World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

World J Crit Care Med 2022 May 9; 11(3): 115-200



Contents

Bimonthly Volume 11 Number 3 May 9, 2022

EDITORIAL

115 Cough as a neurological sign: What a clinician should know Al-Biltagi M, Bediwy AS, Saeed NK

MINIREVIEWS

129 Presentation and outcome of myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries in coronavirus disease 2019

John K, Lal A, Sharma N, ElMeligy A, Mishra AK

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

139 Plasma D-dimer level in early and late-onset neonatal sepsis Al-Biltagi M, Hantash EM, El-Shanshory MR, Badr EA, Zahra M, Anwar MH

Retrospective Study

149 Stress cardiomyopathy in critical care: A case series of 109 patients

Pancholi P, Emami N, Fazzari MJ, Kapoor S

Observational Study

- 160 Need for oxygen therapy and ventilatory support in premature infants in a hospital in Southern Brazil Meier A, Kock KS
- 169 Critical care practices in the world: Results of the global intensive care unit need assessment survey 2020 Nawaz FA, Deo N, Surani S, Maynard W, Gibbs ML, Kashyap R

META-ANALYSIS

178 Diuretic combinations in critically ill patients with respiratory failure: A systematic review and metaanalysis

Côté JM, Goulamhoussen N, McMahon BA, Murray PT

CASE REPORT

192 Ball-shaped right atrial mass in renal cell carcinoma: A case report

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Pothiawala S, deSilva S, Norbu K

198 Ideal scoring system for acute pancreatitis: Quest for the Holy Grail Juneja D



Contents

Bimonthly Volume 11 Number 3 May 9, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Critical Care Medicine, Athanasia Papazafiropoulou, MD, MSc, PhD, Attending Doctor, Research Scientist, 1st Department of Internal Medicine and Diabetes Center, Tzaneio General Hospital of Piraeus, Piraeus 18536, Greece. pathan@ath.forthnet.gr

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of the World Journal of Critical Care Medicine (WJCCM, World J Crit Care Med) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of critical care medicine with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCCM mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of critical care medicine and covering a wide range of topics including acute kidney failure, acute respiratory distress syndrome and mechanical ventilation, application of bronchofiberscopy in critically ill patients, cardiopulmonary cerebral resuscitation, coagulant dysfunction, continuous renal replacement therapy, fluid resuscitation and tissue perfusion, hemodynamic monitoring and circulatory support, ICU management and treatment control, sedation and analgesia, severe infection, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCCM is now indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Li-Li Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ISSN 2220-3141 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

February 4, 2012

FREQUENCY

Bimonthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Hua-Dong Wang

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3141/editorialboard.htm

PUBLICATION DATE

May 9, 2022

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

PUBLICATION ETHICS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239

ONLINE SUBMISSION

https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Crit Care Med 2022 May 9; 11(3): 169-177

ISSN 2220-3141 (online) DOI: 10.5492/wiccm.v11.i3.169

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Observational Study

Critical care practices in the world: Results of the global intensive care unit need assessment survey 2020

Faisal A Nawaz, Neha Deo, Salim Surani, William Maynard, Martin L Gibbs, Rahul Kashyap

Specialty type: Critical care medicine

Provenance and peer review:

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B, B, B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Lopes-Junior LC, Brazil; Sánchez JIA, Colombia

Received: September 3, 2021 Peer-review started: September 3,

First decision: December 2, 2021 Revised: December 11, 2021 Accepted: March 6, 2022 Article in press: March 6, 2022 Published online: May 9, 2022



Faisal A Nawaz, College of Medicine, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences, Dubai 505055, United Arab Emirates

Neha Deo, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic Alix School of Medicine, Rochester, MN 55905, United States

Salim Surani, Rahul Kashyap, Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, United States

Salim Surani, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, United States

William Maynard, Rahul Kashyap, Internal Medicine, TriStar Centennial Medical Center, HCA Healthcare, Nashville, TN 37203, United States

Martin L Gibbs, Pulmonary and Critical Care, Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA 70112, United States

Corresponding author: Salim Surani, FACP, FCCP, MD, MSc, Doctor, Professor, Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St. SW, Rochester, MN 55905, United States. srsurani@hotmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

There is variability in intensive care unit (ICU) resources and staffing worldwide. This may reflect variation in practice and outcomes across all health systems.

To improve research and quality improvement measures administrative leaders can create long-term strategies by understanding the nature of ICU practices on a global scale.

METHODS

The Global ICU Needs Assessment Research Group was formed on the basis of diversified skill sets. We aimed to survey sites regarding ICU type, availability of staffing, and adherence to critical care protocols. An international survey 'Global ICU Needs Assessment' was created using Google Forms, and this was distributed from February 17th, 2020 till September 23rd, 2020. The survey was shared with ICU providers in 34 countries. Various approaches to motivating healthcare providers were implemented in securing submissions, including use of

169

emails, phone calls, social media applications, and WhatsApp™. By completing this survey, providers gave their consent for research purposes. This study was deemed eligible for category-2 Institutional Review Board exempt status.

RESULTS

There were a total 121 adult/adult-pediatrics ICU responses from 34 countries in 76 cities. A majority of the ICUs were mixed medical-surgical [92 (76%)]. 108 (89%) were adult-only ICUs. Total 36 respondents (29.8%) were 31-40 years of age, with 79 (65%) male and 41 (35%) female participants. 89 were consultants (74%). A total of 71 (59%) respondents reported having a 24-h inhouse intensivist. A total of 87 (72%) ICUs were reported to have either a 2:1 or \geq 2:1 patient/nurse ratio. About 44% of the ICUs were open and 76% were mixed type (medical-surgical). Protocols followed regularly by the ICUs included sepsis care (82%), ventilator-associated pneumonia (79%); nutrition (76%), deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis (84%), stress ulcer prophylaxis (84%), and glycemic control (89%).

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of this international, multi-dimensional, needs-assessment survey, there is a need for increased recruitment and staffing in critical care facilities, along with improved patient-to-nurse ratios. Future research is warranted in this field with focus on implementing appropriate health standards, protocols and resources for optimal efficiency in critical care worldwide.

Key Words: Intensive care unit; Critical care; Global; Survey; Intensive care unit survey; Intensive care unit needs

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Intensive care unit (ICU) practices are variable across the world. Most common admitting diagnoses for ICUs worldwide are similar to Western reporting in literature. We aimed to survey sites regarding ICU type, availability of staffing, and adherence to critical care protocols. There is variable protocol penetration for processes of care in ICUs. Future research is warranted in this field with focus on implementing appropriate health standards, protocols and resources for optimal efficiency in critical care worldwide.

Citation: Nawaz FA, Deo N, Surani S, Maynard W, Gibbs ML, Kashyap R. Critical care practices in the world: Results of the global intensive care unit need assessment survey 2020. *World J Crit Care Med* 2022; 11(3): 169-177

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3141/full/v11/i3/169.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5492/wjccm.v11.i3.169

INTRODUCTION

Critical care is defined by varying practices across countries worldwide. This is affected by multi-factorial trends in epidemiology, finance, and cultural and human resources that in turn influence patient outcomes[1].

Intensive care units (ICUs) are at the center of diverse practices in health systems around the world. Their needs are dictated by hierarchical arrangements, resource designation, patient demographics, and health practices, including the allied goals of health providers[2]. With a necessity for standardization deemed essential for efficiency and high-quality patient care, it is vital to understand the context of epidemiological variability, resource accessibility, and local health practices[3] in such sophisticated settings. Moreover, the current understanding and comparison of clinical practices, guidelines, equipment, and facilities available in different countries can help identify potential areas of quality improvement *via* protocol development and enhancement of unified care delivery. Current literature on this topic can be found in developed countries[4,5]; however, it is significantly limited in multinational settings[6-8] on a global level.

We aimed to delineate the critical care practices that are found worldwide and their characteristics, including staffing, ICU resources, and adherence to protocols. This study sets a novel benchmark in sharing insights on key areas of critical care by highlighting the state of ICUs across different countries and understanding the trends in contemporary health systems. By defining gaps in knowledge, resources, and protocols, this study can facilitate the development of best practice strategies and thereby

lay a strong foundation for critical care provision worldwide[9].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

This was a cross-sectional, multinational, survey-based study. We proposed the formation of a multidisciplinary, diverse team of skilled researchers who established the "Global ICU Needs Assessment Research Group".

A questionnaire was developed under the guidance of this research group with the goal of evaluating most common patient presentations, and resource needs in terms of ICU equipment and assisting technology.

Study variables

Furthermore, we asked about other variables, such as the availability of intensivists, residents, fellows, 12-h in-house intensivists, and patient/nurse ratio, along with other demographics of those surveyed, such as their level of qualification, duration of clinical experience, and overall expertise in this field. It was also deemed crucial to include outcome variables, such as mechanical ventilation (MV) duration, MV mortality, ICU length of stay, ICU mortality, and sepsis mortality as well. Using a pilot study approach, we implemented this strategy within a randomized group of ICU clinicians before proceeding with the main study phase. This was done for internal validation purposes in the form of a survey shown in the digital supplement.

Sample of convenience was done. Intensivists were contacted using social media platforms and personal networking and *via* critical care societies. The survey was designed using GoogleTM forms online and sent out from February 17th, 2020 to 23rd September, 2020, to critical care professionals in 34 countries worldwide (Figure 1). The need for regular follow-ups and motivation within critical care professionals was a vital factor to this study. This was achieved by leveraging various online platforms, such as e-mail and social media applications including WhatsAppTM[20].

Using a diverse set of researchers, critical care physicians, and digital platforms, a sample of 122 ICUs was acquired through this questionnaire.

Statistical analyses

The responses were presented as stratified data in the form of mean, with standard deviation, or median with interquartile range. It was also deemed necessary to include relevant pictographic presentation of this data.

Descriptive statistical analysis was used after obtaining eligibility for category-2 Institutional Review Board exempt status.

ICU practices at a given healthcare facility, including details about the respondents and demographics of the facility. The survey asked about the state of the ICU being open or closed, type of patients receiving care, number of ICU beds, protocols implemented for efficient practice.

RESULTS

The respondents of this survey primarily reflected a young adult population, with the respondents of this survey primarily reflected a young adult population with the greatest proportion 31-40 years old and males representing the majority, n = 79 (65%) with an average ICU experience of 3 years. Moreover, consultants were the main constituents of the survey respondents at n = 89 (74%), followed by residents from post-graduate year 3 and above (18, 15%). The ICU settings were mostly designed as a mixed medical-surgical environment (92, 76%) in academic teaching hospitals (38, 32%) with an average of 16 (interquartile range 11-20) beds. Furthermore, the ICUs were commonly open type, (53, 44%) (Table 1).

The need for intensivists and nurses to lead critical care is noted worldwide[1]. The analysis showed a patient/nurse ratio of 2:1 being implemented in the majority (55%) of the ICU units, and only (10%) of responders were following a 1:1 nursing care approach. Moreover, 34% of ICUs, which typically functioned at 2:1 patient/nurse ratios, transferred to 1:1 for complicated cases. There was also a significant number of ICUs (20, 16.5%) working with more than a 2:1 patient/nurse ratio. It is also noteworthy that a vast majority of the ICUs (101, 84%) were led by certified intensivists with 24-h intensivists deployed in 71 (59%) of the ICUs for optimal patient care. Other notable providers were residents/fellows/medical students active in 101 (84%) ICU units (Table 2).

Critical care was driven by protocols that were followed within all ICU facilities. There was a strong predominance of protocols for Advanced Cardiac Life Support (93%), glucose control (89%), stress ulcer prophylaxis (84%), deep vein thrombosis (DVT) prophylaxis (84%) and sepsis care (82%). The protocols least reported included palliative care/end of life (44%), acute lung injury (55%), transfusion restriction (59%), hypothermia after cardiac arrest (61%), and delirium (67%) (Table 3).

Table 1 Demographic variables Responses in % (n = 121) Demographic variables Age (yr) 31-40 29.8 41-50 23.1 20-30 23.1 > 50 24.0 Gender Male 65.3 Female 34.7 Intensive care unit experience (yr) < 10 50.4 10-20 35.5 21-30 9.9 > 30 4.1 Designation Consultant staff 73.6 Resident-PGY-3 and above 14 9 Resident-PGY-1 5.0 Resident-PGY-2 6.6 Intensive care unit specialty wise distribution Mixed medical-surgical 76.0 Medical 7.4 Others Institution type Private/non-academic 16.5 Government hospital (tertiary care) 19.8 Academic teaching hospital 31.5 Corporate teaching hospital 8.2 Other 0.9 Number of intensive care unit beds < 11 28.1 11-20 31.4 21-30 23.1 > 30 17.4 Intensive care unit type Open 43.8 Closed 56.2

PGY-3: Post-graduate year 3.

The sample population was analyzed across a total 121 adult/adult-pediatrics ICU responses from 34 countries in 76 cities. Distribution of the respondents was spread amongst North America (41.3%), Asia (30.5%), Europe (18.2%), Africa (5.8%), South Africa (2.6%) and Oceania (1.6%) (Figure 1).

172

Table 2 Clinical resource parameters	
Clinical resource parameters	Responses in % (<i>n</i> = 121)
Patient/nurse ratio (n)	
Usually 2:1 (for complicated patients 1:1) ($n = 41$)	33.9
2:1 (<i>n</i> = 26)	21.5
> 2:1 (n = 20)	16.5
1:1 (n = 31)	25.6
No fixed patient/nurse ($n = 3$)	2.5
24 h in-house intensivist ($n = 71$)	58.7
Certified intensivist ($n = 101$)	83.5
Residents/fellows/medical students rotate through or cover intensive care units along with staff intensivists (<i>n</i> = 101)	83.5

Table 3 Critical care protocols self-reporting							
High (%)		Medium (%)		Low (%)			
Glucose control	89.3	Daily interruption of sedation	69.4	Palliative care/end of Life	43.8		
Advanced cardiac life support	93.4	Acute coronary syndrome	81.0	Delirium	66.9		
Deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis	83.5	Acute lung injury	54.5	Early mobility	68.6		
Stress ulcer prophylaxis	83.5	Transfusion restriction	58.7	Hypothermia after cardiac arrest	61.2		
Severe sepsis	81.7						
Ventilator-associated pneumonia bundle	78.5						
Nutrition	76.0						

The most common diagnoses for patients admitted into the ICU settings in this study included sepsis (88%), respiratory failure (88%) and heart failure (55%), as shown in Table 4.

The average ICU mortality (n = 36) assessed in this survey was 14% (interquartile range 2-40); ICU length of stay (n = 41) was 5.2 d (interquartile range 2-21); mechanical ventilation (MV) duration (n = 34)was 4.3 d (1-15); MV patient mortality (n = 27) was 20% (1-64) and sepsis mortality (n = 27) was at 21% (5-70) across the survey respondents (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

In a multi-national study that evaluates the critical care practices of 121 ICUs in 34 countries, the majority of the centers were from mixed medical-surgical or medical practices, with consultants comprising the majority of respondents. The most common diagnoses included sepsis/septic shock and respiratory failure. The largest proportion of responders were young adult males who identified as intensivists, suggesting that this field is expanding to include more learners who are early in their

Considering that this was a multinational study, it is important to note that local practices and resources may vary between different regions. A lack of resources may limit the total number of beds available, or even result in a lower number of monthly admissions[10] in a given center relative to other regions. Because financial resources may influence how patients are triaged or how the healthcare organization is structured[11], it is important to keep this in mind when evaluating multi-center data from different countries.

The predominant diagnosis in the ICU was sepsis. Studies show that sepsis has a mortality rate varying from 13% to 39%[12]. The second most common diagnosis was respiratory failure, with studies indicating a mortality rate of 26.2%[13]. Both sepsis and respiratory failure followed the same trend that is observed in country-specific ICU studies[14]. Considering that the mortality rates of both diseases are so high, it is imperative that ICUs are equipped with the resources and training to achieve best practice

Many of the reported surveys were from individuals in mixed medical-surgical ICUs that were closed in nature and had 24-h intensivists. Additionally, the greatest number of the respondents reported

Table 4 Common diagnoses					
Common diagnoses	No	% of intensive care unit			
Sepsis or septic shock	106	87.6			
Respiratory failure	106	87.6			
Heart failure	67	55.4			
Post-operative observation	68	56.2			
Poisoning	15	12.4			
Head trauma	37	30.6			
Renal failure	46	38.0			
Alcohol withdrawal	13	10.7			
Epilepsy or uncontrolled seizures	18	14.9			
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation	37	30.6			
Hypertension	15	12.4			
Cardiogenic shock	37	30.6			
Electrolyte imbalance	20	16.5			
Hypotension or hypovolemic shock	44	36.4			
Heat stroke	4	3.3			

Table 5 Critical care outcomes	
Variables	Outcome
Intensive care unit mortality (response $n = 36$)	14%
Intensive care unit length of stay, in days (response $n = 41$)	5.2
Mechanical ventilation mortality (response $n = 27$)	19.5%
Mechanical ventilation duration, in days (response $n = 34$)	4.3
Sepsis mortality (response $n = 27$)	21.2%

having 11-20 beds in the ward. Most of these centers were within academic or privately-owned hospitals. Although it is believed that ICUs with more beds will achieve better optimal care, it is important to consider that more money shifted towards ICUs will limit funding to other departments [16]. This predominantly impacts areas of low-resource settings, which is why the median ICU beds in low-income countries is 8[7]. Closed ICUs are associated with better outcomes, such as shorter ICU stay and decreased ICU costs[17]. North America is reported to have the lowest amount of closed ICUs (63%), with Western Europe having the highest (89%) (17). Since closed ICUs require an intensivist working on site, more and more ICUs are now including a 24-h intensivist, which can lead to decreased risk of in-hospital death and rate of complications[1].

Respondents most often reported a patient/nurse ratio of 2:1, which flexed to 1:1 for complicated patients. In a study by Sakr et al[1] it was reported that a patient/nurse ratio of more than 1.5:1 was associated with a higher risk of in-hospital death. Adequate care in ICUs requires proper staffing of nurses. This can greatly impact patient outcomes, especially if there are limited nurses available to provide care[1]. A high patient/nurse ratio can result in more mistakes being made due to a stressful work environment and fatigue [18]. It is imperative that adequate staffing is provided to ICUs to best provide patient care in an optimized environment.

Kredo et al[19] noted that evidence-informed best practice guidelines are imperative to optimizing patient care. A multifaceted, team-based approach in the ICU is the best way of reinforcing these guidelines and developing strategies that can better manage the patient or prevent complications [15]. In our survey, we found that a majority of centers are able to follow best practice guidelines related to glucose control, advanced cardiac life support, DVT prophylaxis, and stress ulcer prophylaxis. However, challenges exist with protocols related to palliative care, acute lung injury, and transfusion restriction. It is important to address barriers to guideline adherence, which can differ from region to region. Some commonly reported barriers include lack of knowledge[20] or needing effective leadership to promote adoption of guidelines[21].



Figure 1 The survey was designed using Google™ forms online and sent out from February 17th, 2020 to 23td September, 2020, to critical care professionals in 34 countries worldwide. Created by mapchart.net.

Strengths

The strengths of this study include being one of the first multinational surveys to collect data from 34 countries during the pandemic of the century [22]. Having more regions participate in a survey like this is beneficial because it provides a snapshot of the ICU statistics in that area. A multi-center design allows for a broader range of data representing the resources of each area vs a single center study. These data can be used to evaluate current ICU resources and limitations worldwide and can therefore help administration create designs to optimize care for patients who are in the critical care unit. Such multinational collaborations would lead to robust data collection during pandemic and peace times [23-25].

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. First, since our primary recruitment method was through social media and networking at critical care societies, we may be missing out on data from remote areas or sites that did not see our recruitment invitation online. Second, as we had only 34 countries represented, a larger sample size from different geographical locations would allow us to understand the needs of the ICU in those regions better. Recall bias is also a factor in survey studies, as participants may not be able to fill in all the information as accurately as possible. Additionally, since this survey was filled out during the year of the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, ICUs may have been impacted or changed very drastically to meet the needs of their community. Therefore, the reported results may not accurately reflect ICU data prior to the pandemic. A final limitation to our study is that we did not stratify our data into geographical regions to evaluate differences from region to region. Further research could aim to delineate this data.

CONCLUSION

This international, multi-dimensional, needs-assessment survey reflects a need for increased recruitment and staffing in critical care facilities, along with improved patient-to-nurse ratios. Multi-center ICU data are imperative in designing future critical care delivery models that reflect the needs of the patient and address barriers to their care. Understanding current trends in health systems helps us develop quality improvement interventions that can lead to better outcomes in patients.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

There is variability in intensive care unit (ICU) resources and staffing worldwide. This may reflect variation in practice and outcomes across all health systems.

Research motivation

By understanding the nature of ICU practices on a global scale, administrative leaders can create longterm strategies for improved research and quality improvement measures.

Research objectives

We aimed to delineate the critical care practices that are found worldwide and their characteristics, including staffing, ICU resources, and adherence to protocols.

Research methods

An international survey 'Global ICU Needs Assessment 2020' was created using Google Forms, and this was distributed from February 17th, 2020 till September 23rd, 2020. The survey was shared with ICU providers in 34 countries.

Research results

There were a total 121 adult/adult-pediatrics ICU responses from 34 countries in 76 cities. A majority of the ICUs were mixed medical-surgical (92, 76%). 108 (89%) were adult-only ICUs. Total 36 respondents (29.8%) were 31-40 years of age, with 79 (65%) male and 41 (35%) female participants. 89 were consultants (74%). A total of 71 (59%) respondents reported having a 24-h in-house intensivist.

Research conclusions

Based on the findings of this international, multi-dimensional, needs-assessment survey, there is a need for increased recruitment and staffing in critical care facilities, along with improved patient-to-nurse ratios.

Research perspectives

Future research is warranted in this field with focus on implementing appropriate health standards, protocols and resources for optimal efficiency in critical care worldwide.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Nawaz FA, Deo N and Kashyap R prepared the first draft of this manuscript and analyzed the results; Surani S, Maynard W, Gibbs ML and Kashyap R reviewed, edited, and approved the final manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review

Informed consent statement: Informed consent was waived by the the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board.

Conflict-of-interest statement: There are no conflicts of interest to report.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement – checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement – checklist of items.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: United States

ORCID number: Faisal A Nawaz 0000-0002-0701-7628; Neha Deo 0000-0002-0583-8916; Salim Surani 0000-0001-7105-4266; William Maynard 0000-0002-0958-4183; Martin L Gibbs 0000-0003-1689-0012; Rahul Kashyap 0000-0002-4383-3411.

Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies: American College of Chest Physician; Society of Critical Care Medicine.

S-Editor: Wang JJ L-Editor: A P-Editor: Wang JJ

REFERENCES

- Sakr Y, Moreira CL, Rhodes A, Ferguson ND, Kleinpell R, Pickkers P, Kuiper MA, Lipman J, Vincent JL; Extended Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care Study Investigators. The impact of hospital and ICU organizational factors on outcome in critically ill patients: results from the Extended Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care study. Crit Care Med 2015; **43**: 519-526 [PMID: 25479111 DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000000754]
- Kartik M, Gopal PBN, Amte R. Quality Indicators Compliance Survey in Indian Intensive Care Units. Indian J Crit Care Med 2017; 21: 187-191 [PMID: 28515601 DOI: 10.4103/ijccm.IJCCM_164_15]

- 3 Smith GR Jr, Ma M, Hansen LO, Christensen N, O'Leary KJ. Association of hospital admission service structure with early transfer to critical care, hospital readmission, and length of stay. J Hosp Med 2016; 11: 669-674 [PMID: 27091410 DOI: 10.1002/jhm.2592]
- 4 Fowler RA, Abdelmalik P, Wood G, Foster D, Gibney N, Bandrauk N, Turgeon AF, Lamontagne F, Kumar A, Zarychanski R, Green R, Bagshaw SM, Stelfox HT, Foster R, Dodek P, Shaw S, Granton J, Lawless B, Hill A, Rose L, Adhikari NK, Scales DC, Cook DJ, Marshall JC, Martin C, Jouvet P; Canadian Critical Care Trials Group; Canadian ICU Capacity Group. Critical care capacity in Canada: results of a national cross-sectional study. Crit Care 2015; 19: 133 [PMID: 25888116 DOI: 10.1186/s13054-015-0852-6]
- Juneja D, Nasa P, Singh O. Physician staffing pattern in intensive care units: Have we cracked the code? World J Crit Care Med 2012; 1: 10-14 [PMID: 24701396 DOI: 10.5492/wjccm.v1.i1.10]
- Chittawatanarat K, Sataworn D, Thongchai C; Thai Society of Critical Care Medicine Study Group. Effects of ICU characters, human resources and workload to outcome indicators in Thai ICUs: the results of ICU-RESOURCE I study. J Med Assoc Thai 2014; 97 Suppl 1: S22-S30 [PMID: 24855839]
- Murthy S, Leligdowicz A, Adhikari NK. Intensive care unit capacity in low-income countries: a systematic review. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0116949 [PMID: 25617837 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116949]
- Vukoja M, Riviello E, Gavrilovic S, Adhikari NK, Kashyap R, Bhagwanjee S, Gajic O, Kilickaya O; CERTAIN Investigators. A survey on critical care resources and practices in low- and middle-income countries. Glob Heart 2014; 9: 337-42.e1 [PMID: 25667185 DOI: 10.1016/j.gheart.2014.08.002]
- Kashyap R, Nawaz F, Deo N, Surani SR, Global ICU Need Assessment (GINA) study group. Critical Care Practice in the World: Results of the Global ICU Need Assessment Survey (GINA 2020). Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2021; 203: A1699 [DOI: 10.1164/ajrccm-conference.2021.203.1_MeetingAbstracts.A1699]
- Singer DE, Carr PL, Mulley AG, Thibault GE. Rationing intensive care--physician responses to a resource shortage. NEngl J Med 1983; 309: 1155-1160 [PMID: 6413862 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM198311103091905]
- Vestergaard AH, Christiansen CF, Nielsen H, Christensen S, Johnsen SP. Geographical variation in use of intensive care: a nationwide study. Intensive Care Med 2015; 41: 1895-1902 [PMID: 26239728 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-015-3999-3]
- Sakr Y, Jaschinski U, Wittebole X, Szakmany T, Lipman J, Namendys-Silva SA, Martin-Loeches I, Leone M, Lupu MN, Vincent JL; ICON Investigators. Sepsis in Intensive Care Unit Patients: Worldwide Data From the Intensive Care over Nations Audit. Open Forum Infect Dis 2018; 5: ofy313 [PMID: 30555852 DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofy313]
- Zahar JR, Azoulay E, Klement E, De Lassence A, Lucet JC, Regnier B, Schlemmer B, Bedos JP. Delayed treatment contributes to mortality in ICU patients with severe active pulmonary tuberculosis and acute respiratory failure. Intensive Care Med 2001; 27: 513-520 [PMID: 11355119 DOI: 10.1007/s001340000849]
- Kashyap R, Vashistha K, Saini C, Dutt T, Raman D, Bansal V, Singh H, Bhandari G, Ramakrishnan N, Seth H, Sharma D, Seshadri P, Daga MK, Gurjar M, Javeri Y, Surani S, Varon J; ININ-2018 Investigators Team. Critical care practice in India: Results of the intensive care unit need assessment survey (ININ2018). World J Crit Care Med 2020; 9: 31-42 [PMID: 32577414 DOI: 10.5492/wjccm.v9.i2.31]
- Aragon D, Sole ML. Implementing best practice strategies to prevent infection in the ICU. Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am 2006; 18: 441-452 [PMID: 17118299 DOI: 10.1016/j.ccell.2006.08.003]
- Valley TS, Noritomi DT. ICU beds: less is more? Intensive Care Med 2020; 46: 1594-1596 [PMID: 32335703 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-020-06042-1]
- Vincent JL. Evidence supports the superiority of closed ICUs for patients and families: Yes. Intensive Care Med 2017; 43: 122-123 [PMID: 27586991 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-016-4466-5]
- Sasichay-Akkadechanunt T, Scalzi CC, Jawad AF. The relationship between nurse staffing and patient outcomes. J Nurs Adm 2003; 33: 478-485 [PMID: 14501564 DOI: 10.1097/00005110-200309000-00008]
- 19 Kredo T, Bernhardsson S, Machingaidze S, Young T, Louw Q, Ochodo E, Grimmer K. Guide to clinical practice guidelines: the current state of play. Int J Qual Health Care 2016; 28: 122-128 [PMID: 26796486 DOI: 10.1093/intqhc/mzv115]
- Jansson M, Ala-Kokko T, Ylipalosaari P, Syrjälä H, Kyngäs H. Critical care nurses' knowledge of, adherence to and barriers towards evidence-based guidelines for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia--a survey study. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2013; 29: 216-227 [PMID: 23566622 DOI: 10.1016/j.iccn.2013.02.006]
- 21 Sinuff T, Cook D, Giacomini M, Heyland D, Dodek P. Facilitating clinician adherence to guidelines in the intensive care unit: A multicenter, qualitative study. Crit Care Med 2007; 35: 2083-2089 [PMID: 17855822 DOI: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000281446.15342.74]
- Shah A, Kashyap R, Tosh P, Sampathkumar P, O'Horo JC. Guide to Understanding the 2019 Novel Coronavirus. Mayo Clin Proc 2020; 95: 646-652 [PMID: 32122636 DOI: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.02.003]
- Walkey AJ, Kumar VK, Harhay MO, Bolesta S, Bansal V, Gajic O, Kashyap R. The Viral Infection and Respiratory Illness Universal Study (VIRUS): An International Registry of Coronavirus 2019-Related Critical Illness. Crit Care Explor 2020; 2: e0113 [PMID: 32426754 DOI: 10.1097/CCE.0000000000000113]
- Walkey AJ, Sheldrick RC, Kashyap R, Kumar VK, Boman K, Bolesta S, Zampieri FG, Bansal V, Harhay MO, Gajic O. Guiding Principles for the Conduct of Observational Critical Care Research for Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemics and Beyond: The Society of Critical Care Medicine Discovery Viral Infection and Respiratory Illness Universal Study Registry. Crit Care Med 2020; 48: e1038-e1044 [PMID: 32932348 DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000004572]
- Domecq JP, Lal A, Sheldrick CR, Kumar VK, Boman K, Bolesta S, Bansal V, Harhay MO, Garcia MA, Kaufman M, Danesh V, Cheruku S, Banner-Goodspeed VM, Anderson HL 3rd, Milligan PS, Denson JL, St Hill CA, Dodd KW, Martin GS, Gajic O, Walkey AJ, Kashyap R; Society of Critical Care Medicine Discovery Viral Infection and Respiratory Illness Universal Study (VIRUS): COVID-19 Registry Investigator Group. Outcomes of Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 Receiving Organ Support Therapies: The International Viral Infection and Respiratory Illness Universal Study Registry. Crit Care Med 2021; 49: 437-448 [PMID: 33555777 DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000004879]



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

