic surgeon, anesthesiologist and the intensive care team. Major morbidity is often secondary to pancreatic anastomotic leakage and fistula or infection. The anesthesiologist plays a crucial role in the perioperative management of such patients and in the pain control. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma has a high rate of neural invasion (80%-100%) and can be associated with moderate to severe pain. In the recent past, new information has emerged on many is-

ues including preoperative biliary drainage, nutritional support, cardiovascular assessment, perioperative fluid therapy and hemodynamic optimization. Careful patient selection and appropriate preoperative evaluation can greatly contribute to a favorable outcome after major pancreatic resections.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited. All rights reserved.

**Key words:** Pancreatic cancer; General anesthesia; Epidural anesthesia; Pain management; Pancreaticoduodenectomy; Perioperative optimization

**Core tip:** The aim of this editorial is to provide, from anaesthesiological point of view, practical recommendations for management of patients with pancreatic cancer.

Marandola M, Albante A. Anaesthesia and pancreatic surgery: Techniques, clinical practice and pain management. *World J Anesthesiol* 2014; 3(1): 1-11 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-6182/full/v3/i1/1.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5313/wja.v3.i1.1>

### EPIDEMIOLOGY

Surgery for pancreatic cancer (PC) is widely viewed as a complex procedure associated with considerable perioperative morbidity and mortality<sup>[1,2]</sup>. There is consensus that patients with distant metastases or local invasion of the surrounding organs are usually not surgical candidates. A decision analysis demonstrated that the best strategy to assess tumor respectability was based on computed tomography (CT) as an initial test and the use of endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) to confirm the results of respectability by CT<sup>[3]</sup>. Laparoscopic ultrasonography has been introduced as an additional procedure to in-

**Table 1 Risk-reduction strategies**

Preoperative
Encourage cessation of cigarette smoking for at least 8 wk
Treat airflow obstruction in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or asthma
Administer antibiotics and delay surgery if respiratory infection is present
Begin patient education regarding lung-expansion maneuvers
Intraoperative
Limit duration of surgery to less than 3 h
Use epidural or blended anesthesia
Use laparoscopic procedures when possible
Substitute less ambitious procedure for upper abdominal or thoracic surgery when possible
Postoperative
Use deep-breathing exercises or incentive spirometry
Use continuous positive airway pressure
Use epidural analgesia
Use intercostal nerve blocks

crease the detection of intrahepatic metastases, identify enlarged and suspicious lymph nodes and to evaluate local growth in the vascular structures<sup>[4]</sup>. Surgery for the PC can be considered an high-risk surgery<sup>[5]</sup>. The American Society of Anesthesiologists score is widely used and easy to apply, but excludes age from its risk analysis<sup>[6]</sup>. Age is securely one of the most important, if not the single most predictive, risk factor for morbidity and mortality after major surgery, including major pancreatic surgery<sup>[7]</sup>.

## PREANESTHETIC CONSIDERATIONS

The objectives of the preanesthetic evaluation include establishing a doctor-patient relationship, becoming familiar with the surgical illness and coexisting medical conditions, developing a management strategy for perioperative anesthetic care and obtaining informed consent for the anesthetic plan.

### History of smoking

The risk of PC in smokers ranks second to lung cancer and is proportionate to the frequency, duration and cumulative smoking dose<sup>[8,9]</sup>. The patients who smoke have an increased risk of intra- and postoperative complications, particularly of a pulmonary or cardiovascular nature, compared with nonsmoking patients<sup>[10,11]</sup>. As carbon monoxide (CO) preferentially binds to hemoglobin in place of oxygen, the short-term effects of cigarette smoking include elevated blood CO levels that result in a 3%-12% reduction of oxygen availability in the peripheral vascular district<sup>[12]</sup>. Moreover, nicotine stimulates a surgical stress response with increase in heart rate, arterial blood pressure and peripheral vascular resistance. Postoperative pulmonary complications are an important part of the risk of surgery and prolong the hospital stay by an average of 1-2 wk. A careful history taking and physical examination are the most important parts of preoperative pulmonary risk assessment. One should seek an history of exercise intolerance, chronic cough or dyspnea.

The physical examination may identify decreased breath sounds, dullness to percussion, wheezes, rhonchi and a prolonged expiratory phase that can predict an increase in the risk of pulmonary complications<sup>[13]</sup>. The value of routine preoperative pulmonary testing remains controversial. There is consensus that such testing should be performed selectively in patients undergoing no-lung resection. It has been suggested that an increased risk of pulmonary complications is associated with a forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV<sub>1</sub>) or forced vital capacity (FVC) of less than 70% of the predicted value or a ratio of FEV<sub>1</sub> to FVC of less than 65%<sup>[14]</sup>. A partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide greater than 45 mm Hg can't be considered as a risk factor for pulmonary complications. Several strategies can be adopted in the perioperative period reducing the risks of complications (Table 1).

### Diabetes

Nearly 80% of PC patients have either frank diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance. Diabetes is usually diagnosed either concomitantly or during the two years preceding the diagnosis<sup>[15,16]</sup>. The link between abnormal glucose and PC exists only for type II diabetes. Better glycemic control in diabetic patients undergoing major surgery has been shown to improve perioperative mortality and morbidity. Diabetics are at increased risk of myocardial ischemia, cerebrovascular infarction and renal ischemia because of their increased incidence of coronary artery disease, arterial atheroma and renal parenchymal disease. Increased mortality is found in all diabetics undergoing surgery and type I diabetics are particularly at risk of post-operative complications. Increased wound complications are associated with diabetes and anastomotic healing is severely impaired when glycemic control is poor<sup>[17-19]</sup>. The immediate perioperative problems facing the diabetic patient are: (1) surgical induction of the stress response with catabolic hormone secretion; (2) interruption of food intake, which will be prolonged in PC surgery; and (3) circulatory disturbances associated with anesthesia and surgery, which may alter the absorption of subcutaneous insulin. Surgery evokes the "stress response", that is the secretion of catecholamine, cortisol, growth hormone and, in some case, glucagon. These hormones oppose glucose homeostasis, as they have anti-insulin and hyperglycemic effects. Although diabetics need increased insulin during the perioperative period, requirements for glucose and insulin in this period are unpredictable and close monitoring is essential, especially in the unconscious or sedated patients. The main concern for the anesthetist in the perioperative management of diabetic patients has been the avoidance of harmful hypoglycemia; mild hyperglycemia has tended to be seen as acceptable. High-dose opiate anesthetic techniques produce not only hemodynamic, but also hormonal and metabolic stability. Abolition of the catabolic hormonal response to surgery will abolish the hyperglycemia seen in normal patients and may be of benefit in the diabetic

**Table 2** Continuous insulin infusion protocol

Initiating CII:	
Prepare solution: 1 unit per 1 mL of 0.9% normal saline	
Start CII when blood glucose level $\geq 140$ mg/dL ( $\times 2$ )	
Patients with known diabetes treated with insulin can start CII when blood glucose $\geq 70$ mg/dL	
Initial rate: divide blood glucose level (mg/dL) by 100, then round to nearest 0.5 UI	
Insulin infusion rate change:	
BG (mg/dL) instructions:	
> 200	$\uparrow$ rate by 2 UI/h
> 160-200	$\uparrow$ rate by 1.0 UI/h
> 120-160	$\uparrow$ rate by 0.5 UI/h
80-120	No change in rate
60-80	If < 10% lower BG, rate by 1 UI/h
	Check BG within 30 min
	If > 10% lower BG, 2 rate by 50%
	Check BG within 30 min
< 60	Stop infusion (give IV dextrose 12.5 g IV bolus)
	Check BG within 30 min. When BG > 100 mg/dL, restart infusion at 50% of previous rate
Patient monitoring:	
Check capillary blood glucose every hour until it is within goal range for 2 h, and then decrease to every 2 h	
Hourly monitoring may be indicated for critically ill patients even if they have stable blood glucose	
If a patient is eating, hourly blood glucose monitoring is necessary for at least 3 h after eating	
Decrease insulin infusion rate by 50% if nutritional therapy (e.g., total parenteral nutrition or tube feeds) are discontinued or significantly reduced	

CII: Continuous insulin infusion; UI: 1 unit; BG: Blood glucose.

patients. Tight metabolic control in the perioperative period is imperative and is a goal which is attainable in most patients. IV infusion of insulin is the standard therapy for the perioperative management of diabetes, especially in type 1 diabetic patients and patients with type 2 diabetes undergoing major procedure<sup>[20]</sup>. Recently, several insulin infusion protocols have been reported in the literature. Two main methods of insulin delivery have been used either combining insulin with glucose and potassium in the same bag (GIK regimen) or giving insulin separately with an infusion pump. The GIK is initiated at a rate of 100 mL/h in a solution of 500 mL of 10% dextrose, 10 mmol of potassium, and 15 UI of insulin. Adjustments in the insulin dose are made in 5 UI increments according to blood glucose measurements performed at least every 2 h. The combined GIK infusion is efficient, safe, and effective but does not permit selective adjustment of insulin delivery without changing the bag. Separate continuous glucose and insulin infusions are used more frequently than the glucose-potassium-insulin infusion<sup>[21-24]</sup>. A proposed regimen for separate IV insulin infusion for perioperative diabetes management is shown in Table 2.

### Nutritional status

Malnourished patients who require major operations are predisposed to infectious complications and poor outcome. A low preoperative body mass index (BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup>) may be regarded as an overall indicator of the size of the patient's reserves; a BMI < 20 kg/m<sup>2</sup> is an accepted indicator of malnutrition. However, it has been recognized that acutely malnourished patients may still have a normal or even elevated BMI. Serum protein markers such as albumin (for evaluating long-term nutritional status) and prealbumin (for evaluating acute responses to nutritional support) have been shown to be useful addi-

tional measurements for assessing nutritional status. Low albumin levels have been identified as an independent risk factor for postoperative morbidity and mortality<sup>[25]</sup>. It should be emphasized that, although preoperative enteral or parenteral nutritional support clearly benefits surgical cancer patients, a systematic review showed that "preventive" administration of parenteral support in non-malnourished patients did not positively influence outcome and may even be potentially harmful for certain patient subgroups<sup>[26]</sup>. More recently, the concept of immunonutrition has evolved, in which enteral formulas are supplemented with arginine and glutamine, nucleotides or omega-3 fatty acids in an attempt to positively modulate the immune system, but the benefits of immunonutrition remain debatable. Whereas perioperative nutrition in the malnourished patient can improve postoperative outcome, immunonutrition seems to attenuate the inflammatory response and interferes with certain immune functions in selected patient groups.

### Patient with jaundice

Jaundice results from an abnormally high bilirubin in the blood whose origin may be difficulty in eliminating, it is then an obstructive jaundice. This is the most symptom in patients with periampullary cancer (located near the Vater's ampulla) or cancer of the pancreatic head. It can be considered a risk factor for postoperative complications. Many studies concluded that it could be associated with a higher incidence of insufficient postoperative renal growth, but also of sepsis, hemorrhage, of liver failure and risk of mortality from about 16%<sup>[27]</sup>. Jaundice causes a retention of acids and bile salts. In the long term, it may cause ascending cholangitis and secondary hepatocellular damage. In case of interruption of bile flow, bile acids and salts can't inhibit the phenomenon of translo-

cation and endotoxemia caused by gram-negative from the digestive tract. These bacteria will then multiply and, for a phenomenon of translocation, can contribute to the dissemination of endotoxins into the systemic circulation then creating a pro-inflammatory state with production of cytokines by activated macrophages and a subsequent risk of multiple organ failure, including the appearance of coagulation disorders. Since surgery in patients with jaundice is thought to increase the risk of postoperative complications, preoperative biliary drainage was introduced to improve the postoperative outcome. In several experimental studies preoperative biliary drainage reduced morbidity and mortality after surgery<sup>[28]</sup>. In a multicenter, randomized trial, van der Gaag *et al.*<sup>[29]</sup> compared preoperative biliary drainage with surgery alone for patients with cancer of the pancreatic head found that endoscopic preoperative drainage with placement of a plastic stent did not have a beneficial effect on the surgical outcome and early surgery without preoperative drainage did not increase the risk of complications. The preoperative oral administration of bile salts or lactulose has been proposed in order to reduce the risk of endotoxemia by blocking translocation phenomena bacteria from the gut. The effectiveness of this practice has not been validated. Anti-inflammatory and antibiotic prophylaxis should be avoided. In severe cases, a preoperative hemodiafiltration session can address the surgery with more serenity.

### **The general physical examination**

The physical examination should be thorough but focused. Special attention is directed toward evaluation of the airway, heart, lungs and neurologic status. As a minimum the physical examination should include the following:

**Vital signs, head and neck:** Height and weight are useful in estimating drug dosages and determining volume requirements and the adequacy of perioperative urine output. Ideal body weight should be calculated in obese patients to help determine proper drug dosages and ventilator settings (*e.g.*, tidal volume). Blood pressure should be recorded in both arms and any disparity noted (significant differences may imply disease of the thoracic aorta or its major branches). At same time should be observed and noted the respiration rate and oxygen saturation. One should evaluate maximal mouth opening, the size of the tongue, the ability to visualize the posterior pharyngeal structures and Mallampati classification. A thyromental distance shorter or longer than three fingerbreadth may be a sign of a difficult intubation.

**Laboratory studies:** A routine laboratory screening tests are necessary to evaluate a recent hematocrit/hemoglobin level, the platelet activity and the coagulation status before surgery. An electrocardiograph (ECG) should be obtained in any patient with risk factors for coronary artery disease. It can also detect new dysrhythmias and be useful to evaluate the stability of known abnormal rhythms. A chest radiograph should be obtained in all patients to

evaluate the cardiovascular image and to document any tracheal deviation or cervical masses.

## **ANAESTHETIC MANAGEMENT**

General anesthesia with mechanical ventilation is the rule. Spinal anesthesia is impractical owing to the length of the operation. However, epidural analgesia could, in theory, be used as the sole anesthetic technique. It's our belief that the length of surgery, insertion of central lines and the high likelihood of conversion to general anesthesia make epidural alone unsatisfactory. Epidural analgesia may be beneficial post-operatively in reducing venous thromboembolic events, reducing the incidence of respiratory failure and in providing superior analgesia in comparison with opioids. However, there may be clotting abnormalities perioperatively leading to an increased risk of neurological complications. Epidural anesthesia can make assessment of the patient's volume status more difficult and, with large fluid shifts occurring in this group, a period of hypovolemia could be worsened by concomitant vasodilatation secondary to the epidural analgesia. A balance of these risks needs to be addressed before embarking on an epidural. It's our practice to routinely use epidural analgesia as a part of combined general and regional anesthetic technique in these patients. Postoperative analgesia is then provided by a catheter left in place in epidural space. The choice of anesthetics must consider their pharmacokinetic: benzodiazepines should be avoided for premedication; propofol are the preferred induction agent; morphine should be used with caution in patients with hepatic or renal function (accumulation); muscle relaxants not metabolized by hepatobiliary system (atracurium, cis-atracurium) are to be used in the first intent with adequate monitoring. The antibiotic therapy is essentially for the control of *Enterobacteriaceae* (*Escherichia coli*) and *Staphylococcus* risk infection. Fluid and volume therapy is an important cornerstone of treating critically ill patients in the operating room. New findings concerning the vascular barrier, its physiological functions and its role regarding vascular leakage have led to a new view of fluid and volume administration. Avoiding hypervolemia, as well as hypovolemia, plays a pivotal role when treating patients both perioperatively and in the intensive care unit. The postoperative phase may be studded with complications: sepsis, hepatic dysfunction, coagulation and metabolic disorders, renal and pulmonary failure and, in addition to the typical risks associated with abdominal surgery, some specific to the Whipple procedure, the two most common are pancreatic fistula and delayed gastric emptying<sup>[30]</sup>. Therefore the recovery in the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) is necessary for these fragile patients.

## **PHARMACOLOGY OF ANESTHETICS**

### **Benzodiazepines**

Their use in the perioperative period is widely not rec-



commended because of their hepatic metabolism that exposed to an increased half-life, an extension the duration of action and delayed recovery. In premedication for anxiolysis, with the exception of jaundiced patients, midazolam 0.1-0.4 mg/kg is indicated; after i.v. administration, the onset of central nervous system effects occurs in 2-3 min. The benzodiazepines (BZP) enhance inhibitory neurotransmission by increasing the affinity of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors for GABA. Effects are terminated by redistribution, the metabolism is typically hepatic and renal the elimination. Administration of a BZP to a patient receiving the anti-convulsive valproate may precipitate a psychotic episode.

### Induction agents

Thiopental no longer the place it has had for very many years. In addition, its use was largely dissuaded in the presence of hepatobiliary disease because of its hepatic metabolism *via* the cytochrome P450. Thiopental is metabolized to pentobarbital, an active metabolite with a longer half-life. Its use therefore exposed to delayed awakening. Similar to propofol, barbiturates facilitate inhibitory neurotransmission by enhancing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor function. They also inhibit excitatory neurotransmission *via* glutamate and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Absolutely contraindicated in patient with acute intermittent porphyria, variegate porphyria and hereditary coproporphyrin (barbiturates induce porphyrin synthetic enzymes such as alpha-aminolevulinic acid synthetase). Ketamine for a variable pharmacokinetic in the presence of extrahepatic biliary obstruction and postoperative hallucinatory effects have largely limited use in clinical practice. Propofol is the agent of choice, not only for the induction, but also for sedation in patients requiring postoperative ventilatory support. It has a short action and effect rapid metabolism is not influenced in the presence of liver failure. It is prepared as a 1% isotonic oil-in water emulsion, which contains egg lecithin, glycerol and soybean oil. Bacterial growth is inhibited by ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid, diethylene-triaminepentaacetic acid, sulfite, or benzyl alcohol depending on the manufacturer (avoid the use of opened propofol after 6 h to prevent inadvertent bacterial contamination). Mode of action: facilitation inhibitory neurotransmission by enhancing the function of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors in the central nervous system; the modulation of glycine receptors, N-ethyl-D aspartate receptors, cannabinoid receptors and voltage-gated ion channels may also contribute to propofol's actions. Dose-dependent decreases in preload, afterload and contractility lead to decrease in blood pressure and cardiac output. Hypotension may be marked in hypovolemic, elderly, or hemodynamically compromised patients. Heart rate is minimally affected and baroreceptor reflex is blunted. Adverse effects are: irritation venous, lipid disorders, myoclonus and hiccups, "propofol infusion syndrome".

### Opioids

Morphine and its derivatives are essential for the peri-

operative period (commonly used in general anesthesia) and are frequently used to ensure postoperative analgesia. Opioids, including morphine and fentanyl, have been accused of increasing the tonus of the bile ducts and spasm of Oddi's sphincter. However, the consequences in clinical practice are limited: the pressure is most often in the bile duct within normal limits and delay the passage of bile in the duodenum is not significant. The administration of a derivative nitrate was effective in treating the hypertension. Opioids differ in their potencies, pharmacokinetics and site effects. The mode of action is due to the interaction with specific receptors in the brain, spinal cord and peripheral neurons<sup>[31]</sup>. After i.v. administration, the onset of action is within minutes for the fentanyl derivatives; hydromorphone and morphine may take 20-30 min for peak effect due to their lower lipid solubilities. The termination of effects for all opioids except remifentanyl is by redistribution. Elimination is primarily by the liver and depends on hepatic blood flow. In patients with renal failure, the accumulation of morphine-6-glucuronide, the active metabolite, may cause prolonged narcosis and respiratory depression. Fentanyl is metabolized by hydrolysis and N-dealkylation, and its metabolites are excreted in the urine. Function liver in the normal range is necessary to plasma clearance in case of repeated injections. The pharmacokinetics of alfentanil is also changed, with a longer duration of action and an initial effect over pronounced. The sufentanil is not altered, even in cases of moderate hepatic insufficiency. The short duration of action of remifentanyl (context-insensitive half-time) and especially its extrahepatic metabolism (by nonspecific esterases in tissues, primarily skeletal muscle) are purely an advantage<sup>[32]</sup>. Opioids exert emetic effects and represent a significant cause of patient discomfort. Nausea and vomiting can occur because of the direct stimulation of the chemoreceptor trigger zone, of the vestibular apparatus, inhibition of gut motility<sup>[33]</sup>.

### Halogenated

Inhalation agents represent a basic drug used in modern balanced anesthesia. Actually the most important halogenated in the clinical use are sevoflurane and desflurane. Desflurane is largely appreciated for its high stability. Less than 0.02% of desflurane is metabolized, thus, plasma fluorine levels are very low. The very low solubility of desflurane allows for a surprisingly rapid emergence from anesthesia. Nitrous oxide has a controversial role in the modern anesthesia. Its ability to diffuse into air filled cavities increases the likelihood of pneumothorax, air emboli and pressure in the cuff of the endotracheal tube. Nitrous oxide diffusion causes an increase in the middle ear pressure and distension of the bowel, possibly resulting in increases in postoperative nausea and vomiting. The results of a questionnaire proposed by the Association of Anesthesiologist of Great Britain and Ireland indicate that 49% of them had reduced their use of nitrous oxide<sup>[34]</sup>. According to Baum, nitrous oxide should not be used routinely as a carrier gas and the safer mixture of

oxygen/medical air is able to replace this old anesthetic with some economical advantages<sup>[35]</sup>. The combination of halogenated agents with short acting opioids results in the possibility of limiting the clinical application of nitrous oxide. Attempts to replace nitrous oxide with other gases has led to an increase in studies on Xenon. This inert gas does not undergo metabolic biotransformation and has no direct negative environmental effects. Xenon has a very low solubility in the blood and its potency is higher when compared to nitrous oxide solubility<sup>[36]</sup>. Xenon cannot be synthesized and the available amount is very low. Consequently, at present, the cost of compound may be a limiting factor for the clinical use. The pharmacokinetic advantages of inhalation anesthetics are unique. By increasing or decreasing their inspired concentration, it is possible to increase or decrease their concentration in the blood and tissues, allowing for rapid changes in anesthesia depth and providing a simple method for inducing, maintaining and reversing general anesthesia. The flexibility of inhalation anesthesia cannot be reproduced with modern intravenous hypnotics or opioids. Furthermore, it is important to underline the protective effects of inhalation agents on several different organs.

### Neuromuscular blocking drugs

Non depolarizing blockade is produced by reversible competitive antagonism of Ach at the alpha subunits of the AChRs. The principal pharmacologic effect is to interrupt transmission of synaptic signaling at the neuromuscular junction. The neuromuscular blocking agents in biliary excretion (*e.g.*, vecuronium) should be avoided in favor of those metabolized by Hoffman system (atracurium, cis-atracurium). In all cases, the use of a monitoring of neuromuscular blockade is essential<sup>[37,38]</sup>.

### Monitoring

Standard monitoring for general anesthesia involves oxygenation (analyzer and pulse oximetry), ventilation (capnography and minute ventilation), circulation (ECG with ST-segment analysis, blood pressure and perfusion assessment) and temperature if necessary. Additional monitoring may be added such as invasive arterial and venous pressure monitoring, trans esophageal echocardiography, neuromuscular blockade and central nervous system monitoring. Invasive arterial pressure monitoring is imperative in the pancreatic surgery; there is potential for rapid swings in blood pressure and acid-base balance often needs managing (acidosis is common). Central venous access (CVC) is essential; ultrasound guidance can be useful in the patients that have had multiple previous cannulation. The central venous pressure (CVP) and cardiac output (CO) is monitored by CVC. Pressure is monitored at the level of the vena cava or the right atrium. The normal CVP is 2-6 mmHg. Positive-pressure ventilation affects both cardiac output and venous return. According to the Starling rule, the transmural pressure, which is the difference between the atrial pressure and extracardiac pressure, correlates with the cardiac output. At low level

of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), the CVP increases with increased PEEP. At high levels of PEEP (over 15 cm H<sub>2</sub>O), CVP increases as the cardiac output is depressed because of impaired right ventricular output. Common locations include internal jugular and subclavian vein. Multiple lumen catheters are directly inserted and are available with one to four lumens to provide access for multiple drugs, pressure monitoring and blood sampling. Temperature may be measured continuously; the limitation of more external methods of temperature determination is that they may not reflect changes in the core body temperature, especially in the presence of vasoconstriction. Oropharyngeal temperature monitoring is preferred in any lengthy laparotomy, which has potential for blood loss and perioperative clotting abnormalities. Ventilation is assessed by end-tidal carbon dioxide measurements and spirometry. Capnometry and capnography are often used as synonyms, as both analyze and record carbon dioxide, with the latter including a waveform. Capnography not only evaluates respiration but also confirms of endotracheal intubation and is diagnostic of pathologic conditions. Neuromuscular blockade is utilized, above all for patients with co-existing renal failure. The adductor pollicis response to ulnar nerve stimulation at the wrist is most often used, because it is easily accessible, and the results are not confused with direct muscle activation. Cutaneous electrodes are placed at the wrist over the ulnar nerve and attached to a battery-driven pulse generator, which delivers a graded impulse of electrical current at a specified frequency. For maximal twitch response, the negative pole (active) should be placed distally over the ulnar nerve at the wrist. Evoked muscle tension can be estimated by feeling for thumb adduction or measured by using a force transducer attached to the thumb. After administration of a neuromuscular blocking drug, the developed tension and twitch height decrease with the onset of blockade. Foley catheter is the rule in all patient ones, necessary for fluid management and the control of the renal functionality.

### Conduct of anesthesia

The primary goals of general anesthesia are to maintain the health of the patient while providing amnesia, hypnosis (lack of awareness), analgesia and immobility. Secondary goals may vary depending on the patient's medical condition and the surgical procedure. Perioperative planning involves the integration of preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative care. Flexibility, the ability to anticipate problems before they occur and the ability to execute contingency plans are skills that define the expert anesthetist. An anesthetic plan developed prior to entering the operating room helps the anesthetist marshal appropriate resources and anticipate potential difficulties. Important elements to consider in the anesthetic plan include: risk assessment (ASA classification), specific homeostatic challenges, intravenous access, monitoring, airway management, medications, perioperative analgesia, postoperative transport and disposition. Preoperative

medication is realized with midazolam 0.1-0.4 mg/kg (except cases of jaundice) for anxiety control. It is also important to consider aspiration prophylaxis; drugs to neutralize gastric acid and decrease gastric volume are used: metoclopramide 10 mg and ranitidine 50 mg usually. Induction of anesthesia produces an unconscious patient with depressed reflexes who is dependent on the anesthetist for maintenance of homeostatic mechanisms and safety. The patient's position for induction is usually supine, with extremities resting comfortably on padded surface in a neutral anatomic position. The head should rest comfortably on a firm support, which is raised in a "sniff" position. Routine pre-induction administration of oxygen minimizes the risk of hypoxia developing during induction of anesthesia. High flow (8-10 L/min) oxygen should be delivered *via* a face mask placed gently on the patient's face. Commonly, for the induction of anesthesia, we use propofol 4-6 mg/kg, a non-depolarizing neuromuscular blocking agent (cis-atracurium 0.15 mg/kg is the usual choice) and sufentanil 0.1-0.5 mcg/kg per minutes. Hypertensive patients may have an exaggerated pressor response to laryngoscopy. To obtund this response, opioids or beta-blockers can be used. Tracheal intubation is performed with laryngoscopy usually. An appropriate endotracheal tube (ETT) size depends on the patient's age, body habitus. Proper placement of the ETT needs to be verified by the detection of carbon dioxide in end-tidal or mixed expiratory gas as well as inspection and auscultation of the stomach and both lung fields during positive-pressure ventilation. Tidal volumes of 8-10 mL/kg and a respiratory rate of 10-12 breaths/min are set and low level PEEP is beneficial. For the maintenance of anesthesia we use normally a mixture of oxygen and air (40%/60%) and a halogenated (sevoflurane or desflurane) with a continuous infusion of sufentanil until the end of operation. The infusion of sufentanil generally is continued in the PACU to better adapt the patient to the mechanical ventilation. If we decide for a blended anesthesia, before the induction of anesthesia, we perform a thoracic epidural anesthesia (T8-T10) with the patient in a sitting position.

### **Epidural anesthesia / analgesia**

The epidural space contains nerve roots, fat, spinal arteries and lymphatics, as well as a valveless venous system that communicates directly with both the intracranial sinuses *via* the basovertebral veins and the general circulation *via* the azygous vein. Dorsal and ventral spinal nerve roots covered by dura mater pass across the epidural space and drugs within this space can act on any nerve that traverses it - whether it is motor, sensory or autonomic. Epidural analgesics may prevent the release of neurotransmitters from afferent pain fibres, block receptors to neurotransmitters released by primary afferent pain fibres or interrupt the transmission of pain-related information in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. Drugs introduced into the epidural space also have the potential to pass into the brain and the general circulation depend-

ing on their pharmacokinetics. Epidural analgesia was originally achieved with local anesthetic agents but, more recently, with opioids or a combination of local anesthetics and opioids. This combination has a synergistic action that allows the concentration of each drug to be reduced, thereby limiting unwanted effect produced by higher concentrations. Ketamine, midazolam or clonidine has also been used in combination with local anesthetics and opioids to obtain the best intra- and post-operative pain control. Local anesthetics penetrate axonal membranes within the epidural space and bind to sodium channels in nerves. This inhibits sodium conductance and reduces action potential depolarization, thereby reducing nerve stimulus propagation. The drawback is that the effect is nonselective, involving both autonomic and somatic nerves. Thinner nerve fibers are affected by lower local anesthetic concentrations than thicker fibers, suggesting that neuronal block is a function of diameter. With increasing local anesthetic concentration, the thinner C fibers (pain and autonomic fibers) are blocked first, followed by B fibers (preganglionic sympathetic fibers) and finally the largest A fibers (touch, pressure sensation and motor fibers). Epidural analgesia aims to produce a differential nerve block, affecting predominantly nociceptive fibers with few motor effects. Opioids act on opioid receptors that are widespread throughout the nervous system, but more concentrated in the medullary dorsal horn of the spinal cord and the periaqueductal grey matter of the brain. Opioid receptors belong to the family of guanine nucleotide-binding protein receptors. They exist as three principle types (OP1, OP2 and OP3) and opioids acting at these receptors have the advantage of selectively blocking pain without affecting motor function or the sense of touch. Epidural opioids act mainly on presynaptic and postsynaptic receptors in the substantia gelatinosa of the dorsal horn of the spinal cord<sup>[39]</sup>. The combination of thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA) and general anesthesia has become a widespread anesthetic technique for the perioperative treatment of patients undergoing major abdominal surgery. The neuraxial application of local anesthetics and opioids provides superior pain relief, reduced hormonal and metabolic stress, enhanced normalization of gastrointestinal function and thus a shortened postoperative recovery time, facilitating mobilization and physiotherapy. TEA is currently thought to mitigate this effect by blocking nociceptive afferent nerves and thoracolumbar sympathetic efferent routes. In a very recent cohort study van Lier *et al*<sup>[40]</sup> demonstrated that epidural analgesia reduces postoperative pneumonia in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease undergoing major abdominal surgery. Among the long-acting local anesthetics, the S-enantiomer, ropivacaine, is gaining increasing preference for continuous epidural analgesia. Ropivacaine has lower central nervous system and cardiac toxicity and a less frequent incidence of motor block (differential block) during mobilization than bupivacaine<sup>[41]</sup>. Panousis *et al*<sup>[42]</sup> evaluated the effect of different epidurally administered concentrations of ropivacaine on



inhaled anesthetic, fluid and vasopressor requirement and hemodynamic changes. They concluded that ropivacaine 0.5% compared with a ropivacaine 0.2% concentration led to a greater inhaled anesthetic-sparing effect at the same levels of IV fluid supply and vasopressor support. In a critical appraisal published on 2008, Pratt *et al.*<sup>[43]</sup> concluded that although it may provide more effective initial pain control, epidural analgesia does not necessarily improve other critical outcomes after pancreatoduodenectomy. The authors explained it with the high propensity for rapid fluid shifts and excessive blood loss during this operation, which may negate the proposed benefits of administering analgesic medications by epidural infusion and they reinforced these results considering the frequent need to terminate epidural infusions because of hemodynamic compromise or inadequate analgesia. Spinal epidural hematoma (SHE) after epidural analgesia is a rare but serious complication. Most cases of SHE after epidural block are attributed to a bleeding tendency or anticoagulant therapy. Placement of an epidural catheter may cause SHE more often than expected, but most SEHs remain asymptomatic<sup>[44]</sup>. The incidence of significant spinal bleeding (paraplegia requiring laminectomy) has been estimated at 1:1000000 in patients without clinically apparent coagulation disorders. Vandermeulen *et al.*<sup>[45]</sup> found spinal bleeding immediately after removal of the epidural catheter in 15 of the 32 cases that he reviewed. Spontaneous SHE has been reported in a few cases<sup>[46]</sup>. The maximum incidence of clinically important spinal bleeding after epidural catheter blocks without specific additional risk factors probably list between 1:190000-200000. Approximately 60%-80% of all clinically important spinal bleeding is associated with hemostatic disorders or a blood tap. Removal of an epidural catheter should be considered a significant risk factor for spinal bleeding because 30%-60% of clinically important spinal hematomas occurs after catheter removal<sup>[47]</sup>. Where central neural block is contraindicated (*e.g.*, systemic sepsis, in anti-coagulated patients), or where epidural catheterization is technically impossible, bilateral paravertebral nerve blocks (PVB) is a suitable alternative. The paravertebral space is a potential space, which is turned into a temporary cavity by fluid. Anesthesia occurs because of direct penetration of local anesthetic (LA) into the neurological structures contained within the PVB (anterior and posterior ramus of the intercostal nerve, sympathetic chain, rami communicantes, sinu-vertebral nerve). The spinal nerve, lacking both an epineurium and part of the perineurium and with only a thin membranous root sheath is easily penetrated by LA and hence easily and efficiently blocked<sup>[48]</sup>. We recommend the use of levobupivacaine or ropivacaine for bilateral blocks. Good preservation of postoperative pulmonary function has been demonstrated, particularly in thoracotomy, which is a significant benefit over epidural analgesia<sup>[49]</sup>. The incidence of complications such as pneumothorax and hypotension is low. For bilateral PVB a variety of techniques, including loss of resistance, nerve stimulators and ultrasound, have been used. Potential or relative contraindications to the use of PVB are coagula-

tion disorders, tumor in the PVB and an empyema.

## POSTOPERATIVE CARE

### Postoperative analgesia

In patients with epidural catheter the analgesia can be continued with a volumetric or elastomeric pump at a rate infusion of 5-8 mL/h, by using local anesthetics alone or in combination with opioids. Generally we use ropivacaine 2 mg/mL and sufentanil 5 mcg/mL. In patients where was impossible the positioning of an epidural catheter the postoperative analgesia is performed with i.v. NSADs or opioids or mixture of them. Several protocols are reported in literature for i.v. analgesia, but generally morphine is the leader drug. The patient controlled analgesia is the best route of administration with a primary dose of 2-10 mg and a rescue dose of 0.5-2 mg with a lock-out of 5-10 min<sup>[50]</sup>. A specific role have the COX-2 inhibitors. Parecoxib (40-80 mg) is disposable for intravenous administration<sup>[51]</sup>.

### Pain and inoperable pancreatic cancer

Pancreatic diseases such as cancer can cause clinically significant pain in the upper abdomen, which may radiate to the back. Pain management for pancreatic cancer patients is one of the most important aspects of their care, as it is one of the most weakening symptoms. The best therapy involves adequate therapy with constant assessment. The current management of pancreatic pain follows the WHO three-step ladder for pain control, starting with non-opioid analgesics such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and progressing to increasing doses of opioid analgesics<sup>[52]</sup>. For pain that does not respond to drugs, or when oral or topical medication leads to unacceptable side effects such as nausea, constipation, somnolence, confusion, dependence and addiction, an alcohol nerve block can be indicated. This provides pain relief by acting directly on the nerves (celiac plexus) that carry painful stimuli from the diseased pancreas to the brain. Pancreatic cancer causes severe pain in 50%-70% of patients. This kind of pain is multi-factorial (pancreatic duct obstruction and hypertension, neural invasion) and it is often difficult to treat<sup>[53]</sup>. Different mechanisms perpetuate pancreatic pain: infiltration of nerve sheaths and neural ganglia, increased ductal and interstitial pressure and gland inflammation. Pancreatic pain is generally transmitted through the celiac plexus, a neural structure located in the upper abdomen, near the emergence of the celiac trunk from the aorta. Celiac plexus neurolysis was first described by Kappis (1919) and is done at the level of the L1 vertebral body, with the patient in a prone position<sup>[54]</sup>. There are a number of variations on the technique<sup>[55]</sup>. It has been described in the literature since the 1950s but the first prospective study was published in 1990 and the first randomized in 1992. Celiac plexus neurolysis can be done surgically under fluoroscopic guidance or under CT guidance. The target for celiac axis destruction are the splanchnic nerves and/or celiac ganglia. The splanchnic nerves cross the diaphragm, enter the abdominal cavity



and form the celiac plexus. The celiac ganglia are located around the celiac artery anterior to the aorta, in varying positions, from T12 to L2. They can be reached percutaneously by different routes, with one needle through the anterior approach (under CT or ultrasound guidance) or with one or two needles through the posterior approach. During abdominal surgical procedures for pancreatic cancer chemical splanchnicectomy can be achieved by injecting the neurolytic solutions directly into the junction area of the splanchnic nerves with the celiac ganglia in the retroperitoneal area. With the advent of EUS new therapeutic applications for endoscopy have been developed and a needle can now be guided safely in the celiac plexus<sup>[56]</sup>. The celiac plexus is destroyed by alcohol injected under the guidance of real-time endosonography. First, using a linear array echo-endoscope, the region of the celiac ganglia is located from the lesser curve of the stomach, following the emergence of the celiac trunk from the aorta. The anterior approach avoids the retro-crural space and minimizes the risk of neurologic complications such as paraesthesia or paralysis. Anyway, although statistical evidence is minimal for the superiority of pain relief over analgesic therapy, the fact that CPB causes fewer adverse effects than opioids is important for patients.

## CONCLUSION

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (90% of pancreatic cancers) remains a devastating disease. For a select group in which complete resection is possible, surgery prolongs survival. Pancreaticoduodenectomy, the “Cadillac” of abdominal operations, is a major surgery with significant morbidity and mortality. The pancreatic-enteric anastomosis has been the Achilles’ heel of this operation. Adequate nutritional support, reduction of invasiveness, shorter operation times, combined regional/general anesthesia and target-controlled fluid management are options for reducing postoperative morbidity. In recent decades, diagnostic modalities and the surgical and palliative treatments of PC have clearly progressed, although the overall prognosis has barely changed. The management of patient affected by PC is complex and requires expertise in many fields. Multidisciplinary teams are necessary to optimize the overall care. The anesthesiologist plays a crucial role in the perioperative management of a patient with unresectable PC (anesthesia and analgesia). Careful patient selection, individualized preoperative evaluation and optimization go a long way in improving the short-term and long-term outcomes of these patients. In the future new protocols are necessary for pain control, adjuvant strategies, palliative measures in patients with pancreatic cancer.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Hao Y, Xu J, Thun MJ. Cancer statistics, 2009. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2009; **59**: 225-249 [PMID: 19474385 DOI: 10.3322/caac.20006]
- 2 Shaib YH, Davila JA, El-Serag HB. The epidemiology of pancreatic cancer in the United States: changes below the

- surface. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006; **24**: 87-94 [PMID: 16803606 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.02961.x]
- 3 Delbeke D, Rose DM, Chapman WC, Pinson CW, Wright JK, Beauchamp RD, Shyr Y, Leach SD. Optimal interpretation of FDG PET in the diagnosis, staging and management of pancreatic carcinoma. *J Nucl Med* 1999; **40**: 1784-1791 [PMID: 10565771]
- 4 Tillemann EH, Busch OR, Bemelman WA, van Gulik TM, Obertop H, Gouma DJ. Diagnostic laparoscopy in staging pancreatic carcinoma: developments during the past decade. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg* 2004; **11**: 11-16 [PMID: 15747029 DOI: 10.1007/s00534-002-0784-9]
- 5 Ghaferi AA, Birkmeyer JD, Dimick JB. Variation in hospital mortality associated with inpatient surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **361**: 1368-1375 [PMID: 19797283 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMs-a0903048]
- 6 Kullavanijaya P, Treeprasertsuk S, Thong-Ngam D, Kladcharoen N, Mahachai V, Suwanagool P. Adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: the clinical experience of 45 histopathologically proven patients, a 6 year study. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2001; **84**: 640-647 [PMID: 11560212]
- 7 Riall TS, Reddy DM, Nealon WH, Goodwin JS. The effect of age on short-term outcomes after pancreatic resection: a population-based study. *Ann Surg* 2008; **248**: 459-467 [PMID: 18791366 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e318185e1b3]
- 8 Lynch SM, Vrieling A, Lubin JH, Kraft P, Mendelsohn JB, Hartge P, Canzian F, Stepkowski E, Arslan AA, Gross M, Helzlsouer K, Jacobs EJ, LaCroix A, Petersen G, Zheng W, Albanes D, Amundadottir L, Bingham SA, Boffetta P, Boutron-Ruault MC, Chanock SJ, Clipp S, Hoover RN, Jacobs K, Johnson KC, Kooperberg C, Luo J, Messina C, Palli D, Patel AV, Riboli E, Shu XO, Rodriguez Suarez L, Thomas G, Tjønneland A, Tobias GS, Tong E, Trichopoulos D, Virtamo J, Ye W, Yu K, Zeleniuch-Jacquette A, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ. Cigarette smoking and pancreatic cancer: a pooled analysis from the pancreatic cancer cohort consortium. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009; **170**: 403-413 [PMID: 19561064 DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwp134]
- 9 Neugut AI, Ahsan H, Robinson E. Pancreas cancer as a second primary malignancy. A population-based study. *Cancer* 1995; **76**: 589-592 [PMID: 8625151]
- 10 Bluman LG, Mosca L, Newman N, Simon DG. Preoperative smoking habits and postoperative pulmonary complications. *Chest* 1998; **113**: 883-889 [PMID: 9554620]
- 11 Myles PS, Iacono GA, Hunt JO, Fletcher H, Morris J, McIlroy D, Fritschi L. Risk of respiratory complications and wound infection in patients undergoing ambulatory surgery: smokers versus nonsmokers. *Anesthesiology* 2002; **97**: 842-847 [PMID: 12357149]
- 12 Pearce AC, Jones RM. Smoking and anesthesia: preoperative abstinence and perioperative morbidity. *Anesthesiology* 1984; **61**: 576-584 [PMID: 6496996]
- 13 Lawrence VA, Dhanda R, Hilsenbeck SG, Page CP. Risk of pulmonary complications after elective abdominal surgery. *Chest* 1996; **110**: 744-750 [PMID: 8797421]
- 14 Gass GD, Olsen GN. Preoperative pulmonary function testing to predict postoperative morbidity and mortality. *Chest* 1986; **89**: 127-135 [PMID: 3940774]
- 15 Gullo L, Pezzilli R, Morselli-Labate AM. Diabetes and the risk of pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1994; **331**: 81-84 [PMID: 8208269 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199407143310203]
- 16 Permert J, Ihse I, Jorfeldt L, von Schenck H, Arnqvist HJ, Larsson J. Pancreatic cancer is associated with impaired glucose metabolism. *Eur J Surg* 1993; **159**: 101-107 [PMID: 8098623]
- 17 Treiman GS, Treiman RL, Foran RF, Cossman DV, Cohen JL, Levin PM, Wagner WH, Davidson MB. The influence of diabetes mellitus on the risk of abdominal aortic surgery. *Am Surg* 1994; **60**: 436-440 [PMID: 8198336]
- 18 Verhofstad MH, Hendriks T. Complete prevention of im-

- paired anastomotic healing in diabetic rats requires preoperative blood glucose control. *Br J Surg* 1996; **83**: 1717-1721 [PMID: 9038550]
- 19 **Zacharias A**, Habib RH. Factors predisposing to median sternotomy complications. Deep vs superficial infection. *Chest* 1996; **110**: 1173-1178 [PMID: 8915216]
- 20 **Clement S**, Braithwaite SS, Magee MF, Ahmann A, Smith EP, Schafer RG, Hirsch IB. Management of diabetes and hyperglycemia in hospitals. *Diabetes Care* 2004; **27**: 553-591 [PMID: 14747243]
- 21 **Coursin DB**, Connery LE, Ketzler JT. Perioperative diabetic and hyperglycemic management issues. *Crit Care Med* 2004; **32**: S116-S125 [PMID: 15064670]
- 22 **Furnary AP**, Gao G, Grunkemeier GL, Wu Y, Zerr KJ, Bookin SO, Floten HS, Starr A. Continuous insulin infusion reduces mortality in patients with diabetes undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003; **125**: 1007-1021 [PMID: 12771873 DOI: 10.1067/mtc.2003.181]
- 23 **Goldberg PA**, Siegel MD, Sherwin RS, Halickman JL, Lee M, Bailey VA, Lee SL, Dziura JD, Inzucchi SE. Implementation of a safe and effective insulin infusion protocol in a medical intensive care unit. *Diabetes Care* 2004; **27**: 461-467 [PMID: 14747229]
- 24 **Rehman HU**, Mohammed K. Perioperative management of diabetic patients. *Curr Surg* 2003; **60**: 607-611 [PMID: 14972202 DOI: 10.1016/j.cursur.2003.07.002]
- 25 **Gibbs J**, Cull W, Henderson W, Daley J, Hur K, Khuri SF. Preoperative serum albumin level as a predictor of operative mortality and morbidity: results from the National VA Surgical Risk Study. *Arch Surg* 1999; **134**: 36-42 [PMID: 9927128]
- 26 **Koretz RL**, Lipman TO, Klein S. AGA technical review on parenteral nutrition. *Gastroenterology* 2001; **121**: 970-1001 [PMID: 11606512]
- 27 **Jiang WG**, Puntis MC. Immune dysfunction in patients with obstructive jaundice, mediators and implications for treatments. *HPB Surg* 1997; **10**: 129-142 [PMID: 9174857]
- 28 **van der Gaag NA**, Klok JJ, de Castro SM, Busch OR, van Gulik TM, Gouma DJ. Preoperative biliary drainage in patients with obstructive jaundice: history and current status. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2009; **13**: 814-820 [PMID: 18726134 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-008-0618-4]
- 29 **van der Gaag NA**, Rauws EA, van Eijck CH, Bruno MJ, van der Harst E, Kubben FJ, Gerritsen JJ, Greve JW, Gerhards MF, de Hingh IH, Klinkenbijn JH, Nio CY, de Castro SM, Busch OR, van Gulik TM, Bossuyt PM, Gouma DJ. Preoperative biliary drainage for cancer of the head of the pancreas. *N Engl J Med* 2010; **362**: 129-137 [PMID: 20071702 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0903230]
- 30 **Büchler MW**, Wagner M, Schmied BM, Uhl W, Friess H, Z'graggen K. Changes in morbidity after pancreatic resection: toward the end of completion pancreatectomy. *Arch Surg* 2003; **138**: 1310-1314; discussion 1315 [PMID: 14662530 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.138.12.1310]
- 31 **Kumamoto E**, Mizuta, Fujita T. Opioid actions in primary-afferent fibers-involvement in analgesia and anesthesia. *Pharmaceuticals* 2011; **4**: 343-365 [DOI: 10.3390/ph4020343]
- 32 **Dershwitz M**, Hoke JF, Rosow CE, Michalowski P, Connors PM, Muir KT, Dienstag JL. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of remifentanyl in volunteer subjects with severe liver disease. *Anesthesiology* 1996; **84**: 812-820 [PMID: 8638835]
- 33 **Porreca F**, Ossipov MH. Nausea and vomiting side effects with opioid analgesics during treatment of chronic pain: mechanisms, implications, and management options. *Pain Med* 2009; **10**: 654-662 [PMID: 19302436 DOI: 10.1111/j.1526-4637.2009.00583.x5]
- 34 **Henderson KA**, Raj N, Hall JE. The use of nitrous oxide in anaesthetic practice: a questionnaire survey. *Anaesthesia* 2002; **57**: 1155-1158 [PMID: 12437704]
- 35 **Baum JA**. The carrier gas in anaesthesia: nitrous oxide/oxygen, medical air/oxygen and pure oxygen. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2004; **17**: 513-516 [PMID: 17031087 DOI: 10.1097/00001503-200412000-00012]
- 36 **Hecker K**, Baumert JH, Horn N, Rossaint R. Xenon, a modern anaesthesia gas. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2004; **70**: 255-260 [PMID: 15181400]
- 37 **Chiu JW**, White PF. The pharmacoeconomics of neuromuscular blocking drugs. *Anesth Analg* 2000; **90**: S19-S23 [PMID: 10809514]
- 38 **Murphy GS**, Szokol JW. Monitoring neuromuscular blockade. *Int Anesthesiol Clin* 2004; **42**: 25-40 [PMID: 15087739 DOI: 10.1097/00004311-200404220-00004]
- 39 **Fotiadis RJ**, Badvie S, Weston MD, Allen-Merish TG. Epidural analgesia in gastrointestinal surgery. *Br J Surg* 2004; **91**: 828-841 [PMID: 15227688]
- 40 **van Lier F**, van der Geest PJ, Hoeks SE, van Gestel YR, Hol JW, Sin DD, Stolker RJ, Poldermans D. Epidural analgesia is associated with improved health outcomes of surgical patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Anesthesiology* 2011; **115**: 315-321 [PMID: 21796055 DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0b013e318224cc5c]
- 41 **Macias A**, Monedero P, Adame M, Torre W, Fidalgo I, Hidalgo F. A randomized, double-blinded comparison of thoracic epidural ropivacaine, ropivacaine/fentanyl, or bupivacaine/fentanyl for postthoracotomy analgesia. *Anesth Analg* 2002; **95**: 1344-1350, table of contents [PMID: 12401624]
- 42 **Panousis P**, Heller AR, Koch T, Litz RJ. Epidural ropivacaine concentrations for intraoperative analgesia during major upper abdominal surgery: a prospective, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study. *Anesth Analg* 2009; **108**: 1971-1976 [PMID: 19448234 DOI: 10.1213/ane.0b013e3181a2a301]
- 43 **Pratt WB**, Steinbrook RA, Maithel SK, Vanounou T, Callery MP, Vollmer CM Jr. Epidural analgesia for pancreatoduodenectomy: a critical appraisal. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2008; **12**: 1207-1220 [PMID: 18264686 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-008-0467-1]
- 44 **Inoue K**, Yokoyama M, Nakatsuka H, Goto K. Spontaneous resolution of epidural hematoma after continuous epidural analgesia in a patient without bleeding tendency. *Anesthesiology* 2002; **97**: 735-737 [PMID: 12218543 DOI: 10.1097/00000542-200209000-00030]
- 45 **Vandermeulen EP**, Van Aken H, Vermeylen J. Anticoagulants and spinal-epidural anesthesia. *Anesth Analg* 1994; **79**: 1165-1177 [PMID: 7978443]
- 46 **Skilton RW**, Justice W. Epidural haematoma following anticoagulant treatment in a patient with an indwelling epidural catheter. *Anaesthesia* 1998; **53**: 691-695 [PMID: 9771178]
- 47 **Tryba M**. European practice guidelines: thromboembolism prophylaxis and regional anesthesia. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 1998; **23**: 178-182 [PMID: 9845391]
- 48 **Allsopp MR**, Zaiwalla Z. Narcolepsy. *Arch Dis Child* 1992; **67**: 302-306 [PMID: 1575553]
- 49 **Davies RG**, Myles PS, Graham JM. A comparison of the analgesic efficacy and side-effects of paravertebral vs epidural blockade for thoracotomy—a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Br J Anaesth* 2006; **96**: 418-426 [PMID: 16476698 DOI: 10.1093/bja/ael020]
- 50 **Miaskowski C**. Patient-controlled modalities for acute postoperative pain management. *J Perianesth Nurs* 2005; **20**: 255-267 [PMID: 16102706 DOI: 10.1016/j.jopan.2005.05.005]
- 51 **Nussmeier NA**, Whelton AA, Brown MT, Joshi GP, Langford RM, Singla NK, Boye ME, Verburg KM. Safety and efficacy of the cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors parecoxib and valdecoxib after noncardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2006; **104**: 518-526 [PMID: 16508400 DOI: 10.1097/00000542-200603000-00020]
- 52 **WHO**. Scoping document for WHO treatment guideline on pain related to cancer, HIV and other progressive life-threatening illnesses in adult. Adopted in WHO steering

- group on pain guidelines, 2008 October 1
- 53 **Staats PS**, Hekmat H, Sauter P, Lillemoe K. The effects of alcohol celiac plexus block, pain, and mood on longevity in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Pain Med* 2001; **2**: 28-34 [PMID: 15102315 DOI: 10.1046/j.1526-4637.2001.002001028.x]
- 54 **Virtue MA**, Levy MJ. Editorial: Neurolysis for pancreatic cancer pain: same song, different verse? *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010; **105**: 2607-2609 [PMID: 21131929 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2010.347]
- 55 **Giménez A**, Martínez-Noguera A, Donoso L, Catalá E, Serra R. Percutaneous neurolysis of the celiac plexus via the anterior approach with sonographic guidance. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1993; **161**: 1061-1063 [PMID: 8273610 DOI: 10.2214/ajr.161.5.8273610]
- 56 **Puli SR**, Reddy JB, Bechtold ML, Antillon MR, Brugge WR. EUS-guided celiac plexus neurolysis for pain due to chronic pancreatitis or pancreatic cancer pain: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Dig Dis Sci* 2009; **54**: 2330-2337 [PMID: 19137428 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-008-0651-x]

**P- Reviewers:** Chen KB, Fujino Y   **S- Editor:** Ma YJ  
**L- Editor:** A   **E- Editor:** Wang CH





百世登  
**Baishideng**®

Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited**

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road,

Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

Fax: +852-65557188

Telephone: +852-31779906

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

