# World Journal of *Critical Care Medicine*

World J Crit Care Med 2023 January 9; 12(1): 1-40





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of C C M Critical Care Medicine

# Contents

# **Bimonthly Volume 12 Number 1 January 9, 2023**

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Observational Study**

1 Role of cerebrospinal fluid lactate in diagnosing meningitis in critically ill patients Yadav D, Singh O, Juneja D, Goel A, Kataria S, Beniwal A

#### **Prospective Study**

10 Bedside ultrasonography of optic nerve sheath diameter for detection of raised intracranial pressure in nontraumatic neuro-critically ill patients

Bhide M, Singh O, Juneja D, Goel A

# SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

18 Clonidine use during dexmedetomidine weaning: A systematic review Rajendraprasad S, Wheeler M, Wieruszewski E, Gottwald J, Wallace LA, Gerberi D, Wieruszewski PM, Smischney NJ

# **CASE REPORT**

- 29 Severe hypernatremia in hyperglycemic conditions; managing it effectively: A case report Lathiya MK, Errabelli P, Cullinan SM, Amadi EJ
- 35 Vasopressin-induced hyponatremia in an adult normotensive trauma patient: A case report Lathiya MK, Pepperl E, Schaefer D, Al-Sharif H, Zurob A, Cullinan SM, Charokopos A



# Contents

**Bimonthly Volume 12 Number 1 January 9, 2023** 

# **ABOUT COVER**

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Critical Care Medicine, Amos Lal, FACP, MBBS, Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, United States. Lal.Amos@mayo.edu

# **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of the World Journal of Critical Care Medicine (WJCCM, World J Crit Care Med) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of critical care medicine with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCCM mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of critical care medicine and covering a wide range of topics including acute kidney failure, acute respiratory distress syndrome and mechanical ventilation, application of bronchofiberscopy in critically ill patients, cardiopulmonary cerebral resuscitation, coagulant dysfunction, continuous renal replacement therapy, fluid resuscitation and tissue perfusion, hemodynamic monitoring and circulatory support, ICU management and treatment control, sedation and analgesia, severe infection, etc.

# **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJCCM is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database.

# **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Li-Li Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Critical Care Medicine	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2220-3141 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
<b>LAUNCH DATE</b>	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
February 4, 2012	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Bimonthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Hua-Dong Wang	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3141/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
January 9, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



World Journal of C C M Critical Care Medicine

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Crit Care Med 2023 January 9; 12(1): 10-17

DOI: 10.5492/wjccm.v12.i1.10

**Prospective Study** 

ISSN 2220-3141 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Bedside ultrasonography of optic nerve sheath diameter for detection of raised intracranial pressure in nontraumatic neurocritically ill patients

Madhura Bhide, Omender Singh, Deven Juneja, Amit Goel

Specialty type: Critical care medicine

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Fülöp T, United States; Pandey S, India

Received: November 4, 2022 Peer-review started: November 4, 2022 First decision: November 30, 2022 Revised: December 5, 2022 Accepted: December 23, 2022 Article in press: December 23, 2022 Published online: January 9, 2023



Madhura Bhide, Omender Singh, Deven Juneja, Amit Goel, Institute of Critical Care Medicine, Max Super Speciality Hospital, Saket, New Delhi 110017, India

Corresponding author: Deven Juneja, DNB, FCCP, MBBS, Director, Institute of Critical Care Medicine, Max Super Speciality Hospital, Saket, 1, Press Enclave Road, New Delhi 110017, India. devenjuneja@gmail.com

# Abstract

#### BACKGROUND

Delay in treatment of raised intracranial pressure (ICP) leads to poor clinical outcomes. Optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) by ultrasonography (US-ONSD) has shown good accuracy in traumatic brain injury and neurosurgical patients to diagnose raised ICP. However, there is a dearth of data in neuro-medical intensive care unit (ICU) where the spectrum of disease is different.

#### AIM

To validate the diagnostic accuracy of ONSD in non-traumatic neuro-critically ill patients.

#### **METHODS**

We prospectively enrolled 114 patients who had clinically suspected raised ICP due to non-traumatic causes admitted in neuro-medical ICU. US-ONSD was performed according to ALARA principles. A cut-off more than 5.7 mm was taken as significantly raised. Raised ONSD was corelated with raised ICP on radiological imaging. Clinical history, general and systemic examination findings, SOFA and APACHE 2 score and patient outcomes were recorded.

# RESULTS

There was significant association between raised ONSD and raised ICP on imaging (P < 0.001). The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value at this cut-off was 77.55%, 89.06%, 84.44% and 83.82% respectively. The positive and negative likelihood ratio was 7.09 and 0.25. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curves was 0.844. Using Youden's index the best cut off value for ONSD was 5.75 mm. Raised ONSD was associated with lower age (P = 0.007), poorer Glasgow Coma Scale (P = 0.009) and greater need for surgical intervention (*P* = 0.006) whereas no statistically significant association



was found between raised ONSD and SOFA score, APACHE II score or ICU mortality. Our limitations were that it was a single centre study and we did not perform serial measurements or ONSD pre- and post-treatment or procedures for raised ICP.

#### **CONCLUSION**

ONSD can be used as a screening a test to detect raised ICP in a medical ICU and as a trigger to initiate further management of raised ICP. ONSD can be beneficial in ruling out a diagnosis in a low-prevalence population and rule in a diagnosis in a high-prevalence population.

Key Words: Intracranial pressure; Intensive care unit; Neuro-critical care; Optic nerve sheath diameter; Ultrasonography

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** Raised intracranial pressure (ICP) maybe present in a significant proportion of neuro-critically ill patients and any delay in its management may lead to poor outcomes. Even though the gold standard for measuring raised ICP is the intraventricular catheter, it is invasive, not widely available, requires expertise in insertion and maintenance and may be associated with many risks. Hence, non-invasive methods like computed tomography scan or magnetic resonance imaging are being increasingly used to diagnose raised ICP. However, their utility is also restricted by logistical issues and have limited repeatability. Bedside ultrasonography measuring optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) can be used as a screening test to detect raised ICP and as a trigger to initiate further management. ONSD can also be beneficial in ruling out a diagnosis in a low-prevalence population and rule in a diagnosis in a high-prevalence population.

Citation: Bhide M, Singh O, Juneja D, Goel A. Bedside ultrasonography of optic nerve sheath diameter for detection of raised intracranial pressure in nontraumatic neuro-critically ill patients. World J Crit Care Med 2023; 12(1): 10-17

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3141/full/v12/i1/10.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5492/wjccm.v12.i1.10

# INTRODUCTION

Raised intracranial pressure (ICP) is a dreaded complication in the intensive care unit (ICU) which may occur either as a result of a primary neurological disorder or as a secondary complication in patients admitted due to other causes. A delay in detecting raised ICP can lead to poor clinical outcomes and increased mortality. The latest Brain Trauma Foundation guidelines now recommend a cut off 22 mmHg to initiate ICP-lowering therapies[1]. Gold standard for measuring raised ICP is the intraventricular catheter. However, it is not widely available, requires expertise in insertion and maintenance and may be associated with many risks like infection, collapse of ventricles and haemorrhage[2]. Hence, non-invasive methods like computed tomography (CT) scan or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are increasingly used to diagnose raised ICP. However, they are associated with transport risk and radiation exposure, in the case of CT scans, leading to logistical issues and limited repeatability.

Determination of optic nerve sheath diameter by ultrasonography (US-ONSD) is a simple bedside test with a rapid learning curve, low intra and interobserver variation and good repeatability [3,4]. Studies have shown good accuracy even when performed by non-radiologists[5]. In modern ICUs, bedside ultrasonography is integrated into routine clinical examination and is readily available. Optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) is frequently used across neuro-surgical ICUs as a tool for ICP monitoring and is particularly useful in patients in whom invasive ICP monitoring criteria are not met or are contraindicated. However, the spectrum of disease and comorbidities of patients admitted to neuro-medical ICUs are distinct from neurosurgical ICUs. There need to be more practical bedside screening tools to detect raised ICP in neuro-medical ICUs timely; hence, we aimed to validate ONSD in neuro-medical ICU patients.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted this study to determine the diagnostic accuracy of ONSD in adult non-traumatic neurocritically ill patients after approval from the institutional ethics committee (TS/MSSH/MHIL/SKT/-



MHEC/CC/20-16). Our inclusion criteria were patients older than 18 years admitted to the neuromedical ICU with signs and symptoms suggestive of raised ICP. Our exclusion criteria were previously known history of neurological conditions like chronic hydrocephalus, in situ ventriculoperitoneal shunt, known ocular mass, ocular trauma, conjunctival oedema, orbital oedema, cavernous sinus pathology or arachnoid cysts, and patients having optic nerve disease. Additionally, patients in whom CT/MRI could not be performed within 24 hours of US-ONSD were excluded from the analysis.

For the prospective study, the sample size required was calculated according to the following formula:  $Z\alpha 2 \times SN \times (1 - SN)$ ,  $n = 2 \times P$ . Where, n = required sample size Z = SNV at  $\alpha = 5\% = 1.96$ . S.N.SN = Anticipated Sensitivity. d = Absolute precision desired on either side P = Expected prevalence in the population or based on the previous/pilot studies.

For this study, a 95% confidence level with an appropriate effect size and a statistical power of 80% was taken. In a previous study by Salahuddin et al[6], the expected prevalence of non-traumatic raised ICP in a medical ICU was 30.4% [6]. The pooled sensitivity of ONSD to determine raised ICP was 90%, as determined by a systematic review and metanalysis by Dubourg *et al*[3]. Keeping these values for the calculation of the sample size, our required sample size was 114.

We serially enrolled patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria. After written informed consent was obtained, ocular USG was done by critical care specialists trained in critical care ultrasonography, using a 7-15 MHz ultrasound probe in B mode to determine the ONSD three mm distal to the optic disc in both eyes. A fibrous trabecular network connects the optic nerve and its sheath. Studies have shown a greater degree of mesh 6 to 12 mm behind the globe, whereas there is a lesser degree of mesh 3 mm behind the globe. Therefore, 3 mm behind the globe expands to a greater degree than 6 to 12 mm behind the globe, with the maximum expansion dependent on the individual's trabecular density[7]. Hansen et al[8] first validated ONSD and standardized the method to document it by B mode ultrasonography by measuring it 3 mm behind the globe. Two readings were taken for each eye, and a mean value was calculated to represent the final ONSD. ONSD more than 5.7 mm was taken as a threshold for determining raised ICP[3]. CT/MRI scans of the brain were done within 24 h of US-ONSD, and the images were reviewed for signs of raised ICP. Raised ICP or non-traumatic radiographic cerebral oedema [NTRCE] was defined on imaging as significant brain oedema, midline shift, compression of basal cisterns or ventricles, effacement of sulci, insufficient grey/white differentiation and transalpine herniation. Clinical history, general and systemic examination findings, SOFA and APACHE 2 scores and patient outcomes were recorded.

#### Statistical analysis

The collected data were transformed into variables, coded and entered in Microsoft Excel. Data were analyzed and statistically evaluated using the SPSS-PC-25 version. Quantitative data were expressed in mean ± standard deviation or median with interquartile range, and depending upon normality distribution, the difference between two comparable groups was tested by student's t-test (unpaired) or Mann Whitney 'U' test. Qualitative data were expressed in percentages, and statistical differences between the proportions were tested by the chi-square or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. For all statistical tests, a P value less than 0.05 was taken as valid evidence for the statistical significance of the data.

The performance of the diagnostic tests was estimated using  $2 \times 2$  contingency tables to calculate sensitivity, specificity, overall diagnostic accuracy, positive and negative predictive value, likelihood ratios (LRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). Post-test probability was determined using Bayes's nomogram. Discrimination was tested using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. The best cut-off value for ONSD was calculated by using Youden's index.

#### RESULTS

114 patients with signs and symptoms suggestive of raised ICP were included in the analysis. Out of these, raised ONSD (> 5.7 mm) was found in 45 (39.5%) patients. The mean age of patients in our study cohort was 64.05 (± 16.80) years, with a predominantly male population (59.3%). Table 1 shows that the patient comorbidities, clinical symptoms and signs, except Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), on admission were comparable across both groups. Lower GCS was significantly associated with raised ICP (P =0.009). Raised ICP on imaging was seen in 49 patients (43%). The most common feature of raised ICP on imaging was diffuse cerebral oedema (38.1%) followed by effacement of sulci (32.7%), insufficient grey/white differentiation (30.1%), compression of ventricles (21.2%), midline shift (20.4%) and transfalcine herniation (1.8%).

There was a statistically significant correlation between raised ONSD and increased ICP features on CT/MRI scans (P < 0.001). As shown in Table 2, the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values at this cut-off were 77.55%, 89.06%, 84.44% and 83.82%, respectively. The AUROC was 0.844. The best cut-off value for ONSD calculated using Youden's index was 5.75 mm.

The commonest diagnosis was septic/metabolic encephalopathies (27.4%). Other diagnoses were acute intracranial bleeding (21.2%), acute ischaemic stroke (20.4%), meningoencephalitis (13.3%), super



Table 1 Patient comorbidities and clinical features on admission					
	Variables	Overall ( <i>n</i> = 114), %	Normal ONSD ( <i>n</i> = 69), %	Raised ONSD ( <i>n</i> = 45), %	P value
Comorbidities	Hypertension	54 (47.8)	37 (68.5)	17 (31.5)	0.083
	T2DM	35 (31.0)	21 (60.0)	14 (40.0)	0.979
	CKD	20 (17.7)	14 (70.0)	6 (30.0)	0.323
	CAD	10 (8.8)	4 (40.0)	6 (60.0)	0.193
	OAD	8 (7.1)	7 (87.5)	1 (12.5)	0.142
	Malignancy	7 (15.0)	12 (70.6)	5 (29.4)	0.341
	Others	30 (26.5)	19 (63.3)	11 (36.7)	0.680
	No comorbidities	26 (23.0)	12 (46.2)	14 (53.8)	0.096
Symptoms on admission	Headache	30 (26.5)	18 (60.0)	12 (40.0)	0.982
	Vomiting	19 (16.8)	12 (63.2)	7 (36.8)	0.771
	Blurring of vision	10 (8.8)	4 (40.0)	6 (60.0)	0.193
	Seizures	30 (26.5)	18 (60.0)	12 (40.0)	0.982
	Altered sensorium	103 (91.2)	60 (58.3)	43 (41.7)	0.311
General examination	GCS, mean ± SD	$9.39 \pm 4.09$	$10.21 \pm 3.97$	8.16 ± 3.99	0.009 <sup>a</sup>
	Bradycardia	34 (30.1)	18 (52.9)	16 (47.1)	0.303
	Hypertension	31 (27.4)	19 (61.3)	12 (38.7)	0.882
	Neck stiffness	33 (29.2)	20 (60.6)	13 (39.4)	0.952
	Focal neurological deficit	70 (61.9)	41 (58.6)	29 (41.4)	0.656

<sup>a</sup>Denotes Statistical significance

CAD: Coronary artery disease; CKD: Chronic kidney disease; GCS: Glasgow coma scale; OAD: Obstructive airway disease; SD: Standard deviation; T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Table 2 Accuracy	v of o	ptic nerve sheath diameter at cut-off of 5.7 mm with raised raised intracranial pressure on brain imaging
		providente offetti and the offettion of the manual and the offettion of the state in a stat

	Values	95%CI
Sensitivity	77.55	63.38-88.23
Specificity	89.06	78.75-95.49
Positive likelihood ratio	7.09	3.47-14.50
Negative likelihood ratio	0.25	0.15-0.43
Disease prevalence	43.36	34.07-53.01
Positive predictive value	84.44	72.64-91.73
Negative predictive value	83.82	75.36-89.78
Accuracy	84.07	76.00-90.28

refractory status epilepticus (4.4%), newly diagnosed space-occupying lesion (1.8%), cerebral venous sinus thrombosis (CVST) (1.7%) and hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy (1.7%). Of the 31 patients presenting with septic/metabolic encephalopathy, 26 (83.9%) had a normal ONSD.

Patient outcomes, including ICU length of stay (LOS) and ICU mortality, were comparable across both groups, as shown in Table 3. Raised ONSD had a statistically higher requirement of surgical intervention (P = 0.006). The surgical procedures were decompressive craniotomy in 6 patients, ventriculoperitoneal shunt (VP shunt) in 3 patients and extra-ventricular drain (EVD) insertion in 2 patients. One patient underwent EVD followed by a VP shunt with Omaya reservoir.

Baishidena® WJCCM | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 3 Hospital course across normal and raised optic nerve sheath diameter groups					
		Overall ( <i>n</i> = 114)	Normal ONSD (n = 69)	Raised ONSD ( <i>n</i> = 45)	P value
Hospital course	SOFA, median [IQR]	5 [3-8]	5 [3-8]	5 [3-8]	0.409
	APACHE II score, median [IQR]	20 [14-26]	21 [14-26]	20 [14-26]	0.569
	Hospital LOS, median [IQR]	17 [7-27.5]	15 [7-28]	18 [8-25]	0.688
	ICU LOS, median [IQR]	10 [5-21]	10 [5-20]	10 [5-21]	0.609
Surgical intervention	Yes	11 (9.7)	2 (18.2)	9 (81.8)	0.006 <sup>1</sup>
	No	102 (90.3)	66 (64.7)	36 (35.3)	
Discharged or Death	Discharged	64 (56.6)	41 (64.1)	23 (35.9)	0.335
	Death	49 (43.4)	27 (55.1)	22 (44.9)	

<sup>1</sup>Denotes statistical significance.

APACHE: Acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; IQR: Inter quartile range; LOS: Length of stay; ONSD: Optic nerve sheath diameter; SOFA: Sequential organ failure assessment.

#### DISCUSSION

Invasive ICP monitoring is not widely available across the ICUs, especially in resource-limited settings. An internet-based survey of critical care physicians in India in 2013 showed that only 36.42% had access to exclusive neurocritical care units, and 63.4% of consultants did not monitor ICP. Amongst the physicians who monitored for raised ICP, 60.32% used CT/MRI scans, 28.57% intraventricular catheter with external transducer, and 11.11% used Codman microsensor[9]. This shows the extent of the deficit in terms of advanced neuro-monitoring facilities across ICUs in resource-limited settings. US-ONSD provides a lucrative alternative to the available methods for ICP monitoring. We conducted a prospective study with the primary objective of validating ONSD by bedside ultrasound compared to features of raised ICP on the CT/MRI brain. Our study found a significant association between raised ONSD (> 5.7 mm) and findings of raised ICP on imaging. The sensitivity and specificity, at this cut-off, were 77.55% and 89.06%, with an AUROC were 0.844. The positive and negative predictive values were 84.44% and 83.82%, respectively. The best cut-off value for ONSD determined by Youden's index was 5.75 mm.

Physical findings of raised ICP are nonspecific and lack accuracy in diagnosing raised ICP. Diagnosis of raised ICP is essential as it may be associated with poor clinical outcomes. Early intervention using osmotherapy with hypertonic saline or mannitol has been shown to be effective in lowering ICP[10-12]. GCS is commonly used for monitoring neuro-critically ill patients. Poor motor performance has been associated with raised ICP and poor prognosis[13]. In our study, the most common symptom in patients with suspected raised ICP was altered mental status, and poor GCS score was significantly associated with raised ONSD. This was in accordance with a study of patients with traumatic brain injury where raised ONSD was compared to three groups of GCS 3-5, 6-8, > 8 and a statistical significance was found between poor GCS and raised ONSD[14]. In patients whose GCS cannot be assessed due to ongoing sedatives or paralytic agents, raised ONSD can be used as an indicator for raised ICP warranting further evaluation. However, further studies are required to establish any linear relationship between deteriorating GCS and increasing ONSD.

Out of our entire study population, 49 patients (42.98%) showed the presence of NTRCE. In a study in general medical ICU by Salahuddin et al[6], NTRCE was found in 30.4% [9]. Higher prevalence of NTRCE in our study can be explained by the fact that it was done in neuro-medical ICU patients with suspected raised ICP, as opposed to the study mentioned above, which was done in a general medical ICU.

For over 10 years, the upper limit of normal for US-ONSD was considered to be 4.5 to 5.0 mm. However, recent studies have shown a higher threshold. Geeraerts et al[15] reported that an ONSD cutoff of 5.7 to 5.8 mm could exclude raised ICP with sensitivity and a negative predictive value of > 90%. If the ONSD was < 5.7 mm, the probability of ICP above 20 mmHg was less than 5%. Another study on 100 stroke patients with mass effect compared US-ONSD with signs suggestive of raised ICP on a brain CT scan and showed that an ONSD cut-off of > 5.7 mm positively correlated with CT scan findings[14]. In the present study, we found the best cut-off for ONSD using Youden's index as 5.75 mm, per the recent data[16].

Our study found a statistically significant association between raised ONSD (> 5.7 mm) and raised ICP on CT/MRI brain. Raised US-ONSD was a good screening tool for raised ICP with a sensitivity and specificity of 77.55% and 89.06%, respectively, and AUROC was 0.844. However, the terms sensitivity and specificity do not account for disease prevalence and are more applicable at a population level. LRs



WJCCM https://www.wjgnet.com

depend on disease prevalence and can be used to quantify the probability of disease in an individual patient. In our study, the positive and negative LR was 7.09 and 0.25, respectively. The disease prevalence (raised ICP) in our study was 43%. Baye's nomogram suggests that if ONSD is more than 5.7 mm, there is an 84% probability of a patient having raised ICP. On the other hand, if ONSD is less than 5.7 mm, the probability of raised ICP is only 16% (CI: 10%-24%). Hence, in neuro-medical ICUs where raised ICP has a high prevalence, ONSD is a good screening test to detect raised ICP but may need to be more accurate to rule out raised ICP. When the same LRs are applied to a low prevalence population, like in a study by Tayal *et al*[5] where raised ICP was found to be prevalent in only 14% of patients presenting to the emergency department with a suspected acute head injury requiring CT, the positive post-test possibility was 54%, and negative post-test probability was less than 4%. Therefore, in a population with a low prevalence of raised ICP, the ONSD may be good for ruling out the diagnosis of raised ICP.

Most studies on ICP monitoring and US-ONSD have been conducted in neurosurgical ICUs, where trauma and strokes form the bulk of the disease. Primary pathology of neurocritical illness is different in medical ICUs[3,4]. A previous study of ONSD in a medical ICU found that the common causes of coma were septic or metabolic encephalopathy (25.4%) followed by new intracranial vascular event (17.6%), anoxic brain injury (4.9%), hepatic encephalopathy (21.5%), intracranial malignancy (8.8%) and others (intracranial infection, reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome, subclinical seizures) in 21.5%[9]. Similarly, our study also found septic/metabolic encephalopathy to be the commonest cause, with the majority (83.9%) of these patients having a normal ONSD. As septic/metabolic encephalopathy forms a large cohort of patients with altered sensorium in a medical ICU, it would be worthwhile to conduct an adequately powered study to validate ONSD in these patients. This gives an insight into the spectrum of diseases causing signs and symptoms of raised ICP in a neuromedical ICU.

There was no statistically significant difference between raised ONSD and SOFA scores and APACHE II scores. The severity of the disease seems to have no impact on ONSD. The hospital LOS, ICU LOS, and ICU mortality did not show any statistical correlation with ONSD. Hence, ONSD may not be a good test for prognostication in a neuro-medical ICU. However, patients with raised ONSD had a statistically higher rate of surgeries in our study and raised ONSD may indicate a greater likelihood for surgical intervention.

There are several strengths in our study. We included a reasonably large number of patients. This was a prospective study with a well-defined study protocol. The study was done in a single centre, so the ICU admission protocol and management strategies were uniform and standardized. We used CT/MRI brain as the gold standard for comparison with US-ONSD. We acknowledge the inferiority of CT/MRI to invasive gold standard measures of raised ICP. However, as invasive ICP monitoring is not readily available, CT/MRI shows a more real-life situation and may have better external validity, especially in resource-poor settings. Limitations were that all data were obtained from a single centre database, which may result in concerns regarding the generalization of the conclusions. We did not perform serial ONSD measurements or ONSD pre and post-treatment or procedures undertaken for raised ICP. Hence, the effect of therapeutic strategies on delta ONSD is not available.

# CONCLUSION

Given the good sensitivity, positive LR and AUROC, ONSD can be used as a screening test to detect raised ICP in a medical ICU and future potential as a threshold trigger to escalate the management of raised ICP. This can help decrease the time gap between the episode of raised ICP and the initiation of treatment.

# **ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

#### Research background

Delay in treatment of raised intracranial pressure (ICP) leads to poor clinical outcomes.

#### **Research motivation**

Optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) by ultrasonography (US-ONSD) has shown good accuracy in traumatic brain injury and neurosurgical patients to diagnose raised ICP. However, there is a dearth of data in neuro-medical intensive care unit (ICU) where the spectrum of disease is different.

#### Research objectives

We conducted this study to validate the diagnostic accuracy of ONSD in non-traumatic neuro-critically ill patients.

Zaishidene® WJCCM | https://www.wjgnet.com

#### **Research methods**

We prospectively enrolled 114 patients who had clinically suspected raised ICP due to non-traumatic causes admitted in neuro-medical ICU. US-ONSD was performed according to ALARA principles. A cut-off more than 5.7 mm was taken as significantly raised. Raised ONSD was correlated with raised ICP on radiological imaging. Clinical history, general and systemic examination findings, SOFA and APACHE 2 score and patient outcomes were recorded.

#### Research results

There was significant association between raised ONSD and raised ICP on imaging (P < 0.001). The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value at this cut-off was 77.55%, 89.06%, 84.44% and 83.82%, respectively. The positive and negative likelihood ratio was 7.09 and 0.25. The AUROC was 0.844. Using Youden's index the best cut off value for ONSD was 5.75 mm. Raised ONSD was associated with lower age (P = 0.007), poorer GCS (P = 0.009) and greater need for surgical intervention (P = 0.006) whereas, no statistically significant association was found between raised ONSD and SOFA score, APACHE II score or ICU mortality. Our limitations were that it was a single centre study and we did not perform serial measurements or ONSD pre- and post-treatment or procedures for raised ICP.

#### Research conclusions

ONSD can be used as a screening a test to detect raised ICP in a medical ICU and as a trigger to initiate further management of raised ICP. ONSD can be beneficial in ruling out a diagnosis in a low-prevalence population and rule in a diagnosis in a high-prevalence population.

#### Research perspectives

Large scale studies need to be performed to assess the utility of ONSD in specific sub-groups of critically ill patients with neurological derrangements.

# FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Bhide M and Juneja D designed the study. Bhide M and Goel A collected the data. Bhide M and Juneja D analysed the results, performed the majority of the writing, and prepared the tables; Singh O, and Goel A provided the inputs in writing the paper and reviewed the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: The study was approved by the institutional review board of Max Super Speciality Hospital, Saket (TS/MSSH/MHIL/SKT/MHEC/CC/20-16).

Informed consent statement: All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided written consent prior to study enrollment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data sharing statement: There is no additional data available.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Country/Territory of origin: India

ORCID number: Madhura Bhide 0000-0001-5054-7969; Omender Singh 0000-0002-3847-4645; Deven Juneja 0000-0002-8841-5678; Amit Goel 0000-0002-9509-5705.

S-Editor: Liu JH L-Editor: A P-Editor: Liu JH

# REFERENCES

1 Carney N, Totten AM, O'Reilly C, Ullman JS, Hawryluk GW, Bell MJ, Bratton SL, Chesnut R, Harris OA, Kissoon N, Rubiano AM, Shutter L, Tasker RC, Vavilala MS, Wilberger J, Wright DW, Ghajar J. Guidelines for the Management of Severe Traumatic Brain Injury, Fourth Edition. Neurosurgery 2017; 80: 6-15 [PMID: 27654000 DOI: 10.1227/NEU.000000000001432



- 2 Raboel PH, Bartek J Jr, Andresen M, Bellander BM, Romner B. Intracranial Pressure Monitoring: Invasive versus Non-Invasive Methods-A Review. Crit Care Res Pract 2012; 2012: 950393 [PMID: 22720148 DOI: 10.1155/2012/950393]
- Dubourg J, Javouhey E, Geeraerts T, Messerer M, Kassai B. Ultrasonography of optic nerve sheath diameter for detection 3 of raised intracranial pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Intensive Care Med 2011; 37: 1059-1068 [PMID: 21505900 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-011-2224-2]
- 4 Ohle R, McIsaac SM, Woo MY, Perry JJ. Sonography of the Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter for Detection of Raised Intracranial Pressure Compared to Computed Tomography: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Ultrasound Med 2015; 34: 1285-1294 [PMID: 26112632 DOI: 10.7863/ultra.34.7.1285]
- Taval VS, Neulander M, Norton HJ, Foster T, Saunders T, Blaivas M. Emergency department sonographic measurement of 5 optic nerve sheath diameter to detect findings of increased intracranial pressure in adult head injury patients. Ann Emerg Med 2007; 49: 508-514 [PMID: 16997419 DOI: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2006.06.040]
- 6 Salahuddin N, Mohamed A, Alharbi N, Ansari H, Zaza KJ, Marashly Q, Hussain I, Solaiman O, Wetterberg TV, Maghrabi K. The incidence of increased ICP in ICU patients with non-traumatic coma as diagnosed by ONSD and CT: a prospective cohort study. BMC Anesthesiol 2016; 16: 106 [PMID: 27776491 DOI: 10.1186/s12871-016-0267-1]
- Orgül S, Cioffi GA. Embryology, anatomy, and histology of the optic nerve vasculature. J Glaucoma 1996; 5: 285-294 7 [PMID: 8795775]
- Hansen HC, Helmke K. Validation of the optic nerve sheath response to changing cerebrospinal fluid pressure: ultrasound 8 findings during intrathecal infusion tests. J Neurosurg 1997; 87: 34-40 [PMID: 9202262 DOI: 10.3171/jns.1997.87.1.0034]
- Divatia JV, Amin PR, Ramakrishnan N, Kapadia FN, Todi S, Sahu S, Govil D, Chawla R, Kulkarni AP, Samavedam S, Jani CK, Rungta N, Samaddar DP, Mehta S, Venkataraman R, Hegde A, Bande BD, Dhanuka S, Singh V, Tewari R, Zirpe K, Sathe P; INDICAPS Study Investigators. Intensive Care in India: The Indian Intensive Care Case Mix and Practice Patterns Study. Indian J Crit Care Med 2016; 20: 216-225 [PMID: 27186054 DOI: 10.4103/0972-5229.180042]
- 10 Fülöp T, Zsom L, Rodríguez RD, Chabrier-Rosello JO, Hamrahian M, Koch CA. Therapeutic hypernatremia management during continuous renal replacement therapy with elevated intracranial pressures and respiratory failure. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 2019; 20: 65-75 [PMID: 30848433 DOI: 10.1007/s11154-019-09483-2]
- Fang D, Chabrier-Rosello JO, McMahon BA, Fülöp T, Achanti A. Achieving Osmotic Stability in the Context of Critical Illness and Acute Kidney Injury During Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy. ASAIO J 2020; 66: e90-e93 [PMID: 31789653 DOI: 10.1097/MAT.000000000001100]
- Shi J, Tan L, Ye J, Hu L. Hypertonic saline and mannitol in patients with traumatic brain injury: A systematic and meta-12 analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2020; 99: e21655 [PMID: 32871879 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000021655]
- 13 Ramazani J, Hosseini M. Comparison of full outline of unresponsiveness score and Glasgow Coma Scale in Medical Intensive Care Unit. Ann Card Anaesth 2019; 22: 143-148 [PMID: 30971594 DOI: 10.4103/aca.ACA\_25\_18]
- Kaur A, Gautam PL, Sharma S, Singh VP. Bedside Ultrasonographic Assessment of Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter As a 14 Means of Detecting Raised Intracranial Pressure in Neuro-Trauma Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study. Ann Indian Acad Neurol 2021; 24: 63-68 [PMID: 33911381 DOI: 10.4103/aian.AIAN\_51\_20]
- 15 Geeraerts T, Merceron S, Benhamou D, Vigué B, Duranteau J. Non-invasive assessment of intracranial pressure using ocular sonography in neurocritical care patients. Intensive Care Med 2008; 34: 2062-2067 [PMID: 18509619 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-008-1149-x]
- Munawar K, Khan MT, Hussain SW, Qadeer A, Shad ZS, Bano S, Abdullah A. Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter Correlation 16 with Elevated Intracranial Pressure Determined via Ultrasound. Cureus 2019; 11: e4145 [PMID: 31058028 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.4145]





# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

