# World Journal of *Psychiatry*

World J Psychiatry 2022 January 19; 12(1): 1-203





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Psychiatry

# Contents

Monthly Volume 12 Number 1 January 19, 2022

# **REVIEW**

- 1 Prevalence and correlates of aggressive behavior in psychiatric inpatient populations Girasek H, Nagy VA, Fekete S, Ungvari GS, Gazdag G
- 24 Resilience to the effects of social stress on vulnerability to developing drug addiction Calpe-López C, Martínez-Caballero MA, García-Pardo MP, Aguilar MA
- 59 Depression among caregivers of patients with dementia: Associative factors and management approaches Huang SS
- 77 Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and inflammation in depression: Pathogenic partners in crime? Porter GA, O'Connor JC

# **MINIREVIEWS**

- 98 Molecular typing of familial temporal lobe epilepsy Liu C, Qiao XZ, Wei ZH, Cao M, Wu ZY, Deng YC
- 108 Emergence of bariatric psychiatry as a new subspecialty Troisi A
- 117 Mental health promotion for elderly populations in World Health Organization South-East Asia Region: Needs and resource gaps

Pandey NM, Tripathi RK, Kar SK, Vidya KL, Singh N

Current progress in neuroimaging research for the treatment of major depression with electroconvulsive 128 therapy

Li XK, Qiu HT

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# **Observational Study**

Prevalence and clinical characteristics of COVID-19 in inpatients with schizophrenia in Wuhan, China 140 Sheng HW, Wang HG, Wang CZ, Wu J, Huo LJ, Wang RX, Zhou YJ, Zhang XY

# SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

151 Neurobiological mechanisms underlying delayed expression of posttraumatic stress disorder: A scoping review

Smid GE, Lind J, Bonde JP

169 Impacts of acupressure treatment on depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis Lin J, Chen T, He J, Chung RC, Ma H, Tsang H



# Contents

World Journal of Psychiatry

# Monthly Volume 12 Number 1 January 19, 2022

#### 187 Risk factors for suicidal behaviour in late-life depression: A systematic review

Fernandez-Rodrigues V, Sanchez-Carro Y, Lagunas LN, Rico-Uribe LA, Pemau A, Diaz-Carracedo P, Diaz-Marsa M, Hervas G, de la Torre-Luque A



# Contents

Monthly Volume 12 Number 1 January 19, 2022

# **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Psychiatry, Massimo Pasquini, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Doctor, Department of Human Neurosciences, Sapienza University, Rome 00185, Italy. massimo.pasquini@uniroma1.it

# **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Psychiatry (WJP, World J Psychiatry) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of psychiatry with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJP mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of psychiatry and covering a wide range of topics including adolescent psychiatry, biological psychiatry, child psychiatry, community psychiatry, ethnopsychology, psychoanalysis, psychosomatic medicine, etc.

# **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJP is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2021 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for WJP as 4.571; IF without journal self cites: 4.429; 5-year IF: 7.697; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.73; Ranking: 46 among 156 journals in psychiatry; and Quartile category: Q2.

# **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Jia-Hui Li; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ping Yan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Psychiatry	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2220-3206 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
December 31, 2011	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Rajesh R Tampi	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3206/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
January 19, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJP World Journal of Psychiatry

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Psychiatry 2022 January 19; 12(1): 24-58

DOI: 10.5498/wjp.v12.i1.24

ISSN 2220-3206 (online)

REVIEW

# Resilience to the effects of social stress on vulnerability to developing drug addiction

Claudia Calpe-López, Maria A Martínez-Caballero, Maria P García-Pardo, Maria A Aguilar

ORCID number: Claudia Calpe-López 0000-0001-9926-8485; Maria A Martínez-Caballero 0000-0001-9838-5831; Maria P García-Pardo 0000-0003-2730-0145; Maria A Aguilar 0000-0002-1935-6619.

Author contributions: Aguilar MA designed the review and figures and wrote the final version of the manuscript; Calpe-López C and García-Pardo MP wrote the first draft of the manuscript; Calpe-Ló pez C and Martínez-Caballero MA performed the bibliographic search and figures and wrote the reference list; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades of Spain, No. PSI2017-83023.

Country/Territory of origin: Spain

Specialty type: Psychiatry

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification Grade A (Excellent): 0

Claudia Calpe-López, Maria A Martínez-Caballero, Maria A Aguilar, Department of Psychobiology, University of Valencia, Valencia 46010, Spain

Maria P García-Pardo, Faculty of Social and Human Sciences, University of Zaragoza, Teruel 44003, Spain

Corresponding author: Maria A Aguilar, PhD, Full Professor, Department of Psychobiology, University of Valencia, Avda, Blasco Ibáñez 21, Valencia 46010, Spain. asuncion.aguilar@uv.es

# Abstract

We review the still scarce but growing literature on resilience to the effects of social stress on the rewarding properties of drugs of abuse. We define the concept of resilience and how it is applied to the field of drug addiction research. We also describe the internal and external protective factors associated with resilience, such as individual behavioral traits and social support. We then explain the physiological response to stress and how it is modulated by resilience factors. In the subsequent section, we describe the animal models commonly used in the study of resilience to social stress, and we focus on the effects of chronic social defeat (SD), a kind of stress induced by repeated experience of defeat in an agonistic encounter, on different animal behaviors (depression- and anxiety-like behavior, cognitive impairment and addiction-like symptoms). We then summarize the current knowledge on the neurobiological substrates of resilience derived from studies of resilience to the effects of chronic SD stress on depressionand anxiety-related behaviors in rodents. Finally, we focus on the limited studies carried out to explore resilience to the effects of SD stress on the rewarding properties of drugs of abuse, describing the current state of knowledge and suggesting future research directions.

Key Words: Resilience; Stress; Depression; Drug addiction; Animal models; Social defeat

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Preclinical research on drug addiction has focused on the factors that enhance vulnerability to develop drug addiction. Recent studies of resilience have determined



WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Received: March 31, 2021 Peer-review started: March 31, 2021 First decision: July 15, 2021 Revised: August 1, 2021 Accepted: December 21, 2021 Article in press: December 21, 2021 Published online: January 19, 2022

P-Reviewer: Gunlu A, Pesarico A S-Editor: Wang LL L-Editor: Webster JR P-Editor: Wang LL



the neurobehavioral traits that confer protection against developing an addictive disorder after stress exposure. Active coping strategies to face the stressor and the absence of depression-like symptoms are consistently associated with resilience to the stress-induced potentiation of the rewarding effects of cocaine and alcohol. Unravelling the neurobiological substrates of resilience is key to developing pharmacological and psychological interventions to enhance stress resilience in order to prevent the development of addiction and other stress-related disorders.

**Citation**: Calpe-López C, Martínez-Caballero MA, García-Pardo MP, Aguilar MA. Resilience to the effects of social stress on vulnerability to developing drug addiction. *World J Psychiatry* 2022; 12(1): 24-58

**URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3206/full/v12/i1/24.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.5498/wjp.v12.i1.24

# INTRODUCTION

The noun resilience derives from the Latin *resiliens*, the present participle of resilire (re-"back" + salire "to jump"), and was first used by Cicero and Francis Bacon (among others) as a synonym of rebound[1]. From the nineteen century on, material science has also used the word resilience to indicate the flexibility of a material or its ability to resist stress (force being applied) without permanent deformation. In the context of psychology, resilience can be defined as "the process of adapting well in the face of adversity, trauma, or other significant sources of stress" [2,3]. Besides the rebound of the equilibrium, resilience often implies an increase in mental resistance.

Although resilience is sometimes considered an extraordinary capacity of some individuals, research indicates that it is a common trait. The majority of individuals exposed to trauma or stressful events adapt to and overcome stress and maintain normal psychological and physical functioning without developing stress-related disorders[4]. Approximately 50% of people experience trauma in their life, but the prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is about 8%[5]. Resilience is an innate capacity, although it is not a stable trait, it is a dynamic process[6,7] that changes through a life span and can be enhanced by different factors.

# **RESILIENCE TO STRESS AND DRUG ADDICTION**

Most research on resilience has focused on the biological and behavioral profile of individuals who are resilient to developing psychiatric illnesses such as depression and PTSD after exposure to stress. However, studies on resilience to the effects of stress on the initiation, maintenance and relapse to addictive disorders are very limited. In fact, almost all research regarding substance use disorders (SUD) has focused on risk; i.e., the factors that predispose an individual to develop an addictive disorder. Vulnerability to the effects of drugs of abuse depends on multiple factors, including biologic factors such as genetic load, which are modified by life experiences and the environment in which the individual lives. Stressful experiences have a profound impact on the brain[8], for this reason, stress can increase vulnerability to addiction. Exposure to stress, especially in early life and adolescence, induces longterm modifications in the physiological response to stress, emotional reactivity, the brain reward system and cognitive processing, all of which contribute to the increased vulnerability to develop a SUD[9]. However, as commented on before, most people are resilient to stress. Consequently, only a small percentage of individuals that experience a traumatic event or are exposed to chronic stress develop an addictive disorder.

In recent years there has been an important impulse in the study of resilience to develop a SUD or an addictive behavior. In fact, until 2010, literature related to resilience and addiction was scarce, while in the last ten years the number of works on the subject has increased exponentially (Figure 1). Epidemiologic studies indicate a clear association between low resilience (often during adolescence) and the increment of addictive behaviors[10,11]. Resilience is a factor moderating the relationship between stress and alcohol use disorders (AUD)[12] and is strongly associated with a



Figure 1 Results from the search "resilience and addiction" in the PubMed database (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, accessed on December 15, 2021). Number of papers published each year from 2000 until 2020.

reduction in the risk of AUD, though there is not a direct causal relationship but rather an overlapping of genetic and environmental influences[13]. Animal models used to study the impact of stress on drug addiction[14,15] are also being incorporated into research to identify the behavioral and physiological traits that characterize animals' resilience to the effects of stress on the rewarding properties of drugs of abuse, as well as the neurobiological substrates of the resilience process.

Addressing the perspective of resilience in the study of addictive behaviors is promising as a way of enhancing knowledge regarding the neuroscience of addiction. However, as Rudzinski *et al*[16] noted, there are difficulties in the use of the concept of resilience in the field of drug addiction, especially regarding its definition and operationalization as a trait, as a process or, as is more common, as an outcome (for example, the absence of SUD). In addition, it is important to distinguish between resilience (a concept with multiple meanings) and resiliency, which is a personality trait that has been linked to alcohol/drug problems and is defined as "the ability to flexibly adapt impulse control relative to contextual demand" [17]. Some studies define resilience as the capacity to maintain abstinence and not relapse to drug use during a recovery period[18,19]. In this sense, neuroimaging studies have shown that conserved prefrontal cortex (PFC) morphology and heightened neural PFC engagement are linked to abstinence and resilience against relapse in alcohol-dependent patients[19]. Other studies consider drug use as a stressor or risk factor for resilience (for example [20]), while some studies do not evaluate resilience to stress. In the last case, the concept of resilience is used to design a reduced response to the drugs of abuse in rodents that have been exposed to a genetic or pharmacological manipulation[21,22].

In the present review we mainly focus on research that has studied resilience to the effects of stressful experiences on subsequent drug use/abuse in animal models. First, we succinctly comment on the protective factors associated with resilience in studies with humans and explain the relationship between the physiological response to stress and resilience, since most human studies have focused on the neuroendocrine changes that are predictive of resilience. We then discuss the main animal models used to study resilience to social stress and review advances concerning the neurobiological substrates of resilience in said studies. Finally, we discuss research that specifically addresses resilience to the effects of repeated social defeat (SD) on the rewarding properties of drugs of abuse and lay out future research directions and conclusions.

# BEHAVIORAL TRAITS AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH RESILIENCE

Different protective factors associated with resilience can be identified on biological, psychological, and social levels. Among the internal factors are stable predispositions (such as genotype or personality traits) and the influence of skills or capacities acquired through interaction with stressors (emotion-regulation abilities, appraisal styles, *etc.*). Resilient people are more prone to experience positive emotions, realistic optimism, cognitive reappraisal (ability to replace negative thoughts with more positive ones), secure attachment, an active coping with stress, high coping self-efficacy, self-esteem, empathy, prosocial behavior and altruism, a healthy lifestyle (for example, physical exercise) and a sense of coherence (moral compass that gives



meaning of life)[4,16,23,24].

There are also external factors related with resilience at three levels [16]: Family (parental supervision, setting boundaries, bonding, support, etc.), school (positive environment, good relationships with teachers and peers, school engagement and extra-curricular activities involvement, etc.) and community (positive relationships with friends or neighbors, participation in religious practices, community engagement, community support, etc.). All these internal strengths and external resources help to prevent maladaptive responses to adversity[9]. Longitudinal studies have indicated several key factors related with resilience and a successful transition from childhood and adolescence to adulthood, such as social support (family, peer relationships, romantic partners, etc.), self-discipline, and good cognitive and executive functioning (planification, cognitive flexibility, etc.)[25]. Children exposed to war show increased risk of PTSD in adulthood, but some protective factors against the deleterious impact of war have been identified, including a loving and supportive environment (family, peers, teachers, etc.), a shared sense of values and religious beliefs, positive thinking and generosity<sup>[26]</sup>. Similarly, in patients with psychiatric disorders (depression and/or anxiety), factors predictive of low resilience include lack of purpose in life, less frequent physical exercise and low spirituality[27]. A study with fire-workers indicated that the trait of mindfulness (concentration on and moment-to-moment awareness of bodily activities and feelings) contributed to resilience, thus reducing avoidant coping in response to stress. Fire-workers with this trait reported less alcohol problems and reduced physical, depressive and PTSD symptoms<sup>[28]</sup>.

As mentioned before, resilience is a dynamic process that raises individuals up from life's adversities and allows them to successfully overcome stressful events. The phenomenon known as "stress inoculation" occurs when a person exposed to mild or moderate stressors develops an adaptive stress response and shows a higher resilience to the negative effects of a variety of subsequent stressors[5,29]. As demonstrated by the group of McEwen, the behavioral effects of stress follow an inverted U-shape curve; low and high stress levels induced impairing effects, but intermediate levels promote better coping responses[30]. In the same way as a vaccine induces immunity against disease<sup>[2]</sup>, stress inoculation is a form of immunity or protection against later stress that may be a result of neuroplasticity in the PFC[29]. The "Systematic Selfreflection model" proposes that engaging with moderate stressors can have positive consequences on mental health if sca olded in self-reflection, a meta-cognitive skill (consisting of an honest reflection on the individual's coping and emotion regulatory practices) that leads to a cognitive maturity and on-going adaptation of the capacity of resilience[31]. On the other hand, substance use and other adjustment problems (depression, anxiety, rule-breaking, etc.) have been observed in adolescents from affluent families that have not been exposed to identified stressful experiences. These individuals are now considered as a group at risk that needs to build resilience through positive changes in parenting, construction and maintenance of supportive social networks, promotion of coping self-efficacy and self-esteem, etc[32].

# PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSE TO STRESS AND RESILIENCE

Exposure to a physically or psychologically stressful stimulus immediately activates a physiological response characterized by a cascade of hormones in the hypothalamuspituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis that prepare the body for fight or flight. The paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus releases corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF), which leads to the release of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) by the adenohypophysis, which in turn stimulates the release of glucocorticoids (cortisol in humans and corticosterone in rodents) by the cortex of adrenal glands. There are negative feedback mechanisms in the HPA axis; for example, glucocorticoids suppress CRF and ACTH production. In addition, stress activates the sympathetic nervous system (SNS), which induces the adrenomedullary release of noradrenaline (NA). Stress also stimulates the brain's noradrenergic system, resulting in the release of NA from the locus coeruleus (LC) to the amygdala, hippocampus, hypothalamus and PFC [33,34]. Dopamine (DA) release is also altered by stress, with an increase in the PFC and a reduction in the nucleus accumbens (NAcc)[35], and acute stress increases serotonin turnover in the amygdala, hippocampus, PFC and NAcc[36-38], although other studies have shown a lack of an effect of acute stress on serotonin turnover in the amygdala, NAcc, striatum[39] and hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus[38].

Glucocorticoid elevation may cause damage and atrophy of neurons in different brain areas involved in memory and emotional behavior, such as the hippocampus



and amygdala, inducing physical and psychological problems. Moreover, chronic stress interferes with the activity of neurotrophic factors that are responsible for the formation and strengthening of new neurons and synaptic connections, especially in the hippocampus, such as brain-derived neural factor (BDNF). The volume of this structure and the levels of BDNF are reduced in subjects exposed to prolonged stress, which could be a risk factor for the development of PTSD[40-42]. Resilience can avoid these negative effects of stress, for example, through the release of substances that block the physiological stress response. Neuropeptide Y (NPY) and dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) counteract CRF and cortisol, respectively [43,44]. Higher levels of NPY in response to acute stress predict less psychological distress and fewer symptoms of dissociation<sup>[45]</sup>. Furthermore, the brain of resilient people produces more BDNF, which also decreases levels of glucocorticoids in the hippocampus, and BDNF-mediated plasticity increases attention and memory and accelerates recovery from adversity. Resilient people have been shown to exhibit an adaptive stress response, rapid stress recovery (levels of cortisol decreasing fast after adversity) and lower susceptibility to stress-related physical and mental pathology[4].

There is an interface between the endocrine stress response and the immune system. Communication between neural, hormonal and immune systems is mediated by cytokines and chemokines, small molecules that mediate inflammatory processes, corticosteroids, pituitary hormones, catecholamines and neuropeptides[46,47]. Feedback between the peripheral immune system and the brain contributes to individual differences in the behavioral response to stress [48,49]. Resilient subjects display reduced neuroinflammation, which facilitates habituation to and recovery from stressful events and explains the lower incidence of medical and psychiatric diseases amongst these individuals[49,50,51]. Resilient people have lower systemic inflammation, and the psychosocial factors associated with resilience mitigate the impact of stress on systemic inflammation[51]. These bidirectional relationships between resilience and immunity are modulated by the gut microbiota<sup>[52]</sup>. There is an interaction between the gut and the brain that involves neural, endocrine, and immune pathways. It seems that the stress-induced activation of the HPA axis stimulates the immune system and causes changes in microbial diversity [53]. The gut microbiota has been associated with a wide range of physiological processes, including the response to stress<sup>[54]</sup>. Oral intake of Bifidobacterium was shown to significantly increase the number of mice that were resilient after repeated SD stress with respect to control animals not receiving treatment<sup>[55]</sup>. Moreover, administration of Lactobacillus was found to decrease anxiety-like behavior induced by repeated SD stress and to improve the immune response[56].

# ANIMAL MODELS AND BEHAVIORAL PARADIGMS TO STUDY RESILIENCE TO SOCIAL STRESS

Animal models are necessary to understand the different aspects of human resilience, such as physiological or behavioral changes. As mentioned before, after exposure to stress, some humans develop a psychopathological disorder, such as depression or anxiety, while others are resilient to such effects. These disorders are complex and multifactorial and affect many aspects of human life; thus, no animal model can mimic the complexity of human disorder. However, animal models are useful for simulating some of the psychiatric symptoms[57] or behavioral dimensions that characterize a disorder[58]. After exposure to chronic stress, some animals develop depression- and anxiety-like symptoms and other behavioral alterations (susceptible or vulnerable animals), while others exhibit clear resistance to at least some of the maladaptive sequelae of stress (resilient animals). In addition, animal models also contribute to our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the development of resilience, such as the therapeutic effects of the inoculation of stress[59].

In this section, we first describe the animal models and behavioral tests used to study resilience to the symptoms of stress-related disorders, such as anxiety, depression, cognitive impairment or drug addiction and then the models used to induce stress in experimental animals. This is not an exhaustive review of these models, but only a brief description of the main paradigms used in preclinical studies of resilience. We focus on the model of SD stress in rodents, and on the behavioral paradigms that have been used to evaluate its short- and long-term consequences.

Zaishideng® WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

### ANIMAL MODELS OF STRESS EXPOSURE

There are multiple techniques to induce stress in experimental rodents. Some of them use pharmacological stressors, such as daily administration of corticosterone[60], or physical stressors, such as restraint or immobilization[61]. Another model is based on a combination of physical and psychosocial stressors (chronic unpredictable stress (UCS) or chronic "mild" stress (CMS) paradigm)[62]. In the CMS, most animals (about 70%) show anhedonia-like symptoms (less sucrose consumption), reduction of hippocampal volume and alterations in glutamate metabolism, although there is a subset of resilient animals that do not exhibit these changes[62]. Resilience to stress has also been studied with the model known as "predator odor", in which the stress response is induced by exposing animals to the odor of a predator[63]. Usually, rats are classified into 3 groups according to the number and type of behavioral deficits observed as extremely, partially, or minimally disrupted. Anxiety-like symptoms, increased acoustic startle responses and reductions in NPY are observed in animals that are extremely disrupted, while partially and minimally disrupted animals exhibit mixed deficits within these domains[63].

The paradigm of learned helplessness is an animal model of depression that is also employed to induce stress and study resilience by exposing animals to the stress induced by an inescapable, unpredictable and uncontrollable foot shock[60,64,65]. After such exposure to stress, a subset of susceptible animals develops learned helplessness (coping deficits to deal with the inescapable shocks), while another subset of resilient animals displays escape responses with latencies similar to those of nonstressed animals[64]. Results are in function of the severity, duration and control over cessation of the footshock, the last variable of which promotes resilience[65].

As commented on before, in the present work we focus on the model of chronic SD stress because it is the most used animal model to study resilience to the effects of stress and has more ethological and ecological validity. In fact, the most frequent type of stress faced by humans is the chronic social stress derived from problems with social interaction (family or friend relationships, work-place stress, bullying, etc.). In the chronic SD model, brief episodes of aggression from a more aggressive conspecific in the resident-intruder paradigm result in the defeat of the experimental animal (intruder), which usually shows anxiety- and depression-like symptoms[15,66-69]. In the most widely employed SD model, rats or mice are exposed to SD for 10 days. Each day, the experimental animal undergoes 10 min of physical attack by the aggressive opponent, followed by 24 h of sensory contact. The consequences of this kind of stress are also a function of the severity and duration of the defeat episodes but chronic SD exposure induced an escalation of cocaine and alcohol consumption. To study resilience, genetically inbred C57BL6/J male mice are usually employed. Following chronic SD stress, all mice exhibit heightened reactivity of the HPA axis, deficits in exploration (interpreted as increased anxiety) and polydipsia[70]. However, there are differences between susceptible and resilient mice regarding other consequences of chronic SD. Resilient mice do not exhibit social avoidance, hyperthermia elicited by social interactions, anhedonia-like symptoms, or metabolic syndrome, characterized by over-eating, obesity, and leptin resistance [70,71]. Approximately 35% of C57BL6/J mice are resilient, although the relative distribution of resilience differs across strains [72]. Similarly, wild-type Groningen rats have better coping strategies and are more resilient to SD stress than Wistar rats[73].

A variation of the classical 10-day SD paradigm consists of exposing animals to intermittent repeated SD (IRSD); usually, four episodes of defeat separated by intervals of 72 h. The IRSD model is frequently employed in studies on the influence of social stress on vulnerability to developing drug addiction. Exposure to IRSD has also been shown to increase the rewarding effects of drugs of abuse[14,74,75,76]. In our laboratory, mice exposed to IRSD during adolescence or adulthood exhibit a long-term enhanced sensitivity to the rewarding effects of drug of abuse such as cocaine and MDMA[77,78,79].

To study the phenomenon of "stress inoculation" several types of moderate stressors have been used, including exposure to intermittent foot shocks[80] and brief intermittent maternal separations during early periods of life[81] or a combination of maternal separation and UCS[81]. Infant rats exposed to intermittent foot shocks subsequently respond more effectively than non-stressed control rats when confronted with novel situations[80]. The combination of maternal deprivation during early life with UCS during adolescence promotes greater resilience in adulthood than maternal deprivation alone or when combined with UCS[81].

WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

During chronic exposure to stress, behavioral strategies that limit the experience of stress may promote resilience<sup>[5]</sup>. During chronic SD, animals that engage in less submissive postures when threatened and attacked by the opponent show less social avoidance, suggesting that this behavioral coping strategy reduces the effects of the stress<sup>[82]</sup>. Behavioral manipulations have also been used to reduce the effects of stress and increase resilience; for example, exposure to physical exercise [83,84] or environmental enrichment[85].

# BEHAVIORAL PARADIGMS TO STUDY STRESS-RELATED PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS

#### Behavioral tests of anxiety- and depression-like symptoms

The forced swim test (FST) is a classic behavioral test of depression-like symptomatology in which animals are placed into a cylinder filled with water and forced to swim during a period lasting a few minutes. Initially animals attempt to escape and swim, but afterwards they stop fighting and become passive. Immobility (passive floating with minor movements necessary to keep the head above water) is interpreted as a failure to persist in escape-directed behavior, hopelessness, negative mood and depressive-like behavior. The FST is frequently used to evaluate resilience since SD increases immobility in this test in susceptible but not in resilient animals[60,86,87,88]. Similar to the FST, the tail suspension test (TST) measures immobility, which is considered to represent despair and depressive-like behavior[89]. Rodents are hung in an uncontrollable fashion by their tail for a few minutes[90] and, after initial escapeoriented movements, develop an immobile posture. The effects of SD exposure in the TST are unclear, and it has been suggested that this paradigm models the stress-coping strategy from which depressive-like behavior is inferred[91]. An increase in immobility is observed in animals reared in a limited bedding and nesting environment, which induces erratic maternal care and social stress<sup>[92]</sup>. Similarly, exposure to chronic mild stress (CMS) has been shown to increase immobility in anhedoniasusceptible animals[87]. However, our group has recently observed a reduction of immobility after IRSD exposure, which could be interpreted as an enhanced reactivity of defeated mice to the situation of moderate inescapable stress that the TST represents [93].

Anhedonia- or depressive-like symptoms are also frequently evaluated by measuring sucrose consumption. During training, after some hours of food and water deprivation, a bottle containing a sucrose solution is made available in the home cage. Sucrose intake is measured at different intervals during stress exposure and is reduced in vulnerable but not resilient stressed animals [70,71,88,94,95]. The splash test consists of spraying a 10% sucrose solution on the dorsal coat of a rodent to stimulate grooming behavior. An increase in the latency of grooming and a decrease in the time and/or frequency of grooming is interpreted as depressive-like behavior[96]. This test has also been used to evaluate resilience to the consequences of SD stress[60,93].

In the social interaction test, animals are placed within an open field in two trials (2.5-10 min), in the absence (no target) and presence (target) of a conspecific animal contained in a perforated Plexiglas cage, in order to allow for social interaction while preventing confrontation. Social avoidance is considered to take place when the experimental animal spends less time in the area immediately surrounding the enclosure containing the opponent (interaction zone) and more time in the corners of the open field. Social avoidance is associated with depressive-like behaviors and is frequently observed after SD exposure in susceptible but not in resilient animals[70,71, 93.97.981

The novelty suppressed feeding test is based on the innate fear of rodents of novelty and the inhibition of feeding behavior when exposed to a novel environment. Animals' access to food is restricted for 12-24 h. Animals are placed in a corner of a box containing a pellet of food and the latency to begin eating is recorded. Immediately after this, animals are placed in its home cage and the amount of food consumed in 5 min is measured. This test detects behaviors related to depression and anxiety, because a conflict appears between the anxiogenic environment and hunger-induced behavior[60,99].

The elevated plus maze (EPM) is one of the most used paradigms to measure anxiety in rodents. This test is based on the natural aversion of rodents to open elevated areas and the exploratory behavior that they exhibit in novel environments. The apparatus, elevated about 50 cm above floor level, consists of two open arms and two enclosed arms, and the junction of the four arms forms a central platform. Subjects



are placed on the central platform and allowed to explore the maze for 5 min. The total time spent in and the number of entries into the open (and closed) arms, and the percentage of time and entries into the open arms are measured. Anxiety levels are considered to be lower when the measurements in the open arms are higher and those in the closed arms are lower, and vice versa [100,101]. Mice exposed to chronic SD exhibit higher anxiety levels in this paradigm[93,97,102]. The EPM is also frequently used in studies of resilience to the effects of social stress on anxiety [60,92,102]. In a recent study in our laboratory, we observed that mice that were resilient to the effects of stress on cocaine reward spent less time in the open arms[93].

In the open field/exploration test, the animal is placed into an open-field arena for several min and its locomotor activity is evaluated by measuring distance travelled and velocity. A reduction of these measures is indicative of anxiety[103]. Sometimes the open field is divided into a center and a surrounding area, with thigmotaxis being indicative of anxiety. Maternal separation decreases the time that mice remain in the center of the open field[104]. SD induces deficits in exploration that are not observed in resilient animals[60,70,71,102].

The hole-board test is used to evaluate anxiety-related and novelty-seeking behavior of rodents. This test is carried out in a square box with equidistant holes in the floor. The animal is placed in a corner of the box and is allowed to freely explore the apparatus for a few minutes. Head-dipping represents exploratory tendencies that are distinct from general locomotor activity; thus, the latency to perform the first head-dip and the frequency of dips is recorded. Stress exposure elevates anxiety-related behavior in the hole-board test in rats and mice[105,106]. In our laboratory, we have observed that mice with low novelty-seeking are resilient to the effects of SD on cocaine reward[93].

#### Behavioral tests to evaluate cognitive impairment

The novel object recognition test evaluates episodic memory in rodents[107] and has been used to measure cognitive dysfunction according to deficits in object-context identification[108]. The task is performed in an open field box and consists of three phases: habituation (free exploration of the empty box), training (exploration of the box, which contains two small river stones) and test (one of the stones is replaced with a small plastic toy). In the training and test sessions, separated for a memory retention interval (1 min), the exploration of the objects is measured for 3 min. It is assumed that if the animal recognizes the stone, it has spent more time exploring the new object. Exposure to different paradigms of stress induces cognitive deficits in recognition memory[94,104,105,109]. Acute[110] and chronic[97] SD impairs performance of the object recognition task. This task has also been used to study resilience to the impairing effects of social stress on cognition[88,94,97,111,112].

The Morris water maze task measures spatial memory that is dependent on the hippocampus[113]. The apparatus consists of a circular swimming pool, divided into 4 equal quadrants (NW, NE, SE and SW), with an escape platform placed 1 cm below the water surface. Several visual cues surrounding the maze are placed on the walls. During the training phase the animal is placed in the water inside one of the quadrants and allowed to swim freely until it locates and climbs onto the platform. If the animal fails to locate the platform, it is guided to the platform by the experimenter and allowed to stand on it for several seconds. The training is performed over 4-5 consecutive days (3 trials per day), measuring the escape latency (the time taken to locate the platform in each trial). The test is performed 24 h after the last training session (the platform is removed and the time spent in each quadrant is measured). If the animal recalls the placement of the hidden platform it will spend more time in that quadrant. Unpredicted CMS impairs performance of the water maze[114], but chronic SD stress does not affect this task[102]. The water maze has also been used to study resilience to the effects of stress on cognitive processes[114,115]. An interesting study [115] showed that rats that emitted ultrasonic vocalizations during intermittent swim stress later showed resilience in the Morris water maze and an instrumental swim escape test.

The Y-maze is a spatial task that requires intact hippocampal function[116]. The Ymaze apparatus has three identical and symmetrical arms that radiate out from the center. Explicit cues are presented outside the maze (located on the walls around the room). In the first trial, the animal is placed in one arm, designated as the "start" arm, while another arm is blocked so that the animal can only explore the start and the other arm. After 4 h, in the second trial, animals are placed in the start arm and can freely explore all three arms. The number of entries and the time spent in each arm is measured. If the animal recalls the arms previously explored in trial 1, it will spend more time in the "novel" arm in trial 2 (discrimination performance). CMS induces



deficits in the performance of the Y-maze among vulnerable anhedonic-rats[88]. Acute [110] but not chronic [117] SD stress also impairs performance in the Y-maze. However, the combination of chronic SD with a slight peripheral infection (produced by injection of a sub-threshold of LPS) impairs the performance of susceptible mice in the Y-maze [111].

The radial arm maze is a model of hippocampus-dependent memory. Animals are food-restricted (approximately 85.0% of their previous body weight) and pre-trained to associate the maze with a food reward placed at the end of all 8 arms. Subsequently, the animals are trained for several consecutive days. In each trial the animal is placed in the central chamber of the maze for habituation and can then freely explore the arms until it consumes all food reward or until a maximum time. The measurement of memory is the number of errors committed, defined as entries in a previously visited arm[118,119]. Chronic stress induced by visual and olfactory exposure to a predator (Long Evan rat) without direct physical contact impairs performance in the radial maze[118]. Similarly, maternal separation induces an overall impairment in the performance of the radial maze in adulthood; however, this impairment is observed in susceptible, but not in resilient mice[119]. On the other hand, adult rats exposed to maternal deprivation perform better in the radial maze, an effect probably related with the phenomenon of inoculation of stress[120].

The radial arm water maze also evaluates spatial ability in rodents[121,122,123]. In this case, the radial arm maze is filled with cloudy water to conceal a platform placed in one of the eight arms, and there are prominent extra-maze cues on the walls of the room. The animals perform several trials in three days, which consists of placing the animal into an arm (the start arm, which does not contain the platform). When the animal reaches the hidden platform it remains on it for several seconds to visualize the room spatially. If the animal fails to find the hidden platform, it is guided there by the experimenter. The number of entrances is measured in each trial. Two types of errors are considered in each trial; reference memory errors (number of first-time entries into arms that did not contain the platform) and working memory errors (number of repeat entries into an arm that did not contain the platform). Chronic restraint stress impairs radial arm water maze performance[122,123], but this effect recedes with time[124] and is prevented by environmental enrichment[85].

It is important to note that chronic stressors do not affect the performance of females in most of these tests (spatial object recognition, radial arm maze, Morris water maze, Y-maze), while males show stress-induced impairments in all of them[125]. These sexdependent differences include the use of different strategies by the sexes to solve cognitive tasks and may be related to estradiol levels[87].

#### Animal models of addiction-like symptoms

The animal models of drug reward and addiction-like symptoms are essential to progress in understanding the biological basis of SUD and for the identification of new therapeutic targets. Drug addiction is a neuropsychiatric disorder characterized by loss of control over drug-seeking and drug-taking, the presence of a negative emotional state and an intense craving for the drug when it is not available, and a high propensity to relapse even after long-term periods of abstinence[126]. Drug addiction represents a profound disruption of different neural circuits, including a deficit of the brain reward system, an over-activation of the stress systems, aberrant associative learning (which confers exaggerated incentive salience to stimuli or contexts associated with the drug), and a dysfunction of the PFC, resulting in the inability to inhibit drug-taking behavior. The transition from an initial recreational and controlled drug use to compulsive consumption is also related with a change from the ventral to the dorsal striatum in the control of drug use behavior, with the consequent development of rigid stimulus-response habits[127,128].

Drug addiction has a multifactorial nature, since environmental and biological factors interact to confer vulnerability or resilience to the development of this disorder. The complexity of addictive behavior cannot be captured by an animal model, but they are useful in modelling some specific aspects of drug addiction. The two main models to study vulnerability or resilience to drug addiction are the self-administration (SA) paradigm, which is based on the primary hedonic effects produced by the consumption of a drug of abuse, and the conditioned place preference (CPP) paradigm, which focuses on the component of reward related to associative or incentive learning.

The intravenous SA paradigm is the most important procedure for assessing the primary intrinsic reinforcing effect of drugs, and is the most commonly used in rodents[129,130]. In this paradigm animals are trained in daily sessions to obtain the drug by performing an operant response; for example, by pressing a lever or performing a nose-poke. This response is reinforced by injection of the drug, usually



according to a fixed response (FR) program in which the animal must perform a fixed number of responses in order to obtain the dose of the drug. Variable or progressive response programs are also used to measure motivation of the animal for the drug. The oral SA paradigm, frequently used for alcohol, is similar regardless of the way in which the substance is ingested by the animal. Pharmacological and methodological factors may influence the results obtained with the SA paradigm, such as the drug, dose and rate of infusion, duration of the SA session, the requirements of response, the sex and age of the animal, etc.

The SA paradigm is also used to study extinction and reinstatement of drug-seeking behavior. During the extinction phase, the drug of abuse is not presented after responding, and as a consequence, a progressive decrease in the operant response takes place[131-133]. When extinction has been completed, reinstatement of the operant response by several stimuli is observed. Reinstatement of drug SA is a model of relapse to drug consumption after a period of abstinence. As in humans, administration of the drug of abuse (priming), re-exposure to drug-associated stimuli, or exposure to stress reinstates the initially learned operant response[134]. Indeed, some researchers have adapted the SA paradigm in order to model the main features of addiction in humans based on the DSM-5 criteria: loss of control or persistence in drug seeking (active responses during periods in which the reinforcer is not available), high motivation for the drug (using a progressive reinforcement schedule), and maintenance of consumption despite its negative outcomes (association between reinforcement and a foot shock)[135]. The SA model has excellent predictive and face validity; however, it also has some drawbacks related with the complexity of the technique (surgical implantation of an intravenous catheter or previous familiarization with the drug for intravenous or oral SA, respectively) and the training of the animals until they effectively acquire operant response.

Using the SA paradigm, it has been demonstrated that exposure to social stress increases the reinforcing effects of drugs of abuse[136-139]. Recently, resilience to these effects has also been studied using different types of social stress and drugs of abuse, such as cocaine<sup>[140-143]</sup>, methamphetamine<sup>[144]</sup> and alcohol<sup>[145-147]</sup>.

The CPP is a paradigm that evaluates the conditioned rewarding effects of a drug of abuse, since some contextual stimuli acquire appetitive properties when associated with the drug[148-151]. This paradigm is characterized by its methodological simplicity and is thus frequently used. Animals are conditioned in a box with two or three compartments that are clearly distinct in terms of the stimuli present in each compartment; for example, they have different colored walls and floor textures. Before conditioning, a pre-conditioning phase takes place to evaluate the time spent by the animal in each compartment without any treatment. During conditioning the animal receives the drug (usually 4 injections in 4 or 8 days) in a specific compartment (without access to the other compartment) and physiological saline in the opposite compartment. Later, in the post-conditioning phase (equal to pre-conditioning) it is evaluated whether the animal has learned to associate the rewarding effects of the drug with the environmental cues present in the drug-paired compartment. If the animal spends more time in this compartment (in comparison to the time spent in preconditioning or to the time spent in the saline-paired compartment), it is considered that the animal has acquired CPP. All drugs abused by humans induce CPP in rodents [150].

As described for the SA paradigm, the CPP procedure can also be used to evaluate other processes besides acquisition, such as extinction and reinstatement of motivated behavior[148]. To induce extinction, animals are placed in the CPP box and perform daily or weekly sessions similarly to pre- and post-conditioning (i.e., they are exposed to the previously drug-paired compartment without administration of the drug). Progressively, the association between the reinforcing value and environmental cues weakens, and the CPP is finally extinguished. The period needed for extinction of CPP is influenced by different factors, including exposure to stressful events. For example, exposure to SD before each acquisition session[152], or 3-weeks before the initiation of the CPP procedure [78], slows the extinction of MDMA-induced CPP. After extinction, an injection of the drug of abuse (priming) or exposure to stress induces the reinstatement of CPP. In this paradigm, reinstatement refers to the recovery of the conditioned response and involves renewed memory of the association - learned during conditioning - between the reinforcing effect of the drug and the environmental cues associated with its pleasant effects. In our laboratory we have observed that SD exposure induces reinstatement of the CPP induced by cocaine[153,154].

The CPP has been widely used to evaluate the influence of social stress on the conditioned rewarding effects of different drugs of abuse, including alcohol, cocaine and MDMA<sup>[15]</sup>. In our laboratory, the animals are exposed to SD three weeks before



WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

initiation of the CPP procedure. We have seen that exposure to SD induces a long-term increase in the rewarding effects of cocaine, since defeated mice acquire CPP with doses that are ineffective in inducing place conditioning in control mice[77]. Furthermore, we have observed how SD induces a long-term enhancement in the vulnerability of mice to priming-induced reinstatement of the CPP induced by cocaine [155] and MDMA[78]. In addition, the CPP model has been used to study resilience to the effects of social stress on the rewarding effects of methamphetamine[156], MDMA [157] and cocaine[93,143,158,159,160-163].

Finally, the effects of social stress on alcohol intake and resilience to these effects have been studied in the two-bottle choice test, a paradigm of voluntary consumption, in which animals can choose freely, during a limited time, to drink from one of the two bottles placed in the home cage: one containing water and the other containing alcohol [92,164].

# ADVANCES CONCERNING THE NEUROBIOLOGICAL SUBSTRATES OF RESILIENCE

The study of the neurobiology of resilience is a relatively young area of scientific investigation[24,35]. Research carried out with animal models in the last decade has identified several behavioral, hormonal, neural and molecular mechanisms underlying the development and enhancement of resilience, mainly in relation with the reduced susceptibility to develop psychiatric disorders, such as depression or PTSD, after stress or trauma (Figure 2). As Russo et al<sup>[5]</sup> noted, resilience is mediated not only by the absence of neurobiological abnormalities that occur in susceptible animals after stress exposure (passive resilience), but also by the presence of neuroadaptations which occur in individuals that are resilient to stress, which help them to maintain normal functioning (active resilience). In this section we review the main results obtained in studies using electrophysiological, optogenetic, pharmacological, and molecular profiling techniques to unravel the neurobiological substrates of resilience to the negative consequences of chronic SD stress, mainly social avoidance and anhedonia. Advances in this field may guide ongoing research regarding the neurobiological substrates of resilience to the effects of SD on addiction disorders.

# Glutamatergic system

The glutamatergic system seems to play an important role in resilience to stress[165]. Chronic stress reduces the dendritic spine density of glutamatergic neurons in the PFC and hippocampus, while it increases it in the amygdala and NAcc[166]. In the chronic predator and SD stress paradigms, resilient mice show greater expression of immediate early genes (c-Fos, FosB, or ΔFosB) in glutamatergic neurons of the medial PFC[106,167,168] and in medium spiny neurons (MSN) of the NAcc, inducing expression of the AMPA glutamate receptor subunit GluA2[169]. Optogenetic stimulation of either medial PFC or amygdala glutamate afferents to the NAcc induces resilience[168,170], while attenuation of glutamatergic transmission from the ventral hippocampus to the NAcc is pro-resilient, and reduced activity in the ventral hippocampus is observed in mice that are resilient to the effects of chronic SD[170]. Furthermore, several environmental manipulations that promote resilience to stress-induced depression- and anxiety-like behaviors, such as early intermittent maternal separation and environmental enrichment, increase the volume of ventromedial PFC[171], the dendritic spine density of hippocampal and PFC neurons[172], and expression of FosB and  $\Delta$ FosB in medial PFC[167]. All these results suggest that increased neuronal activation of mPFC represent pro-resilience adaptation[5].

NMDA receptors have been implicated in stress resilience [165]. Mice susceptible to chronic SD stress exhibit low activity of hippocampal extrasynaptic NMDA receptors, and enhancement in the function of these receptors prevents social avoidance behavior in defeated mice[173]. The NMDA antagonist ketamine protects against the long-term consequences of different types of stress in animal models[165,174]. For example, administration of ketamine protects mice against SD-induced depressive symptomatology in the FST and against learned helplessness-induced coping deficits when dealing with inescapable shocks, although it did not protect against the anxiety-like phenotype in the EPM[60]. Reducing brain D-serine, an endogenous co-agonist at the glycine site of the NMDA receptors, may also improve stress resilience[175], and NMDA receptor blockade in the right medial PFC facilitates resilience to SDS-induced anxiety in mice[176]. Furthermore, we have observed that the NMDA antagonist memantine increases resilience to the effects of IRSD on the CPP induced by cocaine in





Figure 2 Simplified diagram of the neurobiological substrates of resilience to the effects of social defeat in rodents. Resilience is induced by activation of the pathways indicated with gross lines and by inhibition or normalization of the pathways represented with dashed lines. LC: Locus coeruleus; VTA: Ventral tegmental area; PFC: Prefrontal cortex; NAcc: Nucleus accumbens; vHipp: Ventral hippocampus; Amyg: Amygdala.

#### mice[77].

Some subunits of AMPA receptors might be involved in resilience. For instance, mice resilient to developing social avoidance after chronic SD show increased GluR2 mRNA expression compared to control mice, while susceptible mice display a decrease in GluR2 levels in the NAcc[169]. In addition, AMPA agonists prevent increases in corticosterone and latency to feed in the novelty-suppressed feeding induced by chronic stress[177].

The role of metabotropic glutamate receptors in stress resilience remains uncertain [165]. After 3 days exposure to learned helplessness or SD, mGluR5 KO mice exhibit enhanced susceptibility to stress-induced depression, social avoidance, and anhedonia. In addition, susceptible mice exhibit less mGluR5 in the NAcc than both resilient and control mice[178]. Finally, blockade of mGlu2/3 and deletion of mGlu2, but not mGlu3, promotes stress resilience, including protection against stress-induced depressive-like symptoms[179].

#### GABAergic system

There are a limited number of studies on the role of GABA in resilience to the effects of chronic SD, and the effects observed to date have been in the function of the brain area containing GABA neurons and the subtype of receptor studied.

Chronic SD defeat activates GABA neurons of the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) and strengthens inhibition of 5-HT neurons in susceptible mice, but this effect is not observed in resilient mice without a social interaction deficit; accordingly, optogenetic inhibition of DRN GABA neurons was shown to disinhibit 5-HT neurons and promote resilience[180]. Conversely, SD stress impairs the inhibitory tone in the NAcc. Stresssusceptible mice exhibit reduced levels of inhibitory synaptic markers and protein expression (vesicular GABA transporters (vGAT) and gephyrin) in the NAcc that are not observed in resilient mice[181]. GABA (B) receptors in the habenular nuclei are also down-regulated in susceptible mice, which display elevated c-Fos expression in this structure; furthermore, intra-habenular injection of baclofen and CGP36216 (GABA (B) agonist and antagonist, respectively) reverses social avoidance[182]. Studies with KO mice have also indicated the role of GABA in resilience to the effects of SD. GAT-1-deficient mice demonstrate an increase in resilience to the effects of acute stress on depressive- and anxiety-like symptoms[183,184]. Moreover, GABA(B1a) KO mice are more susceptible, whereas GABA(B1b) KO mice are more resilient to both stress-induced anhedonia and psychosocial stress-induced social avoidance[185].

#### Dopaminergic system

Adaptations within the brain reward system, and in particular in the mesolimbic DA circuit, are closely associated with resilience to the effects of chronic SD stress. The firing rate of ventral tegmental area (VTA) DA neurons has been shown to be increased in susceptible animals exposed to chronic SD; conversely, resilient mice show an increase in K + channels that normalizes hyperexcitability of VTA DA



WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

neurons and prevents social avoidance and sucrose preference deficit[70,186,187]. A further increase in the hyperactivity of VTA DA neurons in susceptible mice produced by optogenetics or pharmacological treatments induces homeostatic plasticity and reverses depression-related behaviors[187,188]. Such studies bring to light the selfstabilizing capacity of midbrain DA neurons of the brain reward system [187]. A recent study has demonstrated that a baseline level of physical activity (voluntary wheel running), mediated by the tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) neurons in the VTA, affects susceptibility and resilience to chronic SD. Mice with low levels of physical activity showed lower TH expression in the VTA and were susceptible to SD, while mice with high levels of activity showed higher TH expression and were resilient to SD; activation of TH neurons in the VTA of mice with lower levels of activity increased resilience, while inhibition of these neurons increased susceptibility to SD[189].

Different MSN subtypes of the NAcc (D1-MSN and D2-MSN, with predominant expression of DA D1 and D2 receptors, respectively) are also involved in susceptibility and resilience to chronic SD stress. Susceptible mice that develop depression-like behaviors after SD showed decreased frequency of excitatory synaptic input in D1-MSN (but an increase in D2-MSN); in addition, enhancing the activity[190] or the spine density[191] of D1-MSN has been shown to induce resilience. Fosb-targeted histone methylation in D1-MSN or histone acetylation in D2-MSN promote a susceptible, depressive-like phenotype, while histone acetylation in D1-MSN or histone methylation in D2-MSN increase resilience[192]. Resilient animals also display an upregulation of synaptic strength at dendritic spines of D1-MSN and a concomitant downregulation in D2-MSN[193]. In addition, chronic SD selectively reduces NLGN-2, a neuronal postsynaptic cell adhesion protein, in DA D1-MSN of susceptible mice [181]. D1-MSN activity prior to stress is also a predictor of resilience, as mice that will later become resilient display increased baseline D1-MSN activity[194].

Single and repeated SD stress induces D1 receptor-mediated changes in medial PFC neurons. A single SD was shown to increase arborization and the spines of apical dendrites of pyramidal neurons in the medial PFC, whereas repeated SD reduced dendritic lengths of these neurons[195]. Optogenetic inhibition of the DA VTA neurons projecting to the medial PFC promotes susceptibility[188]. DA D1 receptors in medial PFC excitatory neurons plays a role in suppressing susceptibility to stress, since repeated SD reduces the expression of these receptors in susceptible mice, while its genetic deletion facilitates the induction of social avoidance[195].

DA transmission in other brain areas is also involved in susceptibility or resilience to stress, although results are contradictory. Vulnerable mice were reported to display increased expression of DA D2 receptors in the amygdala[102,196] and increased levels of DA in the hippocampus and PFC[197]. However, another study found that hippocampal dopaminergic activity was inversely correlated with the level of social avoidance induced by SD and chronic treatment with hop bitter acids enhanced stress resilience<sup>[198]</sup>. Similarly, treatment with caffeine (from 14 days before until the end of SD) reverses social avoidance and anhedonia, and this pro-resilience effect of caffeine is reversed by the antagonism of DA D1 (but not D2) receptors[199].

#### Noradrenergic system

Noradrenergic (NA) neurons in the LC have direct connections within the VTA and regulate vulnerability to SD through inhibitory control of VTA DA neurons[200]. NA LC neurons projecting to the VTA exhibit enhanced firing activity in resilient, but not susceptible, mice, and optogenetic activation of LC neurons in susceptible mice reverses depression-related behaviors [201].  $\alpha$ 1- and  $\beta$ 3-adrenergic receptors are highly expressed in VTA neurons projecting to the NAcc, and the antagonism of these receptors blocks the effects of the optogenetic and pharmacologic activation of LC neurons; i.e., it reverses hyperactivity and homeostatic plasticity in the VTA-NAcc pathway in susceptible mice[201].

#### Serotonergic system

Plasticity of the serotonergic system also contributes to susceptibility or resilience to the effects of SD stress, although the role of serotonin depends on the brain area under consideration. As commented on before, inhibition of GABA neurons of DRN disinhibits 5-HT neurons and promotes resilience to social avoidance induced by SD in mice[180]. In fact, the mechanism underlying SD-induced social avoidance is a hyposerotonergic state in the DRN, which results from the activation of p38α mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK), the consequent translocation of the SERT to the membrane, and the increase in the rate of serotonin uptake[202]. Down-regulation of the 5-HT1A auto-receptors in 5-HT neurons of DRN (which can result in increased 5HT release), improves behavioral resilience to SD[203]. On the other hand, rats



WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

susceptible to stress-induced anhedonia, but not resilient rats, display an increased number of neurons expressing tryptophan-hydroxylase-2 (TPH2, the enzyme for serotonin synthesis) in the ventral subnucleus of the DRN (DRNv), while activation of the CRF containing neurons of the amygdala induce resilience, suppressing the increase of TPH2 positive neurons in the DRNv and ameliorating anhedonia in susceptible rats<sup>[204]</sup>. Mice resilient to the effects of chronic SD also display a reduction of serotonin in the hippocampus[197].

#### Cholinergic system

ACh signaling in the hippocampus may be related with differential responses to SD stress. Interference with hippocampal AChE activity increases anxiety- and depression-like behaviors and decreases resilience to repeated SD stress[205]. In addition, nicotinic cholinergic (nACh) signaling in the basolateral amygdala seems to play a role in the effects of SD, since β2 nAChR subunit knockdown undermines resilience to SD stress and c-fos immunoreactivity in this structure[206].

#### Endogenous opioids

Chronic SD stress increases  $\mu$  and  $\kappa$  opioid receptors and reduces  $\delta$  opioid receptors in the PFC of susceptible mice (with social avoidance), while resilient mice show no alteration in the levels of opioid receptors and increased p38 MAPK phosphorylation [207]. Besides the increased mRNA expression of the opioid µ and ĸ receptors in the frontal cortex, susceptible mice also show a reduction in the expression of µ receptors in the hippocampus and a reduction of  $\kappa$  receptors in the basolateral amygdala[208, 209]. Conversely, mRNA of dynorphin is increased in the shell of NAcc in susceptible rats and in the striatum of resilient animals[208].

Chronic SD also decreases mRNA levels of  $\delta$  opioid receptors and enkephalins in the basolateral amygdala and in the ventral hippocampus (CA1) of vulnerable mice [209]. Administration of an agonist of  $\delta$  receptors increases resilience and reduces oxidative stress markers in CA1 neurons, a mechanism that may be involved in the pro-resilient effect of enkephalin signaling[210]. Similarly, susceptible animals display reduced enkephalin levels in the NAcc and enkephalinase inhibitors, while intra-NAcc infusion of a  $\delta$  receptor agonist induces resilience and increases phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), which is downregulated by SD stress<sup>[211]</sup>.

µ-opioid receptor G-allele carriers express less submissive behavior and exhibit resilience to SD, demonstrated by a lack of subsequent social avoidance and reductions in anhedonia; moreover, the resilience in question was associated with a greater induction of c-fos in the NAcc and periaqueductal gray[212].

#### Neuropeptide Y

Neuropeptide Y (NPY) is a neuropeptide that is widely distributed in the brain and promotes protective responses in the face of stress[213,214] by inducing anxiolytic effects and counteracting the anxiogenic effects of CRF. Multiple studies indicate a positive correlation between NPY levels and resilience to the deleterious effects of stress in humans and animal models. A significant down-regulation of NPY in the amygdala and hippocampus has been observed in animals with PTSD-like symptoms, and administration of NPY reversed the negative behavioral effects of predator-scent stress<sup>[63]</sup>. Mice susceptible to the effects of chronic SD also show a down-regulation of NPY and NPY2R in the hippocampus<sup>[215]</sup>. Administration of NPY significantly reduces submissive/defensive behaviors in socially defeated hamsters, although this effect is not mediated by the Y1 receptor [216]. Such results demonstrate that NPY may function as an important factor in resilience against the impairing effects of SD, and a recent study has suggested that deficiency of NPY plays a role in the impairing effects of stress on hippocampal function and the processes mediated by this structure[217].

#### Orexins

Orexins (OX) produced in the lateral hypothalamus also play an important role in the response to stress[218,219]. Chronic SD stress-susceptible and -resilient mice (with or without deficits in social interaction) display different levels of prepro-OX in the hypothalamus[220] and basolateral amygdala, with increased OX1 and decreased OX2 observed in susceptible mice[221]. Brain infusion of OX A was found to induce an antidepressant-like effect only in susceptible mice, while co-infusion of OX A and B induced an anxiogenic effect only in resilient mice[220]. In addition, knocking down the OX2 receptors in the basolateral amygdala increases social avoidance and reduces the time spent in the center of an open field [221]. Similarly, after SD stress, resilient (actively coping) rats express lower prepro-OX mRNA levels than passively coping



rats, while inhibition of OX before each SD episode increases social interaction and decreases depressive-like behavior in vulnerable rats[222]. These results suggest that lower levels of OX contribute to resilience to repeated SD, although in this context it is important to consider the different types of OX receptors. A recent study indicated that OX1 and OX2 receptors exert opposite functions and that the agonism of OX2 receptors promotes resilience to the anxiety and depression induced by exposure to SD stress in mice[223,224].

#### Neurotrophic factors

Neurotrophic factors and their signaling pathways, such as BDNF or ERK1/2, have been implicated in the neuroadaptations that take place in response to stress.

ERK is reduced after SD stress in both susceptible and resilient mice[207]. SD also decreases phosphorylation of ERK[211] and the pERK/ERK ratio[225]. Overexpression of ERK2 in the VTA increases susceptibility to SD stress in mice, while blockade of VTA ERK2 activity promotes behavioral resilience and decreases the frequency of firing of the VTA DA neurons, an important electrophysiological hallmark of resilience [226]. Phosphorylation of ERK is enhanced by treatments that induce resilience, such as the intra-NAcc infusion of a delta opioid receptor agonist or enkephalinase inhibitors<sup>[211]</sup>.

BDNF is expressed in the amygdala, hippocampus, PFC and basal forebrain, and acts through its two main receptors, TrkB and p75[227]. BDNF has antidepressant-like effects and enhances hippocampal neurogenesis[228,229], which suggests an important role of this factor in the potentiation of resilience. Chronic SD stress decreases BDNF/TrkB in the PFC, the dentate gyrus (DG), and the CA3 region of the hippocampus, but increases BDNF/TrkB in the NAcc[175,225]. A differential expression of BDNF has been observed in susceptible and resilient mice in function of the brain area studied. Susceptible mice have higher levels of BDNF mRNA in the VTA than resilient and control mice, suggesting that this increase is associated with depressive-like behavior induced by SD[230]. An increase of BDNF-4 has been observed in the PFC of susceptible mice exposed to chronic SD, but the same animals also showed a selective reduction of BDNF-6 transcript in the hippocampus<sup>[231]</sup>. Conversely, in another study with mice exposed to chronic SD stress, levels of BDNF in the medial PFC and hippocampus were lower in susceptible mice than in control and resilient animals[232]. Finally, several studies support the contribution of hippocampal BDNF expression to resilience to chronic stress[233]. In rodents exposed to SD, activation of hippocampal BDNF/TRKB signaling (by means of branched-chain amino acids, exercise and high protein diets) induces resilience to social avoidance [234,235,236]. In addition, enhancement of BDNF and TRKB levels and signaling has been implicated in the nicotine-induced resilience to the social deficit induced by SD [237].

#### Hormones of the HPA axis

Stress activates the HPA axis and the release of stress hormones that regulate the individual response to stress. SD stress induces hypercortisolemia and adrenal hypertrophy in susceptible mice, but not in resilient rodents [48,238]. In addition, susceptible mice exhibit reduced glucocorticoid (GR) receptor expression in the hippocampus in comparison to resilient mice, suggesting that up-regulation of GR and enhancement of GR nuclear translocation in the hippocampus play an important role in resilience to chronic SD stress[238]. Susceptible mice show higher plasma corticosterone concentrations 2 h and 48 h after single and chronic SD stress, respectively; and administration of corticosterone via drinking water enhances susceptibility while a GR antagonist alleviates the negative consequences of chronic stress<sup>[239]</sup>. A single dose of ketamine that improved depressive-like behaviors was shown to decrease plasma corticosterone levels and rescue GR expression and nuclear translocation in the hippocampus of susceptible mice[239].

Resilient rats (with proactive behavior in resisting defeat) show decreased efficacy of CRF[82]. Similarly, mice in which CRF is deleted from GABAergic forebrain neurons were found to display a resilient phenotype[240], and PFC mRNA expression of CRF was stronger in susceptible mice than in resilient counterparts[48]. However, another study showed that increasing CRF neuronal activity in a subtype of GABAergic inhibitory interneurons in the medial PFC promoted lasting resilience to SD stress[241,226].

#### Epigenetic factors

A wide variety of genetic factors - polymorphisms of genes of NPY, CRFR1, catecholamines (COMT, DAT, DAR1, DAR4), serotonin (SERT, 5-HTR1A, 5-HTR3A, 5-



HTR2C), BDNF, among others - have been implicated in resilience (for a review see[4, 242]). Like all aspects of psychological function, resilience results from the interaction between genes and environment. Epigenetic factors are functional modifications to the genome (such as DNA methylation and demethylation, and histone methylation, acetylation, and phosphorylation) that regulate gene expression and phenotype without changing the DNA sequence. Different epigenetic mechanisms have been linked to resilience<sup>[243]</sup>. For instance, changes in gene expression and chromatin modifications in specific brain regions are associated with resilience to chronic SD stress<sup>[70,231,244,245]</sup>. In particular, histone methyltransferases are up-regulated in the NAcc of resilient mice, which exhibit low depression-like symptoms after chronic SD [246], while susceptible mice show reduced g9a mRNA levels in the hippocampus, and a reduction of HDAC-5 and DNMT3a mRNA levels in the PFC[231].

HDAC inhibitors may also regulate stress-related behaviors independently of their action on histones, through prevention of glucocorticoid signaling in serotonin pathways. Deletion of HDAC6 in serotonin neurons prevents the electrophysiological and morphological changes induced by chronic SD in these neurons and blocks the expression of social avoidance[247]. In one study, lower acetylated Hsp90 levels, higher GR-Hsp90 association, and enhanced GR translocation were observed in the DRN of vulnerable mice after chronic SD stress, and a HDAC6-selective inhibitor or the serotonin-selective viral overexpression of the acetylation-mimic mutant of Hsp90 in DRN neurons promoted resilience to chronic SD stress[248].

#### Immune system

Inflammation may underlie individual differences in vulnerability and resilience to chronic SD stress[249,250].

Exposure to SD increases inflammatory markers, but the enhancement of proinflammatory proteins is more pronounced in susceptible rats (with passive coping during defeats and anhedonia) than in active coping rats[236]. In addition, only susceptible rats exhibit elevated levels of inflammatory proteins (IL-1β, TNF-α, GM-CSF) in the LC [251], and higher systemic levels of interleukin-6 (IL-6)[252]. Rats with short-defeat latencies (vulnerable rats) exhibit increased anxiety- and depression-like behaviors, and inflammation in the ventral hippocampus[253]. On the other hand, selective KO of the miR-106b~25 cluster in peripheral leukocytes promotes behavioral resilience to chronic SD stress[254]. Preexisting individual differences in the sensitivity of the peripheral immune system (IL-6) may predict vulnerability or resilience to social stress [250].

Gut microbiota, important activators of inflammatory substances, have emerged as a putative mechanism for promoting stress vulnerability [253]. For example, in one study, mice that were most susceptible to the behavioral effects of chronic SD (reflected by severe social avoidance behaviors) displayed the greatest changes within particular sets of bacteria in the phylum and genus taxonomic ranks[255].

# **RESILIENCE TO THE EFFECTS OF SOCIAL DEFEAT ON THE REWAR-**DING PROPERTIES OF DRUGS OF ABUSE

There is a well-known link between stress and the development of AUD/SUD, and preclinical studies have shown that early life stress, social rank stress, and SD stress impact on vulnerability and resilience to alcohol, cocaine and other drugs of abuse[14, 15,256]. However, as mentioned previously, there are few works studying resilience to the effects of social stress on the rewarding properties of drugs of abuse. For example, in the search "social defeat, addiction, resilience" in PubMed we identified only 18 papers, and some of these studies did not employ any paradigm of drug reward or addiction. After an exhaustive search and review of the literature we found only 8 papers on resilience to the consequences of repeated or chronic SD for the rewarding effects of cocaine, alcohol or methamphetamine.

In a classic preclinical study of resilience, Krishnan et al<sup>[70]</sup> demonstrated for the first time that, following exposure to chronic SD stress, mice can be classified as susceptible or resilient according to their differential response to stress. Susceptible mice exhibited anhedonia, social avoidance and anxiety-like behavior in the EPM, while resilient mice did not show such symptoms. This study was also pioneering in demonstrating differences between susceptible and resilient mice in sensitivity to the rewarding effects of cocaine. Only susceptible mice showed CPP after conditioning with a low dose of cocaine, while resilient or non-stressed mice did not acquire CPP [70]. Surprisingly, until recently, no other studies have addressed this issue.



In our laboratory, we have studied the influence of IRSD stress on the rewarding properties of cocaine in the CPP paradigm. Exposure to four episodes of SD during late adolescence (on post-natal day (PND) 47-56) subsequently increased the sensitivity of adult mice to a low dose of cocaine. In particular, 1 mg/kg of cocaine induced CPP in defeated mice but not in non-stressed control mice [77]. In a recent study, we evaluated whether some animals were resilient to the effects of IRSD. Overall, exposure to SD decreased all measurements related to the open arms of the EPM, immobility in the TST, social contact in the social interaction test, and grooming in the splash test. IRSD exposure also increased the sensitivity of the mice to the rewarding effects of cocaine, since only defeated animals acquired CPP. However, the potentiation of cocaine CPP was not observed in all the defeated mice, as some of them were resilient to the effects of IRSD on cocaine reward [93]. In the same study we characterized the behavioral profile of vulnerable and resilient mice during defeat episodes and in several behavioral paradigms shortly after SD. Vulnerable mice that showed CPP also exhibited depressive-like behavior, in line with the results of Krishnan et al<sup>[70]</sup>. In comparison with vulnerable mice, resilient mice displayed different behavioral traits, such as less submissive behavior during episodes of defeat, a lower percentage of time in the open arms of an EPM, lower novelty-seeking in the hole board, higher social interaction, greater immobility in the TST, and higher frequency of grooming in the splash test. These results indicate that the behavioral profile of resilient mice is characterized by an active coping response during defeat episodes, a reduced short-term response to SD (lesser reactivity to moderate unavoidable stress, enhanced concern in a potentially dangerous environment and absence of depressive symptoms), and a lack of long-term responses to SD, as evidenced by the absence of cocaine CPP[93].

Two similar studies also showed that control mice do not develop CPP with a low dose of cocaine, while defeated mice did overall develop a preference for the drugpaired compartment[143,162]. Among the defeated animals, two populations could be distinguished - resilient (did not develop preference) and susceptible mice (developed preference) - and they differed in their active or passive behavior during the SD sessions. As the authors stated, "resilient animals showed less flight and submission behaviors than susceptible mice and they presented attack behaviors towards the residents, thereby showing their resistance to being defeated" [162]. Besides passive coping behavior during SD episodes, susceptible mice (which showed cocaine CPP) also displayed social avoidance and higher IL-6 levels in the striatum and hippocampus after the last SD episode[143]. The results of all these studies suggest that an active coping style can protect the individual from the negative consequences of social stress. It is important to note that differences in the responses to cocaine between susceptible and resilient mice were not always observed, since both subgroups of defeated mice showed similar levels of cocaine SA[143].

Rats exposed to repeated SD (five episodes) and social isolation (approximately 12 wk) can also be classified as SD-prone or SD-resilient, based on their affective (depression-like behavior) and cognitive performance. In one study, although SD was shown to increase alcohol SA in both groups, only SD-prone rats displayed heightened motivation for alcohol, persistent alcohol-seeking despite unavailability, resistance to extinction, and increased cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol SA[145]. Similarly, among rats exposed to SD stress, there was a subpopulation in which SD exposure increased anxiety-like behavior and induced escalation of alcohol SA. In comparison with resilient rats, vulnerable rats showed a strong upregulation of vasopressin and oxytocin that correlated positively with the magnitude of the anxiety-like behavior and alcohol SA[146]. These studies suggest that proneness to depression or anxiety enhances vulnerability to AUD, while resilience to mental disorders induced by stress can protect the individual from the development of AUD.

No studies have evaluated resilience to the effects of SD on the rewarding effects of drugs of abuse other than cocaine and alcohol, although one did show that a single SD episode combined with drug priming potentiated the reinstatement of methamphetamine SA (in comparison with priming alone). Interestingly, the defeat latency during the episode of SD correlated with reinstatement values and c-Fos-immunoreactivity in the basolateral amygdala; priming-induced reinstatement and c-Fos were both potentiated in rapidly defeated rats. Conversely, these effects were not observed in rats that were undefeated during the social encounter, but inactivation of the basolateral amygdala induced potentiation of reinstatement, suggesting that this structure mediates resilience against SD stress[144]. The positive correlation between reinstatement and passive coping (high values of reinstatement in animals with lower latency defeat) was reported in another study, although the authors questioned its real meaning, and proposed that active coping behaviors during SD episodes were

WJP https://www.wjgnet.com

associated with the magnitude of reinstatement[257]. In the study in question animals were exposed to SD-predictive cues (discrete environmental stimuli present during the SD stress experience) or not (control group) before reinstatement. Animals exposed to SD-predictive cues exhibited stronger reinstatement of cocaine SA and increased serum corticosterone with respect to the control group. Reinstatement magnitude was positively and significantly correlated with the time spent in a "submissive supine posture", considered a "passive" coping response. However, there was a narrow (though non-significant) correlation between the magnitude of resilience and three behavioral categories indicative of active coping responses; "aggressive allogrooming", "dominant posture", and "retreat". Further studies are needed to determine the real nature of the correlation between the coping strategy of mice during defeat and subsequent vulnerability to reinstatement.

Although scarce, there is research on resilience to the effects of stress on drug reward carried out with other paradigms of social stress. Mice segregated according to whether they are vulnerable or resilient (socially-submissive or socially-dominant mice, respectively) were exposed to CMS for 4 wk; vulnerable, submissive mice displayed a marked increase in cocaine preference after stress, whereas the preference of resilient, dominant mice did not change. In addition, vulnerable mice displayed an increase in the expression of CRF and a reduction in the expression of DA D1 and D2 receptors in the hippocampus[159]. Following exposure to predator odor stress, animals were classified as susceptible or resilient based on EPM behavior and context avoidance; as expected, susceptible animals showed heightened motivation to selfadminister cocaine[141]. With the same model, female and male rats were classified according to their stress-reactive behavior (digging and immobility during exposure to the predator odor); no different subgroups could be distinguished in males because all presented the same profile, but female rats were composed of two different populations - high digging/low immobility vs low digging/high immobility - and the former showed increased alcohol SA[147].

Early-life adversity consisting of rearing mouse pups in a limited bedding and nesting environment facilitates the escalation of ethanol intake in males at an earlier stage of exposure to alcohol, while females are insensitive to both stress and alcohol. In the study in question, stressed males exposed to alcohol showed reduced open arm exploration in the EPM and increased immobility in the TST compared to alcoholnaïve mice, although they did not differ in grooming response in the splash test, novel object recognition test or corticosterone levels. There were also no differences among control-reared males exposed or not to alcohol. The authors concluded that early stress accelerates the transition from moderate to excessive alcohol drinking and produces anxiety- and depression-like symptoms during alcohol withdrawal[92].

Finally, foot shock stress has been shown to increase two-bottle drinking in some mice, although others show resilience to this effect, displaying higher G-CSF, IL-13, and leptin levels [164]. All these studies suggest that differences in the ability to cope with stressful situations or in the response to stress results in varying tendencies to develop addictive behaviors.

Stress and drug use can lead to common alterations in synaptic plasticity that may contribute to the ability of stress to elicit relapse. For example, disruption of PKCmediated GluA2 phosphorylation increases vulnerability to both SD-induced enhancement of social avoidance and stress-induced reinstatement of cocaine AA and CPP[258]. Study of resilience to the reinstating effects of SD stress may help to identify therapies that prevent stress-induced relapse. In line with this, Bruchas et al<sup>[202]</sup> demonstrated that SD stress produced reinstatement of cocaine-induced CPP in wildtype mice, but not in mice with a selective deletion of  $p38\alpha$  MAPK in DRN serotonergic neurons. The antagonism of DA D3 receptors also prevents the SDinduced reinstatement of cocaine CPP and the increase in corticosterone provoked by SD[259]. Similarly, elimination of Rgs7 (a regulator of G-protein-coupled receptors) in striatal neurons induces a resilient phenotype, since mice do not show SD-induced reinstatement of cocaine CPP and exhibit an anxiolytic- and antidepressant-like profile [163]. Finally, our group has demonstrated that cannabidiol can prevent SD-induced reinstatement of cocaine CPP[154]. Altogether, these studies suggest that resilience to the effects of social stress on relapse to cocaine seeking can be pharmacologically enhanced.

# FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

An important variable in the development of resilience is sex. Studies on resilience to



WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

stress in animal models have been performed almost exclusively in males, although the prevalence of stress-related disorders clearly differ between males and females [260]. Some studies have shown sex differences in vulnerability and resilience to stress through a lifetime. Prenatal or early stress affects males more than females, inducing problems in social interaction, attention and cognition; conversely, adolescent stress induces more effects in females, increasing the risk of depression, anxiety and PTSD [261]. Thus, research suggests that hormonal activation during puberty, pregnancy or perimenopause highlights the risk associated with stress in females. Furthermore, in comparison with males, female rodents are more resilient to the effects of stress on cognitive processes (for example, in the object recognition task), but are more susceptible to the effects of stress in emotional domains (for example, in the sucrose preference and the FST)[86,87]. In fact, the effects of stress on cognition depend on both sex and the learning task. For example, stress improves the performance of radial arm maze, Morris water maze, Y-maze, non-associative learning, and object placement tasks in females, but impairs it in males[262-264]. Conversely, stress enhances learning of aversive conditioning in males but impairs it in females[265,266]. These data point to the possibility that males and females use different coping strategies in the face of stress<sup>[5]</sup>. Females are more resilient than males to the impairing effects of chronic unpredictable intermittent restraint on spatial memory, suggesting that chronic stress negatively impairs hippocampal-dependent function in males, but not in females[125]. Sexual differences in the consequences of stress on corticolimbic areas<sup>[267]</sup>, including the brain reward system and the NAcc<sup>[202,250]</sup>, and on the NA LC system[109] have also been reported. In fact, there are sex differences in the effects of ketamine on resilience to chronic stress, as this drug is only effective in males[268]. Research on sex differences in vulnerability and resilience to stress in general and in the field of drug abuse in particular should be a priority of future research. The knowledge obtained by studies with females is critical to the development of effective treatments customized for each sex, which may improve psychological disorders derived from or related with stress, including drug addiction.

Age is another essential variable to be taken into account in the study of resilience to the effects of stress. Adolescents are particularly sensitive to environmental influences, since DA circuitries in the PFC undergo maturational changes at this age. This can render adolescents more vulnerable to the effects of SD. For example, we have observed how SD exposure during adolescence induces a long-term increase in vulnerability to reinstatement [78,155]. A recent study has compared adolescent and adult mice according to their resilience or susceptibility to social avoidance behavior after SD exposure. Although the majority of adolescent mice were resilient, they exhibited risk-taking behavior, alterations in PFC DA connectivity and deficits in inhibitory control when they reached adulthood. Conversely, the majority of adult mice were susceptible (they exhibited social avoidance), but did not show alterations in anxietylike traits, PFC connectivity or cognition[269]. Chronic SD stress in adolescent mice has a profound impact on the development and plasticity of reward circuity, inducing alterations in the glutamatergic development of the NAcc and mesolimbic DA system [270]. In our laboratory we are now studying the behavioral traits that characterize resilient mice exposed to IRSD stress during early adolescence, with the objective of comparing the results with those of our previous study in adult mice. As maternal experience promotes resilience to the effects of stress on cognition[271], we believe it could be interesting to evaluate how maternity modifies resilience to the effects of SD on drug reward and other behavioral outcomes. The study of stress resilience in the context of aging is very limited [165] but necessary, because the likelihood of the mood and cognitive disorders frequently associated with drug addiction increases in older adults. Thus, future research on resilience must be extended to cover the whole lifespan, with a special focus on critical periods such as prenatal or early life, adolescence, maternity and old age. In addition, it is essential to determine if resilience is a stable trait or changes with time. In this context, a recent study has analyzed the behavior of stressed mice after chronic SD at early and late stages of their lives and has found very dynamic courses of behavior: there are those that are consistently resilient or susceptible over time; those that are susceptible in the short term after stress, but recover with time; and there are animals that are initially resilient but develop vulnerability at a later date[112].

Another area of future research in the field of resilience to the effects of stress on drug addiction is that which explores the behavioral or pharmacological manipulations that increase or promote resilience to the effects of stress on drug reward. Regarding behavioral manipulations, we have observed that mice allowed to perform voluntary physical exercise before exposure to IRSD become resilient to the effects of stress on cocaine-induced CPP (Calpe-López et al[93], in preparation). Positive social

WJP https://www.wjgnet.com

conditions, such as paired housing, also increase stress resilience and reverse the potentiation of cocaine reward induced by IRSD, effects that are mediated by oxytocin [161]. In addition, it is essential to improve our understanding of the phenomenon of stress inoculation in order to define the right type and level of stress and the influence of age and sex variables. In recent studies we have tested the effects of different types of stress inoculation, which can modulate the subsequent response of mice to IRSD. In particular, a brief maternal separation (6 h on PND 9) or exposure during adolescence (PND 27) to immobilization, to a single SD or a vicarious defeat experience all induced resilience, and mice did not show cocaine-induced CPP (Calpe-López et al[93], in preparation).

Regarding pharmacological manipulations, preclinical studies have shown the effectiveness of several pharmacological treatments in models of resilience to the effects of stress on depressive- and anxiety-like symptoms and in animal models of drug addiction. These studies have highlighted the potential of several drugs that may increase resilience to some of the effects of social stress, including cannabidiol, NMDA antagonists and NOS inhibitors, NPY, galanin, and OX receptor modulators. Thus, it may be interesting to test whether these compounds are a therapeutic target to increase resilience to the effects of stress on drug addiction. Among these compounds, we would highlight cannabidiol, which is effective in reducing PTSD[272] and the effects of cocaine and other drugs of abuse[273,274,275]. A recent study in our laboratory demonstrated that cannabidiol prevents the stress-induced reinstatement of cocaine CPP[154]. Thus, it would be interesting to test the potential of cannabidiol to promote resilience to the effects of stress on the rewarding properties of drugs of abuse. We consider this drug to be a promising pro-resilience compound. Similarly, several studies have demonstrated that the NMDA antagonist ketamine exerts protective effects against the long-term consequences of different kinds of stress in animal models[165,174]. We believe this drug may prevent the long-term effects of stress on drug addiction, as we have demonstrated that another NMDA antagonist, memantine, induces resilience to the effects of social stress on the CPP induced by cocaine in mice<sup>[77]</sup>. In the same way, the effects of nitric oxide (NO) modulators need to be evaluated, since exposure to stressful events activates NO synthase (NOS), while pharmacological inhibition of NOS reduces depressive and anxious behaviors in animal models[276]. Furthermore, previous studies have demonstrated that NO is also involved in the rewarding effects of drugs of abuse [79,277], and NOS inhibition has been shown to prevent the effects of social stress on the rewarding properties of MDMA in the CPP paradigm[157].

The role of several neuropeptides in the effects of stress in animal models of drug addiction must also be evaluated. As commented on in the previous section, NPY is involved in the regulation of stress responses and plays an important role in emotional behaviors, mediating PTSD and addictive disorders[213-214]. Thus, NPY, as well as galanin<sup>[83,84]</sup>, could induce resilience and prevent the effects of stress on drug addiction. OX play an important role in the response to stress and drugs of abuse [219]. In particular, OX-A activates the HPA axis and induces ACTH and corticosterone release<sup>[218]</sup>. Furthermore, the antagonism of OX1 receptor blocks the stressinduced reinstatement of cocaine seeking[224,278], and the genetic manipulation of animals to induce a deficiency of OX has been shown to reduce cocaine-seeking after a withdrawal period and responsivity to cocaine-associated cues[22]. Conversely, agonism of the OX2 receptor promotes resilience to the anxiety- and depression-like symptoms induced by SD[224]. Thus, it would be of interest to test whether OX1 antagonists or OX2 agonists increase resilience to the effects of SD in animal models of drug addiction. Finally, p38 MAPKs are key signaling molecules in response to stress, regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and drug addiction. For these reasons, p38 MAPK and HDAC6 inhibitors are promising drugs, because they might increase resilience against stress and addiction relapse induced by adverse experiences[160].

It is vital that future research focus on other drugs of abuse, since, with the exception of one study on methamphetamine, cocaine and alcohol are the only drugs to have been evaluated. It is also important to study the relationship between different aspects of resilience (for example, between resilience to the development of depressive symptomatology and resilience against developing drug addiction after stress exposure). Frequently, resilience to a particular effect of stress does not imply resilience to another effect. For example, inhibition of 5-HT synthesis provides resilience against the effects of CMS in the open field, but not in the EPM[103]. Pretreatment with ketamine before SD protects mice against depressive-like behavior in the FST but does not prevent anxiety-like behavior in the EPM[60]. A recent study showed that susceptibility to SD-induced social avoidance is unrelated to susceptibility to develop a deficit in appetitive, goal-directed motivation after SD; however,

WJP https://www.wjgnet.com

motivational impairments were related to ventral hippocampus hyperactivity, since successful task completion in resilient animals was associated with suppression of ventral hippocampal neural activity[279]. Similarly, rats displaying high cognitive competence in the Y-maze and radial arm maze are also resilient to the negative effects of the FST[280]. Resilience to the effects of SD on cocaine and alcohol reward frequently correlates with the absence of depressive-like symptoms[70,93,143,145].

Finally, translational studies of potential cognitive treatments to increase resilience are essential. For example, cognitive training in humans reduces vulnerability in the face of environmental stress. Similarly, it has been observed that a brief 9-day cognitive training can promote long-term resilience to the CPP induced by cocaine in mice, thus accelerating the extinction of CPP[158]. Coordination between human and animal studies is also required to understand the neural circuit of resilience, and neuroimaging techniques in humans can be combined with classic or more innovative methods in animals.

# CONCLUSION

In the fields of medicine and psychology, the concept of resilience has implied a change of paradigm, placing the focus on factors that maintain health and promote wellness. The majority of research on drug addiction aims to identify individual and environmental factors that enhance the vulnerability of a subject to drug addiction. From our point of view, the incorporation of the concept of resilience - a complex, multidimensional construct - will allow scientists to unravel the neurobehavioral traits that confer protection against developing an addictive disorder after exposure to stressful or traumatic events, as well as permitting the underlying neurobiological substrates of resilience to be determined.

Overall, our understanding of the neurobiology of resilience is still at an early stage, but research in the last decade has made leaps and bounds by identifying genetic, epigenetic, molecular, neurochemical, psychological and environmental factors that protect individuals from the neuropsychiatric disorders related to stress [9,281-284]. Currently, resilience is considered an active and dynamic process that can be enhanced to allow individuals to adapt positively to a stressful context that, in other cases, could increase the risk of developing a psychiatric disorder. This concept of resilience has fueled the number of studies focused on specific protective factors and how the neurobiological mechanisms of resilience (HPA axis, GABA, serotonin, glutamate, DA, NA, acetylcholine, endocannabinoids, BDNF-TrkB, OX/hypocretin, NPY, galanin, etc.) can be manipulated to increase stress resilience in high-risk individuals and thus prevent the development of psychiatric disorders related to stress[165,219,281,284-286]. However, as stated before, most studies to date have focused on resilience to the development of emotional and anxiety disorders, while those on resilience to addictive disorders are few and far between. The members of our research team are pioneers in the study of resilience to stress in the context of drug addiction. Besides identifying behavioral traits that predict resilience in mice[93], we have highlighted behavioral manipulations (papers in preparation) and pharmacological treatments that increase resilience to the effects of stress in preclinical models of drug addiction. In particular, antagonism of glutamate receptors and inhibition of NOS reverses the effects of IRSD on cocaine and MDMA CPP[77,157,287], while cannabidiol reduces the effects of cocaine [154,273,274] and blocks SD-induced reinstatement of cocaine CPP[154].

Although promising, research on resilience to developing drug addiction after stress in animal models is not devoid of limitations; namely, the difficulty of determining the intensity and duration of exposure to adversity, and the definition of a concrete criterion to consider an animal resilient (absence or reduction of substance use; resistance to developing a SUD or to reinstatement of drug seeking, *etc.*). In addition, the incorporation of females and rodents at different developmental ages is crucial if the realities of resilience are to be fully understood.

From a translational point of view, understanding how an individual develops resilience is of paramount relevance to the design of training programs that increase this ability and promote coping mechanisms, especially in subjects with a maladaptive stress response. There is a well-known link between stress and the development of AUD/SUD, anxiety and depression disorders. Comorbidity between these disorders is frequent and associated with more severe symptoms and poor treatment outcomes. Besides reducing addictive behaviors, resilience training may have positive effects on mental health, reducing vulnerability to the development of anxiety, depressive, and cognitive disorders. Advances in the identification of neurobiological substrates of resilience will help in the development of pharmacological and psychological interventions for enhancing resilience to adversity and stress.

# REFERENCES

- Alexander DE. Resilience and disaster risk reduction: an etymological journey. Nat Hazards Earth 1 Syst Sci 2013; 13: 2707-2716 [DOI: 10.5194/nhess-13-2707-2013]
- 2 Rutter M. Resilience: some conceptual considerations. J Adolesc Health 1993; 14: 626-631, 690 [PMID: 8130234 DOI: 10.1016/1054-139x(93)90196-v]
- Rutter M. Annual Research Review: Resilience-clinical implications. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 3 2013; 54: 474-487 [PMID: 23017036 DOI: 10.1111/j.14697610.2012.02615.x]
- 4 Wu G, Feder A, Cohen H, Kim JJ, Calderon S, Charney DS, Mathé AA. Understanding resilience. Front Behav Neurosci 2013; 7: 10 [PMID: 23422934 DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2013.00010]
- 5 Russo SJ, Murrough JW, Han MH, Charney DS, Nestler EJ. Neurobiology of resilience. Nat Neurosci 2012; 15: 1475-1484 [PMID: 23064380 DOI: 10.1038/nn.3234]
- 6 Rutter M. Resilience as a dynamic concept. Dev Psychopathol 2012; 24: 335-344 [PMID: 22559117 DOI: 10.1017/S0954579412000028]
- 7 Kalisch R, Cramer AOJ, Binder H, Fritz J, Leertouwer I, Lunansky G, Meyer B, Timmer J, Veer IM, van Harmelen AL. Deconstructing and Reconstructing Resilience: A Dynamic Network Approach. Perspect Psychol Sci 2019; 14: 765-777 [PMID: 31365841 DOI: 10.1177/1745691619855637
- 8 McEwen BS. In pursuit of resilience: stress, epigenetics, and brain plasticity. Ann NY Acad Sci 2016; 1373: 56-64 [PMID: 26919273 DOI: 10.1111/nyas.13020]
- 9 al'Absi M. The influence of stress and early life adversity on addiction: Psychobiological mechanisms of risk and resilience. Int Rev Neurobiol 2020; 152: 71-100 [PMID: 32451001 DOI: 10.1016/bs.irn.2020.03.012
- Kennedy B, Chen R, Fang F, Valdimarsdottir U, Montgomery S, Larsson H, Fall K. Low stress 10 resilience in late adolescence and risk of smoking, high alcohol consumption and drug use later in life. J Epidemiol Community Health 2019; 73: 496-501 [PMID: 30718261 DOI: 10.1136/jech-2018-211815
- 11 Yen JY, Lin HC, Chou WP, Liu TL, Ko CH. Associations Among Resilience, Stress, Depression, and Internet Gaming Disorder in Young Adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019; 16 [PMID: 31480445 DOI: 10.3390/ijerph16173181]
- 12 Morgan JK, Brown J, Bray RM. Resilience as a moderating factor between stress and alcoholrelated consequences in the Army National Guard. Addict Behav 2018; 80: 22-27 [PMID: 29310003 DOI: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.01.002]
- Long EC, Lönn SL, Ji J, Lichtenstein P, Sundquist J, Sundquist K, Kendler KS. Resilience and Risk 13 for Alcohol Use Disorders: A Swedish Twin Study. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2017; 41: 149-155 [PMID: 27918840 DOI: 10.1111/acer.13274]
- 14 Aguilar MA, García-Pardo MP, Montagud-Romero S, Miñarro J, Do Couto BR. Impact of social stress in addiction to psychostimulants: what we know from animal models. Curr Pharm Des 2013; 19: 7009-7025 [PMID: 23574439 DOI: 10.2174/138161281940131209124708]
- 15 Vannan A, Powell GL, Scott SN, Pagni BA, Neisewander JL. Animal Models of the Impact of Social Stress on Cocaine Use Disorders. Int Rev Neurobiol 2018; 140: 131-169 [PMID: 30193703 DOI: 10.1016/bs.irn.2018.07.005]
- 16 Rudzinski K, McDonough P, Gartner R, Strike C. Is there room for resilience? Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy 2017; 12: 41 [PMID: 28915841 DOI: 10.1186/s13011-017-0125-2]
- 17 Weiland BJ, Nigg JT, Welsh RC, Yau WY, Zubieta JK, Zucker RA, Heitzeg MM. Resiliency in adolescents at high risk for substance abuse: flexible adaptation via subthalamic nucleus and linkage to drinking and drug use in early adulthood. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2012; 36: 1355-1364 [PMID: 22587751 DOI: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2012.01741.x]
- 18 Harris KJ, Smock SA, Wilkes MT. Relapse resilience: a process model of addiction and recovery. J Fam Psychother 2011; 22: 265-74 [DOI: 10.1080/08975353.2011.602622]
- 19 Charlet K, Rosenthal A, Lohoff FW, Heinz A, Beck A. Imaging resilience and recovery in alcohol dependence. Addiction 2018; 113: 1933-1950 [PMID: 29744956 DOI: 10.1111/add.14259]
- 20 Pearce ME, Jongbloed KA, Richardson CG, Henderson EW, Pooyak SD, Oviedo-Joekes E, Christian WM, Schechter MT, Spittal PM; Cedar Project Partnership. The Cedar Project: resilience in the face of HIV vulnerability within a cohort study involving young Indigenous people who use drugs in three Canadian cities. BMC Public Health 2015; 15: 1095 [PMID: 26510467 DOI: 10.1186/s12889-015-2417-7
- Kallupi M, Scuppa G, de Guglielmo G, Calò G, Weiss F, Statnick MA, Rorick-Kehn LM, 21 Ciccocioppo R. Genetic Deletion of the Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ Receptor in the Rat Confers Resilience to the Development of Drug Addiction. Neuropsychopharmacology 2017; 42: 695-706 [PMID: 27562376 DOI: 10.1038/npp.2016.171]
- Steiner N, Rossetti C, Sakurai T, Yanagisawa M, de Lecea L, Magistretti PJ, Halfon O, Boutrel B. 22 Hypocretin/orexin deficiency decreases cocaine abuse liability. Neuropharmacology 2018; 133: 395-403 [PMID: 29454841 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2018.02.010]



- 23 Gloria CT, Steinhardt MA. Relationships Among Positive Emotions, Coping, Resilience and Mental Health. Stress Health 2016; 32: 145-156 [PMID: 24962138 DOI: 10.1002/smi.2589]
- 24 Southwick SM, Vythilingam M, Charney DS. The psychobiology of depression and resilience to stress: implications for prevention and treatment. Annu Rev Clin Psychol 2005; 1: 255-291 [PMID: 17716089 DOI: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.143948]
- 25 Burt KB, Paysnick AA. Resilience in the transition to adulthood. Dev Psychopathol 2012; 24: 493-505 [PMID: 22559126 DOI: 10.1017/S0954579412000119]
- 26 Werner EE. Children and war: risk, resilience, and recovery. Dev Psychopathol 2012; 24: 553-558 [PMID: 22559130 DOI: 10.1017/S0954579412000156]
- Min JA, Jung YE, Kim DJ, Yim HW, Kim JJ, Kim TS, Lee CU, Lee C, Chae JH. Characteristics 27 associated with low resilience in patients with depression and/or anxiety disorders. Qual Life Res 2013; 22: 231-241 [PMID: 22485024 DOI: 10.1007/s11136-012-0153-3]
- 28 Smith BW, Ortiz JA, Steffen LE, Tooley EM, Wiggins KT, Yeater EA, Montoya JD, Bernard ML. Mindfulness is associated with fewer PTSD symptoms, depressive symptoms, physical symptoms, and alcohol problems in urban firefighters. J Consult Clin Psychol 2011; 79: 613-617 [PMID: 21875175 DOI: 10.1037/a0025189]
- 29 Southwick SM, Charney DS. The science of resilience: implications for the prevention and treatment of depression. Science 2012; 338: 79-82 [PMID: 23042887 DOI: 10.1126/science.1222942
- McEwen BS, Gianaros PJ. Stress- and allostasis-induced brain plasticity. Annu Rev Med 2011; 62: 30 431-445 [PMID: 20707675 DOI: 10.1146/annurev-med-052209-100430]
- Crane MF, Searle BJ, Kangas M, Nwiran Y. How resilience is strengthened by exposure to 31 stressors: the systematic self-reflection model of resilience strengthening. Anxiety Stress Coping 2019; 32: 1-17 [PMID: 30067067 DOI: 10.1080/10615806.2018.1506640]
- 32 Luthar SS, Barkin SH. Are affluent youth truly "at risk"? Dev Psychopathol 2012; 24: 429-449 [PMID: 22559123 DOI: 10.1017/S0954579412000089]
- 33 Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD. An integrative theory of locus coeruleus-norepinephrine function: adaptive gain and optimal performance. Annu Rev Neurosci 2005; 28: 403-450 [PMID: 16022602 DOI: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.28.061604.135709]
- 34 Strawn JR, Geracioti TD Jr. Noradrenergic dysfunction and the psychopharmacology of posttraumatic stress disorder. Depress Anxiety 2008; 25: 260-271 [PMID: 17354267 DOI: 10.1002/da.20292]
- Charney DS. Psychobiological mechanisms of resilience and vulnerability: implications for 35 successful adaptation to extreme stress. Am J Psychiatry 2004; 161: 195-216 [PMID: 14754765 DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.161.2.195]
- Feder A, Nestler EJ, Charney DS. Psychobiology and molecular genetics of resilience. Nat Rev 36 Neurosci 2009; 10: 446-457 [PMID: 19455174 DOI: 10.1038/nrn2649]
- Miura H, Qiao H, Ohta T. Attenuating effects of the isolated rearing condition on increased brain 37 serotonin and dopamine turnover elicited by novelty stress. Brain Res 2002; 926: 10-17 [PMID: 11814401 DOI: 10.1016/s0006-8993(01)03201-2]
- Culman J, Mühlenhoff S, Blume A, Hedderich J, Lützen U, Hunt SP, Rupniak NMJ, Zhao Y. The 38 Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis and Serotonin Metabolism in Individual Brain Nuclei of Mice with Genetic Disruption of the NK1 Receptor Exposed to Acute Stress. Cell Mol Neurobiol 2018; 38: 1271-1281 [PMID: 29948553 DOI: 10.1007/s10571-018-0594-5]
- 39 De La Garza R 2nd, Mahoney JJ 3rd. A distinct neurochemical profile in WKY rats at baseline and in response to acute stress: implications for animal models of anxiety and depression. Brain Res 2004; 1021: 209-218 [PMID: 15342269 DOI: 10.1016/j.brainres.2004.06.052]
- Duman RS. Neuronal damage and protection in the pathophysiology and treatment of psychiatric illness: stress and depression. Dialogues Clin Neurosci 2009; 11: 239-255 [PMID: 19877493]
- Tural Ü, Aker AT, Önder E, Sodan HT, Ünver H, Akansel G. Neurotrophic factors and 41 hippocampal activity in PTSD. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0197889 [PMID: 29799860 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0197889]
- 42 Kozlovsky N, Matar MA, Kaplan Z, Kotler M, Zohar J, Cohen H. Long-term down-regulation of BDNF mRNA in rat hippocampal CA1 subregion correlates with PTSD-like behavioural stress response. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2007; 10: 741-758 [PMID: 17291374 DOI: 10.1017/S1461145707007560]
- 43 Sajdyk TJ, Shekhar A, Gehlert DR. Interactions between NPY and CRF in the amygdala to regulate emotionality. Neuropeptides 2004; 38: 225-234 [PMID: 15337374 DOI: 10.1016/j.npep.2004.05.006]
- 44 Jones T, Moller MD. Implications of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis functioning in posttraumatic stress disorder. J Am Psychiatr Nurses Assoc 2011; 17: 393-403 [PMID: 22142976 DOI: 10.1177/1078390311420564]
- 45 Morgan CA 3rd, Rasmusson AM, Wang S, Hoyt G, Hauger RL, Hazlett G. Neuropeptide-Y, cortisol, and subjective distress in humans exposed to acute stress; replication and extension of previous report. Biol Psychiatry 2002; 52: 136-142 [PMID: 12114005 DOI: 10.1016/s0006-3223(02)01319-7
- 46 Bottaccioli AG, Bottaccioli F, Minelli A. Stress and the psyche-brain-immune network in psychiatric diseases based on psychoneuroendocrineimmunology; a concise review. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2019; 1437: 31-42 [PMID: 29762862 DOI: 10.1111/nyas.13728]



- 47 Verburg-van Kemenade BM, Van der Aa LM, Chadzinska M. Neuroendocrine-immune interaction: regulation of inflammation via G-protein coupled receptors. Gen Comp Endocrinol 2013; 188: 94-101 [PMID: 23201149 DOI: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2012.11.010]
- 48 Gururajan A, van de Wouw M, Boehme M, Becker T, O'Connor R, Bastiaanssen TFS, Moloney GM, Lyte JM, Ventura Silva AP, Merckx B, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Resilience to chronic stress is associated with specific neurobiological, neuroendocrine and immune responses. Brain Behav Immun 2019; 80: 583-594 [PMID: 31059807 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbi.2019.05.004]
- 49 Tsyglakova M, McDaniel D, Hodes GE. Immune mechanisms of stress susceptibility and resilience: Lessons from animal models. Front Neuroendocrinol 2019; 54: 100771 [PMID: 31325456 DOI: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2019.100771]
- 50 Ménard C, Pfau ML, Hodes GE, Russo SJ. Immune and Neuroendocrine Mechanisms of Stress Vulnerability and Resilience. Neuropsychopharmacology 2017; 42: 62-80 [PMID: 27291462 DOI: 10.1038/npp.2016.90]
- 51 Feder A, Fred-Torres S, Southwick SM, Charney DS. The Biology of Human Resilience: Opportunities for Enhancing Resilience Across the Life Span. Biol Psychiatry 2019; 86: 443-453 [PMID: 31466561 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.07.012]
- 52 Dantzer R, Cohen S, Russo SJ, Dinan TG. Resilience and immunity. Brain Behav Immun 2018; 74: 28-42 [PMID: 30102966 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbi.2018.08.010]
- Makris AP, Karianaki M, Tsamis KI, Paschou SA. The role of the gut-brain axis in depression: 53 endocrine, neural, and immune pathways. Hormones (Athens) 2021; 20: 1-12 [PMID: 32827123 DOI: 10.1007/s42000-020-00236-4]
- Cathomas F, Murrough JW, Nestler EJ, Han MH, Russo SJ. Neurobiology of Resilience: Interface 54 Between Mind and Body. Biol Psychiatry 2019; 86: 410-420 [PMID: 31178098 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.04.011
- 55 Yang C, Fujita Y, Ren Q, Ma M, Dong C, Hashimoto K. Bifidobacterium in the gut microbiota confer resilience to chronic social defeat stress in mice. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 45942 [PMID: 28368029 DOI: 10.1038/srep45942]
- Bharwani A, Mian MF, Surette MG, Bienenstock J, Forsythe P. Oral treatment with Lactobacillus 56 rhamnosus attenuates behavioural deficits and immune changes in chronic social stress. BMC Med 2017; 15: 7 [PMID: 28073366 DOI: 10.1186/s12916-016-0771-7]
- 57 Harris JC. Experimental animal modeling of depression and anxiety. Psychiatr Clin North Am 1989; 12: 815-836 [PMID: 2690027]
- 58 Frazer A, Morilak DA. What should animal models of depression model? Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2005; 29: 515-523 [PMID: 15893377 DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2005.03.006]
- 59 Ayash S, Schmitt U, Lyons DM, Müller MB. Stress inoculation in mice induces global resilience. Transl Psychiatry 2020; 10: 200 [PMID: 32561821 DOI: 10.1038/s41398-020-00889-0]
- 60 Brachman RA, McGowan JC, Perusini JN, Lim SC, Pham TH, Faye C, Gardier AM, Mendez-David I, David DJ, Hen R, Denny CA. Ketamine as a Prophylactic Against Stress-Induced Depressive-like Behavior. Biol Psychiatry 2016; 79: 776-786 [PMID: 26037911 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.04.022
- Ono Y, Lin HC, Tzen KY, Chen HH, Yang PF, Lai WS, Chen JH, Onozuka M, Yen CT. Active 61 coping with stress suppresses glucose metabolism in the rat hypothalamus. Stress 2012; 15: 207-217 [PMID: 21936685 DOI: 10.3109/10253890.2011.614296]
- 62 Delgado y Palacios R, Campo A, Henningsen K, Verhoye M, Poot D, Dijkstra J, Van Audekerke J, Benveniste H, Sijbers J, Wiborg O, Van der Linden A. Magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy reveal differential hippocampal changes in anhedonic and resilient subtypes of the chronic mild stress rat model. Biol Psychiatry 2011; 70: 449-457 [PMID: 21762877 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.05.014]
- 63 Cohen H, Liu T, Kozlovsky N, Kaplan Z, Zohar J, Mathé AA. The neuropeptide Y (NPY)-ergic system is associated with behavioral resilience to stress exposure in an animal model of posttraumatic stress disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology 2012; 37: 350-363 [PMID: 21976046 DOI: 10.1038/npp.2011.230
- Berton O, Covington HE 3rd, Ebner K, Tsankova NM, Carle TL, Ulery P, Bhonsle A, Barrot M, 64 Krishnan V, Singewald GM, Singewald N, Birnbaum S, Neve RL, Nestler EJ. Induction of deltaFosB in the periaqueductal gray by stress promotes active coping responses. Neuron 2007; 55: 289-300 [PMID: 17640529 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.06.033]
- 65 Fleshner M, Maier SF, Lyons DM, Raskind MA. The neurobiology of the stress-resistant brain. Stress 2011; 14: 498-502 [PMID: 21790482 DOI: 10.3109/10253890.2011.596865]
- 66 Bartolomucci A, Cabassi A, Govoni P, Ceresini G, Cero C, Berra D, Dadomo H, Franceschini P, Dell'Omo G, Parmigiani S, Palanza P. Metabolic consequences and vulnerability to diet-induced obesity in male mice under chronic social stress. PLoS One 2009; 4: e4331 [PMID: 19180229 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0004331
- 67 Nestler EJ, Hyman SE. Animal models of neuropsychiatric disorders. Nat Neurosci 2010; 13: 1161-1169 [PMID: 20877280 DOI: 10.1038/nn.2647]
- Hollis F, Kabbaj M. Social defeat as an animal model for depression. ILAR J 2014; 55: 221-232 68 [PMID: 25225302 DOI: 10.1093/ilar/ilu002]
- Czéh B, Fuchs E, Wiborg O, Simon M. Animal models of major depression and their clinical 69 implications. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2016; 64: 293-310 [PMID: 25891248 DOI: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2015.04.004]



- 70 Krishnan V, Han MH, Graham DL, Berton O, Renthal W, Russo SJ, Laplant Q, Graham A, Lutter M, Lagace DC, Ghose S, Reister R, Tannous P, Green TA, Neve RL, Chakravarty S, Kumar A, Eisch AJ, Self DW, Lee FS, Tamminga CA, Cooper DC, Gershenfeld HK, Nestler EJ. Molecular adaptations underlying susceptibility and resistance to social defeat in brain reward regions. Cell 2007; 131: 391-404 [PMID: 17956738 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.09.018]
- 71 Lutter M, Sakata I, Osborne-Lawrence S, Rovinsky SA, Anderson JG, Jung S, Birnbaum S, Yanagisawa M, Elmquist JK, Nestler EJ, Zigman JM. The orexigenic hormone ghrelin defends against depressive symptoms of chronic stress. Nat Neurosci 2008; 11: 752-753 [PMID: 18552842 DOI: 10.1038/nn.2139]
- 72 Golden SA, Covington HE 3rd, Berton O, Russo SJ. A standardized protocol for repeated social defeat stress in mice. Nat Protoc 2011; 6: 1183-1191 [PMID: 21799487 DOI: 10.1038/nprot.2011.361]
- Vidal J, Buwalda B, Koolhaas JM. Male Wistar rats are more susceptible to lasting social anxiety 73 than Wild-type Groningen rats following social defeat stress during adolescence. Behav Processes 2011; 88: 76-80 [PMID: 21854839 DOI: 10.1016/j.beproc.2011.08.005]
- Ellenbroek BA, van der Kam EL, van der Elst MC, Cools AR. Individual differences in drug 74 dependence in rats: the role of genetic factors and life events. Eur J Pharmacol 2005; 526: 251-258 [PMID: 16253227 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2005.09.032]
- Burke AR, Watt MJ, Forster GL. Adolescent social defeat increases adult amphetamine conditioned 75 place preference and alters D2 dopamine receptor expression. Neuroscience 2011; 197: 269-279 [PMID: 21933700 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2011.09.008]
- Newman EL, Leonard MZ, Arena DT, de Almeida RMM, Miczek KA. Social defeat stress and 76 escalation of cocaine and alcohol consumption: Focus on CRF. Neurobiol Stress 2018; 9: 151-165 [PMID: 30450381 DOI: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2018.09.007]
- 77 García-Pardo MP, Calpe-López C, Miñarro J, Aguilar MA. Role of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors in the long-term effects of repeated social defeat stress on the rewarding and psychomotor properties of cocaine in mice. Behav Brain Res 2019; 361: 95-103 [PMID: 30557580 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2018.12.025
- García-Pardo MP, Blanco-Gandía MC, Valiente-Lluch M, Rodríguez-Arias M, Miñarro J, Aguilar 78 MA. Long-term effects of repeated social stress on the conditioned place preference induced by MDMA in mice. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2015; 63: 98-109 [PMID: 26093344 DOI: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2015.06.006]
- García-Pardo MP, De la Rubia Ortí JE, Aguilar Calpe MA. Differential effects of MDMA and 79 cocaine on inhibitory avoidance and object recognition tests in rodents. Neurobiol Learn Mem 2017; 146: 1-11 [PMID: 29081371 DOI: 10.1016/j.nlm.2017.10.013]
- 80 LEVINE S. Plasma-free corticosteroid response to electric shock in rats stimulated in infancy. Science 1962; 135: 795-796 [PMID: 14464660 DOI: 10.1126/science.135.3506.795-a]
- 81 Ricon T, Toth E, Leshem M, Braun K, Richter-Levin G. Unpredictable chronic stress in juvenile or adult rats has opposite effects, respectively, promoting and impairing resilience. Stress 2012; 15: 11-20 [PMID: 21682654 DOI: 10.3109/10253890.2011.572207]
- 82 Wood SK, Walker HE, Valentino RJ, Bhatnagar S. Individual differences in reactivity to social stress predict susceptibility and resilience to a depressive phenotype: role of corticotropin-releasing factor. Endocrinology 2010; 151: 1795-1805 [PMID: 20160137 DOI: 10.1210/en.2009-1026]
- 83 Holmes PV. Trophic Mechanisms for Exercise-Induced Stress Resilience: Potential Role of Interactions between BDNF and Galanin. Front Psychiatry 2014; 5: 90 [PMID: 25120496 DOI: 10.3389/fpsyt.2014.00090
- Sciolino NR, Smith JM, Stranahan AM, Freeman KG, Edwards GL, Weinshenker D, Holmes PV. 84 Galanin mediates features of neural and behavioral stress resilience afforded by exercise. Neuropharmacology 2015; 89: 255-264 [PMID: 25301278 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2014.09.029]
- 85 Hutchinson KM, McLaughlin KJ, Wright RL, Bryce Ortiz J, Anouti DP, Mika A, Diamond DM, Conrad CD. Environmental enrichment protects against the effects of chronic stress on cognitive and morphological measures of hippocampal integrity. Neurobiol Learn Mem 2012; 97: 250-260 [PMID: 22266288 DOI: 10.1016/j.nlm.2012.01.003]
- 86 Luine V. Sex differences in chronic stress effects on memory in rats. Stress 2002; 5: 205-216 [PMID: 12186683 DOI: 10.1080/1025389021000010549]
- 87 Luine V, Gomez J, Beck K, Bowman R. Sex differences in chronic stress effects on cognition in rodents. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2017; 152: 13-19 [PMID: 27566290 DOI: 10.1016/j.pbb.2016.08.005]
- Fu W, Xie H, Laudon M, Zhou S, Tian S, You Y. Piromelatine ameliorates memory deficits 88 associated with chronic mild stress-induced anhedonia in rats. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2016; 233: 2229-2239 [PMID: 27007604 DOI: 10.1007/s00213-016-4272-3]
- Pollak DD, Rey CE, Monje FJ. Rodent models in depression research: classical strategies and new 89 directions. Ann Med 2010; 42: 252-264 [PMID: 20367120 DOI: 10.3109/07853891003769957]
- 90 Cryan JF, Mombereau C, Vassout A. The tail suspension test as a model for assessing antidepressant activity: review of pharmacological and genetic studies in mice. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2005; 29: 571-625 [PMID: 15890404 DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2005.03.009]
- Commons KG, Cholanians AB, Babb JA, Ehlinger DG. The Rodent Forced Swim Test Measures 91 Stress-Coping Strategy, Not Depression-like Behavior. ACS Chem Neurosci 2017; 8: 955-960 [DOI:



10.1021/acschemneuro.7b00042

- 92 Okhuarobo A, Bolton JL, Igbe I, Zorrilla EP, Baram TZ, Contet C. A novel mouse model for vulnerability to alcohol dependence induced by early-life adversity. Neurobiol Stress 2020; 13: 100269 [PMID: 33344722 DOI: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2020.100269]
- 93 Calpe-López C, García-Pardo MP, Martínez-Caballero MA, Santos-Ortíz A, Aguilar MA. Behavioral Traits Associated With Resilience to the Effects of Repeated Social Defeat on Cocaine-Induced Conditioned Place Preference in Mice. Front Behav Neurosci 2019; 13: 278 [PMID: 31998090 DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00278]
- 94 Rimmerman N, Schottlender N, Reshef R, Dan-Goor N, Yirmiya R. The hippocampal transcriptomic signature of stress resilience in mice with microglial fractalkine receptor (CX3CR1) deficiency. Brain Behav Immun 2017; 61: 184-196 [PMID: 27890560 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbi.2016.11.023
- 95 Park J, Lee J, Choi K, Kang HJ. Regulation of behavioral response to stress by microRNA-690. Mol Brain 2021; 14: 7 [PMID: 33422095 DOI: 10.1186/s13041-021-00728-3]
- 96 Bergner CL, Smolinsky AN, Hart PC, Dufour BD, Egan RJ, LaPorte JL, Kalueff AV. Mouse Models for Studying Depression-Like States and Antidepressant Drugs. Methods Mol Biol 2016; 1438: 255-269 [PMID: 27150095 DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-3661-8 15]
- 97 Duque A, Vinader-Caerols C, Monleón S. Indomethacin counteracts the effects of chronic social defeat stress on emotional but not recognition memory in mice. PLoS One 2017; 12: e0173182 [DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173182]
- Andoh C, Nishitani N, Hashimoto E, Nagai Y, Takao K, Miyakawa T, Nakagawa T, Mori Y, 98 Nagayasu K, Shirakawa H, Kaneko S. TRPM2 confers susceptibility to social stress but is essential for behavioral flexibility. Brain Res 2019; 1704: 68-77 [PMID: 30273551 DOI: 10.1016/j.brainres.2018.09.0311
- 99 Blasco-Serra A, González-Soler EM, Cervera-Ferri A, Teruel-Martí V, Valverde-Navarro AA. A standardization of the Novelty-Suppressed Feeding Test protocol in rats. Neurosci Lett 2017; 658: 73-78 [PMID: 28803957 DOI: 10.1016/j.neulet.2017.08.019]
- 100 Rodgers RJ, Johnson NJ. Factor analysis of spatiotemporal and ethological measures in the murine elevated plus-maze test of anxiety. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1995; 52: 297-303 [PMID: 8577794 DOI: 10.1016/0091-3057(95)00138-m]
- 101 Rodgers RJ, Dalvi A. Anxiety, defence and the elevated plus-maze. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 1997; 21: 801-810 [PMID: 9415905 DOI: 10.1016/s0149-7634(96)00058-9]
- 102 Prabhu VV, Nguyen TB, Cui Y, Oh YE, Lee KH, Bagalkot TR, Chung YC. Effects of social defeat stress on dopamine D2 receptor isoforms and proteins involved in intracellular trafficking. Behav Brain Funct 2018; 14: 16 [PMID: 30296947 DOI: 10.1186/s12993-018-0148-5]
- 103 Gutknecht L, Popp S, Waider J, Sommerlandt FM, Göppner C, Post A, Reif A, van den Hove D, Strekalova T, Schmitt A, Colaço MB, Sommer C, Palme R, Lesch KP. Interaction of brain 5-HT synthesis deficiency, chronic stress and sex differentially impact emotional behavior in Tph2 knockout mice. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2015; 232: 2429-2441 [PMID: 25716307 DOI: 10.1007/s00213-015-3879-0
- Hidaka C, Kashio T, Uchigaki D, Mitsui S. Vulnerability or resilience of motopsin knockout mice 104 to maternal separation stress depending on adulthood behaviors. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 2018; 14: 2255-2268 [PMID: 30233183 DOI: 10.2147/NDT.S170281]
- 105 Binder E, Malki K, Paya-Cano JL, Fernandes C, Aitchison KJ, Mathé AA, Sluyter F, Schalkwyk LC. Antidepressants and the resilience to early-life stress in inbred mouse strains. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2011; 21: 779-789 [PMID: 22016050 DOI: 10.1097/FPC.0b013e32834b3f35]
- 106 Adamec R, Toth M, Haller J, Halasz J, Blundell J. A comparison of activation patterns of cells in selected prefrontal cortical and amygdala areas of rats which are more or less anxious in response to predator exposure or submersion stress. Physiol Behav 2012; 105: 628-638 [PMID: 21971366 DOI: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.09.016]
- 107 Ennaceur A, Delacour J. A new one-trial test for neurobiological studies of memory in rats. 1: Behavioral data. Behav Brain Res 1988; 31: 47-59 [PMID: 3228475 DOI: 10.1016/0166-4328(88)90157-x
- 108 Broadbent NJ, Squire LR, Clark RE. Spatial memory, recognition memory, and the hippocampus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101: 14515-14520 [PMID: 15452348 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0406344101
- Atmore KH, Stein DJ, Harvey BH, Russell VA, Howells FM. Differential effects of social isolation 109 rearing on glutamate- and GABA-stimulated noradrenaline release in the rat prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 2020; 36: 111-120 [PMID: 32553548 DOI: 10.1016/i.euroneuro.2020.05.007
- 110 Jene T, Gassen NC, Opitz V, Endres K, Müller MB, van der Kooij MA. Temporal profiling of an acute stress-induced behavioral phenotype in mice and role of hippocampal DRR1. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2018; 91: 149-158 [PMID: 29555365 DOI: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.03.004]
- 111 Tzeng WY, Su CC, Sun LH, Cherng CG, Yu L. Synergistic Effects of Psychosocial Stress and Mild Peripheral Infection on Inducing Microglial Activation in the Hippocampal Dentate Gyrus and Long-Lasting Deficits in Hippocampus-Related Memory. Chin J Physiol 2018; 61: 106-117 [PMID: 29660975 DOI: 10.4077/CJP.2018.BAG569]
- 112 Wendelmuth M, Willam M, Todorov H, Radyushkin K, Gerber S, Schweiger S. Dynamic



longitudinal behavior in animals exposed to chronic social defeat stress. PLoS One 2020; 15: e0235268 [PMID: 32701959 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0235268]

- 113 Vorhees CV, Williams MT. Value of water mazes for assessing spatial and egocentric learning and memory in rodent basic research and regulatory studies. Neurotoxicol Teratol 2014; 45: 75-90 [PMID: 25116937 DOI: 10.1016/j.ntt.2014.07.003]
- 114 Qu N, Wang XM, Zhang T, Zhang SF, Li Y, Cao FY, Wang Q, Ning LN, Tian Q. Estrogen Receptor a Agonist is Beneficial for Young Female Rats Against Chronic Unpredicted Mild Stress-Induced Depressive Behavior and Cognitive Deficits. J Alzheimers Dis 2020; 77: 1077-1093 [PMID: 32804146 DOI: 10.3233/JAD-200486]
- 115 Drugan RC, Warner TA, Papallo TA, Castracane LL, Stafford NP. Ultrasonic vocalizations during intermittent swim stress forecasts resilience in subsequent forced swim and spatial learning tests. Behav Brain Res 2014; 259: 41-44 [PMID: 24475493 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2013.10.029]
- 116 Conrad CD, Galea LA, Kuroda Y, McEwen BS. Chronic stress impairs rat spatial memory on the Y maze, and this effect is blocked by tianeptine pretreatment. Behav Neurosci 1996; 110: 1321-1334 [PMID: 8986335 DOI: 10.1037//0735-7044.110.6.1321]
- McAllister BB, Wright DK, Wortman RC, Shultz SR, Dyck RH. Elimination of vesicular zinc alters 117 the behavioural and neuroanatomical effects of social defeat stress in mice. Neurobiol Stress 2018; 9: 199-213 [PMID: 30450385 DOI: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2018.10.003]
- 118 Bhakta A, Gavini K, Yang E, Lyman-Henley L, Parameshwaran K. Chronic traumatic stress impairs memory in mice: Potential roles of acetylcholine, neuroinflammation and corticotropin releasing factor expression in the hippocampus. Behav Brain Res 2017; 335: 32-40 [PMID: 28797603 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2017.08.013
- 119 Tractenberg SG, Orso R, Creutzberg KC, Malcon LMC, Lumertz FS, Wearick-Silva LE, Viola TW, Riva MA, Grassi-Oliveira R. Vulnerable and resilient cognitive performance related to early life stress: The potential mediating role of dopaminergic receptors in the medial prefrontal cortex of adult mice. Int J Dev Neurosci 2020; 80: 13-27 [PMID: 31907967 DOI: 10.1002/jdn.10004]
- 120 Kambali MY, Anshu K, Kutty BM, Muddashetty RS, Laxmi TR. Effect of early maternal separation stress on attention, spatial learning and social interaction behaviour. Exp Brain Res 2019; 237: 1993-2010 [PMID: 31154461 DOI: 10.1007/s00221-019-05567-2]
- 121 Diamond DM, Park CR, Heman KL, Rose GM. Exposing rats to a predator impairs spatial working memory in the radial arm water maze. Hippocampus 1999; 9: 542-552 [PMID: 10560925 DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1098-1063(1999)9:5<542::AID-HIPO8>3.0.CO;2-N]
- 122 Hoffman AN, Krigbaum A, Ortiz JB, Mika A, Hutchinson KM, Bimonte-Nelson HA, Conrad CD. Recovery after chronic stress within spatial reference and working memory domains: correspondence with hippocampal morphology. Eur J Neurosci 2011; 34: 1023-1030 [PMID: 21884554 DOI: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2011.07820.x]
- Ortiz JB, Mathewson CM, Hoffman AN, Hanavan PD, Terwilliger EF, Conrad CD. Hippocampal 123 brain-derived neurotrophic factor mediates recovery from chronic stress-induced spatial reference memory deficits. Eur J Neurosci 2014; 40: 3351-3362 [PMID: 25156382 DOI: 10.1111/ejn.12703]
- 124 Ortiz JB, Anglin JM, Daas EJ, Paode PR, Nishimura K, Conrad CD. BDNF and TrkB Mediate the Improvement from Chronic Stress-induced Spatial Memory Deficits and CA3 Dendritic Retraction. Neuroscience 2018; 388: 330-346 [PMID: 30076998 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.07.049]
- 125 Peav DN, Saribekvan HM, Parada PA, Hanson EM, Badaruddin BS, Judd JM, Donnav ME, Padilla-Garcia D, Conrad CD. Chronic unpredictable intermittent restraint stress disrupts spatial memory in male, but not female rats. Behav Brain Res 2020; 383: 112519 [PMID: 32006567 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2020.112519
- Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurobiology of addiction: a neurocircuitry analysis. Lancet Psychiatry 126 2016; 3: 760-773 [PMID: 27475769 DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(16)00104-8]
- 127 Everitt BJ. Neural and psychological mechanisms underlying compulsive drug seeking habits and drug memories--indications for novel treatments of addiction. Eur J Neurosci 2014; 40: 2163-2182 [PMID: 24935353 DOI: 10.1111/ejn.12644]
- 128 Everitt BJ, Robbins TW. From the ventral to the dorsal striatum: devolving views of their roles in drug addiction. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2013; 37: 1946-1954 [PMID: 23438892 DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.02.010
- 129 Moser P, Wolinsky T, Duxon M, Porsolt RD. How good are current approaches to nonclinical evaluation of abuse and dependence? J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2011; 336: 588-595 [PMID: 21098089 DOI: 10.1124/jpet.110.169979]
- Yahyavi-Firouz-Abadi N, See RE. Anti-relapse medications: preclinical models for drug addiction 130 treatment. Pharmacol Ther 2009; 124: 235-247 [PMID: 19683019 DOI: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2009.06.014
- 131 Epstein DH, Preston KL, Stewart J, Shaham Y. Toward a model of drug relapse: an assessment of the validity of the reinstatement procedure. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2006; 189: 1-16 [PMID: 17019567 DOI: 10.1007/s00213-006-0529-6]
- Shaham Y, Shalev U, Lu L, de Wit H, Stewart J. The reinstatement model of drug relapse: history, 132 methodology and major findings. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2003; 168: 3-20 [PMID: 12402102 DOI: 10.1007/s00213-002-1224-x]
- 133 Stewart J. Pathways to relapse: the neurobiology of drug- and stress-induced relapse to drug-taking. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2000; 25: 125-136 [PMID: 10740986]
- 134 Soria G, Barbano MF, Maldonado R, Valverde O. A reliable method to study cue-, priming-, and



stress-induced reinstatement of cocaine self-administration in mice. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2008; 199: 593-603 [PMID: 18488200 DOI: 10.1007/s00213-008-1184-x]

- 135 Deroche-Gamonet V, Piazza PV. Psychobiology of cocaine addiction: Contribution of a multisymptomatic animal model of loss of control. Neuropharmacology 2014; 76 Pt B: 437-449 [PMID: 23916478 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2013.07.014]
- 136 Rodriguez-Arias M, Navarrete F, Blanco-Gandia MC, Arenas MC, Bartoll-Andrés A, Aguilar MA, Rubio G, Miñarro J, Manzanares J. Social defeat in adolescent mice increases vulnerability to alcohol consumption. Addict Biol 2016; 21: 87-97 [PMID: 25219790 DOI: 10.1111/adb.12184]
- Reguilón MD, Ferrer-Pérez C, Ballestín R, Miñarro J, Rodríguez-Arias M. Voluntary wheel running 137 protects against the increase in ethanol consumption induced by social stress in mice. Drug Alcohol Depend 2020; 212: 108004 [PMID: 32408137 DOI: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.108004]
- 138 Reguilón MD, Ferrer-Pérez C, Miñarro J, Rodríguez-Arias M. Oxytocin reverses ethanol consumption and neuroinflammation induced by social defeat in male mice. Horm Behav 2021; 127: 104875 [PMID: 33069753 DOI: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2020.104875]
- Montagud-Romero S, Reguilón MD, Pascual M, Blanco-Gandía MC, Guerri C, Miñarro J, 139 Rodríguez-Arias M. Critical role of TLR4 in uncovering the increased rewarding effects of cocaine and ethanol induced by social defeat in male mice. Neuropharmacology 2021; 182: 108368 [PMID: 33132187 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2020.108368]
- 140 Bock R, Shin JH, Kaplan AR, Dobi A, Markey E, Kramer PF, Gremel CM, Christensen CH, Adrover MF, Alvarez VA. Strengthening the accumbal indirect pathway promotes resilience to compulsive cocaine use. Nat Neurosci 2013; 16: 632-638 [PMID: 23542690 DOI: 10.1038/nn.3369]
- 141 Brodnik ZD, Black EM, Clark MJ, Kornsey KN, Snyder NW, España RA. Susceptibility to traumatic stress sensitizes the dopaminergic response to cocaine and increases motivation for cocaine. Neuropharmacology 2017; 125: 295-307 [PMID: 28778834 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.07.032
- 142 Lee JH, Ribeiro EA, Kim J, Ko B, Kronman H, Jeong YH, Kim JK, Janak PH, Nestler EJ, Koo JW, Kim JH. Dopaminergic Regulation of Nucleus Accumbens Cholinergic Interneurons Demarcates Susceptibility to Cocaine Addiction. Biol Psychiatry 2020; 88: 746-757 [PMID: 32622465 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.05.003]
- Ballestín R, Alegre-Zurano L, Ferrer-Pérez C, Cantacorps L, Miñarro J, Valverde O, Rodríguez-143 Arias M. Neuroinflammatory and behavioral susceptibility profile of mice exposed to social stress towards cocaine effects. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2021; 105: 110123 [PMID: 33002518 DOI: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110123]
- Blouin AM, Pisupati S, Hoffer CG, Hafenbreidel M, Jamieson SE, Rumbaugh G, Miller CA. Social 144 stress-potentiated methamphetamine seeking. Addict Biol 2019; 24: 958-968 [PMID: 30105771 DOI: 10.1111/adb.12666]
- Riga D, Schmitz LJM, van Mourik Y, Hoogendijk WJG, De Vries TJ, Smit AB, Spijker S. Stress 145 vulnerability promotes an alcohol-prone phenotype in a preclinical model of sustained depression. Addict Biol 2020; 25: e12701 [PMID: 30561063 DOI: 10.1111/adb.12701]
- 146 Barchiesi R, Chanthongdee K, Domi E, Gobbo F, Coppola A, Asratian A, Toivainen S, Holm L, Augier G, Xu L, Augier E, Heilig M, Barbier E. Stress-induced escalation of alcohol selfadministration, anxiety-like behavior, and elevated amygdala Avp expression in a susceptible subpopulation of rats. Addict Biol 2021; e13009 [PMID: 33565224 DOI: 10.1111/adb.13009]
- 147 Ornelas LC, Tyler RE, Irukulapati P, Paladugu S, Besheer J. Increased alcohol self-administration following exposure to the predator odor TMT in active coping female rats. Behav Brain Res 2021; 402: 113068 [PMID: 33333108 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2020.113068]
- 148 Aguilar MA, Rodríguez-Arias M, Miñarro J. Neurobiological mechanisms of the reinstatement of drug-conditioned place preference. Brain Res Rev 2009; 59: 253-277 [PMID: 18762212 DOI: 10.1016/j.brainresrev.2008.08.002]
- 149 Bardo MT, Bevins RA. Conditioned place preference: what does it add to our preclinical understanding of drug reward? Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2000; 153: 31-43 [PMID: 11255927 DOI: 10.1007/s0021300005691
- 150 Tzschentke TM. Measuring reward with the conditioned place preference paradigm: a comprehensive review of drug effects, recent progress and new issues. Prog Neurobiol 1998; 56: 613-672 [PMID: 9871940 DOI: 10.1016/s0301-0082(98)00060-4]
- 151 Tzschentke TM. Measuring reward with the conditioned place preference (CPP) paradigm: update of the last decade. Addict Biol 2007; 12: 227-462 [PMID: 17678505 DOI: 10.1111/j.1369-1600.2007.00070.x]
- 152 García-Pardo MP, Rodríguez-Arias M, Maldonado C, Manzanedo C, Miñarro J, Aguilar MA. Effects of acute social stress on the conditioned place preference induced by MDMA in adolescent and adult mice. Behav Pharmacol 2014; 25: 532-546 [PMID: 25050816 DOI: 10.1097/FBP.000000000000065]
- Titomanlio F, Manzanedo C, Rodríguez-Arias M, Mattioli L, Perfumi M, Miñarro J, Aguilar MA. 153 Rhodiola rosea Impairs Acquisition and Expression of Conditioned Place Preference Induced by Cocaine. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2013; 2013: 697632 [PMID: 24174979 DOI: 10.1155/2013/697632
- 154 Calpe-López C, Gasparyan A, Navarrete F, Manzanares J, Miñarro J, Aguilar MA. Cannabidiol prevents priming- and stress-induced reinstatement of the conditioned place preference induced by cocaine in mice. J Psychopharmacol 2021; 35: 864-874 [PMID: 33427014 DOI:



#### 10.1177/0269881120965952

- 155 Montagud-Romero S, Aguilar MA, Maldonado C, Manzanedo C, Miñarro J, Rodríguez-Arias M. Acute social defeat stress increases the conditioned rewarding effects of cocaine in adult but not in adolescent mice. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2015; 135: 1-12 [PMID: 25989047 DOI: 10.1016/j.pbb.2015.05.008
- 156 Ueno M, Yamada K, Ichitani Y. The relationship between fear extinction and resilience to drugdependence in rats. Neurosci Res 2017; 121: 37-42 [PMID: 28322983 DOI: 10.1016/j.neures.2017.03.006
- 157 García-Pardo MP, LLansola M, Felipo V, De la Rubia Ortí JE, Aguilar MA. Blockade of nitric oxide signalling promotes resilience to the effects of social defeat stress on the conditioned rewarding properties of MDMA in mice. Nitric Oxide 2020; 98: 29-32 [PMID: 32142901 DOI: 10.1016/j.niox.2020.03.001
- 158 Boivin JR, Piscopo DM, Wilbrecht L. Brief cognitive training interventions in young adulthood promote long-term resilience to drug-seeking behavior. Neuropharmacology 2015; 97: 404-413 [PMID: 26066577 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2015.05.036]
- Yanovich C, Kirby ML, Michaelevski I, Yadid G, Pinhasov A. Social rank-associated stress 159 vulnerability predisposes individuals to cocaine attraction. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 1759 [PMID: 29379100 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-19816-x]
- 160 El Rawas R, Amaral IM, Hofer A. Is p38 MAPK Associated to Drugs of Abuse-Induced Abnormal Behaviors? Int J Mol Sci 2020; 21 [PMID: 32650599 DOI: 10.3390/ijms21144833]
- 161 Ferrer-Pérez C, Reguilón MD, Miñarro J, Rodríguez-Arias M. Endogenous oxytocin is essential for the buffering effects of pair housing against the increase in cocaine reward induced by social stress. Physiol Behav 2020; 221: 112913 [PMID: 32298668 DOI: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2020.112913]
- 162 Ródenas-González F, Blanco-Gandía MDC, Miñarro López J, Rodriguez-Arias M. Behavioral and neuroimmune characterization of resilience to social stress: rewarding effects of cocaine. Adicciones 2020; 0: 1348 [PMID: 32100047 DOI: 10.20882/adjcciones.1348]
- 163 Sutton LP, Khalatyan N, Savas JN, Martemyanov KA. Striatal RGS7 Regulates Depression-Related Behaviors and Stress-Induced Reinstatement of Cocaine Conditioned Place Preference. eNeuro 2021; 8 [PMID: 33402347 DOI: 10.1523/ENEURO.0365-20.2020]
- 164 Steinman MQ, Kirson D, Wolfe SA, Khom S, D'Ambrosio SR, Spierling Bagsic SR, Bajo M, Vlkolinský R, Hoang NK, Singhal A, Sureshchandra S, Oleata CS, Messaoudi I, Zorrilla EP, Roberto M. Importance of sex and trauma context on circulating cytokines and amygdalar GABAergic signaling in a comorbid model of posttraumatic stress and alcohol use disorders. Mol *Psychiatry* 2020 [PMID: 33087855 DOI: 10.1038/s41380-020-00920-2]
- 165 Faye C, Mcgowan JC, Denny CA, David DJ. Neurobiological Mechanisms of Stress Resilience and Implications for the Aged Population. Curr Neuropharmacol 2018; 16: 234-270 [PMID: 28820053 DOI: 10.2174/1570159X15666170818095105]
- Christoffel DJ, Golden SA, Russo SJ. Structural and synaptic plasticity in stress-related disorders. 166 Rev Neurosci 2011; 22: 535-549 [PMID: 21967517 DOI: 10.1515/RNS.2011.044]
- Lehmann ML, Herkenham M. Environmental enrichment confers stress resiliency to social defeat 167 through an infralimbic cortex-dependent neuroanatomical pathway. J Neurosci 2011; 31: 6159-6173 [PMID: 21508240 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0577-11.2011]
- 168 Covington HE 3rd, Lobo MK, Maze I, Vialou V, Hyman JM, Zaman S, LaPlant Q, Mouzon E, Ghose S, Tamminga CA, Neve RL, Deisseroth K, Nestler EJ. Antidepressant effect of optogenetic stimulation of the medial prefrontal cortex. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 16082-16090 [PMID: 21123555 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1731-10.2010]
- Vialou V, Robison AJ, Laplant QC, Covington HE 3rd, Dietz DM, Ohnishi YN, Mouzon E, Rush 169 AJ 3rd, Watts EL, Wallace DL, Iñiguez SD, Ohnishi YH, Steiner MA, Warren BL, Krishnan V, Bolaños CA, Neve RL, Ghose S, Berton O, Tamminga CA, Nestler EJ. DeltaFosB in brain reward circuits mediates resilience to stress and antidepressant responses. Nat Neurosci 2010; 13: 745-752 [PMID: 20473292 DOI: 10.1038/nn.2551]
- 170 Bagot RC, Parise EM, Peña CJ, Zhang HX, Maze I, Chaudhury D, Persaud B, Cachope R, Bolaños-Guzmán CA, Cheer JF, Deisseroth K, Han MH, Nestler EJ. Ventral hippocampal afferents to the nucleus accumbens regulate susceptibility to depression. Nat Commun 2015; 6: 7062 [PMID: 25952660 DOI: 10.1038/ncomms8062]
- Katz M, Liu C, Schaer M, Parker KJ, Ottet MC, Epps A, Buckmaster CL, Bammer R, Moseley ME, 171 Schatzberg AF, Eliez S, Lyons DM. Prefrontal plasticity and stress inoculation-induced resilience. Dev Neurosci 2009; 31: 293-299 [PMID: 19546566 DOI: 10.1159/000216540]
- 172 Kozorovitskiy Y, Gross CG, Kopil C, Battaglia L, McBreen M, Stranahan AM, Gould E. Experience induces structural and biochemical changes in the adult primate brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 17478-17482 [PMID: 16299105 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0508817102]
- 173 Tse YC, Lopez J, Moquin A, Wong SA, Maysinger D, Wong TP. The susceptibility to chronic social defeat stress is related to low hippocampal extrasynaptic NMDA receptor function. Neuropsychopharmacology 2019; 44: 1310-1318 [PMID: 30723288 DOI: 10.1038/s41386-019-0325-8]
- Rincón-Cortés M, Grace AA. Antidepressant effects of ketamine on depression-related phenotypes 174 and dopamine dysfunction in rodent models of stress. Behav Brain Res 2020; 379: 112367 [PMID: 31739001 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2019.112367]
- 175 Dong C, Zhang JC, Ren Q, Ma M, Qu Y, Zhang K, Yao W, Ishima T, Mori H, Hashimoto K.



Deletion of serine racemase confers D-serine -dependent resilience to chronic social defeat stress. Neurochem Int 2018; 116: 43-51 [PMID: 29550603 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuint.2018.03.008]

- 176 Victoriano G, Santos-Costa N, Mascarenhas DC, Nunes-de-Souza RL. Inhibition of the left medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) prolongs the social defeat-induced anxiogenesis in mice: Attenuation by NMDA receptor blockade in the right mPFC. Behav Brain Res 2020; 378: 112312 [PMID: 31629003 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2019.112312]
- 177 Schmidt MV, Trümbach D, Weber P, Wagner K, Scharf SH, Liebl C, Datson N, Namendorf C, Gerlach T, Kühne C, Uhr M, Deussing JM, Wurst W, Binder EB, Holsboer F, Müller MB. Individual stress vulnerability is predicted by short-term memory and AMPA receptor subunit ratio in the hippocampus. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 16949-16958 [PMID: 21159965 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4668-10.2010
- 178 Shin S, Kwon O, Kang JI, Kwon S, Oh S, Choi J, Kim CH, Kim DG. mGluR5 in the nucleus accumbens is critical for promoting resilience to chronic stress. Nat Neurosci 2015; 18: 1017-1024 [PMID: 26005851 DOI: 10.1038/nn.4028]
- 179 Highland JN, Zanos P, Georgiou P, Gould TD. Group II metabotropic glutamate receptor blockade promotes stress resilience in mice. Neuropsychopharmacology 2019; 44: 1788-1796 [PMID: 30939596 DOI: 10.1038/s41386-019-0380-1]
- Challis C, Boulden J, Veerakumar A, Espallergues J, Vassoler FM, Pierce RC, Beck SG, Berton O. 180 Raphe GABAergic neurons mediate the acquisition of avoidance after social defeat. J Neurosci 2013; 33: 13978-13988, 13988a [PMID: 23986235 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2383-13.2013]
- 181 Heshmati M, Christoffel DJ, LeClair K, Cathomas F, Golden SA, Aleyasin H, Turecki G, Friedman AK, Han MH, Menard C, Russo SJ. Depression and Social Defeat Stress Are Associated with Inhibitory Synaptic Changes in the Nucleus Accumbens. J Neurosci 2020; 40: 6228-6233 [PMID: 32561672 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2568-19.2020]
- 182 Li ZL, Wang Y, Zou HW, Jing XY, Liu YJ, Li LF. GABA(B) receptors within the lateral habenula modulate stress resilience and vulnerability in mice. Physiol Behav 2021; 230: 113311 [PMID: 33412189 DOI: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2021.113311]
- 183 Liu GX, Cai GQ, Cai YQ, Sheng ZJ, Jiang J, Mei Z, Wang ZG, Guo L, Fei J. Reduced anxiety and depression-like behaviors in mice lacking GABA transporter subtype 1. Neuropsychopharmacology 2007; 32: 1531-1539 [PMID: 17164814 DOI: 10.1038/sj.npp.1301281]
- 184 Gong X, Shao Y, Li B, Chen L, Wang C, Chen Y. γ-aminobutyric acid transporter-1 is involved in anxiety-like behaviors and cognitive function in knockout mice. Exp Ther Med 2015; 10: 653-658 [PMID: 26622370 DOI: 10.3892/etm.2015.2577]
- 185 O'Leary OF, Felice D, Galimberti S, Savignac HM, Bravo JA, Crowley T, El Yacoubi M, Vaugeois JM, Gassmann M, Bettler B, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. GABAB(1) receptor subunit isoforms differentially regulate stress resilience. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014; 111: 15232-15237 [PMID: 25288769 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.14040901111
- 186 Cao JL, Covington HE 3rd, Friedman AK, Wilkinson MB, Walsh JJ, Cooper DC, Nestler EJ, Han MH. Mesolimbic dopamine neurons in the brain reward circuit mediate susceptibility to social defeat and antidepressant action. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 16453-16458 [PMID: 21147984 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3177-10.2010
- Friedman AK, Walsh JJ, Juarez B, Ku SM, Chaudhury D, Wang J, Li X, Dietz DM, Pan N, Vialou 187 VF, Neve RL, Yue Z, Han MH. Enhancing depression mechanisms in midbrain dopamine neurons achieves homeostatic resilience. Science 2014; 344: 313-319 [PMID: 24744379]
- 188 Chaudhury D, Walsh JJ, Friedman AK, Juarez B, Ku SM, Koo JW, Ferguson D, Tsai HC, Pomeranz L, Christoffel DJ, Nectow AR, Ekstrand M, Domingos A, Mazei-Robison MS, Mouzon E, Lobo MK, Neve RL, Friedman JM, Russo SJ, Deisseroth K, Nestler EJ, Han MH. Rapid regulation of depression-related behaviours by control of midbrain dopamine neurons. Nature 2013; 493: 532-536 [PMID: 23235832 DOI: 10.1038/nature11713]
- 189 Zhang J, He ZX, Qu YS, Li LF, Wang LM, Yuan W, Hou WJ, Zhu YQ, Cai WQ, Zhang XN, Guo QQ, An SC, Jia R, Tai FD. Different baseline physical activity predicts susceptibility and resilience to chronic social defeat stress in mice: Involvement of dopamine neurons. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 2021; 45: 15-28 [PMID: 33730683 DOI: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2021.02.011]
- 190 Francis TC, Chandra R, Friend DM, Finkel E, Dayrit G, Miranda J, Brooks JM, Iñiguez SD, O'Donnell P, Kravitz A, Lobo MK. Nucleus accumbens medium spiny neuron subtypes mediate depression-related outcomes to social defeat stress. Biol Psychiatry 2015; 77: 212-222 [PMID: 25173629 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.07.021]
- 191 Francis TC, Gaynor A, Chandra R, Fox ME, Lobo MK. The Selective RhoA Inhibitor Rhosin Promotes Stress Resiliency Through Enhancing D1-Medium Spiny Neuron Plasticity and Reducing Hyperexcitability. Biol Psychiatry 2019; 85: 1001-1010 [PMID: 30955841 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.02.007]
- Hamilton PJ, Burek DJ, Lombroso SI, Neve RL, Robison AJ, Nestler EJ, Heller EA. Cell-Type-192 Specific Epigenetic Editing at the Fosb Gene Controls Susceptibility to Social Defeat Stress. Neuropsychopharmacology 2018; 43: 272-284 [PMID: 28462942 DOI: 10.1038/npp.2017.88]
- 193 Khibnik LA, Beaumont M, Doyle M, Heshmati M, Slesinger PA, Nestler EJ, Russo SJ. Stress and Cocaine Trigger Divergent and Cell Type-Specific Regulation of Synaptic Transmission at Single Spines in Nucleus Accumbens. Biol Psychiatry 2016; 79: 898-905 [PMID: 26164802 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.05.022]
- 194 Muir J, Lorsch ZS, Ramakrishnan C, Deisseroth K, Nestler EJ, Calipari ES, Bagot RC. In Vivo



Fiber Photometry Reveals Signature of Future Stress Susceptibility in Nucleus Accumbens. Neuropsychopharmacology 2018; 43: 255-263 [PMID: 28589967 DOI: 10.1038/npp.2017.122]

- 195 Shinohara R, Taniguchi M, Ehrlich AT, Yokogawa K, Deguchi Y, Cherasse Y, Lazarus M, Urade Y, Ogawa A, Kitaoka S, Sawa A, Narumiya S, Furuyashiki T. Dopamine D1 receptor subtype mediates acute stress-induced dendritic growth in excitatory neurons of the medial prefrontal cortex and contributes to suppression of stress susceptibility in mice. Mol Psychiatry 2018; 23: 1717-1730 [PMID: 28924188 DOI: 10.1038/mp.2017.177]
- Bagalkot TR, Jin HM, Prabhu VV, Muna SS, Cui Y, Yadav BK, Chae HJ, Chung YC. Chronic 196 social defeat stress increases dopamine D2 receptor dimerization in the prefrontal cortex of adult mice. Neuroscience 2015; 311: 444-452 [PMID: 26484605 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.10.024]
- 197 Xu K, He Y, Chen X, Tian Y, Cheng K, Zhang L, Wang Y, Yang D, Wang H, Wu Z, Li Y, Lan T, Dong Z, Xie P. Validation of the targeted metabolomic pathway in the hippocampus and comparative analysis with the prefrontal cortex of social defeat model mice. J Neurochem 2019; 149: 799-810 [PMID: 30520040 DOI: 10.1111/jnc.14641]
- 198 Ano Y, Kitaoka S, Ohya R, Kondo K, Furuyashiki T. Hop Bitter Acids Increase Hippocampal Dopaminergic Activity in a Mouse Model of Social Defeat Stress. Int J Mol Sci 2020; 21 [PMID: 33348553 DOI: 10.3390/ijms21249612]
- Yin YQ, Zhang C, Wang JX, Hou J, Yang X, Qin J. Chronic caffeine treatment enhances the 199 resilience to social defeat stress in mice. Food Funct 2015; 6: 479-491 [PMID: 25474697 DOI: 10.1039/c4fo00702f
- 200 Isingrini E, Perret L, Rainer Q, Amilhon B, Guma E, Tanti A, Martin G, Robinson J, Moquin L, Marti F, Mechawar N, Williams S, Gratton A, Giros B. Resilience to chronic stress is mediated by noradrenergic regulation of dopamine neurons. Nat Neurosci 2016; 19: 560-563 [PMID: 26878672 DOI: 10.1038/nn.4245]
- 201 Zhang H, Chaudhury D, Nectow AR, Friedman AK, Zhang S, Juarez B, Liu H, Pfau ML, Aleyasin H, Jiang C, Crumiller M, Calipari ES, Ku SM, Morel C, Tzavaras N, Montgomery SE, He M, Salton SR, Russo SJ, Nestler EJ, Friedman JM, Cao JL, Han MH.  $\alpha_1$ - and  $\beta_3$ -Adrenergic Receptor-Mediated Mesolimbic Homeostatic Plasticity Confers Resilience to Social Stress in Susceptible Mice. Biol Psychiatry 2019; 85: 226-236 [PMID: 30336931 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.08.020]
- 202 Bruchas MR, Schindler AG, Shankar H, Messinger DI, Miyatake M, Land BB, Lemos JC, Hagan CE, Neumaier JF, Quintana A, Palmiter RD, Chavkin C. Selective p38a MAPK deletion in serotonergic neurons produces stress resilience in models of depression and addiction. Neuron 2011; 71: 498-511 [PMID: 21835346 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.06.011]
- 203 Xie L, Chen J, Ding YM, Gui XW, Wu LX, Tian S, Wu W. MicroRNA-26a-2 maintains stress resiliency and antidepressant efficacy by targeting the serotonergic autoreceptor HTR1A. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2019; 511: 440-446 [PMID: 30808545 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.02.078]
- 204 Prakash N, Stark CJ, Keisler MN, Luo L, Der-Avakian A, Dulcis D. Serotonergic Plasticity in the Dorsal Raphe Nucleus Characterizes Susceptibility and Resilience to Anhedonia. J Neurosci 2020; 40: 569-584 [PMID: 31792153 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1802-19.2019]
- 205 Mineur YS, Obayemi A, Wigestrand MB, Fote GM, Calarco CA, Li AM, Picciotto MR. Cholinergic signaling in the hippocampus regulates social stress resilience and anxiety- and depression-like behavior. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013; 110: 3573-3578 [PMID: 23401542 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1219731110
- 206 Mineur YS, Fote GM, Blakeman S, Cahuzac EL, Newbold SA, Picciotto MR. Multiple Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Subtypes in the Mouse Amygdala Regulate Affective Behaviors and Response to Social Stress. Neuropsychopharmacology 2016; 41: 1579-1587 [PMID: 26471256 DOI: 10.1038/npp.2015.316]
- Rosa SG, Pesarico AP, Nogueira CW. m-Trifluoromethyl-diphenyl diselenide promotes resilience to 207 social avoidance induced by social defeat stress in mice: Contribution of opioid receptors and MAPKs. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2018; 82: 123-135 [PMID: 29174974 DOI: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.11.021]
- Bérubé P, Laforest S, Bhatnagar S, Drolet G. Enkephalin and dynorphin mRNA expression are 208 associated with resilience or vulnerability to chronic social defeat stress. Physiol Behav 2013; 122: 237-245 [PMID: 23665402 DOI: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2013.04.009]
- 209 Browne CA, Falcon E, Robinson SA, Berton O, Lucki I. Reversal of Stress-Induced Social Interaction Deficits by Buprenorphine. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2018; 21: 164-174 [PMID: 29020387 DOI: 10.1093/ijnp/pyx079]
- 210 Henry MS, Bisht K, Vernoux N, Gendron L, Torres-Berrio A, Drolet G, Tremblay ME. Delta Opioid Receptor Signaling Promotes Resilience to Stress Under the Repeated Social Defeat Paradigm in Mice. Front Mol Neurosci 2018; 11: 100 [PMID: 29681795 DOI: 10.3389/fnmol.2018.00100]
- Nam H, Chandra R, Francis TC, Dias C, Cheer JF, Lobo MK. Reduced nucleus accumbens 211 enkephalins underlie vulnerability to social defeat stress. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2019; 44: 1876-1885 [PMID: 31132785 DOI: 10.1038/s41386-019-0422-8]
- 212 Briand LA, Hilario M, Dow HC, Brodkin ES, Blendy JA, Berton O. Mouse model of OPRM1 (A118G) polymorphism increases sociability and dominance and confers resilience to social defeat. J Neurosci 2015; 35: 3582-3590 [PMID: 25716856 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4685-14.2015]
- 213 Wu G, Feder A, Wegener G, Bailey C, Saxena S, Charney D, Mathé AA. Central functions of



neuropeptide Y in mood and anxiety disorders. Expert Opin Ther Targets 2011; 15: 1317-1331 [PMID: 21995655 DOI: 10.1517/14728222.2011.628314]

- 214 Schmeltzer SN, Herman JP, Sah R. Neuropeptide Y (NPY) and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD): A translational update. Exp Neurol 2016; 284: 196-210 [PMID: 27377319 DOI: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2016.06.020]
- He Y, Li W, Tian Y, Chen X, Cheng K, Xu K, Li C, Wang H, Qu C, Wang C, Li P, Chen H, Xie P. 215 iTRAQ-based proteomics suggests LRP6, NPY and NPY2R perturbation in the hippocampus involved in CSDS may induce resilience and susceptibility. Life Sci 2018; 211: 102-117 [PMID: 30201296 DOI: 10.1016/j.lfs.2018.09.016]
- 216 Lacey T, Sweeting J, Kingston R, Smith M, Markham CM. Neuropeptide Y impairs the acquisition of conditioned defeat in Syrian hamsters. Neurosci Lett 2019; 690: 214-218 [PMID: 30312751 DOI: 10.1016/j.neulet.2018.09.049
- Alviña K, Jodeiri Farshbaf M, Mondal AK. Long term effects of stress on hippocampal function: 217 Emphasis on early life stress paradigms and potential involvement of neuropeptide Y. J Neurosci Res 2021; 99: 57-66 [PMID: 32162350 DOI: 10.1002/jnr.24614]
- Kagerer SM, Jöhren O. Interactions of orexins/hypocretins with adrenocortical functions. Acta 218 *Physiol (Oxf)* 2010; **198**: 361-371 [PMID: 19719797 DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-1716.2009.02034.x]
- Srinivasan S, Shariff M, Bartlett SE. The role of the glucocorticoids in developing resilience to 219 stress and addiction. Front Psychiatry 2013; 4: 68 [PMID: 23914175 DOI: 10.3389/fpsyt.2013.00068]
- Chung HS, Kim JG, Kim JW, Kim HW, Yoon BJ. Orexin administration to mice that underwent 220 chronic stress produces bimodal effects on emotion-related behaviors. Regul Pept 2014; 194-195: 16-22 [PMID: 25450574 DOI: 10.1016/j.regpep.2014.11.003]
- 221 Arendt DH, Hassell J, Li H, Achua JK, Guarnieri DJ, Dileone RJ, Ronan PJ, Summers CH. Anxiolytic function of the orexin 2/hypocretin A receptor in the basolateral amygdala. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2014; 40: 17-26 [PMID: 24485472 DOI: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.10.010
- 222 Grafe LA, Eacret D, Dobkin J, Bhatnagar S. Reduced Orexin System Function Contributes to Resilience to Repeated Social Stress. eNeuro 2018; 5 [PMID: 29662948 DOI: 10.1523/ENEURO.0273-17.2018]
- 223 Staton CD, Yaeger JDW, Khalid D, Haroun F, Fernandez BS, Fernandez JS, Summers BK, Summers TR, Sathyanesan M, Newton SS, Summers CH. Orexin 2 receptor stimulation enhances resilience, while orexin 2 inhibition promotes susceptibility, to social stress, anxiety and depression. Neuropharmacology 2018; 143: 79-94 [PMID: 30240784 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2018.09.016]
- 224 Summers CH, Yaeger JDW, Staton CD, Arendt DH, Summers TR. Orexin/hypocretin receptor modulation of anxiolytic and antidepressive responses during social stress and decision-making: Potential for therapy. Brain Res 2020; 1731: 146085 [PMID: 30590027 DOI: 10.1016/j.brainres.2018.12.036]
- Pesarico AP, Rosa SG, Martini F, Goulart TA, Zeni G, Nogueira CW. Brain-derived neurotrophic 225 factor signaling plays a role in resilience to stress promoted by isoquinoline in defeated mice. J Psychiatr Res 2017; 94: 78-87 [PMID: 28688339 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2017.06.012]
- Iñiguez SD, Vialou V, Warren BL, Cao JL, Alcantara LF, Davis LC, Manojlovic Z, Neve RL, Russo 226 SJ, Han MH, Nestler EJ, Bolaños-Guzmán CA. Extracellular signal-regulated kinase-2 within the ventral tegmental area regulates responses to stress. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 7652-7663 [PMID: 20519540 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0951-10.2010]
- 227 Castrén E, Rantamäki T. The role of BDNF and its receptors in depression and antidepressant drug action: Reactivation of developmental plasticity. Dev Neurobiol 2010; 70: 289-297 [PMID: 20186711 DOI: 10.1002/dneu.20758]
- 228 Li Y, Luikart BW, Birnbaum S, Chen J, Kwon CH, Kernie SG, Bassel-Duby R, Parada LF. TrkB regulates hippocampal neurogenesis and governs sensitivity to antidepressive treatment. Neuron 2008; 59: 399-412 [PMID: 18701066 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.06.023]
- 229 Autry AE, Monteggia LM. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and neuropsychiatric disorders. Pharmacol Rev 2012; 64: 238-258 [PMID: 22407616 DOI: 10.1124/pr.111.005108]
- 230 Pagliusi MOF Jr, Bonet IJM, Dias EV, Vieira AS, Tambeli CH, Parada CA, Sartori CR. Social defeat stress induces hyperalgesia and increases truncated BDNF isoforms in the nucleus accumbens regardless of the depressive-like behavior induction in mice. Eur J Neurosci 2018 [PMID: 29885271 DOI: 10.1111/ejn.13994]
- 231 Mallei A, Ieraci A, Popoli M. Chronic social defeat stress differentially regulates the expression of BDNF transcripts and epigenetic modifying enzymes in susceptible and resilient mice. World J Biol Psychiatry 2019; 20: 555-566 [PMID: 30058429 DOI: 10.1080/15622975.2018.1500029]
- 232 Yao W, Lin S, Su J, Cao Q, Chen Y, Chen J, Zhang Z, Hashimoto K, Qi Q, Zhang JC. Activation of BDNF by transcription factor Nrf2 contributes to antidepressant-like actions in rodents. Transl Psychiatry 2021; 11: 140 [PMID: 33627628 DOI: 10.1038/s41398-021-01261-6]
- 233 Taliaz D, Loya A, Gersner R, Haramati S, Chen A, Zangen A. Resilience to chronic stress is mediated by hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor. J Neurosci 2011; 31: 4475-4483 [PMID: 21430148 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5725-10.2011]
- 234 Nasrallah P, Haidar EA, Stephan JS, El Hayek L, Karnib N, Khalifeh M, Barmo N, Jabre V, Houbeika R, Ghanem A, Nasser J, Zeeni N, Bassil M, Sleiman SF. Branched-chain amino acids mediate resilience to chronic social defeat stress by activating BDNF/TRKB signaling. Neurobiol



Stress 2019; 11: 100170 [PMID: 31193350 DOI: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2019.100170]

- 235 Lagace DC, Donovan MH, DeCarolis NA, Farnbauch LA, Malhotra S, Berton O, Nestler EJ, Krishnan V, Eisch AJ. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis is functionally important for stress-induced social avoidance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010; 107: 4436-4441 [PMID: 20176946 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0910072107]
- Duclot F, Kabbaj M. Individual differences in novelty seeking predict subsequent vulnerability to 236 social defeat through a differential epigenetic regulation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression. J Neurosci 2013; 33: 11048-11060 [PMID: 23825410 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0199-13.2013]
- 237 Khalifeh M, Hobeika R, El Hayek L, Saad J, Eid F, El-Khoury R, Ghayad LM, Jabre V, Nasrallah P, Barmo N, Stephan JS, Khnayzer R, Khalil C, Sleiman SF. Nicotine induces resilience to chronic social defeat stress in a mouse model of water pipe tobacco exposure by activating BDNF signaling. Behav Brain Res 2020; 382: 112499 [PMID: 31978493 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2020.112499]
- 238 Han QQ, Yang L, Huang HJ, Wang YL, Yu R, Wang J, Pilot A, Wu GC, Liu Q, Yu J. Differential GR Expression and Translocation in the Hippocampus Mediates Susceptibility vs. Resilience to Chronic Social Defeat Stress. Front Neurosci 2017; 11: 287 [PMID: 28588443 DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00287]
- 239 Wang W, Liu L, Yang X, Gao H, Tang QK, Yin LY, Yin XY, Hao JR, Geng DQ, Gao C. Ketamine improved depressive-like behaviors via hippocampal glucocorticoid receptor in chronic stress induced- susceptible mice. Behav Brain Res 2019; 364: 75-84 [PMID: 30753876 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2019.01.057
- 240 Dedic N, Kühne C, Gomes KS, Hartmann J, Ressler KJ, Schmidt MV, Deussing JM. Deletion of CRH From GABAergic Forebrain Neurons Promotes Stress Resilience and Dampens Stress-Induced Changes in Neuronal Activity. Front Neurosci 2019; 13: 986 [PMID: 31619956 DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00986
- Chen P, Lou S, Huang ZH, Wang Z, Shan QH, Wang Y, Yang Y, Li X, Gong H, Jin Y, Zhang Z, 241 Zhou JN. Prefrontal Cortex Corticotropin-Releasing Factor Neurons Control Behavioral Style Selection under Challenging Situations. Neuron 2020; 106: 301-315.e7 [PMID: 32101698 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2020.01.033]
- 242 Nestler EJ, Waxman SG. Resilience to Stress and Resilience to Pain: Lessons from Molecular Neurobiology and Genetics. Trends Mol Med 2020; 26: 924-935 [PMID: 32976800 DOI: 10.1016/j.molmed.2020.03.007]
- Dudek KA, Kaufmann FN, Lavoie O, Menard C. Central and peripheral stress-induced epigenetic 243 mechanisms of resilience. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2021; 34: 1-9 [PMID: 33141775 DOI: 10.1097/YCO.00000000000664]
- 244 Wilkinson MB, Xiao G, Kumar A, LaPlant Q, Renthal W, Sikder D, Kodadek TJ, Nestler EJ. Imipramine treatment and resiliency exhibit similar chromatin regulation in the mouse nucleus accumbens in depression models. J Neurosci 2009; 29: 7820-7832 [PMID: 19535594 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0932-09.2009
- 245 Kenworthy CA, Sengupta A, Luz SM, Ver Hoeve ES, Meda K, Bhatnagar S, Abel T. Social defeat induces changes in histone acetylation and expression of histone modifying enzymes in the ventral hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, and dorsal raphe nucleus. Neuroscience 2014; 264: 88-98 [PMID: 23370319 DOI: 10.1016/i.neuroscience.2013.01.024]
- Covington HE 3rd, Maze I, Sun H, Bomze HM, DeMaio KD, Wu EY, Dietz DM, Lobo MK, Ghose 246 S, Mouzon E, Neve RL, Tamminga CA, Nestler EJ. A role for repressive histone methylation in cocaine-induced vulnerability to stress. Neuron 2011; 71: 656-670 [PMID: 21867882 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.06.007]
- Espallergues J, Teegarden SL, Veerakumar A, Boulden J, Challis C, Jochems J, Chan M, Petersen 247 T, Deneris E, Matthias P, Hahn CG, Lucki I, Beck SG, Berton O. HDAC6 regulates glucocorticoid receptor signaling in serotonin pathways with critical impact on stress resilience. J Neurosci 2012; 32: 4400-4416 [PMID: 22457490 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5634-11.2012]
- Jochems J, Teegarden SL, Chen Y, Boulden J, Challis C, Ben-Dor GA, Kim SF, Berton O. 248 Enhancement of stress resilience through histone deacetylase 6-mediated regulation of glucocorticoid receptor chaperone dynamics. Biol Psychiatry 2015; 77: 345-355 [PMID: 25442004 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.07.036]
- 249 Ambrée O, Ruland C, Scheu S, Arolt V, Alferink J. Alterations of the Innate Immune System in Susceptibility and Resilience After Social Defeat Stress. Front Behav Neurosci 2018; 12: 141 [PMID: 30057531 DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00141]
- 250 Hodes GE, Pfau ML, Leboeuf M, Golden SA, Christoffel DJ, Bregman D, Rebusi N, Heshmati M, Aleyasin H, Warren BL, Lebonté B, Horn S, Lapidus KA, Stelzhammer V, Wong EH, Bahn S, Krishnan V, Bolaños-Guzman CA, Murrough JW, Merad M, Russo SJ. Individual differences in the peripheral immune system promote resilience vs susceptibility to social stress. Proc Natl Acad Sci U SA 2014; 111: 16136-16141 [PMID: 25331895 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1415191111]
- Finnell JE, Lombard CM, Melson MN, Singh NP, Nagarkatti M, Nagarkatti P, Fadel JR, Wood CS, 251 Wood SK. The protective effects of resveratrol on social stress-induced cytokine release and depressive-like behavior. Brain Behav Immun 2017; 59: 147-157 [PMID: 27592314 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbi.2016.08.019]
- Nasca C, Menard C, Hodes G, Bigio B, Pena C, Lorsch Z, Zelli D, Ferris A, Kana V, 252 Purushothaman I, Dobbin J, Nassim M, DeAngelis P, Merad M, Rasgon N, Meaney M, Nestler EJ, McEwen BS, Russo SJ. Multidimensional Predictors of Susceptibility and Resilience to Social



Defeat Stress. Biol Psychiatry 2019; 86: 483-491 [PMID: 31466563 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.06.030]

- Pearson-Leary J, Zhao C, Bittinger K, Eacret D, Luz S, Vigderman AS, Dayanim G, Bhatnagar S. 253 The gut microbiome regulates the increases in depressive-type behaviors and in inflammatory processes in the ventral hippocampus of stress vulnerable rats. Mol Psychiatry 2020; 25: 1068-1079 [PMID: 30833676 DOI: 10.1038/s41380-019-0380-x]
- 254 Pfau ML, Menard C, Cathomas F, Desland F, Kana V, Chan KL, Shimo Y, LeClair K, Flanigan ME, Aleyasin H, Walker DM, Bouchard S, Mack M, Hodes GE, Merad MM, Russo SJ. Role of Monocyte-Derived MicroRNA106b 25 in Resilience to Social Stress. Biol Psychiatry 2019; 86: 474-482 [PMID: 31101319 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.02.023]
- 255 Szyszkowicz JK, Wong A, Anisman H, Merali Z, Audet MC. Implications of the gut microbiota in vulnerability to the social avoidance effects of chronic social defeat in male mice. Brain Behav Immun 2017; 66: 45-55 [PMID: 28629758 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbi.2017.06.009]
- 256 Aguilar MA, Cannella N, Ferragud A, Spanagel R. Editorial: Neurobehavioural Mechanisms of Resilience and Vulnerability in Addictive Disorders. Front Behav Neurosci 2020; 14: 644495 [PMID: 33551770 DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2020.644495]
- 257 Manvich DF, Stowe TA, Godfrey JR, Weinshenker D. A Method for Psychosocial Stress-Induced Reinstatement of Cocaine Seeking in Rats. Biol Psychiatry 2016; 79: 940-946 [PMID: 26257242 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.07.002]
- 258 Ellis AS, Fosnocht AQ, Lucerne KE, Briand LA. Disruption of GluA2 phosphorylation potentiates stress responsivity. Behav Brain Res 2017; 333: 83-89 [PMID: 28668281 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2017.06.046]
- Guerrero-Bautista R, Do Couto BR, Hidalgo JM, Cárceles-Moreno FJ, Molina G, Laorden ML, 259 Núñez C, Milanés MV. Modulation of stress- and cocaine prime-induced reinstatement of conditioned place preference after memory extinction through dopamine D3 receptor. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2019; 92: 308-320 [PMID: 30707990 DOI: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2019.01.017]
- 260 Fallon IP, Tanner MK, Greenwood BN, Baratta MV. Sex differences in resilience: Experiential factors and their mechanisms. Eur J Neurosci 2020; 52: 2530-2547 [PMID: 31800125 DOI: 10.1111/ein.14639
- 261 Hodes GE, Epperson CN. Sex Differences in Vulnerability and Resilience to Stress Across the Life Span. Biol Psychiatry 2019; 86: 421-432 [PMID: 31221426 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.04.028]
- 262 Conrad CD, Grote KA, Hobbs RJ, Ferayorni A. Sex differences in spatial and non-spatial Y-maze performance after chronic stress. Neurobiol Learn Mem 2003; 79: 32-40 [PMID: 12482677 DOI: 10.1016/s1074-7427(02)00018-7
- Galea LA, McEwen BS, Tanapat P, Deak T, Spencer RL, Dhabhar FS. Sex differences in dendritic 263 atrophy of CA3 pyramidal neurons in response to chronic restraint stress. Neuroscience 1997; 81: 689-697 [PMID: 9316021 DOI: 10.1016/s0306-4522(97)00233-9]
- Bowman RE, Beck KD, Luine VN. Chronic stress effects on memory: sex differences in 264 performance and monoaminergic activity. Horm Behav 2003; 43: 48-59 [PMID: 12614634 DOI: 10.1016/s0018-506x(02)00022-3]
- Wood GE, Shors TJ. Stress facilitates classical conditioning in males, but impairs classical 265 conditioning in females through activational effects of ovarian hormones. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998; 95: 4066-4071 [PMID: 9520494 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.95.7.4066]
- Wood GE, Beylin AV, Shors TJ. The contribution of adrenal and reproductive hormones to the 266 opposing effects of stress on trace conditioning in males vs females. Behav Neurosci 2001; 115: 175-187 [PMID: 11256441 DOI: 10.1037/0735-7044.115.1.175]
- Wellman CL, Bangasser DA, Bollinger JL, Coutellier L, Logrip ML, Moench KM, Urban KR. Sex 267 Differences in Risk and Resilience: Stress Effects on the Neural Substrates of Emotion and Motivation. J Neurosci 2018; 38: 9423-9432 [PMID: 30381434 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1673-18.2018
- 268 Okine T, Shepard R, Lemanski E, Coutellier L. Sex Differences in the Sustained Effects of Ketamine on Resilience to Chronic Stress. Front Behav Neurosci 2020; 14: 581360 [PMID: 33192367 DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2020.581360]
- 269 Vassilev P, Pantoja-Urban AH, Giroux M, Nouel D, Hernandez G, Orsini T, Flores C. Unique effects of social defeat stress in adolescent male mice on the Netrin-1/DCC pathway, prefrontal cortex dopamine and cognition (Social stress in adolescent vs. adult male mice). eNeuro 2021 [PMID: 33619036 DOI: 10.1523/ENEURO.0045-21.2021]
- 270 Bath KG, Russo SJ, Pleil KE, Wohleb ES, Duman RS, Radley JJ. Circuit and synaptic mechanisms of repeated stress: Perspectives from differing contexts, duration, and development. Neurobiol Stress 2017; 7: 137-151 [PMID: 29276735 DOI: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2017.05.001]
- Douglas AJ, Brunton PJ, Bosch OJ, Russell JA, Neumann ID. Neuroendocrine responses to stress in 271 mice: hyporesponsiveness in pregnancy and parturition. Endocrinology 2003; 144: 5268-5276 [PMID: 12960085 DOI: 10.1210/en.2003-0461]
- Bitencourt RM, Takahashi RN. Cannabidiol as a Therapeutic Alternative for Post-traumatic Stress 272 Disorder: From Bench Research to Confirmation in Human Trials. Front Neurosci 2018; 12: 502 [PMID: 30087591 DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00502]
- Calpe-López C, García-Pardo MP, Aguilar MA. Cannabidiol Treatment Might Promote Resilience 273 to Cocaine and Methamphetamine Use Disorders: A Review of Possible Mechanisms. Molecules



2019; 24 [PMID: 31315244 DOI: 10.3390/molecules24142583]

- Ledesma JC, Manzanedo C, Aguilar MA. Cannabidiol prevents several of the behavioral alterations 274 related to cocaine addiction in mice. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2021; 111: 110390 [PMID: 34157334 DOI: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2021.110390]
- 275 Nona CN, Hendershot CS, Le Foll B. Effects of cannabidiol on alcohol-related outcomes: A review of preclinical and human research. Exp Clin Psychopharmacol 2019; 27: 359-369 [PMID: 31120285 DOI: 10.1037/pha0000272]
- 276 Kumar A, Chanana P. Role of Nitric Oxide in Stress-Induced Anxiety: From Pathophysiology to Therapeutic Target. Vitam Horm 2017; 103: 147-167 [PMID: 28061969 DOI: 10.1016/bs.vh.2016.09.004]
- 277 Liddie S, Balda MA, Itzhak Y. Nitric oxide (NO) signaling as a potential therapeutic modality against psychostimulants. Curr Pharm Des 2013; 19: 7092-7102 [PMID: 23574445 DOI: 10.2174/138161281940131209144527
- 278 Boutrel B, Kenny PJ, Specio SE, Martin-Fardon R, Markou A, Koob GF, de Lecea L. Role for hypocretin in mediating stress-induced reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 19168-19173 [PMID: 16357203 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0507480102]
- 279 Yoshida K, Drew MR, Kono A, Mimura M, Takata N, Tanaka KF. Chronic social defeat stress impairs goal-directed behavior through dysregulation of ventral hippocampal activity in male mice. Neuropsychopharmacology 2021; 46: 1606-1616 [PMID: 33692477 DOI: 10.1038/s41386-021-00990-y]
- 280 Atesyakar N, Canbeyli R, Unal G. Low cognitive competence as a vulnerability factor for behavioral despair in rats. Behav Processes 2020; 174: 104103 [PMID: 32165180 DOI: 10.1016/j.beproc.2020.104103
- 281 Averill LA, Averill CL, Kelmendi B, Abdallah CG, Southwick SM. Stress Response Modulation Underlying the Psychobiology of Resilience. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2018; 20: 27 [PMID: 29594808 DOI: 10.1007/s11920-018-0887-x]
- 282 Baratta MV, Maier SF. New tools for understanding coping and resilience. Neurosci Lett 2019; 693: 54-57 [PMID: 28963058 DOI: 10.1016/j.neulet.2017.09.049]
- 283 Ersche KD, Meng C, Ziauddeen H, Stochl J, Williams GB, Bullmore ET, Robbins TW. Brain networks underlying vulnerability and resilience to drug addiction. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2020; 117: 15253-15261 [PMID: 32541059 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2002509117]
- Osório C, Probert T, Jones E, Young AH, Robbins I. Adapting to Stress: Understanding the 284 Neurobiology of Resilience. Behav Med 2017; 43: 307-322 [PMID: 27100966 DOI: 10.1080/08964289.2016.1170661
- 285 Albrecht A, Redavide E, Regev-Tsur S, Stork O, Richter-Levin G. Hippocampal GABAergic interneurons and their co-localized neuropeptides in stress vulnerability and resilience. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2021; 122: 229-244 [PMID: 33188820 DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.11.002]
- Stainton A, Chisholm K, Kaiser N, Rosen M, Upthegrove R, Ruhrmann S, Wood SJ. Resilience as a 286 multimodal dynamic process. Early Interv Psychiatry 2019; 13: 725-732 [PMID: 30126047 DOI: 10.1111/eip.12726]
- 287 García-Pardo MP, Miñarro J, Llansola M, Felipo V, Aguilar MA. Role of NMDA and AMPA glutamatergic receptors in the effects of social defeat on the rewarding properties of MDMA in mice. Eur J Neurosci 2019; 50: 2623-2634 [PMID: 30276890 DOI: 10.1111/ejn.14190]





# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

