

Emergency contraception: What is new?

Sefa Kelekci, Serpil Aydogmus

Sefa Kelekci, Serpil Aydogmus, Ataturk Research and Training Hospital, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, Izmir Katip Celebi University, 35150 Izmir, Turkey

Author contributions: Kelekci S and Aydogmus S contributed to this paper; Kelekci S also designed the outline and coordinated the writing of the paper.

Conflict-of-interest statement: There is no conflict of interest associated with any of the senior author or other coauthors contributed their efforts in this manuscript.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Correspondence to: Sefa Kelekci, MD, Ataturk Research and Training Hospital, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, Izmir Katip Celebi University, Polat St., Karabaglar, 35150 Izmir, Turkey. sefalelekci@gmail.com
 Telephone: +90-232-2444444

Received: May 11, 2015
 Peer-review started: May 18, 2015
 First decision: July 10, 2015
 Revised: August 14, 2015
 Accepted: September 7, 2015
 Article in press: September 8, 2015
 Published online: November 10, 2015

Abstract

Unintended pregnancy rates remain high throughout the World and increase the risk of poor maternal and infant outcomes. Most of unintended pregnancies occur in women who were not using contraception

or who became pregnant despite the reported use of contraception. Women who have had recent unprotected intercourse including those who have had another form of contraception fail are potential candidates for this intervention. Currently used emergency contraceptive methods are pills that contain combined estrogen-progesterone, only progestin, antiprogestins and copper intrauterine devices. The most common form of this type of contraception is oral progestin-only pills (levonorgestrel). The most effective method is copper intrauterine devices followed by anti-progestins and oral progestin-only pills. The major pathogenesis of oral emergency contraceptives is the prevention or delay of ovulation. Although conception is possible on only a few days of the cycle, emergency contraception is offered when indicated without regard to the timing of the menstrual cycle because of uncertainty in the timing of the ovulation. Levonorgestrel and E/P regimes are most effective as soon as possible after unprotected sexual intercourse. A linear relationship has been shown between effectiveness and the time of dose. The effectiveness continues for 120 h, but it is recommended to be used within 72 h after intercourse. Intrauterine devices may prevent pregnancy when 5 d after ovulation.

Key words: Emergency contraception; Levonorgestrel; Mifepristone; Ovulation; Ulipristal acetate

© **The Author(s) 2015.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Emergency contraception methods have varying ranges of effectiveness depending on the method and timing of administration. The major pathogenesis of oral emergency contraceptives is the prevention or delay of ovulation or prevention of fertilisation. Combined and progestin-based emergency contraceptives should be used as soon as possible to enhance the efficacy. Emergency contraception offers a final chance to prevent pregnancy.

Kelekci S, Aydogmus S. Emergency contraception: What is new? *World J Obstet Gynecol* 2015; 4(4): 95-101 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-6220/full/v4/i4/95.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5317/wjog.v4.i4.95>

INTRODUCTION

Emergency contraception is defined as contraceptive methods used after unprotected sexual intercourse or sexual assault and in cases with contraceptive failure. Currently used emergency contraceptive methods are pills that contain combined estrogen-progesterone, only progestin, antiprogestins and copper intrauterine devices.

The most common form of this type of contraception is progestin-only pills (levonorgestrel). The most effective method is copper intrauterine devices followed by anti-progestins and oral progestin-only pills. The major pathogenesis of oral emergency contraceptives are presumed to be a delay or prevention of ovulation. Levonorgestrel in particular is ineffective as emergency contraception after ovulation. The major role of copper-induced intrauterine devices in emergency contraception is the prevention of fertilization^[1]. There is much evidence suggesting that implantation of the fertilized ovule cannot be prevented by emergency contraception.

The possibility of pregnancy after unprotected sexual intercourse changes between 12%-30% in a population of young couples in their mid-twenties depending on the day of the menstrual cycle^[2]. While the possibility of pregnancy is higher on the day of ovulation, emergency contraception can be used at any time of the menstrual cycle. It is independent of the day of ovulation. The most widely used EC methods are summarised in Table 1.

Indications for possible emergency contraception fallow as^[3]: When no contraceptive was used during sexual intercourse within the previous 120 h; When there is a contraceptive failure or incorrect use of a contraceptive within the previous 120 h, including: (1) condom breakage, slippage, or incorrect use; (2) three or more 30 to 35 mcg ethinyl estradiol pills have been missed (or two or more 20 to 25 mcg pills); (3) progestin-only pill (minipill) taken more than three hours late; (4) more than two weeks late for injection of depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate; (5) dislodgment, breakage, tearing, or early removal of a diaphragm or cervical cap; (6) dislodgment, delay in placing, or early removal of a contraceptive hormonal skin patch or vaginal ring; (7) failed coitus interruptus (e.g., ejaculation in vagina or on external genitalia); (8) failure of a spermicide tablet or film to melt before sexual intercourse; (9) miscalculation of the periodic abstinence method or failure to abstain on fertile day of cycle; and (10) expulsion of intrauterine

Table 1 Summary of emergency contraception methods

Contraceptive methods	Mechanism of action	Effective time	Most common side effects
Contraceptive Pills (Yuzpe Regimen)	Inhibition or delay of ovulation	72 h recommended (Up to 120 h)	Same as COC
Progestin-only ECP	Inhibition or delay of ovulation	72 h recommended (Up to 120 h)	Nausea and vomiting
Ullipristal	Inhibition or delay of ovulation	Up to 120 h	Irregular bleeding Nausea and vomiting Abdominal pain
Copper induced IUD	Prevention of Implantation	Up to 5 d (recommended)	Genital infection

ECP: Emergency contraceptive pills; IUD: Intrauterine devices; COC: Combined oral contraceptives.

contraception.

COMBINED EMERGENCY CONTRACEPTIVE PILLS (YUZPE REGIMEN)

Many clinical studies have shown that ovulation can be prevented or delayed with emergency contraceptive pills that contain estrogen (E) and progesterone (P)^[4,5]. After the first studies showing prevention of pregnancy with high dose of estrogen in 1974, Yuzpe *et al*^[6] defined a low dose regime containing 200 mcg ethinyl estradiol and 1 mg levonorgestrel.

Some studies suggested that emergency contraceptive pills (ECP) prevent the implantation of the fertilized ovule by changing the endometrial receptivity in addition to the biochemical and histological changes in the endometrium after use of this regime. However, these suggestions were not confirmed in recent studies. Other possible mechanisms of ECP include changes to the function of the corpus luteum, thickening of cervical mucus, changes in tubal transport and prevention of fertilization^[7-9].

Preven was approved for emergency contraception by Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1998 and was withdrawn in 2004. However, combined oral contraceptives (COC) that are not packed for emergency contraception can be used as 100 mcg ethinyl estradiol and 0.50 mg levonorgestrel with the same dose repeated in 12 h^[10]. Levonorgestrel and E/P regimes are most effective after as soon as possible after unprotected sexual intercourse. There is a linear relationship between efficacy and the dose time. The efficacy continues for 120 h, but use within 72 h is recommended. Patients must be warned about this reduction in efficacy - especially after 96 h. In a meta-analysis of 3800 women, the success of the Yuzpe regime in the prevention of unwanted pregnancies was found to be 56%-89%^[11]. However,

the Yuzpe regimen is not recommended because side effects are more common, and the efficacy of ECP is approximately half of the use of only levonorgestrel^[12].

PROGESTIN-ONLY ECP

Early studies showed that levonorgestrel disrupts ovulation and the luteal functions. No effect on the endometrium was reported in these studies despite a study suggesting that the use of levonorgestrel glycodelin just before the luteinizing hormone (LH) surge changes the luteal phase secretory pattern. Levonorgestrel has no effect on the endometrial implantation of the embryo and endometrial receptivity markers^[13-18]. The original prescription is the use of 0.75 mg oral levonorgestrel in the first 72 h with a repeated identical dose after 12 h. The same efficacy was reported with a 1.5 mg single dose in different studies. In addition to the studies supporting the same side effects for the two methods, most studies reported more headaches and breast sensitivity in the single dose regime^[19,20]. In another study, 2 doses of 0.75 mg levonorgestrel repeated at 24 h had the same efficacy. Levonorgestrel is more effective than the Yuzpe regime in the prevention of pregnancy (RR = 0.51, 95%CI: 0.31-0.83) with fewer side effects (nausea RR = 0.43, 95%CI: 0.39-0.48; vomiting RR = 0.24, 95%CI: 0.18-0.31; headache RR = 0.83, 95%CI: 0.69-1.00; breast tenderness RR = 0.84, 95%CI: 0.69-1.01)^[21].

ANTIPROGESTINS

Ullipristal and mifepristone are similar antiprogestins with similar chemical structures. The major mechanism is *via* prevention or delay of ovulation in addition to the effects on endometrium causing disruption of implantation^[22].

MIFEPRISTONE

Mifepristone is a first-generation progesterone receptor modulator and is approved for use in many countries for first trimester abortion. In randomized studies, a dose of 5-600 mg mifepristone (RU-486) has the same or better efficacy in the prevention of pregnancy than oral emergency contraceptives (up to 99%-100%)^[23-26].

The optimal dose is not clearly defined but may be 25-50 mg with no serious side effects. A delay in menstrual bleeding after the use of antiprogestins decreases doubts of pregnancy and anxiety^[27,28]. The use of mifepristone in pill form is prevented for widespread use as emergency contraception. Mifepristone is only approved in Armenia, China, Russia and Vietnam as an emergency contraceptive.

ULLIPRISTAL

A selective progesterone receptor modulator with

antiprogesterin effects that can cause 5 d in delay of ovulation. There are studies showing that it can cause endometrial changes, however it remains controversial if it inhibits implantation. Ullipristal is used as a single dose of 30 mg. It is the most effective ECP in Europe and United States with an efficacy of 62%-85%^[29-31]. Ullipristal is effective in the late follicular phase in which a rise in the level of LH has begun but no peak was achieved. In a meta-analysis comparing the efficiencies of levonorgestrel and ullipristal, the latter was shown to be higher at 0-24, 0-72 and 0-120 h after intercourse with no differences in side effect profiles^[31].

COPPER-INDUCED INTRAUTERINE DEVICES

Implantation occurs 6-12 d following ovulation. Intra-uterine devices may prevent pregnancy when applied 5 d after ovulation. Copper induced intrauterine devices (IUD) are the most effective emergency contraceptives with a major advantage for continuous contraceptive effect. In a multi-centre study with 1013 patients, its efficiency was 96.9% when used 120 h after intercourse^[32]. The contraindications of applying an IUD at the same day of intercourse are acute cervicitis and other known medical contraindications. It should be applied 5 d after unprotected sexual intercourse, however there is limited data suggesting that the efficiency continues to 7 d^[33].

OTHER INTRAUTERINE CONTRACEPTIVE METHODS

No data was found in the literature about the use of LNG-IUDs in emergency contraception. It was not recommended for this indication^[34,35]. The LNG-IUDs can only be applied after the exclusion of pregnancy and only if the patient is 7 d or more into the menstrual cycle. If not, an additional contraceptive method or ECP for 7 d is recommended^[35].

The frameless copper IUDs produced for adolescents and nullipar women (GyneFix® 330) have the same efficiency as ordinary copper IUDs in emergency contraception^[36].

THE FACTORS CHANGING THE EFFICIENCY OF EMERGENCY CONTRACEPTIVES

Timing of treatment

Combined and progestin-based emergency contraceptives should be used as soon as possible (0-72 h) to enhance the efficacy^[37]. Both drugs can be started up to 120 h after sex, but patients must be informed about the reduced activity after 96 h^[38]. Ullipristal is the only approved oral agent for emergency contraception

from 72-120 h. Copper IUDs can be used for up to 5 d because of the post-fertilization effects. A systematic review reported the efficiency of IUDs up to 7 d, but there is limited data supporting this claim^[39].

Body mass index

The efficiency of levonorgestrel ECP is decreased in obese women with an increase in unwanted pregnancies; however, no such effect was seen for ulipristal^[39]. Because of these results, one commercial form of levonorgestrel added a warning of reduced efficiency in patients over 75 kg. After revision of the study, the European Medicines Agency described no reduction, and the warning was removed^[40].

SAFETY

There is no physical examination or laboratory testing required before the use of oral progestin-based emergency contraceptives beyond the unnecessary pregnancy test unless there is no doubt of pregnancy due to symptoms or last menstruation date. No teratogenic effects on the foetus or side effects have been reported, however pregnancy must be excluded in cases using ulipristal or IUD.

Emergency contraception did not show any causal connection to death or serious complications. According to profit and loss rate data from the United States Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use (US MEC), no risk factors were reported to prevent the use of combined ECP and progestin-only pills^[34,35]. ECP can be used in patients with lactation or a history of ectopic pregnancy, cardiovascular disease, migraine, and liver disease. ECPs can be used in patients contraindicated for combined oral contraceptives because of the short use time and lower total hormone dose^[35]. Ullipristal acetate, progestin-only ECP, or copper-induced IUDs are preferred in women with changes in coagulation factors or a history of venous thromboembolism (VTE) or pulmonary embolism (PE). Repeated ECP use is safer than pregnancy even if there is no sufficient data for repeated ECP use. A warning to not repeat the dose in one menstrual cycle is included in the prospectus of ullipristal asetate^[41].

CONTRAINDICATIONS

The contraindications for hormonal contraceptives cannot be adapted to women using emergency contraception. This is especially true in cases with cardiovascular diseases, thrombotic diseases, migraine, and liver disease. The advantages of use overpower the potential risks^[42,43]. These guides do not include ullipristal. The contraindications for use of ullipristal are suspected pregnancy, uncontrolled asthma and liver diseases. The contraindications for IUD are uterine distortion, active pelvic infection, allergy to copper, and suspected pregnancy.

SIDE EFFECTS

Nausea-vomiting, abdominal pain, breast tenderness, headache, dizziness and weakness that regressed spontaneously in 24 h are the major side effects. Nausea and vomiting are seen in nearly half and 20% of the patients using combined ECP, respectively. Nausea and vomiting are less common in levonorgestrel than in combined ECP^[12].

Some authors suggest a repeated dose in case of vomiting. This should be given 2 h later. In combined ECPs containing E/P, a repeated oral levonorgestrel and ullipristal dose is given at 1 h and 3 h after vomiting, respectively. Medication can be used to prevent nausea but it has no effect on dizziness. Vaginal administration is described, but the efficiency remains unclear. The application of the IUD is preferred instead.

ECPs containing levonorgestrel may shorten menstrual cycle when used in the early days of the cycle. ECPs have no effect on the duration of the cycle, but the duration of bleeding may extend to the next cycle. The duration of the menstrual cycle extends when used in periovulatory and postovulatory phases. Inter menstrual bleeding is seen in 15% of patients.

EFFECTS ON PREGNANCY

In a study of 332 pregnant women who used levonorgestrel in during the conception phase, there was no rise in birth defects^[44]. ECPs do not increase the risk of extrauterine pregnancy in future pregnancies^[45,46].

USE IN LACTATION

There is no restriction on the use of levonorgestrel or combined ECPs in lactating women^[44]. In a study researching the pharmacokinetics of the use of 1.5 mg levonorgestrel for emergency contraception in lactating women, it is recommended to break from breastfeeding for 8 h during the excretion of levonorgestrel to breast milk. Feeding should restart in 24 h^[47].

In a study comparing efficiencies of progestin-only oral contraceptives and progestin-only ECPs in lactating women, no difference was detected in maternal and foetal effects^[48]. Seven days hiatus from breastfeeding is advised after a single dose of ullipristal. The milk must be collected and discarded during this time to continue to stimulate lactation^[49].

DRUG INTERACTIONS

Drugs that induce liver enzymes may reduce the efficiency of levonorgestrel and ullipristal. Therefore, IUDs may be recommended for emergency contraception in patients using drugs inducing liver enzymes such as anti-epileptics and antiviral agents in the last 28 d^[50]. In addition, ullipristal should not be

used with drugs increasing gastric pH.

PROCEEDING OR STARTING HORMONAL CONTRACEPTION

Because of the unknown duration of emergency contraceptive effects, it is unclear how to proceed with continuous contraception at the same menstrual cycle. The patient must be informed about using a safe contraceptive method^[51]. According to pharmacokinetic studies and expert opinions, barrier methods and hormonal contraceptives may be started after application of emergency contraception. In the first seven days of hormonal contraceptives, an additional failsafe method must be used.

The use of long-term contraceptives is not recommended prior to exclusion of pregnancy. The efficiency of hormonal contraceptives may decrease in patients using ulipristal depending on the progesterone antagonistic effect. The FDA does not recommend the use of hormonal contraceptives within 5 d of ulipristal^[52].

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Prostaglandin inhibitors

Cyclooxygenase enzyme (COX-1 and COX-2) inhibitors have female reproductive functions of oocyte maturation and ovulation. Many studies targeting this effect in emergency contraception are still underway. Studies have shown 15 mg of meloxicam with 1.5 mg levonorgestrel is more effective on follicular rupture in 5 d in patients with a follicular size over 15 mm than levonorgestrel only^[53,54]. In addition, a 400 mg dose of celecoxib may delay or prevent luteal changes^[55].

CONCLUSION

Emergency contraception is the last chance to prevent pregnancy after unprotected sexual intercourse or contraceptive failure. The efficiency increases by starting the medication as soon as possible. It is important to remember the rate of unsuccessful contraception and to exclude pregnancy especially in obese or drug-contraindicated patients. Of course, patients must be informed and encouraged to use regular and effective contraception.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Stanford JB**, Mikolajczyk RT. Mechanisms of action of intrauterine devices: update and estimation of postfertilization effects. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002; **187**: 1699-1708 [PMID: 12501086 DOI: 10.1067/mob.2002.128091]
- 2 **Trussell J**, Rodríguez G, Ellertson C. New estimates of the effectiveness of the Yuzpe regimen of emergency contraception. *Contraception* 1998; **57**: 363-369 [PMID: 9693395 DOI: 10.1016/S0010-7824(98)00042-0]
- 3 **World Health Organization**. Fact sheet No. 244 on Emergency Contraception. 2005. Available from: URL: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs244/en/>
- 4 **Ling WY**, Robichaud A, Zayid I, Wrixon W, MacLeod SC. Mode of action of DL-norgestrel and ethinylestradiol combination in postcoital contraception. *Fertil Steril* 1979; **32**: 297-302 [PMID: 488410]
- 5 **Rowlands S**, Kubba AA, Guillebaud J, Bounds W. A possible mechanism of action of danazol and an ethinylestradiol/norgestrel combination used as postcoital contraceptive agents. *Contraception* 1986; **33**: 539-545 [PMID: 3533419 DOI: 10.1016/0010-7824(86)90042-9]
- 6 **Yuzpe AA**, Thurlow HJ, Ramzy I, Leyshon JI. Post coital contraception--A pilot study. *J Reprod Med* 1974; **13**: 53-58 [PMID: 4844513]
- 7 **Ling WY**, Wrixon W, Acorn T, Wilson E, Collins J. Mode of action of dl-norgestrel and ethinylestradiol combination in postcoital contraception. III. Effect of preovulatory administration following the luteinizing hormone surge on ovarian steroidogenesis. *Fertil Steril* 1983; **40**: 631-636 [PMID: 6628707]
- 8 **Croxatto HB**, Devoto L, Durand M, Ezcurra E, Larrea F, Nagle C, Ortiz ME, Vantman D, Vega M, von Hertzen H. Mechanism of action of hormonal preparations used for emergency contraception: a review of the literature. *Contraception* 2001; **63**: 111-121 [PMID: 11368982 DOI: 10.1016/S0010-7824(01)00184-6]
- 9 **Croxatto HB**, Ortiz ME, Müller AL. Mechanisms of action of emergency contraception. *Steroids* 2003; **68**: 1095-1098 [PMID: 14668003 DOI: 10.1016/j.steroids.2003.07.007]
- 10 **Ellertson C**, Webb A, Blanchard K, Bigrigg A, Haskell S, Shochet T, Trussell J. Modifying the Yuzpe regimen of emergency contraception: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003; **101**: 1160-1167 [PMID: 12798518 DOI: 10.1016/S0029-7844(03)00353-3]
- 11 **Trussell J**, Rodríguez G, Ellertson C. Updated estimates of the effectiveness of the Yuzpe regimen of emergency contraception. *Contraception* 1999; **59**: 147-151 [PMID: 10382076 DOI: 10.1016/S0010-7824(99)00018-9]
- 12 Randomised controlled trial of levonorgestrel versus the Yuzpe regimen of combined oral contraceptives for emergency contraception. Task Force on Postovulatory Methods of Fertility Regulation. *Lancet* 1998; **352**: 428-433 [PMID: 9708750 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(98)05145-9]
- 13 **Durand M**, del Carmen Cravioto M, Raymond EG, Durán-Sánchez O, De la Luz Cruz-Hinojosa M, Castell-Rodríguez A, Schiavon R, Larrea F. On the mechanisms of action of short-term levonorgestrel administration in emergency contraception. *Contraception* 2001; **64**: 227-234 [PMID: 11747872 DOI: 10.1016/S0010-7824(01)00250-5]
- 14 **Marions L**, Hulténby K, Lindell I, Sun X, Ståbi B, Gemzell Danielsson K. Emergency contraception with mifepristone and levonorgestrel: mechanism of action. *Obstet Gynecol* 2002; **100**: 65-71 [PMID: 12100805]
- 15 **Marions L**, Cekan SZ, Bygdeman M, Gemzell-Danielsson K. Effect of emergency contraception with levonorgestrel or mifepristone on ovarian function. *Contraception* 2004; **69**: 373-377 [PMID: 15105059 DOI: 10.1016/j.contraception.2003.11.018]
- 16 **Okewole IA**, Arowojolu AO, Odusoga OL, Oloyede OA, Adeleye OA, Salu J, Dada OA. Effect of single administration of levonorgestrel on the menstrual cycle. *Contraception* 2007; **75**: 372-377 [PMID: 17434019 DOI: 10.1016/j.contraception.2007.01.019]
- 17 **do Nascimento JA**, Seppala M, Perdigão A, Espejo-Arce X, Munuce MJ, Hautala L, Koistinen R, Andrade L, Bahamondes L. In vivo assessment of the human sperm acrosome reaction and the expression of glycodelin-A in human endometrium after levonorgestrel-emergency contraceptive pill administration. *Hum Reprod* 2007; **22**: 2190-2195 [PMID: 17537781 DOI: 10.1093/humrep/dem119]
- 18 **Palomino WA**, Kohen P, Devoto L. A single midcycle dose of levonorgestrel similar to emergency contraceptive does not alter the expression of the L-selectin ligand or molecular markers of endometrial receptivity. *Fertil Steril* 2010; **94**: 1589-1594 [PMID: 19909947]
- 19 **von Hertzen H**, Piaggio G, Ding J, Chen J, Song S, Bárfai G, Ng E, Gemzell-Danielsson K, Oyunbileg A, Wu S, Cheng W, Lüdick F, Pretnar-Darovec A, Kirkman R, Mittal S, Khomassuridze A,

- Apter D, Peregoudov A. Low dose mifepristone and two regimens of levonorgestrel for emergency contraception: a WHO multicentre randomised trial. *Lancet* 2002; **360**: 1803-1810 [PMID: 12480356]
- 20 Arowojolu AO, Okewole IA, Adekunle AO. Comparative evaluation of the effectiveness and safety of two regimens of levonorgestrel for emergency contraception in Nigerians. *Contraception* 2002; **66**: 269-273 [PMID: 12413624 DOI: 10.1016/S0010-7824(02)00337-2]
- 21 Ngai SW, Fan S, Li S, Cheng L, Ding J, Jing X, Ng EH, Ho PC. A randomized trial to compare 24 h versus 12 h double dose regimen of levonorgestrel for emergency contraception. *Hum Reprod* 2005; **20**: 307-311 [PMID: 15567882 DOI: 10.1093/humrep/deh583]
- 22 Glasier A. Emergency postcoital contraception. *N Engl J Med* 1997; **337**: 1058-1064 [PMID: 9321535 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199710093371507]
- 23 Glasier A, Thong KJ, Dewar M, Mackie M, Baird DT. Mifepristone (RU 486) compared with high-dose estrogen and progestogen for emergency postcoital contraception. *N Engl J Med* 1992; **327**: 1041-1044 [PMID: 1522839 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199210083271501]
- 24 Webb AM, Russell J, Elstein M. Comparison of Yuzpe regimen, danazol, and mifepristone (RU486) in oral postcoital contraception. *BMJ* 1992; **305**: 927-931 [PMID: 1458074 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.305.6859.927]
- 25 Comparison of three single doses of mifepristone as emergency contraception: a randomised trial. Task Force on Postovulatory Methods of Fertility Regulation. *Lancet* 1999; **353**: 697-702 [PMID: 10073511 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(98)07190-6]
- 26 Hamoda H, Ashok PW, Stalder C, Flett GM, Kennedy E, Templeton A. A randomized trial of mifepristone (10 mg) and levonorgestrel for emergency contraception. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004; **104**: 1307-1313 [PMID: 15572495 DOI: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000146286.60138.47]
- 27 Mittal S. Interventions for emergency contraception: RHL commentary. The WHO Reproductive Health Library; Geneva: World Health Organization, 2008
- 28 Cheng L, Che Y, Gülmezoglu AM. Interventions for emergency contraception. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; **8**: CD001324 [PMID: 22895920]
- 29 Creinin MD, Schlaff W, Archer DF, Wan L, Freziers R, Thomas M, Rosenberg M, Higgins J. Progesterone receptor modulator for emergency contraception: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006; **108**: 1089-1097 [PMID: 17077229 DOI: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000239440.02284.45]
- 30 Fine P, Mathé H, Ginde S, Cullins V, Morfesis J, Gainer E. Ulipristal acetate taken 48-120 hours after intercourse for emergency contraception. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010; **115**: 257-263 [PMID: 20093897 DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181c8e2aa]
- 31 Glasier AF, Cameron ST, Fine PM, Logan SJ, Casale W, Van Horn J, Sogor L, Blithe DL, Scherrer B, Mathe H, Jaspert A, Ulmann A, Gainer E. Ulipristal acetate versus levonorgestrel for emergency contraception: a randomised non-inferiority trial and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2010; **375**: 555-562 [PMID: 20116841]
- 32 Zhou L, Xiao B. Emergency contraception with Multiload Cu-375 SL IUD: a multicenter clinical trial. *Contraception* 2001; **64**: 107-112 [PMID: 11704087 DOI: 10.1016/S0010-7824(01)00231-1]
- 33 Cleland K, Zhu H, Goldstuck N, Cheng L, Trussell J. The efficacy of intrauterine devices for emergency contraception: a systematic review of 35 years of experience. *Hum Reprod* 2012; **27**: 1994-2000 [PMID: 22570193 DOI: 10.1093/humrep/des140]
- 34 Emergency Contraception: A Last Chance to Prevent Unintended Pregnancy. [accessed Mar 2015]. Available from: URL: <http://ec.princeton.edu/questions/ec-review>
- 35 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. U S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2010. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2010; **59**: 1-86 [PMID: 20559203]
- 36 D'Souza RE, Masters T, Bounds W, Guillebaud J. Randomised controlled trial assessing the acceptability of GyneFix versus Gyne-T380S for emergency contraception. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care* 2003; **29**: 23-29 [PMID: 12681033]
- 37 Piaggio G, von Hertzen H, Grimes DA, Van Look PF. Timing of emergency contraception with levonorgestrel or the Yuzpe regimen. Task Force on Postovulatory Methods of Fertility Regulation. *Lancet* 1999; **353**: 721 [PMID: 10073517 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(98)05718-3]
- 38 Piaggio G, Kapp N, von Hertzen H. Effect on pregnancy rates of the delay in the administration of levonorgestrel for emergency contraception: a combined analysis of four WHO trials. *Contraception* 2011; **84**: 35-39 [PMID: 21664508 DOI: 10.1016/j.contraception.2010.11.010]
- 39 Glasier A, Cameron ST, Blithe D, Scherrer B, Mathe H, Levy D, Gainer E, Ulmann A. Can we identify women at risk of pregnancy despite using emergency contraception? Data from randomized trials of ulipristal acetate and levonorgestrel. *Contraception* 2011; **84**: 363-367 [PMID: 21920190 DOI: 10.1016/j.contraception.2011.02.009]
- 40 European Medicines Agency. [accessed 2014 Jul 24]. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/news_and_events/news/2014/07/news_detail_002145.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058004d5c1
- 41 Food and Drug Administration. Highlights of prescribing information. [accessed 2010 Aug 17]. Available from: URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2010/022474s0001bl.pdf
- 42 World Health Organization. Sexual and reproductive health. [accessed 2005 Dec 06]. Available from: URL: <http://www.who.int/reproductive-health/publications/mec/>
- 43 van Rooijen M, Silveira A, Thomassen S, Hansson LO, Rosing J, Hamsten A, Bremme K. Rapid activation of haemostasis after hormonal emergency contraception. *Thromb Haemost* 2007; **97**: 15-20 [PMID: 17200765 DOI: 10.1016/s0049-3848(07)70114-7]
- 44 Zhang L, Chen J, Wang Y, Ren F, Yu W, Cheng L. Pregnancy outcome after levonorgestrel-only emergency contraception failure: a prospective cohort study. *Hum Reprod* 2009; **24**: 1605-1611 [PMID: 19336440 DOI: 10.1093/humrep/dep076]
- 45 Trussell J, Hedley A, Raymond E. Ectopic pregnancy following use of progestin-only ECPs. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care* 2003; **29**: 249 [PMID: 14662065 DOI: 10.1783/147118903101197944]
- 46 Cleland K, Raymond E, Trussell J, Cheng L, Zhu H. Ectopic pregnancy and emergency contraceptive pills: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010; **115**: 1263-1266 [PMID: 20502299 DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181dd22ef]
- 47 Gainer E, Massai R, Lillo S, Reyes V, Forcelledo ML, Caviedes R, Villarroel C, Bouyer J. Levonorgestrel pharmacokinetics in plasma and milk of lactating women who take 1.5 mg for emergency contraception. *Hum Reprod* 2007; **22**: 1578-1584 [PMID: 17337471 DOI: 10.1093/humrep/dem034]
- 48 Polakow-Farkash S, Gilad O, Merlob P, Stahl B, Yogev Y, Klinger G. Levonorgestrel used for emergency contraception during lactation-a prospective observational cohort study on maternal and infant safety. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2013; **26**: 219-221 [PMID: 22928541 DOI: 10.3109/14767058.2012.722730]
- 49 Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare, Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Use of Ulipristal Acetate (ellaOne®) in Breastfeeding Women. Clinical Effectiveness Unit, 2013
- 50 Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare Clinical Guidance. Emergency contraception. [accessed 2012 Jan 26]. Available from: URL: <http://www.fsrh.org/pdfs/CEUguidanceEmergencyContraception11.pdf>
- 51 Salcedo J, Rodriguez MI, Curtis KM, Kapp N. When can a woman resume or initiate contraception after taking emergency contraceptive pills? A systematic review. *Contraception* 2013; **87**: 602-604 [PMID: 22995538 DOI: 10.1016/j.contraception.2012.08.013]
- 52 Ulipristal acetate. US FDA approved product information. National Library of Medicine. [Accessed 2015 Mar 18]. Available from: URL: <http://www.dailymed.nlm.nih.gov>
- 53 Massai MR, Forcelledo ML, Brache V, Tejada AS, Salvatierra AM, Reyes MV, Alvarez F, Faúndes A, Croxatto HB. Does meloxicam increase the incidence of anovulation induced by single administration of levonorgestrel in emergency contraception? A pilot study. *Hum Reprod* 2007; **22**: 434-439 [PMID: 16980507 DOI: 10.1093/humrep/del369]

- 54 **Brache V**, Cochon L, Deniaud M, Croxatto HB. Ulipristal acetate prevents ovulation more effectively than levonorgestrel: analysis of pooled data from three randomized trials of emergency contraception regimens. *Contraception* 2013; **88**: 611-618 [PMID: 23809278 DOI: 10.1016/j.contraception.2013.05.010]
- 55 **Edelman AB**, Jensen JT, Hennebold JD. A nonhormonal model for emergency contraception: prostaglandin synthesis inhibitor effects on luteal function and lifespan, a pilot study. *Contraception* 2010; **81**: 496-500 [PMID: 20472116 DOI: 10.1016/j.contraception.2010.01.004]

P- Reviewer: Yokoyama Y, Zhang XQ **S- Editor:** Ji FF
L- Editor: A **E- Editor:** Wang CH





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx>

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

